

Finite BRST-antiBRST Transformations in Generalized Hamiltonian Formalism

PAVEL YU. MOSHIN^{a*} AND ALEXANDER A. RESHETNYAK^{b,c†}

^a*National Research Tomsk State University, 634050, Russia,*

^b*Institute of Strength Physics and Materials Science, 634021, Tomsk, Russia,*

^c*Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634061, Russia*

November 12, 2018

Abstract

We introduce the notion of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations for constrained dynamical systems in the generalized Hamiltonian formalism, both global and field-dependent, with a doublet λ_a , $a = 1, 2$, of anticommuting Grassmann parameters and find explicit Jacobians corresponding to these changes of variables in the path integral. It turns out that the finite transformations are quadratic in their parameters. Exactly as in the case of finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations for the Yang–Mills vacuum functional in the Lagrangian formalism examined in our previous paper [arXiv:1405.0790[hep-th]], special field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations with functionally-dependent parameters $\lambda_a = \int dt (s_a \Lambda)$, generated by a finite even-valued functional Λ and by the anticommuting generators s_a of BRST-antiBRST transformations, amount to a precise change of the gauge-fixing functional for arbitrary constrained dynamical systems. This proves the independence of the vacuum functional under such transformations. We derive a new form of the Ward identities depending on the parameters λ_a and study the problem of gauge-dependence. We present the form of transformation parameters which generates a change of the gauge in the Hamiltonian path integral and evaluate it explicitly for connecting two arbitrary R_ξ -like gauges in the Yang–Mills theory.

Keywords: BRST-antiBRST generalized Hamiltonian quantization, constrained dynamical systems, Yang–Mills theory, field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations

1 Introduction

It is well known that modern quantization methods for gauge theories in Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations [1, 2, 3, 4] are based primarily on the idea of BRST symmetry [5, 6, 7] and BRST-antiBRST symmetry [8, 9, 10], which are characterized by the presence of a Grassmann-odd parameter μ and two Grassmann-odd parameters $(\mu, \bar{\mu})$, respectively. The parameters in the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -covariant schemes of generalized Hamiltonian [11, 12] and Lagrangian [14, 15] quantization (see also [13, 16]) form an $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet: $(\mu, \bar{\mu}) \equiv (\mu_1, \mu_2) = \mu_a$. These parameters were initially considered as infinitesimal odd-valued objects and may be regarded as constants and as field-dependent functionals, used to derive the Ward identities and to establish the gauge-independence of the corresponding vacuum functional in the path integral approach.

*moshin@rambler.ru

†reshet@ispms.tsc.ru

In our recent paper [18], we have suggested an extension of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations with finite (both global and field-dependent) parameters in Yang–Mills and general gauge theories. The idea of "finiteness" is based on the inclusion into BRST-antiBRST transformations of new terms being quadratic in the parameters μ_a . It permits, first of all, to realize complete BRST-antiBRST invariance of the integrand in the vacuum functional. Second, functionally-dependent parameters $\lambda_a = s_a \Lambda$ induced by a Grassmann-even functional Λ provide an explicit correspondence (due to the so-called compensation equation for the corresponding Jacobian) between the choices of Λ connecting the partition function of a theory in a certain gauge – determined by a gauge Boson functional F_0 – with the theory in a different gauge, given by another gauge Boson F . This concept becomes a key tool used to determine, in a BRST-antiBRST approach, the Gribov horizon functional [22] – initially given by the Landau gauge in the Gribov–Zwanziger theory [23] – in any other gauge, including the R_ξ -gauges used to eliminate residual gauge invariance in the deep IR region.

For completeness, let us recall that finite field-dependent BRST transformations were first introduced in [19] for the Yang–Mills theory (whose quantum action is constructed by the Faddeev–Popov rules [17]) in the framework of a functional equation for the parameter used to provide the path integral with a change of variables that would allow one to relate the quantum action in a certain gauge with the quantum action in a different gauge. This equation and a similar equation [20] for the finite parameter of a field-dependent BRST transformation suggested in the generalized Hamiltonian formalism have not been solved.

The recent works [26, 27] have proposed the concept of finite BRST–BFV transformations [26] in the framework of generalized Hamiltonian formalism [7, 24, 25], as well as finite BRST [27] and BRST–BV [28] transformations in different path integral representations within the Batalin–Vilkovisky (BV) procedure [29]. It has been shown that, in order to relate the partition function for a gauge model given by different gauges, it is sufficient to solve the compensation equation for the corresponding finite field-dependent parameter, first suggested in [30] for Yang–Mills theories within the Faddeev–Popov procedure [17]. The latter problem was raised in [31] to investigate the issue of gauge-independence in gauge theories with so-called soft breaking of BRST symmetry, related to a consistent construction of the Gribov horizon functional [23] in different gauges [32, 33].

Thus, the problem of formulating the concept of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations for arbitrary dynamical systems with first-class constraints and studying its properties in the generalized Hamiltonian formalism remains open even for Yang–Mills theories. This problem is related to finding a correspondence of the quantum action in the BRST-antiBRST generalized Hamiltonian quantization [11, 12] – where gauge is introduced by a Bosonic gauge-fixing function of phase-space variables Φ – with the quantum action of the same theory in a different gauge $\Phi + \Delta\Phi$ with a finite value $\Delta\Phi$, using a change of variables in the path integral.

Based on these reasons, we intend to address the following issues in the case of dynamical systems with first-class constraints in generalized Hamiltonian formalism:

1. introduction of *finite BRST-antiBRST transformations*, being polynomial in powers of a constant $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet of odd-valued Grassmann parameters λ_a and leaving the integrand in the Hamiltonian path integral for vanishing external sources invariant to all orders in λ_a ;
2. definition of *finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations* as polynomials in the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet of odd-valued Grassmann functionals $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$ depending on the whole set of symplectic coordinates of the total phase space; calculation of the Jacobian related to this change of variables by using a special class of transformations with s_a -potential parameters $\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma(t))$, for a Grassmann-even function $\Lambda(\Gamma(t))$ and Grassmann-odd generators s_a of BRST-antiBRST transformations;
3. construction of a solution to the compensation equation for an unknown function Λ generating the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet

λ_a to establish a relation of the Hamiltonian action $S_{H,\Phi}$ in a certain gauge determined by a gauge Boson Φ with the Hamiltonian action $S_{H,\Phi+\Delta\Phi}$ in a different gauge $\Phi + \Delta\Phi$;

4. explicit construction of the parameters λ_a of finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations generating a change of the gauge in the Hamiltonian path integral within a class of linear R_ξ -like gauges in the Hamiltonian formalism, which are realized in terms of Bosonic gauge functions $\Phi_{(\xi)}$, with $\xi = 0, 1$ corresponding to the Landau and Feynman (covariant) gauges, respectively.

The work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we remind the general setup of the BRST-antiBRST generalized Hamiltonian quantization of dynamical systems with first-class constraints and list its basics ingredients. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations with constant and with field-dependent parameters in generalized Hamiltonian formalism. We obtain explicit Jacobians corresponding to these changes of variables and show that, exactly as in the case of field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations for the Yang–Mills vacuum functional [21] in Lagrangian formalism, the corresponding field-dependent transformations amount to a precise change of the gauge-fixing functional. Here, we also study the group properties of finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations. In Section 4, we derive the Ward identities with the help of field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations and study the gauge dependence of the generating functionals of Green’s functions. In Section 5, we present the form of transformation parameters that generates a change of the gauge and evaluate it for connecting two arbitrary R_ξ -like gauges in Yang–Mills theories. In Conclusion, we discuss the results and outline some open problems. In Appendix A, we present a detailed calculation of the Jacobians corresponding to the finite BRST-antiBRST Hamiltonian transformations with constant and field-dependent parameters.

We use condensed notations similar to [34], namely, the spatial coordinates of canonical field variables $\Gamma^p = (P_A, Q^A)$ are absorbed into the indices p, A , whereas integration over the spatial coordinates is included into summation over repeated indices. The partial $\partial/\partial\Gamma^p$ and variational $\delta/\delta\Gamma^p$ derivatives over Γ^p are understood as acting from the right. The variational derivative $\delta/\delta\Gamma^p(t)$ is taken along a phase-space trajectory $\Gamma^p(t)$, whereas the partial derivative $\partial/\partial\Gamma^p$ of a field variable Γ^p is understood as the variational derivative with fixed time, $\delta_t/\delta\Gamma^p$, as in [3], applied to a functional $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t))$ local in time, $\delta\mathcal{F} = (\delta_t\mathcal{F}/\delta\Gamma^p)\delta\Gamma^p$, $\delta_t/\delta\Gamma^p \equiv \partial/\partial\Gamma^p$. We refer to t -local functionals $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ as *functions*, whereas the corresponding $F(\Gamma) = \int dt \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t))$ are called *functionals*. The raising and lowering of $\text{Sp}(2)$ indices, $s^a = \varepsilon^{ab}s_b$, $s_a = \varepsilon_{ab}s^b$, is carried out with the help of a constant antisymmetric second-rank tensor ε^{ab} , $\varepsilon^{ac}\varepsilon_{cb} = \delta_b^a$, subject to the normalization condition $\varepsilon^{12} = 1$. The Grassmann parity and ghost number of a quantity A , assumed to be homogeneous with respect to these characteristics, are denoted by $\varepsilon(A)$, $\text{gh}(A)$, respectively. By default, we understand BRST-antiBRST transformations in generalized Hamiltonian formalism as *infinitesimal* invariance transformations with a doublet λ_a of anticommuting parameters, whereas *finite BRST-antiBRST transformations* will be understood as invariance transformations to all powers of the transformation parameters λ_a .

2 Basics of BRST-antiBRST generalized Hamiltonian Quantization

We recall that the total phase space underlying the BRST-antiBRST generalized canonical Hamiltonian quantization is parameterized by the canonical phase-space variables, $\Gamma^p, \varepsilon(\Gamma^p) = \varepsilon_p$,

$$\Gamma^p = (P_A, Q^A) = (\eta, \Gamma_{\text{gh}}), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\eta = (p_i, q^i)$ are the classical momenta and coordinates of a given dynamical system, described by a Hamiltonian $H_0 = H_0(\eta)$ and by a set of (generally, linearly dependent) first-class constraints $T_{\alpha_0} = T_{\alpha_0}(\eta)$, $\varepsilon(T_{\alpha_0}) = \varepsilon_{\alpha_0}$, subject to involution relations in terms of the Poisson superbracket at a fixed time instant t , $\{\Gamma^p, \Gamma^q\} = \omega^{pq} = \text{const}$, with

ω^{pq} composing an even supermatrix, $\omega^{pq} = -(-1)^{\varepsilon_p \varepsilon_q} \omega^{qp}$,

$$\{H_0, T_{\alpha_0}\} = T_{\gamma_0} V_{\alpha_0}^{\gamma_0}, \quad \{T_{\alpha_0}, T_{\beta_0}\} = T_{\gamma_0} U_{\alpha_0 \beta_0}^{\gamma_0}, \quad \text{for} \quad U_{\alpha_0 \beta_0}^{\gamma_0} = -(-1)^{\varepsilon_{\alpha_0} \varepsilon_{\beta_0}} U_{\beta_0 \alpha_0}^{\gamma_0}. \quad (2.2)$$

The variables Γ_{gh} in (2.1) contain the entire set of auxiliary variables that correspond to the towers of ghost-antighost coordinates C and Lagrangian multipliers λ , as well as their respective conjugated momenta \mathcal{P} , π [24], arranged within the BRST-antiBRST generalized Hamiltonian quantization [11, 12] into $\text{Sp}(2)$ -symmetric tensors for an L -th stage of reducibility ($L = 0$ corresponding to an irreducible theory),

$$\Gamma_{\text{gh}} = \left(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_s | a_0 \dots a_s}, C^{\alpha_s | a_0 \dots a_s}, \lambda_{\alpha_s | a_1 \dots a_s}, \pi^{\alpha_s | a_1 \dots a_s}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, L \right),$$

with the corresponding distribution [12] of the Grassmann parity and ghost number.

