

Bicomplex Linear Operators on Bicomplex Hilbert Spaces and Littlewood's Subordination Theorem

Romesh Kumar and Kulbir Singh

Abstract

In this paper we study some basic properties of bicomplex linear operators on bicomplex Hilbert spaces. Further we discuss some applications of Hahn-Banach theorem on bicomplex Banach modules. We also introduce and discuss some bicomplex holomorphic function spaces and prove Littlewood's Subordination principle for bicomplex Hardy space.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

In this section we summarize the basic properties of bicomplex numbers, bicomplex linear operators and bicomplex holomorphic functions. Let i and j be two commuting imaginary units, i.e.,

$$ij = ji, i^2 = j^2 = -1.$$

By $\mathbb{C}(i)$, we denote the field of complex numbers of the form $x + iy$. The set of bicomplex numbers \mathbb{BC} is then defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{BC} &= \{Z = x_0 + ix_1 + jx_2 + ijx_3, \text{ with } x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{Z = z + jw, \text{ with } z, w \in \mathbb{C}(i)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set \mathbb{BC} turns out to be a ring with respect to the sum and product defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z + U &= (z + jw) + (u + jv) = (z + u) + j(w + v), \\ ZU &= (z + jw)(u + jv) = (zu - wv) + j(wu + zv) \end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30G35, 47A10, 47A60.

Keywords and phrases. Bicomplex numbers, hyperbolic norm, bounded bicomplex linear operators, bicomplex functional analysis, Littlewood's Subordination theorem.

and thus it turns out to be a module over itself. Inparticular, \mathbb{BC} can be seen as a vector space over $\mathbb{C}(i)$. For further details, we refer the reader to [1], [11], [13], [15].

If we put $z = x$ and $w = iy$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then we obtain the set of hyperbolic numbers

$$\mathbb{D} = \{x + yk : k^2 = 1 \text{ and } x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } k \notin \mathbb{R}\}$$

The algebra \mathbb{BC} is not a division algebra, since one can see that if $e_1 = \frac{1+ij}{2}$ and $e_2 = \frac{1-ij}{2}$, then $e_1 \cdot e_2 = 0$.

The bicomplex numbers e_1, e_2 are linearly independent, mutually annihilating, idempotent and satisfy $e_1 + e_2 = 1$. Infact, e_1 and e_2 are hyperbolic numbers. They make up so called idempotent basis of bicomplex numbers. Any bicomplex number $Z = z + jw$ can be written uniquely as

$$Z = z_1e_1 + z_2e_2$$

and is called the idempotent representation of a bicomplex number, where $z_1 = z - iw$ and $z_2 = z + iw$ are elements of $\mathbb{C}(i)$. By using the idempotent representation of bicomplex numbers, we can write

$$\mathbb{BC} = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2,$$

where $X_1 = \{z - iw \mid z, w \in \mathbb{C}(i)\}$, $X_2 = \{z + iw \mid z, w \in \mathbb{C}(i)\}$. Further, as in [13, P. 40] for any open set U in \mathbb{BC} there exists two open sets $U_1 \subseteq X_1$ and $U_2 \subseteq X_2$ such that

$$U = e_1U_1 + e_2U_2. \quad (1.1)$$

Let $\mathbb{BC}^{m \times n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with bicomplex entries. For any matrix $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{BC}^{m \times n}$, by using the idempotent representation of bicomplex number $a_{ij} \forall i, j$ we can write,

$$A = B + jC = e_1A_1 + e_2A_2$$

where A_1, A_2 are two $m \times n$ complex matrices see, [8, P. 566]

Since bicomplex numbers are defined as pair of two complex numbers connected through another imaginary unit, there are several natural notions of conjugation.

let $Z = z + jw \in \mathbb{BC}$. We define the following three conjugates in \mathbb{BC} :

(i) $Z^{\dagger 1} = \bar{z} + j\bar{w}$, (ii) $Z^{\dagger 2} = z - jw$, (iii) $Z^{\dagger 3} = \bar{z} - j\bar{w}$

With each kind of conjugation, one can define a specific bicomplex modulus as

$$|Z|_j^2 = Z \cdot Z^{\dagger 1}$$

$$|Z|_i^2 = Z \cdot Z^{\dagger 2}$$

$$|Z|_k^2 = Z \cdot Z^{\dagger 3}$$

Since none of the moduli above is real valued, we can consider also the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{BC} , that is, for any $Z = x_0 + ix_1 + jx_2 + ijx_3 = z + jw \in \mathbb{BC}$,

define $|Z| = \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = \sqrt{|z|^2 + |w|^2}$, then such a norm doesnot respect the multiplicative structure of \mathbb{BC} see, [13, P. 7], since if $Z, W \in \mathbb{BC}$, we have

$$|ZW| \leq \sqrt{2}|Z| |W|.$$

Further the hyperbolic modulus $|Z|_k^2$ of any $Z \in \mathbb{BC}$ is given by the formula

$$|Z|_k^2 = Z \cdot Z^{\dagger 3}.$$

Thus writing $Z = z_1e_1 + z_2e_2$, one has $|Z|_k = |z_1|e_1 + |z_2|e_2$ and is called the hyperbolic norm on \mathbb{BC} . The hyperbolic norm and euclidean norm in \mathbb{BC} has been intensively discussed in [1, Section 1.3].

Definition 1.1. Let X be a \mathbb{BC} -module. Then (see, [16]), we can write

$$X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2,$$

where $X_1 = e_1X$ and $X_2 = e_2X$ are two $\mathbb{C}(i)$ vector spaces so that any x in X can be witten as $x = e_1x + e_2x = e_1x_1 + e_2x_2$. Assume that X_1, X_2 are normed spaces with respective norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$. Set

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\frac{\|x_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2\|_2^2}{2}}$$

Then $\|\cdot\|$ defines a real valued norm on X such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{BC}$, $x \in X$,

$$\|\lambda x\| \leq \sqrt{2}|\lambda| \|x\|$$

The \mathbb{BC} -module X can also be endowed canonically with the hyperbolic norm given by the formula

$$\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1x_1 + e_2x_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|x\|_1e_1 + \|x_2\|_2e_2$$

such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{BC}$, $x \in X$, we have $\|\lambda x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = |\lambda|_k \|x\|_{\mathbb{D}}$. Note that these norms are connected by the equality

$$\|\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}}\| = \|x\| \tag{1.2}$$

For more details see, [1, section 4.2].

