

AE solutions and AE solvability to general interval linear systems

Milan Hladík*

September 3, 2018

Abstract

We consider linear systems of equations and inequalities with coefficients varying inside given intervals. We define their solutions (so called AE solutions) and solvability (so called AE solvability) by using forall-exists quantification of interval parameters. We present an explicit description of the AE solutions, and discuss complexity issues as well. For AE solvability, we propose a sufficient condition only, but for a specific sub-class of problems, a complete characterization is developed. Moreover, we investigate inequality systems for which AE solvability is equivalent to existence of an AE solution.

1 Introduction

Interval linear systems appear in many situations. The basic problem of solving interval linear equations [3, 18, 19, 28, 31] is important in solving and verifying real-valued linear and nonlinear systems, and in solving engineering problems with uncertain data. Interval linear inequalities emerge in global optimization when linearization techniques are used [10] and in mathematical programming when dealing with uncertainty [2, 6, 8, 9].

Traditionally, a solution of an interval system is defined as a solution for some realization of intervals. In order to model robustness in interval equations solving, generalized concepts of solutions using quantifications appeared. The commonly used one is an AE solution [4, 5, 21, 22, 31], characterized by $\forall\exists$ -quantification of interval parameters.

To the best of our knowledge, the concept of AE solutions has not been utilized for interval inequalities yet. There are, however, many special cases studied. In interval linear programming, for example, the concept of quantified solutions was recently introduced in [14, 15, 16].

In this paper, we study AE solutions for general interval linear systems of equations, inequalities or both. Its direct applicability is in interval linear programming to characterize various models of robust solutions.

Notation. The sign of a real r is defined as $\text{sgn}(r) = 1$ if $r \geq 0$ and $\text{sgn}(r) = -1$ otherwise; for vectors the sign is meant entrywise. Next, $\text{diag}(s)$ stands for the diagonal matrix with entries given by s .

An interval matrix is defined as

$$\mathbf{A} := \{A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}; \underline{A} \leq A \leq \overline{A}\},$$

where \underline{A} and \overline{A} , $\underline{A} \leq \overline{A}$, are given matrices, and the inequality between matrices is understood componentwise. The midpoint and radius matrices are defined as

$$A_c := \frac{1}{2}(\underline{A} + \overline{A}), \quad A_\Delta := \frac{1}{2}(\overline{A} - \underline{A}).$$

*Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Applied Mathematics, Malostranské nám. 25, 11800, Prague, Czech Republic, e-mail: milan.hladik@matfyz.cz

Alternatively, for complex expressions, we write the midpoint and radius matrices as the functions

$$\text{mid}(\mathbf{A}) := \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\mathbf{A}} + \overline{\mathbf{A}}), \quad \text{rad}(\mathbf{A}) := \frac{1}{2}(\overline{\mathbf{A}} - \underline{\mathbf{A}}).$$

The set of all $m \times n$ interval matrices is denoted by $\mathbb{IR}^{m \times n}$. Naturally, intervals and interval vectors are considered as special cases of interval matrices. For interval arithmetic see, e.g., [1, 18, 19].

Given $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{IR}^m$, the corresponding interval linear system of equations is the family of systems

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbf{A}, \quad b \in \mathbf{b}. \quad (1)$$

Solution concepts. There are different definitions of a solution of the interval system (1); cf. [3]. We say that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is

- a (weak) solution if $\exists A \in \mathbf{A}, \exists b \in \mathbf{b}: Ax = b$,
- a strong solution if $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}, \forall b \in \mathbf{b}: Ax = b$,
- a tolerable solution if $\forall A \in \mathbf{A}, \exists b \in \mathbf{b}: Ax = b$,
- a controllable solution if $\forall b \in \mathbf{b}, \exists A \in \mathbf{A}: Ax = b$.

Similarly, we define analogous solutions for other types of linear systems (inequalities, or mixed equations and inequalities).

Weak solutions are the most commonly used ones [18, 19]. Strong solutions are more appropriate in the context of interval inequalities [3, 7]. Tolerable solutions were studied, e.g., by [22, 24, 31, 32], and controllable solutions in [22, 30, 31].

The above solution types were generalized to the so called AE solutions [4, 5, 22, 31]. Each interval is associated either with the universal, or with the existential quantifier. Thus, we can split the interval matrix as $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^\forall + \mathbf{A}^\exists$, where \mathbf{A}^\forall is the interval matrix comprising universally quantified coefficients, and \mathbf{A}^\exists concerns existentially quantified coefficients. Similarly, we decompose the right-hand side vector $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}^\forall + \mathbf{b}^\exists$. Now, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an AE solution if

$$\forall A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall, \forall b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall, \exists A^\exists \in \mathbf{A}^\exists, \exists b^\exists \in \mathbf{b}^\exists : (A^\forall + A^\exists)x = b^\forall + b^\exists.$$

In the same manner we define AE solutions for interval inequalities and other interval linear systems.

The following characterization of AE solutions is from [31].

Theorem 1. *A vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an AE-solution to interval equations $Ax = b$ if and only if*

$$|A_c x - b_c| \leq (A_\Delta^\exists - A_\Delta^\forall)|x| + b_\Delta^\exists - b_\Delta^\forall.$$

Goal. The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above characterization of AE solutions to general interval systems, including inequalities or mixed systems of equations and inequalities (Section 2). The second focus is on the related problem of AE solvability (Section 3), which is, however, a more difficult problem.

2 AE solutions for the general case

2.1 Description

Before we state a characterization of AE solutions for the general case, we state a specific case of inequalities first.

