

Locally finite quasivarieties of MV-algebras.*

JOAN GISPERT AND ANTONI TORRENS

Abstract

In this paper we show that every locally finite quasivariety of MV-algebras is finitely generated and finitely based. To see this result we study critical MV-algebras. We also give axiomatizations of some of these quasivarieties.

Keywords: MV-algebras, critical algebras, quasivarieties, locally finite quasivarieties

Introduction

In [4, 5] C.C.Chang introduced MV-algebras in order to give an algebraic counterpart of the Łukasiewicz's many valued propositional calculus. In fact, the class of all MV-algebras, in a termwise equivalent presentation named Wajsberg algebras, is the equivalent variety semantics, in the sense of [2], of this calculus (see [17]).

From the equivalence between the class of MV-algebras and Łukasiewicz logic, it is easy to see that finitary extensions of Łukasiewicz's propositional calculus correspond to subquasivarieties of MV-algebras, and axioms and rules of the calculus correspond with equations and quasiequations, respectively. Hence, finite axiomatizable finitary extensions of Łukasiewicz's propositional calculus correspond with finite axiomatizable quasivarieties of MV-algebras.

In this paper, we study finite axiomatizability of locally finite quasivarieties of MV-algebras. To be precise, we show in Section 2 that locally finite quasivarieties and finitely generated quasivarieties of MV-algebras coincide (Theorem 2.7) and that they are finitely axiomatizable (Theorem 2.10). To prove these results, we give a characterization of critical MV-algebras (Theorem 2.5) and we see that any locally finite quasivariety of MV-algebras is generated by critical MV-algebras (Theorem 2.3).

Finally, in Section 3, we give two examples of locally finite quasivarieties with their finite axiomatizations.

We include a preliminary section, Section 1, containing basic definitions, results and notation used in the paper.

*This work is partially supported by Grants SGR/2000-0007 of D.G.R. of Generalitat de Catalunya and by Grant PB97-0888 of D.G.I.C.Y.T. of Spain.

1 Definitions and first properties.

An **MV-algebra** is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$ of type $(2, 1, 0)$ satisfying the following equations:

$$\mathbf{MV1.} \quad (x \oplus y) \oplus z \approx x \oplus (y \oplus z)$$

$$\mathbf{MV2.} \quad x \oplus y \approx y \oplus x$$

$$\mathbf{MV3.} \quad x \oplus 0 \approx x$$

$$\mathbf{MV4.} \quad \neg(\neg x) \approx x$$

$$\mathbf{MV5.} \quad x \oplus \neg 0 \approx \neg 0$$

$$\mathbf{MV6.} \quad \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y \approx \neg(x \oplus \neg y) \oplus x$$

By taking $y = \neg 0$ in MV6, we deduce:

$$\mathbf{MV7.} \quad x \oplus \neg x \approx \neg 0.$$

More precisely, if we set $1 = \neg 0$ and $x \odot y = \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$, then $\langle A, \oplus, \odot, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$ satisfies all axioms given in [14, Lemma 2.6], and hence the above definition of MV-algebras is equivalent to Chang's definition [4]. We denote the class of all MV-algebras by \mathbb{W} . Since it is an equational class, \mathbb{W} is a variety.

Given a *lattice ordered abelian group* $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, \wedge, \vee, +, -, 0 \rangle$ and $u \in G \quad u > 0$, we define the algebra $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}, u) = \langle [0, u], \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$ where

- $[0, u] = \{a \in G : 0 \leq a \leq u\}$,
- $a \oplus b = u \wedge (a + b)$, $\neg a = u - a$ and $0 = 0^{\mathbf{G}}$.

Then $\Gamma(\mathbf{G}, u)$ is an MV-algebra. In fact any MV-algebra is isomorphic to the unit segment of some lattice ordered abelian group. Concretely, the category of MV-algebras is equivalent to the category of lattice ordered abelian groups with strong unit (See [6],[14]).

The following MV-algebras play an important role in the paper.

- $[0, 1] = \Gamma(\mathbf{R}, 1)$, where \mathbf{R} is the totally ordered group of the reals.
- $[0, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q} = \Gamma(\mathbf{Q}, 1) = \langle \{\frac{k}{m} : k \leq m < \omega\}, \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$, where \mathbf{Q} is the totally ordered abelian group of the rationals and ω represents the set of all natural numbers.

For every $0 < n < \omega$

- $\mathbf{L}_n = \Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_n, 1) = \langle \{\frac{k}{n} : 0 \leq k \leq n\}, \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$, where $\mathbf{Q}_n = \{\frac{k}{n} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a subgroup of \mathbf{Q} and \mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers.

