

# EULER SEQUENCE FOR COMPLETE SMOOTH $\mathbb{K}^*$ -SURFACES

ANTONIO LAFACE AND MANUEL MELO

ABSTRACT. In this note we introduce exact sequences of sheaves on a complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface without elliptic points. These sequences are an attempt to generalize the Euler sequence for a toric variety to complexity one surfaces. As an application we show that such a surface is rigid if and only if it is Fano.

## INTRODUCTION

In [Har77, V.8.13], we are presented with an exact sequence, namely

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which allows the author to make several computations regarding differentials. This sequence is named *Euler sequence* and in [CLS11] it is generalized to any smooth toric variety  $X$  coming from a fan  $\Sigma$  whose rays span the whole ambient lattice. The exact sequence in question is

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-D_\rho) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $D_\rho$  is the invariant divisor associated to the ray  $\rho$ .

This work attempts to obtain a similar result in the case of  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surfaces.

We briefly recall that a  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface  $X$  without elliptic points (see Definition 1.8) is equipped with an equivariant morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ , onto a smooth projective curve  $Y$ , which admits two distinguished sections called the source  $F^+$  and the sink  $F^-$  of  $X$ . This allows us to form the divisor  $E_S = mF - \sum_{i \in I} E_i$ , where  $m$  is the number of reducible fibers of  $\pi$  and  $\{E_i : i \in I\}$  is the set of the prime components of such fibers.

Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface without elliptic points. There is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^* \Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(E_S) \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{r+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $r = |I| - m$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is the quotient of  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_X(E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(F^+) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(F^-)$  by the subsheaf  $\bigoplus_{i,j} \mathcal{O}_X(-E_i - E_j)$ , where the sum is taken over all the pairs  $(i, j)$  such that  $E_i \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we introduce the language of polyhedral divisors detailed in [AH06]. Section 2 is devoted to the construction of two exact sequences needed to prove Theorem 1. Because of the method employed to prove them, we restrict our attention to complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surfaces without elliptic points. Finally, in Section 3, as an application, we show that the only rigid

rational  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surfaces without elliptic points are Fano. This is a partial generalization of [Ilt11, Corollary 2.8] where the case of toric surfaces is considered.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface without elliptic points. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The equality  $h^1(X, T_X) = 0$  holds;*
- (2)  *$X$  is toric Fano.*

*Acknowledgments.* It is a pleasure to thank Jürgen Hausen for useful discussions on the subject.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and  $X$  an algebraic variety over  $\mathbb{K}$  having an action of  $T := (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$  (which is called the  $n$ -dimensional torus). This action is called *effective* if the only  $t \in T$ , for which  $t \cdot x = x$  holds for all  $x \in X$ , is the identity of  $T$ .

**Definition.** A  $T$ -variety is a normal algebraic variety  $X$  coming with an effective  $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ -action. The *complexity* of  $X$  is the difference  $\dim X - n$ .

These varieties admit a polyhedral description given by K. Altmann and J. Hausen for the affine case in [AH06] and later, together with H. Suß, in [AHS08] for the non-affine case. In the following section, we briefly recall this construction as well as a definition by N. Ilten and H. Suß in [IS11] that helps to simplify the notation.

**1.1. Polyhedral divisors.** Let  $N$  be a lattice of rank  $n$ , and  $M = \text{Hom}\langle N, \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  its dual. We denote by  $\langle -, - \rangle: M \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  the perfect pairing defined by  $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle := u(v)$  and by  $N_{\mathbb{Q}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $M_{\mathbb{Q}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  the rational vector spaces. A *polyhedron* in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is an intersection of finitely many affine half spaces in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . If we require the supporting hyperplane of any half space to be a linear subspace, the polyhedron is called a *cone*. If  $\sigma$  is a cone in  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , its *dual cone* is defined as

$$\sigma^{\vee} := \{u \in M_{\mathbb{Q}} : \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \in N_{\mathbb{Q}}\}.$$

