

On the stochastic regularity of distorted Brownian motions

Jiyong Shin and Gerald Trutnau

Abstract. We systematically develop general tools to apply Fukushima's absolute continuity condition. These tools comprise methods to obtain a Hunt process on a locally compact separable metric state space whose transition function has a density w.r.t. the reference measure and methods to estimate drift potentials comfortably. We then apply our results to distorted Brownian motions and construct weak solutions to singular stochastic differential equations, i.e. equations with possibly unbounded and discontinuous drift and reflection terms which may be the sum of countably many local times. The solutions can start from any point of the explicitly specified state space. We consider different kind of weights, like Muckenhoupt A_2 weights and weights with moderate growth at singularities as well as different kind of (multiple) boundary conditions. Our approach leads in particular to the construction and explicit identification of countably skew reflected and normally reflected Brownian motions with singular drift in bounded and unbounded multi-dimensional domains.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): primary; 60J60, 60J35, 31C25, 31C15; secondary: 60J55, 35J25.

Key words: Transition functions, singular diffusions, skew Brownian motion, reflected Brownian motion, Feller processes.

1 Introduction

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\psi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function such that $\psi > 0$ dx -a.e. on E . We consider a regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2(E, \psi dx)$ that can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_E \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \psi \, dx, \quad f, g \in D(\mathcal{E}). \quad (1)$$

The regularity of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ provides the existence of a Hunt process $\mathbb{M} = ((X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E_\Delta})$ with lifetime ζ that is associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ and whose generator is informally given as

$$Lf = \frac{1}{2} \Delta f + \frac{\nabla \psi}{2\psi} \cdot \nabla f.$$

\mathbb{M} is called distorted Brownian motion (cf. [3], [22], [23]) and forms as in (1) with infinitesimal generator L can be generalized to all kind of different state spaces E by finding an appropriate interpretation of the gradient ∇ and Laplacian Δ . Due to the good structural properties, like e.g. the self-adjointness of the corresponding generators, there is a huge literature about distorted Brownian motion in finite, as well as in infinite dimensions (see e.g. [8], [26], [38], [35], [5], [7] and references therein). We shall be

concerned with a locally compact separable metric space E for our general results and with $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ like above in our concrete applications. The distorted Brownian motion has then typically an unbounded and discontinuous drift and of special interest is therefore the identification of the stochastic differential equation (hereafter SDE) that is fulfilled by it. It is well known how to identify the distorted Brownian motion for quasi-every starting point by using Fukushima's decomposition of additive functionals (see [22], [23], [27, Theorem 5.5.1], and [4], [31, Theorem 2.5] for infinite dimensional state space). This approach is in some sense abstract since the set of starting points that is excluded is not explicitly known and rather only given as a set of zero capacity. It can nonetheless be made explicit by looking at probability distributions $\mathbb{P}_\nu(\cdot) := \int_E \mathbb{P}_x(\cdot) \nu(dx)$ where ν is an explicitly given probability measure that does not charge sets of zero capacity. Another approach is to solve a corresponding martingale problem for as much as possible explicitly specified starting points (see [6], [9], [10], [20]). This may be a reasonable intermediate approach, especially if the functions for which the martingale problem is considered are dense in $D(\mathcal{E})$, but it does not lead directly to the identification of the SDE. Our strategy for the identification of the distorted Brownian motion for as much as possible explicitly specified starting points is based on Fukushima's absolute continuity condition and is known as the strict Fukushima decomposition (cf. [27, (4.2.9) and Theorem 5.5.5],[24], [25]). To our knowledge it is the first time it is applied systematically for weights $\psi \neq \text{const}$. For some examples with $\psi \equiv \text{const}$, we refer to [11], [28] and [24], see also [27, Examples 5.2.2 and 5.5.3]. The strategy consists of two parts. The first one is to construct a Hunt process whose transition function has a density $p_t(x, y)$ w.r.t. the reference measure $m := \psi dx$ and is an m -version of the $L^2(E, m)$ -semigroup $(T_t)_{t>0}$ associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$, i.e. we need to construct a Hunt process $\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E_\Delta})$ with life time ζ such that

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] = \int_E p_t(x, y) f(y) m(dy) \quad (2)$$

for any $t > 0, x \in E, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and such that $P_t f$ is an m -version of $T_t f$ for any $f \in L^2(E, m) \cap \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and $t > 0$. Note that even if $(T_t)_{t>0}$ is strong Feller, i.e. $T_t f$ has a continuous m -version for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and $t > 0$, so that $T_t f$ has a density as in (2), the process constructed via regularity by Dirichlet form methods does not necessarily satisfy this condition. In fact since such a Hunt process is only unique for quasi-every starting point (see [27, Theorem 4.2.8]), the absolute continuity condition may be violated for some points $x \in E$ in a capacity zero set. For the construction of a Hunt process \mathbb{M} on a general locally compact separable metric space E that satisfies the absolute continuity condition, we use two methods. The first one is the well known Feller semigroup method that we summarize in Section 2.1.1 and that we apply in the form of Lemma 2.3. We then use heat kernel estimates to verify the conditions of Lemma 2.3 for concrete Muckenhoupt weights (cf. Remark 2.4). The second method which is developed in Section 2.1.2 is what we call the Dirichlet form method and it is a refinement of the method introduced in [6, Section 4]. Our contribution here is to

exploit the structure of a carré du champ (see Lemma 2.5 and Remark 2.7(ii)) and to find an adequate condition to determine convergence (see **(H2)'**(i) below and proof of Lemma 2.8). For other work, where the method of [6] is adopted, we refer to [10, 9]. As in the case of Feller semigroups, we apply these general results in Section 3 to concrete Muckenhoupt A_2 weights (see Lemma 3.6(i) and Propositions 3.13, 3.16). We remark that it remains open whether the absolute continuity condition holds for general Muckenhoupt A_2 weights or not. According to Proposition 3.3(i) and (iii), when using the Feller method it remains to show Lemma 2.3(i), and according to Proposition 3.3(i) and (ii), when using the Dirichlet form method it remains to show **(H2)'**(i) and (ii). In Section 4, we obtain the absolute continuity condition from results of [6] using the appropriate part Dirichlet form (see Lemma 4.2). In Section 5, we assume the absolute continuity condition to be verified, but refer to [9] to which it accordingly holds under certain conditions (see Remark 5.2). The results of Section 5 are also achieved by specifying the appropriate part Dirichlet form (see Lemma 5.3). The necessary tools for part Dirichlet forms and general auxiliary results are presented in Section 2.2. The second part of the strategy consists in finding good estimates for the drift potentials

$$R_1\mu(x) = \int_E r_1(x, y) \mu(dy)$$

corresponding to the logarithmic derivative $\mu := \frac{\nabla\psi}{2\psi}$ in the sense of distributions and to measures μ on ∂E that occur through integration by parts as boundary terms in case of existing boundary ∂E . Here $r_1(x, y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} p_t(x, y) dt$. Concretely, in Section 3, we consider Muckenhoupt A_2 weights $\psi = \rho\phi$, where ρ is a weakly differentiable function and ϕ is a function that is piecewise constant and has discontinuities along boundaries of Euclidean balls (see (30)), along the boundary of a Lipschitz domain (see (32)) and along hyperplanes (see (34)). In this case using informally the Leibniz rule for $\nabla(\rho\phi)$, we see that $\mu(dy)$ is given as the sum of the absolutely continuous part $\frac{\nabla\rho}{2\rho}(y) m(dy)$ and the corresponding boundary measures. In Section 4, we consider the case where $\phi \equiv 1$ and E has no boundary so that $\mu(dy) = \frac{\nabla\rho}{2\rho}(y) m(dy)$ and in Section 5, we consider the case where $\phi \equiv 1$ and existing boundary, so that μ is given as the sum of $\frac{\nabla\rho}{2\rho}(y) m(dy)$ and a weighted surface measure (see Lemma 5.7). Our key for estimating potentials is Proposition 2.13 that we found very useful and apply throughout the article. Especially, if no continuity properties of a potential are known, we use resolvent kernel estimates to find continuous Riesz potentials (see (24) and Lemma 3.5) as upper bound r_1^G as in Proposition 2.13 for the potential, i.e. we use Proposition 2.13 in combination with resolvent kernel estimates and Lemma 3.5. We use this procedure for instance globally in Lemma 3.6(iii)-(v) where for the global resolvent kernel estimates, we use known global heat kernel estimates for Muckenhoupt weights from [42] (see (17)). We use it locally in Lemma 5.8 using local heat kernel estimates that we derive using Nash type inequalities and the Davies method of [15] similarly to what is done in [11, Theorems 2.3, 3.1] (see Lemma 5.4, Proposition 5.5 and Corollary 5.6). Of special interest could be the corresponding localization procedure via part processes that we apply on a nice

exhaustive sequence of sets for the state space (see conditions (ι) , (κ) in Section 5, Lemma 5.8, Proposition 5.9, Lemmas 5.10, 5.11 and proof of Theorem 5.12). We use it when global resolvent kernel estimates do not provide enough regularity or are not at hand. For other places in this article where we use this localization procedure see Proposition 3.8(ii), Theorem 3.9(ii) and Remark 3.15.

The Muckenhoupt A_2 weights $\psi = \rho\phi$ that we investigate in Section 3, lead to solutions of SDEs of the following type

$$X_t = x + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds + L_t^\phi, \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (3)$$

where L^ϕ may be a series of local times (see (23) of Theorem 3.4). Theorem 3.4 is formulated under general conditions on ρ and ϕ . We then extensively study the typical case of an A_2 weight where $\rho(x) = \|x\|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (-d+1, d)$ and ϕ is an explicitly given piecewise constant function that is globally bounded above and below by strictly positive constants. In this case it is known that the capacity of $\{0\}$ is zero, iff $\alpha \in [-d+2, d)$. We obtain that one can choose $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, if $\alpha \in (-d+1, 2)$ and $L^\phi \equiv 0$, or if $\alpha \in (-d+1, 1)$ and $L^\phi \neq 0$ (see Proposition 3.8(i), Theorem 3.9(i) and Theorem 3.14) and that one can choose $E = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ in the remaining cases (see Proposition 3.8(ii), Theorem 3.9(ii) and Remark 3.15). Two observations are here worth to be noted. The first is that we are able to start in 0 although $\{0\}$ might be a capacity zero set and the second is that we lose one dimension in α in case there are boundary terms. The reason for the last is that we use continuous Riesz potentials of the form (24) as upper bounds for our drift potentials and that drifts which are given as surface measures on a nice boundary are equivalent to the Lebesgue measure of one dimension less (cf. Lemma 3.6(v)). The concrete examples of drifts L^ϕ that we obtain in (3) can be summarized as follows. If ϕ is as in (30) piecewise constant on countably many annuli with jumps along their boundaries, L^ϕ is given as the last term in (31) which corresponds to a distorted Brownian motion with skew reflection on the boundary of Euclidean balls that may accumulate. (31) seems new to us. We could not find any similar equation in multi-dimensions in the literature. Its one-dimensional counterpart is studied extensively in [34]. If ϕ is as in (32) piecewise constant on a bounded Lipschitz domain and on its complement, then L^ϕ is given as a scalar multiple of the boundary local time on the boundary of a Lipschitz domain G as in (33). The corresponding process could be called a β -skew distorted Brownian motion w.r.t. G . In case of skew reflection at the boundary of a $C^{1,\lambda}$ -domain, $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ and smooth diffusion coefficient, a weak solution is constructed in [37, III. §3 and §4], see also references therein. The reflection term in [37] is defined as generalized drift. If ϕ is as in (34) piecewise constant on countably many infinite strips with jumps along countably many hyperplanes, then L^ϕ is given as the last term in (38). Variants of (38), but without accumulation points and Lipschitz drift appear in [45, 33, 44]. For recent related work, we refer to [2].

In Section 4, we complete results of [6]. There the distorted Brownian motion is constructed on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\psi = 0\}$ for certain weights ψ , but the corresponding SDE is not

explicitly identified. It was noted in [6, Remark 5.6] that besides using direct stochastic calculus one could possibly also achieve this identification by refining arguments from [27]. As already mentioned, we work out the latter using the part Dirichlet form on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\psi = 0\}$. For details we refer to Section 4.

In Section 5, we complete results from [46]. Precisely, under the assumptions $(\eta) - (\kappa)$ of Section 5, we show in Theorem 5.12 that the Skorokhod decomposition that was obtained in [46] for quasi-every starting point can be achieved in concrete examples for every starting point outside an explicitly specified capacity zero set in the symmetric case. We note that the absolute continuity condition is assumed to hold in (θ) . For additional conditions according to which the absolute continuity condition is satisfied, we refer to [9] (see Remark 5.2). For work that is strongly related with Theorem 5.12, we refer to [11, 16, 28, 36].

Finally, let us remark that we only treat the semimartingale case, but that the strict Fukushima decomposition has also been formulated in the non-semimartingale case (see [24]). It could be interesting to see which phenomena occur in this case. Moreover, because we did not want to overload this presentation, we also did not consider the (a_{ij}) -case in our concrete examples. But drift potentials that occur in the (a_{ij}) -case can be handled by exactly the same methods that are presented here once the absolute continuity condition is established. For this, we refer to forthcoming work.

2 Preliminaries and the absolute continuity condition

Let $d \geq 1$. $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of all infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $\nabla f := (\partial_1 f, \dots, \partial_d f)$ and $\Delta f := \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{jj} f$ where $\partial_j f$ is the j -th weak partial derivative of f and $\partial_{jj} f := \partial_j(\partial_j f)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. As usual dx is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d and δ_x is the Dirac measure at x . For any open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ the Sobolev space $H^{1,q}(G, dx)$, $q \geq 1$ is defined to be the set of all functions $f \in L^q(G, dx)$ such that $\partial_j f \in L^q(G, dx)$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, and $H_{loc}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^d, dx) := \{f \mid f \cdot 1_U \in H^{1,q}(U, dx), \forall U \subset \mathbb{R}^d, U \text{ relatively compact open}\}$. We always equip \mathbb{R}^d with the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$ and write $B_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|x\| < r\}$.