- $L_n^\omega = \Gamma(\mathbf{Q}_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}, (1, 0)) = \langle \{(\frac{k}{n}, i) : (0, 0) \leq (\frac{k}{n}, i) \leq (1, 0)\}, \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$, where \mathbf{Z} is the totally ordered group of the integers and $\mathbf{Q}_n \otimes \mathbf{Z}$ is the lexicographic product of \mathbf{Q}_n and \mathbf{Z} .

The following theorem states some well-known results on simple and/or finite MV-algebras. (See for instance [6]).

Theorem 1.1

1. Every simple MV-algebra is isomorphic to a subalgebra of $[0, 1]$.
2. Every finite simple MV-algebra is isomorphic to L_n for some $n \in \omega$.
3. Every finite MV-algebra is isomorphic to a direct product of finite simple MV-algebras.
4. $L_n \subseteq L_m$ if and only if $n|m$. □

We denote by \mathbb{I} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{S} , \mathbb{P} , \mathbb{P}_R and \mathbb{P}_U the operators *isomorphic image*, *homomorphic image*, *substructure*, *direct product*, *reduced product* and *ultraproduct* respectively. We recall that a class \mathbb{K} of algebras is a **variety** if and only if it is closed under \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{P} . And a class \mathbb{K} of algebras is a **quasivariety** if and only if it is closed under \mathbb{I} , \mathbb{S} and \mathbb{P}_R , or equivalently, under \mathbb{I} , \mathbb{S} , \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{P}_U . Given a class \mathbb{K} of algebras, the variety generated by \mathbb{K} , denoted by $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})$, is the least variety containing \mathbb{K} . Similarly, the quasivariety generated by a class \mathbb{K} , which we denote by $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{K})$, is the least quasivariety containing \mathbb{K} . We also recall that a class \mathbb{K} of algebras is a variety if and only if it is an equational class, and \mathbb{K} is a quasivariety if and only if it is a quasiequational class.

The subvarieties of \mathbb{W} are well known:

Theorem 1.2 [13, Theorem 4.11] *\mathbb{K} is a proper subvariety of \mathbb{W} if and only if there exist two disjoint finite subsets I, J of natural numbers such that*

$$\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{V}(L_i \ i \in I, L_j^\omega \ j \in J). \quad \square$$

An algebra \mathbf{A} is **locally finite** if and only if every finitely generated subalgebra is finite. A class \mathbb{K} is **locally finite** if and only if every member of \mathbb{K} is locally finite.

If \mathbb{V} is a variety, then \mathbb{V} is locally finite if and only if all free algebras with respect \mathbb{V} over a finite set of generators are finite [3, page 69].

If \mathbb{K} is a quasivariety, then \mathbb{K} is locally finite if and only if \mathbb{K} is contained in a locally finite variety.

A variety, or a quasivariety, is **finitely generated** if it is generated by a finite set of finite algebras.

Theorem 1.3 [3, page 70] *Every finitely generated variety is locally finite.* \square

From the above we have:

Lemma 1.4 *Let \mathbb{K} be a variety of MV-algebras. \mathbb{K} is a locally finite variety if and only if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{V}(L_{n_1}, \dots, L_{n_r})$ for some $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \omega$*

Proof : By Theorem 1.3, for every $r < \omega$ and any $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \omega$, $\mathbb{V}(L_{n_1}, \dots, L_{n_r})$ is a locally finite variety.

Since $L_1^\omega \in \mathbb{W}$ is infinite and it is finitely generated by the element $(0, 1)$, \mathbb{W} is not locally finite.

Assume \mathbb{K} is a proper variety of \mathbb{W} . If \mathbb{K} is not of the form $\mathbb{V}(L_{n_1}, \dots, L_{n_r})$ for some $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \omega$, then by Theorem 1.2 we have that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{V}(L_i \ i \in I, L_j^\omega \ j \in J)$ with $J \neq \emptyset$. Hence there is $j \in J$ such that $L_j^\omega \in \mathbb{K}$. Since L_j^ω is infinite and it is finitely generated by $(0, 1), (\frac{1}{j}, 0) \in L_j^\omega$, L_j^ω is not locally finite and therefore, \mathbb{K} is not a locally finite variety. \square

2 Locally finite quasivarieties and critical algebras.

We want to obtain all locally finite quasivarieties of MV-algebras. First we observe that from Theorem 1.3 it follows.

Corollary 2.1 *Every finitely generated quasivariety is locally finite.* \square

And from Lemma 1.4 we obtain:

Corollary 2.2 *A quasivariety of MV-algebras is locally finite if and only if it is a subquasivariety of a variety of the form $\mathbb{V}(L_{n_1}, \dots, L_{n_r})$ for some $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \omega$.* \square

A **critical algebra** is a finite algebra not belonging to the quasivariety generated by all its proper subalgebras. The interest of critical algebras is given by the following result, which is mentioned in [10, page 128], but no proof is given.