Definition 1.2. Let X be a bicomplex module. Assume that X_1, X_2 are inner product spaces, with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ respectively and corresponding norms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$. Then the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, y \rangle &= \langle e_1x_1 + e_2x_2, e_1y_1 + e_2y_2 \rangle \\ &= e_1 \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_2 \end{aligned}$$

defines a bicomplex inner product on the bicomplex module $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$. A bicomplex inner product in \mathbb{BC} can also be given by the formula

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x \cdot y^{\dagger 3},$$

note that both these inner product coincides with each other.

Further, $\langle x, x \rangle = e_1\|x_1\|_1^2 + e_2\|x_2\|_2^2$ is a positive hyperbolic number, so it introduces a hyperbolic norm on \mathbb{BC} -module X consistent with \mathbb{BC} -inner product, i.e.,

$$\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|e_1x_1 + e_2x_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Also bicomplex module X with a real valued norm can be related to the bicomplex inner product in this way

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\langle x_1, x_1 \rangle_1 + \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\|x_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2\|_2^2) \end{aligned}$$

For more details see, [1, section 4.3].

Definition 1.3. A bicomplex module X with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is said to be bicomplex Hilbert space if it is complete with respect to the metric induced by its euclidean type norm generated by inner product. This is equivalent to say that X is complete with respect to hyperbolic norm generated by inner product. Further from the representation of $X = X_1e_1 + X_2e_2$, it follows that $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a bicomplex Hilbert space if and only if $(X_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1)$ and $(X_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ are complex Hilbert spaces.

Definition 1.4. Let X and Y be two \mathbb{BC} -modules and let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map such that

$$T(\lambda x + \mu y) = \lambda T(x) + \mu T(y), \quad \forall x, y \in X, \quad \forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{BC}.$$

Then we say that T is a \mathbb{BC} -linear operator on X .

Set $X_1 = e_1X$ and $X_2 = e_2X$, so that any $x \in X$ can be written as

$$x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2.$$

Define the operators $T_l : X \rightarrow X_l$ as (see, [2])

$$T_l(x) = e_l T(x), \quad l = 1, 2$$

Then we can write

$$T = e_1T_1 + e_2T_2 \tag{1.3}$$

so that the action of T on X can be decomposed as follows

$$T(x) = e_1T_1(x_1) + e_2T_2(x_2), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

The decomposition (1.3) is called the *idempotent decomposition* of the bicomplex linear operator T .

Consider the set $B(X, Y)$ of all bounded linear operators of X into Y . For each $T \in B(X, Y)$, define a \mathbb{D} -valued norm on $T \in B(X, Y)$ (see, [1], [10]) as follows:

$$\|T\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \sup \{ \|T(x)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \mid x \in X, \|x\|_{\mathbb{D}} \leq 1 \}.$$

Further, the operator T is bounded if and only if the operators T_1 and T_2 are both bounded (see, [2]) and by using the idempotent decomposition (1.3) of T , the \mathbb{D} -valued norm on T can be expressed as follows:

$$\|T\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1 \|T_1\|_1 + e_2 \|T_2\|_2,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ define the usual norms on T_1 and T_2 respectively. Moreover, norm on T can also be given as

$$\|T\| = \|\|T\|_{\mathbb{D}}\| = \sqrt{\frac{\|T_1\|_1^2 + \|T_2\|_2^2}{2}}$$

It is clear that the set $B(X, Y)$ is a \mathbb{BC} module. Further, it is easy to verify that if Y is a Banach \mathbb{BC} -module, so is $B(X, Y)$. In addition to this if $Y = \mathbb{BC}$, then $B(X, \mathbb{BC})$ is called the dual space of X and is denoted by X' .

Definition 1.5. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{BC}$ be an open set and let $U_i, i = 1, 2$ as in (1.1). Then $F : U \subseteq \mathbb{BC} \rightarrow \mathbb{BC}$ is a bicomplex holomorphic function if and only if there exists complex holomorphic functions F_1 and F_2 on U_1 and U_2 , respectively, such that

$$F(Z) = F(z + jw) = e_1 F_1(z - iw) + e_2 F_2(z + iw).$$

For further details on bicomplex holomorphic functions (see [12], [13], [14]).

G.B.Price book [13] contains an extensive survey of the various fundamental properties of bicomplex numbers and bicomplex function theory. Also [14] contains detail information on bicomplex holomorphic functions. In the last few years, Lavoie, Marchildon and Rochon (see, [8], [9]) have introduced finite and infinite dimensional bicomplex Hilbert spaces and studied some of their basic properties. In [10], the concept of bicomplex topological modules and the fundamental theorems of functional analysis to the framework of bicomplex topological modules are introduced. Recently, D. Alpay et.al. [1] have given a nice and clear survey of bicomplex functional analysis and also discussed many new ideas as well as results. In [3], it is shown that the spectrum of bicomplex bounded linear operator is unbounded. Also in extended version of the paper [7], it is shown that the point spectrum of bicomplex bounded linear operator on $l^2(\mathbb{BC})$ is equal to its null cone. In [6], the concept of the Cauchy-Kowalewski product for bicomplex holomorphic functions is discussed.

2 Operators on Bicomplex-Hilbert Spaces

In this section we characterize normal and unitary operators on bicomplex Hilbert spaces. We also discuss parallelogram law for bicomplex Hilbert spaces.

Definition 2.1. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{BC} . Then A is said to be normal matrix if

$$AA^{\dagger_3} = A^{\dagger_3}A,$$

where $A^{\dagger_3} = (A^t)^{\dagger_3} = (A^{\dagger_3})^t = [a_{ji}^{\dagger_3}]$ is the transpose \dagger_3 -conjugate of A .

Proposition 2.2. Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{BC} . Let $A = A_1e_1 + A_2e_2$ be its idempotent decomposition. Then A is normal if and only if its idempotent components A_1, A_2 are complex $n \times n$ normal matrices.