Let  $\Delta \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a polyhedron. The set

$$\sigma := \{v \in N_{\mathbb{Q}} : tv + \Delta \subseteq \Delta, \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

is a cone called the *tailcone* of  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta$  is called a  $\sigma$ -*polyhedron*.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $Y$  be a normal variety and  $\sigma$  a cone. A *polyhedral divisor* on  $Y$  is a formal sum

$$\mathcal{D} := \sum_P \Delta_P \otimes P,$$

where  $P$  runs over all prime divisors of  $Y$  and the  $\Delta_P$  are all  $\sigma$ -polyhedrons such that  $\Delta_P = \sigma$  for all but finitely many  $P$ . We admit the empty set as a valid  $\sigma$ -polyhedron too.

Let  $\mathfrak{D} := \sum \Delta_P \otimes P$  be a polyhedral divisor on  $Y$ , with tailcone  $\sigma$ . For every  $u \in \sigma^{\vee}$  we define the evaluation

$$\mathfrak{D}(u) := \sum_{\substack{P \subset Y \\ \Delta_P \neq \emptyset}} \min_{v \in \Delta_P} \langle u, v \rangle \otimes P \in \text{WDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{Loc } \mathcal{D})$$

where  $\text{Loc } \mathcal{D} := Y \setminus (\cup_{\Delta_P = \emptyset} P)$  is the *locus* of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $Y$  be a normal variety. A *proper polyhedral divisor*, also called a *pp-divisor* is a polyhedral divisor  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $Y$ , such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{D}(u)$  is Cartier and semiample for every  $u \in \sigma^\vee \cap M$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D}(u)$  is big for every  $u \in (\text{relint } \sigma^\vee) \cap M$ .

Now, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a pp-divisor on a semiprojective (i.e. projective over some affine variety) variety  $Y$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  having tailcone  $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . This defines an  $M$ -graded algebra

$$A(\mathcal{D}) := \bigoplus_{u \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} \Gamma(\text{Loc } \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}(u))).$$

The affine scheme  $X(\mathcal{D}) := \text{Spec } A(\mathcal{D})$  comes with a natural action of  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{K}[M]$ . Definition 1.2 is mainly motivated by the following result [AH06, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4].

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a pp-divisor on a normal variety  $Y$ . Then  $X(\mathcal{D})$  is an affine  $T$ -variety of complexity equal to  $\dim Y$ . Moreover, every affine  $T$ -variety arises like this.*

**1.2. Divisorial fans.** Non-affine  $T$ -varieties are obtained by gluing affine  $T$ -varieties coming from pp-divisors in a combinatorial way as specified in Definition 1.4.

Consider two polyhedral divisors  $\mathcal{D} = \sum \Delta_P \otimes P$  and  $\mathcal{D}' = \sum \Delta'_P \otimes P$  on  $Y$ , with tailcones  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  respectively and such that  $\Delta_P \subseteq \Delta'_P$  for every  $P$ . We then have an inclusion

$$\bigoplus_{u \in \sigma^\vee \cap M} \Gamma(\text{Loc } \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}(u))) \subseteq \bigoplus_{u \in \sigma'^\vee \cap M} \Gamma(\text{Loc } \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}'(u))),$$

which induces a morphism  $X(\mathcal{D}') \rightarrow X(\mathcal{D})$ . We say that  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a *face* of  $\mathcal{D}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{D}' \prec \mathcal{D}$ , if this morphism is an open embedding.

**Definition 1.4.** A *divisorial fan* on  $Y$  is a finite set  $\mathcal{S}$  of pp-divisors on  $Y$  such that for every pair of divisors  $\mathcal{D} = \sum \Delta_P \otimes P$  and  $\mathcal{D}' = \sum \Delta'_P \otimes P$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we have  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}' \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{D} \succ \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}' \prec \mathcal{D}'$ , where  $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}' := \sum (\Delta_P \cap \Delta'_P) \otimes P$ .

This definition allows us to glue affine  $T$ -varieties via

$$X(\mathcal{D}) \longleftarrow X(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{D}') \longrightarrow X(\mathcal{D}'),$$

thus resulting in a scheme  $X(\mathcal{S})$ . For the following theorem see [AHS08, Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.6].