Theorem 2.3 *Every locally finite quasivariety is generated by its critical algebras.*

Proof : Let \mathbb{K} be a locally finite quasivariety and $\{\mathbf{A}_i : i \in I\} \subseteq \mathbb{K}$ the family of all critical algebras contained in \mathbb{K} . Obviously, $\mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{A}_i : i \in I\}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}$. Assume $\bigotimes_{k=1}^m \varphi_k \approx \psi_k \Rightarrow \varphi \approx \psi(x_0, \dots, x_n)$ is a quasiequation not satisfied by \mathbb{K} . Therefore, there exist an algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K}$ and $a_0, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$\mathbf{A} \not\models \bigotimes_{k=1}^m \varphi_k \approx \psi_k \Rightarrow \varphi \approx \psi(a_0, \dots, a_n)$$

Let $\llbracket a_0, \dots, a_n \rrbracket_{\mathbf{A}}$ be the subalgebra of \mathbf{A} generated by $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$. Since \mathbb{K} is locally finite,

a) $\llbracket a_0 \dots, a_n \rrbracket_{\mathbf{A}}$ is finite

b) $\llbracket a_0 \dots, a_n \rrbracket_{\mathbf{A}} \not\equiv \bigotimes_{k=1}^m \varphi_k \approx \psi_k \Rightarrow \varphi \approx \psi$

It is easy to see that a quasivariety generated by a finite algebra \mathbf{B} is generated by all critical subalgebras of \mathbf{B} (The proof is straightforward by induction over the cardinal of \mathbf{B}). Therefore, from a) and b) we deduce that there is a critical algebra $\mathbf{A}_l \subseteq \llbracket a_0 \dots, a_n \rrbracket_{\mathbf{A}}$ such that

$$\mathbf{A}_l \not\equiv \bigotimes_{k=1}^m \varphi_k \approx \psi_k \Rightarrow \varphi \approx \psi$$

So, since $\mathbf{A}_l \in \{\mathbf{A}_i : i \in I\}$ and a quasivariety is a class of algebras definable by means of quasiequations, $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{A}_i : i \in I\})$ \square

Our next purpose is to characterize critical MV-algebras. We need a previous result.

Lemma 2.4 *If $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$ is embeddable into $\prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{L}_{m_j}$ where the set $\{m_j : j \in J\}$ is finite then*

1. *For every $i < l$ there exists $j \in J$ such that $n_i | m_j$.*
2. *For every $j \in J$ there exists $i < l$ such that $n_i | m_j$.*

Proof : 1) If $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$ is embeddable into $\prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{L}_{m_j}$, then

$$\mathbf{L}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_l} \in \mathbb{V}(\prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{L}_{m_j}) = \mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{L}_{m_j}; j \in J\}).$$

Hence, for every $i < l$, $\mathbf{L}_{n_i} \in \mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{L}_{m_j}; j \in J\})$. Since $\{m_j : j \in J\}$ is finite, from a result due to Jónsson [3, page 149], we deduce that the class subdirectly irreducible members of $\mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{L}_{m_j}; j \in J\})$ is $\mathbb{I}(\{\mathbf{L}_n : \exists j \in J \mathbf{L}_n \subseteq \mathbf{L}_{m_j}\})$. Since \mathbf{L}_{n_i} is simple, therefore subdirectly irreducible, for every $i < l$ there exists $j \in J$ such that $\mathbf{L}_{n_i} \subseteq \mathbf{L}_{m_j}$, and by 4 of Theorem 1.1 $n_i | m_j$.

2) For each $j \in J$ consider the natural projection: $\pi_j : \prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{L}_{m_j} \longrightarrow \mathbf{L}_{m_j}$. Let

$\gamma : \mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J} \mathbf{L}_{m_j}$ be an embedding, then for every $j \in J$ $\gamma_j = \pi_j \circ \gamma$

is an homomorphism from $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$ to \mathbf{L}_{m_j} . Hence

$$\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}} / \text{Ker}(\gamma_j) \cong \gamma_j(\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_{m_j}$$

So, $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}} / \text{Ker}(\gamma_j)$ is simple, and by [6, Theorem 4.1.19] we have that $\text{Ker}(\gamma_j)$ is a maximal congruence relation of $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$. From [7, Lemma 2.3]

(see also [16]) and the fact that all \mathbf{L}_n 's are simple, it can be deduced that there is $k < l$ such that

$$\text{Ker}(\gamma_j) = \mathbf{L}_{n_0}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}^2 \times \Delta_{\mathbf{L}_{n_k}} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k+1}}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}^2.$$

Hence, for every $j \in J$ there exists $k < l$ such that

$$\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}} / \text{Ker}(\gamma_j) \cong \mathbf{L}_{n_k} \subseteq \mathbf{L}_{m_j}.$$

Thus $n_k | m_j$. □

Finally we give a characterization of all critical MV-algebras.

