

---

# Geometric barriers for the existence of hypersurfaces with prescribed curvatures in $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

---

José A. Gálvez<sup>a 1</sup>, Victorino Lozano<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain; e-mail: jagalvez@ugr.es

<sup>b</sup> Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, U.N.E.D. E-13600 Alcázar de San Juan, Ciudad Real, Spain; e-mail: vlozano@valdepenas.uned.es

## Abstract

We show the existence of a deformation process of hypersurfaces from a product space  $M_1 \times \mathbb{R}$  into another product space  $M_2 \times \mathbb{R}$  such that the relation of the principal curvatures of the deformed hypersurfaces can be controlled in terms of the sectional curvatures or Ricci curvatures of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . In this way, we obtain barriers which are used for proving existence or non existence of hypersurfaces with prescribed curvatures in a general product space  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58J05, 53A10.

## 1 Introduction.

Our main objective is to describe a simple method for obtaining barriers in a product space  $M^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . To that end, we consider a hypersurface  $S$  in a product space  $M_1 \times \mathbb{R}$  and obtain a new hypersurface  $S^*$  in a different product space  $M_2 \times \mathbb{R}$  such that the principal curvatures of  $S$  and  $S^*$  can be related in terms of the sectional curvatures or Ricci curvatures of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

The previous method has a special interest when  $S$  is a hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H$ , or in general with constant  $r$ -mean curvature  $H_r$ , and  $M_1$  has constant sectional

---

<sup>1</sup>The first author is partially supported by MICINN-FEDER, Grant No. MTM2010-19821 and by Junta de Andalucía Grant No. FQM325 and P09-FQM-5088.

curvature  $c$ . In such a case, we obtain barriers for the existence of hypersurfaces with constant  $r$ -mean curvature  $H_r$  in a general  $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$  if the sectional (or Ricci) curvature of  $\mathbb{M}_2$  is bounded from above or below by  $c$ .

Thus, we will generalize different known results in the homogeneous spaces  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  or  $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  to general product spaces  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . For the case  $n = 2$  the analogous method was described in [GL].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the calculus of the principal curvatures of certain graphs in a general product  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  in terms of Jacobi fields on  $\mathbb{M}^n$  (Lemma 1). In Section 3 we describe in detail our method for obtaining barriers in a product space  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , and obtain two comparison results. The first one relates the principal curvatures of two graphs  $S$  in  $\mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $S^*$  in  $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$  with the sectional curvatures of  $\mathbb{M}_1$  and  $\mathbb{M}_2$  (Theorem 1). The second comparison result relates the mean curvatures of  $S$  and  $S^*$  with the Ricci curvatures of  $\mathbb{M}_1$  and  $\mathbb{M}_2$  (Theorem 2).

In Section 4 we show different examples of how these barriers can be used. Thus, in Theorem 3 we prove that given a closed geodesic ball  $B_r \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$  of radius  $r$  then there is an explicit constant  $H_0$ , which only depends on the radius  $r$  and the minimum of its Ricci curvature, such that there exists no vertical graph over  $B_r$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with minimum of its mean curvature greater than or equal to  $H_0$ . This generalizes a previous result by Espinar and Rosenberg in [ER] for  $n = 2$ . In Theorem 4 we obtain an analogous result for Gauss-Kronecker curvature, or in general for  $r$ -mean curvature, depending on the sectional curvatures of the closed geodesic ball.

Moreover, in Theorem 5, we prove that, under certain restrictions on the ambient space  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , for every properly embedded hypersurface  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with mean curvature  $H \geq H_0 > 0$ , its mean convex component cannot contain a certain geodesic ball of radius  $r$ , where  $r$  only depends on  $H_0$  and the infimum of the Ricci curvature of  $\mathbb{M}$ . In particular, this shows the non existence of entire horizontal graphs over a Hadamard manifold for certain values of the mean curvature (Corollary 1).

In Theorem 6 we also prove the existence of vertical graphs in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with boundary on a horizontal slice and constant mean curvature  $H_0$  for any  $H_0 \in [0, (n-1)/n]$ , when  $\mathbb{M}^n$  is a Hadamard manifold with sectional curvature pinched between  $-c^2$  and  $-1$ . In fact, we show that any compact hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H_0$  and the same boundary must be the previous graph or its reflection with respect to the slice. This generalizes previous results in  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  (see [NSST] and [BE]).

Finally, in Theorem 7 we give a result of existence for vertical graphs with positive constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , which solves the Dirichlet problem for the associated Monge-Ampère equation with zero boundary values.