Proposition 1. A vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an AE-solution to interval inequalities $\mathbf{A}x \leq \mathbf{b}$ if and only if

$$A_c x - b_c \leq (A_\Delta^\exists - A_\Delta^\forall)|x| + b_\Delta^\exists - b_\Delta^\forall. \quad (2)$$

Proof. An AE solution must satisfy

$$\forall A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall \forall b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall \exists A^\exists \in \mathbf{A}^\exists \exists b^\exists \in \mathbf{b}^\exists : A^\forall x - b^\forall \leq b^\exists - A^\exists x.$$

By eliminating the existential quantifiers, we equivalently get

$$\forall A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall \forall b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall : A^\forall x - b^\forall \leq \overline{b^\exists - A^\exists x},$$

and by eliminating the universal quantifiers, we arrive at

$$\overline{A^\forall x - b^\forall} \leq \overline{b^\exists - A^\exists x}.$$

This condition can be formulated as

$$A_c^\forall x + A_\Delta^\forall |x| - b^\forall \leq \overline{b^\exists} - A_c^\exists x + A_\Delta^\exists |x|,$$

which is equivalent to the form (2). \square

Now, we extend the above results to an interval system in a general form. Consider a linear system

$$Ax + By = a, \quad Cx + Dy \leq b, \quad x \geq 0, \quad (3)$$

where the constraint matrices and right-hand side vectors vary in given interval matrices $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m \times n'}$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m' \times n}$, $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m' \times n'}$, and interval vectors $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{IR}^m$, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m'}$. We briefly denote this interval system as

$$\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{C}x + \mathbf{D}y \leq \mathbf{b}, \quad x \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Each interval linear system can be transformed to this formulation [6], so it serves as a general form of interval linear systems.

Proposition 2. A pair of vectors $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+n'}$ is an AE-solution to (4) if and only if

$$|A_c x + B_c y - a_c| \leq (A_\Delta^\exists - A_\Delta^\forall)x + (B_\Delta^\exists - B_\Delta^\forall)|y| + a_\Delta^\exists - a_\Delta^\forall, \quad (5a)$$

$$C_c x + D_c y - b_c \leq (C_\Delta^\exists - C_\Delta^\forall)x + (D_\Delta^\exists - D_\Delta^\forall)|y| + b_\Delta^\exists - b_\Delta^\forall, \quad (5b)$$

$$x \geq 0. \quad (5c)$$

Proof. (5a) follows from Theorem 1 applied on $\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = \mathbf{a}$ and utilizing nonnegativity of x . Similarly, (5b) follows from Proposition 1 applied on $\mathbf{C}x + \mathbf{D}y \leq \mathbf{b}$. \square

In Table 1, we list some special cases of AE solutions and feasibility. For equations, we get almost the same results as in [3]; the only difference is for strong solutions. However, in view of $\underline{b} \leq \overline{b}$ the condition $\underline{A}x \geq \overline{b}$, $\overline{A}x \leq \underline{b}$, $x \geq 0$ equivalently draws $\underline{A}x = \overline{b} = \overline{A}x = \underline{b}$, $x \geq 0$, or $A_c x = b_c$, $A_\Delta x = b_\Delta = 0$, which is the characterization from [3]. For weak and strong solutions of inequalities (both cases), the characterizations also coincide to known results [3, 7, 27]. The other cases (tolerable and controllable solutions of inequalities) seem not to be published yet.

Proposition 3. The set of AE solutions is a union of at most $2^{m'}$ convex polyhedral sets.

Table 1: Robust feasibility conditions for diverse AE solution types and linear programming formulations.

solution type	$Ax = b$	$Ax = b, x \geq 0$	$Ax \leq b$	$Ax \leq b, x \geq 0$
weak	$ A_c x - b_c \leq A_\Delta x + b_\Delta$	$\underline{A}x \leq \bar{b}, \bar{A}x \geq \underline{b}, x \geq 0$	$A_c x \leq A_\Delta x + \bar{b}$	$\underline{A}x \leq \bar{b}, x \geq 0$
strong	$ A_c x - b_c \leq -A_\Delta x - b_\Delta$	$\underline{A}x \geq \bar{b}, \bar{A}x \leq \underline{b}, x \geq 0$	$A_c x + A_\Delta x \leq \underline{b}$	$\bar{A}x \leq \underline{b}, x \geq 0$
tolerable	$ A_c x - b_c \leq -A_\Delta x + b_\Delta$	$\bar{A}x \leq \bar{b}, \underline{A}x \geq \underline{b}, x \geq 0$	$A_c x + A_\Delta x \leq \bar{b}$	$\bar{A}x \leq \underline{b}, x \geq 0$
controllable	$ A_c x - b_c \leq A_\Delta x - b_\Delta$	$\bar{A}x \leq \underline{b}, \underline{A}x \geq \bar{b}, x \geq 0$	$A_c x - A_\Delta x \leq \underline{b}$	$\underline{A}x \leq \underline{b}, x \geq 0$

Proof. Let $s \in \{\pm 1\}^{n'}$. The AE solution set in the orthant $\text{diag}(s)y \geq 0$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
A_c x + B_c y - a_c &\leq (A_\Delta^\exists - A_\Delta^\forall) x + (B_\Delta^\exists - B_\Delta^\forall) \text{diag}(s)y + a_\Delta^\exists - a_\Delta^\forall, \\
-A_c x - B_c y + a_c &\leq (A_\Delta^\exists - A_\Delta^\forall) x + (B_\Delta^\exists - B_\Delta^\forall) \text{diag}(s)y + a_\Delta^\exists - a_\Delta^\forall, \\
C_c x + D_c y - b_c &\leq (C_\Delta^\exists - C_\Delta^\forall) x + (D_\Delta^\exists - D_\Delta^\forall) \text{diag}(s)y + b_\Delta^\exists - b_\Delta^\forall, \\
x &\geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(\underline{A}^\exists + \bar{A}^\forall)x + (B_c - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(s) + B_\Delta^\forall \text{diag}(s))y \leq \bar{a}^\exists + \underline{a}^\forall, \quad (6a)$$

$$-(\bar{A}^\exists + \underline{A}^\forall)x + (-B_c - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(s) + B_\Delta^\forall \text{diag}(s))y \leq -\underline{a}^\exists - \bar{a}^\forall, \quad (6b)$$

$$(\underline{C}^\exists + \bar{C}^\forall)x + (D_c - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(s) + D_\Delta^\forall \text{diag}(s))y \leq \bar{b}^\exists + \underline{b}^\forall, \quad (6c)$$

$$x \geq 0 \quad (6d)$$

This is a linear system of inequalities, which describes a convex polyhedral set. Therefore, the overall AE solution set is a union of such polyhedral sets subject to all sign vectors $s \in \{\pm 1\}^{n'}$. \square