**Theorem 1.5.** *The scheme  $X(\mathcal{S})$  constructed above is a  $T$ -variety of complexity equal to  $\dim Y$ . Every  $T$ -variety can be constructed like this.*

**1.3. Marked fansy divisors on curves.** In this subsection  $Y$  will be a smooth projective curve. For a polyhedral divisor  $\mathcal{D} = \sum_P \Delta_P \otimes P$  on  $Y$ , and a point  $y \in Y$ , set

$$\mathcal{D}_y := \sum_{P \ni y} \Delta_P.$$

Then for a divisorial fan  $\mathcal{S}$  on  $Y$ , we define the *slice* of  $\mathcal{S}$  at  $y$  as  $\{\mathcal{D}_y : \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}\}$ .

Now, the slices of a divisorial fan do not give enough information about the corresponding  $T$ -variety. Two divisorial fans  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  can have the same slices, yet  $X(\mathcal{S}) \neq X(\mathcal{S}')$ . In [IS11], this issue is fixed for the case of complete complexity-one  $T$ -varieties with the following definition.

**Definition 1.6.** A *marked fancy divisor* on a curve  $Y$  is a formal sum  $\Xi = \sum_{P \in Y} \Xi_P \otimes P$  together with a fan  $\Sigma$  and a subset  $C \subseteq \Sigma$ , such that

- (i)  $\Xi_P$  is a complete polyhedral subdivision of  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\text{tail}(\Xi_P) = \Sigma$  for all  $P \in Y$ .
- (ii) For  $\sigma \in C$  of full dimension,  $\sum \Delta_P^\sigma \otimes P$  is a pp-divisor, where  $\Delta_P^\sigma$  is the only  $\sigma$ -polyhedron of  $\Xi_P$ .
- (iii) For  $\sigma \in C$  of full dimension and  $\tau \prec \sigma$ , we have  $\tau \in C$  if and only if  $(\sum_P \Delta_P^\sigma) \cap \tau \neq \emptyset$ .
- (iv) If  $\tau \prec \sigma$  and  $\tau \in C$ , then  $\sigma \in C$ .

We say that the cones in  $C$  are *marked*.

Given a complete divisorial fan  $\mathcal{S}$  on a curve  $Y$ , we can define the marked fancy divisor  $\Xi = \sum_P \mathcal{S}_P \otimes P$  with marks on all the tailcones of divisors  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}$  having complete locus. We denote it by  $\Xi(\mathcal{S})$ . The following is proved in [IS11, Proposition 1.6].

**Proposition 1.7.** *For any marked fancy divisor  $\Xi$ , there exists a complete divisorial fan  $\mathcal{S}$  with  $\Xi(\mathcal{S}) = \Xi$ . If two divisorial fans  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}'$  satisfy  $\Xi(\mathcal{S}) = \Xi(\mathcal{S}')$ , then  $X(\mathcal{S}) = X(\mathcal{S}')$ .*

**1.4.  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surfaces.** We now look at the case of a  $T$ -variety of dimension two and complexity one. We call this type of variety a  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -*surface*.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface and let  $x \in X$  be a fixed point for the torus action. We say that the fixed point  $x$  is:

- *elliptic* if there is an invariant open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that this point lies in the closure of every orbit of  $U$ ,
- *parabolic* if  $x$  lies on a curve made entirely of fixed points,
- *hyperbolic* otherwise.

Before proceeding recall that a morphism of varieties  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  where  $X$  is a  $T$ -variety is called a *good quotient* if  $\pi$  is affine, constant on the orbits and the pullback  $\pi^*: \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X)^T$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let  $X$  be a complete  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *There exists a morphism  $X \rightarrow Y$  onto a smooth projective curve  $Y$  that is a good quotient for the  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -action.*
- (ii)  *$X$  has no elliptic fixed points.*
- (iii)  *$X$  is given by a marked fancy divisor without marks.*

*Proof.* We prove three implications.