For a locally compact separable metric space (E, d) with Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$ we denote the set of all $\mathcal{B}(E)$ -measurable $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are bounded, or non-negative by $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$, $\mathcal{B}^+(E)$ respectively. $B_r(y) := \{x \in E \mid d(x, y) < r\}$, $r > 0$, $y \in E$. $L^q(E, \mu)$, $q \in [1, \infty]$ are the usual L^q -spaces equipped with L^q -norm $\|\cdot\|_q$ with respect to the measure μ on E , $\mathcal{A}_b := \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ for $\mathcal{A} \subset L^q(E, \mu)$, and $L_{loc}^q(E, \mu) := \{f \mid f \cdot 1_U \in L^q(E, \mu), \forall U \subset E, U \text{ relatively compact open}\}$, where 1_A denotes the indicator function of a set A . As usual, we also denote the set of continuous functions on E , the set of continuous bounded functions on E , the set of compactly supported continuous functions in E by $C(E)$, $C_b(E)$, $C_0(E)$, respectively. $C_\infty(E)$ denotes the space of continuous functions on E which vanish at infinity. For $A \subset E$ let \overline{A}

denote the closure of A in E , $A^c := E \setminus A$. We will refer to [27] till the end, hence some of its standard notations may be adopted below without definition.

In order to simplify notation while handling inequalities or estimates we make the convention that unless otherwise specified $c > 0$ stands for an arbitrary constant whose value may vary from inequality to inequality.

2.1 Global setting

Throughout, we let $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ be a symmetric, strongly local, regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(E, m)$ where m is a positive Radon measure on $(E, \mathcal{B}(E))$ with full support on E . We further assume throughout that \mathcal{E} admits a carré du champ

$$\Gamma : D(\mathcal{E}) \times D(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow L^1(E, m)$$

as in [14, Definition 4.1.2]. As usual we define $\mathcal{E}_1(f, g) := \mathcal{E}(f, g) + (f, g)_{L^2(E, m)}$ for $f, g \in D(\mathcal{E})$ and $\|f\|_{D(\mathcal{E})} := \mathcal{E}_1(f, f)^{1/2}$, $f \in D(\mathcal{E})$. Let $(T_t)_{t>0}$ and $(G_\alpha)_{\alpha>0}$ be the $L^2(E, m)$ -semigroup and resolvent associated to $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ and $(L, D(L))$ be the corresponding generator (see [31, Diagram 3, p. 39]). Let Cap be the capacity related to the regular symmetric Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ as defined in [27, 2.1]. We say that a function f is locally in $D(\mathcal{E})_b$ ($f \in D(\mathcal{E})_{b, \text{loc}}$ in notation) if for any relatively compact open set $G \subset E$, there exists a function $g \in D(\mathcal{E})_b$ such that $f = g$ m -a.e. on G . We consider the condition

(H1) There exists a $\mathcal{B}(E) \times \mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable non-negative map $p_t(x, y)$ such that

$$P_t f(x) := \int_E p_t(x, y) f(y) m(dy), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in E, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E),$$

is a (temporally homogeneous) sub-Markovian transition function (see [17, Section 1.2]) and an m -version of $T_t f$ if $f \in L^2(E, m)_b$.

$p_t(x, y)$ is called the transition kernel density or heat kernel. Taking the Laplace transform of $p_t(x, y)$, we see that **(H1)** implies that there exists a $\mathcal{B}(E) \times \mathcal{B}(E)$ measurable non-negative map $r_\alpha(x, y)$ such that

$$R_\alpha f(x) := \int_E r_\alpha(x, y) f(y) m(dy), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in E, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E), \quad (4)$$

is an m -version of $G_\alpha f$ if $f \in L^2(E, m)_b$. $r_\alpha(x, y)$ is called the resolvent kernel density. For a signed Radon measure μ on E , let us define

$$R_\alpha \mu(x) = \int_E r_\alpha(x, y) \mu(dy), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in E, \quad (5)$$

whenever this makes sense. Throughout, we set $P_0 := id$. Furthermore, assuming that **(H1)** holds, we can consider the condition

(H2) There exists a Hunt process with transition function $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

We recall that **(H2)** means that there exists a Hunt process

$$\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \zeta, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E_\Delta}), \quad (6)$$

with state space E and life time ζ such that $P_t(x, B) := P_t 1_B(x) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_t \in B)$ for any $x \in E$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, $t \geq 0$. Here, Δ is the cemetery point and as usual any function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is extended to $\{\Delta\}$ by setting $f(\Delta) := 0$. $E_\Delta := E \cup \{\Delta\}$ is the one-point compactification if E is not already compact, if E is compact then Δ is added to E as an isolated point.

Remark 2.1. *Note that if **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold, then \mathbb{M} is associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ and satisfies the absolute continuity condition as stated in [27, p. 165].*

Below, we present two methods to obtain \mathbb{M} as in Remark 2.1.

2.1.1 The Feller semigroup method

Assuming **(H1)**, a Hunt process as in **(H2)** can be constructed by means of a Feller semigroup (cf. [13, (9.4) Theorem]). For the definition of Feller semigroup, we refer to [17, Section 2.2].

Remark 2.2. *Under **(H1)**, $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Feller semigroup, if*

- (i) $\forall f \in C_\infty(E)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t f = f$ uniformly on E ,
- (ii) $P_t C_\infty(E) \subset C_\infty(E)$ for each $t > 0$.

It is well known that the condition of uniform convergence in Remark 2.2 (i) can be relaxed to pointwise convergence (see for instance [17, Section 2.2 Exercise 4.]). The conditions of Remark 2.2 can be further relaxed to the conditions of the following lemma which are suitable for us.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose **(H1)** and that*

- (i) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} P_t f(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in E$ and $f \in C_0(E)$,
- (ii) $P_t C_0(E) \subset C_\infty(E)$ for each $t > 0$.

*Then $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a Feller semigroup. In particular **(H2)** holds.*

Remark 2.4. *One can use heat kernel estimates for $p_t(x, y)$ to check the assumptions of Lemma 2.3 (i), (ii) (see Lemma 3.6 (i) below).*

2.1.2 The Dirichlet form method

The second method to obtain a Hunt process as in Remark 2.1, given a transition function as in **(H1)**, is by a method that we shall call the Dirichlet form method. It is a refinement of the method introduced in [6, Section 4]. We shall put it in a frame that is suitable for our purposes. We assume hence **(H1)** to hold and explain the main steps of the method and of our refinement.

Given the transition function $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on E , restricted to the positive dyadic rationals $S := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$, $S_n := \{k2^{-n} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$, we construct a Markov process

$$\mathbb{M}^0 = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}^0, (\mathcal{F}_s^0)_{s \in S}, (X_s^0)_{s \in S}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E_\Delta})$$

with transition function on E_Δ

$$P_t^\Delta(x, dy) = \begin{cases} [1 - P_t(x, E)]\delta_\Delta(dy) + P_t(x, dy), & \text{if } x \in E \\ \delta_\Delta(dy), & \text{if } x = \Delta \end{cases}$$

by Kolmogorov's method (see [39, Chapter III]). Here $\Omega := (E_\Delta)^S$ is equipped with the product σ -field \mathcal{F}^0 , $X_s^0 : (E_\Delta)^S \rightarrow E_\Delta$ are coordinate maps and $\mathcal{F}_s^0 := \sigma(X_r^0 \mid r \in S, r \leq s)$. By the theory of Dirichlet forms there exists a Hunt process

$$\tilde{\mathbb{M}} = (\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \tilde{\zeta}, (\tilde{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x)_{x \in E_\Delta})$$

associated with $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$, where $\tilde{\Omega} = \{\omega = (\omega(t))_{t \geq 0} \in C([0, \infty), E_\Delta) \mid \omega(t) = \Delta, \forall t \geq \tilde{\zeta}\}$ (see [27, Theorem 4.5.3]). Let $\nu := g dm$, where $g \in L^1(E, m)$, $g > 0$ m -a.e., $\int_E g dm = 1$, and set

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu(\cdot) := \int_E \tilde{\mathbb{P}}_x(\cdot) g(x) m(dx).$$

Consider the one-to-one map $G : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$ defined by

$$G(\omega) = \omega|_S.$$

Then G is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0/\mathcal{F}^0$ measurable and $\tilde{\Omega} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0 := \sigma(\tilde{X}_s \mid s \in S)$ and exactly as in [6, Lemma 4.2 and 4.3] we can show that $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_\nu|_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^0} \circ G^{-1} = \mathbb{P}_\nu$, $G(\tilde{\Omega}) \in \mathcal{F}^0$ and $\mathbb{P}_\nu(G(\tilde{\Omega})) = 1$. Then, we show Lemma 4.4 of [6] with $A = G(\tilde{\Omega}) \forall x \in E$, i.e. if

$$\Omega_1 := \bigcap_{s > 0, s \in S} \theta_s^{-1}(G(\tilde{\Omega})),$$

where $\theta_s : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$, $\theta_s(\omega) := \omega(\cdot + s)$, for $s \in S$, is the usual shift operator, then

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\Omega_1) = 1 \tag{7}$$

for all $x \in E$.

Before we go on with our refinement of the Dirichlet form method it is convenient to

introduce some definitions and lemmas:

If \mathcal{A} is a set of functions $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define $\mathcal{A}_0 := \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid \text{supp}(f) := \text{supp}(|f|dm) \text{ is compact in } E\}$. It is well-known that T_t , $t > 0$, restricted to $L^1(E, m) \cap L^\infty(E, m)$ can be extended to a C_0 -semigroup of sub-Markovian contractions on $L^r(E, m)$ for any $r \geq 1$. We denote the corresponding generators by $(L_r, D(L_r))$ (for details we refer to [18, Lemmas 1.11 and 1.12 of Appendix B] and references therein).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $u \in D(L)_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_b(E)$. Then:*

(i) $\text{supp}(Lu) \subset \text{supp}(u)$.

(ii) *It holds $u, u^2 \in D(L_1)$ and*

$$L_1 u^2 = \Gamma(u, u) + 2uLu.$$

(iii) *If $\Gamma(u, u) \in L^p(E, m)$ for some $p \in [2, \infty]$, then $u^2 \in D(L)_0 \cap \mathcal{B}_b(E)$.*

Proof. (i) The statement follows easily from the local property of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$, since

$$\int Lu \cdot v \, dm = -\mathcal{E}(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall v \in D(\mathcal{E}) \text{ with } \text{supp}(v) \subset \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{supp}(u).$$

(ii) Since $L^2(E, m)_0 \subset L^1(E, m)_0$, we conclude with the help of (i) that $u, Lu \in L^1(E, m)_0$. Hence $u \in D(L_1) \cap \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ by [18, Lemmas 1.11, 1.12 of Appendix B]. By [14, I. Theorem 4.2.1], it then holds $u^2 \in D(L_1) \cap \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and

$$L_1 u^2 = \Gamma(u, u) + 2uLu.$$

(iii) By [47, Lemma 3.8 (iii)] we find $\text{supp}(\Gamma(u, u)) \subset \text{supp}(u)$ since $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{supp}(u)} \Gamma(u, u) dm = 0$. Therefore $\Gamma(u, u) \in L^2(E, m)_0$ and so $L_1 u^2 \in L^2(E, m)$ by (ii). Since $u^2 \in L^2(E, m)$ and $u^2 \in D(L_1)$ by (ii) it follows again from [18, Lemmas 1.11, 1.12 of Appendix B] that $u^2 \in D(L)$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ such that $R_1|f|$ is finite on E (for instance if $R_1|f|$ is continuous or if $f \in L^\infty(E, m)$). Then for any $t \geq 0$*

$$\lim_{\substack{s \downarrow t \\ s \in \mathcal{S}}} P_s R_1 f(x) = e^t \int_t^\infty e^{-u} P_u f(x) \, du = P_t R_1 f(x).$$

In particular

$$\lim_{\substack{s \downarrow 0 \\ s \in \mathcal{S}}} P_s R_1 f(x) = R_1 f(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in E.$$

Proof. First note that for any function $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(E)$, we have $P_s f(x) = P_s^\Delta f(x)$ if $x \in E$. Using this, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(E)$ and $x \in E$, we then obtain with Fubini

$$P_s R_1 f(x) = P_s^\Delta R_1 f(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[R_1 f(X_s^0)] = e^s \int_s^\infty e^{-u} P_u f(x) du, \quad s > 0, \quad (8)$$

where \mathbb{E}_x denotes the expectation w.r.t. \mathbb{P}_x . The r.h.s. of (8) converges in \mathbb{R} to $e^t \int_t^\infty e^{-u} P_u f(x) du$ as $s \downarrow t$, $t \geq 0$ if $R_1 f(x)$ is finite. If $R_1 |f|$ is finite, then $R_1(f^+)$ as well as $R_1(f^-)$ are finite and so the assertion follows. \square

Ω_1 defined in (7) consists of paths in Ω which have unique continuous extensions to $(0, \infty)$ which still lie in E_Δ and stay in Δ once they have hit Δ . Following the main idea of [6], we have to handle the limits at $s = 0$. This can be done assuming the following condition

(H2)' We can find $\{u_n \mid n \geq 1\} \subset D(L) \cap C_0(E)$ satisfying:

- (i) For all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ and $y \in D$, where D is any given countable dense set in E , there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_n(z) \geq 1$, for all $z \in \underline{B}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)$ and $u_n \equiv 0$ on $E \setminus B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)$.
- (ii) $R_1([(1-L)u_n]^+)$, $R_1([(1-L)u_n]^-)$, $R_1([(1-L_1)u_n^2]^+)$, $R_1([(1-L_1)u_n^2]^-)$ are continuous on E for all $n \geq 1$.
- (iii) $R_1 C_0(E) \subset C(E)$.
- (iv) For any $f \in C_0(E)$ and $x \in E$, the map $t \mapsto P_t f(x)$ is right-continuous on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 2.7. (i) By Lemma 2.5 (ii), $u_n^2 \in D(L_1) \forall n \geq 1$. Thus $L_1 u_n^2$ in **(H2)'** (ii) is well-defined.