Theorem 2.5 *An MV-algebra \mathbf{A} is critical if and only if \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to a finite MV-algebra $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *For every $i, j < l$, $i \neq j$ implies $n_i \neq n_j$.*
2. *If there exists n_j $j < l$ such that $n_i | n_j$ for some $i \neq j$, then n_j is unique.*

Proof : Assume that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$ satisfies conditions 1) and 2). First, we will show the following:

Claim: *Every proper subalgebra of \mathbf{A} is embeddable into a subalgebra of \mathbf{A} of the form $\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}}$, where $d_i | n_i$ for each $i < l$ and there exists $j < l$ such that $d_j \neq n_j$.*

Proof of the claim: Let \mathbf{B} be a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{A} . Since \mathbf{A} is finite \mathbf{B} is also finite and by Theorem 1.1, \mathbf{B} is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}_{p_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{p_{r-1}}$. For each $i < l$ consider the natural projection: $\pi_i : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{n_i}$, if for all $i < l$, we write $\gamma_i = \pi_i \upharpoonright_{\mathbf{B}}$, then we can assume that \mathbf{B} is embeddable into $\gamma_0(\mathbf{B}) \times \cdots \times \gamma_{l-1}(\mathbf{B})$. Moreover, since $\gamma_i(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{L}_{n_i}$, we have $\gamma_i(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{L}_{d_i}$ for some $d_i | n_i$.

Assume that $\gamma_i(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{L}_{n_i}$ for each $i < l$. Then, for every $i < l$, $\mathbf{B} / \text{Ker}(\gamma_i) \cong \mathbf{L}_{n_i}$ and since \mathbf{L}_{n_i} is simple, $\text{Ker}(\gamma_i)$ is a maximal congruence relation of \mathbf{B} . From [7, Lemma 2.3] (see also [16]) and the fact that all \mathbf{L}_n 's are simple, it can be deduced that there is $k < r$ such that

$$\text{Ker}(\gamma_i) = \mathbf{L}_{p_0}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{p_{k-1}}^2 \times \Delta_{\mathbf{L}_{p_k}} \times \mathbf{L}_{p_{k+1}}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{p_{r-1}}^2.$$

Hence, for every $i < l$ there exists $k < r$ such that $\mathbf{L}_{p_k} = \mathbf{L}_{n_i}$. By condition (1), $i \neq j$ implies $n_i \neq n_j$, so $l \leq r$ and

$$\mathbf{B} \cong \mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{m_l} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{r-1}} = \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{L}_{m_l} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{r-1}}$$

that implies $|\mathbf{A}| \leq |\mathbf{B}|$, which contradicts that \mathbf{B} is a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{A} . And the claim is proved.

Suppose that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{B} \subsetneq \mathbf{A}\})$, then

$$\mathbf{A} \in \text{ISPP}_U(\{\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}} : \forall i d_i | n_i; \exists k d_k \neq n_k\}).$$

Since $\{\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}} : \forall i d_i | n_i; \exists k d_k \neq n_k\}$ is a finite set of finite MV-algebras, we have that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{ISP}(\{\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}} : \forall i d_i | n_i; \exists k d_k \neq n_k\})$. Thus $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{l-1}}$ is embeddable into $\prod_{k < n} (\mathbf{L}_{d_{0,k}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1,k}})^{\alpha_k}$ where

$$\{\mathbf{L}_{d_{0,k}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1,k}} : k < n\} \subseteq \{\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}} : \forall i d_i | n_i; \exists k d_k \neq n_k\}.$$

Since the set $\{d_{t,k} : t < l; k \leq n\}$ is finite, we can apply Lemma 2.4. If there exists $i, j < l$ such that $i \neq j$ and $n_i | n_j$, then by condition 2), n_j is unique. By Lemma 2.4, there exists $\mathbf{L}_{d_{t,m}}$ such that $n_j | d_{t,m}$. That is, there exists

$$\mathbf{L}_{d_{0,m}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1,m}} \in \{\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}} : \forall i d_i | n_i; \exists k d_k \neq n_k\}$$

such that $n_j | d_{t,m}$ for some $t < l$. By condition 2), n_j does not divide any n_i other than itself. Therefore, since $d_{t,m}$ is a divisor of n_t , we have that $n_t = d_{t,m} = n_j$ and by 1), $t = j$. Since

$$\mathbf{L}_{d_{0,m}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1,m}} \in \{\mathbf{L}_{d_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{d_{l-1}} : \forall i d_i | n_i; \exists k d_k \neq n_k\},$$

there exists $r \neq j < l$ such that $d_{r,m} | n_r$ and $d_{r,m} \neq n_r$. By 2) of Lemma 2.4, there exists $s, r < l$ such that $s \neq r < l$ and $n_s | d_{r,m}$. Thus $n_s | n_r$, $n_i | n_j$, $r \neq s$, $i \neq j$ and $r \neq j$, which contradicts condition 2).