Proof. Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{BC} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is normal} &\Leftrightarrow AA^{\dagger_3t} = A^{\dagger_3t}A \\ &\Leftrightarrow (A_1e_1 + A_2e_2)(A_1e_1 + A_2e_2)^{\dagger_3t} = (A_1e_1 + A_2e_2)^{\dagger_3t}(A_1e_1 + A_2e_2) \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_1\overline{A_1}^t e_1 + A_2\overline{A_2}^t e_2 = \overline{A_1}^t A_1 e_1 + \overline{A_2}^t A_2 e_2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow A_1\overline{A_1}^t = \overline{A_1}^t A_1 \text{ and } A_2\overline{A_2}^t = \overline{A_2}^t A_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence A is normal matrix if and only if A_1, A_2 are normal matrices. \square

Proposition 2.3. Let $A = B + jC$ be a $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{BC} . Then A is normal matrix if and only if its cartesian components B, C are commutative hermitian complex matrices.

Proof. Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{BC} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{ is normal} &\Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger_3t}A = AA^{\dagger_3t} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\overline{B}^t - j\overline{C}^t)(B + jC) = (B + jC)(\overline{B}^t - j\overline{C}^t) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \overline{B}^t B + \overline{C}^t C + j\overline{B}^t C - j\overline{C}^t B = B\overline{B}^t + C\overline{C}^t - jB\overline{C}^t + jC\overline{B}^t \\ &\Leftrightarrow \overline{B}^t = B, \overline{C}^t = C \text{ and } BC = CB. \end{aligned}$$

Hence A is normal matrix if and only if B, C are commutative hermitian matrices. \square

Definition 2.4. Let X and Y be two bicomplex Hilbert spaces. Then the bicomplex adjoint operator $T^* : Y \rightarrow X$ for a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by the equality

$$\langle T(x), y \rangle = \langle x, T^*(y) \rangle.$$

Remark 2.5. Bicomplex adjoint T^* can also be represented as (see, [1])

$$T^* = e_1 T_1^* + e_2 T_2^*,$$

where T_1^* and T_2^* are the complex adjoints of the operators T_1 and T_2 respectively.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a bicomplex Hilbert space. An operator $T \in B(X)$ is said to be a bicomplex self-adjoint operator if $T = T^*$ (see, [16]).

Definition 2.7. Let X be a bicomplex Hilbert space. An operator $T \in B(X)$ is said to be a bicomplex normal operator if $TT^* = T^*T$, where T^* denotes the adjoint of T .

Definition 2.8. Let X be a bicomplex Hilbert space. An operator $T \in B(X)$ is said to be a bicomplex unitary if $TT^* = T^*T = I$, where T^* denotes the adjoint of T and I is the identity operator on X .

Definition 2.9. An operator T on a bicomplex Hilbert space H is said to be a zero operator if $T(x) = 0, \forall x \in H$.

Remark 2.10. (i) T is a zero operator on H if and only if T_1 and T_2 are zero operators on H_1 and H_2 respectively.

(ii) If $T \in B(H)$ and if $(Tx, x) = 0$, for every $x \in H$, then $T = 0$.

Definition 2.11. A bicomplex self-adjoint operator T on a bicomplex Hilbert space X is said to be positive operator if $\langle Tx, x \rangle$ is a positive hyperbolic number, for every $x \in X$.

Example 2.12. For any operator T , the products TT^* and T^*T are positive operators.

The following proposition is easy to prove:

Proposition 2.13. Let $T \in B(X)$ such that $T = e_1T_1 + e_2T_2$ be its idempotent decomposition. Then the following holds:

(i) T is a bicomplex self adjoint operator on X if and only if T_1, T_2 are complex self adjoint operators on X_1, X_2 respectively.

(ii) T is a bicomplex normal operator on X if and only if T_1, T_2 are complex normal operators on X_1, X_2 respectively.

(iii) T is a bicomplex unitary operator on X if and only if T_1, T_2 are complex unitary operators on X_1, X_2 respectively.

(iv) T is a bicomplex self adjoint operator on X if and only if $\langle Tx, x \rangle$ is a hyperbolic number, $\forall x \in X$.

Theorem 2.14. (Parallelogram Law): Let X be a bicomplex Hilbert space and x and $y \in X$. Then

$$\|x + y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 = 2(\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \|y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2).$$

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 &= \langle x + y, x + y \rangle \\ &= \langle x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + y_1e_1 + y_2e_2, x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + y_1e_1 + y_2e_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle (x_1 + y_1)e_1 + (x_2 + y_2)e_2, (x_1 + y_1)e_1 + (x_2 + y_2)e_2 \rangle \\ &= e_1 \langle (x_1 + y_1), (x_1 + y_1) \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle (x_2 + y_2), (x_2 + y_2) \rangle_2 \\ &= e_1 \|x_1\|_1^2 + e_1 \|y_1\|_1^2 + e_1 \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_1 + e_1 \langle y_1, x_1 \rangle_1 \\ &\quad + e_2 \|x_2\|_2^2 + e_2 \|y_2\|_2^2 + e_2 \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_2 + e_2 \langle y_2, x_2 \rangle_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Also, } \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 &= \langle x - y, x - y \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 - (y_1e_1 + y_2e_2), x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 - (y_1e_1 + y_2e_2) \rangle \\
&= \langle (x_1 - y_1)e_1 + (x_2 - y_2)e_2, (x_1 - y_1)e_1 + (x_2 - y_2)e_2 \rangle \\
&= e_1 \langle (x_1 - y_1), (x_1 - y_1) \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle (x_2 - y_2), (x_2 - y_2) \rangle_2 \\
&= e_1 \|x_1 - y_1\|_1^2 - e_1 \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_1 - e_1 \langle y_1, x_1 \rangle_1 + e_1 \|y_1\|_1^2 + e_2 \|x_2 - y_2\|_2^2 \\
&\quad - e_2 \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_2 - e_2 \langle y_2, x_2 \rangle_2 + e_2 \|y_2\|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