## 2 The principal curvatures of the graph.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold and  $(\mathbb{M}, g)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Consider two smooth maps  $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  (non necessarily an immersion) and  $n : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow T\mathbb{M}$  such that  $n(x) \in T_{i(x)}\mathbb{M}$  is a unit vector with  $g(di_x(v), n(x)) = 0$  for all  $v \in T_x\mathcal{H}$ . Here, for instance,  $T_p\mathbb{M}$  denotes the tangent space to  $\mathbb{M}$  at the point  $p \in \mathbb{M}$ .

Let  $I$  be an open real interval such that  $0$  is in its closure  $\bar{I}$ , and assume that the map

$$\varphi(x, t) = \exp_{i(x)}(t n(x)), \quad (x, t) \in \mathcal{H} \times \bar{I}, \quad (1)$$

is smooth and  $\varphi|_{\mathcal{H} \times I}$  a global diffeomorphism onto its image; where  $\exp$  denotes the exponential map in  $\mathbb{M}$ .

Observe that  $\varphi|_{\mathcal{H} \times I}$  can be seen as a certain parametrization of an open set of  $\mathbb{M}$ , and the parameter  $t$  can be considered as a distance function to  $i(x)$ .

The polar geodesic parameters at a point  $p \in \mathbb{M}$  are examples of the previous situation. For that, one can consider  $\mathcal{H}$  as the unit sphere of  $T_p\mathbb{M}$ ,  $i$  as the constant map  $i(x) = p$  and  $n(x) = x$ .

Now, let us consider the product space  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$  with the standard product metric and let us call  $h$  to the parameter in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\psi(x, t)$  be the graph given by the height function  $f(t)$  which only depends on the distance function, that is, the graph in  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$  parameterized as

$$\psi(x, t) = (\exp_{i(x)}(t n(x)), f(t)) = (\varphi(x, t), f(t)). \quad (2)$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_{x_i} &= \partial_{x_i}, & i &= 1, \dots, n-1 \\ \bar{\partial}_t &= \partial_t + f'(t)\partial_h, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$  are local coordinates in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Here, for instance,  $\partial_{x_i}$  denotes the vector field  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  in  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\bar{\partial}_{x_i}$  the corresponding vector field in the graph.

If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = g + dh^2$  stands for the product metric in  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ , then from the Gauss lemma we obtain

$$\langle \bar{\partial}_{x_i}, \bar{\partial}_t \rangle = g(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_t) = 0.$$

Hence, the pointing upwards unit normal of the graph is

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t)^2}}(-f'(t)\partial_t + \partial_h).$$

So, if we denote by  $\bar{\nabla}$  the Levi-Civita connection in  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ , it is easy to see that

$$-\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{\partial}_t} N = \frac{f''(t)}{(1 + f'(t)^2)^{3/2}} \bar{\partial}_t.$$

In particular,  $\bar{\partial}_t$  is a principal direction with associated principal curvature

$$k_n = \frac{f''(t)}{(1 + f'(t)^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Observe that this principal curvature does not depend on either  $\mathcal{H}$ , or  $\mathbb{M}$ , or its metric.

In order to compute the rest of principal curvatures of the graph we will focus on the directions which are orthogonal to  $\bar{\partial}_t$ , that is, the ones generated by  $\bar{\partial}_{x_i}$ .

Let  $\gamma(t) = \varphi(x_0, t)$  be a geodesic in  $\mathbb{M}$  and  $J(t)$  a Jacobi field along  $\gamma(t)$  with  $g(J(t), \gamma'(t)) = 0$ . If we denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection in  $\mathbb{M}$  then the second fundamental form of the graph satisfies

$$II(J, J) = \langle -\bar{\nabla}_J N, J \rangle = g(-\nabla_J \left( \frac{-f'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t)^2}} \partial_t \right), J) = \frac{f'(t)}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t)^2}} g(\nabla_J \partial_t, J).$$

On the other hand, since  $J$  is a Jacobi field then

$$\frac{D^2 J}{dt^2} + R(J, \gamma')\gamma' = 0,$$

where, as usual, we use the notation  $\frac{DJ}{dt}$  for  $\nabla_{\gamma'(t)} J$  and  $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$ .