If for all $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ such that $i \neq j$, $n_i \nmid n_j$, then the same argument follows by taking any n_j , $j < l$.

Since \mathbf{A} is finite and $\mathbf{A} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{B} \subsetneq \mathbf{A}\})$, \mathbf{A} is critical.

Conversely, if \mathbf{A} is a critical MV-algebra, then \mathbf{A} is finite and by Theorem 1.1, we can suppose, without loss of generality, that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}_{n_0}^{m_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}^{m_{k-1}}$$

for some $n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}, m_0, \dots, m_{k-1} \in \omega$ and $n_i \neq n_j$ when $i \neq j$. If not all m_i 's are equal to 1, then the correspondence

$$\alpha: (a(0), \dots, a(k-1)) \mapsto \alpha(a) = \left(\overbrace{(a(0), \dots, a(0))}^{m_0}, \dots, \overbrace{(a(k-1), \dots, a(k-1))}^{m_{k-1}} \right)$$

defines an isomorphism from $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}$ onto a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{A} . Let $m = \max\{m_0, \dots, m_{k-1}\}$, then the correspondence

$$\beta: \mathbf{L}_{n_0}^{m_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}^{m_{k-1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{n_0}^m \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}^m$$

such that for every $r < k$,

$$\beta((b(0), \dots, b(k-1)))(r) = (b(r)(1), \dots, b(r)(m_r), \overbrace{b(r)(1), \dots, b(r)(1)}^{m-m_r}),$$

gives an embedding from \mathbf{A} into $\mathbf{L}_{n_0}^m \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}^m \cong (\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}})^m$. Thus $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}})$. Since \mathbf{A} is critical, we have $m_0, \dots, m_{k-1} = 1$. Hence it satisfies condition 1). Suppose condition 2) fails, then there exist $i \neq j$ and $s \neq r$ such that $n_i | n_j$, $n_s | n_r$ and $j \neq r$. Since $n_i | n_j$, we have that the correspondence that maps

$$(a(0), \dots, a(j-1), a(j+1), \dots, a(k-1))$$

to

$$(a(0), \dots, a(j-1), a(i), a(j+1), \dots, a(k-1)).$$

defines an isomorphism from $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{j-1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{j+1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}$ onto a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{A} .

Similarly the algebra $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{r-1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{r+1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}$ is isomorphic to a proper subalgebra of \mathbf{A} . Finally, observe that $\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}$ is embeddable into

$$\mathbf{L}_{n_1}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{j-1}}^2 \times \mathbf{L}_{n_j} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{j+1}}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{r-1}}^2 \times \mathbf{L}_{n_r} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{r+1}}^2 \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_k}^2,$$

by means of the correspondence δ defined as:

$$\delta(a(0), \dots, a(k-1))(i) = \begin{cases} (a(i), a(i)), & \text{if } i \neq j, r; \\ a(i), & \text{if } i = j, r. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{j-1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{j+1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}}, \mathbf{L}_{n_0} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{r-1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{r+1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{k-1}})$ in contradiction with the fact that \mathbf{A} is critical. \square

Corollary 2.6 *The number of non isomorphic critical MV-algebras in a proper variety of \mathbb{W} is finite.* \square

Proof : If \mathbb{K} is a proper variety of \mathbb{W} , then it is shown in [13] and [6] that \mathbb{K} contains a finite number of \mathbf{L}_n 's. Let $M = \{n \in \omega : \mathbf{L}_n \in \mathbb{K}\}$, clearly $|M| < \omega$. By Theorem 2.5, all critical algebras in \mathbb{K} are:

$$\mathbb{I}(\{\mathbf{L}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_l} : \text{satisfying (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.5 and } n_i \in M\}).$$

Since $|M|$ is finite we have that the number of non isomorphic critical MV-algebras in \mathbb{K} is finite. \square

From the above result we deduce :

Theorem 2.7 *A quasivariety of MV-algebras is locally finite if and only if it is finitely generated.*

Proof : Let \mathbb{K} be a locally finite quasivariety of MV-algebras, by Corollary 2.2, $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})$ is a proper subvariety of \mathbb{W} , thus, applying Corollary 2.6 the number of non isomorphic critical MV-algebras in \mathbb{K} is finite. By Theorem 2.3, \mathbb{K} is generated by its critical algebras, therefore, since a quasivariety is closed under the operation of isomorphic images, \mathbb{K} is finitely generated.