The generating functional of Green's functions for a dynamical system in question has the form

$$Z_{\Phi}(I) = \int d\Gamma \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^p(t) \omega_{pq} \dot{\Gamma}^q(t) - H_{\Phi}(t) + I(t) \Gamma(t) \right] \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

and determines the partition function $Z_{\Phi} = Z_{\Phi}(0)$ at the vanishing external sources $I_p(t)$ to Γ^p . In (2.3), integration over time belongs to the range $t_{\text{in}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{out}}$; the functions of time $\Gamma^p(t) \equiv \Gamma_t^p$ for $t_{\text{in}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{out}}$ are trajectories, $\dot{\Gamma}^p(t) \equiv d\Gamma^p(t)/dt$; the quantities $\omega_{pq} = (-1)^{(\varepsilon_p+1)(\varepsilon_q+1)} \omega_{qp}$ compose an even supermatrix inverse to that with the elements ω^{pq} ; the unitarizing Hamiltonian $H_{\Phi}(t) = H_{\Phi}(\Gamma(t))$ is determined by four t -local functions: $\mathcal{H}(t)$, an $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet of odd-valued functions $\Omega^a(t)$, with $\text{gh}(\Omega^a) = -(-1)^a$, and an even-valued function $\Phi(t)$, with $\text{gh}(\Phi) = 0$, known as the gauge-fixing Boson, given by the equations

$$H_{\Phi}(t) = \mathcal{H}(t) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \{ \{ \Phi(t), \Omega^a(t) \}_t, \Omega^b(t) \}_t, \quad \text{with} \quad \{ A(t), B(t) \}_t = \{ A(\Gamma), B(\Gamma) \}|_{\Gamma=\Gamma(t)}, \quad \text{for any } A, B, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\{ \Omega^a, \Omega^b \} = 0, \quad \{ \mathcal{H}, \Omega^b \} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\mathcal{H}|_{\Gamma_{\text{gh}}=0} = H_0(\eta), \quad \left. \frac{\delta \Omega^a}{\delta C^{\alpha_0 b}} \right|_{\Gamma_{\text{gh}}=0} = \delta_b^a T_{\alpha_0}(\eta). \quad (2.6)$$

From equations (2.5) and the Jacobi identities for the Poisson superbracket, it follows that

$$\{ H_{\Phi}, \Omega^a \} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The integrand in (2.3) is invariant with respect to the infinitesimal BRST-antiBRST transformations [11]

$$\Gamma^p \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p = \Gamma^p + (s^a \Gamma^p) \mu_a, \quad \text{with} \quad s^a = \{ \bullet, \Omega^a \}, \quad (2.8)$$

realized on phase-space trajectories $\Gamma^p(t)$ as

$$\Gamma^p(t) \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p(t) = \Gamma^p(t) + \{ \Gamma^p(t), \Omega^a(t) \}_t \mu_a = \Gamma^p(t) + (s^a \Gamma^p)(t) \mu_a, \quad (2.9)$$

with an $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet μ_a of anticommuting constant infinitesimal parameters, $\mu_a \mu_b + \mu_a \mu_b \equiv 0$, for any $a, b = 1, 2$. The generators s^a of BRST-antiBRST transformations are anticommuting, nilpotent and obey the Leibnitz rule when acting on the product and the Poisson superbracket:

$$s^a s^b + s^b s^a = 0, \quad s^a s^b s^c = 0, \quad s^a (AB) = (s^a A) B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} + A (s^a B), \quad s^a \{ A, B \} = \{ s^a A, B \} (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} + \{ A, s^a B \}. \quad (2.10)$$

The BRST-antiBRST invariance of the integrand in (2.3) with $I_p(t) = 0$ under the transformations (2.9) allow one to obtain the Ward identities for $Z_\Phi(I)$, namely,

$$\langle \int dt I_p(t) s^a \Gamma^p(t) \rangle_{\Phi, I} = 0 , \quad (2.11)$$

$$\text{for } \langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Phi, I} = Z_\Phi^{-1}(I) \int d\Gamma \mathcal{O} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[S_{H, \Phi}(\Gamma) + \int dt I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \right] \right\} ,$$

$$\text{with } S_{H, \Phi}(\Gamma) = \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^p(t) \omega_{pq} \dot{\Gamma}^q(t) - H_\Phi(t) \right] , \quad (2.12)$$

where the expectation value of a functional $\mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ is calculated with respect to a certain gauge $\Phi(\Gamma)$ in the presence of external sources I_p . To obtain (2.11), we subject (2.3) to a change of variables $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma + \delta\Gamma$ with $\delta\Gamma$ given by (2.9) and use the equations (2.7) for $H(t)$. At the same time, with allowance for the equivalence theorem [35], the transformations (2.9) allow one to establish the independence of the S -matrix from the choice of a gauge. Indeed, if we change the gauge, $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \Delta\Phi$, by an infinitesimal value $\Delta\Phi$ in Z_Φ and make the change of variables (2.9), choosing the parameters μ_a as functionals of Γ^p (i.e., not as functions of time t or of the variables Γ^p), namely,

$$\mu_a = \frac{i}{2\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \Delta\Phi, \Omega^b \}_t = \frac{i}{2\hbar} \int dt (s_a \Delta\Phi)(t) , \quad (2.13)$$

we arrive at $Z_{\Phi+\Delta\Phi} = Z_\Phi$, and therefore the S -matrix is gauge-independent.

3 Finite BRST-antiBRST Transformations

In this section, we introduce (Subsection 3.1) the notion of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations and examine two classes of such transformation, namely, those with constant and field-dependent parameters, each class realized in a t -local form and in a functional form. We calculate (Subsection 3.2) the corresponding Jacobians, derive (Subsection 3.3) a compensation equation and present its solution. Finally, we study (Subsection 3.4) some group properties of field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations.

3.1 Definitions

Let us introduce finite transformations of the canonical variables Γ^p with a doublet λ_a of anticommuting Grassmann parameters, $\lambda_a \lambda_b + \lambda_b \lambda_a = 0$,

$$\Gamma^p \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p = \Gamma^p + \Delta\Gamma^p = \check{\Gamma}^p(\Gamma|\lambda) , \quad \text{so that } \check{\Gamma}^p(|0) = \Gamma^p . \quad (3.1)$$

In general, such transformations are quadratic in their parameters, due to $\lambda_a \lambda_b \lambda_c \equiv 0$,

$$\check{\Gamma}^p(\Gamma|\lambda) = \check{\Gamma}^p(\Gamma|0) + \left[\check{\Gamma}^p(\Gamma|\lambda) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \lambda_a} \right]_{\lambda=0} \lambda_a + \frac{1}{2} \left[\check{\Gamma}^p(\Gamma|\lambda) \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \lambda_a} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \lambda_b} \right] \lambda_b \lambda_a , \quad (3.2)$$

which implies

$$\Delta\check{\Gamma}^p = Z^{pa} \lambda_a + (1/2) Z^p \lambda^2 , \quad \text{where } \lambda^2 \equiv \lambda_a \lambda^a , \quad (3.3)$$

for certain functions $Z^{pa} = Z^{pa}(\Gamma)$, $Z^p = Z^p(\Gamma)$, corresponding to the first- and second-order derivatives of $\check{\Gamma}^p(\Gamma|\lambda)$ with respect to λ_a in (3.2).

Let us consider an arbitrary function $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ of phase-space variables expandable as a series in powers of Γ^p . Because of the nilpotency $\Delta\Gamma^{p_1} \cdots \Delta\Gamma^{p_n} \equiv 0$, $n \geq 3$, the function $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ under the transformations (3.3) can be expanded as

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma + \Delta\Gamma) = \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} \Delta\Gamma^p + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p \partial \Gamma^q} \Delta\Gamma^p \Delta\Gamma^q . \quad (3.4)$$

Let the function $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ be now invariant with respect to infinitesimal BRST-antiBRST transformations (2.8),

$$s^a \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad s^a \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} s^a \Gamma^p, \quad (3.5)$$

and introduce *finite BRST-antiBRST transformations* in generalized Hamiltonian formalism as invariance transformations of the function $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ under finite transformations of the variables Γ^p , such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma + \Delta\Gamma) = \mathcal{F}(\Gamma), \quad \Delta\Gamma^p \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \lambda_a} \Big|_{\lambda=0} = s^a \Gamma^p \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta\Gamma^p \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \lambda_a} \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial \lambda_b} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} s^2 \Gamma^p. \quad (3.6)$$

Namely, for the transformed variables $\check{\Gamma}^p = \Gamma^p + \Delta\Gamma^p$ we have

$$\check{\Gamma}^p = \Gamma^p \left(1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2 \right), \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \Delta\Gamma^p = (s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^p) \lambda^2, \quad \text{where} \quad \overleftarrow{s}^2 \equiv \overleftarrow{s}^a \overleftarrow{s}_a, \quad (3.7)$$

which is realized on phase-space trajectories $\Gamma^p(t)$ as follows:

$$\check{\Gamma}^p(t) = \Gamma^p(t) \left(1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2 \right), \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \Delta\Gamma^p(t) = (s^a \Gamma^p)(t) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^p)(t) \lambda^2. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us now consider an arbitrary functional of the phase-space variables, $F(\Gamma)$, expandable as a series in powers of Γ^p . Under the transformations (2.9), the functional $F(\Gamma)$ can be presented as

$$F(\Gamma + \Delta\Gamma) = F(\Gamma) + \int dt \frac{\delta F(\Gamma)}{\delta \Gamma^p(t)} \Delta\Gamma^p(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int dt' dt'' \frac{\delta^2 F(\Gamma)}{\delta \Gamma^p(t') \delta \Gamma^q(t'')} \Delta\Gamma^p(t') \Delta\Gamma^q(t''). \quad (3.9)$$