As a consequence, we obtain the following method for finding an AE solution.

Corollary 1. *A pair of vectors $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+n'}$ is an AE-solution to (4) if and only if they satisfy (6) for some $s \in \{\pm 1\}^{n'}$.*

2.2 Attainment

Given an AE solution (x^*, y^*) , the following natural question arises: For a realization of \forall -parameters, what are the values of \exists -parameters, for which (x^*, y^*) remains to be a solution?

Proposition 4. *Let $A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall$, $B^\forall \in \mathbf{B}^\forall$, $C^\forall \in \mathbf{C}^\forall$, $D^\forall \in \mathbf{D}^\forall$, $a^\forall \in \mathbf{a}^\forall$, and $b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall$. Then (x^*, y^*) solves (3) for the setting*

$$A^\exists = A_c^\exists - \text{diag}(u)A_\Delta^\exists, \quad (7a)$$

$$B^\exists = B_c^\exists - \text{diag}(u)B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(\text{sgn}(y^*)), \quad (7b)$$

$$a^\exists = a_c^\exists + \text{diag}(u)b_\Delta^\exists, \quad (7c)$$

$$C^\exists = \underline{C}^\exists, \quad (7d)$$

$$D^\exists = D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(\text{sgn}(y)), \quad (7e)$$

$$b^\exists = \bar{b}^\exists, \quad (7f)$$

where $u \in [-1, 1]^m$ is defined entrywise as

$$u_i = \begin{cases} \frac{(A_c x + B_c y - a_c)_i}{(A_{\Delta}^{\exists} x + B_{\Delta}^{\exists} |y| + a_{\Delta}^{\exists})_i} & \text{if } (A_{\Delta}^{\exists} x + B_{\Delta}^{\exists} |y| + a_{\Delta}^{\exists})_i > 0, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since (x^*, y^*) is an AE solution, it must be a weak solution to

$$(\mathbf{A}^{\exists} + A^{\forall})x + (\mathbf{B}^{\exists} + B^{\forall})y = \mathbf{a}^{\exists} + a^{\forall}, (\mathbf{C}^{\exists} + C^{\forall})x + (\mathbf{D}^{\exists} + D^{\forall})y \leq \mathbf{b}^{\exists} + b^{\forall}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

By Hladík [7], (x^*, y^*) solves the constraints for (7). \square

2.3 Complexity

Checking whether a given pair (x, y) is an AE solution is easy by checking (5). On the other hand, computing an AE solution, or just checking whether there exists any AE solution, may be a computationally hard problem.

Some special cases of (5) are polynomially solvable by reducing to linear system of equations and inequalities and utilizing polynomiality of linear programming [29].

Proposition 5. *If $B_{\Delta}^{\exists} = 0$ and $D_{\Delta}^{\exists} = 0$, then computing an AE solution or checking its existence is a polynomial time problem.*

Proof. Under the assumption, the AE solution set is described by

$$\begin{aligned} |A_c x + B_c y - a_c| &\leq (A_{\Delta}^{\exists} - A_{\Delta}^{\forall})x - B_{\Delta}^{\forall} |y| + a_{\Delta}^{\exists} - a_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \\ C_c x + D_c y - b_c &\leq (C_{\Delta}^{\exists} - C_{\Delta}^{\forall})x - D_{\Delta}^{\forall} |y| + b_{\Delta}^{\exists} - b_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \quad x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, it is the projection to the (x, y) -subspace of the convex polyhedral set described in (x, y, z) -space as

$$\begin{aligned} A_c x + B_c y - a_c + B_{\Delta}^{\forall} z &\leq (A_{\Delta}^{\exists} - A_{\Delta}^{\forall})x + a_{\Delta}^{\exists} - a_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \\ -A_c x - B_c y + a_c + B_{\Delta}^{\forall} z &\leq (A_{\Delta}^{\exists} - A_{\Delta}^{\forall})x + a_{\Delta}^{\exists} - a_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \\ C_c x + D_c y - b_c + D_{\Delta}^{\forall} z &\leq (C_{\Delta}^{\exists} - C_{\Delta}^{\forall})x + b_{\Delta}^{\exists} - b_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \\ x &\geq 0, \quad y \leq z, \quad -y \leq z, \end{aligned}$$

or, in an alternative form

$$(\underline{A}^{\exists} + \overline{A}^{\forall})x + B_c y + B_{\Delta}^{\forall} z \leq \underline{a}^{\exists} + \overline{a}^{\forall}, \tag{8a}$$

$$-(\overline{A}^{\exists} + \underline{A}^{\forall})x - B_c y + B_{\Delta}^{\forall} z \leq -\underline{a}^{\exists} - \overline{a}^{\forall}, \tag{8b}$$

$$(\underline{C}^{\exists} + \overline{C}^{\forall})x + D_c y + D_{\Delta}^{\forall} z \leq \underline{b}^{\exists} + \overline{b}^{\forall}, \tag{8c}$$

$$x \geq 0, \quad y \leq z, \quad -y \leq z. \tag{8d}$$

\square

In general, however, the problem of finding an AE solution is NP-hard. It remains NP-hard even on the following sub-cases:

- weak solutions to $\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{b}$; see [3, 11, 12]
- weak solutions to $\mathbf{A}x \leq \mathbf{b}$; see [3]
- controllable solutions to $\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{b}$; see [3, 12]

3 AE solvability

The interval systems (4) is called *AE solvable* if for each realization of \forall -parameters there are realizations of \exists -parameters such that (3) has a solution. Formally, (4) is AE solvable if

$$\begin{aligned} \forall A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall, \forall B^\forall \in \mathbf{B}^\forall, \forall C^\forall \in \mathbf{C}^\forall, \forall D^\forall \in \mathbf{D}^\forall, \forall a^\forall \in \mathbf{a}^\forall, \forall b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall, \\ \exists A^\exists \in \mathbf{A}^\exists, \exists B^\exists \in \mathbf{B}^\exists, \exists C^\exists \in \mathbf{C}^\exists, \exists D^\exists \in \mathbf{D}^\exists, \exists a^\exists \in \mathbf{a}^\exists, \exists b^\exists \in \mathbf{b}^\exists : (A^\forall + A^\exists)x = b^\forall + b^\exists \end{aligned}$$

has a solution.

3.1 When AE solvability meets AE solution existence

Notice that as long as (4) has an AE solution, then it is AE solvable, but the converse implication does not hold in general. For example, the interval system of equations

$$x_1 + x_2 = [1, 2]$$

is strongly solvable (solvable for each realization), but there is no strong solution common to all realizations of the interval.

Surprisingly, for strong solvability interval inequalities $\mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}$, or $\mathbf{Ax} \leq \mathbf{b}, x \geq 0$ we have equivalence. By [3, 27], there is a strong solution if and only if the interval inequality system is strongly solvable. In Hladík [7], an extended version combining both nonnegative and free variables was presented.

Theorem 2 ([7]). *The interval system $\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{By} \leq \mathbf{b}, x \geq 0$ is strongly solvable if and only if*

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}}x + \overline{\mathbf{B}}y^1 - \underline{\mathbf{B}}y^2 \leq \underline{\mathbf{b}}, \quad x, y^1, y^2 \geq 0$$

is solvable.

For AE solvability of interval inequalities, we have the following generalization.

Proposition 6. *For the interval system*

$$\mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{B}^\forall y \leq \mathbf{b}, \quad x \geq 0 \tag{9}$$

the following are equivalent

(i) x, y is an AE solution of (9),

(ii) x, y solves

$$(\underline{\mathbf{A}}^\exists + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^\forall)x + B_c^\forall y + B_\Delta^\forall |y| \leq \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists + \underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{10}$$

(iii) x, y solves

$$y = y^1 - y^2, \quad (\underline{\mathbf{A}}^\exists + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^\forall)x + \overline{\mathbf{B}}^\forall y^1 - \underline{\mathbf{B}}^\forall y^2 \leq \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists + \underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall, \quad x, y^1, y^2 \geq 0. \tag{11}$$

Proof. The equivalence “(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)” follows from Proposition 2.

“(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)” Let x, y be a solution of (10). Put $y^1 := \max(y, 0)$ the positive part and $y^2 := \max(-y, 0)$ the negative part of y . Then $y = y^1 - y^2$, $|y| = y^1 + y^2$, and (10) takes the form of

$$(\underline{\mathbf{A}}^\exists + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^\forall)x + B_c^\forall(y^1 - y^2) + B_\Delta^\forall(y^1 + y^2) \leq \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists + \underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall, \quad x, y^1, y^2 \geq 0,$$

which is equivalent to (11).

“(iii) \Leftarrow (ii)” Let x, y^1, y^2 be a solution of (11), and put $y := y^1 - y^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\mathbf{A}}^\exists + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^\forall)x + B_c^\forall y + B_\Delta^\forall |y| &= (\underline{\mathbf{A}}^\exists + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^\forall)x + B_c^\forall(y^1 - y^2) + B_\Delta^\forall |y^1 - y^2| \\ &\leq (\underline{\mathbf{A}}^\exists + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^\forall)x + B_c^\forall(y^1 - y^2) + B_\Delta^\forall(y^1 + y^2) \\ &\leq \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists + \underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall, \quad x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

meaning that x, y solves (10). □

Proposition 7. *The interval system (9) is AE solvable if and only if it has an AE solution.*

Proof. First we show that (9) is AE solvable if and only if

$$(\underline{A}^\exists + A^\forall)x + B^\forall y \leq \bar{b}^\exists + b^\forall, \quad x \geq 0 \quad (12)$$

is strongly solvable. If (12) is strongly solvable, then for each $A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall$, $B^\forall \in \mathbf{B}^\forall$ and $b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall$, and for the choice $A^\exists := \underline{A}^\exists$ and $b^\exists := \bar{b}^\exists$, the system

$$(A^\exists + A^\forall)x + B^\forall y \leq b^\exists + b^\forall, \quad x \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

has a solution. Contrary, if (9) is AE solvable, then for each $A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall$, $B^\forall \in \mathbf{B}^\forall$ and $b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall$, there are $A^\exists \in \mathbf{A}^\exists$ and $b^\exists \in \mathbf{b}^\exists$ such that the system (13) is solvable. This implies that

$$(\underline{A}^\exists + A^\forall)x + B^\forall y \leq \bar{b}^\exists + b^\forall, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable, too.

By Theorem 2, the interval system (12) is strongly solvable if and only if (11) holds, that is, if and only if (9) has an AE solution. \square

Notice that the result from [3, 27] cannot be generalized to any interval inequality system. Below, we give a counterexample.