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $x \in X$  be an elliptic fixed point. There is an open neighborhood  $x \in U$  such that  $x$  lies in the closure of every orbit in  $U$ . Therefore, there cannot be a good quotient  $X \rightarrow Y$  because the open set  $U$  would be mapped to a single point; a contradiction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Assume that the marked fancy divisor defining  $X$  has a mark. There is then an open affine chart of  $X$  given by a pp-divisor  $\mathcal{D}$  with complete locus  $Y$ . The zero-degree component of  $A(\mathcal{D})$  is  $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{K}$ , meaning that the degrees of the generators of  $A(\mathcal{D})$  as an algebra are either all positive (if  $\text{tail } \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ ) or all negative (if  $\text{tail } \mathcal{D} = \mathbb{Q}_{\leq 0}$ ). In either case, we can take local coordinates such that the origin  $x_0$  lies in the closure of every orbit, i.e.  $x_0$  is an elliptic fixed point.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a divisorial fan on a smooth projective curve  $Y$  such that the marked fancy divisor for  $X$  is  $\Xi(\mathcal{S})$ . Since there are no marks, each  $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}$  has an affine locus, so there is a morphism  $X(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \text{Loc } \mathcal{D}$  coming from the inclusion  $A(\mathcal{D})_0 := \Gamma(\text{Loc } \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{O}) \subseteq A(\mathcal{D})$ . These glue together to a morphism  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  because the completeness of  $X$  implies that  $\{\text{Loc } \mathcal{D} : \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{S}\}$  is an affine open covering of  $Y$ . Thus  $\pi$  is a good quotient because  $A(\mathcal{D})_0$  is precisely the subalgebra of invariants of  $A(\mathcal{D})$ .  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a complete  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface. There exist two invariant subsets  $F^- \subseteq X$  and  $F^+ \subseteq X$ , called *sink* and *source* respectively, such that there is an open set  $U \subseteq X$  where the closure in  $X$  of every orbit in  $U$  intersects both  $F^-$  and  $F^+$ . There are finitely many orbits outside of  $U \cup F^- \cup F^+$ , that are called the *special* orbits. The source can be either an elliptic point or an irreducible curve of parabolic points; the same holds true for the sink. Every fixed point outside of  $F^+ \cup F^-$  is hyperbolic.

Now, consider a complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface having no elliptic points. Denote by  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  the closures of the special orbits. F. Orlik and P. Wagreich construct a graph having vertex set  $\{E_1, \dots, E_r, F^+, F^-\}$  and two vertices are joined by an edge if and only if the two corresponding curves intersect. Each vertex carries a weight equal to the self-intersection number of the curve that it represents. This graph takes the following form.




FIGURE 1. The graph of the  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface

The  $d_{i,j}$  are all positive and satisfy that the Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction  $[d_{i,1}, d_{i,2}, \dots, d_{i,s_i}]$  equals 0 for every  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . On the other hand, our surface is given by a marked fancy divisor, without marks, on a smooth projective curve  $Y$ .




FIGURE 2. Marked fancy divisor without marks

Where the smoothness of the surface implies that  $b_{i,j}a_{i,j+1} - a_{i,j}b_{i,j+1} = 1$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j < s_i$ , as well as  $b_{i,1} = b_{i,s_i} = 1$ , as shown in [Süß10, Theorem 3.3]. It turns out, as well, that each  $b_{i,j}$  with  $j > 1$  equals the Hirzebruch-Jung continued fraction  $[d_{i,1}, d_{i,2}, \dots, d_{i,j-1}]$ .

## 2. EULER SEQUENCE

**2.1. Euler sequence for  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surfaces.** Let  $X$  be a complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface having no elliptic points given by a marked fancy divisor  $\Xi$  with no marks, like the one depicted in Figure 2. Each fraction  $a_{i,j}/b_{i,j}$  in the figure corresponds to a divisor  $E_{i,j}$  which is the closure of a special orbit of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The multiplicity of the divisor  $E_{i,j}$  is the non-negative integer

$$\mu(E_{i,j}) := b_{i,j} - 1.$$

According to the definition of the divisor  $E_{\mathcal{S}}$  given in the introduction the equality  $E_{\mathcal{S}} := \sum_{i,j} \mu(E_{i,j}) \cdot E_{i,j}$  holds, where  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s_i$ .