On adding we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \|x - y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 &= 2e_1 \|x_1\|_1^2 + 2e_1 \|y_1\|_1^2 + 2e_2 \|x_2\|_2^2 + 2e_2 \|y_2\|_2^2 \\
&= 2(e_1 \|x_1\|_1^2 + e_2 \|x_2\|_2^2) + 2(e_1 \|y_1\|_1^2 + e_2 \|y_2\|_2^2) \\
&= 2(\|x\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 + \|y\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the euclidean(real valued) norm, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + y\|^2 &= \|(x_1 + y_1)e_1 + (x_2 + y_2)e_2\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\langle x_1 + y_1, x_1 + y_1 \rangle_1 + \langle x_2 + y_2, x_2 + y_2 \rangle_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\|x_1 + y_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2 + y_2\|_2^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\|x - y\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (\|x_1 - y_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2 - y_2\|_2^2)$. On adding we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\|x_1 + y_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2 + y_2\|_2^2 + \|x_1 - y_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2 - y_2\|_2^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\|x_1 + y_1\|_1^2 + \|x_1 - y_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2 + y_2\|_2^2 + \|x_2 - y_2\|_2^2) \\
&\quad \text{using the Parallelogram law for the complex Hilbert spaces} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (2(\|x_1\|_1^2 + \|y_1\|_1^2) + 2(\|x_2\|_2^2 + \|y_2\|_2^2)) \\
&= 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} (\|x_1\|_1^2 + \|x_2\|_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} (\|y_1\|_1^2 + \|y_2\|_2^2) \right) \\
&= 2 (\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.15. *Let X be a bicomplex Hilbert space and $T \in B(X)$. Then T is a normal operator on X if and only if $\|Tx\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|T^*x\|_{\mathbb{D}}, \forall x \in X$.*

Proof. Since T is a bicomplex bounded linear operator on X , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^*x\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|Tx\|_{\mathbb{D}} &\Leftrightarrow \|T^*x\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 = \|Tx\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow \langle T^*x, T^*x \rangle = \langle Tx, Tx \rangle \\
&\Leftrightarrow e_1 \langle T_1^*x_1, T_1^*x_1 \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle T_2^*x_2, T_2^*x_2 \rangle_2 \\
&= e_1 \langle T_1x_1, T_1x_1 \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle T_2x_2, T_2x_2 \rangle_2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow e_1 \langle T_1T_1^*x_1, x_1 \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle T_2T_2^*x_2, x_2 \rangle_2 \\
&= e_1 \langle T_1^*T_1x_1, x_1 \rangle_1 + e_2 \langle T_2^*T_2x_2, x_2 \rangle_2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow T_1T_1^* = T_1^*T_1 \text{ and } T_2T_2^* = T_2^*T_2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow TT^* = T^*T.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that T is normal. □

Remark 2.16. Using (1.2), we get $|\|Tx\|_{\mathbb{D}}| = |\|T^*\|_{\mathbb{D}}|$,

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad \|Tx\| = \|T^*x\|.$$

Theorem 2.17. *Let $T \in B(X)$. Then the following properties are true for the adjoint operators:*

- (i) $\|T^*\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|T\|_{\mathbb{D}}$,
- (ii) $\|T^*T\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|T\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2$.

Proof. (i) trivially holds.

(ii) By using the idempotent decomposition of T and T^* , we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^*T\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|(e_1T_1^* + e_2T_2^*)(e_1T_1 + e_2T_2)\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\
&= \|e_1T_1^*T_1 + e_2T_2^*T_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\
&= e_1\|T_1^*T_1\|_1 + e_2\|T_2^*T_2\|_2 \\
&= e_1\|T_1\|_1^2 + e_2\|T_2\|_2^2 \\
&= \|T\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.18. *Let $T \in B(X)$. Then $\|T\|^2 \leq \|T^*T\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|T\|^2$.*

Proof. Since $\|T^*T\| \leq \sqrt{2}\|T^*\|\|T\| = \sqrt{2}\|T\|^2$.

Also using the idempotent decomposition of T , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T\|^2 &= \|T_1e_1 + T_2e_2\|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\|T_1\|_1^2 + \|T_2\|_2^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\|T_1^*T_1\|_1 + \|T_2^*T_2\|_2) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sqrt{\|T_1^*T_1\|_1^2 + \|T_2^*T_2\|_2^2} \right) \\
&= \|T^*T\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|T\|^2 \leq \|T^*T\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|T\|^2$. □

Proposition 2.19. *If T is a normal linear operator on a bicomplex Hilbert space X . Then*

$$\|T^2\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \|T\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2.$$

Proof. We know that $T = T_1e_1 + T_2e_2$ is a normal operator if and only if T_1 and T_2 are complex normal operators.

Also for complex normal operators T_1 and T_2 , we have

$$\|T_1^2\|_1 = \|T_1\|_1^2 \text{ and } \|T_2^2\|_2 = \|T_2\|_2^2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } \|T^2\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|(T_1e_1 + T_2e_2)^2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \|T_1^2e_1 + T_2^2e_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= \|T_1^2\|_1e_1 + \|T_2^2\|_2e_2 \\ &= \|T_1\|_1^2e_1 + \|T_2\|_2^2e_2 \\ &= \|T\|_{\mathbb{D}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.20. For a normal linear operator T on a bicomplex Hilbert space X , we have

$$\|T\|^2 \leq \|T^2\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|T\|^2.$$

3 Applications of Hahn-Banach Theorem and Bicomplex C^* -Algebras

In this section we introduce quotient modules, bicomplex C^* -algebra, annihilators and discuss a couple of applications of Hahn-Banach theorem. We also describe the duals of a submodule M and of X/M with the aid of the annihilator M^\perp of M .

We can restate bicomplex Hahn Banach theorem (see [10]) as:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Y be a submodule of a \mathbb{BC} -normed module X and let $y' \in Y'$. Then x' is the bicomplex extension of y' if and only if x'_i is the complex extension of y'_i , for $i = 1, 2$.*

Definition 3.2. Let M be a submodule of a \mathbb{BC} -module X .

Then we can write $X = X_1e_1 + X_2e_2$, where X_1, X_2 are complex linear spaces and $M = M_1e_1 + M_2e_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are complex linear subspaces of X_1 and X_2 respectively, so that X_i/M_i for $i=1,2$ are quotient spaces over the complex field.

Consider the set $X/M = \{M + x : x \in X\}$, where $M + x$ is a coset of M that

contains x .

Let $x, y \in X$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{BC}$. Then define the following operations on X/M as

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i) } (M + x) + (M + y) &= ((M_1e_1 + M_2e_2) + (x_1e_1 + x_2e_2)) + ((M_1e_1 + M_2e_2) + (y_1e_1 + y_2e_2)) \\
&= (M_1 + x_1)e_1 + (M_2 + x_2)e_2 + (M_1 + y_1)e_1 + (M_2 + y_2)e_2 \\
&= (M_1 + x_1 + y_1)e_1 + (M_2 + x_2 + y_2)e_2 \\
&= (M + x + y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii) } \alpha(M + x) &= \alpha_1e_1 + \alpha_2e_2 ((M_1e_1 + M_2e_2) + (x_1e_1 + x_2e_2)) \\
&= \alpha_1e_1 + \alpha_2e_2 ((M_1 + x_1)e_1 + (M_2 + x_2)e_2) \\
&= \alpha_1(M_1 + x_1)e_1 + \alpha_2(M_2 + x_2)e_2 \\
&= (M_1 + \alpha_1x_1)e_1 + (M_2 + \alpha_2x_2)e_2 \\
&= M_1e_1 + M_2e_2 + (\alpha_1e_1 + \alpha_2e_2)(x_1e_1 + x_2e_2) \\
&= M + \alpha x.
\end{aligned}$$

With the operations defined above X/M form a module over \mathbb{BC} and is called bicomplex quotient module.