Moreover, since  $\frac{DJ}{dt} = \nabla_J \partial_t$  because  $J$  is a Jacobi field, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_J \partial_t, J) |_{t_0} &= g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \frac{d}{dt} g(\frac{DJ}{dt}, J) dt \\ &= g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left( \left| \frac{DJ}{dt} \right|^2 - g(R(J, \gamma')\gamma', J) \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that since  $\partial_t(x, 0) = n(x)$  we have that if  $i$  is an immersion then

$$g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0)) = g(\nabla_J \partial_t, J)(0) = -II_{\mathcal{H}}(J(0), J(0)), \quad (3)$$

where  $II_{\mathcal{H}}$  will denote the second fundamental form of  $i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$  in the direction of  $n$ . On the other hand, the amount  $g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0))$  vanishes if  $i$  is constant (as in the polar geodesic coordinates).

Given a vector field  $V(t)$  along  $\gamma_{|[0, t_0]}$ , with  $g(V, \gamma'(t)) = 0$ , we will define the *index form* of  $V$  as

$$\mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H})}(V, V) = g(V(0), \frac{DV}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left( \left| \frac{DV}{dt} \right|^2 - g(R(V, \gamma')\gamma', V) \right) dt. \quad (4)$$

With all of this, we obtain

**Lemma 1.** *In the previous conditions the graph  $\psi(x, t)$  given by (2) has a principal curvature  $k_n$ , with principal direction  $\bar{\partial}_t$ , given by*

$$k_n = \frac{f''(t)}{(1 + f'(t)^2)^{3/2}}.$$

*Moreover, the second fundamental form of the graph at  $\psi(x_0, t_0)$  for a tangent vector  $v_0$  perpendicular to  $\bar{\partial}_t$  can be computed as follows: Take a perpendicular Jacobi field  $J(t)$  along the geodesic  $\gamma(t) = \varphi(x_0, t)$  with  $J(t_0) = v_0$  then the second fundamental form is given by*

$$II(v_0, v_0) = II(J(t_0), J(t_0)) = \frac{f'(t_0)}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t_0)^2}} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H})}(J, J). \quad (5)$$

### 3 Comparison results.

The above lemma will help us to compare the principal curvatures of two graphs  $\psi_j(x, t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , with the same height function in two different product spaces  $\mathbb{M}_j \times \mathbb{R}$ . For that, we need to relate the index forms in the manifolds  $\mathbb{M}_1$  and  $\mathbb{M}_2$ .

Thus, let  $(\mathbb{M}_1, g_1)$ ,  $(\mathbb{M}_2, g_2)$  be two Riemannian manifolds with  $\dim(\mathbb{M}_1) \leq \dim(\mathbb{M}_2)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , two smooth manifolds with  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_j) = \dim(\mathbb{M}_j) - 1$ . Consider smooth maps  $i_j : \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_j$  and  $n_j : \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow T\mathbb{M}_j$  such that  $n_j(x) \in T_{i_j(x)}\mathbb{M}_j$  is a unit vector with  $g(d(i_j)_x(v), n_j(x)) = 0$  for all  $v \in T_x\mathcal{H}_j$ .

Moreover, assume that the maps

$$\varphi_j(x, t) = \exp_{i_j(x)}(t n_j(x)), \quad (x, t) \in \mathcal{H}_j \times \bar{I},$$

are smooth and  $\varphi_j|_{\mathcal{H}_j \times I}$  a global diffeomorphism onto its image, where  $I$  is an open real interval such that 0 is in its closure  $\bar{I}$ . Then, we consider the two graphs

$$\psi_j(x, t) = (\exp_{i_j(x)}(t n_j(x)), f(t)) = (\varphi_j(x, t), f(t)), \quad (6)$$

for the same height function  $f(t)$  with  $f'(t) \geq 0$ .

In order to compare the second fundamental forms of both graphs, let  $\bar{\iota} : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_2$  be an immersion,  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $\gamma_j : [0, t_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_j$  be the geodesics

$$\gamma_1(t) = \varphi_1(x_0, t), \quad \gamma_2(t) = \varphi_2(\bar{\iota}(x_0), t).$$

**Theorem 1.** *In the previous conditions assume  $K_{\gamma_1(t)}^1(\pi_1) \leq K_{\gamma_2(t)}^2(\pi_2)$ ,  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , for each planes  $\pi_j$ , where  $K_{\gamma_j(t)}^j(\pi_j)$  is the sectional curvature of a plane  $\pi_j$  in  $\mathbb{M}_j$  containing  $\gamma_j'(t)$ . If:*

1.  $i_j$  are constant, or

2.  $i_j$  are immersions and  $II_{\mathcal{H}_1}(v, v) \leq II_{\mathcal{H}_2}(w, w)$  for all  $v \in di_1(T_{x_0}\mathcal{H}_1)$ ,  $w \in di_2(T_{\bar{1}(x_0)}\mathcal{H}_2)$  with  $|v| = |w|$ ,

then the second fundamental forms of the graphs satisfy

$$II_1(V, V) \geq II_2(W, W)$$

for all tangent vectors  $V, W$  such that  $|V| = |W|$  and  $\langle V, \gamma'_1(t_0) \rangle = 0 = \langle W, \gamma'_2(t_0) \rangle$ .

