# Crossover between magnetism and superconductivity in low H-doped LaFeAsO

# G Lamura<sup>1</sup>, T Shiroka<sup>2,3</sup>, P Bonfà<sup>4</sup>, S Sanna<sup>5</sup>, R De Renzi<sup>4</sup>, F Caglieris<sup>1</sup>, M R Cimberle<sup>1</sup>, S Iimura<sup>6</sup>, H Hosono<sup>6,7</sup> and M Putti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CNR-SPIN and Università di Genova, via Dodecaneso 33, I-16146 Genova, Italy
<sup>2</sup>Laboratorium für Festkörperphysik, ETH-Hönggerberg, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland
<sup>3</sup>Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Parma, Viale G. Usberti 7A, I-43124 Parma, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Dipartimento di Fisica and Unità CNISM di Pavia, I-27100 Pavia, Italy <sup>6</sup>Materials and Structures Laboratory, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

<sup>7</sup>Frontier Research Center, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama 226-8503, Japan

E-mail: tshiroka@phys.ethz.ch

**Abstract.** By a systematic study of the hydrogen-doped LaFeAsO system by means of dc resistivity, dc magnetometry, and muon-spin spectroscopy we addressed the question of universality of the phase diagram of rare-earth-1111 pnictides. In many respects, the behaviour of LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> resembles that of its widely studied F-doped counterpart, with H<sup>-</sup> realizing a similar (or better) electron-doping in the LaO planes. In a x = 0.01 sample we found a long-range SDW order with  $T_N = 119$  K, while at x = 0.05 the SDW establishes only at 38 K and, below  $T_c = 10$  K, it coexists at a nanoscopic scale with bulk superconductivity. Unlike the abrupt M-SC transition found in the parent La-1111 compound, the presence a *crossover region* makes the H-doped system qualitatively similar to other Sm-, Ce-, or Nd-1111 families.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Dw, 74.25.Ha, 76.75.+i

Submitted to: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter

# 1. Introduction

The complexity of high-temperature superconductors, reflecting their quantumcorrelated nature, has intrigued scientists over the years. In this respect the discovery of superconductivity below  $T_c = 26$  K in LaO<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub>FeAs [1] marked the beginning of a new era, that of iron-based compounds, which could be tested against the long-known cuprates. In both cases the doping of charges into the FeAs or CuO<sub>2</sub> layers, respectively, plays a key role for the appearance of superconductivity. The similar dependence of  $T_c$  on carrier doping is reflected in the superconducting domes of Fe-based materials, qualitatively similar to those of many unconventional superconductors.

Generally, in the phase diagrams of all the Ln1111 family members (Ln being a lanthanoid) the static magnetism of the parent compounds is suppressed by carrier doping (or pressure) in favour of the superconducting state. Depending on the rare earth Ln, the way how this suppression effectively occurs gives rise to different types of phase transitions. In the case of La, an abrupt transition from the magnetic (M) to the superconducting (SC) phase takes place [2]. On the other hand, an M-SC coexistence in the form of a mesoscopic phase separation was found in F-doped La-1111 samples with x = 0.06 at ambient-[3] and with x = 0.055 under hydrostatic pressure [4]. Similarly, for a whole series of other rare-earth metals (as, e.g., Ln=Ce [5], Sm [6], Nd [7]) the transition is of a second-order type, with the M and SC phases nanoscopically coexisting over a finite range of F doping. This picture changes slightly in case of substitutions in the FeAs planes: here the nanoscopic coexistence of reentrant magnetism with SC has been found in Ln1111 (Ln=La, Nd, Sm) [8, 9] and in Ce1111 [10] for Ru and Co substitutions, respectively. Nevertheless, if one considers only substitutions in the LnO planes, it remains unclear what makes La so peculiar, i.e., if the strict separation of the M and SC phases is an intrinsic feature of this compound.

For a long time the low solubility limit of fluorine in 1111 systems (x < 0.15-0.20) has left their over-doped SC region mostly unexplored. A clever way to circumvent this, at first sight unsurmountable, problem was to make use of the high solubility of hydrogen [11]. Although counter-intuitive, neutron powder diffraction and DFT calculations have found that hydrogen not only substitutes oxygen in the LnO layers but, most importantly, that it adopts a -1 charge state. Hence, at all effects H<sup>-</sup> acts as if it were F<sup>-</sup>, while providing access to a very extended doping range [0 < x < 0.5 in (Ce, Sm)FeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub>]. That hydrogen in its H<sup>-</sup> form substitutes the O<sup>2-</sup> and supplies electrons to the FeAs layers, exactly as fluorine does, is confirmed by the very similar  $T_c$  values found in the fluorine- and hydrogen-doped 1111 families in their common doping range. In addition, in case of LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> the extended H-doping range has revealed the presence of a second superconducting dome peaked at x = 0.3 with  $T_c = 36$  K, not accessible via F doping [12]. Very recently, both neutron diffraction and  $\mu$ SR measurements on the same family reported the discovery of a

second antiferromagnetic phase, where iron spins form an antiferromagnetic collinear structure at very high doping level (x > 0.4). Interestingly, this stripe-type magnetic order coexists with superconductivity up to x = 0.45 [13].

The availability of LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> and a comparison with the well-known La-FeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub> family, allowed us to address the initial question: is the abrupt M-SC transition in La-1111 intrinsic to La, or does it depend on other factors? To this aim we studied extensively two H-doped samples [12] with low nominal hydrogen content x(H) = 0.01 and 0.05 by means of dc resistivity, magnetometry and muon-spin spectroscopy ( $\mu$ SR). In the x(H) = 0.01 case we could detect a magnetically ordered phase below about  $T_N = 119$  K. The analysis of  $\mu$ SR data allowed us to establish a full equivalence between the hydrogen and fluorine doping, with our experimental results being in very good agreement with those of an x(F) = 0.03 sample [14]. In the x(H) = 0.05 case, surprisingly, we found a short-range magnetically ordered phase, which extends over the whole sample volume and which coexists with bulk superconductivity. This unexpected result suggests that the presence of a M-SC crossover region could be a common feature for the whole 1111 pnictide family.