(ii) In view of Lemma 2.5 **(H2)'** (ii)-(iii) can be replaced by the following (stronger) condition:

$\exists r \in [1, \infty]$ such that $R_1(L'(E, m)_0) \subset C(E)$ and $Lu_n \in L'(E, m)$ for any $n \geq 1$ and if $r \neq 1$, then $\Gamma(u_n, u_n)^{1/2} \in L^\infty(E, m)$, $\forall n \geq 1$.

Define

$$\Omega_0 := \{\omega \in \Omega_1 \mid \lim_{s \downarrow 0} X_s^0(\omega) \text{ exists in } E\}.$$

Lemma 2.8. Under **(H1)** and **(H2)'**, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{s \downarrow 0 \\ s \in S}} X_s^0 = x \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s. for all } x \in E. \quad (9)$$

In particular $\mathbb{P}_x(\Omega_0) = 1$ for any $x \in E$.

Proof. Let $x \in E$, $n \geq 1$. Then the processes

$$\left(e^{-s} R_1([(1-L)u_n]^+)(X_s^0), \mathcal{F}_s^0, \mathbb{P}_x \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(e^{-s} R_1([(1-L)u_n]^-)(X_s^0), \mathcal{F}_s^0, \mathbb{P}_x \right)$$

are positive supermartingales. Indeed since $R_1([(1-L)u_n]^\pm)$ is continuous by **(H2)'** (ii), the processes are adapted and integrable. The supermartingale property follows by standard manipulations using the simple Markov property. Then by [17, 1.4 Theorem 1] for any $t \geq 0$

$$\exists \lim_{\substack{s \downarrow t \\ s \in S}} e^{-s} R_1([(1-L)u_n]^\pm)(X_s^0) \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}$$

thus

$$\exists \lim_{\substack{s \downarrow 0 \\ s \in S}} u_n(X_s^0) \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.} \quad (10)$$

We have $u_n = R_1((1-L)u_n)$ and $u_n^2 = R_1((1-L_1)u_n^2)$ m -a.e., but since both sides are respectively continuous by **(H2)'** (ii) and m has full support, it follows that the equalities hold pointwise on E . Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_x[(u_n(X_s^0) - u_n(x))^2] = P_s R_1((1-L_1)u_n^2)(x) - 2u_n(x) P_s R_1((1-L)u_n)(x) + u_n^2(x)$$

and so

$$\lim_{\substack{s \downarrow 0 \\ s \in S}} \mathbb{E}_x[(u_n(X_s^0) - u_n(x))^2] = 0 \quad (11)$$

by Lemma 2.6. (10) and (11) now imply that

$$\lim_{\substack{s \downarrow 0 \\ s \in S}} u_n(X_s^0(\omega)) = u_n(x) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega_x^n, \quad (12)$$

where $\Omega_x^n \subset \Omega_1$ with $\mathbb{P}_x(\Omega_x^n) = 1$. Let $\omega \in \Omega_x^0 := \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_x^n$. Then $\mathbb{P}_x(\Omega_x^0) = 1$. Suppose that $X_s^0(\omega)$ does not converge to x as $s \downarrow 0$, $s \in S$. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and a subsequence $(X_{s_k}^0(\omega))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $d(X_{s_k}^0(\omega), x) > \varepsilon_0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ we can find $y \in D$ and u_n in **(H2)'** (i) such that $d(x, y) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}$ and $u_n(z) \geq 1$, $z \in \overline{B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{4}}}(y)$ and $u_n(z) = 0$, $z \in E \setminus B_{\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}}(y)$. Then $u_n(X_{s_k}^0(\omega))$ can not converge to $u_n(x)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction. \square

Now we define for $t \geq 0$

$$X_t(\omega) := \begin{cases} \lim_{\substack{s \downarrow t \\ s \in S}} X_s^0(\omega) & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega_0 \\ x_0 & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_0, \end{cases}$$

where x_0 is an arbitrary but fixed point in E . Then by **(H2)'** (iv) for any $t \geq 0$, $f \in C_0(E)$ and $x \in E$

$$\mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t)] = P_t f(x).$$

Since $\sigma(C_0(E)) = \mathcal{B}(E)$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E_\Delta}),$$

where $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the natural filtration, is a normal Markov process with transition function $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$. Moreover, \mathbb{M} has continuous paths up to infinity on E_Δ . The strong Markov property of \mathbb{M} follows from [13, Section I. Theorem (8.11)] using **(H2)'** (iii). Hence \mathbb{M} is a Hunt process, i.e. a strong Markov process with continuous sample paths on E_Δ , and has $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as transition function. Therefore **(H2)** holds. Making a statement out of the last conclusion we put it in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *Assume **(H1)** holds. Then **(H2)'** implies **(H2)**.*

Remark 2.10. *If $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is strong Feller, i.e. $T_t f$ has a continuous m -version for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ and **(H2)'** (i)-(ii) and **(H2)'** (iv) hold, then **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold (cf. Proof of Proposition 3.3 below).*

2.2 Local setting and general auxiliary results

We assume **(H1)** and **(H2)** throughout the Section 2.2.

Definition 2.11. *Let B be an open set in E . For $x \in B, t \geq 0, \alpha > 0$ and $p \in [1, \infty)$ let*

- $\sigma_{B^c} := \inf\{t > 0 \mid X_t \in B^c\}, D_{B^c} := \inf\{t \geq 0 \mid X_t \in B^c\},$
- $P_t^B f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_t); t < \sigma_{B^c}], f \in \mathcal{B}_b(B),$
- $R_\alpha^B f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^{\sigma_{B^c}} e^{-\alpha s} f(X_s) ds\right], f \in \mathcal{B}_b(B),$
- $D(\mathcal{E}^B) := \{u \in D(\mathcal{E}) \mid u = 0 \text{ } \mathcal{E}\text{-}q.\text{e. on } B^c\}.$
- $\mathcal{E}^B := \mathcal{E}|_{D(\mathcal{E}^B) \times D(\mathcal{E}^B)}.$
- $L^2(B, m) := \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m) \mid u = 0, m\text{-a.e. on } B^c\}.$
- $\|f\|_{p,B}^p := \int_B |f|^p dm.$
- $\|f\|_{\infty,B} := \inf\{c > 0 \mid \int_B 1_{\{|f|>c\}} dm = 0\}.$
- $\mathcal{E}_1^B(f, g) := \mathcal{E}^B(f, g) + \int_B fg dm, f, g \in D(\mathcal{E}^B).$
- $\|f\|_{D(\mathcal{E}^B)} := \mathcal{E}_1^B(f, f)^{1/2}, f \in D(\mathcal{E}^B).$

$(\mathcal{E}^B, D(\mathcal{E}^B))$ is called the part Dirichlet form of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on B . It is a regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(B, m)$ (cf. [27, Section 4.4]). Let $(T_t^B)_{t > 0}$ and $(G_\alpha^B)_{\alpha > 0}$ be the $L^2(B, m)$ -semigroup and resolvent associated to $(\mathcal{E}^B, D(\mathcal{E}^B))$. Then $P_t^B f, R_\alpha^B f$ is an m -version of $T_t^B f, G_\alpha^B f$, respectively for any $f \in L^2(B, m)_b$. Since $P_t^B 1_A(x) \leq P_t 1_A(x)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(B), x \in B$ and m has full support on $E, A \mapsto P_t^B 1_A(x), A \in \mathcal{B}(B)$ is absolutely

continuous with respect to $1_B \cdot m$. Hence there exists a (measurable) transition kernel density $p_t^B(x, y)$, $x, y \in B$, such that

$$P_t^B f(x) = \int_B p_t^B(x, y) f(y) m(dy), \quad t > 0, \quad x \in B \quad (13)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(B)$. Correspondingly, there exists a (measurable) resolvent kernel density $r_\alpha^B(x, y)$, such that

$$R_\alpha^B f(x) = \int_B r_\alpha^B(x, y) f(y) m(dy), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in B$$

for $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(B)$. For a signed Radon measure μ on B , let us define

$$R_\alpha^B \mu(x) = \int_B r_\alpha^B(x, y) \mu(dy), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x \in B$$

whenever this makes sense. The process defined by

$$X_t^B(\omega) = \begin{cases} X_t(\omega), & 0 \leq t < D_{B^c}(\omega) \\ \Delta, & t \geq D_{B^c}(\omega) \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

is called the part process corresponding to \mathcal{E}^B and is denoted by $\mathbb{M}|_B$. $\mathbb{M}|_B$ is a Hunt process on B (see [27, p.174 and Theorem A.2.10]). In particular, by (13) $\mathbb{M}|_B$ satisfies the absolute continuity condition on B .

A positive Radon measure μ on B is said to be of finite energy integral if

$$\int_B |f(x)| \mu(dx) \leq C \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1^B(f, f)}, \quad f \in D(\mathcal{E}^B) \cap C_0(B),$$

where C is some constant independent of f . A positive Radon measure μ on B is of finite energy integral (on B) if and only if there exists a unique function $U_1^B \mu \in D(\mathcal{E}^B)$ such that

$$\mathcal{E}_1^B(U_1^B \mu, f) = \int_B f(x) \mu(dx),$$

for all $f \in D(\mathcal{E}^B) \cap C_0(B)$. $U_1^B \mu$ is called 1-potential of μ . In particular, $R_1^B \mu$ is a version of $U_1^B \mu$ (see e.g. [27, Exercise 4.2.2]). The measures of finite energy integral are denoted by S_0^B . We further define $S_{00}^B := \{\mu \in S_0^B \mid \mu(B) < \infty, \|U_1^B \mu\|_{\infty, B} < \infty\}$. A positive Borel measure μ on B is said to be smooth in the strict sense if there exists a sequence $(E_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of Borel sets increasing to B such that $1_{E_k} \cdot \mu \in S_{00}^B$ for each k and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B \setminus E_k} \geq \zeta) = 1, \quad \forall x \in B.$$

The totality of the smooth measures in the strict sense is denoted by S_1^B (see [27]). If $\mu \in S_1^B$, then there exists a unique $A \in A_{c,1}^{+,B}$ with $\mu = \mu_A$, i.e. μ is the Revuz measure of A (see [27, Theorem 5.1.7]), such that

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} dA_t \right] = R_1 \mu_A(x), \quad \forall x \in B.$$

Here, $A_{c,1}^{+,B}$ denotes the positive continuous additive functionals on B in the strict sense. If $B = E$, we omit the superscript B and simply write U_1, S_0, S_{00}, S_1 , and $A_{c,1}^+$.

Lemma 2.12. *For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\mu_{A^k}, \mu_A \in S_1^B$ be the Revuz measures associated with $A^k, A \in A_{c,1}^{+,B}$, respectively. Suppose that $\mu_A = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{A^k}$. Then $A = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A^k$.*

Proof. Since $\mu_{\sum_{-n \leq k \leq n} A^k} \leq \mu_A$ and $\sum_{-n \leq k \leq n} A^k \in A_{c,1}^{+,B}$, we can use [13, IV. (2.12) Proposition] in order to show that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\mathbb{P}_x \left(\sum_{-n \leq k \leq n} A_t^k \leq A_t \right) = 1$$

for all $x \in B$. Thus by the Weierstrass M-test $\tilde{N}_t := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_t^k$ converges locally uniformly \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for all $x \in B$. It follows that \tilde{N}_t is positive continuous additive functional in the strict sense. In particular $d\tilde{N}_t = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} dA_t^k$ which further implies that for any $x \in B$ and $f \in C_0(B)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} f(X_t) d\tilde{N}_t \right] &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} f(X_t) dA_t^k \right] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} R_1^B f \mu_{A^k}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_E r_1^B(x, y) f(y) \mu_{A^k}(dy) = \int_E r_1^B(x, y) f(y) \mu_A(dy) = R_1^B f \mu_A(x) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} f(X_t) dA_t \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\tilde{N} = A$ by [13, IV. (2.12) Proposition]. \square

Proposition 2.13. *Let μ be a positive Radon measure on E . Suppose that for some relatively compact open set $G \subset E$, $1_G \cdot \mu \in S_0$ and that $R_1(1_G \cdot \mu)$ is bounded m -a.e. on E by a continuous function $r_1^G \in C(E)$ (resp. that $R_1(1_G \cdot \mu) \in L^1(G, \mu)$ and that $R_1(1_G \cdot \mu)$ is bounded m -a.e. on E by a continuous function $r_1^G \in C(E)$). Then $1_G \cdot \mu \in S_{00}$. In particular, if this holds for any relatively compact open set G , then $\mu \in S_1$ with respect to a sequence of open sets $(E_k)_{k \geq 1}$.*

Proof. First suppose $1_G \cdot \mu \in S_0$. Since μ is a Radon measure, we have that $1_G \cdot \mu$ is finite. Since r_1^G is continuous, it follows that

$$E_k := \{x \in E \mid r_1^G(x) < k\}, \quad k \geq 1$$

are open sets increasing to E . Let $\tilde{U}_1(1_{E_k \cap G} \cdot \mu), \tilde{U}_1(1_G \cdot \mu)$ be q.c. versions of $U_1(1_{E_k \cap G} \cdot \mu), U_1(1_G \cdot \mu)$. On E_k it holds $\tilde{U}_1(1_{E_k \cap G} \cdot \mu) \leq \tilde{U}_1(1_G \cdot \mu) \leq r_1^G \leq k$ q.e. Hence $U_1(1_{E_k \cap G} \cdot \mu) \leq k$ m -a.e. by [27, Lemma 2.2.4 (ii)]. Since $(E_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is an open cover of \bar{G} , we know that there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $G \subset \bar{G} \subset E_{k_0}$. Hence, $U_1(1_G \cdot \mu) \leq k_0$ m -a.e. Therefore, $1_G \cdot \mu \in S_{00}$. If $R_1(1_G \cdot \mu) \in L^1(G, \mu)$, then

$$\int_G \int_G r_1(x, y) \mu(dy) \mu(dx) = \int_G R_1(1_G \cdot \mu)(x) \mu(dx) < \infty.$$

Hence $1_G \cdot \mu \in S_0$ by [27, Example 4.2.2] and we conclude as before. \square

3 Muckenhoupt weights

In this section we complete and extend substantially the results from [40]. We assume throughout that $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, with $d \geq 3$ (except in Lemma 3.6(vi), Proposition 3.8(ii), Theorem 3.9(ii) and Remark 3.15 where the state space is $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ with $d \geq 2$). We consider a weight function that is in the Muckenhoupt A_2 class. For the definition and basic properties of Muckenhoupt weights, we refer to [49]. Precisely, we assume the following:

(α) $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable function and $\phi > 0$ dx -a.e.,

(β) $\rho\phi \in A_2$, $\rho \in H_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$, $\rho > 0$ dx -a.e.,

and consider

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dm, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad m := \rho\phi dx \quad (15)$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m)$.