By analogy with the definition (3.5) of BRST-antiBRST transformations of functions, we let the functional $F(\Gamma)$ be invariant with respect to infinitesimal BRST-antiBRST transformations for trajectories (2.9),

$$s^a F(\Gamma) = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad s^a F(\Gamma) = \int dt \frac{\delta F(\Gamma)}{\delta \Gamma^p(t)} (s^a \Gamma^p)(t), \quad (3.10)$$

and introduce the *finite BRST-antiBRST transformations of functionals* as invariance transformations of a functional $F(\Gamma)$ under finite transformations of trajectories $\Gamma^p(t) \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p(t)$, such that

$$F(\check{\Gamma}) = F(\Gamma), \quad \check{\Gamma}^p(t) = \Gamma^p(t) \left(1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2 \right). \quad (3.11)$$

The definitions of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations realized on functions (3.8) and functionals (3.11) are consistent. Indeed, for an arbitrary function $\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t))$ with the corresponding functional $F(\Gamma) = \int dt \mathcal{F}(t)$, we have

$$s^a F(\Gamma) = \int dt \frac{\delta F(\Gamma)}{\delta \Gamma^p(t)} (s^a \Gamma^p)(t) = \int dt \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(t)}{\partial \Gamma^p(t)} (s^a \Gamma^p)(t) = \int dt s^a \mathcal{F}(t) \quad (3.12)$$

$$\implies \Delta F(\Gamma) = \int dt \Delta \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t)), \quad \text{with} \quad \Delta F(\Gamma) = F(\check{\Gamma}) - F(\Gamma), \quad \Delta \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t)) = \mathcal{F}(\check{\Gamma}(t)) - \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t)). \quad (3.13)$$

Formula (3.12) describes the rule according to which the generators¹ s^a of BRST-antiBRST transformations act on functionals via functions given in the phase space with Γ^p

The consistency of definitions (3.7), (3.8), (3.11) is readily established by considering the respective equations $\Delta \mathcal{F} = 0$, $\Delta \mathcal{F}(t) = 0$, $\Delta F = 0$. For the first equation, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} \left[(s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^p) \lambda^2 \right] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p \partial \Gamma^q} \left[(s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^p) \lambda^2 \right] \left[(s^b \Gamma^q) \lambda_b + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^q) \lambda^2 \right] = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

¹To be more exact, one could use two different symbols for s^a when it acts on functions and functionals in (3.5), (3.10); however, in order to simplify the notation for virtually the same operation, in view of (3.12), we use the symbol s^a .

Taking into account the fact that $\lambda_a \lambda^2 = \lambda^4 \equiv 0$, the invariance relations $s^a F(\Gamma) = (\partial \mathcal{F} / \partial \Gamma^p) s^a \Gamma^p = 0$, and their differential consequence (after applying s^b and multiplying by $\lambda_b \lambda_a$)

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^q \partial \Gamma^p} (s^b \Gamma^q) \lambda_b (s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} (s^2 \Gamma^p) \lambda^2, \quad (3.15)$$

in view of the definition (2.8) and properties (2.10), we find that the above equation (3.14) is satisfied identically:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} (s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} (s^2 \Gamma^p) \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^q \partial \Gamma^p} (s^b \Gamma^q) \lambda_b (s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a \stackrel{(3.15)}{=} 0. \quad (3.16)$$

In a similar way, one can readily establish the consistency of definitions (3.8) and (3.11).

We can see that the finite variation $\Delta \Gamma^p$ includes the generators of BRST-antiBRST transformations (s^1, s^2) , as well as their commutator $s^2 = \varepsilon_{ab} s^b s^a = s^1 s^2 - s^2 s^1$. According to (3.6), (3.9) and $\lambda_a \lambda^2 = \lambda^4 \equiv 0$, the variations $\Delta \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$, $\Delta F(\Gamma)$ of an arbitrary function $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ and of an arbitrary functional $F(\Gamma)$ under the corresponding finite BRST-antiBRST transformations (3.7), (3.11) are given by

$$\Delta \mathcal{F} = (s^a \mathcal{F}) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \mathcal{F}) \lambda^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta F = (s^a F) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 F) \lambda^2. \quad (3.17)$$

In particular, the functions Ω^a and \mathcal{H} obey finite BRST-antiBRST invariance:

$$\Delta \Omega^a = \{\Omega^a, \Omega^b\} \lambda_b + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{bc} \{\Omega^a, \{\Omega^b, \Omega^c\}\} \lambda^2 = 0, \quad \Delta \mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}, \Omega^a\} \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{ab} \{\mathcal{H}, \{\Omega^a, \Omega^b\}\} \lambda^2 = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

due to the generating equations (2.5). The same property is valid for the Hamiltonian action $S_H(\Gamma)$ in (2.12), namely,

$$\Delta S_H(\Gamma) = S_H(\check{\Gamma}) - S_H(\Gamma) = \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\check{\Gamma}^p \omega_{pq} \frac{d\check{\Gamma}^p}{dt} \right) (t) - H_\Phi(\check{\Gamma})(t) \right] - S_H(\Gamma) = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

where we have used the finite BRST-antiBRST invariance (3.18) of the unitarizing Hamiltonian H_Φ and the following transformations of the term $(1/2) \int dt \left(\Gamma^p \omega_{pq} \dot{\Gamma}^q \right)$ with respect to the BRST-antiBRST transformations (3.8) of trajectories $\Gamma^p(t)$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int dt \left(\check{\Gamma}^p \omega_{pq} \frac{d\check{\Gamma}^p}{dt} \right) (t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\Gamma^p \partial_p \Omega^a - 2\Omega^a \right) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^p s_a (\partial_p \Omega^a) \lambda^2 \right] \Big|_{t_{in}}^{t_{out}} + \frac{1}{2} \int dt \left(\Gamma^p \omega_{pq} \dot{\Gamma}^q \right) (t). \quad (3.20)$$

The parameters λ_a in (3.7), (3.8) and (3.11) may be constant, $\lambda_a = \text{const}$, as well as field-dependent, $\lambda_a = \lambda_a(\Gamma)$, thus determining *global* and *field-dependent finite BRST-antiBRST transformations*. At the same time, we stress that the parameters $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$ are not regarded as functions of time t , and thus of phase-space variables Γ^p , namely,

$$\frac{d\lambda_a(\Gamma)}{dt} = \frac{\partial \lambda_a(\Gamma)}{\partial \Gamma^p} = 0; \quad \text{however,} \quad \frac{\delta \lambda_a(\Gamma)}{\delta \Gamma^p} \neq 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Relations (3.8) and (3.17) allow one to calculate the Jacobians of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations, as well as to investigate the group properties of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations, presented in respective Subsections 3.2, 3.4. Thus, the functional measure $d\Gamma$ in (2.3) turns out to be invariant with respect to the change of trajectories, $\Gamma^p(t) \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p(t)$, related to finite BRST-antiBRST transformations (3.7) with constant parameters λ_a . This is nothing else than Liouville's theorem for the transformations (3.7), being canonical due to the identity

$$\check{P}_A d\check{Q}^A - \check{H}_\Phi(\check{P}, \check{Q}) dt = P_A dQ^A - H_\Phi(P, Q) dt + dF, \quad (3.22)$$

which takes place for the contact 1-form, as one makes the substitution $\Gamma \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}$, setting $\check{H}_\Phi(\check{\Gamma}) = H_\Phi(\check{\Gamma})$ and taking account of (3.20). The invariance of the measure, $d\check{\Gamma} = d\Gamma$, along with the invariance (3.19) of the action $S_H(\Gamma)$, justifies the term “finite BRST-antiBRST transformations” as applied to the invariance transformations (3.11) of the integrand for Z_Φ .

3.2 Jacobians

Let us examine the change of the integration measure $d\Gamma \rightarrow d\tilde{\Gamma}$ in (2.3) under the finite transformations of phase-space trajectories, $\Gamma_t^p \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_t^p = \Gamma_t^p + \Delta\Gamma_t^p$, with $\Delta\Gamma_t^p \equiv \Delta\Gamma^p(t)$ given by (3.8),

$$d\tilde{\Gamma} = d\Gamma \text{Sdet} \left(\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\Gamma} \right), \quad \text{Sdet} \left(\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\Gamma} \right) = \text{Sdet} (\mathbb{I} + M) = \exp [\text{Str} \ln (\mathbb{I} + M)] \equiv \exp (\mathfrak{S}), \quad (3.23)$$

where the Jacobian $\exp (\mathfrak{S})$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S} &= \text{Str} \ln (\mathbb{I} + M) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \text{Str} (M^n), \quad \text{Str} (M^n) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_p} \int dt (M^n)_p^p(t, t), \\ \mathbb{I} &= \delta_q^p \delta(t' - t''), \quad (M)_q^p(t', t'') = \frac{\delta\Delta\Gamma^p(t')}{\delta\Gamma^q(t'')}, \quad (AB)_q^p(t', t'') = \int dt (A)_r^p(t', t) B_q^r(t, t''). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

In the case of finite transformations corresponding to $\lambda_a = \text{const}$, the integration measure remains invariant (for details, see (A.10) in Appendix A)

$$\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma) = 0 \implies \left[\text{Sdet} \left(\frac{\delta\tilde{\Gamma}}{\delta\Gamma} \right) = 1, \quad d\tilde{\Gamma} = d\Gamma \right]. \quad (3.25)$$

As we turn to finite field-dependent transformations, $\lambda_a = \lambda_a(\Gamma)$, let us examine the particular case of functionally-dependent parameters²

$$\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt (s_a \Lambda)(t) = \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \Lambda(t), \Omega^b(t) \}_t, \quad (3.26)$$

with a certain even-valued potential function $\Lambda(t) = \Lambda(\Gamma(t))$, which is inspired by field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations with the parameters (2.13). In this case, the integration measure takes the form (for details see (A.11) in Appendix A)

$$\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma) = -2\ln[1 + f(\Gamma)], \quad f(\Gamma) = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt (s^2 \Lambda)_t, \quad (s^2 \Lambda)_t = \varepsilon_{ab} \{ \{ \Lambda, \Omega^a \}_t, \Omega^b \}_t, \quad (3.27)$$

$$d\tilde{\Gamma} = d\Gamma \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} (-i\hbar \mathfrak{S}) \right] = d\Gamma \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[i\hbar \ln \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \{ \Lambda, \Omega^a \}_t, \Omega^b \}_t \right)^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (3.28)$$

3.3 Solution of the Compensation Equation

Let us apply the Jacobian (3.28) to cancel a change of the gauge Boson $\Phi(\Gamma)$ in (2.12):

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \Delta\Phi. \quad (3.29)$$

To this end, we subject $Z_{\Phi+\Delta\Phi}$ to a change of variables $\Gamma^p(t) \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}^p(t)$, given by (3.8) and parameterized by $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$ in accordance with (3.26). In terms of the new variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Phi+\Delta\Phi} &= \int d\tilde{\Gamma} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_{H, \Phi+\Delta\Phi}(\tilde{\Gamma}) \right\} = \int d\Gamma \exp [\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma)] \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_{H, \Phi+\Delta\Phi}(\Gamma) \right\} \\ &= \int d\Gamma \exp [\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma)] \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[S_{H, \Phi}(\Gamma) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \{ \Delta\Phi(t), \Omega^a(t) \}_t, \Omega^b(t) \}_t \right] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

using the transformation property (3.19) for $S_{H, \Phi+\Delta\Phi}$. If we now require the fulfillment of the relation

$$\exp [\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma)] = \exp \left[\frac{i}{2\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \{ \Delta\Phi(t), \Omega^a(t) \}_t, \Omega^b(t) \}_t \right], \quad (3.31)$$

²The parameters λ_a are functionally-dependent, since $s^1 \lambda_1 + s^2 \lambda_2 = - \int dt s^2 \Lambda$.

which we will call the “compensation equation”, then

$$Z_{\Phi+\Delta\Phi} = Z_{\Phi} . \quad (3.32)$$

Using the compensation equation (3.31) and (3.28)

$$\frac{1}{2} \int dt \varepsilon_{ab} \{ \{ \Lambda, \Omega^a \}_t, \Omega^b \}_t = 1 - \exp \left[\frac{1}{4i\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \{ \Delta\Phi(t), \Omega^a(t) \}_t, \Omega^b(t) \}_t \right] , \quad (3.33)$$

we can see that this is a functional equation for an unknown Bosonic function $\Lambda(\Gamma)$, which determines $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$ in accordance with $\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma)$.