# 2. Experimental details

#### 2.1. Sample preparation and characterization

Two polycrystalline LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> samples with nominal H content x(H) = 0.01, 0.05 were synthesized by means of solid-state, high-pressure reaction, using La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, LaAs, LaH<sub>2</sub>, FeAs and Fe<sub>2</sub>As as starting materials, as reported in detail in [15, 12]. The sample x(H) = 0.01 consisted of a single disk-shaped pellet (diameter 5.8 mm, average thickness 1.8 mm), whereas the sample x(H) = 0.05 comprised a mosaic of pellets, whose biggest piece was a flat disk (diameter 5.7 mm, average thickness 1.3 mm). Room-temperature, powder x-ray diffraction measurements using Cu K $\alpha_1$  radiation were employed to assess the phase purity and to determine the structural parameters of the synthesized samples (see table 1). The main impurity consisted of unreacted La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, whose content never exceeded 2% wt. To evaluate the exact hydrogen content in the synthesized samples we carried out thermal desorption spectroscopy measurements, whereas the chemical composition (excluding hydrogen) was determined by a wavelength-dispersive-type electron-probe microanalyzer.

**Table 1.** Lattice parameters and impurity content in LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> as determined via x-ray diffraction.

<i>x</i> (H)	a (Å)	c (Å)	Impurity type	(in wt %)
0.01	4.034	8.733	$La_2O_3$	2
0.05	4.031	8.725	$La_2O_3$	2

#### 2.2. Resistivity and magnetization measurements

The resistivity of LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub> $H_x$  samples was measured by means of a standard fourpoint method, with the temperature dependences  $\rho(T)$  being shown in figure 1. Upon cooling, the sample x(H) = 0.01 shows the typical transport features of underdoped iron-based oxypnictides: a low-temperature resistivity in the m $\Omega$  cm range and an inflection point (arrow in figure 1), defined as the maximum of the first derivative,  $d\rho/dT$ , generally attributed to a spin-density wave (SDW) transition [16]. At very low temperatures (T < 20K) we could detect also a small drop in resistivity. A similar feature has been found also in high-quality LaFeAsO single crystals, where it was ascribed to a change of the magnetic structure of the iron atoms from an antiferromagnetic to a ferromagnetic arrangement along the c axis [17]. The overall temperature behaviour reproduces closely the results reported in [12] for a nominally equivalent sample. In the x = 0.05 case the peak in  $d\rho/dT$  disappears. The resistivity decreases almost linearly down to 75 K, while below this temperature a weak localization takes place, just before the superconducting transition at ca. 10 K, as defined by a zero-resistivity criterion. Both these features are in good agreement with existing experimental data for an x = 0.04 sample [12].



**Figure 1.** Resistivity vs. temperature in LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> for x = 0.01 (a) and x = 0.05 (b). Insets show the maximum in  $d\varrho/dT(T)$  (a) and the drop in  $\varrho(T)$  near  $T_c$  (b).

To characterize in more detail the superconducting state of the x = 0.05 sample, we carried out dc magnetization measurements by means of a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (Quantum Design). The susceptibility vs. temperature curves were measured from 2 to 20 K at  $\mu_0 H = 1$  mT, both in zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and in field-cooled (FC) conditions. In addition, dc isothermal magnetization measurements were performed at selected temperatures. The experimental results shown in figure 2 can be summarized as follows: *i*) the shielding fraction as inferred from the ZFC susceptibility data shown in figure 2(a) (after subtracting a ferromagnetic offset) provides an apparent shielding fraction of about 8%. This value, however, can be considered only as a lower bound for the superconducting volume fraction, since the attainment of the percolation threshold (i.e., zero resistivity below  $T_c$ ) indicates by itself that the superconducting phase occupies at least 20–30% of the sample volume [18].

*ii*) the isothermal magnetization curves at 5, 30 and 300 K indicate the presence of ferromagnetic impurities that mask the intrinsic diamagnetic response of the sample. In particular, the first-magnetization curve at 5 K does not show a diamagnetic (i.e., superconducting) slope [see inset in figure 2(b)]. Instead, its initially constant behaviour results from the interplay of two opposite contributions, namely, a diamagnetic contribution from the superconducting phase and a ferromagnetic one from the above mentioned impurities.

Besides the extrinsinc effects of diluted ferromagnetic impurities, these experimental results resemble closely those regarding ruthenocuprates (see, e.g., [19, 20] and references therein), where superconductivity develops well within a magnetically ordered phase. Also in our case, we found a low-*T* magnetic order in the FeAs planes (detected via  $\mu$ SR measurements — see section 3), which coexists with a superconducting phase. Typical internal magnetic fields in the SDW state of pnictides are about 20–40 mT [6, 7, 21], i.e., significantly higher than  $H_{c1}$ , the first critical SC field ( $\mu_0 H_{c1} \sim 6 \text{ mT}$  at 1.8 K in LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub> polycrystalline samples) [22]. In these conditions, a spontaneous vortex phase can easily develop, hence preventing any meaningful ZFC measurements at temperatures below the onset of the magnetic order.



**Figure 2.** Magnetic characterization of the x = 0.05 sample: (a) zero-field cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) dc susceptibility at 1 mT; (b) dc magnetization at 5, 30, and 300 K. The inset shows the low-field region of the 5-K data. Both measurements were performed on a disk-shaped sample whose flat surface was parallel to the applied field.

#### 2.3. Muon-spin spectroscopy

The muon-spin spectroscopy measurements were carried out at the GPS instrument of the S $\mu$ S facility at the Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland. We performed both ZF, LF and TF experiments. By means of the former one can detect spontaneous magnetic order, as well as distinguish between short- and long-range order [5, 23]. The latter, instead, is typically used to distinguish between static and dynamic magnetism (on the  $\mu$ SR timescale) [24, 23]. TF measurements were performed only on the x = 0.05 sample to study its superconducting properties.

