

Giant overlap between the magnetic and superconducting phases of CeAu₂Si₂ under pressure

Z. Ren¹, L. V. Pourovskii^{2,3}, G. Girit¹, G. Lapertot^{4,5}, A. Georges^{2,6,1} and D. Jaccard^{1*}

¹*DPMC - University of Geneva, 24 Quai Ernest-Ansermet, 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland*

²*Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, École Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France*

³*Swedish e-science Research Centre (SeRC), Department of Physics, Chemistry and Biology (IFM), Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden*

⁴*University of Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPSMS, F-38000 Grenoble, France*

⁵*CEA, INAC-SPSMS, F-38000 Grenoble, France and*

⁶*Collège de France, 11 place Marcelin Berthelot, 75005 Paris, France*

(Dated: November 8, 2019)

High pressure provide a powerful means for exploring unconventional superconductivity which appears mostly on the border of magnetism. Here we report the discovery of pressure-induced heavy fermion superconductivity up to 2.5 K in the antiferromagnet CeAu₂Si₂ ($T_N \approx 10$ K). Remarkably, the magnetic and superconducting phases are found to overlap across an unprecedentedly wide pressure interval from 11.8 to 22.3 GPa. Moreover, both the bulk T_c and T_M are strongly enhanced when increasing the pressure from 16.7 to 20.2 GPa. T_c reaches a maximum at a pressure slightly below $p_c \approx 22.5$ GPa, at which magnetic order disappears. Furthermore, the scaling behavior of the resistivity provides evidence for a continuous delocalization of the Ce $4f$ -electrons associated with a critical endpoint lying just above p_c . We show that the maximum T_c of CeAu₂Si₂ actually occurs at almost the same unit-cell volume as that of CeCu₂Si₂ and CeCu₂Ge₂, and when the Kondo and crystal field splitting energies becomes comparable. Dynamical mean-field theory calculations suggest that the peculiar behavior in pressurized CeAu₂Si₂ might be related to its Ce $4f$ -orbital occupancy. Our results not only provide a unique example of the interplay between superconductivity and magnetism, but also underline the role of orbital physics in understanding Ce-based heavy fermion systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconductivity and magnetism were long thought to be antagonistic. In this context, the discovery 22 years ago that high pressure turns a magnetically ordered heavy fermion (HF) compound, namely CeCu₂Ge₂, into a superconductor has attracted much attention in the condensed matter physics community [1]. Since then, high pressure studies of Ce-based HFs have revealed a number of superconductors such as CePd₂Si₂ [2], CeIn₃ [3], CeRhIn₅ [4] and, more recently, CePt₂In₇ [5]. In all known cases, SC emerges in the vicinity of a magnetic-nonmagnetic phase boundary, and most often competes for stability with magnetic order, except in a few examples where both states coexist within a narrow pressure range [6].

CeCu₂Ge₂ is an isostructural sister compound of the first discovered HF superconductor CeCu₂Si₂ [7], which exhibits a second superconducting phase under pressure with a higher T_c [8–12]. Remarkably, CeCu₂Ge₂ shares the same phase diagram as CeCu₂Si₂ when pressurized above ~ 10 GPa where magnetism disappears [9, 13]. Indeed, both compounds feature the existence of connected low- and high-pressure superconducting phases associated with two critical points of different origins. Moreover, the partial substitution of Si by Ge in CeCu₂Si₂ results in the splitting of the initially joined superconducting phases into two distinct domes due to disorder-induced pair breaking [14].

Despite three decades of efforts, the underlying mechanisms for the two superconducting phases are still poorly understood. On one hand, it is widely believed that SC at low pressure is mediated in these systems by critical spin fluctuations [15, 16]. However, this view was very recently challenged by a thermodynamical study which points to multiband SC with a full energy gap in CeCu₂Si₂ at ambient pressure [17]. On the other hand, there is no general consensus that critical valence fluctuations are responsible for high pressure SC [10, 18]. An alternative interpretation is that critical fluctuations stemming from orbital transition provide the glue of the superconducting pairing [19, 20].

In this regard, the exploration of high pressure SC in close relatives of CeCu₂Si₂ is highly desirable. For such investigation the isoelectronic and isostructural compound CeAu₂Si₂ is an excellent candidate. At ambient pressure, CeAu₂Si₂ orders antiferromagnetically below $T_N \approx 10$ K. A previous high-pressure study of a polycrystalline sample, carried out down to 1.2 K, shows that while the magnetic order disappears around 16 GPa, SC does not occur below 19.5 GPa [21].

In this paper, we report on pressure-induced SC in high quality CeAu₂Si₂ single crystals with T_c reaching 2.5 K (observed from high-pressure "multiprobe" (transport and calorimetry) measurements up to 27.4 GPa. Unexpectedly, the resulting pressure-temperature phase diagram reveals a highly unusual interplay of superconductivity with magnetism, and differs markedly from that of all

known Ce-based pressure induced superconductors. In particular, for the first time, both superconductivity and magnetism are enhanced with increasing pressure over a broad pressure region. We present a comparison of the unit-cell volume phase diagram of CeAu_2Si_2 with that of CeCu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2Ge_2 , and discuss the implication of these results on the pairing mechanism. First-principle calculations show the existence of an intermediate state in the pressure dependence of the Ce $4f$ -orbital occupancy for CeAu_2Si_2 , which may be a key ingredient for understanding the peculiar behavior in this compound.