Let  $\Omega_X$  and  $\Omega_Y$  be the cotangent sheaves of  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. As in Section 1.4, let  $F^-$  and  $F^+$  denote the source and sink of  $X$ . Let  $Z \subseteq X$  be the set of hyperbolic fixed points of  $X$ . In what follows we will call  $\mathcal{F}_X$  the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{F^-} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{F^+} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complete smooth  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surface without elliptic points. There is an exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^* \Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(E_{\mathcal{S}}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Omega_X \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_X \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\alpha$  is defined by  $f dz \mapsto \deg(z) f z$ , for any homogeneous local coordinate  $z$  with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  induced by the  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -action and  $\iota$  is defined by  $dt \otimes f \mapsto f dt$ , where  $t$  is the pull-back of a local coordinate on  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\Xi$  be a fancy divisor describing  $X$ . Each affine chart of  $X$ , or an intersection of them, is given by a polyhedron  $\Delta$  on some slice of  $\Xi$ . In other words, it is given by the pp-divisor

$$\mathcal{D} = \Delta \otimes p + \sum_{\mathcal{P} - \{p\}} \emptyset \otimes p,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of points of  $Y$  with non-trivial slices. We analyze each possible  $\Delta$  separately.

*Case 1.*  $\Delta = [a_1/b_1, a_2/b_2]$  with  $b_1 b_2 \neq 0$ . In this case  $\mathcal{D}$  defines an open affine subset  $X_{\mathcal{D}}$  of  $X$  which is the spectrum of the algebra

$$\bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\text{loc}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}(u))) = S^{-1} \mathbb{K}[x^{a_2} \chi^{-b_2}, x^{-a_1} \chi^{b_1}] \cong S^{-1} \mathbb{K}[z, w] =: R,$$

where  $x$  is a regular function of  $\text{loc}(\mathcal{D})$  which has a simple zero at  $p$  and is non-zero at  $\text{loc}(\mathcal{D}) - \{p\}$ , while  $S \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x^{a_2} \chi^{-b_2}, x^{-a_1} \chi^{b_1}]$  is the multiplicative system defined by degree zero homogeneous polynomials which do not vanish on  $\text{loc}(\mathcal{D})$ . The first equality is due to our assumption on  $\mathcal{D}$ . We have an exact sequence

$$R dz \oplus R dw \cong \Omega_R \xrightarrow{\alpha} R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $I \subseteq R$  is the ideal  $\langle \deg(z)z, \deg(w)w \rangle$ . The restriction of the quotient map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$  to the open subset  $X_{\mathcal{D}}$  is defined by the inclusion  $\mathbb{K}[x] \subseteq R$ . Since  $x = z^{\deg(w)} w^{\deg(z)} = z^{b_1} w^{b_2}$ , the curve  $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap X_{\mathcal{D}}$  has two irreducible components which are vertical curves intersecting at the fixed point  $q \in Z$  of local coordinates  $z = w = 0$ . Thus  $R/I$  defines the skyscraper sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_q$  and we get

the first exact sequence from  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_q$ . The sheaf  $\pi^*\Omega_Y$  is locally generated by  $dx = z^{b_1-1}w^{b_2-1}(b_1wdz + b_2zdw)$ , thus we have the desired isomorphism

$$\pi^*\Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X((b_1-1)E_1 + (b_2-1)E_2)|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}} \rightarrow \ker(\alpha)|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}},$$

where for  $i = 1, 2$ , the divisor  $E_i$  is the one associated to the fraction  $a_i/b_i$ , as explained in the beginning of this section.