In particular, every principal curvature of the graph  $\psi_1$  at  $\varphi_1(x_0, t_0)$  is greater than or equal to every principal curvature of the graph  $\psi_2$  at  $\varphi_2(\bar{1}(x_0), t_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V \in T_{\gamma_1(t_0)}\mathbb{M}_1$  and  $W \in T_{\gamma_2(t_0)}\mathbb{M}_2$  with

$$|V| = 1 = |W| \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(V, \gamma'_1(t_0)) = 0 = g_2(W, \gamma'_2(t_0)).$$

As above,  $V$  and  $W$  are identified as tangent vectors to the graphs at the points  $\psi_j(\gamma_j(t_0), f(t_0))$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , respectively.

There exist unique perpendicular Jacobi fields  $J_j : [0, t_0] \rightarrow T\mathbb{M}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , along the geodesics  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  respectively, such that  $J_1(t_0) = V$ ,  $J_2(t_0) = W$  with the additional property:  $J_j(0) = 0$  if  $i_j$  is constant, or  $-dn(J_j(0)) + \frac{D J_j}{dt}(0)$  is proportional to  $\gamma'_j(0)$  if  $i_j$  is an immersion (see, for instance, [Wa]).

Let  $\{e_k(t)\}_{k=1}^m$  be an orthonormal basis of parallel vector fields along  $\gamma_1$ , which are perpendicular to  $\gamma'_1(t)$ , with  $m = \dim(\mathbb{M}_1) - 1$ , and such that  $e_1(t_0) = J_1(t_0)$ . In a similar way, let  $\{b_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$  be an orthonormal basis of parallel vector fields along  $\gamma_2$ , which are perpendicular to  $\gamma'_2(t)$ , with  $n = \dim(\mathbb{M}_2) - 1$ , and such that  $b_1(t_0) = J_2(t_0)$ .

We define the functions  $a_k(t)$  as the ones given by the equality

$$J_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(t)e_k(t).$$

And consider a new vector field along  $\gamma_2(t)$  given as

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k(t)b_k(t).$$

Since  $W(t_0) = J_2(t_0)$  the minimizing property of the Jacobi fields (see [Wa]) gives us

$$\mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H}_2)}(J_2, J_2) \leq \mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H}_2)}(W, W).$$

Hence,

$$II_2(J_2(t_0), J_2(t_0)) = \frac{f'(t_0)}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t_0)^2}} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H}_2)}(J_2, J_2) \leq \frac{f'(t_0)}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t_0)^2}} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H}_2)}(W, W).$$

Since  $|J_1(t)| = |W(t)|$ ,  $|\frac{DJ_1}{dt}(t)| = |\frac{DW}{dt}(t)|$  and  $II_{\mathcal{H}_1}(J_1(0), J_1(0)) \leq II_{\mathcal{H}_2}(W(0), W(0))$  when  $i_j$  is an immersion, then we obtain from (3), (4), (5) and the previous inequality that

$$II_2(J_2(t_0), J_2(t_0)) \leq II_1(J_1(t_0), J_1(t_0)),$$

as we wanted to show.  $\square$

A different proof of this result was given in [GL] when  $\dim(\mathbb{M}_1) = \dim(\mathbb{M}_2) = 2$  as well as many applications.

Theorem 1 gives us a criterium for comparing all the principal curvatures of a graph at a point with all the principal curvatures of another graph at the corresponding point. Now, we look for some weaker conditions in order to compare the mean curvature of both graphs.

**Theorem 2.** *In the previous conditions assume  $\dim(\mathbb{M}_1) = \dim(\mathbb{M}_2)$  and the metric of  $\mathbb{M}_1$  can be written as*

$$g_1 = dt^2 + G(t)g_0, \quad (7)$$

where  $g_0$  is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional metric of a space form. If  $Ric^1(\gamma'_1(t)) \leq Ric^2(\gamma'_2(t))$ , where  $Ric^j(\gamma'_j(t))$  denotes the Ricci curvature in the direction of the unit vector  $\gamma'_j(t)$ , and