II. METHODS

Single crystals of CeAu_2Si_2 were grown by the "flux" method (see Ref. [22] for guide lines) using Au-Si self flux and Sn flux. The starting materials were Ce (99.99%) from Ames Lab [23], Au (99.999%), Si (99.9999%), and Sn (99.9999%) from Alfa-Aesar. In the self-flux method, elements with a ratio of $\text{Ce}_{0.05}\text{Au}_{0.475}\text{Si}_{0.475}$ were melted in an alumina crucible inside a sealed evacuated quartz ampoule, held at 1120 °C for 6 h, followed by a slow cooling at 1.2 °C/h down to 850 °C. In the Sn-flux method, which is slightly different from that described in Ref. [24], an ingot of Ce: Au: Si = 1:20:3 was pre-synthesized by arc melting under an argon atmosphere, and flipped five times to ensure homogeneity. Big pieces of the crushed ingot were melted with Sn ($\text{CeAu}_{20}\text{Si}_3\text{:Sn} = 1:50$) in an alumina crucible inside a sealed evacuated quartz ampoule, held at 1150 °C for 48 h, followed by a slow cooling at 1 °C/h down to 650 °C. In both cases, crystals, separated from the flux by centrifugation, exhibit well-developed facets and have sizes of up to a few cubic millimeters. Phase impurities were not detected neither by XRD nor by SEM-EDX measurements. The ambient-pressure measurements show that, within the uncertainty of the geometrical factor, the difference between the Sn- and the self-flux samples' resistivity values is almost temperature independent, and their respective residual resistivities are 1.8 and 12.2 $\mu\Omega\text{cm}$.

High pressure experiments were performed in a Bridgman-type sintered diamond anvil pressure-cell using steatite as pressure transmitting medium and lead (Pb) as pressure gauge [25]. A photograph of the actual setup is shown in Fig. S1 of the Supplemental Material [26]. The CeAu_2Si_2 sample, arranged in such a way that the c -axis is parallel to the compressive force, is connected in series with the Pb for four-probe resistivity measurements. The ac-calorimetry measurements and data analysis were carried out according to the method described in Ref. [27]. The chromel wire, which otherwise served as one of the voltage leads, was used as the heater and was thermally excited by an ac current of frequency ω while the sample temperature oscillations were detected by measuring the voltage of the Au/AuFe ther-

mocouple V_{ac} at a frequency of 2ω . The data recorded at frequencies above and well below the cutoff frequency correspond respectively to a signal dominated by the sample contribution, which can be considered to be inversely proportional to the heat capacity, and to a measure of the mean elevation of the sample temperature over that of the bath. Note that the resistance of the chromel wire is almost pressure independent and more than two orders of magnitude larger than that of the sample. Hence the possibility that the observed anomalies are due to the drastic change in heating power can be ruled out. Throughout the experiments, the pressure gradient estimated from the width of the Pb superconducting transition was $\Delta p \leq 0.3$ GPa. After depressurisation, the examination of the pressure chamber showed that the distance between the voltage leads has increased by less than 10%. This, together with the geometrical factor uncertainty as well as the change in the sample volume under pressures, set a 15% error on the absolute resistivity value.

Theoretical calculations were performed based on a combination of electronic structure and dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) methods, which takes into account both the local atomic physics and correlation effects in the Ce f -shell as well as the physical effects of hybridization with conduction electrons (renormalization of crystal-field levels and Kondo screening) [20]. Our implementation of this method is that of Refs. [28] and [29] and uses the Wien2k [30] code and an implementation of the continuous-time quantum Monte-Carlo (CT-QMC) method [31] based on the TRIQS libraries [32] package. The calculations were performed for the body-centered tetragonal ThCr_2Si_2 -type structure at the experimental value of the lattice parameters determined as a function of pressure in Refs. [33–35].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Experimental results of CeAu_2Si_2

The general trend of the electrical resistivity of the investigated CeAu_2Si_2 single-crystals as a function of pressure (p) and temperature (T) (see Fig. S2 of the Supplemental Material [26]) is typical of Ce-based Kondo lattice systems [9]. However, our samples show a thirty times lower residual resistivity ($\sim 1.8 \mu\Omega\text{cm}$ at $p = 0$) than reported previously [21]. The temperature dependence of the magnetic contribution ρ_{mag} to resistivity at representative pressures, shown in Fig. 1a, is obtained by subtracting the phonon contribution approximated as a pressure-independent term $\rho_{\text{ph}} \approx 0.062 \times T$ ($\mu\Omega\text{cm}$) from the raw data. Below room temperature, the ambient pressure curve already unveils two anomalies of weak magnitude. One can discern a maximum at ~ 140 K, as well as the onset of a low-temperature anomaly which is masked by the sharp drop in resistivity




FIG. 1: (a) Logarithmic temperature dependence of the magnetic contribution ρ_{mag} to the in-plane resistivity of CeAu_2Si_2 for typical pressures. The two characteristic maxima T_1^{max} and T_2^{max} at 14.2 GPa are marked by the arrows. The dotted red lines are a guide to the eyes, showing the $-\ln T$ dependence of the resistivity. Note that with increasing pressure, T_1^{max} increases drastically while T_2^{max} remains almost constant, and finally the two maxima merge into a single peak. (b) Comparison of the resistivity ρ and heat capacity C^{ac} for three different pressures at which complete resistive superconducting transitions were observed. A jump in C^{ac} due to SC coincides with the completeness of the resistive transition at 20.2 and 24.5 GPa, but is absent at 15.9 GPa. At 15.9 and 20.2 GPa, the jump in C^{ac} above T_c accompanied by a change in the slope of ρ indicates the magnetic ordering. As an example, two arrows show that at 20.2 GPa the jumps in C^{ac} correspond well to the magnetic transition ($T_M \sim 4.5$ K) and to the completeness of the resistive transition ($T_c^{\rho=0} \sim 2.2$ K).

ascribed to the magnetic ordering below $T_N \approx 10$ K. At an intermediate pressure of 14.2 GPa, these anomalies have grown markedly and $\rho_{\text{mag}}(T)$ exhibits two characteristic maxima at temperatures $T_1^{\text{max}} \approx 8$ K and $T_2^{\text{max}} \approx 137$ K. Above each of these maxima, $\rho_{\text{mag}}(T)$ follows specific $-\ln T$ dependencies, which reflect the incoherent Kondo scattering of the ground state and excited crystal-field levels, respectively [36]. When increasing pressure up to 20.2 GPa, the Kondo scattering keeps increasing. The temperature T_2^{max} remains almost unchanged, while T_1^{max} has by now started its rise. At the highest measured pressure of 27.4 GPa, the two maxima have already merged. The contribution at T_1^{max} dominates in such a way that a single peak is observed at ~ 200 K. Connected to this behavior, the $-\ln T$ resistivity slope becomes steeper with increasing pressure, which is interpreted as resulting from a dramatic rise in Kondo temperature of two and a half orders of magnitude over the investigated pressure range [36].