Introducing an auxiliary functional $y(\Gamma)$,

$$y(\Gamma) \equiv \frac{1}{4i\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \{ \Delta\Phi(t), \Omega^a(t) \}_t, \Omega^b(t) \}_t = \frac{1}{4i\hbar} \Delta\widehat{\Phi} \overleftarrow{s}^2 , \quad \text{where } \Delta\widehat{\Phi} \equiv \int dt \Delta\Phi(t) , \quad (3.34)$$

which is BRST-antiBRST exact, $y(\Gamma) \overleftarrow{s}^a = 0$, and making use of $\overleftarrow{s}^2 = \overleftarrow{s}^a \overleftarrow{s}_a$, where $(F \overleftarrow{s}^a)(\Gamma)$ is identical with $s^a F(\Gamma)$ in (3.10), we present (3.33) in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \int dt \Lambda \overleftarrow{s}^2 = 1 - \exp(y) = \frac{1}{4i\hbar} \left[g(y) \Delta\widehat{\Phi} \right] \overleftarrow{s}^2 , \quad (3.35)$$

where $g(y) = [1 - \exp(y)]/y$ is a BRST-antiBRST exact functional. This provides an explicit solution of (3.35), with accuracy up to BRST-antiBRST exact terms:

$$\Lambda(\Gamma|\Delta\Phi) = \frac{1}{2i\hbar} g(y) \Delta\Phi . \quad (3.36)$$

Hence, the field-dependent parameters $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$ are implied by (3.26) and (3.36),

$$\lambda_a(\Gamma|\Delta\Phi) = \frac{1}{2i\hbar} g(y) \int dt (s_a \Delta\Phi)(t) = \frac{1}{2i\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} g(y) \int dt \{ \Delta\Phi(t), \Omega^b(t) \}_t . \quad (3.37)$$

whereas the approximation linear in $\Delta\Phi$ follows from $g(0) = -1$

$$\Lambda(\Gamma) = \frac{i}{2\hbar} \Delta\Phi + o(\Delta\Phi) \implies \lambda_a(\Gamma) = \frac{i}{2\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \Delta\Phi(t), \Omega^b(t) \}_t + o(\Delta\Phi) , \quad (3.38)$$

which is identical with the parameters (2.13) of infinitesimal field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations.

3.4 Group Properties

The above relations (3.17)

$$\Delta\mathcal{F} = (s^a \mathcal{F}) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \mathcal{F}) \lambda^2 , \quad \Delta F = (s^a F) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 F) \lambda^2 ,$$

describing the finite variations of functions, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\Gamma(t))$, and functionals, $F = F(\Gamma)$, induced by finite BRST-antiBRST transformations, allow one to study the group properties of these transformations, with the provision for the fact that the transformations do not form neither a Lie superalgebra nor a vector superspace, due to the quadratic dependence on the parameters λ_a .

Let us study the composition of finite variations $\Delta_{(1)}\Delta_{(2)}$ acting on an object $A(\Gamma)$ being an arbitrary function or a functional. Using the Leibnitz-like properties of the generators of BRST-antiBRST transformations, s^a and s^2 , acting on the product of any functions (functionals) A, B with definite Grassmann parities,

$$\begin{aligned} s^a (AB) &= (s^a A) B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} + A (s^a B) , \quad s_a (AB) = (s_a A) B (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} + A (s_a B) , \\ s^2 (AB) &= (s^2 A) B - 2 (s_a A) (s^a B) (-1)^{\varepsilon_B} + A (s^2 B) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

and the identities

$$s^a s^b = (1/2) \varepsilon^{ab} s^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s_a s^b = -s^b s_a = (1/2) \delta_a^b s^2 \quad \text{and} \quad s^a s^b s^c \equiv 0, \quad (3.40)$$

with the notation $UV \equiv U_a V^a = -U^a V_a$ for pairing up any $\text{Sp}(2)$ -vectors U^a, V^a , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s^a (\Delta A) &= s^a \left[(s^b A) \lambda_b + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \lambda^2 \right] = s^a [(s^b A) \lambda_b] + (1/4) s^a [(s^2 A) \lambda^2] \\ &= - (s^a s^b A) \lambda_b + (s^b A) (s^a \lambda_b) + (1/4) (s^2 A) (s^a \lambda^2) \\ &= - (1/2) (s^2 A) \lambda^a - (s A) (s^a \lambda) + (1/4) (s^2 A) (s^a \lambda^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s^2 (\Delta A) &= s^2 \left[(s^b A) \lambda_b + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \lambda^2 \right] = s^2 [(s^b A) \lambda_b] + \frac{1}{4} s^2 [(s^2 A) \lambda^2] \\ &= 2 (s_a s^b A) (s^a \lambda_b) + (s^b A) (s^2 \lambda_b) + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) (s^2 \lambda^2) \\ &= - (s^2 A) (s \lambda) - (s A) (s^2 \lambda) + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) (s^2 \lambda^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Therefore, $\Delta_{(1)} \Delta_{(2)} A$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(1)} \Delta_{(2)} A &= (s^a \Delta_{(2)} A) \lambda_{(1)a} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Delta_{(2)} A) \lambda_{(1)}^2 \\ &= \left[- (1/2) (s^2 A) \lambda_{(2)}^a - (s A) (s^a \lambda_{(2)}) + (1/4) (s^2 A) (s^a \lambda_{(2)}^2) \right] \lambda_{(1)a} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left[(s^2 A) (s \lambda_{(2)}) - (s A) (s^2 \lambda_{(2)}) + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) (s^2 \lambda_{(2)}^2) \right] \lambda_{(1)}^2 \\ &\equiv (s^a A) \vartheta_{(1,2)a} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \theta_{(1,2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

for certain functionals $\vartheta_{(1,2)}^a(\Gamma)$ and $\theta_{(1,2)}(\Gamma)$, constructed from the parameters $\lambda_{(j)}^a$, for $j = 1, 2$, which are generally field-dependent, $\lambda_{(j)}^a = \lambda_{(j)}^a(\Gamma)$,

$$\vartheta_{(1,2)}^a = - (s \lambda_{(2)}^a) \lambda_{(1)} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \lambda_{(2)}^a) \lambda_{(1)}^2, \quad (3.44)$$

$$\theta_{(1,2)} = \left[2 \lambda_{(2)} - (s \lambda_{(2)}^2) \right] \lambda_{(1)} - \left[(s \lambda_{(2)}) - \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \lambda_{(2)}^2) \right] \lambda_{(1)}^2. \quad (3.45)$$

Hence, the commutator of finite variations reads

$$[\Delta_{(1)}, \Delta_{(2)}] A = (s^a A) \vartheta_{[1,2]a} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \theta_{[1,2]}, \quad \vartheta_{[1,2]}^a \equiv \vartheta_{(1,2)}^a - \vartheta_{(2,1)}^a, \quad \theta_{[1,2]} \equiv \theta_{(1,2)} - \theta_{(2,1)}, \quad (3.46)$$

Finally, using the identity

$$\lambda_{(2)} \lambda_{(1)} - \lambda_{(1)} \lambda_{(2)} = \lambda_{(2)a} \lambda_{(1)}^a - \lambda_{(1)a} \lambda_{(2)}^a = \lambda_{(2)a} \lambda_{(1)}^a - \lambda_{(2)a} \lambda_{(1)}^a \equiv 0, \quad (3.47)$$

we obtain

$$\vartheta_{[1,2]}^a = (s \lambda_{(1)}^a) \lambda_{(2)} - (s \lambda_{(2)}^a) \lambda_{(1)} - \frac{1}{4} \left[(s^2 \lambda_{(1)}^a) \lambda_{(2)}^2 - (s^2 \lambda_{(2)}^a) \lambda_{(1)}^2 \right], \quad (3.48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{[1,2]} &= \left[(s \lambda_{(1)}^2) \lambda_{(2)} - (s \lambda_{(2)}^2) \lambda_{(1)} \right] + \left[(s \lambda_{(1)}) \lambda_{(2)}^2 - (s \lambda_{(2)}) \lambda_{(1)}^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left[(s^2 \lambda_{(2)}^2) \lambda_{(1)}^2 - (s^2 \lambda_{(1)}^2) \lambda_{(2)}^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

where $\vartheta_{[1,2]}^a$, $\theta_{[1,2]}$ possess the symmetry properties $\vartheta_{[1,2]}^a = -\vartheta_{[2,1]}^a$, $\theta_{[1,2]} = -\theta_{[2,1]}$. In particular, assuming $A(\Gamma) = \Gamma^p$ in (3.46), we have

$$[\Delta_{(1)}, \Delta_{(2)}] \Gamma^p = (s^a \Gamma^p) \vartheta_{[1,2]a} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^p) \theta_{[1,2]} . \quad (3.50)$$

In general, the commutator (3.50) of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations does not belong to the class of these transformations due to the opposite symmetry properties of $\vartheta_{[1,2]a} \vartheta_{[1,2]}^a$ and $\theta_{[1,2]}$,

$$\vartheta_{[1,2]a} \vartheta_{[1,2]}^a = \vartheta_{[2,1]a} \vartheta_{[2,1]}^a , \quad \theta_{[1,2]} = -\theta_{[2,1]} , \quad (3.51)$$

which implies that $\theta_{[1,2]} = \vartheta_{[1,2]a} \vartheta_{[1,2]}^a$ in (3.50) is possible only in the particular case $\theta_{[1,2]} = \vartheta_{[1,2]a} \vartheta_{[1,2]}^a = 0$. This reflects the fact that a finite nonlinear transformation has the form of a group element, i.e., not an element of a Lie superalgebra; however, the linear approximation $\Delta^{\text{lin}} \Gamma^p = (s^a \Gamma^p) \lambda_a$ to a finite transformation $\Delta \Gamma^p = \Delta^{\text{lin}} \Gamma^p + O(\lambda^2)$ does form an algebra; indeed, due to (3.46), (3.48), (3.49), we have

$$[\Delta_{(1)}^{\text{lin}}, \Delta_{(2)}^{\text{lin}}] A = \Delta_{[1,2]}^{\text{lin}} A = (s^a A) \lambda_{[1,2]a} , \quad \lambda_{[1,2]}^a \equiv (s_b \lambda_{(1)}^a) \lambda_{(2)}^b - (s_b \lambda_{(2)}^a) \lambda_{(1)}^b . \quad (3.52)$$

Thus, the construction of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations reduces to the usual BRST-antiBRST transformations, $\delta \Gamma^p = \Delta^{\text{lin}} \Gamma^p$, linear in the infinitesimal parameter $\mu_a = \lambda_a$, as one selects the approximation that forms an algebra with respect to the commutator.