Example 1. Consider the interval system of inequalities

$$A^\exists x \leq -2, \quad A^\forall x \leq 1,$$

where $A^\exists, A^\forall \in [-1, 1]$. This interval system has no AE solution since the system (2), which takes the form of

$$2 \leq |x|, \quad |x| \leq 1,$$

has no solution.

In contrast, the interval system is AE solvable. If $A^\forall \geq 0$, then we can take $A^\exists := 1$ and $x := -2$. If $A^\forall \leq 0$, then we can take $A^\exists := -1$ and $x := 2$. In summary, the interval system of inequalities is AE solvable, but has no AE solution.

3.2 Conditions for AE solvability

Let us recall the characterization of weak solutions for a general system of interval equations and inequalities from Hladík [7].

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n'}$, $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m' \times n}$, $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{m' \times n'}$, $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m'}$. A pair (x, y) , $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is a weak solution to the interval system*

$$\mathbf{A}x + \mathbf{B}y = \mathbf{a}, \quad \mathbf{C}x + \mathbf{D}y \leq \mathbf{b}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

if and only if there is $s \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{A}x + (B_c - B_\Delta \text{diag}(s))y &\leq \bar{b}, \\ -\bar{A}x - (B_c + B_\Delta \text{diag}(s))y &\leq -\underline{b}, \\ \underline{C}x + (D_c - D_\Delta \text{diag}(s))y &\leq \bar{d}, \quad x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We will also employ the well known Farkas lemma. In particular, we utilize the following form from Hladík [7].

Lemma 1. *Exactly one of the linear systems*

$$Ax + By = b, \quad Cx + Dy \leq d, \quad x \geq 0$$

and

$$A^T p + C^T q \geq 0, \quad B^T p + D^T q = 0, \quad b^T p + d^T q \leq -1, \quad q \geq 0,$$

is solvable.

As long as $B_{\Delta}^{\exists} = 0$ and $D_{\Delta}^{\exists} = 0$, we have a sufficient and necessary characterization of AE solvability for the general model (4).

Proposition 8. *Suppose that $B_{\Delta}^{\exists} = 0$ and $D_{\Delta}^{\exists} = 0$. Then (4) is AE solvable if and only if for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$ the system*

$$(\underline{A}^{\exists} + A_c^{\forall} + \text{diag}(s)A_{\Delta}^{\forall})x + (B_c^{\forall} + \text{diag}(s)B_{\Delta}^{\forall})y^1 \tag{14a}$$

$$-(B_c^{\forall} - \text{diag}(s)B_{\Delta}^{\forall})y^2 \leq \bar{a}^{\exists} + a_c^{\forall} - \text{diag}(s)a_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \tag{14b}$$

$$-(\bar{A}^{\exists} + A_c^{\forall} + \text{diag}(s)A_{\Delta}^{\forall})^T x - (B_c^{\forall} + \text{diag}(s)B_{\Delta}^{\forall})y^1 \tag{14c}$$

$$+(B_c^{\forall} - \text{diag}(s)B_{\Delta}^{\forall})y^2 \leq -\underline{a}^{\exists} - a_c^{\forall} + \text{diag}(s)a_{\Delta}^{\forall}, \tag{14d}$$

$$(\bar{C}^{\forall} + \underline{C}^{\exists})x + \bar{D}^{\forall}y^1 - \underline{D}^{\forall}y^2 \leq \underline{b}^{\forall} + \bar{b}^{\exists}, \tag{14e}$$

$$x, y^1, y^2 \geq 0 \tag{14f}$$

is solvable.

Proof. The interval system (4) is not AE solvable if and only if there are $A^{\forall} \in \mathbf{A}^{\forall}$, $B^{\forall} \in \mathbf{B}^{\forall}$, $C^{\forall} \in \mathbf{C}^{\forall}$, $D^{\forall} \in \mathbf{D}^{\forall}$, $a^{\forall} \in \mathbf{a}^{\forall}$ and $b^{\forall} \in \mathbf{b}^{\forall}$ such that the interval system

$$(A^{\forall} + \mathbf{A}^{\exists})x + B^{\forall}y = a^{\forall} + \mathbf{a}^{\exists}, \quad (C^{\forall} + \mathbf{C}^{\exists})x + D^{\forall}y \leq b^{\forall} + \mathbf{b}^{\exists}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is not weakly solvable. By Theorem 3, equivalently, the real system

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{\forall} + \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{\exists})x + B^{\forall}y &\leq a^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{a}}^{\exists}, \\ -(A^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{A}}^{\exists})x - B^{\forall}y &\leq -a^{\forall} - \underline{\mathbf{a}}^{\exists}, \\ (C^{\forall} + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^{\exists})x + D^{\forall}y &\leq b^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}^{\exists}, \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is not solvable. By the Farkas Lemma 1, this is true if and only if the system

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{\forall} + \underline{\mathbf{A}}^{\exists})^T u - (A^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{A}}^{\exists})^T v + (C^{\forall} + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^{\exists})^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B^{\forall})^T u - (B^{\forall})^T v + (D^{\forall})^T w &= 0, \\ (a^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{a}}^{\exists})^T u - (a^{\forall} + \underline{\mathbf{a}}^{\exists})^T v + (b^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}^{\exists})^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is solvable. We rewrite the system as

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{\mathbf{A}}^{\exists})^T u - (\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{\exists})^T v + (A^{\forall})^T (u - v) + (C^{\forall} + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^{\exists})^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B^{\forall})^T (u - v) + (D^{\forall})^T w &= 0, \\ (\bar{\mathbf{a}}^{\exists})^T u - (\underline{\mathbf{a}}^{\exists})^T v + (a^{\forall})^T (u - v) + (b^{\forall} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}^{\exists})^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since this system is solvable for some realization of \forall -parameters, we have equivalently that the interval system