In all our experiments on both samples the relatively large sample thickness (about 1.5 mm) and the use of the veto counter, which ensures that the signal is acquired only from muons stopped in the sample, both contributed to significantly improve the signal-to-noise ratio. Below we summarize the main experimental results for the x = 0.01 and 0.05 samples.



**Figure 3.** ZF- $\mu$ SR short-time spectra in LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> for x = 0.01 at selected temperatures. The highly damped oscillations observed at most temperatures are due to a superposition of different muon precession frequencies.

2.3.1.  $\mu$ SR in the x(H) = 0.01 case: Figure 3 shows a selection of ZF-spectra for the x = 0.01 sample. The most prominent feature is the presence of highly damped oscillations, indicative of several different precession frequencies, reflecting the different local fields probed by the implanted muons. To distinguish these contributions we fitted the time-dependent  $\mu$ SR asymmetries with the following model:

$$\frac{A^{\rm ZF}(t)}{A_{\rm tot}^{\rm ZF}(0)} = \left[1 - V_M(T)\right] g(t) + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \cdot \left[a_{T_i} f_i(\gamma_\mu B_\mu t) D_{T_i}(t) + a_{L_i} D_{L_i}(t)\right],$$
(1)

where  $A_{\text{tot}}^{\text{ZF}}(0)$  is the high-temperature value of the initial asymmetry,  $V_M$  is the magnetic volume fraction, g(t) the time-dependent relaxation in the paramagnetic state, and  $\gamma_{\mu} = 2\pi \times 135.53 \text{ MHz/T}$  the muon gyromagnetic ratio. In the magnetically ordered state a nonzero  $V_M$  fraction of muons probes a local magnetic field  $B_{\mu}$  at the implantation site i;  $a_{T_i}$  and  $a_{L_i}$  in equation (1) refer to muons probing local magnetic fields in the transverse (T) or longitudinal (L) directions with respect to the initial spin polarization. The coherent precession of muons is taken into account by the f(t) function, whereas  $D_{T_i}(t)$  and  $D_{L_i}(t)$  represent how this precession is damped. The former decay reflects the static distribution of local magnetic fields, whereas the latter is due to dynamical relaxation processes. Finally, the sum over i generalizes equation (1) to the case of several inequivalent crystallographic implantation sites, whose populations  $w_i$  satisfy the normalization condition  $\sum_i^N w_i = 1$ .



**Figure 4.** Temperature dependence of the internal magnetic fields  $B^i_{\mu}$ , their respective transverse Gaussian relaxation widths  $\sigma^i_{\mu}$  and the longitudinal relaxation rates  $\lambda_L$ . The dashed lines are guides for the eyes.

In the specific case of x = 0.01 sample we find that (a) the high-temperature paramagnetic phase is best described by means of an exponential relaxation function  $g(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ , which suggests the presence of fast fluctuating nuclear magnetic moments. (b) In the magnetically ordered phase ( $T < T_N$ ) three distinct precession frequencies can reproduce the time-dependent asymmetry. The considerable damping of the time-dependent asymmetry signal cannot be fully taken into account by a heavily damped cosines function. For this reason the  $f_i(t)$  (i=1,2,3) term was identified with a zeroth-order Bessel function. We recall that, the Bessel function is generally the fingerprint of incommensurate long-range magnetic order[25, 23]. The respective dampings,  $D_{T_i}(t)$ , were found to be of a Gaussian type. Finally, it was not possible to distinguish three different longitudinal relaxation rates. Therefore, these components were merged into a single, slowly-relaxing Lorentzian component. Figure 4 and table 2 report all the parameters resulting from fits of the time-dependent  $\mu$ SR asymmetry by means of equation (1).

**Table 2.** Magnetic properties of the LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> samples for x = 0.01 and 0.05, as determined from  $\mu$ SR and dc magnetometry measurements. Field distribution widths were calculated as  $\sigma_{T_i}/\gamma_{\mu}$  and  $\lambda_{T_i}/\gamma_{\mu}$  for Gaussian and Lorentzian distributions, respectively. The values reported for  $B_{\mu i}$  and  $\Delta B_{\mu i}$  have been taken at 50 K and 5 K for x=0.01 and x=0.05 samples, respectively.

<i>x</i> (H)	$T_c$	$T_{ m N}$ (K)	$\Delta T_{ m N}$ (K)	$B_{\mu 1}$ (mT)	$\Delta B_{\mu 1}$ (mT)	$B_{\mu 2}$ (mT)	$\Delta B_{\mu 2}$ (mT)	$B_{\mu3}$ (mT)	$\Delta B_{\mu 3}$ (mT)
0.01	-	119.3(7)	6(1)	135(2)	17(2)	96.6(3)	18(4)	14.0(2)	4(1)
0.05	10	38.0(6)	6.3(9)	_	22(1)	_	4.2(6)	_	_

Figure 5 instead shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic volume fraction. By fitting the latter with an error-function-like model,  $1 - erf[(T - T_N)/(\sqrt{2}T_N)]$ , superposed to a linear component (to account for a gradual saturation with decreasing temperature), one can deduce the average Néel temperature. In the x = 0.01 case, the magnetic volume fraction grows sharply up to 85% at 100 K and then reaches gradually the 100% value for T < 15 K. Such behaviour could be ascribed to the presence of small regions (less than 10–15% of the sample volume) with slightly higher hydrogen content, as suggested also by resistivity measurement (see section 2.2). The results of the fits are summarized in table 2.