The converse is given by Corollary 2.1 \square

Corollary 2.8 *Every locally finite variety of MV-algebras contains a finite number of quasivarieties and, therefore, the class of locally finite quasivarieties of MV-algebras is countable.* \square

In order to classify and distinguish locally finite quasivarieties by looking at their generators we need the following result:

Lemma 2.9 *Let $\{\mathbf{L}_{n_{i1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{il(i)}} : i \in I\}$ and $\{\mathbf{L}_{m_{j1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{jl(j)}} : j \in J\}$ two finite families of critical MV-algebras, then*

$$\mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{L}_{n_{i1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{il(i)}} : i \in I\}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{L}_{m_{j1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{jl(j)}} : j \in J\})$$

if and only if

For every $i \in I$, there exists H , a non-empty subset of J , such that:

1. *For any $1 \leq k \leq l(i)$ there are $j \in H$ and $1 \leq r \leq l(j)$ such that $n_{ik} | m_{jr}$.*
2. *For any $j \in H$ and $1 \leq r \leq l(j)$ there exists $1 \leq k \leq l(i)$ such that $n_{ik} | m_{jr}$.*

Proof : Assume $\mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{L}_{n_{i1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{il(i)}} : i \in I\}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{L}_{m_{j1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{jl(j)}} : j \in J\})$, then for every $i \in I$, there is $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq J$ such that $\mathbf{L}_{n_{i1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{il(i)}}$ is embeddable into $\prod_{j \in H} (\mathbf{L}_{m_{j1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{jl(j)}})^{\alpha_j}$. Therefore, since $\bigcup_{j \in H} \{m_{jr} : r \leq l(j)\}$ is a finite set then, it follows from lemma 2.4 conditions 1 and 2 .

To prove the converse we show that for every $i \in I$,

$$\mathbf{L}_{n_{i1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{il(i)}} \in \mathbb{ISP}(\{\mathbf{L}_{m_{j1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{jl(j)}} : j \in H\})$$

where H is the subset of J defined in the hypothesis By condition 1), for every $1 \leq k \leq l(i)$, we choose $j \in H$ named j_k and we choose $1 \leq r_k \leq l(j_k)$ such that $n_k | m_{j_k r_k}$, the the following map

$$\beta: \mathbf{L}_{n_{i1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_{il(i)}} \rightarrow \prod_{1 \leq k \leq l(i)} \mathbf{L}_{m_{j_k 1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_{j_k l(j_k)}} : \bar{a} \mapsto \beta(\bar{a})$$

$$\text{on } \beta(\bar{a})(k)(r) = \begin{cases} \bar{a}(k) & \text{if } r = r_k \\ \bar{a}(l) & \text{for some } 1 \leq l \leq l(i) \text{ such that } n_l | m_{j_k r} \text{ if } r \neq r_k \\ & \text{it exists by condition 2)} \end{cases}$$

gives an embedding. \square

It is well known that every subvariety of MV-algebras is finitely axiomatizable. In fact, some effective axiomatizations are given in [9] and in [15]. To our concern, we only need to axiomatize locally finite varieties of MV-algebras. In [18] it is proved that the variety generated by \mathbf{L}_n is finitely axiomatizable and it is axiomatized by

MV1,...,MV6 plus a single axiom of the form $\varphi(x) \approx 1$, denoted by $v_n(x) \approx 1$. Moreover, for every $n_1, \dots, n_r < \omega$, $\mathbb{V}(L_{n_1}, \dots, L_{n_r})$ is the subvariety of \mathbb{W} defined by the equation $v_{n_1}(x) \vee \dots \vee v_{n_r}(x) \approx 1$ [18, Theorem 1.8]. Where \vee is defined by $x \vee y = \neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y$.

In general, locally finite quasivarieties are not finitely axiomatizable, not even finitely generated quasivarieties are finitely axiomatizable. For instance: Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{A})$ where $\mathbf{A} = \langle \{0, 1, 2\}, f, g \rangle$ is of type (1,1) with f and g defined by $f(0) = 1, g(0) = 2$ and $f(x) = g(x) = x$ for $x \neq 0$. Due to Gorbunov [12], \mathbb{K} is not finitely axiomatizable while it is finitely generated.(see also [8, page 149]).In the case of MV-algebras, we will show that locally finite quasivarieties of MV-algebras are finitely axiomatizable.

Theorem 2.10 *Every locally finite quasivariety of MV-algebras is finitely axiomatizable.*

Proof : Let \mathbb{K} be a locally finite quasivariety of MV-algebras, by Corollary 2.2, $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})$ is a proper locally finite subvariety of \mathbb{W} and therefore it is finitely axiomatizable. Since \mathbb{K} is finitely generated and $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})$ is finitely axiomatizable we only need to prove that \mathbb{K} can be axiomatized by a set of quasiequations with a finite number of variables (see [1, Lemma 2.3]).