Remark 3.1. *Let $\tilde{c} \geq 1$. If ϕ is measurable with $\tilde{c}^{-1} \leq \phi \leq \tilde{c}$ and $\rho \in A_2$, then $\rho\phi \in A_2$.*

Since $\rho\phi \in A_2$, we have $\frac{1}{\rho\phi} \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$, and the latter implies that (15) is closable in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m)$ (see [31, II.2 a]). The closure $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ of (15) is a strongly local, regular, symmetric Dirichlet form (cf. e.g. [42, p. 274]).

From [41, p. 303 Proposition 2.3] and [42, p. 286 A)] (see also [42, 5.B] and [12]) we know that there exists a jointly continuous transition kernel density $p_t(x, y)$ such that

$$P_t f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_t(x, y) f(y) m(dy), \quad t > 0, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is an m -version of $T_t f$ if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_b$. We want to show that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is strong Feller. For this, we first need a lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $t, r > 0$. Then $\inf_{x \in \bar{B}_r} m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) =: M_{t,r} > 0$ and for any $x \in \bar{B}_r$, $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$p_t(x, y) \leq \frac{c \exp\left(-\frac{\|y\|^2}{2(4+\varepsilon)t}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\|y\|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{\alpha/2}}{M_{t,r}^{1/2} m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(0))^{1/2}} 1_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{B}_{4r}}(y) + \left(\sup_{\substack{x \in \bar{B}_r \\ y \in \bar{B}_{4r}}} p_t(x, y)\right) 1_{\bar{B}_{4r}}(y) \quad (16)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is some constant. In particular

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{B}_r} p_t(x, \cdot) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, m).$$

Proof. It follows from [43, 4.3] and [42, Corollary 4.2.] that for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$p_t(x, y) \leq c \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{(4+\varepsilon)t}\right)}{m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))^{1/2} m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))^{1/2}}. \quad (17)$$

By Fatou's lemma, $x \mapsto m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))$ is lower semicontinuous and so it attains its infimum on \bar{B}_r . Therefore $M_{t,r} > 0$. Moreover, since $-\|x-y\|^2 \leq -\frac{\|y\|^2}{2} + \frac{\|y\|(4\|x\|-\|y\|)}{2}$, we obtain $-\|x-y\|^2 \leq -\frac{\|y\|^2}{2}$ for any $x \in \bar{B}_r$ if $y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bar{B}_{4r}$. Further for some $\alpha > 0$ and any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y)) \geq \frac{m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x))}{C_D} \left(1 + \frac{\|x-y\|}{\sqrt{t}}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad (18)$$

where C_D is the volume doubling constant of m (see [29, Proposition 5.1]). These facts together with the joint continuity of $p_t(x, y)$ and (17) lead to (16). Since $m(B_r(y))$ has at most polynomial growth in r for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (cf. Proof of Proposition 2.4 in [40]) the last statement follows. \square

Proposition 3.3. (i) $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ (resp. $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$) is strong Feller, i.e. for $t > 0$, we have $P_t(\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (resp. for $\alpha > 0$, we have $R_\alpha(\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$).

(ii) **(H1)** and **(H2)'** (iii) and (iv) hold for $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

(iii) $P_t(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_0) \subset C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(iv) Let μ be a positive Radon measure and $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ relatively compact open. Let

$$\int_G r_1(\cdot, y) \mu(dy) \leq r_1^G$$

μ -a.e. on G and m -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^d , where r_1^G is a continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d . Then $1_G \cdot \mu \in S_{00}$.

Proof. (i) Let $x_n \rightarrow x$ in \mathbb{R}^d . For $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t > 0$

$$|P_t f(x_n) - P_t f(x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |p_t(x_n, y) - p_t(x, y)| |f(y)| m(dy)$$

which converges to 0 by Lebesgue in view of Lemma 3.2 and the continuity of $p_t(\cdot, y)$. Clearly, $P_t f$ is bounded. Hence, $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is strong Feller. Since $R_\alpha f(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} P_t f(x) dt$ and $\|P_t f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $(R_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0}$ is clearly also strong Feller by Lebesgue.

(ii) By (i), $A \mapsto P_t(x, A)$ is a sub-probability measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Obviously, $x \mapsto P_t(x, A)$ is also measurable for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and so it remains to show the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation. By the semigroup property,

$$P_{t+s} 1_A(x) = P_t(P_s 1_A)(x), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad t, s > 0 \quad (19)$$

for m -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. From the strong Feller property, both sides of (19) are continuous, hence (19) holds for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, i.e. the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation holds and so $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a sub-Markovian transition function. **(H2)'** (iii) follows from (i) and **(H2)'** (iv) follows from [42, Proposition 3.1].

(iii) Combining (17) and (18) we have for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$p_t(x, y) \leq c \frac{1}{m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - y\|^2}{(4 + \varepsilon)t}\right). \quad (20)$$

Using the joint continuity of $p_t(\cdot, \cdot)$, as in (i) we can see that $P_t(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_0) \subset C(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_0$. Using (20),

$$|P_t f(x)| \leq \frac{c}{\inf_{y \in \text{supp}(f)} m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))} \int_{\text{supp}(f)} |f(y)| e^{-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{(4+\varepsilon)t}} m(dy)$$

which converges to 0 by Lebesgue as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

(iv) This is just a reformulation of Proposition 2.13. \square

First let us assume that

(γ) The transition function $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies **(H2)** with $E = \mathbb{R}^d$.

Later we will use the Feller semigroup method and the Dirichlet form method for some typical Muckenhoupt A_2 weights to verify (γ). By the existence of \mathbb{M} associated with $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, \mathbb{M} satisfies the absolute continuity condition. Since $\rho \phi \in A_2$, $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is conservative, i.e. $T_t 1(x) = 1$ for m -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all $t > 0$ (see [40, Proposition 2.4]). It follows

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta = \infty) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (21)$$

by [27, Theorem 4.5.4 (iv)] and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(t \mapsto X_t \text{ is continuous on } [0, \infty)) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (22)$$

by [27, Theorem 4.5.4 (ii)].

Throughout, let $f^j(x) := x_j$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, be the coordinate projections. In order to be explicit, we further assume the following integrations by parts formula

(IBP) For $f \in \{f^1, \dots, f^d\}$, $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$-\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho} \right) g \, dm + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g \, d\nu^f,$$

where $\nu^f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu_k^f$ and $\nu^f, \nu_k^f, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are signed Radon measures (locally of bounded total variation).

For a signed Radon measure μ we denote by μ^+ and μ^- the positive and negative parts in the Hahn decomposition for μ , i.e. $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$. Additionally, we assume that

(δ) For any $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ relatively compact open, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f \in \{f^1, \dots, f^d\}$, we have that $1_G \cdot \nu^{f^+}$, $1_G \cdot \nu^{f^-}$, $1_G \cdot \nu_k^{f^+}$, $1_G \cdot \nu_k^{f^-}$, $1_G \cdot \frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} m \in S_0$ and the corresponding 1-potentials are all bounded by continuous functions.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose (α) – (δ) and (IBP). Then*

$$X_t = x + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} L_t^k, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (23)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where W is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion starting from zero, $L^k = (L^{1,k}, \dots, L^{d,k})$ and $L^{j,k}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, is the difference of positive continuous additive functionals of X in the strict sense associated with Revuz measure $\nu_k^{f^j} = \nu_k^{f^j, (1)} - \nu_k^{f^j, (2)}$ defined in (IBP) (cf. [27, Theorem 5.1.3]).

Proof. Given that (α) – (δ) and (IBP) hold, the assertion follows from [27, Theorems 5.1.3 and 5.5.5], Lemma 2.12, and Propositions 3.3 and 2.13. \square

For later purpose we add some auxiliary results. Define

$$V_\eta g(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{d-\eta}} g(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \eta > 0, \quad (24)$$

whenever it makes sense.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\eta \in (0, d)$, $0 < \eta - \frac{d}{p} < 1$ and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + \|y\|)^{\eta-d} |g(y)| dy < \infty.$$

Then $V_\eta g$ is Hölder continuous of order $\eta - \frac{d}{p}$.

Proof. See [32, Chapter 4, Theorem 2.2]. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\tilde{c}^{-1} \|x\|^\alpha \leq \rho \phi(x) \leq \tilde{c} \|x\|^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in (-d, d)$, $\tilde{c} \geq 1$. Then:*

(i) $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} P_t f(x) = f(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\forall f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold (cf. Proposition 3.3(i),(iii) and Lemma 2.3).

(ii) Let $\Phi(x, y) := \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{\alpha+d-2}}$ and $\Psi(x, y) := \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{d-2} \|y\|^\alpha}$. Then

$$c^{-1} (\Phi(x, y) + \Psi(x, y) 1_{\{\alpha \in [0, d)\}}) \leq r_1(x, y) \leq c (\Phi(x, y) + \Psi(x, y) 1_{\{\alpha \in (-d, 0)\}}).$$

- (iii) Let $\alpha \in (-d + 1, 2)$ and $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ any relatively compact open set. Suppose $1_G \cdot f \|x\|^\alpha \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$, $p \geq 1$ with $0 < 2 - \alpha - \frac{d}{p} < 1$ and $1_G \cdot f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ with $0 < 2 - \frac{d}{q} < 1$. Then $R_1(1_G \cdot |f|m)$ is bounded everywhere (hence clearly also bounded m -a.e. on \mathbb{R}^d and $R_1(1_G |f|m) \in L^1(G, |f|m)$) by the continuous function $\int_G |f(y)| (\Phi(\cdot, y) + \Psi(\cdot, y)) m(dy)$. In particular, Proposition 2.13 applies and $1_G \cdot |f|m \in S_{00}$.
- (iv) Let $\alpha \in (-d + 1, 2)$. Then $R_1\left(1_G \cdot \frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} m\right)$ is pointwise bounded by a continuous function for any relatively compact open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular $1_G \cdot \frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} m \in S_{00}$ for any relatively compact open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (v) Let $\alpha \in (-d + 1, 1)$. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain with surface measure $\sigma_{\partial D}$. Suppose that ρ is bounded on ∂D (more precisely the trace of ρ on ∂D , which exists since $\rho \in H_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$). Then $R_1(1_G \cdot \rho \sigma_{\partial D})$ is pointwise bounded by a continuous function for any relatively compact open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular $1_G \cdot \rho \sigma_{\partial D} \in S_{00}$ for any relatively compact open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.
- (vi) Let $\alpha \in [-d + 2, d)$, $d \geq 2$. Then $\text{Cap}(\{0\}) = 0$ and the part Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^B, D(\mathcal{E}^B))$ on $B := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies **(H1)**, **(H2)** with transition kernel density $p_t^B = p_t|_{B \times B}$. Moreover $(\mathcal{E}^B, D(\mathcal{E}^B))$ is conservative.

Proof. (i) From Proposition 3.3, we know that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies **(H1)** and is strong Feller. Thus Lemma 2.3 (ii) holds. We will check Lemma 2.3 (i). Let $m_\alpha := \|y\|^\alpha dy$, $\alpha \in (-d, d)$. For $\alpha \in [0, d)$ and $0 < \sqrt{t} \leq \|x\|$, we have

$$m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) \geq c_d \tilde{c}^{-1} (\|x\| - \sqrt{t})^\alpha \sqrt{t}^d, \quad (25)$$

with $c_d = \text{vol}(B_1(0))$, and for $\alpha \in (-d, 0)$ and $0 < \sqrt{t} \leq \|x\|$, we have

$$m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) \geq \tilde{c}^{-1} c_d \sqrt{t}^d (2\|x\|)^\alpha. \quad (26)$$

Since $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is conservative and $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is strong Feller, we have $P_t 1(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t > 0$. Thus by (25), symmetry of $p_t(x, y)$ in (x, y) , and (20), we get

$$|P_t f(x) - f(x)| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x + \sqrt{t}y) - f(x)| \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{\|y\|^2}{4+\varepsilon}\right)}{(\|x\| - \sqrt{t})^\alpha} \|x + \sqrt{t}y\|^\alpha dy,$$

which converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$. For $x = 0$, by (20) and symmetry of $p_t(\cdot, \cdot)$, we get

$$|P_t f(0) - f(0)| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(\sqrt{t}y) - f(0)| \exp\left(-\frac{\|y\|^2}{4+\varepsilon}\right) \|y\|^\alpha dy,$$

which also converges to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$. For $\alpha \in (-d, 0)$, using (26) instead of (25), similarly to the case of $\alpha \in [0, d)$ one can show that $P_t f(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Thus

Lemma 2.3 (i) holds.