*Case 2.*  $\Delta = [a_1, \infty)$ . In this case  $\mathcal{D}$  defines an open affine subset  $X_{\mathcal{D}}$  of  $X$  which is the spectrum of the algebra

$$\bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \Gamma(\text{loc}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}(u))) = S^{-1}\mathbb{K}[x, x^{-a_1}\chi] \cong S^{-1}\mathbb{K}[z, w] =: R,$$

where  $x$  and  $S$  are defined in a similar way as in the first case. Since  $x = z$ , the curve  $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap X_{\mathcal{D}}$  has one irreducible component which is a vertical curve intersecting  $F^+$  at one point. Again we got an exact sequence as above and observe that now  $I = \langle w \rangle$ . In this case  $R/I$  defines the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{F^+}|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}}$  and we get the first exact sequence from  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{F^+}|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}}$ . The sheaf  $\pi^*\Omega_Y$  is locally generated by  $dx = dz$ , thus we have an isomorphism

$$\pi^*\Omega_Y|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}} \rightarrow \ker(\alpha)|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}}.$$

*Case 3.*  $\Delta = (-\infty, a_2]$ . This is similar to the previous case and we omit the details.

*Case 4.*  $\Delta = \{a/b\}$ . In this case  $\mathcal{D}$  defines an open affine subset  $X_{\mathcal{D}}$  of  $X$  which is the spectrum of the algebra

$$\bigoplus_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(\text{loc}(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}(u))) = S^{-1}\mathbb{K}[x^k\chi^{-l}, (x^{-a}\chi^b)^{\pm 1}] \cong S^{-1}\mathbb{K}[z, w^{\pm 1}] =: R,$$

where  $x$  and  $S$  are defined in a similar way as in the first case and  $c, d$  are integers such that  $bk - la = 1$ . Since  $x = z^{\deg(w)}w^{\deg(z)} = z^bw^l$ , the curve  $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap X_{\mathcal{D}}$  has an irreducible component which is a vertical curve which has empty intersection with  $F^+ \cup F^- \cup Z$ . Again we get an exact sequence as in the first case with  $I = \langle z, w^{\pm 1} \rangle = R$ . In this case  $R/I = 0$  and we get the first exact sequence from  $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}} = 0$ . The sheaf  $\pi^*\Omega_Y$  is locally generated by  $dx = z^{b-1}w^{l-1}(bwdz + lzdw)$ , thus we have an isomorphism (since  $w$  is a unit in this chart)

$$\pi^*\Omega_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X((b-1)E)|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}} \rightarrow \ker(\alpha)|_{X_{\mathcal{D}}},$$

where  $E$  is the divisor associated to the fraction  $a/b$ . □

We define the sheaf  $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha := \Omega_X / \ker(\alpha)$ .

Now, maintaining the same hypothesis and notation as above, we are ready to prove part two of Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 2.3.** *There is a short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}(-F^-) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-F^+) \oplus \left( (\oplus_{i,j} \mathcal{O}(-E_{i,j})) / (\oplus_{i=1}^m \oplus_{j=1}^{s_i} \mathcal{O}(-E_{i,j} - E_{i,j+1})) \right).$$

*Proof.* Let us consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
& & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q}_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{r+2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \vdots & & \downarrow & & \\
& & 0 & & 0 & & 
\end{array}$$

where the top row comes from Lemma 2.2. The middle column is the direct sum of the fundamental short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-F^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F^\pm} \longrightarrow 0$$

together with the following exact sequences (cf. [Bea96]) for each  $E_i \cap E_j = p \in Z$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-E_i - E_j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-E_j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

where we replace the first two sheaves with their quotient to obtain short sequences. The middle column is simply the exact sequence of modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{(1, \dots, 1)} \mathbb{Z}^{r+2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{r+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

after tensoring by  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . The exactness of the sequences, together with the commutativity of both squares (easy to check), ensures the existence of an exact sequence on the left column.  $\square$