Remark 3.3. For any $x \in X$, $M + x = (M_1 + x_1)e_1 + (M_2 + x_2)e_2$, so one can conclude

$$X/M = e_1X_1/M_1 + e_2X_2/M_2$$

Definition 3.4. Let M be a submodule of a \mathbb{BC} -module X such that X/M form a bicomplex quotient module. Define a mapping $f : X \rightarrow X/M$ as $f(x) = M + x$.

Clearly, f is a bicomplex linear mapping and is called a bicomplex quotient map of X onto X/M .

Let X be a normed module and f be a quotient map of X onto X/M . Then the quotient norm on X/M is defined as

$$\|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \inf \{\|x - y\|_{\mathbb{D}} : y \in M\}.$$

Remark 3.5.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Since } \inf \{\|x - y\|_{\mathbb{D}}\} &= \inf \{\|x_1 - y_1\|_1 e_1 + \|x_2 - y_2\|_2 e_2\} \\
&= e_1 \inf \{\|x_1 - y_1\|_1\} + e_2 \inf \{\|x_2 - y_2\|_2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the quotient norm on X/M can be given as

$$\|f(x)\|_{\mathbb{D}} = e_1\|f_1(x_1)\|_1 + e_2\|f_2(x_2)\|_2.$$

Definition 3.6. Let X be a \mathbb{BC} -Banach module and M be a submodule of X . Then the annihilator of M is defined as

$$M^{\perp} = \left\{ x' \in X' : \langle x, x' \rangle = 0, \forall x \in M \right\}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Further, } x' \in M^\perp &\Leftrightarrow \langle x, x' \rangle = 0, \forall x \in M \\
&\Leftrightarrow \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle_1 e_1 + \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle_2 e_2 = 0, \forall x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 \in M \\
&\Leftrightarrow \langle x_1, x'_1 \rangle_1 = 0 \text{ and } \langle x_2, x'_2 \rangle_2 = 0, \forall x_1 \in M_1, \forall x_2 \in M_2 \\
&\Leftrightarrow x'_1 \in M_1^\perp \text{ and } x'_2 \in M_2^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can concludes that annihilator of bicomplex submodule M is equal to the annihilator of its idempotent components M_1 and M_2 , i.e.,

$$M^\perp = e_1 M_1^\perp + e_2 M_2^\perp.$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a closed submodule of a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ -Banach module X . Then*

- (a) M' is an isometrically isomorphic to X'/M^\perp .
- (b) $(X/M)'$ is isometrically isomorphic to M^\perp .

Proof. (a) Since every $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ -linear functional f on M can be written as $f = f_1 e_1 + f_2 e_2$, where f_i are complex-linear functional on normed linear spaces M_i , for $i = 1, 2$.

Thus, one can write $M' = M'_1 e_1 + M'_2 e_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Further, } X'/M^\perp &= \{x' + M^\perp : x' \in X'\} \\
&= \{x'_1 e_1 + x'_2 e_2 + M_1^\perp e_1 + M_2^\perp e_2 : x' \in X'\} \\
&= \{(x'_1 + M_1^\perp) e_1 + (x'_2 + M_2^\perp) e_2 : x' \in X'\} \\
&= e_1 \{(x'_1 + M_1^\perp) : x'_1 \in X'_1\} + e_2 \{(x'_2 + M_2^\perp) : x'_2 \in X'_2\} \\
&= e_1 X'_1/M_1^\perp + e_2 X'_2/M_2^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

Also M_i is a closed linear subspace of a complex Banach space X_i for $i = 1, 2$ and thus by using [17, Theorem 4.9], there exist a linear mapping

$$f_i : M'_i \longrightarrow X'_i/M_i^\perp$$

defined as $f_i(m'_i) = (x'_i + M_i^\perp)$ such that f_i is an isometric isomorphism, where x'_i is an extension of m'_i for $i = 1, 2$

Define $f = f_1 e_1 + f_2 e_2$ as $f(m') = x' + M^\perp$, where x' is a bicomplex Hahn Banach extension of m' .

Clearly, $f : M' \longrightarrow X'/M^\perp$ is a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ -linear as well as bijective map. Moreover, isometry follows from the isometries of f_1 and f_2 , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(m')\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|f_1(m'_1) e_1 + f_2(m'_2) e_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\
&= e_1 \|f_1(m'_1)\|_1 + e_2 \|f_2(m'_2)\|_2 \\
&= e_1 \|m'_1\|_1 + e_2 \|m'_2\|_2 \\
&= \|m'\|_{\mathbb{D}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) By using remark (3.3), we can write,

$$X/M = e_1X_1/M_1 + e_2X_2/M_2.$$

Also, by the idempotent decomposition of bicomplex linear functional f over X/M , one can write

$$(X/M)' = e_1(X_1/M_1)' + e_2(X_2/M_2)'$$

Now M_i is a closed subspace of a complex Banach space X_i and by using [17, Theorem 4.9], there exist a linear mapping

$$f_i : (X_i/M_i)' \longrightarrow M_i^\perp$$

defined as $f_i(y_i') = y_i'(g_i)$, where $g_i : X_i \longrightarrow X_i/M_i$ be the quotient map for $i = 1, 2$.

Define $f = f_1e_1 + f_2e_2$ as $f(y') = y'(g)$, where $g = g_1e_1 + g_2e_2 : X \longrightarrow X/M$ be the quotient map.

Clearly $f : (X/M)' \longrightarrow M^\perp$ is a $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ -linear map which is also bijective.