(ii) For $\alpha \in [0, d)$, we have

$$c \sqrt{t}^{\alpha+d} \leq m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) \leq c \sqrt{t}^d (\|x\| + \sqrt{t})^\alpha, \quad (27)$$

and for $\alpha \in (-d, 0)$,

$$c \sqrt{t}^d (\|x\| + \sqrt{t})^\alpha \leq m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)) \leq c \sqrt{t}^{d+\alpha}. \quad (28)$$

By [42, Corollary 4.10] and (20)

$$\frac{1}{c m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))} \exp\left(-c \frac{\|x-y\|^2}{t}\right) \leq p_t(x, y) \leq \frac{c}{m(B_{\sqrt{t}}(y))} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{5t}\right).$$

Let first $\alpha \in [0, d)$. Then, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ using the first inequality in (27), we get

$$r_1(x, y) \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{c}{(\sqrt{t})^{\alpha+d}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|x-y\|^2}{5t}\right) dt.$$

By standard calculations, using a change of variable with $s = \frac{\|x-y\|^2}{t}$, we obtain

$$r_1(x, y) \leq \frac{c}{\|x-y\|^{\alpha+d-2}}. \quad (29)$$

Using the second inequality in (27), we get the lower bound of $r_1(x, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(x, y) &\geq \int_0^{\|y\|^2} \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}^d (2\|y\|)^\alpha} \exp\left(-c \frac{\|x-y\|^2}{t}\right) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\|y\|^2}^\infty \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}^d (2\sqrt{t})^\alpha} \exp\left(-c \frac{\|x-y\|^2}{t}\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$r_1(x, y) \geq c \left(\frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{\alpha+d-2}} + \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{d-2}\|y\|^\alpha} \right).$$

For $\alpha \in (-d, 0)$, using (28) instead of (27), we get

$$\frac{c}{\|x-y\|^{\alpha+d-2}} \leq r_1(x, y) \leq c \left(\frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{\alpha+d-2}} + \frac{1}{\|x-y\|^{d-2}\|y\|^\alpha} \right).$$

(iii) If $\alpha \in (-d+2, 2)$, then the conditions imply that $V_{2-\alpha}(1_G \cdot f\|x\|^\alpha)$ as well as $V_2(1_G \cdot f)$ are continuous by Lemma 3.5. If $\alpha \in (-d+1, -d+2]$, then $V_{2-\alpha}(1_G \cdot f\|x\|^\alpha)$ is easily seen to be also continuous and so by (ii) for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$R_1(1_G \cdot |f|m)(x) \leq c (V_{2-\alpha}(1_G \cdot |f| \|x\|^\alpha)(x) + V_2(1_G \cdot |f|)(x)).$$

(iv) Let $\alpha \in (-d+2, 2)$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ satisfy $2 - \varepsilon > \alpha$. Then $1_G \cdot \frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} \|x\|^\alpha = c 1_G \cdot \|x\|^{\alpha-1} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$ for $p = \frac{d}{(2-\varepsilon)-\alpha} \geq 1$ and $1_G \cdot \frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} = c 1_G \cdot \|x\|^{-1} \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$

for $q = \frac{2d}{3}$. For $\alpha \in (-d+1, -d+2]$, $V_{2-\alpha}(1_G \cdot \frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} \|x\|^\alpha)$ is continuous. Therefore, the statement follows as in (iii).

(v) Let G be relatively compact open. There exist $B_i \subset \partial D$ and Lipschitz continuous functions F_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $B_i = \{x \in \partial D \mid x = (x', F_i(x')) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i = \partial D$. Set $B_i^* = \{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \mid (y', F_i(y')) \in B_i\}$. Let first $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Then, using (ii) we get for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(1_G \cdot \rho \sigma_{\partial D})(x) &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_i^*} \frac{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla F_i(y')|^2}}{\|x' - y'\|^{\alpha+d-2}} dy' \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{B_i^*} \frac{1}{\|x' - y'\|^{\alpha+d-2}} dy' \\ &\leq c \int_K \frac{1}{\|x' - y'\|^{\alpha+d-2}} dy', \end{aligned}$$

where $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ is some compact set. Since the last expression is continuous in x' (hence in particular in x) by Lemma 3.5, the final statement follows by Proposition 2.13. For $\alpha \in (-d+1, 0)$ we have for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(1_G \cdot \rho \sigma_{\partial D})(x) &\leq c \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{1}{\|y\|^\alpha \|x - y\|^{d-2}} + \frac{1}{\|x - y\|^{\alpha+d-2}} \right) \|y\|^\alpha \sigma_{\partial D}(dy) \\ &\leq c \int_{\partial D} \left(\frac{1}{\|x - y\|^{d-2}} + \frac{1}{\|x - y\|^{\alpha+d-2}} \right) \sigma_{\partial D}(dx), \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude as before in the case of $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.

(vi) By [27, Example 3.3.2] it holds $\text{Cap}(\{0\}) = 0$. Hence $u(x) := \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_{B^c} < \infty) = 0$ for m -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since u is an excessive function and \mathbb{M} satisfies the absolute continuity condition it follows $u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. From this the remaining part of the proof is straightforward. \square

3.1 Skew reflection on spheres and on a Lipschitz domain

3.1.1 Skew reflection on spheres

In [40], we considered the Dirichlet form determined by (15) with concrete ϕ and $\rho = \xi^2$, $\xi \in H_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. More precisely, our assumptions were the followings: we let $m_0 \in (0, \infty)$ and $(l_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (0, m_0)$, $0 < l_k < l_{k+1} < m_0$, be a sequence converging to 0 as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ and converging to m_0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (m_0, \infty)$, $m_0 < r_k < r_{k+1} < \infty$, be a sequence converging to m_0 as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ and tending to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and set

$$\phi := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\gamma_k \cdot 1_{A_k} + \bar{\gamma}_k \cdot 1_{\hat{A}_k}), \quad (30)$$

where $\gamma_k, \bar{\gamma}_k \in (0, \infty)$, $A_k := B_{l_k} \setminus \bar{B}_{l_{k-1}}$, $\hat{A}_k := B_{r_k} \setminus \bar{B}_{r_{k-1}}$. We further assumed

- (a) $\rho\phi \in A_2$, $\rho = \xi^2$, $\xi \in H_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\rho > 0$ dx -a.e.
- (b) $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k| + \sum_{k \leq 0} |\bar{\gamma}_{k+1} - \bar{\gamma}_k| < \infty$ and for all $r > 0$ there exists a $\delta_r > 0$ such that $\phi \geq \delta_r$ dx -a.e. on B_r .
- (c) (γ) is satisfied, $R_1(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_0) \subset C(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and if $\phi \not\equiv 1$ then $R_1(1_G \cdot \rho\sigma_r) \in C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ relatively compact open and $r > 0$, where σ_r is the surface measure on ∂B_r .

Under the assumptions (a)-(c), we showed (see [40, Theorem 2.6]) that \mathbb{M} satisfies

$$X_t = x + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds + \int_0^\infty \int_0^t \nu_a(X_s) d\ell_s^a(\|X\|) \eta(da), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (31)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where W is a d -dimensional standard Brownian motion starting at 0, ν_a is the unit outward normal on the boundary ∂B_a , $\ell^a(\|X\|)$ is the symmetric semimartingale local time at $a \in (0, \infty)$ of $\|X\|$, $\eta = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (2\alpha_k - 1)\delta_{d_k}$ with $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (0, 1)$ is a sum of Dirac measures at a sequence $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (0, \infty)$ with exactly two accumulation points in $[0, \infty)$, one is zero and the other is $m_0 > 0$, and $(\alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $(d_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are determined by $(\gamma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(\bar{\gamma}_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $(l_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (see [40]).

Remark 3.7. *The assumptions (a)-(c) imply $(\alpha) - (\delta)$ and (IBP). However, in comparison to [40], we insist to point out two improvements. The first one is that in (α) ρ is only assumed to be in $H_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ instead of $\rho = \xi^2$ with $\xi \in H_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in (a). (α) allows to consider weights that increase rapidly toward singularities which are of positive capacity. A typical example is $\rho(x) = \|x\|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (-d+1, -d+2]$ (cf. [27, Example 3.3.2]). The second improvement is that in (δ) the potentials are only assumed to be bounded by continuous functions and not to be continuous as in (c) (cf. Proposition 2.13 and [40, Lemma 4.2, Theorem 4.5]). In particular, replacing (a) with (β) , and (c) with (γ) and (δ) , we still obtain (31).*

Proposition 3.8. (i) *Let $\rho(x) = \|x\|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (-d+1, 2)$. Let ϕ be like in (30), satisfy (b) and $\tilde{c}^{-1} \leq \phi \leq \tilde{c}$ for some $\tilde{c} \geq 1$. If $\phi \equiv \tilde{c}$ dx -a.e. (i.e. $\eta(da) \equiv 0$) or $\phi \not\equiv \tilde{c}$ dx -a.e. and $\alpha \in (-d+1, 1)$, then (31) holds.*

(ii) *Let $\rho(x) = \|x\|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in [1, d]$, $d \geq 2$. Let ϕ be like in (30), satisfy (b) and $\tilde{c}^{-1} \leq \phi \leq \tilde{c}$ for some $\tilde{c} \geq 1$. Then (31) holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. (i) The proof follows from Proposition 3.3, Lemma 3.6 (i), (iv) and (v), and Remark 3.7.

(ii) By Lemma 3.6 (vi) $(\mathcal{E}^B, D(\mathcal{E}^B))$, $B := \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ satisfies **(H1)**, **(H2)**. Fix $\alpha \in [1, d]$. Let

$$B_k := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \frac{l_{-k+1} + l_{-k}}{2} < \|x\| < \frac{r_{k+1} + r_k}{2} \right\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then

$$b_k := \tilde{c}^{-1} \left(\frac{l_{-k+1} + l_{-k}}{2} \right)^\alpha < \rho\phi < \tilde{c} \left(\frac{r_{k+1} + r_k}{2} \right)^\alpha =: e_k$$

on B_k . Set $d_k := \max(b_k^{-1}, e_k)$, $k \geq 1$. Then $(B_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of relatively compact open sets with smooth boundary such that $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} \overline{B_k} = B$ and $\rho\phi \in (d_k^{-1}, d_k)$ on B_k where $d_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover $\|\nabla\rho\| \in L^\infty(B_k, dx)$ for any $k \geq 1$. We may now apply a localization procedure similarly to the one that is presented in all details subsequently to Lemma 5.3 to obtain the assertion. We only note that by the Nash type inequality of Lemma 5.4 we obtain resolvent kernel estimates as in Corollary 5.6. These local resolvent estimates are better than the global ones of Lemma 3.6 (ii). \square

3.1.2 Skew reflection on a Lipschitz domain

We consider the Dirichlet form determined by (15) with $\rho(x) = \|x\|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (-d+1, d)$ and

$$\phi(x) := \beta 1_{G^c}(x) + (1-\beta)1_G(x) \quad (32)$$

where $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then the following integration by parts formula holds for $f \in \{f^1, \dots, f^d\}$, $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$-\mathcal{E}(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho} \right) g \, dm + (2\beta - 1) \int_{\partial G} \nabla f \cdot \nu \frac{\rho}{2} \, d\sigma,$$

where ν denotes the unit outward normal on ∂G (cf. [46] and [48]). The existence of a Hunt process associated to \mathcal{E} that satisfies the absolute continuity condition follows from Lemma 3.6 (i). Furthermore:

Theorem 3.9. (i) *Let $\alpha \in (-d+1, 1)$. Then*

$$X_t = x + W_t + \alpha \int_0^t \frac{X_s}{2\|X_s\|^2} \, ds + (2\beta - 1) \int_0^t \nu(X_s) \, d\ell_s \quad t \geq 0 \quad (33)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion starting from zero and $(\ell_t)_{t \geq 0} \in A_{c,1}^+$ is uniquely associated to the surface measure $\frac{d\sigma}{2}$ on ∂G via the Revuz correspondence.

(ii) *Let $0 \notin \partial G$ and $\alpha \in [1, d)$, $d \geq 2$. Then (33) holds \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. (i) Lemma 3.6 (iv) and (v) apply. Therefore (α) - (δ) and (IBP) are satisfied and the assertion immediately follows from Theorem 3.4.

(ii) The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.8 (ii). We therefore only indicate the sequences $(B_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(d_k)_{k \geq 1}$. Fix $\alpha \in [1, d)$. We have either $0 \in G$ or $0 \in \overline{G}^c$. If $0 \in G$, then choose $k_0 \geq 1$ such that $\partial G \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid k_0^{-1} < \|x\| < k_0\}$ and let

$$B_k := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid (k_0 + k)^{-1} < \|x\| < k_0 + k\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then

$$b_k := \min(\beta, 1-\beta)(k_0 + k)^{-\alpha} < \rho\phi < \max(\beta, 1-\beta)(k_0 + k)^\alpha =: e_k$$

and we let $d_k := \max(b_k^{-1}, e_k)$, $k \geq 1$. If $0 \in \overline{G^c}$ then similarly we can find suitable $(B_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(d_k)_{k \geq 1}$. \square

Remark 3.10. *This result was announced in [40] and extends a result obtained by Portenko in [37, III, §3 and §4].*

3.2 Skew reflection on hyperplanes

We consider skew reflection on hyperplanes

$$H_s := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid x_d = s\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $(l_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (-\infty, 0)$, $-\infty < l_k < l_{k+1} < 0$ be a sequence converging to 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and tending to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow -\infty$. Let $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset (0, \infty)$, $0 < r_k < r_{k+1} < \infty$ be a sequence converging to 0 as $k \rightarrow -\infty$ and tending to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We consider a function

$$\phi(x_d) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\gamma_{k+1} \cdot 1_{(l_k, l_{k+1})}(x_d) + \bar{\gamma}_{k+1} \cdot 1_{(r_k, r_{k+1})}(x_d) \right) \quad (34)$$

where $\gamma_k, \bar{\gamma}_k \in (0, \infty)$ that only depends on the d -th coordinate. We shall assume

- (d) $\rho \phi \in A_2$ and $\rho(x) = \|x\|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (-d + 1, 1)$.
- (e) $\sum_{k \geq 0} |\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k| + \sum_{k \leq 0} |\bar{\gamma}_{k+1} - \bar{\gamma}_k| < \infty$ and $\gamma := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k$, $\bar{\gamma} := \lim_{k \rightarrow -\infty} \bar{\gamma}_k$ are strictly positive.

The assumptions (d), (e) imply $(\alpha), (\beta)$. Therefore, the closure $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ of (15) is a symmetric, regular and strongly local Dirichlet form.

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward and therefore we omit it.