For the first time, signatures of both superconducting and magnetic transitions were observed in resistivity and heat capacity measurements of CeAu_2Si_2 , as exemplified in Fig. 1b (see also Fig. S3 of the Supplemental Material

[26]). It can be seen that at 20.2 GPa, the completeness of the resistive superconducting transition around 2.2 K coincides with a jump ($\sim 20\%$ of the total signal) in $C^{\text{ac}}(T)$, indicating bulk SC. Such an anomaly due to SC is detected at pressures as high as 24.5 GPa. By contrast, at 15.9 GPa, despite the sharp and complete resistive transition, no corresponding anomaly is detected in $C^{\text{ac}}(T)$, indicating that SC is likely filamentary or textured [37]. It is worth noting that at 15.9 and 20.2 GPa, the jump in heat capacity together with the change of slope in resistivity (distinctively above T_c), are evidence of the persistence of a magnetic ordering, presumably of antiferromagnetic nature.

The pressure dependencies of both the magnetic ordering and the superconducting transition temperatures T_M and T_c define the phase diagram shown in Fig. 2a, which reveals several remarkable new features. Even though the magnetism persists up to ~ 22 GPa, SC is found over the very broad pressure range 11.8 – 26.5 GPa, resulting in a giant overlap of the two phases. At low pressure T_M first displays a linear in- T decrease due to the increase of the Kondo coupling, in agreement with previous data [21], but at higher pressures T_M shows an atypical nonmonotonic dependence, whose anomalies are clearly connected to SC. Indeed, the emergence of filamentary and bulk SC each correspond to a strengthening of magnetism, which manifests itself in the T_M evolution by a flattening and a cusp, respectively. These anomalies may signal the formation of new magnetic phases [38]. In the pressure interval 11.8–15.9 GPa, where T_c onset increases while T_M decreases, SC appears to compete with magnetism as usually observed [6]. However, at higher pressures up to 20.2 GPa, there is a dramatic rise of the T_M from ~ 2.5 to ~ 4.5 K. Remarkably, this rise corresponds to a reduction of the heat capacity anomaly. Moreover, in the same pressure range, the bulk T_c also increases strongly (by a similar factor) from ~ 1.4 to ~ 2.3 K while the width of the resistive transition remains narrow ($\Delta T_c < 0.3$ K). Consequently, it is unlikely that SC and magnetic order originate from separated phases. Such a simultaneous enhancement of both T_M and T_c over a broad pressure range has never been reported in any other Ce-based pressure-induced superconductors.

With increasing pressure above 21.6 GPa, T_M decreases rapidly and drops to zero between 22.3 and 22.8 GPa (evident from the resistivity derivative in Fig. S4 of the Supplemental Material [26]), indicating a magnetic quantum critical point (QCP) at $p_c = 22.5 \pm 0.3$ GPa. Correspondingly, the fitting of the power law $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$ to the resistivity data above T_c , where ρ_0 is the residual resistivity, yield a maximum A coefficient and a minimum exponent ($n \approx 1.5$) at p_c , both of them being standard signatures of a QCP (see Inset Fig.2a). Note that the uncertainty in p_c is comparable to the pressure gradient inside the pressure chamber, and thus the phase transition could be weakly first order, which raises ques-




FIG. 2: (a) Experimental p - T phase diagram of CeAu₂Si₂. T_c^{onset} and T_M represent the superconducting transition onset and the magnetic ordering temperatures, respectively. The open (closed) symbols denote the data extracted from only the resistivity measurements (from both resistivity and heat capacity measurements). The data from Ref. [21] are also included for comparison, and a good agreement is found. The two arrows mark the anomalies in the $T_M(p)$ curve at ~ 11 and ~ 16 GPa, in accordance with the emergence of filamentary and bulk SC. The inset shows the fitting parameters of the power law $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + AT^n$ to the resistivity data above T_c plotted as a function of pressure. The A coefficient reaches a maximum and the temperature exponent n exhibits a minimum at $p_c \approx 22.5$ GPa, where the magnetic order disappears. (b) Plot of ρ versus p at 5 K. The data exhibit one peak at ~ 17 GPa (onset of bulk SC) and a shoulder at $\sim p_c$. (c) Plot of $\rho^* = \rho - \rho_0$ versus p at selected temperatures up to 30 K. The solid circles indicate for each isotherm the 50% drop of ρ^* compared to its value at 22.8 GPa. The inset shows the collapse of all normalized data ρ_{norm} when plotted against the generalized distance h/θ from the critical end point located at $p^* \approx 23.6$ GPa and $T_{\text{cr}} = -14$ K (see text for details). The two critical pressures p_c and p^* are indicated by labeled arrows.

tions about the quantum nature of p_c . On the other hand, at pressures below 22 GPa, the power law analysis is not pertinent due to the strong magnetic contribution to the resistivity below T_M . In order to highlight the ground state excitations independently of magnetic ordering, we plot the isothermal resistivity at 5 K, *i.e.* just

above T_M for $p > 7$ GPa, versus pressure, as shown in Fig. 2b. Clearly the resistivity at 5 K shows a broad peak of high magnitude at around 17 GPa, which roughly coincides with the local minimum in T_M and the onset of bulk SC. This observation supports the existence of a putative QCP around the pressure at which the maximum scattering rate occurs. At p_c , only a weak anomaly is seen whose magnitude is smaller than the term $AT^{1.5}$ (with $T = 5$ K), as expected.