Using the above results, let us now consider an operator T , such that

$$TA = A + \Delta A , \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta A = (s^a A) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \lambda^2 , \quad \Delta_{(1)} \Delta_{(2)} A = (s^a A) \vartheta_{(1,2)a} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \theta_{(1,2)} .$$

and study its composition properties, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(1)} T_{(2)} A &= T_{(1)} (T_{(2)} A) = T_{(1)} (A + \Delta_{(2)} A) = A + \Delta_{(2)} A + \Delta_{(1)} (A + \Delta_{(2)} A) \\ &= A + \Delta_{(1)} A + \Delta_{(2)} A + \Delta_{(1)} \Delta_{(2)} A = A + s^a A [\lambda_{(1)a} + \lambda_{(2)a} + \vartheta_{(1,2)a}] + \frac{1}{4} s^2 A [\lambda_{(1)}^2 + \lambda_{(2)}^2 + \theta_{(1,2)}] , \\ [T_{(1)}, T_{(2)}] A &= [\Delta_{(1)}, \Delta_{(2)}] A = (s^a A) \vartheta_{[1,2]a} + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 A) \theta_{[1,2]} , \end{aligned}$$

whence follows the explicit form of the operator T , as well as the corresponding composition and commutator:

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{T} &= 1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_{(1)a} + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda_{(1)}^2 , \\ \overleftarrow{T}_{(1,2)} &\equiv \overleftarrow{T}_{(1)} \overleftarrow{T}_{(2)} = 1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a [\lambda_{(1)a} + \lambda_{(2)a} + \vartheta_{(2,1)a}] + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 [\lambda_{(1)}^2 + \lambda_{(2)}^2 + \theta_{(2,1)}] , \\ [\overleftarrow{T}_{(1)}, \overleftarrow{T}_{(2)}] &= \overleftarrow{T}_{(1,2)} - \overleftarrow{T}_{(2,1)} = -\overleftarrow{s}^a \vartheta_{[1,2]a} - \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \theta_{[1,2]} , \end{aligned}$$

with $\vartheta_{(1,2)a}$, $\theta_{(1,2)}$ and $\vartheta_{[1,2]a}$, $\theta_{[1,2]}$ given by (3.44), (3.45) and (3.48), (3.49).

4 Ward Identities and Gauge Dependence Problem

We can now apply finite BRST-antiBRST transformations to derive modified Ward (Slavnov–Taylor) identities and to study the problem of gauge-dependence for the generating functional of Green’s functions (2.3). As compared to the partition function Z_Φ in (3.32), the functional $Z_\Phi(I)$ in the presence of external sources $I_p(t)$ should depend on a choice of the gauge Boson Φ ; however, in view of the equivalence theorem [35], this dependence is highly structured, so that physical quantities cannot “feel” gauge dependence.

Using (3.11), (3.17) for functionals, and (3.19), (3.20) for the action $S_{H,\Phi}$, we have

$$S_{H,\Phi}(\check{\Gamma}) = S_{H,\Phi}(\Gamma) \left(1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2 \right) , \quad (4.1)$$

where the operators \overleftarrow{s}^a act in accordance with (3.34). Then, using (4.1) and (3.20), we obtain the formula

$$S_{H,\Phi}(\tilde{\Gamma}) = S_{H,\Phi}(\Gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \left[(\Gamma^p \partial_p \Omega^a - 2\Omega^a) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma^p s_a (\partial_p \Omega^a) \lambda^2 \right] \Big|_{t_{in}}^{t_{out}}. \quad (4.2)$$

In terms of \overleftarrow{s}^a , the functional Jacobian (3.28) has the form

$$\exp(\Im) = \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\int dt \Lambda(t) \right) \overleftarrow{s}^2 \right]^{-2}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let us subject (2.3) to a field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformation of trajectories (3.8). Then, the relation for Jacobian (4.3) and the property of gauge invariance for the action (3.19), (4.2), allow one to obtain a *modified Ward (Slavnov–Taylor) identity*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \left\{ 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \left(\overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a(\Lambda) + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2(\Lambda) \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int dt dt' I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \overleftarrow{s}^a I_q(t') \Gamma^q(t') \overleftarrow{s}_a \lambda^2(\Lambda) \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \times \left. \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\int dt \Lambda(t) \right] \overleftarrow{s}^2 \right\}^{-2} \right\rangle_{\Phi, I} = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where the symbol “ $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Phi, I}$ ” for any quantity $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ stands for the source-dependent average expectation value for a gauge $\Phi(\Gamma)$, namely,

$$\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Phi, I} = Z_{\Phi}^{-1}(I) \int d\Gamma \mathcal{O} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[S_{H,\Phi}(\Gamma) + \int dt I(t) \Gamma(t) \right] \right\}, \quad \text{with} \quad \langle 1 \rangle_{\Phi, I} = 1. \quad (4.5)$$

In (4.4), both $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ and $I_p(t)$ are arbitrary, so that, due to the explicit presence of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ [which implies $\lambda_a(\Lambda)$], the modified Ward identity implicitly depends on a choice of the gauge Boson function $\Phi(\Gamma)$ for non-vanishing $I_p(t)$, according to (3.36), (3.37). Thus, the corresponding Ward identities for Green’s functions obtained by differentiating (4.4) with respect to sources contain functionals $\lambda_a(\Lambda)$ and their derivatives [implicitly $\Phi(\Gamma)$] as weight functionals in comparison with the usual Ward identities for constant λ_a . Indeed, for $\lambda_a = \text{const}$ the identity (4.4) implies two independent Ward identities at the first degree in powers of λ_a ,

$$\left\langle \int dt I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \overleftarrow{s}^a \right\rangle_{\Phi, I} = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

which are identical with those of (2.11), as well as a new Ward identity at the degree in powers of λ_a ,

$$\left\langle \int dt I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \left\{ \overleftarrow{s}^2 - \overleftarrow{s}^a \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \int dt' I_q(t') (\Gamma^q(t') \overleftarrow{s}_a) \right\} \right\rangle_{\Phi, I} = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

After substitution instead of $\lambda_a(\Lambda)$ [and $\Lambda(\Gamma)$] in (4.4) its solution (3.37) [(3.36)] from compensation equation (3.31) we derive according to our study in Section 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\Phi+\Delta\Phi}(I) &= Z_{\Phi}(I) \left\{ 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt I_p(t) \left[(s^a \Gamma^p(t)) \lambda_a(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi) + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma^p(t)) \lambda^2(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - (-1)^{\varepsilon_q} \left(\frac{i}{2\hbar} \right)^2 \int dt dt' I_q(t') I_p(t) (s^a \Gamma^p(t)) (s_a \Gamma^q(t')) \lambda^2(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

extending the result (3.32) to non-vanishing external sources $I_p(t)$.

Let us now extend the generating functional $Z_{\Phi}(I)$ to extended generating functional of Green’s functions $Z_{\Phi}(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma})$ by adding to the action $S_{H,\Phi}$ new terms with external sources (antifields) $\Gamma_{pa}^*(t)$ for $a = 1, 2$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_p(t)$, $\varepsilon(\Gamma_{pa}^*) + 1 = \varepsilon(\bar{\Gamma}_p) = \varepsilon_p$, multiplied respectively on BRST-antiBRST variations $(s^a \Gamma^p)(t)$ and its commutator $(s^2 \Gamma^p)(t)$,

$$Z_{\Phi}(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) = \int d\Gamma \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[S_{H,\Phi}(\Gamma) + \int dt \left(\Gamma_{pa}^* s^a \Gamma^p - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Gamma}_p s^2 \Gamma^p + I\Gamma \right) \right] \right\}, \quad \text{for} \quad Z_{\Phi}(I, 0, 0) = Z_{\Phi}(I). \quad (4.9)$$

If we make in (4.9) a change of variables (trajectories) in the extended space of $(\Gamma^p, \Gamma_{pa}^*, \bar{\Gamma}_p)$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^p(t) &\rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p(t) = \Gamma^p(t) \left(1 + \overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2 \right), \\ \Gamma_{pa}^*(t) &\rightarrow \check{\Gamma}_{pa}^*(t) = \Gamma_{pa}^*(t), \\ \bar{\Gamma}_p(t) &\rightarrow \check{\bar{\Gamma}}_p(t) = \bar{\Gamma}_p(t) - \varepsilon^{ab} \lambda_a \Gamma_{pb}^*(t),\end{aligned}\tag{4.10}$$

for $I_p = 0$ with finite constant parameters λ_a , we find that the integrand in (4.9) is unchangeable, due to $\overleftarrow{s}_a \overleftarrow{s}_b \overleftarrow{s}_c \equiv 0$ and because of $\Delta(\Gamma_{pa}^* s^a \Gamma^p + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Gamma}_p s^2 \Gamma^p) = 0$, which means that the transformations (4.10) are *extended BRST-antiBRST transformations* for the functional $Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma_a^*, \bar{\Gamma})$.

Making in (4.9) a change of variables, which corresponds only to BRST-antiBRST transformations $\Gamma^p(t) \rightarrow \check{\Gamma}^p(t)$ with an arbitrary functional $\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt \Lambda(t) \overleftarrow{s}_a$ from (3.26), we obtain a *modified Ward identity* for $Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \left\{ 1 + \frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt \left[I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \left(\overleftarrow{s}^a \lambda_a(\Lambda) + \frac{1}{4} \overleftarrow{s}^2 \lambda^2(\Lambda) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \Gamma_{pb}^* (\Gamma^p \overleftarrow{s}^2) \lambda_a \right] + \frac{\varepsilon_{ab}}{4} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 \int dt [I_p \Gamma^p \overleftarrow{s}^a \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ac} \Gamma_{pc}^* (\Gamma^p \overleftarrow{s}^2) \right] \int dt' \left[I_q(\Gamma^q \overleftarrow{s}^b + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{bd} \Gamma_{qd}^* (\Gamma^q \overleftarrow{s}^2)) \right] \lambda^2(\Lambda) \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\int dt \Lambda(t) \right] \overleftarrow{s}^2 \right\}^{-2} \right\rangle_{\Phi, I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}} = 1,\end{aligned}\tag{4.11}$$

where the symbol “ $\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Phi, I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}}$ ” for any $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\Gamma)$ stands for a source-dependent average expectation value for a gauge $\Phi(\Gamma)$ in the presence of the antifields $\Gamma_{pa}^*, \bar{\Gamma}_p$, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle_{\Phi, I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}} &= Z_\Phi^{-1}(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) \int d\Gamma \mathcal{O} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[S_{H, \Phi}(\Gamma, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) + \int dt I(t) \Gamma(t) \right] \right\}, \\ \text{with } S_{H, \Phi}(\Gamma, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) &= S_{H, \Phi}(\Gamma) + \int dt \left(\Gamma_{pa}^* s^a \Gamma^p - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Gamma}_p s^2 \Gamma^p \right).\end{aligned}\tag{4.12}$$

We can see that (4.4) and (4.11) differ by definitions (4.5) and (4.12), as well as by the presence of the terms proportional to $\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \Gamma_{pb}^* (\Gamma^p \overleftarrow{s}^2)$ in the first and second orders in powers of λ_a , except for the Jacobian.