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists)^T u - (\overline{A}^\exists)^T v + (\mathbf{A}^\forall)^T(u - v) + (\mathbf{C}^\forall + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^\exists)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (\mathbf{B}^\forall)^T(u - v) + (\mathbf{D}^\forall)^T w &= 0, \\ (\overline{a}^\exists)^T u - (\underline{a}^\exists)^T v + (\mathbf{a}^\forall)^T(u - v) + (\mathbf{b}^\forall + \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists)^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is weakly solvable. By Theorem 3, there is equivalently $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists)^T u - (\overline{A}^\exists)^T v + (A_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\forall)^T(u - v) + (\overline{\mathbf{C}}^\forall + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^\exists)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B_c^\forall + B_\Delta^\forall \text{diag}(s))^T(u - v) + \overline{\mathbf{D}}^\forall w &\geq 0, \\ -(B_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)^T(u - v) - (\underline{\mathbf{D}}^\forall)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (\overline{a}^\exists)^T u - (\underline{a}^\exists)^T v + (a_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\forall)^T(u - v) + (\underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall + \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists)^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

is solvable. By the Farkas lemma again, this system is solvable if and only if the system

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists + A_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\forall)x + (B_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^1 & \\ - (B_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^2 \leq \overline{a}^\exists + a_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\forall, & \\ -(\overline{A}^\exists + A_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\forall)^T x - (B_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^1 & \\ + (B_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^2 \leq -\underline{a}^\exists - a_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\forall, & \\ (\overline{\mathbf{C}}^\forall + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^\exists)x + \overline{\mathbf{D}}^\forall y^1 - \underline{\mathbf{D}}^\forall y^2 \leq \underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall + \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists & \\ x, y^1, y^2 \geq 0 & \end{aligned}$$

is not solvable, which completes the proof. \square

For the general case, the idea from the proof fails. However, a small adaptation of the proof gives a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for AE solvability. Notice that they differ only in the order of quantifiers.

Proposition 9. *The interval system (4) is AE solvable if there is $z \in \{\pm 1\}^{n'}$ such that for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$ the system*

$$(\underline{A}^\exists + A_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\forall)x \tag{15a}$$

$$+ (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^1 \tag{15b}$$

$$- (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^2 \leq \overline{a}^\exists + a_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\forall, \tag{15c}$$

$$- (\overline{A}^\exists + A_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\forall)^T x \tag{15d}$$

$$- (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^1 \tag{15e}$$

$$+ (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\forall - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\forall)y^2 \leq -\underline{a}^\exists - a_c^\forall + \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\forall, \tag{15f}$$

$$(\overline{\mathbf{C}}^\forall + \underline{\mathbf{C}}^\exists)x + (\overline{\mathbf{D}}^\forall + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y^1 \tag{15g}$$

$$- (\underline{\mathbf{D}}^\forall + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y^2 \leq \underline{\mathbf{b}}^\forall + \overline{\mathbf{b}}^\exists \tag{15h}$$

$$x, y^1, y^2 \geq 0 \tag{15i}$$

is solvable.

Proof. If the interval system (4) is not AE solvable, then there are $A^\forall \in \mathbf{A}^\forall$, $B^\forall \in \mathbf{B}^\forall$, $C^\forall \in \mathbf{C}^\forall$, $D^\forall \in \mathbf{D}^\forall$, $a^\forall \in \mathbf{a}^\forall$ and $b^\forall \in \mathbf{b}^\forall$ such that the interval system

$$(A^\forall + \mathbf{A}^\exists)x + (B^\forall + \mathbf{B}^\exists)y = a^\forall + \mathbf{a}^\exists, \quad (C^\forall + \mathbf{C}^\exists)x + (D^\forall + \mathbf{D}^\exists)y \leq b^\forall + \mathbf{b}^\exists, \quad x \geq 0$$

is not weakly solvable. By Theorem 3, for each $z \in \{\pm 1\}^{n'}$ the real system

$$\begin{aligned} (A^\vee + \underline{A}^\exists)x + (B^\vee + B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y &\leq a^\vee + \bar{a}^\exists, \\ -(A^\vee + \bar{A}^\exists)x - (B^\vee + B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y &\leq -a^\vee - \underline{a}^\exists, \\ (C^\vee + \underline{C}^\exists)x + (D^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y &\leq b^\vee + \bar{b}^\exists, \\ x &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is not solvable. By the Farkas Lemma 1, this is true if and only if the system

$$\begin{aligned} (A^\vee + \underline{A}^\exists)^T u - (A^\vee + \bar{A}^\exists)^T v + (C^\vee + \underline{C}^\exists)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B^\vee + B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T u - (B^\vee + B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T v \\ + (D^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T w &= 0, \\ (a^\vee + \bar{a}^\exists)^T u - (a^\vee + \underline{a}^\exists)^T v + (b^\vee + \bar{b}^\exists)^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is solvable. We rewrite the system as

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists)^T u - (\bar{A}^\exists)^T v + (A^\vee)^T (u - v) + (C^\vee + \underline{C}^\exists)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T u - (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T v \\ + (B^\vee)^T (u - v) + (D^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T w &= 0, \\ (\bar{a}^\exists)^T u - (\underline{a}^\exists)^T v + (a^\vee)^T (u - v) + (b^\vee + \bar{b}^\exists)^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, there is the point where the equivalence cannot be easily establish. We can conclude that for each $z \in \{\pm 1\}^{n'}$ the interval system