Proposition 3.11. *The following integration by parts formula holds for $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{E}(f, g) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta f + \nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho} \right) g \, dm + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_d f \, g \, \rho \, \delta_{l_k}(dx_d) \, d\bar{x} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_d f \, g \, \rho \, \delta_0(dx_d) \, d\bar{x} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\bar{\gamma}_{k+1} - \bar{\gamma}_k}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_d f \, g \, \rho \, \delta_{r_k}(dx_d) \, d\bar{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $d\bar{x} = dx_1 \cdots dx_{d-1}$. The two summations are in particular only taken over finitely many negative and positive k , respectively since f has compact support.

Remark 3.12. *The integration by parts formula in Proposition 3.11 extends to $f \in \{f^1, \dots, f^d\}$ and to $f(x) = |f^d(x) - c|$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proposition 3.13. *There exists a Hunt process \mathbb{M} associated with $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, i.e. **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold.*

Proof. Using Proposition 3.11 one can see that the functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_d f(\bar{x}, l_k) &= \partial_d f(\bar{x}, r_k) = \partial_d f(\bar{x}, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f + \nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho} &\in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

are in $D(L)$ where $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. For given $r \in (0, \infty)$, define S_r to be the set of functions $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\nabla h(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in B_r, \quad \partial_d h(\bar{x}, x_d) = 0 \quad \text{if } -r < x_d < r \quad (37)$$

and h satisfies (36). Note that if $h \in S_r$ then h^2 is also in S_r since h^2 satisfies (36) and (37). Furthermore for $h \in S_r$, $h^2 \in D(L_1)$ by Lemma 2.5 (ii). Let $S = \bigcup_{r \in (0, \infty)} S_r$. Since for $h \in S$

$$Lh \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_0,$$

$R_1([(1-L)h]^+)$, $R_1([(1-L)h]^-)$, $R_1([(1-L_1)h^2]^+)$, and $R_1([(1-L_1)h^2]^-)$ are continuous on \mathbb{R}^d by Proposition 3.3 (i). Furthermore for all $y \in \mathbb{Q}^d$, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, 1)$ we can find $h \in S$ such that $h \geq 1$ on $\bar{B}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{4}}(y)$, $h \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)$. Therefore, we can find a countable subset $\tilde{S} \subset S$ satisfying **(H2)'** (i) and (ii). Therefore, by Proposition 3.3 (ii) and Lemma 2.9 **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold. \square

The assumption

$$(f) \quad \tilde{c}^{-1} \leq \phi \leq \tilde{c} \quad \text{for some } \tilde{c} \geq 1$$

now implies (δ) as in the proof of Proposition 3.8. We then obtain the following:

Theorem 3.14. *Suppose (d)-(f) and let $\beta := \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{\bar{\gamma} + \gamma}$, $\beta_k := \frac{\gamma_{k+1}}{\gamma_{k+1} + \gamma_k}$, and $\bar{\beta}_k := \frac{\bar{\gamma}_{k+1}}{\bar{\gamma}_{k+1} + \bar{\gamma}_k}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(i) *The process \mathbb{M} satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^j &= x_j + W_t^j + \int_0^t \frac{\partial_j \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds, \quad j = 1, \dots, d-1, \\ X_t^d &= x_d + W_t^d + \int_0^t \frac{\partial_d \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \ell_t^a \mu(da), \quad t \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where (W^1, \dots, W^d) is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion starting from zero and

$$\mu := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left((2\beta_k - 1) \delta_{l_k} + (2\bar{\beta}_k - 1) \delta_{r_k} \right) + (2\beta - 1) \delta_0 \quad (39)$$

where ℓ^k , ℓ^{r_k} and ℓ^0 are boundary local times of X , i.e. they are positive continuous additive functionals of X in the strict sense associated via the Revuz correspondence (cf. [27, Theorem 5.1.3]) with the weighted surface measures

$\frac{\gamma_{k+1}+\gamma_k}{2} \rho \delta_{l_k}(dx_d) d\bar{x}$ on H_{l_k} , $\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{k+1}+\bar{\gamma}_k}{2} \rho \delta_{r_k}(dx_d) d\bar{x}$ on H_{r_k} and $\frac{\bar{\gamma}+\gamma}{2} \rho \delta_0(dx_d) d\bar{x}$ on H_0 respectively and related via the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} d\ell_t^{l_k} \right] &= R_1 \left(\frac{\gamma_{k+1} + \gamma_k}{2} \rho \delta_{l_k}(dx_d) d\bar{x} \right) (x), \\ \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} d\ell_t^{r_k} \right] &= R_1 \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma}_{k+1} + \bar{\gamma}_k}{2} \rho \delta_{r_k}(dx_d) d\bar{x} \right) (x), \\ \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty e^{-t} d\ell_t^0 \right] &= R_1 \left(\frac{\bar{\gamma} + \gamma}{2} \rho \delta_0(dx_d) d\bar{x} \right) (x),\end{aligned}$$

which all hold for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(ii) $((X_t^d)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P}_x)$ is a continuous semimartingale for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\ell_t^a = \ell_t^a(X^d)) = 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0, a \in \{0, l_k, r_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where $\ell_t^a(X^d)$ is the symmetric semimartingale local time of X^d at $a \in (-\infty, \infty)$ as defined in [39, VI.(1.25)].

Proof. (i) Since (α) – (δ) and (IBP) hold, we may apply Theorem 3.4. The identification of the drift part follows with the help of Remark 3.12. Note that equation (38) holds for all $t \geq 0$ since $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is conservative, see (21).

(ii) The first statement is clear from Lemma 2.12. In particular, we may apply the symmetric Itô-Tanaka formula (see [39, VI. (1.25)]) and obtain

$$|X_t^d - a| = |x_d - a| + \int_0^t \text{sign}(X_s^d - a) dX_s^d + \ell_t^a(X^d), \quad (40)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$, where sign is the point symmetric sign function. Let $h_a(x) := |x_d - a|$, $a \in \{0, l_k, r_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $\partial_d h_a$ is everywhere bounded by one (except in a where $\partial_d h_a$ may be defined as 0). Thus, applying [27, Theorem 5.5.5] to h_a , which is in $D(\mathcal{E})_{b,loc}$, we obtain again similarly to (i)

$$|X_t^d - a| = |x_d - a| + \int_0^t \text{sign}(X_s^d - a) dX_s^d + \ell_t^a, \quad (41)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \geq 0$. Comparing (40) and (41), we get the result. \square

Remark 3.15. Similarly to the proofs of Proposition 3.8 (ii) and Theorem 3.9 (ii), we can also obtain Theorem 3.14 for $\alpha \in [1, d)$, $d \geq 2$ but only for all starting points in $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$.

3.3 Further example of A_2 weight that satisfies the absolute continuity condition

In this example, we let $\phi \equiv 1$ and

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} \|x\|^{\alpha_1} |\log \|x\||^{\alpha_2}, & \text{if } \|x\| \leq 1 \\ \|x\|^{\beta_1} |\log \|x\||^{\beta_2}, & \text{if } \|x\| > 1 \end{cases}$$

$\alpha_1 \in (-d+1, d)$, $\beta_1 \in (-d, d)$, and $\alpha_2, \beta_2 > 0$.

Then $\rho \in H_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$. Moreover, it is known that $\rho \in A_2$ if $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in (-d, d)$, $\alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [30, Example 1.4]). Therefore, (α) and (β) are satisfied and the closure $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ of (15) is a symmetric, regular and strongly local Dirichlet form. Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the transition function defined in Section 3 (see Proposition 3.3).

Proposition 3.16. *There exists a Hunt process \mathbb{M} associated with $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, i.e. (H1) and (H2) hold.*

Proof. By (35) the functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying

$$\Delta f + \nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m)$$

are in $D(L)$. Since

$$\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1 x \|x\|^{-2} + \alpha_2 x \|x\|^{-1} |\log \|x\||^{-1}, & \text{if } \|x\| \leq 1, \\ \beta_1 x \|x\|^{-2} + \beta_2 x \|x\|^{-1} |\log \|x\||^{-1}, & \text{if } \|x\| > 1, \end{cases}$$

we can find $h \in D(L)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h &\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \nabla h(0) = 0, \quad \nabla h(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial B_1, \\ \text{and } Lh &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta h + \nabla h \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, m)_0. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Define S to be the set of functions $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying (42). Clearly, if $h \in S$, then $h^2 \in S$. Furthermore for $h \in S$, $h^2 \in D(L_1)$ by Lemma 2.5 (ii). Therefore, for $h \in S$ $R_1([(1-L)h]^+)$, $R_1([(1-L)h]^-)$, $R_1([(1-L_1)h^2]^+)$, and $R_1([(1-L_1)h^2]^-)$ are continuous on \mathbb{R}^d by Proposition 3.3 (i). Then, we can show that there exists a Hunt process \mathbb{M} associated with $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ similarly to Proposition 3.13. \square

4 Weakly differentiable weights with moderate growth at singularities

Let $d \geq 2$. In this section we shall assume

(ε) $\rho \in H_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$, $\rho > 0$ dx -a.e.

(ζ) $\frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} \in L_{loc}^{d+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^d, m)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $m := \rho dx$.

Remark 4.1. (i) (ε) and (ζ) are equivalent to (H1) and (H2) in [6, p.2].

(ii) The order of integrability of the logarithmic derivative $\frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho}$ tells us how fast it grows at its singularities $\{\rho = 0\}$.

We consider the symmetric positive definite bilinear form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dm, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d). \quad (43)$$

(ε) implies that (43) is closable in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, m)$. The closure $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ of (43) is a regular, strongly local, symmetric Dirichlet form. By [6, Corollary 2.2] ρ has a Hölder continuous version on \mathbb{R}^d that we denote by ρ again. In particular,

$$E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \rho(x) > 0\}$$

is open in \mathbb{R}^d . We can hence consider the part Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^E, D(\mathcal{E}^E))$ of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on E (see Section 2). Moreover, by [6, Theorem 1.1, Proposition 3.2] there exists a Hunt process

$$\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \zeta, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E})$$

with transition kernel $p_t(x, dy)$ (from E to E) and transition kernel density $p_t(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(E \times E)$, i.e. $p_t(x, dy) = p_t(x, y) m(dy)$, such that for $f \in L^{d+\varepsilon}(E, m)$

$$P_t f(x) := \int f(y) p_t(x, y) m(dy), \quad x \in E$$

is in $C(E)$ and $P_t f = T_t f$ m -a.e. Note that $p_t(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be defined on $E \times E$ since $\text{Cap}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E) = 0$ (see [6, Proposition 3.2, Lemma 4.1]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ with compact support, i.e. $\text{supp}(|f|m)$ is compact. Then $P_t f$ is an m -version of $T_t^E f$.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathbb{M}} = (\overline{X}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\overline{\mathbb{P}}_x)_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ be the Hunt process associated with regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ and $\overline{\mathbb{M}}|_E = (\overline{X}_t^E)_{t \geq 0}, (\overline{\mathbb{P}}_x)_{x \in E}$ be the Hunt process associated with the regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^E, D(\mathcal{E}^E))$ (cf. [27, Chapter 7]). Then for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ with compact support and m -a.e. $x \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} T_t^E f(x) &= \overline{\mathbb{E}}_x[f(\overline{X}_t^E), t < \sigma_{E^c}] = \overline{\mathbb{E}}_x[f(\overline{X}_t), t < \sigma_{E^c}] = \overline{\mathbb{E}}_x[f(\overline{X}_t)] = T_t f(x) \\ &= \int f(y) P_t(x, dy), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the definition of part process and the third since $\text{Cap}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus E) = 0$ (cf. [31, Proposition 5.30 (i)]) and the last since f is in particular in $L^{d+\varepsilon}(E, m)$. \square

By Lemma 4.2 the Hunt process \mathbb{M} is associated with $(\mathcal{E}^E, D(\mathcal{E}^E))$ and satisfies the absolute continuity condition. For $f \in \{f^1, \dots, f^d\}$ and $g \in C_0^\infty(E)$:

$$-\mathcal{E}^E(f, g) = \int_E \left(\nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho} \right) g \, dm. \quad (44)$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f \in L_{loc}^{d+\varepsilon}(E, m)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and G be any relatively compact open set in E . Then, $1_G \cdot |f|m \in S_{00}$. In particular $1_G \cdot |\partial_j \rho| \, dx \in S_{00}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$.*

Proof. Since $1_G \cdot f \in L^{d+\varepsilon}(E, m)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, we get $R_1(1_G \cdot |f|) \in C(E)$ by [6, Proposition 3.5 (iii)]. The assertion now follows by Proposition 2.13. \square

Theorem 4.4. *It holds \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in E$*

$$X_t = x + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) \, ds, \quad t < \zeta, \quad (45)$$

where W is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion on E and ζ is the life time of X .

Proof. Applying [27, Theorem 5.5.5] to $(\mathcal{E}^E, D(\mathcal{E}^E))$ the result follows by (44), Theorem 4.3, and (14). \square

Remark 4.5. *If $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is conservative, then (45) holds with ζ replaced by ∞ .*

5 Weakly differentiable weights and normal reflection

In this section we show that the Skorokhod decomposition of [46] can be obtained pointwise in the symmetric case, i.e. the non-sectorial perturbation B that is considered in [46] is assumed to be identically zero here. We rely on some results of [9] (cf. Remark 5.2).

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$ be a relatively compact open set with Lipschitz boundary ∂G . Let $\rho \in H^{1,1}(G, dx)$, $\rho > 0$ dx -a.e. and let $m := \rho dx$. Set

$$C^\infty(\overline{G}) := \{f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \exists g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d), g|_{\overline{G}} = f\}.$$

Then by [46, Lemma 1.1 (ii)]

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \int_G \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dm, \quad f, g \in C^\infty(\overline{G})$$

is closable in $L^2(G, m)$. The closure $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is a regular, strongly local and conservative Dirichlet form (cf. [46]).