Also isometry of f follows from the isometries of f_1 and f_2 , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(y')\|_{\mathbb{D}} &= \|f_1(y_1')e_1 + f_2(y_2')e_2\|_{\mathbb{D}} \\ &= e_1\|f_1(y_1')\|_1 + e_2\|f_2(y_2')\|_2 \\ &= e_1\|y_1'\|_1 + e_2\|y_2'\|_2 \\ &= \|y'\|_{\mathbb{D}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.8. We can rewrite the above theorem as:

(a) M' is isometrically isomorphic to X'/M^\perp if and only if M_i' is isometrically isomorphic to X_i'/M_i^\perp for $i = 1, 2$.

(b) $(X/M)'$ is isometrically isomorphic to M^\perp if and only if $(X_i/M_i)'$ is isometrically isomorphic to M_i^\perp for $i = 1, 2$.

Theorem 3.9. *Let X be a bicomplex normed module. Then $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$ is a bicomplex Banach module if and only if X_1 and X_2 are complex Banach spaces.*

Proof. Firstly suppose that $X = e_1X_1 + e_2X_2$ is a bicomplex Banach module. To show that X_i is a complex Banach space, let $\{a_{ni}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Cauchy sequence in X_i for $i = 1, 2$, where $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{ni} = e_i a_n$. Thus, $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . But X is a Banach module, so for given $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $a \in X$, there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|a_n - a\| \leq \epsilon$; $\forall n \geq r$.

Now, $\|e_i a_n - e_i a\| = \|e_i(a_n - a)\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|e_i\| \|a_n - a\| = \sqrt{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|a_n - a\| \leq \epsilon$, $\forall n \geq r$.

i.e., $e_i a_n \rightarrow e_i a$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Hence X_i for $i = 1, 2$ is a complex Banach space.

To prove the converse part, let $\{a_n = e_1 a_n + e_2 a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be Cauchy sequence in X , then $\{e_i a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in X_i , for $i = 1, 2$. By using the completeness of X_i , it is easy to show that X is complete. Hence X is a bicomplex Banach module. \square

Definition 3.10. An algebra A over \mathbb{BC} that has a norm $\|\cdot\|$ relative to which A is a Banach space and such that for every x, y in A ,

$$\|xy\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\| \|y\|$$

is called a bicomplex Banach algebra which is clearly a generalisation of classical Banach algebra.

Definition 3.11. A mapping $x \rightarrow x^*$ of a bicomplex Banach algebra A into A is called an involution on A if the following properties hold for $x, y \in A$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{BC}$:

- (i) $(x^*)^* = (x)$
- (ii) $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$
- (iii) $(\alpha x + y)^* = \alpha^\dagger x^* + y^*$.

Definition 3.12. A bicomplex Banach algebra with an involution on it is called a bicomplex B^* - algebra.

Definition 3.13. A bicomplex B^* - algebra such that for every x in A ,

$$\|x\|^2 \leq \|x^* x\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|x\|^2$$

is called a bicomplex C^* - algebra.

Examples

(1) If X is a bicomplex Hilbert space, then $B(X)$ is a bicomplex C^* - algebra, where for each T in $B(X)$, T^* is the adjoint of T .

(2) Let X be a bicomplex compact space and $C(X)$ denotes the space of all bicomplex-valued continuous functions on X . Then $C(X)$ is a bicomplex C^* - algebra, where $f^*(x) = f^\dagger(x)$, $\forall f \in C(X)$, $\forall x \in X$.

4 Examples of Bicomplex Function Spaces

Let $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}} = \{Z = z + jw = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2 \mid (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{D}^2\}$ be the unit discus in \mathbb{BC} , where \mathbb{D} is the unit disk in the complex plane and $\mathbb{D}^2 = \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. Then the bicomplex Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})$ (see [1]) is defined to be the set of all holomorphic functions $f : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}} \rightarrow \mathbb{BC}$ such that its sequence of power series coefficients is square-summable, i.e.,

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}}) = \left\{ f(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \text{ holomorphic in } \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|_k^2 \text{ is convergent} \right\},$$

where $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n \in \mathbb{BC}$.

By setting $a_n = e_1 a_{n1} + e_2 a_{n2}$, we find that both the complex series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n1}|^2, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n2}|^2$$

are convergent, so that one can write the bicomplex Hardy space as

$$H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}}) = e_1 H^2(\mathbb{D}) + e_2 H^2(\mathbb{D})$$

where $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the Hardy space of the unit disk \mathbb{D} in the complex plane. The \mathbb{BC} -valued inner product on $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})$ is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cdot b_n^\dagger$$

and it generates the \mathbb{D} -valued norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})}^2 &= \langle f, f \rangle_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|_k^2 \\ &= e_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n1}|^2 + e_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n2}|^2 \\ &= e_1 \|f_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + e_2 \|f_2\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can say a bicomplex holomorphic function $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})$ if and only if $f_1, f_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that

$$f(z_1 + jz_2) = e_1 f_1(z_1 - iz_2) + e_2 f_2(z_1 + iz_2),$$

for all $z_1 + jz_2 \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}}$.

Definition 4.1. Consider a sequence $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of positive hyperbolic numbers with

$$\beta(0) = e_1 + e_2 = 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta(n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq e_1 + e_2 = 1.$$

We now define the *weighted sequence space* $l_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{BC})$ of bicomplex numbers as

$$l_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{BC}) = \left\{ \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : a_n \in \mathbb{BC} \text{ and } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n \beta(n)|_k^2 \text{ is convergent} \right\},$$

where the \mathbb{D} -valued norm on $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is defined to be

$$\|\{a_n\}\|_{\mathbb{D}} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n \beta(n)|_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Setting $a_n = a_{n1} e_1 + a_{n2} e_2$, $\beta(n) = \beta_1(n) e_1 + \beta_2(n) e_2$, one gets:

$$|a_n \beta(n)|_k^2 = |a_{n1} \beta_1(n)|^2 e_1 + |a_{n2} \beta_2(n)|^2 e_2,$$

which means that both the complex series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n1}\beta_1(n)|^2, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n2}\beta_2(n)|^2$$

are convergent and thus both the sequences $\{a_{n1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{a_{n2}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ belong to the weighted Hardy space $l_{\beta_1}^2$ and $l_{\beta_2}^2$ respectively. Thus, bicomplex weighted sequence space can be written as

$$l_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{BC}) = l_{\beta_1}^2 e_1 + l_{\beta_2}^2 e_2$$

Definition 4.2. The *bicomplex weighted Hardy space* is defined as

$$H_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}}) = \left\{ f(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n \text{ holomorphic in } \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}} : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n \beta(n)|_k^2 \text{ is convergent} \right\}.$$

where $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, a_n \in \mathbb{BC}$.