We can now prove the first theorem stated in the introduction.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* It is direct from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 since  $\text{im}(\alpha)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The following holds:  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_Z$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ , Lemma 2.2 and the long exact sequence for ext sheaves we have  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{Q}_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . Since the functor  $\mathcal{E}xt^i$  commutes with finite direct sums, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_{F^\pm}, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_{p_i}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_p$  for any  $p \in Z$ . Taking the long exact  $\mathcal{E}xt$ -sequence of the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-F^\pm) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F^\pm} \longrightarrow 0$$

and using  $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$  for any  $i > 0$ , by [Har77, Pro. III.6.3(b)], we get  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-F^\pm), \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_{F^\pm}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ . By [Har77, Pro. III.6.7] we conclude that these sheaves are the zero sheaf, proving the first vanishing. To prove the second isomorphism observe that for each  $p \in Z$  lying in the intersection  $E_i \cap E_j$  we have the following exact sequence of sheaves [Bea96]

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-E_i - E_j) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-E_j) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_p \longrightarrow 0.$$



Denoting by  $\mathcal{N}$  the quotient sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_X(-E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-E_j) / \mathcal{O}_X(-E_i - E_j)$  we deduce  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and the fact that  $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is the cokernel of the map  $\mathcal{O}_X(E_i) \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(E_j) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(E_i + E_j)$  induced by  $\varphi$  taking tensor product with  $\mathcal{O}_X(E_i + E_j)$ . This proves the statement.  $\square$

### 3. APPLICATIONS

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\varphi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be the blow-up of a smooth projective variety at a point  $p \in X$ . Then  $h^1(\tilde{X}, T_{\tilde{X}}) \geq h^1(X, T_X)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi$  is a blow-up it follows that  $R^i\varphi_*T_{\tilde{X}}$  vanishes for any  $i > 0$ . Thus the equality  $h^i(\tilde{X}, T_{\tilde{X}}) = h^i(X, \varphi_*T_{\tilde{X}})$  holds for any  $i$  by [Har77, Exercise III.8.1] and we conclude by the following exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \varphi_*T_{\tilde{X}} \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_p \longrightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We begin by showing (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Consider the good quotient map  $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ . Assume first that the curve  $Y$  has positive genus. If  $\pi$  has only irreducible fibers,  $X$  is a ruled surface so by [Sei92, Theorem 4] we have  $h^1(X, T_X) > 0$ . This still holds if there are reducible fibers, by Lemma 3.1, because  $X$  would be a blow-up of one of such ruled surfaces. Thus,  $Y$  must necessarily be rational.

We show now that  $X$  contains no invariant rational curves  $C$  with  $C^2 = -n \leq -2$ . Suppose such a curve exists. From Lemma 2.2, after tensoring by  $\mathcal{O}(K_X + C)$ , we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_S + K_X + C) \rightarrow \Omega_X(K_X + C) \rightarrow \text{im}(\alpha) \otimes \mathcal{O}(K_X + C) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let us compute some cohomology groups for these sheaves. Assume that  $K_X + C$  is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor. From the genus formula we have

$$(K_X + C) \cdot C = 2g(C) - 2 = -2 < 0,$$

so by applying [ADHL13, Proposition V.1.1.2] we see that  $C$  must be in the base locus of  $|K_X + C|$ , meaning  $K_X + C \sim C + E'$  for some effective divisor  $E'$ . This would imply that  $K_X$  is linearly equivalent to an effective divisor, a contradiction because  $X$  is rational and smooth. Thus  $h^0(X, K_X + C) = 0$ . Since  $\text{im}(\alpha) \otimes \mathcal{O}(C + K)$  injects into  $\mathcal{O}(C + K)$ , then also

$$h^0(X, \text{im}(\alpha) \otimes \mathcal{O}(C + K)) = 0.$$

If  $F$  is a general fiber of  $\pi$ , the genus formula yields  $F \cdot K_X = -2$ . The product  $F \cdot C$  equals at most 1 (where the equality holds if  $C$  is the source or sink curve), so

$$F \cdot (-2F + E_S + K_X + C) = F \cdot K_X + F \cdot C < 0.$$

Then  $h^0(X, \pi^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^1}^1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_S + K_X + C)) = h^0(X, -2F + E_S + K_X + C) = 0$ . Going back to the exact sequence, we can now deduce that  $h^0(X, \Omega_X(K_X + C)) = 0$ , and due to Serre's duality we conclude  $h^2(X, T_X(-C)) = 0$ .