For constant parameters λ_a , we deduce from (4.11)

$$\left\langle \int dt \left[I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \overleftarrow{s}^a + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ab} \Gamma_{pb}^*(t) (\Gamma^p(t) \overleftarrow{s}^2) \right] \right\rangle_{\Phi, I, \Gamma_a^*, \bar{\Gamma}} = 0,\tag{4.13}$$

as well as a new Ward identity at the second degree in powers of λ_a :

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \int dt I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) \overleftarrow{s}^2 + \varepsilon_{ab} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right) \int dt \left[I_p \Gamma^p \overleftarrow{s}^a + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ac} \Gamma_{pc}^* (\Gamma^p \overleftarrow{s}^2) \right] (t) \right. \\ \left. \times \int dt' \left[I_q(\Gamma^q \overleftarrow{s}^b + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{bd} \Gamma_{qd}^* (\Gamma^q \overleftarrow{s}^2)) \right] (t') \right\rangle_{\Phi, I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

Identities (4.13) and (4.14) may be presented, respectively, as

$$\int dt \left[I_p(t) \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma_{pa}^*(t)} - \varepsilon^{ab} \Gamma_{pb}^* \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_p(t)} \right] \ln Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) = 0,\tag{4.15}$$

and, due to (4.15),

$$\varepsilon_{ab} \int dt dt' \left[I_p(t) \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma_{pa}^*(t)} - \varepsilon^{ac} \Gamma_{pc}^* \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_p(t)} \right] \left[I_q(t') \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma_{qb}^*(t')} - \varepsilon^{bd} \Gamma_{qd}^* \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_q(t')} \right] \ln Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) = 0,\tag{4.16}$$

which is a differential consequence of (4.15), which follows from applying to the latter the operators

$$\int dt' \left[I_q(t') \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma_{qb}^*(t')} - \varepsilon^{bd} \Gamma_{qd}^* \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_q(t')} \right].$$

Let us consider the functional $S(\Gamma, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma})$ being a functional Legendre transform of $\ln Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma})$ with respect to the sources $I_p(t)$:

$$\Gamma^p = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta I_p} \ln Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) , \quad (4.17)$$

$$S(\Gamma, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) = \frac{\hbar}{i} \ln Z_\Phi(I, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) - \int dt I_p(t) \Gamma^p(t) , \quad (4.18)$$

$$\text{where } I_p(t) = -S(\Gamma, \Gamma^*, \bar{\Gamma}) \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma^p(t)} . \quad (4.19)$$

From (4.15)–(4.19), we obtain for $S(\Gamma, \Gamma_a^*, \bar{\Gamma})$ an $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet of independent Ward identities

$$\frac{1}{2} (S, S)^a + V^a S = 0 , \quad (4.20)$$

in terms of the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublets of extended antibrackets and operators V^a known from the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -covariant Lagrangian quantization for gauge theories [14, 15]

$$(F, G)^a = \int dt F \left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma^p(t)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma_{pa}^*(t)} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma_{pa}^*(t)} \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \Gamma^p(t)} \right) G , \quad V^a = \varepsilon^{ab} \int dt \Gamma_{pb}^*(t) \frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta \bar{\Gamma}_p(t)} . \quad (4.21)$$

5 Relating Different Hamiltonian Gauges in Yang–Mills Theories

In this section, we examine the Yang–Mills theory, given by the Lagrangian action

$$S_0(A) = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^D x F_{\mu\nu}^u F^{u\mu\nu} , \quad \text{for } F_{\mu\nu}^u = \partial_\mu A_\nu^u - \partial_\nu A_\mu^u + f^{uvw} A_\mu^w A_\nu^v , \quad (5.1)$$

with the Lorentz indices $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$, the metric tensor $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-, +, \dots, +)$, and the totally antisymmetric $su(N)$ structure constants f^{uvw} for $u, v, w = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$.

Let us consider the given gauge theory in the BRST-antiBRST generalized Hamiltonian quantization [11, 12]. To this end, note that the corresponding dynamical system is described in the initial phase space $\eta [x^\mu = (t, \mathbf{x}), t = x^0, \mathbf{x} = (x^1, \dots, x^{D-1})]$, with the spatial indices being denoted as k, l : $\mu = (0, k)$

$$\eta = (p_i, q^i) = (\Pi_k^u, A^{uk}) , \quad i = (k, u, \mathbf{x})$$

by the classical Hamiltonian $H_0(\eta)$

$$H_0 = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Pi_k^u \Pi^{uk} + \frac{1}{4} F_{kl}^u F^{ukl} \right) \quad (5.2)$$

and by the set of linearly-independent constraints $T_\alpha(\eta)$, $\alpha = (u, \mathbf{x})$,

$$T_\alpha \equiv T^u = D_k^{uv} \Pi^{vk} , \quad D_k^{uv} = \delta^{uv} \partial_k + f^{uvw} A_k^w , \quad (5.3)$$

with the following involution relations:

$$\{T^u(t), H_0(t)\} = 0 , \quad \{T^u(t, \mathbf{x}), T^v(t, \mathbf{y})\} = \int d\mathbf{z} f^{uvw} T^w(t, \mathbf{z}) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}) . \quad (5.4)$$

Hence, the structure coefficients $V_\alpha^\beta, U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ arising in (2.2) are given by $[\alpha = (u, \mathbf{x}), \beta = (v, \mathbf{y}), \gamma = (w, \mathbf{z})]$

$$V_\alpha^\beta = 0 , \quad U_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \equiv U^{uvw} = f^{uvw} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{z}) .$$

The extended phase space Γ of the given irreducible dynamical system has the form

$$\Gamma = (P_A, Q^A) = (\Pi_k^u, A^{uk}, \mathcal{P}_a^u, C^{ua}, \lambda^u, \pi^u) ,$$

where the Grassmann parity and the ghost number of the variables Γ read as follows:

$$\varepsilon(\Gamma) = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0) , \quad \text{gh}(\Gamma) = (0, 0, (-1)^a, (-1)^{a+1}, 0, 0) .$$

The explicit form of the structure coefficients and of the extended phase space Γ allow one to construct explicit solutions [36] to the generating equations (2.5) with the boundary conditions (2.6) for the functions \mathcal{H} , Ω^a , namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= H_0 , \\ \Omega^a &= \int d\mathbf{x} \left(C^{ua} D_k^{uv} \Pi^{vk} + \varepsilon^{ab} \mathcal{P}_b^u \pi^u + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_b^w f^{wvu} C^{ua} C^{vb} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^w f^{wvu} C^{ua} \pi^v - \frac{1}{12} \lambda^w f^{wvu} f^{uts} C^{sa} C^{tb} C^{vc} \varepsilon_{bc} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Using (5.5), let us consider the generating functional of Green's functions $Z(I)$, given by (2.3). To do so, we choose the following Bosonic gauge function Φ in relation (2.4) for the unitarizing Hamiltonian H_Φ :

$$\Phi = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{\alpha}{2} A_k^u A^{uk} + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \lambda^u \lambda^u - \frac{\beta}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} C^{ua} C^{ub} \right) . \quad (5.6)$$

The related unitarizing Hamiltonian H_Φ in (2.12) has the form

$$H_\Phi(t) = \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Pi_k^u \Pi^{uk} + \frac{1}{4} F_{kl}^u F^{ukl} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \{ \Phi, \Omega^a \}, \Omega^b \} ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \{ \Phi, \Omega^a \}, \Omega^b \} &= \int d\mathbf{x} \left[-\alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} C^{ub} D_k^{uv} (\partial^k C^{ua}) + \partial_k A^{uk} \pi^u \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left(\varepsilon^{ab} \mathcal{P}_a^u \mathcal{P}_b^u + 2\lambda^u \mathcal{P}_a^v f^{vu} C^{ua} - 2\lambda^u D_k^{uv} \Pi^{vk} - \frac{1}{4} \lambda^u \lambda^v f^{vtw} f^{wsu} C^{sc} C^{td} \varepsilon_{dc} \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \beta \left(\pi^u \pi^u - \frac{1}{24} f^{vu} f^{wts} C^{sa} C^{tc} C^{ub} C^{vd} \varepsilon_{ab} \varepsilon_{cd} \right) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Integrating in the functional integral (2.3) over the momenta Π_k^u , \mathcal{P}_a^u and assuming the corresponding sources to be equal to zero, we obtain, with allowance made for the notation [36]

$$A_0^u \equiv \alpha^{-1} \lambda^u , \quad B^u \equiv \pi^u , \quad (5.8)$$

the following representation for the generating functional of Green's functions (2.3) in the space of the fields $\phi^A = (A^{u\mu}, B^u, C^{ua})$:

$$Z(J) = \int d\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} [S_0(\phi) + S_{\text{gf}}(A, B) + S_{\text{gh}}(A, C) + S_{\text{add}}(C)] + J_A \phi^A \right\} , \quad (5.9)$$

where the gauge-fixing term S_{gf} , the ghost term S_{gh} , and the interaction term S_{add} , quartic in C^{ua} , are given by

$$S_{\text{gf}} = \int d^D x \left[\alpha (\partial^\mu A_\mu^u) - \beta B^u \right] B^u , \quad S_{\text{gh}} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int d^D x \left(\partial^\mu C^{ua} \right) D_\mu^{uv} C^{vb} \varepsilon_{ab} , \quad (5.10)$$

$$S_{\text{add}} = \frac{\beta}{24} \int d^D x \quad f^{vu} f^{wts} C^{sa} C^{tc} C^{ub} C^{vd} \varepsilon_{ab} \varepsilon_{cd} , \quad (5.11)$$

which differs from the result of [36], corresponding to the choice $\beta = 0$ in (5.6), by the presence of the term quadratic in B^u and the term quartic in C^{ua} .