Setting $a_n = a_{n1}e_1 + a_{n2}e_2$ and $\beta(n) = \beta_1(n)e_1 + \beta_2(n)e_2$ one gets:

$$|a_n \beta(n)|_k^2 = e_1 |a_{n1}\beta_1(n)|^2 + e_2 |a_{n2}\beta_2(n)|^2$$

which means that both complex series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n1}\beta_1(n)|^2, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n2}\beta_2(n)|^2$$

are convergent and thus both the functions

$$f_1(z_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n1} z_1^n, \quad f_2(z_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n2} z_2^n$$

belong to the weighted Hardy space of the unit disk $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. This means that bicomplex weighted Hardy space can be written as

$$H_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}}) = e_1 H_{\beta_1}^2(\mathbb{D}) + e_2 H_{\beta_2}^2(\mathbb{D})$$

The \mathbb{BC} -valued inner product on $H_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})$ is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \beta(n) \cdot (b_n \beta(n))^{\dagger 3}$$

and it generates the \mathbb{D} -valued norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})}^2 &= \langle f, f \rangle_{H_{\beta}^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{BC}})} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n \beta(n)|_k^2 \\ &= e_1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n1}\beta_1(n)|^2 + e_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{n2}\beta_2(n)|^2 \\ &= e_1 \|a_{n1}\beta_1(n)\|_{H_{\beta_1}^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + e_2 \|a_{n2}\beta_2(n)\|_{H_{\beta_2}^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.3. The mapping $T : H_\beta^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow l_\beta^2(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C})$ defined as

$$T(f(Z)) = T\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n Z^n\right) = \{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$

is an isomorphism. Moreover, T also preserves norm.

Definition 4.4. Let Π^+ denotes the usual complex upper half plane. Define $\Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}) = \{Z = z + jw = e_1 z_1 + e_2 z_2 \mid (z_1, z_2) \in (\Pi^+ \times \Pi^+)\}$. Then we say that $\Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C})$ is a bicomplex upper half plane. From the above definition, $Z \in \Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $\text{Img}(z_1 \geq 0)$, $\text{Img}(z_2 \geq 0)$, so that one can write

$$\Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}) = e_1 \Pi^+ + e_2 \Pi^+.$$

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we define bicomplex Hardy space over bicomplex upper half plane as

$$H^p(\Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C})) = e_1 H_1^p(\Pi^+) + e_2 H_2^p(\Pi^+),$$

where $H_1^p(\Pi^+)$ and $H_2^p(\Pi^+)$ denotes the usual Hardy spaces of the complex upper half plane.

For $p = \infty$, we define $H^\infty(\Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}))$ to be the space of all bounded holomorphic functions such that

$$H^\infty(\Pi^+(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C})) = e_1 H_1^\infty(\Pi_1^+) + e_2 H_2^\infty(\Pi_2^+),$$

where $H_1^\infty(\Pi_1^+)$, $H_2^\infty(\Pi_2^+)$ have their usual meanings in complex plane.

Example 4.5. Define a linear transformation

$$L(W) = i \frac{1+W}{1-W}.$$

Then we can write

$$L(W) = i \left(\frac{1+w_1}{1-w_1} \right) e_1 + i \left(\frac{1+w_2}{1-w_2} \right) e_2,$$

so that $L = e_1 L_1 + e_2 L_2$, where L_1, L_2 are the linear transformations mapping the unit disc to the upper half plane in \mathbb{C} . Moreover, L maps $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$ onto Π^+ .

Further L is invertible if and only if L_1 and L_2 are invertible, i.e.,

$$L^{-1} = e_1 L_1^{-1} + e_2 L_2^{-1}.$$

Remark 4.6. Any bicomplex Holomorphic Function space $F(\Omega)$, where Ω is any open set in $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ can be decomposed as $e_1 F(\Omega_1) + e_2 F(\Omega_2)$, where $F(\Omega_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are usual classical function spaces and Ω_i are the open sets in \mathbb{C} .

Moreover, the bicomplex function space $F(\Omega)$ will be a Hilbert space, Banach space and Frechet space provided the corresponding decomposed copies of function spaces $F(\Omega_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are Hilbert spaces, Banach spaces and Frechet spaces respectively.

5 Composition Operators on $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$

Let $f : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ be a bicomplex holomorphic function and $\Phi : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$ be a bicomplex holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$. Then we can decompose

$$f(Z) = f(z_1 + jz_2) = f_1(z_1 - iz_2)e_1 + f_2(z_1 + iz_2)e_2,$$

where f_i for $i = 1, 2$ are usual complex-valued holomorphic functions on unit disc \mathbb{D} .

Also $\Phi(Z) = \Phi(z_1 + jz_2) = e_1\Phi_1(z_1 - iz_2) + e_2\Phi_2(z_1 + iz_2)$, where $\Phi_i : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ for $i = 1, 2$ are usual complex-valued holomorphic functions. Then by an easy calculation we have the following lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ be a bicomplex holomorphic function and $\Phi : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$ be a bicomplex holomorphic function on $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$. Then $f \circ \Phi : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}$ defined by*

$$(f \circ \Phi)(z_1 + jz_2) = e_1(f_1 \circ \Phi_1)(z_1 - iz_2) + e_2(f_2 \circ \Phi_2)(z_1 + iz_2)$$

is a bicomplex holomorphic function if and only if $f_1 \circ \Phi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $f_2 \circ \Phi_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are complex-valued holomorphic functions.

Theorem 5.2. *(LittlewoodsSubordinationPrinciple)*

Suppose Φ is a bicomplex holomorphic self map of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$, with $\Phi(0) = 0$. Then for $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$,

$$C_{\Phi}f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|C_{\Phi}f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} \leq \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})},$$

where $C_{\Phi}f$ is a composition operator defined as $C_{\Phi}f = f \circ \Phi$.