The following lemma holds also under more general assumptions than the ones that we present. But these are sufficient for our purposes.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $\rho = \xi^2$, $\xi \in H^{1,2}(G, dx)$, $\rho > 0$ dx -a.e. and that $\rho \in C(\overline{G})$. Then*

(i) *It holds $\text{Cap}(\overline{G} \cap \{\rho = 0\}) = 0$.*

(ii) *Let*

$$\mathcal{E}(f, g) := \frac{1}{2} \int_G \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dm, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{D},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} := \{f \in C(\overline{G}) \cap H_{loc}^{1,1}(G, dx) \mid \mathcal{E}(f, f) < \infty\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$ is closable in $L^2(G, m)$ and its closure $(\mathcal{E}, \overline{\mathcal{D}})$ is equal to $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$.

Proof. (i) Defining $\xi_\varepsilon := \max(|\xi|, \varepsilon)$ and $f_\varepsilon := -\log(\xi_\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ the proof is nearly identical to the proof of [23, Theorem 2]. We therefore omit it.

(ii) Clearly, $C^\infty(\overline{G}) \subset \mathcal{D}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\text{Cap}(\overline{G} \cap \{\rho = 0\}) = 0$, there exist open sets $U_j \supset \overline{G} \cap \{\rho = 0\}$ and $\phi_j \in D(\mathcal{E})$ such that $0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1$ m -a.e., $\phi_j = 1$ m -a.e. on U_j , $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{G}} (\|\nabla \phi_j\|^2 + |\phi_j|^2) \, dm = 0. \quad (46)$$

Define $f_j := f(1 - \phi_j)$. There exists a subsequence, denoted by f_j again, such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{G}} (\|\nabla(f_j - f)\|^2 + |f_j - f|^2) \, dm = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\overline{G}} (\|\phi_j \nabla f + f \nabla \phi_j\|^2 + |f \phi_j|^2) \, dm = 0$$

by (46). Therefore it suffices to find $(f_j^n)_{n \geq 1} \subset C^\infty(\overline{G})$ such that $f_j^n \rightarrow f_j$ and $\nabla f_j^n \rightarrow \nabla f_j$ in $L^2(G, m)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Observe that $f_j \in H^{1,2}(G, dx)$ since ρ is bounded above and away from zero on $\overline{G} \setminus U_j$ and since $\text{supp} f_j \subset \overline{G} \setminus U_j$. By [19, Theorem 3, Section 4.2], there exists $(f_j^n)_{n \geq 1} \subset C^\infty(\overline{G})$ such that $f_j^n \rightarrow f_j$ and $\nabla f_j^n \rightarrow \nabla f_j$ in $L^2(G, dx)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $f_j^n \rightarrow f_j$ and $\nabla f_j^n \rightarrow \nabla f_j$ in $L^2(G, m)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because ρ is bounded above on \overline{G} . \square

From now on, we assume

(η) $\rho = \xi^2$, $\xi \in H^{1,2}(G, dx)$, $\rho \in C(\overline{G})$ (and $\rho > 0$ dx -a.e. on the bounded Lipschitz domain G)

and

(θ) There exists an open set $E \subset \overline{G}$ with $\text{Cap}(\overline{G} \setminus E) = 0$ such that $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ satisfies the absolute continuity condition on E .

By (θ), we mean that there exists a Hunt process

$$\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, (X_t)_{t \geq 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E})$$

with transition kernel $p_t(x, dy)$ (from E to E) and transition kernel density $p_t(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(E \times E)$, i.e. $p_t(x, dy) = p_t(x, y) m(dy)$, such that

$$P_t f(x) := \int f(y) p_t(x, y) m(dy), \quad t > 0, x \in E, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$$

with trivial extension to \bar{G} is an m -version of $T_t^{\bar{G}} f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$, and $(T_t^{\bar{G}})_{t>0}$ denotes the semigroup associated to $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$. In particular \mathbb{M} is a conservative diffusion on E as in (21) and (22).

Remark 5.2. Lemma 5.1 (ii) shows that the Dirichlet form that is considered in [20], [21], and in [9] in case of bounded G is a special case of the generalized Dirichlet form for which an explicit Skorokhod decomposition is derived in [46] for q.e. starting point. In [9] also unbounded Lipschitz domains are considered and according to [9, Theorem 1.14] (θ) holds with $E = (G \cup \Gamma_2) \cap \{\rho > 0\}$ where Γ_2 is an open subset of ∂G that is locally C^2 -smooth, provided $\frac{\|\nabla \rho\|}{\rho} \in L_{loc}^p(\bar{G} \cap \{\rho > 0\}, m)$ for some $p \geq 2$ with $p > \frac{d}{2}$ and $\text{Cap}(\bar{G} \setminus E) = 0$.

Since E is open in \bar{G} , we can consider the part Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^E, D(\mathcal{E}^E))$ of $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ on E (see Section 2). Now exactly as in Lemma 4.2, we show the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$. Then $P_t f$ is an m -version of $T_t^E f$.*

By Lemma 5.3 the Hunt process \mathbb{M} is associated with $(\mathcal{E}^E, D(\mathcal{E}^E))$ and satisfies the absolute continuity condition.

In addition to (η) and (θ) , we assume

- (i) There exists an increasing sequence of relatively compact open sets $\{B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that ∂B_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is Lipschitz, $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k = E$ and $\rho \in (d_k^{-1}, d_k)$ on B_k where $d_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

According to [46] the closure of

$$\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_k} \nabla f \cdot \nabla g \, dm, \quad f, g \in C^\infty(\bar{B}_k),$$

in $L^2(\bar{B}_k, m) \equiv L^2(B_k, m)$, $k \geq 1$, denoted by $(\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k}, D(\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k}))$, is a regular conservative Dirichlet form on \bar{B}_k and moreover, it holds:

Lemma 5.4. *(Nash type inequality) Let B_k be as in (i) and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (i) *If $d \geq 3$, then for $f \in D(\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k})$*

$$\|f\|_{2, \bar{B}_k}^{2+\frac{4}{d}} \leq c_k \left[\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k}(f, f) + \|f\|_{2, B_k}^2 \right] \|f\|_{1, B_k}^{\frac{4}{d}}. \quad (47)$$

(ii) If $d = 2$, then for $f \in D(\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k})$ and any $\delta > 0$

$$\|f\|_{2, B_k}^{2+\frac{4}{d+\delta}} \leq c_k \left[\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k}(f, f) + \|f\|_{2, B_k}^2 \right] \|f\|_{1, B_k}^{\frac{4}{d+\delta}}. \quad (48)$$

Here $c_k > 0$ is a constant which goes to infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The proof is standard by using Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, but we include it for the convenience of the reader.

(i) Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. For $f \in D(\mathcal{E}^{\bar{B}_k})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_k} f^2(x) \rho(x) dx &= \int_{B_k} |f|^{2-\varepsilon}(x) |f|^\varepsilon(x) \rho(x) dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_k} |f(x)|^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \rho(x) dx \right)^{1-\varepsilon} \left(\int_{B_k} |f(x)| \rho(x) dx \right)^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By Sobolev's inequality on B_k (cf. e.g. [1, Theorem 4.12 Case C]) and the fact that ρ is bounded above and away from zero on B_k ,

$$\|f\|_{2, B_k}^2 \leq c d_k^{\frac{4-3\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\int_{B_k} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \rho(x) dx + \int_{B_k} |f(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx \right)^{\frac{2-\varepsilon}{2}} \|f\|_1^\varepsilon$$

where $2 \leq \frac{2-\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2d}{d-2}$ and d_k is as in (i). Therefore,

$$\|f\|_{2, B_k}^{2+\frac{2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \leq c^{\frac{2}{2-\varepsilon}} d_k^{\frac{4-3\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{B_k} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \rho(x) dx + \int_{B_k} |f(x)|^2 \rho(x) dx \right) \|f\|_1^{\frac{2\varepsilon}{2-\varepsilon}}$$

Setting $\varepsilon = \frac{4}{d+2}$, the assertion follows.

(ii) The proof is same as in (i) except that we set $\varepsilon = \frac{4}{d+2+\delta}$ where $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary and that we use the Sobolev's inequality for $d = 2$ (cf. e.g. [1, Theorem 4.12 Case B]). \square

Proposition 5.5. We have for m -a.e. $x, y \in B_k$:

(i) If $d \geq 3$, the transition kernel density $p_t^{B_k}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has the following upper bound

$$p_t^{B_k}(x, y) \leq C c_k^{d/2} t^{-d/2} \exp\left(t + \frac{-\|x-y\|^2}{8t}\right),$$

where c_k is the constant in (47) and $C \in (0, \infty)$ depends on d .

(ii) If $d = 2$ and $\delta > 0$,

$$p_t^{B_k}(x, y) \leq C c_k^{(d+\delta)/2} t^{-(d+\delta)/2} \exp\left(t + \frac{-\|x-y\|^2}{8t}\right),$$

where c_k is the constant in (48) and $C \in (0, \infty)$ depends only on $d + \delta$.

Proof. (i) By [29, Section 3] and [15, (2.1)] the $L^2(\overline{B}_k, m)$ -semigroup $(T_t^{\overline{B}_k})_{t>0}$ of $\mathcal{E}^{\overline{B}_k}$ admits a heat kernel $p_t^{\overline{B}_k}(x, y)$ which is unique for m -a.e. $x, y \in \overline{B}_k$. By [15, (3.25)], we then have for m -a.e. $x, y \in \overline{B}_k$ that for some constant $C = C(d) \in (0, \infty)$

$$p_t^{\overline{B}_k}(x, y) \leq C \left(\frac{c_k}{t} \right)^{d/2} \exp \left(t - |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| + 2t \|\nabla \psi\|_{\infty, \overline{B}_k}^2 \right), \quad t > 0 \quad (49)$$

for any $\psi \in C^\infty(\overline{B}_k)$, c_k is the constant in (47). Choose $x_0, y_0 \in \overline{B}_k$ as above and let

$$\psi(x) = \left(\frac{x_0 - y_0}{4t} \right) \cdot x, \quad x \in \overline{B}_k.$$

Then

$$p_t^{\overline{B}_k}(x_0, y_0) \leq C \left(\frac{c_k}{t} \right)^{d/2} \exp \left(t - \frac{\|x_0 - y_0\|^2}{8t} \right). \quad (50)$$

Since $(\mathcal{E}^{B_k}, D(\mathcal{E}^{B_k}))$ is the part Dirichlet form of $(\mathcal{E}^{\overline{B}_k}, D(\mathcal{E}^{\overline{B}_k}))$, it is easy to see that

$$p_t^{B_k}(x, y) \leq p_t^{\overline{B}_k}(x, y) \quad \text{for } m\text{-a.e. } x, y \in B_k. \quad (51)$$

Now combining (50) and (51) the assertion follows.

(ii) The proof of (ii) is the same as (i) by using (48). \square

Corollary 5.6. *We have for m -a.e. $x, y \in B_k$*

(i) *if $d \geq 3$, then*

$$r_1^{B_k}(x, y) \leq c \frac{1}{\|x - y\|^{d-2}}.$$

(ii) *if $d = 2$, then for any $\delta > 0$*

$$r_1^{B_k}(x, y) \leq c \frac{1}{\|x - y\|^{d+\delta-2}}.$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 5.5 by standard calculations. \square

Lemma 5.7. *The following integration by parts formula holds for $f \in \{f^1, \dots, f^d\}$ and $g \in C_0^\infty(B_k)$:*

$$-\mathcal{E}^{B_k}(f, g) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_k} \left(\nabla f \cdot \frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \right) g \, dm + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_k \cap \partial G} \nabla f \cdot \eta \, g \, \rho \, d\sigma,$$

where η is a unit inward normal vector on $B_k \cap \partial G$ and σ is the surface measure on ∂G .

Proof. See [46, proof of Theorem 5.4]. \square

Lemma 5.8. (i) $1_{B_k \cap \partial G} \cdot \rho \sigma \in S_{00}^{B_k}$.

(ii) Let $f \in L^{\frac{d}{2}+\varepsilon}(B_k, dx)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$1_{B_k} \cdot |f|m \in S_{00}^{B_k}.$$

In particular $1_{B_k} \cdot \|\nabla \rho\| dx \in S_{00}^{B_k}$ for $d = 2, 3$ and for $d \geq 4$, if $\|\nabla \rho\| \in L^{\frac{d}{2}+\varepsilon}(B_k, dx)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $d \geq 3$. For m -a.e. $x \in B_k$ by Corollary 5.6

$$R_1^{B_k}(1_{B_k \cap \partial G} \cdot \rho \sigma)(x) \leq \sup_{y \in B_k} \rho(y) \int_{\partial G} \frac{1}{\|x - y\|^{d-2}} \sigma(dy).$$

Since $1_{B_k \cap \partial G} \cdot \rho \sigma$ is a positive Radon measure and since the last term is continuous on \mathbb{R}^d by Lemma 3.5 (cf. proof of Lemma 3.6 (v)), the assertion follows from Proposition 2.13 with E replaced by B_k . The proof for $d = 2$ is similar.

(ii) $1_{B_k} \cdot |f|m$ is a positive finite measure on B_k and for m -a.e. $x \in B_k$

$$R_1^{B_k}(1_{B_k} \cdot |f|m)(x) \leq \sup_{y \in B_k} \rho(y) V_\eta(1_{B_k} \cdot |f|)(x)$$

by Corollary 5.6 where $\eta = 2 - \delta$ if $d = 2$ and $\eta = 2$ if $d \geq 3$. The assertion now follows from Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 2.13. \square

In view of Lemma 5.8 (ii), we assume from now on

(κ) If $d \geq 4$ and $k \geq 1$, then $\|\nabla \rho\| \in L^{\frac{d}{2}+\varepsilon_k}(B_k, dx)$ for some $\varepsilon_k > 0$.

Proposition 5.9. The process \mathbb{M} satisfies

$$X_t = x + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \eta(X_s) d\ell_s^k \quad t < D_{B_k^c} \quad (52)$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for any $x \in B_k$ where W is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion starting from zero and ℓ^k is the positive continuous additive functional of X^{B_k} in the strict sense associated via the Revuz correspondence (cf. [27, Theorem 5.1.3]) with the weighted surface measure $\frac{1}{2}\rho\sigma$ on $B_k \cap \partial G$.