Consider now the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring by  $T_X$  gives a new exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_X(-C) \longrightarrow T_X \longrightarrow T_X|_C \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the vanishing at  $H^2$  shown above, there is a surjection  $H^1(X, T_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, T_X|_C)$ , so it suffices to show that  $h^1(X, T_X|_C) \neq 0$  to prove the non-existence of this curve  $C$ , but this comes directly from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_C \longrightarrow T_X|_C \longrightarrow N_{C|X} \longrightarrow 0$$

and the fact that  $h^1(X, T_C) = h^2(X, T_C) = 0$  and  $h^1(X, N_{C|X}) = n - 1$ .

We showed that any invariant rational curve of  $X$  has self-intersection  $\geq -1$ . Since the classes of these curves generate the Mori cone of  $X$  (see [ADHL13]) we conclude that  $-K_X$  is ample and thus  $X$  is del Pezzo. Moreover by [Hug13, Proposition 5.9] del Pezzo  $\mathbb{K}^*$ -surfaces without elliptic fixed points are toric.

The proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is a consequence of [Ilt11, Corollary 2.8]. □

#### REFERENCES

- [AH06] Klaus Altmann and Jürgen Hausen, *Polyhedral divisors and algebraic torus actions*, Math. Ann. **334** (2006), no. 3, 557–607, DOI 10.1007/s00208-005-0705-8. MR2207875 (2006m:14062) ↑1, 2, 3
- [AHS08] Klaus Altmann, Jürgen Hausen, and Hendrik Süß, *Gluing affine torus actions via divisorial fans*, Transform. Groups **13** (2008), no. 2, 215–242, DOI 10.1007/s00031-008-9011-3. MR2426131 (2009f:14107) ↑2, 3
- [ADHL13] Ivan Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface, *Cox rings*, arXiv:1003.4229 (2013), available at <http://www.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de/~hausen/CoxRings/download.php?name=coxrings.pdf>. ↑9, 10
- [Bea96] Arnaud Beauville, *Complex algebraic surfaces*, 2nd ed., London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. Translated from the 1978 French original by R. Barlow, with assistance from N. I. Shepherd-Barron and M. Reid. MR1406314 (97e:14045) ↑8
- [CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 124, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. MR2810322 (2012g:14094) ↑1
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 (57 #3116) ↑1, 8, 9
- [Hug13] Elaine Huggenberger, *Fano Varieties with Torus Action of Complexity One*, PhD thesis, 2013, <https://publikationen.uni-tuebingen.de/xmlui/handle/10900/49921>. ↑10
- [Ilt11] Nathan Owen Ilten, *Deformations of smooth toric surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **134** (2011), no. 1-2, 123–137, DOI 10.1007/s00229-010-0386-9. MR2745256 (2012c:14016) ↑2, 10
- [IS11] Nathan Owen Ilten and Hendrik Süß, *Polarized complexity-1 T-varieties*, Michigan Math. J. **60** (2011), no. 3, 561–578, DOI 10.1307/mmj/1320763049. MR2861089 ↑2, 3, 4
- [OW77] P. Orlik and P. Wagreich, *Algebraic surfaces with  $k^*$ -action*, Acta Math. **138** (1977), no. 1-2, 43–81. MR0460342 (57 #336) ↑
- [PS11] Lars Petersen and Hendrik Süß, *Torus invariant divisors*, Israel J. Math. **182** (2011), 481–504, DOI 10.1007/s11856-011-0039-z. MR2783981 (2012d:14083) ↑
- [Sei92] Wolfgang K. Seiler, *Deformations of ruled surfaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **426** (1992), 203–219, DOI 10.1515/crll.1992.426.203. MR1155754 (93b:14063) ↑9
- [Süß10] Hendrik Süß, *Canonical divisors on T-varieties*, arXiv:0811.0626 (2010), available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.0626>. ↑5

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C, CONCEPCIÓN,  
CHILE

*E-mail address:* `alaface@udec.cl`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C, CONCEPCIÓN,  
CHILE

*E-mail address:* `manuelmelo@udec.cl`