Let us examine the choice of α, β leading to R_ξ -like gauges. Namely, in view of the contribution S_{gf}

$$S_{\text{gf}} = \int d^D x \left[\alpha (\partial^u A_\mu^u) - \beta B^u \right] B^u, \quad (5.12)$$

we impose the conditions

$$\alpha = 1, \quad \beta = -\frac{\xi}{2}. \quad (5.13)$$

Thus, the gauge-fixing function $\Phi_{(\xi)} = \Phi_{(\xi)}(\Gamma)$ corresponding to an R_ξ -like gauge can be chosen as

$$\Phi_{(\xi)} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-A_k^u A^{uk} + \lambda^u \lambda^u + \frac{\xi}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} C^{ua} C^{ub} \right), \quad \text{so that} \quad (5.14)$$

$$\Phi_{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} (-A_k^u A^{uk} + \lambda^u \lambda^u) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left(-A_k^u A^{uk} + \lambda^u \lambda^u + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} C^{ua} C^{ub} \right), \quad (5.15)$$

where the gauge-fixing function $\Phi_{(0)}$ induces the contribution $S_{\text{gf}}(A, B)$ to the quantum action that arises in the case of the Landau gauge $\partial^\mu A_\mu^u = 0$ for $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$ in (5.12), whereas the function $\Phi_{(1)}(A, C)$ corresponds to the Feynman (covariant) gauge $\partial^\mu A_\mu^u + (1/2) B^u = 0$ for $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, -1/2)$ in (5.12).

Let us find the parameters $\lambda_a = \int dt s_a \Lambda$ of a finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformation that connects an R_ξ gauge with an $R_{\xi+\Delta\xi}$ gauge:

$$\Delta\Phi_{(\xi)} = \Phi_{(\xi+\Delta\xi)} - \Phi_{(\xi)} = \frac{\Delta\xi}{4} \varepsilon_{ab} \int d\mathbf{x} C^{ua} C^{ub}. \quad (5.16)$$

Choosing the solution (3.36) of the compensation equation (3.31) according to the choice $\Delta\Phi = -\Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}$, we have

$$\Lambda(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}) = -\frac{1}{2i\hbar} g(y) \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}, \quad g(y) = [1 - \exp(y)]/y, \quad y(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}) = -\frac{1}{4i\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt \{ \{ \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}, \Omega^a \}, \Omega^b \}. \quad (5.17)$$

According to (5.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \{ \{ \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}, \Omega^a \}, \Omega^b \} = -\frac{\Delta\xi}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left(\pi^u \pi^u - \frac{1}{24} f^{uvw} f^{wts} C^{sa} C^{tc} C^{ub} C^{vd} \varepsilon_{ab} \varepsilon_{cd} \right), \quad (5.18)$$

which implies

$$y(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}) = \frac{\Delta\xi}{2i\hbar} \int d^D x \left(\pi^u \pi^u - \frac{1}{24} f^{uvw} f^{wts} C^{sa} C^{tc} C^{ub} C^{vd} \varepsilon_{ab} \varepsilon_{cd} \right), \quad (5.19)$$

and, due to (3.37), (5.16), (5.5) the corresponding parameters $\lambda_a(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)})$ have the form

$$\lambda_a(\Gamma| - \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}) = -\frac{1}{2i\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} g(y) \int dt \{ \Delta\Phi_{(\xi)}, \Omega^b \} = \frac{\Delta\xi}{4i\hbar} \varepsilon_{ab} g(y) \int d^D x \pi^u C^{ub} \quad (5.20)$$

and generate the transition from an R_ξ -like gauge to another $R_{\xi+\Delta\xi}$ -like gauge corresponding to $\xi + \Delta\xi$.

6 Conclusion

In the present work, we have proposed the concept of finite BRST-antiBRST transformations for phase-space variables and trajectories in the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -covariant generalized Hamiltonian quantization [11, 12]. This concept is realized in the form (3.7), (3.8), being polynomial in powers of a constant $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet of anticommuting Grassmann parameters λ_a and leaving the integrand in the partition function for dynamical systems subject to first-class constraints invariant

to all orders of a constant doublet λ_a . We have established the fact that the finite BRST-antiBRST transformations with constant λ_a are canonical transformations. In a special case of functionally-dependent functionals λ_a , we derive modified Ward identities (4.4) depending on λ_a and thus on the variation of the gauge Boson, which implies the Ward identities for Green's functions with an additional weight functions constructed from λ_a , and allows one to study the problem of study gauge dependence (4.8), as well as to deduce the standard Ward identities for constant λ_a .

We have introduced the finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations as polynomials in the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet of Grassmann-odd functionals $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$, depending on the entire set of phase-space variables for an arbitrary constrained dynamical system in the $\text{Sp}(2)$ -covariant generalized Hamiltonian quantization. We have also calculated the Jacobian (3.27), (3.28) corresponding to this change of variables, by using a special class of transformations with functionally-dependent parameters $\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma)$ for a Grassmann-even function $\Lambda(\phi)$ and Grassmann-odd generators s_a of BRST-antiBRST transformations in Hamiltonian formalism.

In comparison with finite field-dependent BRST-BFV transformations [26] in generalized Hamiltonian formalism [24, 25], in which a change of the gauge corresponds to a unique field-dependent parameter (up to BRST-exact terms), it is only functionally-dependent finite BRST-antiBRST transformations with $\lambda_a = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma(t)|\Delta\Phi)$ that are in one-to-one correspondence with ΔF . We have found (3.36) a solution $\Lambda(\Delta\Phi)$ to the compensation equation (3.31) for an unknown function Λ generating an $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet λ_a in (3.37), in order to establish a relation of the integrand in the partition function Z_Φ with the action $S_{H,\Phi}$ in a certain gauge, determined by a gauge Boson Φ , with the action $S_{H,\Phi+\Delta\Phi}$ induced by a different gauge $\Phi + \Delta\Phi$. This makes it possible to investigate the problem of gauge-dependence for the generating functional $Z_\Phi(I)$ under a finite change of the gauge in the form (4.8), leading to the gauge-independence of the physical S -matrix.

In terms of the potential Λ , inducing finite field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations, we have explicitly constructed (5.20) the parameters λ_a generating a change of the gauge in the path integral for Yang-Mills theories within a class of linear R_ξ -like gauges in Hamiltonian formalism related to even-valued gauge-fixing functions $\Phi_{(\xi)}$, with $\xi = 0, 1$ corresponding to the Landau and Feynman (covariant) gauges in Hamiltonian formalism, respectively.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the RFBR grant under Project No. 12-02-000121 and by the grant of Leading Scientific Schools of the Russian Federation under Project No. 224.2012.2.

Appendix

A Calculation of Jacobians

In this Appendix, we present the calculation of the Jacobian (3.23), (3.24) induced in the functional integral (2.3) by finite BRST-antiBRST transformations of phase-space trajectories (3.8) with an $\text{Sp}(2)$ -doublet λ_a of anticommuting parameters, considered in the case $\lambda_a = \text{const}$ and in the case of functionals $\lambda_a(\Gamma)$ of a special form, $\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma)$. To this end, let us choose the parameters of (3.8) in the most general form $\lambda_a = \lambda_a(\Gamma)$ and consider the even matrix M in (3.24) with the elements $M_q^p(t'|t'') \equiv M_{q|t',t''}^p$, $\varepsilon(M_{q|t',t''}^p) = \varepsilon_p + \varepsilon_q$,

$$M_{q|t',t''}^p = \frac{\delta(\Delta\Gamma_{t''}^p)}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} = U_{q|t',t''}^p + V_{q|t',t''}^p + W_{q|t',t''}^p, \quad V_{q|t',t''}^p = (V_1)_{q|t',t''}^p + (V_2)_{q|t',t''}^p, \quad (A.1)$$

$$U_{q|t',t''}^p = X_{t'}^{pa} \frac{\delta\lambda_a}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q}, \quad (V_1)_{q|t',t''}^p = \lambda_a \frac{\delta X_{t'}^{pa}}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_p+1}, \quad (V_2)_{q|t',t''}^p = \lambda_a Y_{t'}^p \frac{\delta\lambda^a}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_p+1}, \quad W_{q|t',t''}^p = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 \frac{\delta Y_{t'}^p}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q},$$

where the functions $X_t^{pa} = X^{pa}(\Gamma(t))$ and $Y_t^p = Y^p(\Gamma(t))$ are given by

$$X_t^{pa} = (s^a \Gamma^{pa})_t, \quad Y_t^p = -\frac{1}{2} (s^2 \Gamma^p)_t = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{Bb}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and possess the properties

$$\int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qb} = \varepsilon^{ab} Y_t^p, \quad \int dt' \frac{\delta Y_t^p}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qa} = 0, \quad \int dt \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_t^p} = 0. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Indeed, due to the anticommutativity, $s^a s^b + s^b s^a = 0$, and nilpotency, $s^a s^b s^c = 0$, of s^a , we have

$$X_t^{pa} = (s^a \Gamma^{pa})_t, \quad Y_t^p = -\frac{1}{2} (s^2 \Gamma^p)_t = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{Bb}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and possess the properties

$$\int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qb} = \varepsilon^{ab} Y_t^p, \quad \int dt' \frac{\delta Y_t^p}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qa} = 0, \quad \int dt \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_t^p} = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Indeed, due to the anticommutativity, $s^a s^b + s^b s^a = 0$, and nilpotency, $s^a s^b s^c = 0$, of s^a , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qb} &= \int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} (s^b \Gamma^q)_{t'} = (s^a s^b \Gamma^p)_t = \varepsilon^{ab} Y_t^p, \\ \int dt' \frac{\delta Y_t^p}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qa} &= \int dt' \frac{\delta Y_t^p}{\delta \Gamma_{t'}^q} (s^a \Gamma^q)_{t'} = (s^a Y^p)_t = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{bc} s^a (s^b s^c \Gamma^p)_t = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

besides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{pa} &= \{\Gamma^p, \Omega^a\}_t, \quad \Gamma^p = (P_A, Q^A), \\ X_{A|t}^a &= \{P_A, \Omega^a\}_t = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A+1} \frac{\partial \Omega^a}{\partial Q^A} \Big|_t, \quad X_t^{Aa} = \{Q^A, \Omega^a\}_t = \frac{\partial \Omega^a}{\partial P_A} \Big|_t, \\ \int dt \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta \Gamma_t^p} &= \int dt \left[\frac{\delta X_A^a(t)}{\delta P_A(t)} + \frac{\delta X^{Aa}(t)}{\delta Q^A(t)} \right] = \delta(0) \int dt \left[(-1)^{\varepsilon_A+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_A} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega^a}{\partial Q^A} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^A} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega^a}{\partial P_A} \right) \right]_t \\ &= \delta(0) \int dt \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^A} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega^a}{\partial P_A} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^A} \left(\frac{\partial \Omega^a}{\partial P_A} \right) \right]_t \equiv 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Recall that the Jacobian $\exp(\mathfrak{S})$ induced by the finite BRST-antiBRST transformation (3.8) with the corresponding matrix M in (A.1) is given by (3.24), namely,

$$\mathfrak{S} = \text{Str} \ln(\mathbb{I} + M) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \text{Str}(M^n), \quad \text{Str}(M^n) = (-1)^{\varepsilon_p} \int dt (M^n)_p^p(t, t). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