1.  $i_j$  are constant, or
2.  $i_j : \mathcal{H}_j \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_j$  are immersions and their mean curvatures  $H_{\mathcal{H}_j}$  satisfy  $H_{\mathcal{H}_1}(x_0) \leq H_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\bar{1}(x_0))$ ,

then the mean curvatures  $H_j$  of the graphs in  $\mathbb{M}_j \times \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$H_1(\gamma_1(t_0)) \geq H_2(\gamma_2(t_0)).$$

*Proof.* In order to compare the mean curvatures of the graphs given by (6), we use the trace of the second fundamental forms at points  $\psi_1(x_0, t_0)$  and  $\psi_2(\bar{1}(x_0), t_0)$ . For this, from (5) and the fact that the function  $f(t)$  is increasing, it is sufficient to compare the corresponding sums of the index forms.

Let  $\{e_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$  be an orthonormal basis of parallel fields along  $\gamma_1(t)$ , orthogonal to  $\gamma'_1(t)$ , and let  $\{b_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$  be an orthonormal basis of parallel fields along  $\gamma_2(t)$ , orthogonal to  $\gamma'_2(t)$  with  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Then, there exist unique perpendicular Jacobi fields  $J_k^j : [0, t_0] \rightarrow TM_j$ , with  $j = 1, 2$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$  along  $\gamma_1(t)$  and  $\gamma_2(t)$  respectively, such that

1.  $J_k^1(t_0) = e_k(t_0)$ ,  $J_k^2(t_0) = b_k(t_0)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ .
2.  $J_k^j(0) = 0$  if  $i_j$  are constant maps, and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_j(J_k^j(0), \frac{DJ_k^j}{dt}(0)) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad \text{or}$$

$-dn(J_k^j(0)) + \frac{DJ_k^j}{dt}(0)$  is proportional to  $\gamma_j'(0)$  if  $i_j$  are immersions (see, for instance, [Wa]), and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_1(J_k^1(0), \frac{DJ_k^1}{dt}(0)) = -(n-1) H_{\mathcal{H}_1}(x_0),$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_2(J_k^2(0), \frac{DJ_k^2}{dt}(0)) = -(n-1) H_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\bar{1}(x_0)).$$

From (7) the previous Jacobi fields  $J_k^1$  in  $\mathbb{M}_1$  satisfy

$$|J_k^1(t)| = |J_i^1(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{DJ_k^1}{dt}(t) \right| = \left| \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(t) \right|, \quad \text{with } i, k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Now, we define the functions  $a_{ik}$  as the ones given by the equalities

$$J_i^1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{ik}(t) e_k(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Consider the new vector fields  $W_i(t)$  along  $\gamma_2(t)$  given by

$$W_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{ik}(t) b_k(t), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

In these conditions,  $a_{ik}(t_0) = \delta_{ik}$  and  $W_i(t_0) = J_i^2(t_0)$ . Moreover, by construction,

$$|J_i^1(t)| = |W_i(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(t) \right| = \left| \frac{DW_i}{dt}(t) \right|.$$

Observe now that if  $i_j$  are constant maps

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(J_i^2(0), \frac{DJ_i^2}{dt}(0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(W_i(0), \frac{DW_i}{dt}(0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(J_i^1(0), \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(0)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, if the maps  $i_j$  are immersions, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(J_k^2(0), \frac{DJ_k^2}{dt}(0)) = -(n-1) H_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\bar{1}(x_0)) \leq -(n-1) H_{\mathcal{H}_1}(x_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(J_k^1(0), \frac{DJ_k^1}{dt}(0)).$$

In addition, the fields  $W_i(t)$  are also orthogonal on  $[0, t_0]$  by construction, and  $|W_i(t)| = |W_1(t)|, i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Hence

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(R(W_i, \gamma_2')\gamma_2', W_i) = -(n-1)|W_1|^2 Ric^2(\gamma_2'(t)) \leq$$

$$\leq -(n-1)|J_1|^2 Ric^1(\gamma'_1(t)) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_1(R(J_i^1, \gamma'_1)\gamma'_1, J_i^1),$$

In these conditions, and by the minimizing property of the Jacobi fields, it is obtained

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H}_2)}(J_i^2(t_0), J_i^2(t_0)) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(J_i^2(0), \frac{DJ_i^2}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{DJ_i^2}{dt} \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(R(J_i^2, \gamma'_2)\gamma'_2, J_i^2) \right) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(W_i(0), \frac{DW_i}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{DW_i}{dt} \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(R(W_i, \gamma'_2)\gamma'_2, W_i) \right) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_1(J_i^1(0), \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt} \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_1(R(J_i^1, \gamma'_1)\gamma'_1, J_i^1) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0, \mathcal{H}_1)}(J_i^1(t_0), J_i^1(t_0)) \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Observe that a manifold  $\mathbb{M}_1$  whose metric is described by (7) in geodesic polar coordinates is classically known as a model manifold (see [GW]).