Slightly below p_c , T_c reaches a maximum of ~ 2.5 K, which is among the highest value reported to date for Ce-based HF superconductors. In order to further characterize the superconducting state, we have measured the resistive transition at 22.3 GPa under various magnetic fields (B) applied along the crystal's c -axis (see Fig. S5 of the Supplemental Material [26]). The results show a very large initial slope of the upper critical field $(dB_{c2}/dT)_{T_c} = -7.1$ T/K, and given that $|dB_{c2}/dT|_{T_c} \propto m^*T_c$ [39], a very large effective mass $m^* \sim 110m_0$ (m_0 is the free electron mass) is obtained, confirming heavy fermion SC. Furthermore, using the extrapolated upper critical field at zero temperature $B_{c2}(0) \sim 9.2$ T, the Ginzburg-Landau (GL) coherence length ξ_{GL} is estimated as $\xi_{\text{GL}} = \sqrt{\Phi_0/2\pi B_{c2}(0)} \approx 55$ Å, where Φ_0 is the flux quantum. Preliminary measurements show that $(dB_{c2}/dT)_{T_c}$ scales with T_c .

Just above the pressure p_c , in parallel with the decrease of T_c , the low temperature isothermal resistivity $\rho^*(p) = \rho(p) - \rho_0(p)$ goes down steeply with increasing pressure, as shown in Fig. 2c. This behavior is reminiscent of what was found in the vicinity of the second critical point of CeCu₂Si₂ around 4.5 GPa, a behavior that was analyzed assuming an underlying critical endpoint located at $(p_{\text{cr}}, T_{\text{cr}})$ in the p - T plane [12]. Following the same data treatment, we define the normalized resistivity $\rho_{\text{norm}}(p) = (\rho^*(p) - \rho^*(p_{50\%})) / \rho^*(p_{50\%})$, where for each temperature, $p_{50\%}$ denotes the pressure corresponding to the midpoint of the $\rho^*(p)$ -drop compared to its value at 22.8 GPa. As seen in the inset of Fig. 2b, the ρ_{norm} data below 30 K collapse onto a single curve, when plotted as a function of h/θ , where $h = (p - p_{50\%})/p_{50\%}$ and $\theta = (T - T_{\text{cr}})/|T_{\text{cr}}|$ with the only free parameter $T_{\text{cr}} = -14$ (2) K. Such a scaling shows that the resistivity is fully governed by the proximity of a critical endpoint in a broad region of the p - T plane ($p > p_c$, $T \leq 30$ K). Moreover, the slightly negative T_{cr} value substantiates that CeAu₂Si₂ just misses a first-order transition, meaning that only a crossover occurs. By extrapolating the temperature dependence of $p_{50\%}$ to zero temperature, we obtained p^* ($\approx p_{\text{cr}} = 23.6 \pm 0.5$ GPa).

To check the reproducibility of the above results, we performed measurements on CeAu₂Si₂ crystals, grown by a self-flux (Au-Si) method (unpublished results). Although the residual resistivity ρ_0 of these crystals is about five times higher than that of the present study, the pressures p_c and p^* were found to be almost identi-

cal to the aforementioned values, clearly indicating that they are intrinsic and not affected by the sample quality. Around p^* , a scaling of resistivity was also obtained with a slightly more negative T_{cr} . Moreover, we observed a similar resurgence of magnetism for $p > 15$ GPa. However, for the self-flux grown crystals, SC emerges only from 20 GPa and the maximum $T_c \sim 1.1$ K is considerably lower. We attribute this to a strong pair breaking effect, especially in the magnetic phase, when the electron mean free path $\ell \propto 1/\rho_0$ is short, consistent with observations in CeCu_2Ge_2 [9]. This also explains why no SC was detected at all in the previous study which was performed on polycrystalline samples with an even higher ρ_0 value [21].

B. Comparison with CeCu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2Ge_2

In order to allow for a straightforward comparison of CeAu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2X_2 ($X = \text{Si}$ or Ge), we have converted the pressure into the unit-cell volume (V) of each compound, using high-pressure crystallographic results [33–35] (see Fig. 3 caption). The three corresponding $V - T$ phase diagrams are shown in Fig. 3. Strikingly, despite the very different properties observed at ambient pressure (notably the ambient pressure volume V_0), there is a broad overlap of the bulk superconducting regions of the three compounds, which confirms that the local environment of the Ce ions plays a key role in the occurrence of SC. In particular, the V dependencies of T_c are nearly identical for CeCu_2X_2 and their maximum T_c s occur at the same $V^* \approx 158 \text{ \AA}^3$, far away from the volume at which magnetism disappears in CeCu_2Ge_2 and in good agreement with a previous report [40]. This excellent match resembles the one obtained for the magnetic phase diagrams of CePd_2Si_2 and CePd_2Ge_2 , when plotted versus their V [41].

For CeAu_2Si_2 the maximum T_c is slightly shifted to a larger V . This shift of about 2 \AA^3 seems beyond experimental error and might indicate the limit of our comparison in terms of the unit-cell volume. Since we compare isostructural and isoelectronic compounds, the unit-cell volume is certainly a relevant parameter, although it is not ideal. For instance, when pressure reduces the V of CeAu_2Si_2 to that of CeCu_2Si_2 , the Au and the Cu atoms may still contribute differently to the crystal field effect.

The phase diagram of CeAu_2Si_2 exhibits two qualitative differences with that of CeCu_2X_2 . Firstly, SC emerges deep inside the magnetic region. Secondly, magnetic ordering persists down to a smaller V , and its disappearance coincides with the maximum T_c . This latter characteristic, which is the most common case for Ce-based pressure-induced superconductors, can be taken as strong evidence that SC in CeAu_2Si_2 is mediated by critical spin fluctuations [6]. The fact that the onsets of filamentary and bulk SCs correlate with the anomalies in




FIG. 3: (a) CeCu_2Si_2 , (b) CeCu_2Ge_2 , and (c) CeAu_2Si_2 . Data for CeCu_2Ge_2 and CeCu_2Si_2 are taken from Ref. [9] and [12], respectively. The unit-cell volumes (V_0) at ambient pressure and room temperature for each compound are indicated by the arrows. The small temperature dependence (within 1.2 %) of the unit-cell volume has been taken into account as described in Note 1 of the Supplemental Material [26], and thus the data for each compound at ambient pressure is actually located at a volume smaller than V_0 . Note that for the three compounds the two resistivity maxima merge ($T_1^{\max} = T_2^{\max}$) and also T_c reaches its maximum near the same volume of 158 \AA^3 , as indicated by the vertical dashed line. In comparison with CeCu_2X_2 , in CeAu_2Si_2 the SC emerges at a larger V ($\sim 171 \text{ \AA}^3$) but the magnetic order persists down to a smaller V ($\sim 160 \text{ \AA}^3$).