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists)^T u - (\bar{A}^\exists)^T v + (A^\vee)^T (u - v) + (C^\vee + \underline{C}^\exists)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T u - (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T v \\ + (B^\vee)^T (u - v) + (D^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T w &= 0, \\ (\bar{a}^\exists)^T u - (\underline{a}^\exists)^T v + (a^\vee)^T (u - v) + (b^\vee + \bar{b}^\exists)^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

is weakly solvable. By Theorem 3, for each such system there is $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists)^T u - (\bar{A}^\exists)^T v + (A_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\vee)^T (u - v) + (\bar{C}^\vee + \underline{C}^\exists)^T w &\geq 0, \\ (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T u - (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T v \\ + (B_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\vee)^T (u - v) + (\bar{D}^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T w &\geq 0, \\ -(B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T u + (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T v \\ - (B_c^\vee - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\vee)^T (u - v) - (\underline{D}^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))^T w &\geq 0, \\ (\bar{a}^\exists)^T u - (\underline{a}^\exists)^T v + (a_c^\vee - \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\vee)^T (u - v) + (\underline{b}^\vee + \bar{b}^\exists)^T w &\leq -1, \\ u, v, w &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

is solvable. By the Farkas lemma again, the system

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{A}^\exists + A_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\vee)x + (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\vee)y^1 \\ - (B_c^\exists - B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\vee - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\vee)y^2 &\leq \bar{a}^\exists + a_c^\vee - \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\vee, \\ -(\bar{A}^\exists + A_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta^\vee)^T x - (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\vee)y^1 \\ + (B_c^\exists + B_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z) + B_c^\vee - \text{diag}(s)B_\Delta^\vee)y^2 &\leq -\underline{a}^\exists - a_c^\vee + \text{diag}(s)a_\Delta^\vee, \\ (\bar{C}^\vee + \underline{C}^\exists)x + (\bar{D}^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y^1 - (\underline{D}^\vee + D_c^\exists - D_\Delta^\exists \text{diag}(z))y^2 &\leq \underline{b}^\vee + \bar{b}^\exists \\ x, y^1, y^2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

is not solvable, which completes the proof. \square

Example 1 from Section 3.1 can also be used here to illustrate the situation that the condition from Proposition 9 is not necessary in general. Indeed, that interval system is AE solvable, but the system (15), which takes the form of

$$-zy^1 + zy^2 \leq -2, \quad y^1 + y^2 \leq 1, \quad y^1, y^2 \geq 0$$

is solvable for no $z \in \{\pm 1\}$.

3.3 Special cases of AE solvability

Proposition 8 generalizes several classical results on solvability of interval systems. In particular, for $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{IR}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{IR}^m$ we have:

- For strong solvability of interval equations we obtain the same result as the characterization by Rohn [25, 26]. The interval system $\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{b}$ is strongly solvable if and only if the system

$$(A_c + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta)x^1 - (A_c - \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta)x^1 = b_c - \text{diag}(s)b_\Delta, \quad x^1, x^2 \geq 0$$

is solvable for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$.

- For strong solvability of interval equations with nonnegative variables we obtain the same result as the characterization by Rohn [23, 26]. The interval system $\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{b}$, $x \geq 0$ is strongly solvable if and only if the system

$$(A_c + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta)x = b_c - \text{diag}(s)b_\Delta, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$.

- For strong solvability of interval inequalities we obtain the same result as the characterization by Rohn & Kreslová [27, 26]. The interval system $\mathbf{A}x \leq \mathbf{b}$ is strongly solvable if and only if the system

$$\overline{A}x^1 - \underline{A}x^2 \leq \underline{b}, \quad x^1, x^2 \geq 0$$

is solvable.

- For strong solvability of interval inequalities with nonnegative variables we obtain the same result as the classical characterization by Machost [17, 26]. The interval system $\mathbf{A}x \leq \mathbf{b}$, $x \geq 0$ is strongly solvable if and only if the system

$$\overline{A}x \leq \underline{b}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable.

- For weak solvability of interval equations with nonnegative variables we obtain the same result as the consequence of the classical characterization by Oettli & Prager [20, 26]. The interval system $\mathbf{A}x = \mathbf{b}$, $x \geq 0$ is weakly solvable if and only if the system

$$\underline{A}x \leq \overline{b}, \quad \overline{A}x \geq \underline{b}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable.

- For weak solvability of interval inequalities with nonnegative variables we obtain also the same result as the well known characterization; see, e.g., [26]. The interval system $\mathbf{A}x \leq \mathbf{b}$, $x \geq 0$ is weakly solvable if and only if the system

$$\underline{A}x \leq \overline{b}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable.

Unfortunately, weak solvability of interval systems with free variables is not involved in our generalization. Besides weak and strong solvability, we have also the following analogies of tolerable and controllable solvabilities by Li et al. [13] as simple consequences of Proposition 8:

- For each $A \in \mathbf{A}$ there is $b \in \mathbf{b}$ such that $Ax = b$ is solvable if and only if

$$\underline{b} \leq (A_c + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta)x^1 - (A_c - \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta)x^2 \leq \bar{b}, \quad x^1, x^2 \geq 0$$

is solvable for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$.

- For each $A \in \mathbf{A}$ there is $b \in \mathbf{b}$ such that $Ax = b, x \geq 0$ is solvable if and only if

$$\underline{b} \leq (A_c + \text{diag}(s)A_\Delta)x \leq \bar{b}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$.

- For each $b \in \mathbf{b}$ there is $A \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $Ax = b, x \geq 0$ is solvable if and only if

$$\underline{Ax} \leq b_c - \text{diag}(s)b_\Delta \leq \bar{Ax}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable for each $s \in \{\pm 1\}^m$.

- For each $A \in \mathbf{A}$ there is $b \in \mathbf{b}$ such that $Ax \leq b$ is solvable if and only if

$$\bar{Ax}^1 - \underline{Ax}^2 \leq \bar{b}, \quad x^1, x^2 \geq 0$$

is solvable.