2.3.2.  $\mu$ SR in the x(H) = 0.05 case: The most representative ZF-spectra for the x = 0.05 case are plotted in figure 6. Two main features are evident: a rather large relaxation present also at high temperatures, and a significantly damped signal with no coherent precessions below about 60 K. In this case, the time-dependent asymmetry was still fitted by means of equation (1), but with some differences with respect to the choices adopted for the sample x = 0.01:

(*i*) In the high-temperature paramagnetic phase, the g(t) term is best described by a Lorentzian Kubo-Toyabe (KT) model, more suitable for fitting large relaxation rates due to homogeneously diluted ferromagnetic impurities (*vide infra*).

(*ii*) A very strong damping below ca. 60 K indicates the onset of a magnetic order. Since the time-dependent asymmetry does not oscillate, the product  $f_i(t)D_{T_i}(t)$  was modelled with a decaying exponential.



**Figure 5.** Temperature dependence of the magnetic volume fraction for the samples x = 0.01 and 0.05. The continuous lines represent numerical fits using the *erf* model function.



**Figure 6.** ZF- $\mu$ SR short-time spectra in LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>H<sub>x</sub> for x = 0.05 at selected temperatures. The significant increase of damping below ca. 60 K suggests the onset of a magnetically ordered phase.

Only two distinct transverse components could be detected, whereas it was not possible to distinguish those corresponding to the longitudinal relaxation. As before, such components were merged into a single slowly-decaying Lorentzian exponential. The parameters resulting from the fits using equation (1) are summarized in figure 7 and table 2. Figure 5 reports again the temperature dependence of the magnetic volume fraction. Also in this case, the average magnetic ordering temperature was deduced by a fit with an error-function-like model (see table 2). Differently from the x = 0.01 case, the x = 0.05 sample shows a very broad magnetic transition and seems



**Figure 7.** Temperature dependence of the transverse Lorentzian relaxation rates  $\lambda_{T_i}$  (i = 1, 2) and the longitudinal relaxation rate  $\lambda_L$  for the x=0.05 sample. The continuous lines are guides for the eyes.

to fully order only at the lowest temperature. Moreover, no additional linear terms were necessary for the fit.

#### 3. Discussion

#### 3.1. Low vs. intermediate H doping

x=0.01 sample: Normally, the signature of a magnetically ordered phase in ZF- $\mu$ SR experiments is an oscillating signal representing the fingerprint of a coherent muonspin precession around the local field at the implantation site. This is the case for all the parent compounds (x = 0) of the Ln-1111 iron pnictides, as well as for our lightly-doped x = 0.01 sample (see figure 4). As in the case of Ce-1111 family [5], even tiny amount of doping contents are sufficient for changing the commensurate AF order of the parent compound into an incommensurate one, as suggested by the Bessel best fit of the time dependent-asymmetry [25, 23]. Differently from the parent compounds, where two distinct frequencies, reflecting muons implanted in the FeAs and LaO planes, were predicted [26] and found experimentally [26, 2], in our case we detect three different precessions at ca. 145, 68, and 15 mT (corresponding to 18.3, 13.1 and 1.9 MHz) with relative weights  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ , and  $w_3$  of 0.69, 0.20, and 0.11, respectively (see table 2). As generally accepted for the Ln-1111 family [26], muons implanted in the FeAs planes represent the most populated site. By the same token, our  $B_{\mu 1}$  and  $B_{\mu 3}$  fields are most likely attributed to muons stopping in FeAs and LaO planes, respectively. These assumption are in very good agreement with results from a 3% fluorine-doped LaFeAsO sample [14], indicating that 3%-F and 1%-H substitutions correspond to similar effective doping levels. This analogy is further reinforced by

considering that the Néel temperature of the x(H) = 0.01 sample, as resulting from fits of the *T*-dependence of the magnetic volume fraction, fully agrees with the one of the fluorine-doped sample [14]. At the same time, the presence of third frequency  $(B_{\mu 2})$ , surviving down to almost  $T_N$ , is somehow unexpected. In fact, contrary to theoretical predictions [26], this seems to imply a third muon implantation site. Most likely, local-doping inhomogeneities might reduce the probed field at the standard muon implantation sites and significantly broaden magnetic transitions, as confirmed by a 10-15% fraction of sample volume whose magnetization never saturates below  $T_N$ , giving rise to a rather unusual linear increase in the magnetic volume fraction with decreasing temperature (see figure 5). In conclusion, given the similar behaviour of the x(H) = 0.01 and x(F) = 0.03 systems (the latter being a nominal content), it seems that the O-H substitution dopes the Ln-1111 system more effectively with electrons.

x=0.05 sample: At intermediate dopings a short-range magnetic order, evidenced by a fast-decaying non-oscillating asymmetry signal, is usually found either in F-doped [6, 5], or in Fe-substituted samples (e.g., Fe-Ru substitution [27, 9]). This seems to be the case also for the x = 0.05 sample, whose  $\mu$ SR relaxation data reported in figure 6 belong to two distinct temperature regimes: below and above  $T_N$ , respectively. In the low-*T* regime, the short-range magnetism implies a fast dephasing of muon spins, resulting in a sharp drop of the asymmetry. The large dephasing is due to local fields with widths  $\Delta B_{\mu} = \lambda_{T_i}/\gamma_{\mu}$  of ca. 22 and 4 mT at the lowest temperature. Since the relative weights are  $w_1 = 0.74$  and  $w_2 = 0.24$ , their ratio recalls those generally accepted for the muon populations implanted in the FeAs and LnO planes of 1111 family.