the T_M evolution (see also Fig. 2a), hinting at the existence of two putative QCPs, points to a deep link between SC and magnetic instabilities. However, the simultaneous enhancement of both SC and magnetic order in a wide volume (pressure) range, which has never been seen in any other Ce-based pressure-induced superconductors, can hardly be explained by this scenario. Instead, it is plausible that SC and magnetic order are not intrinsically related phenomena, although the possibility that

SC develops from the magnetic ordered state cannot be excluded. This is further corroborated by the comparison of CeAu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2X_2 , made in Fig. 3, which shows that a similar maximum T_c occurs regardless of the presence or the absence of a magnetic QCP. Thus, it appears that another pairing mechanism is involved at least on the low volume side of the superconducting region.

Another interesting clue pointing in this last direction is found in Fig. 3: for all three compounds, the maximum T_c occurs when the temperatures T_1^{max} and T_2^{max} of the resistivity maxima (as defined in Fig. 1a) merge, at relatively high temperature ($\sim 40T_c^{\text{max}}$). Notice that in CeAu_2Si_2 , T_1^{max} joins T_2^{max} at a slightly larger V than that for CeCu_2X_2 , similar to the small V -shift observed for the T_c maximum. Since the three quantities have been measured at each pressure run, their correspondence is unaffected by the uncertainty of pressure determination and hence significant. Although the exact relationships are yet to be determined, it is empirically known that the temperatures T_1^{max} and T_2^{max} scale approximately with the Kondo temperature (T_K) and crystal field (CF) splitting energy, respectively [42]. In our case, T_1^{max} gives an indication of T_K only for $V < 165 \text{ \AA}^3$ (*i.e.* $T_1^{\text{max}} > 10 \text{ K}$) when the low temperature resistivity maximum is free from the influence of magnetic ordering. As can be seen in Fig. 3, for $V < 165 \text{ \AA}^3$, T_1^{max} ($\propto T_K$) shows a nearly exponential increase with decreasing V and appears as the driving parameter of the system, which makes it evolve from long range magnetic ordered states, through SC, towards a strongly delocalized paramagnetic f -metal at reduced volume. Therefore, we conclude that the superconducting pairing is the strongest when Kondo and CF splitting energy scales become comparable. Moreover, T_1^{max} governs the ground state excitations reflected by the low temperature resistivity in the paramagnetic phase. For CeCu_2X_2 the relationship $T_1^{\text{max}} \propto 1/\sqrt{A}$ (where A is the Fermi liquid resistivity coefficient) was shown to be fulfilled, except around p^* where A abruptly drops by one order of magnitude [10]. Hence, above p_c , the A values of CeAu_2Si_2 (Inset of Fig. 2a) are similar to those of CeCu_2X_2 taken at the same V . Finally, we note that in comparison with CeCu_2X_2 , T_2^{max} of CeAu_2Si_2 is almost two times higher, and T_1^{max} shows a slower rise for V just below 165 \AA^3 , which could account for the persistence of magnetism according to Doniach's simple scheme [43]. In passing, we remark that for CePd_2Si_2 , SC also occurs when both Kondo resistivity and crystal field contribution peaks merge [41, 44]. It can be conjectured that this feature is a generic property of Ce-based HF superconductors.

In previous publications, the high-pressure superconducting dome of CeCu_2X_2 was interpreted within the framework of the critical valence fluctuation theory [10, 45, 46]. According to this approach, the critical end point of the valence transition line of the Ce ion lies at a pressure p_v and a temperature T_{cr} close to zero Kelvin. This

theory provides a consistent interpretation of most of the features observed in the vicinity of p_v , which include besides SC, a collapse of the resistivity associated with a T -linear regime, an enhanced residual resistivity and the above mentioned merging of the two temperatures T_1^{max} and T_2^{max} [10, 11, 46]. However, around p_v , calculations predict a strong decrease of the Ce-4*f* orbital occupancy with increasing p , while X-ray absorption measurements show a considerably smaller variation (by a factor of 5) [18]. This disagreement and the clue that at the maximum T_c , the energy scale of the system is of the order of the CF splitting energy drew us to examine the role played by the orbital states and the associated fluctuations of the Ce-4*f* electrons in the properties of these materials [19, 20].

C. Comparison to dynamical mean-field theory calculations

As a first step to address this issue, we performed calculations based on a combination of electronic structure and dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) methods, along similar lines as in Ref. [20] for CeCu_2Si_2 . In a tetragonal crystal field, the $^2F_{5/2}$ ground-state multiplet of the Ce^{3+} ion is split into three doublets: $|0\rangle = a|\pm 5/2\rangle + \sqrt{1-a^2}|\mp 3/2\rangle$, $|1\rangle = |\pm 1/2\rangle$, and $|2\rangle = \sqrt{1-a^2}|\pm 5/2\rangle - a|\mp 3/2\rangle$. A key difference between CeAu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2Ge_2 is already apparent from our results calculated at ambient pressure and lowest pertinent temperature (7 K). While for both compounds the ground-state is associated with state $|0\rangle$, the first excited state is $|1\rangle$ in CeAu_2Si_2 but $|2\rangle$ in CeCu_2Ge_2 . In order to fully take the hybridization and Kondo effects into account, this splitting can be obtained from the location of the respective Kondo peaks in the orbitally-resolved spectral functions. For CeAu_2Si_2 , we obtain levels $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ to be respectively 9.8 and 24.5 meV above the main Kondo peak associated with state $|0\rangle$, in reasonable agreement with the reported experimental values of 17 and 21 meV [48]. By contrast, for CeCu_2Ge_2 , we find these splitting to be 34 and 19 meV, respectively. Note that for CeCu_2Ge_2 the ambient-pressure ground-state has been experimentally ascribed to state $|2\rangle$, although this identification is based on simulations of the temperature dependence of the uniform magnetic susceptibility with the CF levels treated as quasi-atomic levels neglecting hybridization and Kondo effects [49].