## 4 Existence of barriers in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Our comparison results will allow us to extend some results only known for  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  when  $\mathbb{M}^n$  is a space form to general ambient spaces  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, in this section we will follow our approach in [GL] for obtaining some existence and non existence results for hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

From now on, we denote by  $\mathbb{M}^n(c)$  the complete simply connected  $n$ -dimensional space form of constant curvature  $c$ , that is a hyperbolic space if  $c < 0$ , the Euclidean space if  $c = 0$  or a sphere if  $c > 0$ . Let  $s_{c,n} = \frac{n-1}{n}\sqrt{-c}$  be the infimum of the mean curvature of the topological spheres of constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  when  $c < 0$ . Also, for each  $H_0 > 0$  ( $H_0 > s_{c,n}$  if  $c < 0$ ) we denote by  $r_{c,n}(H_0)$  the radius of the topological sphere of constant mean curvature  $H_0$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ , and for each  $K_0 > 0$  we will denote by  $r_{c,n}^*(K_0)$  the radius of the topological sphere of constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature  $K_0 > 0$  in the same ambient space.

Let us start with a topological sphere  $S$  of constant mean curvature  $H_0$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  (see, for instance, [AR, AEG, BE, HH, PR]). Observe that  $S$  is unique up to isometries of the ambient

space and only exists for  $H_0 > s_{c,n}$  if  $c < 0$ . Moreover,  $S$  is rotational with respect to a vertical axis and symmetric with respect to a horizontal slice. In particular,  $S$  is a bigraph over a geodesic ball of  $\mathbb{M}^n(c)$  of radius  $r_{c,n}(H_0) > 0$ .

Thus, let  $p \in \mathbb{M}^n(c)$  and  $(x, t)$  be geodesic polar coordinates around  $p$ . Since  $S$  is a rotational surface, the lower part of  $S$  can be considered as a graph over the geodesic ball centered at  $p$  and radius  $r_{c,n}(H_0)$ , with height function  $h(t)$  which only depends on the distance function  $t$  to the point  $p$ . Moreover,  $h(t)$  is strictly increasing. Hence, this part of the hypersurface  $S$  of constant mean curvature can be described as

$$\psi_1(x, t) = (x, t, h(t)) \in \mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that, for convenience, we have deleted the parametrization  $\varphi$  (given by (1)) in the previous expression.

Now, given an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}^n$  and geodesic polar coordinates  $(x, t)$  around a point  $q \in \mathbb{M}^n$ , which are well defined for  $0 < t \leq r_{c,n}(H_0)$ , we can consider the new immersion

$$\psi_2(x, t) = (x, t, h(t)) \in \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Applying the same process for the upper part of  $S$ , we obtain a sphere  $S^*$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  which is a bigraph over the geodesic ball of radius  $r_{c,n}(H_0)$  centered at  $q$ .

We remark that  $S^*$  is symmetric with respect to a horizontal slice as  $S$ , and any vertical translation of  $S^*$  is congruent to  $S^*$ . However,  $S^*$  depends strongly on the point  $q \in \mathbb{M}^n$ , i. e. if we start with another point  $\tilde{q} \in \mathbb{M}^n$  and obtain a new surface  $\tilde{S}^*$  following the same process then  $S^*$  and  $\tilde{S}^*$  are not isometric in general. If the Ricci curvature in the radial directions on  $B_r(q) \subset \mathbb{M}$  are greater than or equal to  $c$ , for all the geodesics  $\gamma(t)$  in  $\mathbb{M}$  emanating from  $q$ , using Theorem 2, we have that the mean curvature of  $S^*$  satisfies  $H(S^*) \leq H_0$ . With all of this we obtain

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $B_r$  be a closed geodesic ball of radius  $r > 0$  in an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}^n$ , and  $c$  the minimum of the Ricci curvature in the radial directions of unit vectors  $\gamma'(t)$  on  $B_r$ , for all the geodesics  $\gamma(t)$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n$  emanating from the center of  $B_r$ . Consider  $H_0 > 0$  such that  $r_{c,n}(H_0) = r$ . Then, there is no vertical graph over  $B_r$  with minimum of its mean curvature satisfying  $\min(H) \geq H_0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\Sigma$  is a graph over  $B_r$  with  $\min(H) \geq H_0$  for a unit normal  $N$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that the unit normal  $N$  points upwards.