To verify the static nature (on the  $\mu$ SR timescale) of the local fields we performed a longitudinal-field (LF) spin-decoupling experiment at 5 K. An external magnetic field



**Figure 8.** Longitudinal-field  $\mu$ SR asymmetry data at T = 5 K for the x = 0.05 sample. Solid lines represent fits using the particular model adopted for  $T < T_N$  (see text for details).

of increasing magnitude  $B_{\parallel}$  is applied along the initial muon-spin direction. As long as  $B_{\parallel}$  is lower than or of the same order of the internal static fields, it has a negligible influence on the muon polarization. However, when  $B_{\parallel}$  exceeds the typical internal field value, it "quenches" the muon spins along the field direction and determines the full recovery of the longitudinal fraction. This is not the case, for strongly fluctuating internal fields, where the effect of the external field is barely noticeable. Figure 8 shows the time-dependent asymmetry at different applied longitudinal fields. Clearly, an applied field above ca. 50 mT is sufficient to fully recover the total muonspin polarization. This is confirmed by the results shown in figure 9, where the initial longitudinal asymmetry  $a_L$  and the transverse relaxation rates  $\lambda_T$  are plotted as a function of  $B_{\parallel}$ . The saturation of the former and the disappearance of the latter unambiguously confirm the static nature of the internal fields in the low-T, magnetically-ordered phase of the x = 0.05 sample.



**Figure 9.** Initial longitudinal fraction vs. applied field at T = 5 K for the x = 0.05 sample. Inset: field dependence of the transverse relaxation rates. The continuous lines are guides for the eyes.

Above  $T_N$  the asymmetry behaviour does not depend on temperature and its time evolution is well described by a Lorentzian Kubo-Toyabe model, generally indicative of the presence of diluted ferromagnetic impurities [28]. To confirm this hypothesis, another series of LF decoupling experiments was carried out at T = 240 K. Figure 10 shows the time-dependent asymmetry at different applied fields, with all the data sets fitted using the above-mentioned field-dependent model. The prompt recovery of the full asymmetry in a relatively small applied field (50 mT) confirms the above picture. A posteriori, this result justifies also the macroscopic magnetization behaviour as evidenced by dc-magnetization measurements (see section 2.2).



**Figure 10.** LF- $\mu$ SR time-domain spectra measured at T = 240 K in the x = 0.05 sample. The continuous lines represent numerical fits with a Lorentzian Kubo-Toyabe model.

#### 3.2. Flux pinning and superconducting volume fraction

Transport and dc susceptibility measurements show that the x = 0.05 sample is a superconductor with  $T_c = 10$  K (see table 2) and a superconducting volume fraction  $V_{SC}$  of at least 20–30%. However, the estimate of the true  $V_{SC}$  from macroscopic data is notoriously difficult in complex systems having a magnetically ordered phase [19] with  $T_N > T_c$  and, occasionally, also diluted magnetic impurities. In our case, things are even more complicated, since  $H_{c1}$  is lower than the internal magnetic field due both to the ordered Fe<sup>2+</sup> moments in the SDW phase and to the remanent magnetization from the diluted ferromagnetic impurities.

In such a situation even a conventional microscopic approach would still be faced with difficulties. Nevertheless, by the use of the so-called "TF- $\mu$ SR pinning technique" [29], we could overcome the difficulties related to the  $V_{SC}$  determination, and find truly bulk superconductivity in the x = 0.05 sample. TF- $\mu$ SR measurements were carried out by applying a magnetic field perpendicular to the muon momentum  $p_{\mu}$ . In this geometry, the *flux pinning* experiment consisted in field-cooling the sample in an external field  $B_{\text{ext}} = 100 \text{ mT}$  then, while keeping the temperature fixed at 5 K (i.e., below  $T_c$ ), in subsequently increasing the field by  $\sim 25\%$  to 125 mT. This approach allowed us to study the SC vortex lattice by observing the changes in the respective  $\mu$ SR spectra.

To understand the outcome of the pinning experiment, it is useful to consider first what happens well above  $T_N$ . Figure 11(a) displays the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the muon asymmetry data at 240 K in a 50 mT TF configuration. The amplitude of the real-FFT signal is proportional to the local-field distribution P(B), which in our case is characterized by a main Lorentzian peak, shifted by about -4.3 mT, and a small shoulder, centered at the applied-field value. This picture is confirmed by a fit of the



**Figure 11.** Normalized real-FFT amplitude vs.  $(B_{\mu} - B_{\text{ext}})$  for four TF- $\mu$ SR measurements at different temperatures and applied fields. (a) TF data at T = 240 K and  $B_{\text{ext}} = 50$  mT (full circles). Continuous magenta lines represent two distinct Lorentzian fit components, with the grey curve being their sum. (b) TF data at 15 K and 100 mT (black line), at 5 K and 100 mT (red line), and at 5 K and 125 mT (blue line). The hatched region represents the effects of pinning on the field distribution of the SC vortex lattice once the applied field is raised to 125 mT (with T = 5 K being kept fixed). The vertical dashed line indicates the unshifted normal-state component. See text for details.

time-dependent asymmetry with the model:

$$\frac{A^{\rm TF}(t)}{A_{\rm tot}^{\rm TF}(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i \, \cos(\gamma_\mu B^i_\mu t) \, \exp\left(-\lambda_i t\right). \tag{2}$$

Only two components (N = 2) were required for the fit, of which one at zero shift ( $B_{\mu} - B_{\text{ext}} = 0$ ) and with a relative weight of 3%, in good agreement with x-ray diffraction results, that indicate the presence of 2% of non-magnetic La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (see table 1). The absence of other fit components not only confirms that the sample is in a single phase, but also that the ferromagnetic impurities can be considered as homogeneously diluted nanoscopic inclusions, whose amount is below the detection threshold of x-ray diffraction. The unexpected diamagnetic shift of the main component [see figure 11(a)], can be accounted for by considering the local magnetic field as seen by the implanted muons:

$$B_{\mu} = B_{\text{ext}} + B_{\text{demag}} + B_{\text{L}} + B_{\text{dip}} + B_{\text{hf}}.$$
(3)

The ferromagnetic impurities can be considered as homogeneously diluted magnetic moments mostly aligned along  $B_{\text{ext}}$ . In this highly symmetric condition the sum of the dipolar contributions  $B_{\text{dip}}$  from the impurities within the sphere of Lorentz