Correspondingly, another key difference between CeCu_2Ge_2 and CeAu_2Si_2 is that the occupation of state $|1\rangle$ at ambient pressure is very small for the former while it is sizeable for the latter, as displayed on Fig. 4 (upper panels). In this figure, we plot the evolution of the occupancies of each state as a function of pressure for the two compounds. For CeCu_2Ge_2 , the occupancy of state $|1\rangle$ remains negligible at all pressures, but a transition




FIG. 4: (a) The calculated occupancy of the CF states $|0\rangle$ (circles), $|1\rangle$ (diamonds), and $|2\rangle$ (squares) as a function of pressure at $T = 7\text{K}$ for CeAu_2Si_2 . The curves are linear interpolations between the corresponding points. (b) The same data for CeCu_2Ge_2 . (c, d) The calculated (T, p) maps of the orbital occupancies for CeAu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2Ge_2 , respectively. The color is defined by an RGB code in which the red, blue and green contributions are proportional to the occupancies n_0 , n_2 and the sum of occupancies of two non-dominant states, respectively. Hence, the states $|0\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ clearly dominate in the red and blue areas, respectively, while in the green region the occupancies of all three states are comparable. The dots indicate the values of T and p for which the LDA+DMFT calculations were performed.

between a regime dominated by state $|0\rangle$ at low pressure and a regime dominated by state $|2\rangle$ at high pressure takes place, with the occupancy of the two levels crossing each other around 17 GPa. Across the transition region, the f electron weight is transferred from the CF state $|0\rangle$ to the excited level $|2\rangle$, due to the latter's stronger hybridization with itinerant electrons. This 'orbital transition' is quite similar to the one recently discussed theoretically [19, 20] for CeCu_2Si_2 , except that it is shifted to higher pressure by about 15 GPa in the Ge-based compound. In contrast, the pressure evolution in the upper panel of Fig. 4 clearly displays *three* distinct regimes: one dominated by state $|0\rangle$ at low pressure (roughly below 10 GPa), one dominated by state $|2\rangle$ at high pressure ($\gtrsim 20$ GPa), and an additional intermediate regime (roughly between 10 and 20 GPa) where all

three states contribute. We have also followed the evolution of these three regimes as a function of temperature, and the result is visualized in Fig. 4c and d as a color map in the (p, T) plane.

Although our calculations are not performed in the phase with magnetic long-range order, our results do hint at a qualitatively different behavior of the two compounds, as observed experimentally. It is tempting in particular to relate the three different regimes found for CeAu_2Si_2 to the observed persistence and revival of magnetism in the 15 – 20 GPa range, and possibly to the existence of several different magnetic phases (as suggested by the kinks and non-monotonous behavior of the magnetic transition temperature T_M vs. pressure). In contrast, in CeCu_2Ge_2 , one observes a single magnetic phase which collapses at a significantly lower pressure than the maximum of the SC dome. Moreover, the pressure evolution of the Kondo temperature T_K ($\propto T_1^{max}$) in CeAu_2Si_2 (Fig. 3) is different than in CeCu_2Ge_2 , with an intermediate slower increase in the range 10 – 16 GPa. Indeed, this is consistent with our calculated evolution of the effective mass, which displays a slow decrease in the intermediate regime, followed by a faster one when state $|2\rangle$ dominates (Fig. S6 of the Supplemental Material [26]).

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The above results underline the role of orbital physics in CeAu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2X_2 . The critical end point, identified at (p_{cr}, T_{cr}) through the scaling of the resistivity around p^* in CeAu_2Si_2 , as well as previously in CeCu_2Si_2 [12], can be that of an orbital transition line, first described by Hattori [19] and in agreement with our calculations (see also Ref. [20]). In these systems, only a crossover regime is realized because the temperature T_{cr} is slightly negative. However, T_{cr} is small enough that the charge or orbital fluctuations associated to the orbital crossover are sufficiently developed to mediate both the non-Fermi liquid properties of the normal phase and the superconducting pairing. In CeAu_2Si_2 , magnetism and superconductivity may originate from the occupancy of different CF levels in the intermediate pressure region according to our calculations. The increase of Kondo scale induced by the orbital transition may drive the collapse of magnetism, explaining its sudden disappearance and hence the proximity of the pressures p_c and p^* , as opposed to the case of CeCu_2X_2 for which these two pressures are well separated. Consequently, spin fluctuations could play only a secondary role in the region of the T_c maximum of CeAu_2Si_2 . Anyway, it remains challenging to understand the giant overlap of SC and magnetism, and in particular the striking relationship $T_c \propto T_M$ observed in a broad pressure range, which definitely require further studies.

In conclusion, CeAu_2Si_2 has been discovered to be a new HF superconductor under a very broad pressure interval from 11.8 to 26.6 GPa. Within approximately two-thirds of this interval, SC appears below the magnetic phase transition. Intriguingly, when increasing pressure from 16.7 to 20.2 GPa both bulk T_c and T_M are strongly enhanced, and almost proportional. T_c reaches its maximum value of ~ 2.5 K slightly below the pressure $p_c \approx 22.5$ GPa, where magnetic order disappears. The scaling behavior of resistivity indicates a continuous delocalization of Ce $4f$ electrons associated with a critical end point lying just above p_c . The T_c maximum occurs when the Kondo and CF energies are similar and at almost the same unit-cell volume as for CeCu_2Si_2 and CeCu_2Ge_2 , providing a clue to the pairing mechanism. First-principle calculations indicate the existence of an intermediate state in the Ce $4f$ orbital occupancy in CeAu_2Si_2 , which might be related to its peculiar behavior in comparison with its close relatives CeCu_2X_2 . Nevertheless, we emphasize that the understanding of the newly observed behavior in CeAu_2Si_2 remains largely open [50]. Future experimental investigations of the iso-electronic compounds CeAg_2Si_2 , or even CeAu_2Ge_2 and CeAg_2Ge_2 , will likely enrich the debate. On the theoretical side, calculations of various Ce-based systems are highly desirable in order to extend comparisons with the already rich experimental results. For example, an interesting issue is the very weak pressure response of the intermediate valence compound CePd_3 [51].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge discussions with M. Ferrero, P. Hansmann and J.-P. Rueff, technical assistance from M. Lopes, and financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation. Computing resources were provided by the Swedish National Infrastructure for Computing (SNIC) at the National Supercomputer Centre (NSC) and PDC Center for High Performance Computing, and the Swiss Center for Scientific Computing.