Let Σ_n be the set of quasiequations with at most n variables satisfied by \mathbb{K} and $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ be the family of algebras of same type satisfying Σ_n . Observe that, since every locally finite variety of MV-algebras is finitely axiomatizable with two variables, $Mod(\Sigma_n)$ is a quasivariety contained in $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})$ for every $n \geq 2$. Moreover, $Mod(\Sigma_n) \supseteq Mod(\Sigma_m) \supseteq \mathbb{K}$ for every $n < m$. Assume that \mathbb{K} is not axiomatizable with a finite number variables, then there is an infinite sequence n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots such that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K}) \supseteq Mod(\Sigma_{n_1}) \supsetneq Mod(\Sigma_{n_2}) \supsetneq Mod(\Sigma_{n_3}) \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \mathbb{K}$ which contradicts the fact that $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{K})$ contains a finite number of quasivarieties of MV-algebras (Corollary 2.8). \square

3 Two examples

In order to show the difficulty and/or simplicity to obtain axiomatizations of locally finite quasivarieties of MV-algebras we give two examples:


Example 3.1 *Quasivarieties contained in $\mathbb{V}(L_p, L_q)$, where p and q are two distinct prime natural numbers.*

Since the only divisors of p and q are $1, p, q$, by the characterization given in Theorem 2.5, we have that all critical MV-algebras contained in $\mathbb{V}(L_p, L_q)$ are

$$\mathbb{I}(\{L_1, L_p, L_q, L_1 \times L_p, L_1 \times L_q, L_p \times L_q\}).$$

Applying Lemma 2.9 we obtain all subquasivarieties of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q)$ which are sketched in figure 1.

Figure 1: Lattice of all quasivarieties contained in $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q)$.



$\{n_1, \dots, n_l; m_1, \dots, m_k\}$ stands for $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{n_l}, \mathbf{L}_{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{L}_{m_k})$.

Given a quasivariety \mathbb{K} of MV-algebras, let

$$\mathbb{K} : \mathbf{L}_n = \{\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{K} : \mathbf{L}_n \notin \mathbb{IS}(\mathbf{A})\}.$$

We define $0x = 0$ and for each $n \in \omega$ $(n+1)x = x \oplus nx$. It is known (see [18, Lemma 2.2.]) that \mathbf{L}_n is embeddable into an MV-algebra \mathbf{A} if, and only if, there is an element $a \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $(n-1)(\neg a) = a$ and $a \neq 1^{\mathbf{A}}$. Thus the quasiequation $(n-1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow x \approx 1$ holds in an MV-algebra \mathbf{A} if and only if \mathbf{A} does not contain a copy of \mathbf{L}_n . Therefore, $\mathbb{K} : \mathbf{L}_n$ is the quasivariety axiomatized by:

$$\{\text{axioms of } \mathbb{K}\} \cup \{(n-1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow x \approx 1\}.$$

From the above, with more or less difficulty, one can prove the following:

1. $\mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_p \times \mathbf{L}_q\}) = \mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q\}) : \mathbf{L}_q$.
2. $\mathbb{Q}(\{\mathbf{L}_q, \mathbf{L}_p \times \mathbf{L}_q\}) = \mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q\}) : \mathbf{L}_p$.
3. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_p \times \mathbf{L}_q) = (\mathbb{V}(\{\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q\}) : \mathbf{L}_p) : \mathbf{L}_q$.

4. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_1 \times \mathbf{L}_p) = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_p) : \mathbf{L}_p$.
5. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_1 \times \mathbf{L}_q) = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_q) : \mathbf{L}_q$.
6. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_1 \times \mathbf{L}_q)$ is axiomatized by the axioms of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q) : \mathbf{L}_q$ and
$$rx \approx 1 \ \& \ r(\neg x) \approx 1 \Rightarrow v_p(y) \approx 1, \text{ where } r = \max\{p, q\}.$$
7. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_q, \mathbf{L}_1 \times \mathbf{L}_p)$ is axiomatized by the axioms of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q) : \mathbf{L}_p$ and
$$rx \approx 1 \ \& \ r(\neg x) \approx 1 \Rightarrow v_q(y) \approx 1, \text{ where } r = \max\{p, q\}.$$
8. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_1 \times \mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_1 \times \mathbf{L}_q)$ is axiomatized by the axioms of $(\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_p, \mathbf{L}_q) : \mathbf{L}_q) : \mathbf{L}_p$ and
$$rx \approx 1 \ \& \ r(\neg x) \approx 1 \Rightarrow x \approx 1, \text{ where } r = \max\{p, q\}.$$

Example 3.2 *Quasivarieties contained in $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_{p^r})$, where p is a prime natural number and r is a natural number.*

The class of its critical algebras is given by:

$$\mathbb{I}\left(\{\mathbf{L}_{p^s} : s \leq r\} \cup \{\mathbf{L}_{p^n} \times \mathbf{L}_{p^m} : n < m \leq r\}\right),$$

After lengthy computations (some cases are not straightforward), one can prove that each subquasivariety of $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_{p^r})$ belongs to one of the following three types:

1. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_{p^s}) = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{L}_{p^s})$ where $s \leq r$ and it is axiomatized by
 - (a) MV1,...,MV6 and
 - (b) $v_{p^s}(x) \approx 1$.
2. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_{p^{n_1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{p^{m_1}}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{p^{n_k}} \times \mathbf{L}_{p^{m_k}})$ such that $n_i < m_i \leq r$, for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n_i < n_j$ and $m_i > m_j$ if $i < j$ and it is axiomatized by:
 - (a) MV1,...,MV6,
 - (b) $v_{p^{m_1}}(x) \approx 1$,
 - (c) $(p^{n_k+1} - 1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow x \approx 1$ and
 - (d) $(p^{n_j-1+1} - 1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow v_{p^{m_j}}(y) \approx 1$ for every $2 \leq j \leq k$.
3. $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbf{L}_{p^{n_1}} \times \mathbf{L}_{p^{m_1}}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{p^{n_k}} \times \mathbf{L}_{p^{m_k}}, \mathbf{L}_{p^s})$ such that $n_i < s < m_i \leq r$, for every $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n_i < n_j$ and $m_i > m_j$ if $i < j$ and it is axiomatized by:
 - (a) MV1,...,MV6,
 - (b) $v_{p^{m_1}}(x) \approx 1$,

- (c) $(p^{s+1} - 1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow x \approx 1$,
- (d) $(p^{n_{j-1}+1} - 1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow v_p^{m_j}(y) \approx 1$ for every $2 \leq j \leq k$ and
- (e) $(p^{n_k+1} - 1)(\neg x) \approx x \Rightarrow v_{p^s}(y) \approx 1$.

It remains an *open problem* whether we can find a computational method to obtain for every locally finite quasivariety its axiomatization.

References

- [1] J.BLOK AND D.PIGOZZI, Finitely based quasivarieties, *Algebra Universalis* **22** (1986), 1-13.
- [2] J.BLOK AND D.PIGOZZI, Algebraizable logics, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **396**, vol 77. Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, 1989.
- [3] S.BURRIS AND H.P.SANKAPPANAVAR, "A course in Universal Algebra," Springer Verlag. New York, 1981.
- [4] C.C.CHANG, Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **88** (1958), 467-490.
- [5] C.C.CHANG, A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 74-80.
- [6] R.CIGNOLI, I.M.L.D'OTTAVIANO AND D.MUNDICI, "Algebras das Logicas de Łukasiewicz," UNICAMP. Brasil, 1994.
- [7] R.CIGNOLI AND A. TORRENS, Retractive MV-Algebras, *Mathware & Soft Computing* **2** (1995), 157-165.
- [8] J.CZELAKOWSKI AND W.DZIOBIAK, Congruence distributive quasivarieties whose finitely subdirectly irreducible members form a universal class, *Algebra Universalis* **27** (1990), 128-149.
- [9] A.DI NOLA AND A.LETTIERI, Equational Characterization of all Varieties of MV-algebra, *Journal of Algebra* **221**(1999), 463-474.
- [10] W.DZIOBIAK, On subquasivariety lattices of semi-primal varieties, *Algebra Universalis* **20** (1985), 127-129.
- [11] W.DZIOBIAK, Finitely generated congruence distributive quasivarieties of algebras, *Fundamenta Mathematicae* **133** (1989), 47-57.
- [12] V.A. GORBUNOV, Covers in subquasivariety lattices and independent axiomatizability, *Algebra i Logika* **16** (1977), 507-548.

- [13] Y. KOMORI, Super-Lukasiewicz Propositional Logic, *Nagoya Math. J.* **84** (1981), 119-133.
- [14] D. MUNDICI, Interpretation of AF C*-algebras in Łukasiewicz Sentential Calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.* **65** (1986), 15-63.
- [15] G. PANTI, Varieties of MV-algebras, *Journal of Applied and Non-Classical Logic* **9** (1999), 141-157.
- [16] A.J. RODRÍGUEZ, "Un Estudio Algebraico de los Cálculos Proposicionales de Łukasiewicz," Ph. D. Thesis, University of Barcelona, 1980.
- [17] A.J.RODRÍGUEZ, A.TORRENS AND V.VERDÚ, Łukasiewicz logic and Wajsberg algebras, *Bull. Sec. Log. Polish Ac. Sc.* **2**, vol 19 (1990), 51-55.
- [18] A. TORRENS, Cyclic Elements in MV-algebras and Post Algebras, *Math. Log. Quart.* **40** (1994), 431-444.

JOAN GISPERT and ANTONI TORRENS
 Facultat de Matemàtiques
 Universitat de Barcelona
 Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585
 08007 BARCELONA (Spain)
 {gispert,torrems}@mat.ub.es