Let  $q \in \mathbb{M}^n$  be the center of the geodesic disk  $B_r$  and consider the sphere  $S^*$  centered at  $q$  previously obtained, which has mean curvature smaller than or equal to  $H_0$  for its inner normal.

Move the sphere  $S^*$  up until  $\Sigma$  is below  $S^*$ , and go down until  $S^*$  intersects  $\Sigma$  for the first time. Then, the classical maximum principle for mean curvature asserts that both surfaces must agree locally. In particular,  $\Sigma$  and  $S^*$  have constant mean curvature  $H_0$  and  $\Sigma$  agrees with the lower hemisphere of  $S^*$ . However, this is a contradiction because  $S^*$  is not a strict graph over the boundary of  $B_r$  since its unit normal is horizontal at those points.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $B_r$  be a closed geodesic ball of radius  $r > 0$  in an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}^n$ ,  $c := \min\{K_p(\pi) : \partial_t \in \pi, p \in B_r\}$  be the minimum of the radial sectional curvatures on  $B_r$ , and  $K_0 > 0$  such that  $r_{c,n}^*(K_0) = r$ . Then, there is no vertical graph over  $B_r$  with minimum of its Gauss-Kronecker curvature satisfying  $\min(K) \geq K_0$  and a point with definite second fundamental form.*

*Proof.* The proof follows the same process that in Theorem 3, taking now a sphere with constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature in  $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  (see, for instance, [EGR, ES]), and using Theorem 1. The requirement of the graph of having a point with definite second fundamental form is now needed for using the maximum principle.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *It should be observed that a similar result to Theorem 4 is possible for any  $r$ -mean curvature  $H_r$ , with  $2 \leq r \leq n$ , and not only for the Gauss-Kronecker curvature  $H_n$ .*

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\mathbb{M}^n$  be a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with injectivity radius  $i > 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  the infimum of its Ricci curvature on  $\mathbb{M}^n$ . Consider a properly embedded hypersurface  $\Sigma$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with mean curvature  $H \geq H_0 > 0$ , ( $H_0 > s_{c,n}$  if  $c < 0$ ). If  $r_{c,n}(H_0) < i$  then the mean convex component of  $\Sigma$  cannot contain a closed geodesic ball in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  of radius greater than or equal to the extrinsic semi-diameter of a sphere with constant mean curvature  $H_0$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is a consequence of the maximum principle and follows the same process that [GL, Theorem 2], using now Theorem 2.  $\square$

Also observe that a weaker version of Theorem 5 is possible for the  $r$ -mean curvatures  $H_r$ ,  $2 \leq r \leq n$ , using Theorem 1.

Let  $\mathbb{M}^n$  be a Hadamard manifold, that is, a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Since its injectivity radius is  $i = \infty$ , we obtain as a consequence of the previous result:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $H_0 > 0$  and  $\mathbb{M}^n$  be a Hadamard manifold with infimum of its Ricci curvature  $c > -\infty$ . Then, there exists no entire horizontal graph in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with mean curvature  $H \geq H_0 > s_{c,n}$ .*

Let us denote by  $S_{\frac{n-1}{n}}$  the simply connected rotational entire vertical graph with constant mean curvature  $H = \frac{n-1}{n}$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ . This graph has been described in [BE]. Again, as a consequence of our comparison results, if we consider the corresponding entire vertical graph  $S_{\frac{n-1}{n}}^*$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , we have:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\mathbb{M}^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Hadamard manifold with Ricci curvature smaller than or equal to  $-1$ . Assume  $\Sigma$  is an immersed hypersurface in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with mean curvature  $H \leq \frac{n-1}{n}$  and cylindrically bounded vertical ends. Then  $\Sigma$  must have more than one end.*

We obtain now a generalization to  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  of a theorem proven in [BE] for the product space  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\mathbb{M}^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Hadamard manifold with sectional curvature pinched between  $-c^2$  and  $-1$ , for a constant  $c \geq 1$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$ , with boundary given by a compact embedded hypersurface  $\Gamma$ . Assume all the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma$  are greater than  $c$ , then for any  $H_0 \in [0, \frac{n-1}{n}]$  there exists a graph  $h$  over  $\Omega$  with constant mean curvature  $H_0$  and zero boundary data.*

*Moreover, if  $\Sigma$  is a compact hypersurface immersed in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  and constant mean curvature  $H_0$  then, up to a symmetry with respect to  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$ ,  $\Sigma$  agrees with the previous graph.*

*Proof.* Observe that  $\Omega$  must be a convex bounded domain in  $\mathbb{M}^n$  and homeomorphic to a ball, and  $\Gamma$  must be homeomorphic to a sphere (see [AI]).