* Electronic address: Didier.Jaccard@unige.ch

- [1] D. Jaccard, K. Behnia and J. Serro, *Pressure induced heavy fermion superconductivity in CeCu_2Ge_2* , Phys. Lett. A **163**, 475 (1992).
- [2] F. M. Grosche, S. R. Julian, N. D. Mathur, and G. G. Lonzarich, *Magnetic and superconducting phases of CePd_2Si_2* , Physica B **223-224**, 50 (1996).
- [3] N. D. Mathur, F. M. Grosche, S. R. Julian, I. R. Walker, D. M. Freye, R. K. W. Haselwimmer and G. G. Lonzarich, *Magnetically mediated superconductivity in heavy fermion compounds*, Nature **394**, 39 (1998).
- [4] H. Hegger, C. Petrovic, E. G. Moshopoulou, M. F. Hundley, J. L. Sarrao, Z. Fisk, and J. D. Thompson, *Pressure-induced superconductivity in quasi-2D CeRhIn_5* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4986 (2000).
- [5] E. D. Bauer, H. O. Lee, V. A. Sidorov, N. Kurita, K. Gfrfryk, J.-X. Zhu, F. Ronning, R. Movshovich, J. D. Thompson, and T. Park, *Pressure-induced superconducting state and effective mass enhancement near the antiferromagnetic quantum critical point of CePt_2In_7* , Phys. Rev. B **81**, 180507 (R) (2010).
- [6] G. Knebel, D. Aoki, and J. Flouquet, *Antiferromagnetism and superconductivity in cerium based heavy-fermion compounds*, Comptes Rendus Physique **12**, 542 (2011).
- [7] F. Steglich, J. Arts, C. D. Bredl, W. Lieke, D. Meshede, W. Franz, and H. Schafer, *Superconductivity in the presence of strong pauli paramagnetism: CeCu_2Si_2* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 1892 (1979).
- [8] B. Bellarbi, A. Benoit, D. Jaccard, J. M. Mignot, and H. F. Braun, *High-pressure valence instability and T_c maximum in superconducting CeCu_2Si_2* , Phys. Rev. B **30**, 1182 (1984).
- [9] D. Jaccard, H. Wilhelm, K. Alami-Yadri, and E. Vargoz, *Magnetism and superconductivity in heavy fermion compounds at high pressure*, Physica B **259-261**, 1 (1999).
- [10] A. T. Holmes, D. Jaccard and K. Miyake, *Signatures of valence fluctuations in CeCu_2Si_2 under high pressure*, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 024508 (2004).
- [11] D. Jaccard and A. T. Holmes, *Spin and valence-fluctuation mediated superconductivity in pressurized Fe and $\text{CeCu}_2(\text{Si/Ge})_2$* , Physica B **359-361**, 333 (2005).
- [12] G. Seyfarth, A.-S. Ruetschi, K. Sengupta, A. Georges, S. Watanabe, K. Miyake, and D. Jaccard, *Heavy fermion superconductor CeCu_2Si_2 under high pressure: multi-probing the valence crossover*, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 205105 (2012).
- [13] E. Vargoz and D. Jaccard, *Superconducting and normal properties of CeCu_2Ge_2 at high pressure*, J. Magn. Magn. Matter. **177-181**, 294 (1998).
- [14] H. Q. Yuan, F. M. Grosche, M. Deppe, C. Geibel, G. Sparn, and F. Steglich *Observation of two distinct superconducting phases in CeCu_2Si_2* , Science **302**, 2104 (2003).
- [15] O. Stockert, J. Arndt, E. Faulhaber, C. Geibel, H. S. Jeevan, S. Kirchner, M. Loewenhaupt, K. Schmalzl, W. Schmidt, Q. Si and F. Steglich, *Magnetically driven superconductivity in CeCu_2Si_2* , Nat. Phys. **7**, 119 (2011).
- [16] O. Monthoux, D. Pines and G. G. Lonzarich, *Superconductivity without phonons*, Nature **450**, 1177 (2007).
- [17] S. Kittaka, Y. Aoki, Y. Shimura, T. Sakakibara, S. Siero, C. Geibel, F. Steglich, H. Ikeda, and K. Machida, *Multiband superconductivity with unexpected deficiency of nodal quasiparticles in CeCu_2Si_2* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 067002 (2014).
- [18] J.-P. Rueff, S. Raymond, M. Taguchi, M. Sikora, J.-P. Itie, F. Baudalet, D. Braithwaite, G. Knebel, and D. Jaccard, *Pressure-induced valence crossover in superconducting CeCu_2Si_2* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 186405 (2011).
- [19] Hattori, K. *Meta-orbital transition in heavy-fermion systems: analysis by dynamical mean field theory and self-consistent renormalization theory of orbital fluctuations*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 114717 (2010).
- [20] L. V. Pourovskii, P. Hansmann, M. Ferrero and A. Georges, *Theoretical prediction and spectroscopic fingerprints of an orbital transition in CeCu_2Si_2* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 106407 (2014).