In order to calculate the Jacobian explicitly in the cases $\lambda_a = \text{const}$ and $\lambda_a = \int dt s_a \Lambda$, it is sufficient to use the above properties (A.5), the identities $\lambda_a \lambda^2 = \lambda^4 \equiv 0$, the definitions

$$(AB)_{q|t', t''}^p = \int dt (A)_{r|t', t}^p (B)_{q|t, t''}^r, \quad \text{Str}(A) = \int dt (A)_{p|t, t}^p \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and the property of supertrace

$$\text{Str}(AB) = \text{Str}(BA),$$

which takes place for any even matrices A, B . In this setting, the task of calculation is formally identical with the one carried out in our previous work [21] that deals with the calculation of Jacobians induced by finite BRST-antiBRST transformations in the Langrangian approach to the Yang–Mills type of theories. Since the corresponding reasonings

and results of [21] in the Lagrangian formalism can be literally reproduced in the Hamiltonian formalism of the present work, we present them briefly in the table below:

Hamiltonian formalism

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_t^p, \Delta\Gamma_t^p = (s^a \Gamma_t^p) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \Gamma_t^p) \lambda^2 \\
& \frac{\delta(\Delta\Gamma_{t'}^p)}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} = M_{q|t',t''}^p \\
& s^a \Gamma_t^p = X_t^{pa}, Y_t^p = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta\Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{Bb} \\
& \int dt' \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta\Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qb} = \varepsilon^{ab} Y_t^p, \int dt' \frac{\delta Y_t^p}{\delta\Gamma_{t'}^q} X_{t'}^{qa} = \int dt \frac{\delta X_t^{pa}}{\delta\Gamma_t^p} = 0 \\
& M_{q|t',t''}^p = U_{q|t',t''}^p + V_{q|t',t''}^p + W_{q|t',t''}^p \\
& V_{q|t',t''}^p = (V_1)_{q|t',t''}^p + (V_2)_{q|t',t''}^p \\
& (V_1)_{q|t',t''}^p = \lambda_a \frac{\delta X_{t'}^{pa}}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_p+1} \\
& (V_2)_{q|t',t''}^p = \lambda_a Y_{t'}^p \frac{\delta \lambda^a}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} (-1)^{\varepsilon_p+1} \\
& U_{q|t',t''}^p = X_{t'}^{pa} \frac{\delta \lambda_a}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q}, W_{q|t',t''}^p = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \frac{\delta Y_{t'}^p}{\delta\Gamma_{t''}^q} \\
& \text{Str}(V_1) = \text{Str}(UW) = 0, \text{Str}(V_2) = 2\text{Str}(W) \\
& \lambda_a = \text{const} : U = V_2 = 0, \Im = 0 \\
& \lambda_a = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma(t)) : \\
& U^2 = f \cdot U, VU = (1+f) \cdot V_2, f = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Str}(U) \\
& \int dt \frac{\delta \lambda_b}{\delta\Gamma_t^p} X_t^{pa} = s^a \lambda_b = \delta_b^a f, f = \frac{1}{2} s^a \lambda_a = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt (s^2 \Lambda)(t) \\
& \Im = -2\ln(1+f)
\end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian formalism

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi^A, \Delta\phi^A = (s^a \phi^A) \lambda_a + \frac{1}{4} (s^2 \phi^A) \lambda^2, A = (p, t) \\
& \frac{\delta(\Delta\phi^A)}{\delta\phi^B} = M_B^A, A = (p, t'), B = (q, t'') \\
& s^a \phi^A = X^{Aa}, Y^A = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ab} \frac{\delta X^{Aa}}{\delta\phi^B} X^{Bb} \\
& \frac{\delta X^{Aa}}{\delta\phi^B} X^{Bb} = \varepsilon^{ab} Y^A, \frac{\delta Y^A}{\delta\phi^B} X^{Bb} = \frac{\delta X^{Aa}}{\delta\phi^A} = 0 \\
& M_B^A = P_B^A + Q_B^A + R_B^A \\
& Q_B^A = (Q_1)_B^A + (Q_2)_B^A \\
& (Q_1)_B^A = \lambda_a \frac{\delta X^{Aa}}{\delta\phi^B} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A+1} \\
& (Q_2)_B^A = \lambda_a Y^A \frac{\delta \lambda^a}{\delta\phi^B} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A+1} \\
& P_B^A = X^{Aa} \frac{\delta \lambda_a}{\delta\phi^B}, R_B^A = -\frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \frac{\delta Y^A}{\delta\phi^B} \\
& \text{Str}(Q_1) = \text{Str}(PR) = 0, \text{Str}(Q_2) = 2\text{Str}(R) \\
& \lambda_a = \text{const} : P = Q_2 = 0, \Im = 0 \\
& \lambda_a = s_a \Lambda(\phi) : \\
& P^2 = f \cdot P, QP = (1+f) \cdot Q_2, f = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Str}(P) \\
& \frac{\delta \lambda_b}{\delta\phi^A} X^{Aa} = s^a \lambda_b = \delta_b^a f, f = \frac{1}{2} s^a \lambda_a = -\frac{1}{2} s^2 \Lambda \\
& \Im = -2\ln(1+f)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Jacobians $\exp(\Im)$ corresponding to the cases $\lambda_a = \text{const}$ and $\lambda_a = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma(t))$ are given by

$$\lambda_a = \text{const} : \Im = 0, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\lambda_a(\Gamma) = \int dt s_a \Lambda(\Gamma(t)) : \Im = -2\ln(1+f), \quad f = -\frac{1}{2} \int dt (s^2 \Lambda)_t. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

References

- [1] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems*, Princeton University Press, 1992.
- [2] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. II*, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [3] D.M. Gitman and I.V. Tyutin, *Quantization of Fields with Constraints*, Springer, 1990.
- [4] L.D. Faddeev and A.A. Slavnov, *Gauge Fields, Introduction to Quantum Theory*, second ed., Benjamin, Reading, 1990.

- [5] C. Becchi, A. Rouet and R. Stora, *The Abelian Higgs-Kibble, unitarity of the S-operator*, Phys. Lett. B52 (1974) 344; *Renormalization of Gauge Theories*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 98 (1976) 287.
- [6] I.V. Tyutin, *Gauge invariance in field theory and statistical mechanics*, Lebedev Inst. preprint No. 39 (1975) [arXiv:0812.0580[hep-th]].
- [7] E.S. Fradkin and G.A. Vilkovisky, *Quantization of relativistic systems with constraints*, Phys. Lett. B55 (1975) 224;
I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, *Relativistic S-matrix of dynamical systems with boson and fermion constraints*, Phys. Lett. B69 (1977) 309.
- [8] G. Curci and R. Ferrari, *Slavnov transformation and supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. B63 (1976) 91;
I. Ojima, *Another BRS transformation*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 64 (1980) 625.
- [9] L. Alvarez-Gaume and L. Baulieu, *The two quantum symmetries associated with a classical symmetry*, Nucl. Phys. B212 (1983) 255.
- [10] S. Hwang, *Properties of the anti-BRS symmetry in a general framework*, Nucl. Phys. B231 (1984) 386.
- [11] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *Extended BRST quantization of gauge theories in generalized canonical formalism*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 6.
- [12] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *An $Sp(2)$ -covariant version of generalized canonical quantization of dynamical systems with linearly dependent constraints*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 2708.
- [13] P. Gregoire and M. Henneaux, *Hamiltonian BRST-anti-BRST theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. 157 (1993) 279.
- [14] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *Covariant quantization of gauge theories in the framework of extended BRST symmetry*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 1487.
- [15] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *An $Sp(2)$ -covariant quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 532.
- [16] C.M. Hull, *The BRST-anti-BRST invariant quantization of general gauge theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1871.
- [17] L.D. Faddeev and V.N. Popov, *Feynman diagrams for the Yang-Mills field*, Phys. Lett. B25 (1967) 29.
- [18] P.Yu. Moshin and A.A. Reshetnyak, *Field-dependent BRST-antiBRST Transformations in Yang-Mills and Gribov-Zwanziger Theories*, arXiv:1405.0790 [hep-th].
- [19] S.D. Joglekar and B.P. Mandal, *Finite field dependent BRS transformations*, Phys. Rev. D51 (1995) 1919.
- [20] S.K. Rai and B.P. Mandal, *Finite nilpotent BRST transformations in Hamiltonian formalism*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 52 (2013) 3512, arXiv:1204.5365[hep-th].
- [21] P.Yu. Moshin and A.A. Reshetnyak, *Field-dependent BRST-antiBRST transformations in Yang-Mills and Gribov-Zwanziger theories*, arXiv:1405.0790[hep-th].
- [22] V.N. Gribov, *Quantization of nonabelian gauge theories*, Nucl. Phys. B139 (1978) 1.
- [23] D. Zwanziger, *Action from the Gribov horizon*, Nucl. Phys. B321 (1989) 591;
Local and renormalizable action from the Gribov horizon, Nucl. Phys. B323 (1989) 513.

- [24] I.A. Batalin and E.S. Fradkin, *A generalized canonical formalism and quantization of reducible gauge theories*, Phys. Lett. B122 (1983) 157.
- [25] M. Henneaux, *Hamiltonian form of the path integral for theories with a gauge freedom*, Phys. Rep. 126 (1985) 1.
- [26] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BFV Transformations in generalized Hamiltonian formalism*, arXiv:1404.4154[hep-th].
- [27] A. Reshetnyak, *On gauge independence for gauge models with soft breaking of BRST Symmetry*, arXiv:1312.2092[hep-th].
- [28] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov, I.V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BV transformations in field-antifield formalism*, arXiv:1405.2621[hep-th].
- [29] I.A. Batalin and G.A. Vilkovisky, *Gauge algebra and quantization*, Phys. Lett. B102 (1981) 27; *Quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, Phys. Rev. D28 (1983) 2567.
- [30] P. Lavrov and O. Lechtenfeld, *Field-dependent BRST transformations in Yang–Mills theory*, Phys. Lett. B725 (2013) 382–385, arXiv:1305.0712[hep-th].
- [31] P. Lavrov, O. Lechtenfeld and A. Reshetnyak, *Is soft breaking of BRST symmetry consistent?*, JHEP 1110 (2011) 043, arXiv:1108.4820 [hep-th].
- [32] P. Lavrov and O. Lechtenfeld, *Gribov horizon beyond the Landau gauge*, Phys. Lett. B725 (2013) 386, arXiv:1305.2931[hep-th].
- [33] A. Reshetnyak, *On composite fields approach to Gribov copies elimination in Yang–Mills theories*, arXiv:1402.3060[hep-th].
- [34] B.S. de Witt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields* (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1965).
- [35] R.E. Kallosh and I.V. Tyutin, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 17 (1973) 98;
I.V. Tyutin, *Once again on the equivalence theorem*, hep-th/0001050.
- [36] P.M. Lavrov, P.Yu. Moshin and A.A. Reshetnyak, *Irreducible gauge theories in the framework of the $Sp(2)$ -covariant quantization method*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A11 (1996) 3097, hep-th/9503197.