- For each $A \in \mathbf{A}$ there is $b \in \mathbf{b}$ such that $Ax \leq b, x \geq 0$ is solvable if and only if

$$\bar{Ax} \leq \bar{b}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable.

- For each $b \in \mathbf{b}$ there is $A \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $Ax \leq b, x \geq 0$ is solvable if and only if

$$\underline{Ax} \leq \underline{b}, \quad x \geq 0$$

is solvable.

4 Conclusion

We characterized AE solutions and for a certain sub-class of problems we also characterized AE solvability. For general problems, we presented only a sufficient condition for AE solvability. A complete characterization of AE solvability remains an open problem.

References

- [1] G. Alefeld and J. Herzberger. *Introduction to Interval Computations*. Computer Science and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [2] M. Allahdadi and H. Mishmast Nehi. The optimal solution set of the interval linear programming problems. *Optim. Lett.*, 7(8):1893–1911, 2013.
- [3] M. Fiedler, J. Nedoma, J. Ramík, J. Rohn, and K. Zimmermann. *Linear optimization problems with inexact data*. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [4] A. Goldsztejn. A right-preconditioning process for the formal-algebraic approach to inner and outer estimation of AE-solution sets. *Reliab. Comput.*, 11(6):443–478, 2005.

- [5] A. Goldsztejn and G. Chabert. On the approximation of linear AE-solution sets. In *Post-proceedings of 12th GAMM-IMACS International Symposium on Scientific Computing, Computer Arithmetic and Validated Numerics, Duisburg, Germany*. IEEE, 2006.
- [6] M. Hladík. Interval linear programming: A survey. In Z. A. Mann, editor, *Linear Programming - New Frontiers in Theory and Applications*, chapter 2, pages 85–120. Nova Science Publishers, New York, 2012.
- [7] M. Hladík. Weak and strong solvability of interval linear systems of equations and inequalities. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 438(11):4156–4165, 2013.
- [8] M. Hladík. How to determine basis stability in interval linear programming. *Optim. Lett.*, 8(1):375–389, 2014.
- [9] M. Hladík. On approximation of the best case optimal value in interval linear programming. *Optim. Lett.*, pages 1–13, 2014. DOI: 10.1007/s11590-013-0715-5.
- [10] M. Hladík and J. Horáček. Interval linear programming techniques in constraint programming and global optimization. In M. Ceberio and V. Kreinovich, editors, *Constraint Programming and Decision Making*, volume 539 of *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, pages 47–59. Springer, 2014.
- [11] V. Kreinovich, A. Lakeyev, J. Rohn, and P. Kahl. *Computational Complexity and Feasibility of Data Processing and Interval Computations*. Kluwer, 1998.
- [12] A. V. Lakeev and S. I. Noskov. On the solution set of a linear equation with the right-hand side and operator given by intervals. *Sib. Math. J.*, 35(5):957–966, 1994.
- [13] H. Li, J. Luo, and Q. Wang. Solvability and feasibility of interval linear equations and inequalities. submitted to *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 2014.
- [14] W. Li, J. Luo, and C. Deng. Necessary and sufficient conditions of some strong optimal solutions to the interval linear programming. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439(10):3241–3255, 2013.
- [15] J. Luo and W. Li. Strong optimal solutions of interval linear programming. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439(8):2479–2493, 2013.
- [16] J. Luo, W. Li, and Q. Wang. Checking strong optimality of interval linear programming with inequality constraints and nonnegative constraints. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 260:180–190, 2014.
- [17] B. Machost. Numerische Behandlung des Simplexverfahrens mit intervallanalytischen Methoden. Technical Report 30, Berichte der Gesellschaft für Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung, 54 pages, Bonn, 1970.
- [18] R. E. Moore, R. B. Kearfott, and M. J. Cloud. *Introduction to interval analysis*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2009.
- [19] A. Neumaier. *Interval methods for systems of equations*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [20] W. Oettli and W. Prager. Compatibility of approximate solution of linear equations with given error bounds for coefficients and right-hand sides. *Numer. Math.*, 6:405–409, 1964.
- [21] E. D. Popova. Explicit description of *ae* solution sets for parametric linear systems. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 33(4):1172–1189, 2012.
- [22] E. D. Popova and M. Hladík. Outer enclosures to the parametric AE solution set. *Soft Comput.*, 17(8):1403–1414, 2013.

- [23] J. Rohn. Strong solvability of interval linear programming problems. *Comput.*, 26:79–82, 1981.
- [24] J. Rohn. Inner solutions of linear interval systems. In K. Nickel, editor, *Proceedings of the International Symposium on interval mathematics on Interval mathematics 1985*, volume 212 of *LNCS*, pages 157–158. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [25] J. Rohn. Solvability of systems of linear interval equations. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 25(1):237–245, 2003.
- [26] J. Rohn. Solvability of systems of interval linear equations and inequalities. In M. Fiedler, J. Nedoma, J. Ramík, J. Rohn, and K. Zimmermann, editors, *Linear optimization problems with inexact data*, chapter 2, pages 35–77. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [27] J. Rohn and J. Kreslová. Linear interval inequalities. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 38(1-2):79–82, 1994.
- [28] S. M. Rump. Verification methods: Rigorous results using floating-point arithmetic. *Acta Numer.*, 19:287–449, 2010.
- [29] A. Schrijver. *Theory of linear and integer programming. Repr.* Wiley, Chichester, 1998.
- [30] S. P. Shary. On controlled solution set of interval algebraic systems. *Interval Comput.*, 4(6):66–75, 1992.
- [31] S. P. Shary. A new technique in systems analysis under interval uncertainty and ambiguity. *Reliab. Comput.*, 8(5):321–418, 2002.
- [32] S. P. Shary. An interval linear tolerance problem. *Autom. Remote Control*, 65(10):1653–1666, 2004.