- [21] P. Link and D. Jaccard, *Pressure induced heavy-fermion behavior of CeAu₂Si₂ near 17 GPa*, Physica B **230-232**, 31 (1997).
- [22] P. Canfield and Z. Fisk, *Growth of single crystals from metallic fluxes*, Philos. Mag. B **65**, 1117 (1992).
- [23] Materials Preparation Center, Ames Laboratory, US DOE Basic Energy Sciences, Ames, IA, USA, available from <http://www.mpc.ameslab.gov>.
- [24] Y. Ota, K. Sugiyama, Y. Miyauchi, Y. Takeda, Y. Nakano, Y. Doi, K. Katayama, N. D. Dung, T. D. Matsuda, Y. Haga, K. Kindo, T. Takeuchi, M. Hagiwara, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, *Electrical and magnetic properties of CeAu₂Si₂*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **78**, 034714 (2009).
- [25] A. T. Holmes, D. Jaccard, G. Behr, Y. Inada, and Y. Onuki, *Unconventional superconductivity and non-Fermi liquid behavior of ϵ -iron at high pressure*, J. Phys.:Condens. Matter **16**, S1121 (2004).
- [26] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for more data and analysis.
- [27] A. T. Holmes, *Exotic superconducting mechanism in Fe and CeCu₂Si₂ under pressure*, Ph.D. thesis, Université de Genève (2004), <http://archive-ouverte.unige.ch/unige:284>.
- [28] M. Aichhorn, L. Pourovskii, V. Vildosola, M. Ferrero, O. Parcollet, T. Miyake, A. Georges, and S. Biermann, *Dynamical mean-field theory within an augmented plane-wave framework: assessing electronic correlations in the iron pnictide LaFeAsO*, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 085101 (2009).
- [29] M. Aichhorn, L. Pourovskii and A. Georges, *Importance of electronic correlations for structural and magnetic properties of the iron pnictide superconductor LaFeAsO*, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 054529 (2011).
- [30] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz, *WIEN2k, An augmented plane wave + local orbitals program for calculating crystal properties* (Techn. Universität Wien, Austria, 2001).
- [31] E. Gull, A. J. Millis, A. I. Lichtenstein, A. N. Rubtsov, M. Troyer, and P. Werner, *Continuous-time Monte Carlo methods for quantum impurity models*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 349 (2011).
- [32] M. Ferrero and O. Parcollet, *TRIQS: a toolbox for research on interacting quantum systems*, <http://ipht.cea.fr/triqs>.
- [33] A. Onodera, S. Tsuduki, Y. Ohishi, T. Watanuki, K. Ishida, Y. Kitaoka, and Y. Onuki, *Equation of state of CeCu₂Ge₂ at cryogenic temperature*, Solid State Commun. **123**, 113 (2002).
- [34] S. Tsuduki, A. onodera, K. Ishida, Y. Kitaoka, A. Onuki, N. Ishimatsu, and O. Shimomura, *Synchrotron X-ray diffraction and absorption studies of CeM₂X₂ (M=Cu, Ni and X=Si, Ge) at high pressure*, Solid State Commun. **134**, 747 (2005).
- [35] M. Ohmura, K. Sakai, T. Nakano, H. Miyagawa, G. Oomi, I. Sato, T. Komatsubara, H. Aoki, Y. Matsumoto, and Y. Uwatoko, *Anisotropic lattice compression and possible valence change in Kondo compound CeAu₂Si₂*, J. Magn. Soc. Jpn. **33**, 31 (2009).
- [36] B. Cornut and B. Coqblin, *Influence of the crystalline field on the Kondo effect of alloys and compounds with cerium impurities*, Phys. Rev. B **5**, 4541 (1972).
- [37] T. Park, H. Lee, I. Martin, X. Lu, V. A. Sidorov, K. Gofryk, F. Ronning, E. D. Bauer, and J. D. Thompson, *Textured superconducting phase in the heavy fermion CeRhIn₅*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 077003 (2012).
- [38] S. Seo, V. A. Sidorov, H. Lee, D. Jang, Z. Fisk, J. D. Thompson, and T. Park, *Pressure effects on the heavy-fermion antiferromagnet CeAuSb₂*, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 205145 (2012).
- [39] T. P. Orland, E. J. McNiff, Jr., S. Foner, and M. R. Beasley, *Critical fields, Pauli paramagnetic limiting, and material parameters of Nb₃Sn and V₃Si*, Phys. Rev. B **19**, 4545 (1979).
- [40] T. C. Kobayashi, K. Fujiwara, K. Takeda, H. Harima, Y. Ikeda, T. Adachi, Y. Ohishi, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, *Valence crossover of Ce ions in CeCu₂Si₂ under high pressure -Pressure dependence of the unit cell volume and the NQR frequency-*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **82**, 114701 (2013).
- [41] H. Wilhelm and D. Jaccard, *Calorimetric and transport investigations of CePd_{2+x}Ge_{2-x} (x=0 and 0.02) up to 22 GPa*, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 064428 (2002).
- [42] Y. Nishida, A. Tsuruta, and K. Miyake, *Crystalline-electric-field effect on the resistivity of Ce-based heavy fermion systems*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **75**, 064706 (2006).
- [43] S. Doniach, *The Kondo lattice and weak antiferromagnetism*, Physica B **91**, 231 (1977).
- [44] A. Dumuer, A. T. Holmes, and D. Jaccard, *Strain enhancement of superconductivity in CePd₂Si₂ under pressure*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **14**, L529 (2002).
- [45] K. Miyake, *New trend of superconductivity in strongly correlated electron systems*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 125201 (2007).
- [46] A. T. Holmes, D. Jaccard and K. Miyake, *Valence instability and superconductivity in heavy fermion systems*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **76**, 051002 (2007).
- [47] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg, *Dynamical mean-field theory of strongly correlated fermion systems and the limit of infinite dimensions*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 13 (1996).
- [48] T. Willers, *Spectroscopic investigations of the crystal field and Kondo effect in 4f heavy-fermion systems*, PhD thesis, Universität zu Köln (2011).
- [49] G. Knopp, A. Loidl, K. Knorr, L. Pawlak, M. Duczmal, R. Caspary, U. Gottwick, H. Spille, F. Steglich, and A. P. Murani, *Magnetic order in a Kondo lattice: a neutron scattering study of CeCu₂Ge₂*, Z. Phys. B **77**, 95 (1989).
- [50] R. Flint, A. H. Nevidomskyy, and P. Coleman, *Composite pairing in a mixed-valent two-channel Anderson model*, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 064514 (2011).
- [51] P. Pedrazzini, D. Jaccard, M. Deppe, C. Geibel, and J. G. Sereni, *Multiprobe high-pressure experiments in CePd_{0.6}Rh_{0.4} and CePd₃*, Physica B **404**, 2898 (2009).