**Figure 12.** Short-time TF asymmetry data at 15 and 5 K under  $B_{\text{ext}} = 100 \text{ mT}$ . The continuous lines represent two-component fits by means of equation (2).

construction should be negligible. Moreover, in a first instance, we neglect the hyperfine field  $B_{\rm hf}$ , as well (mostly because of the significant distance between an implanted muon and an impurity). We can, hence, focus on the two non-negligible terms: the demagnetizing field  $B_{\rm demag} = -N_{\parallel} \mu_0 M_{\parallel} \ddagger$  and  $B_{\rm L} = (1/3) \mu_0 M_{\parallel}$ , the Lorentz counter-sphere contribution. The magnetization  $M_{\parallel}$  was measured at 240 K by dc magnetometry on the same disk-shaped sample§ of figure 2, with the applied field in the same geometry of the TF- $\mu$ SR experiment. For this configuration the demagnetization factor was estimated to be  $N_{\parallel} \approx 0.9$ . Therefore, from the experimental value  $\mu_0 M_{\parallel}(240 \,\mathrm{K}, 50 \,\mathrm{mT}) = 7.3 \,\mathrm{mT}$ , we could estimate a lower bound for the expected shift  $B_{\mu} - B_{\rm ext} = B_{\rm demag} + B_{\rm L} \approx -4.2 \,\mathrm{mT}$ , in excellent agreement with -4.3 mT, the diamagnetic shift value obtained from FFT data (see figure 11(a) and table 3).

We can now consider the experimental results of the *flux-pinning* experiment. Table 3 reports the diamagnetic shift expected at low temperature, highlighting the good agreement between the measured and calculated values. Figure 11(b) shows the

‡ In TF- $\mu$ SR the applied field was orthogonal to the sample surface, i.e., parallel to the disk axis of symmetry. For this reason, by following the literature [30, 31, 32], we refer to the demagnetizing factor as  $N_{\parallel}$ .

§ Diameter and thickness equal to 5.68 mm and 1.26 mm, respectively. This was the main piece which composed the mosaic sample used for the  $\mu$ SR measurements.

 $\parallel$  Firstly we estimated  $N_{\parallel} \approx 0.79$  by approximating the sample to an oblate spheroid [30, 31]. Yet, this value can be as high as 0.85, since the oblate spheroid represents only a lower-bound approximation for a disk-shaped sample [32]. Since the sample itself, in any case, is not a regular geometric body, the ultimate  $N_{\rm demag}$  value can only be determined experimentally. This was done by measuring the sample's magnetic response in a parallel- and in a perpendicular-field geometry. Since the values  $N_{\parallel} \approx 0.9$  and  $N_{\perp} \approx 0.05$  provide the same intrinsic susceptibility they were chosen as our best estimates for  $N_{\rm demag}$ .

initial local-field distribution P(B) at T = 15 K and  $B_{\text{ext}} = 100$  mT (black line).¶ The position of the secondary component (identified with La<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) remains unchanged with respect to the high-temperature data (i.e., it follows closely the applied field), whereas most of the sample lags behind and shows a diamagnetic shift with respect to the high-T data, reflecting an increase in bulk magnetization (see table 3). Since at an applied field  $B_{\text{ext}} = 100$  mT the AF ordering is fully quenched (see figure 9), no other significant effects are expected.

Once the sample is field-cooled below  $T_c$ , to 5 K, the width of the main component (red line) increases mostly on the right side of the main peak as expected in case of a vortex lattice field modulation that generally induces an asymmetric modification of the local field profile [23]. Indeed, at low temperatures the depolarization rate grows significantly up to  $6.5 \,\mu s^{-1}$  (see figure 12 and table 3). These effects reflect the build up of a flux-line lattice in the SC state that further modulates the local field at the muon site.

If the SC volume fraction were as low as the minimal value required by the percolation-threshold criterion, we would expect two possible scenarios: (*i*) a third precessing component with a decay rate lower than  $\sim 1 \mu s^{-1}$ , as reported for underdoped LaFeAsO<sub>1-x</sub>F<sub>x</sub> superconducting samples [33]; (*ii*) no variations in the local field profile *P*(*B*), because the considerable depolarization rate measured at 15 K (5.5  $\mu s^{-1}$ ) could, in principle, fully mask other slow-decaying components. To our surprise, fortunately neither case was verified: we measured a sizeable increase of the transverse depolarization rate and the fit of the time-dependent asymmetry data at 5 K still required only two components (see figure 12), one of which with a relative weight of 2.4%, previously ascribed to a non-magnetic impurity phase. Therefore, since the contribution from the diluted ferromagnetic impurities is constant at a constant applied field, an increase in depolarization rate of the main component below  $T_c$  can only be associated to a superconducting phase whose vortex lattice affects the *entire sample volume*.

Finally, while keeping the sample at 5 K,  $B_{\text{ext}}$  was raised to 125 mT. As expected, the small peak due to the minority normal phase follows closely the field change [i.e., it remains unshifted on the relative-field scale of figure 11(b)]. At the same time, the main peak, related to the SC phase, shows two important features: (*i*) the measured diamagnetic shift  $B_{\mu} - B_{\text{ext}}$  increases due to an increase in bulk magnetization; (*ii*) the depolarization rate is further enhanced, as evidenced by the hatched region on the left side of the main peak in figure 11(b). Yet, the time-domain asymmetry data can still be fully taken into account by only two components, one of which with a marginal weight of 2% (see table 3). The presence of the hatched region cannot be exclusively ascribed to the diluted ferromagnetic impurities: if this were the case it would give

<sup>¶</sup> In a standard *flux-pinning* experiment one usually plots P(B) vs.  $B_{\mu}$ , a choice which leaves *unchanged* the field distribution profile in case of small increases of the applied field (see, e.g., figure 5 in [29]). In our case, by choosing  $B_{\mu} - B_{\text{ext}}$  as the *x*-axis, the field profile shows an *apparent* left shift when the field is increased [hatched area in figure 11(b)].