Proof. We apply [27, Theorem 5.5.5] to $(\mathcal{E}^{B_k}, D(\mathcal{E}^{B_k}))$. By Lemmas 5.7, 5.8, (14) and the Revuz correspondence (cf. [27, Theorem 5.1.3]), the assertion follows (see Theorem 3.4 for details). \square

Lemma 5.10. $\mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D_{B_k^c} = \infty) = \mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} = \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in E$.

Proof. By definition $\{B_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is an increasing sequence of relatively compact open sets with $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k = E$. The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ is strongly local and conservative. Hence $\mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} = \infty) = 1$ for all $x \in \overline{G} \setminus N$ by [27, Lemma 5.5.2 (ii)] where N is an exceptional set. Since N is an exceptional set, $u(x) := \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_N < \infty) = 0$, m -a.e. x . Furthermore, since u is an excessive function and the resolvent kernel $R_\alpha^E(x, \cdot)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to m for each $\alpha > 0$ and $x \in E$, $u(x) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha R_\alpha^E u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$. Let $x \in E = \bigcup_{k \geq 1} B_k$. Then $x \in B_{k_0}$ for some $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\Omega_1) = 1,$$

where $\Omega_1 := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \sigma_{B_{k_0}^c}(\omega) > 0\}$. For $\omega \in \Omega_1$, $\forall k \geq k_0$, and small $t = t(\omega) > 0$

$$\sigma_{B_k^c}(\omega) \circ \theta_t \leq \sigma_{B_k^c}(\omega).$$

Therefore, for $\omega \in \Omega_1$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c}(\omega) \circ \theta_t \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c}(\omega).$$

Thus, for all $x \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} < \infty) &\leq \mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} \circ \theta_t < \infty) \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_x(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} \circ \theta_t < \infty) \\ &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{P}_{X_t}(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} < \infty)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds true since $\mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{P}_{X_t}(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} < \infty)] = \mathbb{E}_x[\mathbb{P}_{X_t}(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{B_k^c} < \infty) ; X_t \notin N] = 0$ for all $x \in E$. \square

Lemma 5.11. $\ell_t^k = \ell_t^{k+1}$, $\forall t < \sigma_{B_k^c}$ \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for all $x \in B_k$ where ℓ_t^k is the positive continuous additive functional of X^{B_k} in the strict sense associated to $1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2} \in S_{00}^{B_k}$. In particular $\ell_t := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \ell_t^k$, $t \geq 0$, is well defined in $A_{c,1}^{+,E}$, and related to $\frac{\rho\sigma}{2}$ via the Revuz correspondence.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(B_k)$ and for $x \in B_{k+1}$ define

$$f_k(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\sigma_{B_k^c}} e^{-t} f(X_t) d\ell_t^{k+1} \right].$$

Since $f_k \in D(\mathcal{E}^{B_{k+1}})$ and $f_k = 0$ \mathcal{E} -q.e. on B_k^c , we have $f_k \in D(\mathcal{E}^{B_k})$. For $x \in B_k$

$$R_1^{B_k} \left(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2} \right)(x) = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\sigma_{B_k^c}} e^{-t} f(X_t) d\ell_t^k \right].$$

Then, for $g \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(B_k) \cap L^2(B_k, m)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1^{B_k} (f_k, R_1^{B_k} g) &= \mathcal{E}_1^{B_{k+1}} (f_k, R_1^{B_k} g) \\ &= \int_{\partial G} R_1^{B_k} g f 1_{B_{k+1}} \cdot \frac{\rho d\sigma}{2} = \mathcal{E}_1^{B_k} \left(R_1^{B_k} \left(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2} \right), R_1^{B_k} g \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f_k = R_1^{B_k}(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2})$ m -a.e. Since $R_1^{B_k}(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2})$ is 1-excessive for $(R_\alpha^{B_k})_{\alpha>0}$, we obtain for any $x \in B_k$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1^{B_k}(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2})(x) &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha R_{\alpha+1}^{B_k} \left(R_1^{B_k}(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2}) \right)(x) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \int_{B_k} r_{\alpha+1}^{B_k}(x, y) R_1^{B_k}(f 1_{B_k} \cdot \frac{\rho\sigma}{2})(y) m(dy) \\ &= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \int_{B_k} r_{\alpha+1}^{B_k}(x, y) f_k(y) m(dy) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha R_{\alpha+1}^{B_k} f_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using in particular the strong Markov property, we obtain by direct calculation that the right hand limit equals $f_k(x)$ for any $x \in B_k$. Thus, we showed for all $x \in B_k$

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\sigma_{B_k}^c} e^{-t} f(X_t) d\ell_t^k \right] = \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\sigma_{B_k}^c} e^{-t} f(X_t) d\ell_t^{k+1} \right].$$

This implies that $\ell_t^k = \ell_t^{k+1}$, $\forall t < \sigma_{B_k}^c$ \mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for all $x \in B_k$ (see e.g. [13, IV. (2.12) Proposition]). \square

Theorem 5.12. *The process \mathbb{M} satisfies*

$$X_t = x + W_t + \int_0^t \frac{\nabla \rho}{2\rho}(X_s) ds + \int_0^t \eta(X_s) d\ell_s, \quad t \geq 0$$

\mathbb{P}_x -a.s. for all $x \in E$ where W is a standard d -dimensional Brownian motion starting from zero and ℓ is the positive continuous additive functional of X in the strict sense associated via the Revuz correspondence (cf. [27, Theorem 5.1.3]) with the weighted surface measure $\frac{1}{2}\rho\sigma$ on $E \cap \partial G$.

Proof. Let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (52). Then the statement follows immediately from Lemmas 5.10 and 5.11. \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(NRF-2012R1A1A2006987).

References

- [1] Robert A. Adams, John J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), 140. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam (2003).
- [2] R. Atar, A. Budhiraja, *On the multi-dimensional skew Brownian motion*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 125 (2015), no. 5, 1911-1925.

- [3] S. Albeverio, R. Høegh-Krohn, L. Streit, *Energy forms, Hamiltonians, and distorted Brownian paths*, J. Mathematical Phys. 18 (1977), no. 5, 907-917.
- [4] S. Albeverio, M. Röckner, *Stochastic differential equations in infinite dimensions: solutions via Dirichlet forms*, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields **89** (1991), 347-386.
- [5] S. Albeverio, Yu.G. Kondratiev, M. Röckner, *Canonical Dirichlet operator and distorted Brownian motion on Poisson spaces*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 323 (1996), no. 11, 1179-1184
- [6] S. Albeverio, Yu.G. Kondratiev, M. Röckner, *Strong Feller properties for distorted Brownian motion and applications to finite particle systems with singular interactions*, Finite and infinite dimensional analysis in honor of Leonard Gross (New Orleans, LA, 2001), 15-35, Contemp. Math., 317, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [7] S. Andres, M. K. Renesse, *Uniqueness and regularity for a system of interacting Bessel processes via the Muckenhoupt condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Volume 364 Number 3 1413-126 (2012).
- [8] M.T. Barlow, R.F. Bass, C. Gui *The Liouville property and a conjecture of De Giorgi*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 53 (2000), no. 8, 1007-1038.
- [9] B. Baur, M. Grothaus *Construction and Strong Feller Property of Distorted Elliptic Diffusion with Reflecting Boundary*, Potential Anal. 40, no. 4, 391-425 (2014).
- [10] B. Baur, M. Grothaus, P. Stilgenbauer, *Construction of L^p -strong Feller processes via Dirichlet forms and applications to elliptic diffusions*, Potential Anal. 38, no. 4, 1233-1258 (2013).
- [11] R. F. Bass, P. Hsu, *Some potential theory for reflecting Brownian motion in Hölder and Lipschitz domains*, Ann. Probab. 19(2) 486-508 (1991).
- [12] M. Biroli, U. Mosco, *A Saint-Venant type principle for Dirichlet forms on discontinuous media*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 169 125-181 (1995).
- [13] R. M. Blumenthal, R. K. Gettoor, *Markov processes and Potential theory*, Academic press, New York and London (1968).
- [14] N. Bouleau, F. Hirsch, *Dirichlet forms and analysis on Wiener space*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 14. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin, (1991).
- [15] E. A. Carlen, S. Kusuoka, D.W. Strook, *Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions*, Ann. Inst. H.Poincaré. Probab. Statist.23 245-287 (1987).
- [16] Z.-Q. Chen, *On reflecting diffusion processes and Skorokhod decompositions*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **94**, (1993), 281–315.

- [17] K. L. Chung, J. B. Walsh, *Markov processes, Brownian motion, and time symmetry*, Second edition. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 249. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [18] A. Eberle, *Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of semigroups generated by singular diffusion operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1718. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999).
- [19] L. C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Boca Raton : CRC Press (1992).
- [20] T. Fattler, M. Grothaus, *Strong Feller properties for distorted Brownian motion with reflecting boundary condition and an application to continuous N -particle systems with singular interactions*, Journal of Functional Analysis 246, 217-241 (2007).
- [21] T. Fattler, M. Grothaus, *Construction of elliptic diffusions with reflecting boundary condition and an application to continuous N -particle systems with singular interactions*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 51 (2008), no. 2, 337-362.
- [22] M. Fukushima, *On a stochastic calculus related to Dirichlet forms and distorted Brownian motions*. New stochastic methods in physics. Phys. Rep. 77, no. 3, 255-262 (1981).
- [23] M. Fukushima, *Energy forms and diffusion processes*. Mathematics + physics. Vol. 1, 65-97, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, (1985).
- [24] M. Fukushima, *On a decomposition of additive functionals in the strict sense for a symmetric Markov process*. Dirichlet forms and stochastic processes (Beijing, 1993), 155-169, de Gruyter, Berlin, (1995).
- [25] M. Fukushima, *On a strict decomposition of additive functionals for symmetric diffusion processes*. Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 70 (1994), no. 9, 277–281.
- [26] M. Fukushima, *On semi-martingale characterizations of functionals of symmetric Markov processes*. Electron. J. Probab. 4 (1999), no. 18, 32 pp.
- [27] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, Second revised and extended edition. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 19. Walter de Gruyter Co., Berlin (2011).
- [28] M. Fukushima, M. Tomisaki, *Construction and decomposition of reflecting diffusions on Lipschitz domains with Hölder cusps*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **106** (1996), no. 4, 521–557.

- [29] A. Grigor'yan, J. Hu, *Upper bounds of heat kernels on doubling spaces*, preprint, 57 pages (2010).
- [30] D. Haroske, *Sobolev spaces with Muckenhoupt weights, singularities and inequalities*, Georgian Mathematical Journal, 15, no. 2, 263-280 (2008)
- [31] Z. M. Ma, M. Röckner, *Introduction to the Theory of (Non-symmetric) Dirichlet Forms*, Berlin, Springer (1992).
- [32] Y. Mizuta, *Potential theory in Euclidean spaces*, GAKUTO International Series. Mathematical Sciences and Applications, 6 (1996).
- [33] Y. Oshima, *Some singular diffusion processes and their associated stochastic differential equations*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 59 (1982), no. 2, 249-276.
- [34] Y. Ouknine, F. Russo, G. Trutnau, *On countably skewed Brownian motion with accumulation point*, Electron. J. Probab. 20 (2015), no. 82, 27 pp.
- [35] D. Pantić, *Stochastic calculus on distorted Brownian motion*. J. Math. Phys. 29 (1988), no. 1, 207–209
- [36] E. Pardoux, R. J. Williams, *Symmetric reflected diffusions*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Vol. 30, 13-62 (1994).
- [37] N.I. Portenko, *Generalized Diffusion Processes*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1982; Translated from the Russian, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 83. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (1990)
- [38] A. Posilicano, *Convergence of distorted Brownian motions and singular Hamiltonians*. Potential Anal. 5 (1996), no. 3, 241-271.
- [39] D. Revuz, M. Yor, *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*, Springer Verlag, (2005).
- [40] J. Shin, G. Trutnau, *Pointwise weak existence of distorted skew Brownian motion with respect to discontinuous Muckenhoupt weights*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 59 (2014), no. 1, 157-170.
- [41] K. T. Sturm, *Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. II. Upper Gaussian estimates for the fundamental solutions of parabolic equations*, Osaka J. Math. 32 (1995), no. 2, 275-312.
- [42] K. T. Sturm, *Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. III. The parabolic Harnack inequality*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 75 (1996), no. 3, 273-297.
- [43] K. T. Sturm, *Sharp estimates for capacities and applications to symmetric diffusions*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 103 (1995), no. 1, 73-89.

- [44] S. Takanobu, *On the existence of solutions of stochastic differential equations with singular drifts*, Probab. Theory Related Fields 74 (1987), no. 2, 295-315.
- [45] M. Tomisaki, *A construction of diffusion processes with singular product measures*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 53 (1980), no. 1, 51-70.
- [46] G. Trutnau, *Skorokhod decomposition of reflected diffusions on bounded Lipschitz domains with singular non-reflection part*, Probab.Theory Relat. Fields 127, 455-495 (2003).
- [47] G. Trutnau, *On a class of non-symmetric diffusions containing fully non-symmetric distorted Brownian motions*, Forum Math. 15(3), 409-437 (2003).
- [48] G. Trutnau, *Multidimensional skew reflected diffusions*, Stochastic analysis: classical and quantum, 228-244, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2005.
- [49] B. O. Turesson, *Nonlinear potential theory and weighted Sobolev spaces*, Lecture notes in mathematics;1736. Springer (2000).

Jiyong Shin
 School of Mathematics
 Korea Institute for Advanced Study
 85 Hoegiro Dongdaemun-gu,
 Seoul 02445, South Korea,
 E-mail: yonshin2@kias.re.kr

Gerald Trutnau
 Department of Mathematical Sciences and
 Research Institute of Mathematics of Seoul National University,
 San56-1 Shinrim-dong Kwanak-gu,
 Seoul 151-747, South Korea,
 E-mail: trutnau@snu.ac.kr