Proof. Using Lemma (5.1) and the Closed graph theorem in [10], it is clear that $C_{\Phi}f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$. Further by using the classical Littlewood's Principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_{\Phi}f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} &= \|f \circ \Phi\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} \\ &= \langle f \circ \Phi, f \circ \Phi \rangle_{\frac{1}{2}H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} \\ &= e_1 \langle f_1 \circ \Phi_1, f_1 \circ \Phi_1 \rangle_{\frac{1}{2}H^2(\mathbb{D})} + e_2 \langle f_2 \circ \Phi_2, f_2 \circ \Phi_2 \rangle_{\frac{1}{2}H^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &= e_1 \|f_1 \circ \Phi_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} + e_2 \|f_2 \circ \Phi_2\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &\leq e_1 \|f_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} + e_2 \|f_2\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})} \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 5.3. For each point $a \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$, let $T_a : \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$ be a linear transformation defined by

$$T_a(Z) = \frac{a - Z}{1 - a^\dagger Z} = e_1 \frac{a_1 - z_1}{1 - \bar{a}_1 z_1} + e_2 \frac{a_2 - z_2}{1 - \bar{a}_2 z_2}$$

$$= e_1 T_{a_1} + e_2 T_{a_2}$$

where T_{a_1} and T_{a_2} are usual linear transformations maps \mathbb{D} onto itself. Then T_a has following properties:

- (1) T_a maps $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$ onto itself.
- (2) $T_a(0) = a$, $T_a(a) = 0$, $T_a \circ T_a(Z) = Z$, $\forall Z \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$.
- (3) T_a is invertible if and only if T_{a_1} and T_{a_2} are invertible.

Lemma 5.4. *For each $a \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$, the operator C_{T_a} is bounded on $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover,*

$$\|C_{T_a}\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} \leq \left(\frac{1 + |a|_k}{1 - |a|_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma (5.1), we can write

$$\|f \circ T_a\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})}^2 = e_1 \|f_1 \circ T_{a_1}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + e_2 \|f_2 \circ T_{a_2}\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2.$$

Since $f_i \circ T_{a_i} \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$, for $i = 1, 2$, so by using Lemma (see, [18] at P. 16), we get $C_{T_{a_i}}$ is bounded on $H^2(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$\|C_{T_{a_i}} f_i\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \leq \left(\frac{1 + |a_i|}{1 - |a_i|} \right) \|f_i\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Thus, C_{T_a} is bounded on $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Further, } \|C_{T_a} f\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})}^2 &= e_1 \|C_{T_{a_1}} f_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + e_2 \|C_{T_{a_2}} f_2\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \\ &\leq e_1 \left(\frac{1 + |a_1|}{1 - |a_1|} \right) \|f_1\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 + e_2 \left(\frac{1 + |a_2|}{1 - |a_2|} \right) \|f_2\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D})}^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1 + |a|_k}{1 - |a|_k} \right) \|f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 5.5. (*Littlewood's Theorem*): *Suppose Φ is a holomorphic self-map of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$. Then C_{Φ} is a bounded operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$ and*

$$\|C_{\Phi}\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\Phi(0)|_k}{1 - |\Phi(0)|_k}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\Phi(0) = a$ and write $\Psi = T_a \circ \Phi$. Then Ψ is a bicomplex holomorphic function takes $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}}$ onto itself and fixes the origin. Also by the self-inverse property of T_a we have $\Phi = T_a \circ \Psi$. Let $C_{\Phi} = C_{\Psi} C_{T_a}$, then by using the Lemma (5.4) and Littlewood's Subordination Principle, it is clear that C_{Φ} is a bounded operator on $H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})$. Moreover,

$$\|C_{\Phi}\|_{\mathbb{D}, H^2(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{C}})} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1 + |\Phi(0)|_k}{1 - |\Phi(0)|_k}}.$$

□

References

- [1] D. Alpay, M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro and D. C. Struppa, *Basics of functional analysis with bicomplex scalars, and bicomplex Schur analysis*, (2013), arXiv:1304.0781.
- [2] K. S. Charak, R. Kumar and D. Rochon, *Infinite-dimensional bicomplex spectral decomposition theorem*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **23** (2013), 593-605.
- [3] F. Colombo, I. Sabadini and D. C. Struppa, *Bicomplex holomorphic functional calculus*, Math. Nachr. (2013), 1-13/DOI 10.1002/mana.201200354.
- [4] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, 2nd Edition, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [5] C. C. Cowen and B. D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1995.
- [6] H. De Bie, D. C. Struppa, A. Vajiac and M. B. Vajiac, *The Cauchy-Kowalewski product for bicomplex holomorphic functions*, Math.Nachr. **285**, No. 10(2012), 1230-1242/DOI 10.1002/mana.201100047.
- [7] S. Dubey, R. Kumar and K. Sharma, *A note on bicomplex Orlicz spaces*, (2014), arXiv:1401.7112v1.
- [8] R. Gervais Lavoie, L. Marchildon and D. Rochon, *Finite-Dimensional Bicomplex Hilbert Spaces*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. **21**, N0. 3 (2011), 561-581.
- [9] R. Gervais Lavoie, L. Marchildon and D. Rochon, *Infinite-Dimensional Bicomplex Hilbert Spaces*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **1**, No. 2 (2010), 75-91.
- [10] R. Kumar, R. Kumar and D. Rochon, *The fundamental theorems in the framework of bicomplex topological modules*, (2008), arXiv:1109.3424v1.
- [11] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro and D. C. Struppa, *On Clifford analysis for holomorphic mappings*, Adv. Geom.(2013), to appear.
- [12] M. E. Luna-Elizarraras, M. Shapiro, D. C. Struppa and A. Vajiac, *Complex Laplacian and derivatives of bicomplex functions*, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **7** (2013), 1675-1711.
- [13] G. B. Price, *An introduction to multicomplex spaces and functions*, 3rd edition, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1991.
- [14] J. D. Riley, *Contributions to the theory of functions of a bicomplex variable*, Tohoku Math J(2) Volume 5, No.2 (1953), 132-165.

- [15] D. Rochon and M. Shapiro, *On algebraic properties of bicomplex and hyperbolic numbers*, Anal. Univ. Oradea, Fasc. Math. **11** (2004), 71-110.
- [16] D. Rochon and S. Tremblay, *Bicomplex Quantum Mechanics II: The Hilbert Space*, Advances in applied Clifford Algebras, **16** No. 2 (2006), 135-157.
- [17] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Ltd. New Delhi, 1988.
- [18] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1993.

Romesh Kumar, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*

E-mail : *romesh_jammu@yahoo.com*

Kulbir Singh, *Department of Mathematics, University of Jammu, Jammu, J&K - 180 006, India.*

E-mail : *singhkulbir03@yahoo.com*