**Table 3.** Summary of the main fit parameters as resulting from fits of TF- $\mu$ SR data with equation (2). The i = 1, 2 subscripts represent the main and minority phase components, respectively. The expected internal-field shift, as calculated by means of equation (3) from the measured dc magnetization  $\mu_0 M_{\parallel}$ , is reported as well. See text for details.

<i>T</i> (K)	$B_{\mathrm{ext}}$ (mT)	$\lambda_1 \ (\mu s^{-1})$	$a_2$ (in wt %)	$[B_{\mu} - B_{\mathrm{ext}}]_{\mathrm{exp}}$ (mT)	$[B_{\mu} - B_{\mathrm{ext}}]_{\mathrm{calc}}$ (mT)	$\mu_0 M_\parallel$ (mT)
240	50	2.56(5)	2.9(8)	-4.3(2)	-4.2	7.34(1)
15	100	5.5(3)	2.4(5)	-7.4(4)	-6.4	11.29(3)
5	100	6.5(2)	2.4(4)	-7.5(3)	-6.1	10.77(2)
5	125	7.6(3)	1.8(4)	-7.7(5)	-6.7	11.90(1)

rise to a symmetric peak. Evidently, since the experiments show an asymmetric shape, we conclude that the latter reflects strong pinning effects.

In conclusion, the pinning experiment strongly suggests that the superconducting state *involves almost the whole sample volume*, despite this phase being masked in the ZFC susceptibility data [see figure 2(a)] due to the simultaneous presence of a low-temperature SDW order and of diluted ferromagnetic impurities. Since both the SDW order (at  $T_{\rm N} < 38$  K) and the superconducting state (below  $T_c \sim 10$  K) concern the bulk of the sample, the M-SC coexistence detected below 10 K is most likely *nanoscopic*, as is the case also for Sm-1111 [6], Ce-1111 [21], and Nd-1111 [7]. This result hints at a universal picture for the M-SC phase boundary of Ln-1111 compounds. Figure 13 combines existing litterature data with those arising from the present study. Since the x(F) values for the samples studied in [2] and [14] are nominal, while the x(H) values in our case are measured ones, we can only draw a *tentative* La-1111 phase diagram in the low electron-doping range. Nevertheless, it is important to note that because of the x = 0.05 sample, the magnetic order parameter loses the discontinuity at 0.04, thus *pointing to a more probable second-order-like phase transition*.

# 4. Conclusion

Two hydrogen-doped La-1111 polycrystalline samples [12] with x(H) = 0.01 and 0.05 were extensively studied by means of dc resistivity, dc magnetization and ZF-, TF-, and LF- muon-spin spectroscopy. In the x = 0.01 case our data evidence a long-range SDW order with a Néel temperature  $T_N = 119$  K. The internal fields detected in this phase are in good agreement with previous results on a x(F) = 0.03 sample [14]. This finding suggests a close analogy between the F-O and H-O substitutions in realizing equivalent electron-doping levels in the FeAs planes.

The x(H) = 0.05 sample too displays an AF magnetic order below ca. 38 K but, in addition, it shows also bulk superconductivity below  $T_c = 10$  K. We argue that at low temperature the M and SC phases coexist at a nanoscopic level, in contrast with the abrupt M-SC transition previously found in La-1111 compound [2].



**Figure 13.** Magnetic order parameter represented by the maximum value assumed by  $B_{\mu}$  at the lowest temperature as a function of electron doping (either F or H). Triangles represent field values as determined in [2], the square the value found in [14], while the stars refer to the H-doped samples object of the current study. The continuous and dashed lines represent guides for the eyes in the case of a first order and second order transition respectively. The hatched area represents both the onset of bulk superconductivity and the M-SC crossover, where the nanoscopic M-SC coexistence is realized.

Therefore, it seems that the presence of a *crossover region* is likely a universal feature of the electron-doped Ln-1111 pnictides, which should display *qualitatively similar* rare-earth-indepedent phase diagrams, as confirmed, e.g., by Sm-1111 [6], Ce-1111 [21], and Nd-1111 [7]. Yet, the details, such as the extent of the crossover region, could easily depend on the rare earth involved. Further investigations on La-1111 compounds with x(F) between 4 and 5% could be interesting to definitely understand the peculiar role played by lanthanum in determining the M-SC crossover in the Ln-1111 family.

# Acknowledgments

This work was performed at the Swiss Muon Source  $S\mu$ S, Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI, Switzerland) and was in part supported by the Schweizerische Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung (SNF) and the NCCR research pool MaNEP of SNF. The authors are grateful to A. Amato for the instrumental support. G.L., M.R.C., F.C., and M.P. gratefully acknowledge F. Canepa for the careful reading of the manuscript and his fruithful suggestions. This work was partially supported by FP7-EU project SUPER-IRON (No. 283204) and by MIUR under project PRIN2012X3YFZ2. S.S. acknowledges the financial support from Fondazione Cariplo (research grant no. 2011-0266). The study at Tokyo Tech was supported by JSPS FIRST Program and MEXT Element Strategy Initiative.

- [1] Kamihara Y, Watanabe T, Hirano M and Hosono H 2008 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130(11) 3296–3297
- [2] Luetkens H, Klauss H H, Kraken M, Litterst F J, Dellmann T, Klingeler R, Hess C, Khasanov R, Amato A, Baines C, Kosmala M, Schumann O J, Braden M, Hamann-Borrero J, Leps N, Kondrat A, Behr G, Werner J and Büchner B 2009 Nat. Mater. 8 305–309
- [3] Takeshita S and Kadono R 2009 New J. Phys. 59 035006
- [4] Khasanov R, Sanna S, Prando G, Shermadini Z, Bendele M, Amato A, Carretta P, De Renzi R, Karpinski J, Katrych S, Luetkens H and Zhigadlo N D 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84(10) 100501
- [5] Shiroka T, Lamura G, Sanna S, Prando G, De Renzi R, Tropeano M, Cimberle M R, Martinelli A, Bernini C, Palenzona A, Fittipaldi R, Vecchione A, Carretta P, Siri A S, Ferdeghini C and Putti M 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84 195123
- [6] Sanna S, Renzi R D, Lamura G, Ferdeghini C, Palenzona A, Putti M, Tropeano M and Shiroka T 2009 Phys. Rev. B 80 052503
- [7] Lamura G and et al 2014 Manuscript in preparation
- [8] Sanna S, Carretta P, Bonfà P, Prando G, Allodi G, Renzi R D, Shiroka T, Lamura G, Martinelli A and Putti M 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 227003
- [9] Sanna S, Carretta P, Renzi R D, Prando G, Bonfà P, Mazzani M, Lamura G, Shiroka T, Kobayashi Y and Sato M 2013 Phys. Rev. B 87 134518
- [10] Prando G, Vakaliuk O, Sanna S, Lamura G, Shiroka T, Bonfà P, Carretta P, Renzi R D, Klauss H H, Blum C G F, Wurmehl S, Hess C and Büchner B 2013 Phys. Rev. B 87 174519
- [11] Miyazawa K, Ishida S, Kihou K, Shirage P M, Nakajima M, Lee C H, Kito H, Tomioka Y, Ito T, Eisaki H, Yamashita H, Mukuda H, Tokiwa K, Uchida S and Iyo A 2010 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **96** 072514
- [12] Iimura S, Matuishi S, Sato H, Hanna T, Muraba Y, Kim S W, Kim J E, Takata M and Hosono H 2012 Nat. Commun. 3 943
- [13] Hiraishi M, Iimura S, Kojima K M, Yamaura J, Hiraka H, Ikeda K, Miao P, Ishikawa Y, Torii S, Miyazaki M, Yamauchi I, Koda A, Ishii K, Yoshida M, Mizuki J, Kadono R, Kumai R, Kamiyama T, Otomo T, Murakami Y, Matsuishi S and Hosono H 2014 Nat. Physics 10 300
- [14] Carlo J P, Uemura Y J, Goko T, MacDougall G J, Rodriguez J A, Yu W, Luke G M, Dai P, Shannon N, Miyasaka S, Suzuki S, Tajima S, Chen G F, Hu W Z, Luo J L and Wang N L 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** 087001
- [15] Hanna T, Muraba Y, Matsuishi S, Igawa N, Kodama K, Shamoto S and Hosono H 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84(2) 024521
- [16] Hess C, Kondrat A, Narduzzo A, Hamann-Borrero J E, Klingeler R, Werner J, Behr G and Büchner B 2009 Europhys. Lett. 87 17005
- [17] Jesche A, Nitsche F, Probst S, Doert T, Müller P and Ruck M 2012 Phys. Rev. B 86 134511
- [18] van der Marck S C 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 1514
- [19] Bernhard C, Tallon J L, Brucher E and Kremer R K 2000 *Phys. Rev. B* 61 R14960
- [20] Cimberle M R, Masini R, Ferdeghini C, Artini C and Costa G 2003 Supercond. Sci. Technol. 16 726
- [21] Sanna S, De Renzi R, Shiroka T, Lamura G, Prando G, Carretta P, Putti M, Martinelli A, Cimberle M R, Tropeano M and Palenzona A 2010 *Phys. Rev. B* **82** 060508(R)
- [22] Kohama Y, Kamihara Y, Baily A, Civale L, Riggs S C, Balakirev F F, Atake T, Jaime M, Hirano M and Hosono H 2009 Phys. Rev. B 79 144527
- [23] Yaouanc A and Dalmas de Réotier P 2011 *Muon Spin Rotation, Relaxation, and Resonance: Applications to Condensed Matter* (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [24] Drew A J, Pratt F L, Lancaster T, Blundell S J, Baker P J, Liu R H, Wu G, Chen X H, Watanabe I, Malik V K, Dubroka A, Kim K W, Rossle M and Bernhard C 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 097010
- [25] Savici A T, Fudamoto Y, Gat I M, Ito T, Larkin M I, Uemura Y J, Luke G M, Kojima K M, Lee Y S, Kastner M A, Birgeneau R J and Yamada K 2002 *Phys. Rev. B* **66** 014524 and references therein
- [26] Maeter H, Luetkens H, Pashkevich Y G, Kwadrin A, Khasanov R, Amato A, Gusev A A, Lamonova K V, Chervinskii D A, Klingeler R, Hess C, Behr G, Büchner B and Klauss H H 2009 *Phys. Rev. B*

**80** 094524

- [27] Bonfà P, Carretta P, Sanna S, Lamura G, Prando G, Martinelli A, Palenzona A, Tropeano M, Putti M and De Renzi R 2012 *Phys. Rev. B* **85** 054518
- [28] Sanna S, De Renzi R, Lamura G, Ferdeghini C, Martinelli A, Palenzona A, Putti M, Tropeano M and Shiroka T 2012 J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. 22 585–588
- [29] Bernhard C, Wang C N, Nuccio L, Schulz L, Zaharko O, Larsen J, Aristizabal C, Willis M, Drew A J, Varma G D, Wolf T and Niedermayer C 2012 Phys. Rev. B 86 184509
- [30] Pool C H, Farach H A and Creswick R J 1995 Superconductivity (London: Academic Press)
- [31] Cardwell D A and Ginley D S 2003 Handbook of Superconducting Materials vol 2 (Bristol: IOP Publishing)
- [32] Beleggia M, Graef M D and Millev Y T 2006 J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 39 891
- [33] Luetkens H, Klauss H H, Khasanov R, Amato A, Klingeler R, Hellmann I, Leps N, Kondrat A, Kohler C, Behr G, Werner J and Buchner B 2008 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** 097009