Let  $m_0$  be the minimum of the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $m_0 > c$  we can take a radius  $R_0$  big enough such that for every  $R > R_0$  the geodesic spheres of radius  $R$  in the hyperbolic space of sectional curvature  $-c^2$  have principal curvatures smaller than  $m_0$ . As the sectional curvature of  $\mathbb{M}^n$  is bigger than or equal to  $-c^2$ , the geodesic spheres in  $\mathbb{M}^n$  of radius  $R \geq R_0$  have principal curvatures smaller than  $m_0$ .

Let  $p \in \Gamma$  and  $\gamma_p(t)$  be the geodesic in  $\mathbb{M}^n$  starting at  $p$  with initial speed given by the unit normal to  $\Gamma$  pointing to  $\Omega$ . It is clear that the geodesic sphere  $S_p(R) \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$  centered at  $\gamma_p(R)$  and radius  $R$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$  at  $p$ . Moreover, if  $R \geq R_0$  the open geodesic ball bounded by  $S_p(R)$  contains a punctured neighborhood of  $p \in \Gamma$  because the principal curvatures of  $S_p(R)$  are bigger than the principal curvatures of  $\Gamma$  at  $p$  for the same interior unit normal.

Let  $S_0 \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$  be a geodesic sphere such that  $\Gamma$  is contained in the geodesic ball bounded by  $S_0$ , and the distance from  $S_0$  to  $\Gamma$  is greater than or equal to  $R_0$ . Then, consider the map  $G : \Gamma \rightarrow S_0$  defined in the following way: given  $p \in \Gamma$  the point  $G(p)$  is given by the intersection of the geodesic  $\gamma_p(t)$  for  $t \geq 0$  with  $S_0$ . It is well known that the previous intersection is given by a unique point due to the convexity of the geodesic spheres (see, for instance, [AI]).

Thus, if we denote by  $S_p$  the geodesic sphere centered at  $G(p)$  passing across  $p \in \Gamma$ , then we have shown that  $S_p$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$  at  $p$  and a punctured neighborhood of  $p$  in  $\Gamma$  is contained in the open geodesic ball bounded by  $S_p$ . In fact, we assert

*Claim:* For every  $p \in \Gamma$  the closed geodesic ball bounded by  $S_p$  contains to  $\Gamma$ .

Observe that if  $G : \Gamma \rightarrow S_0$  is injective then the Claim would be proven. Indeed, if there existed  $p_1 \in \Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma \not\subseteq S_{p_1}$  then there would be a point  $p_2 \neq p_1$  such that  $d(p_2, G(p_1)) \geq d(p, G(p_1))$  for all  $p \in \Gamma$ . Thus, the geodesic sphere centered at  $G(p_1)$  passing across  $p_2$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$  and contains  $\Gamma$  in its interior, and so  $G(p_2) = G(p_1)$ .

Hence, assume there exist two points  $p_1, p_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $G(p_1) = G(p_2)$ . In such a case, we have shown that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are two strict local maxima for the distance function  $\varrho(p)$  from  $p \in \Gamma$  to the fixed point  $G(p_1) = G(p_2)$ . Now, we distinguish two cases depending on the dimension of  $\Gamma$ :

1. If  $\dim(\Gamma) \geq 2$  then we can use the mountain pass lemma for the function  $\varrho$  and there must exist a third point  $p_3$  which is a saddle point for  $\varrho$ . Thus, the geodesic sphere  $\tilde{S}_{p_3}$  centered at  $G(p_1)$  passing across  $p_3$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$ . Therefore, depending on the orientation of the unit normal to  $\Gamma$ , we have that  $\tilde{S}_{p_3} = S_{p_3}$  or  $\tilde{S}_{p_3} \cap S_{p_3} = \{p_3\}$ . But, this contradicts that  $p_3$  is a saddle point, because a punctured neighborhood of  $p_3$  is contained in the interior of the geodesic ball bounded by  $S_{p_3}$ .
2. If  $\dim(\Gamma) = 1$  then we can consider the two closed arcs  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  of  $\Gamma$  joining  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Since  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are strict local maxima for the function  $\varrho$ , there must exist  $p_3 \in \Gamma_1$  and  $p_4 \in \Gamma_2$  different from  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  which are local minima for  $\varrho$ . Assume  $\varrho(p_3) \leq \varrho(p_4)$ , then from the convexity of  $\bar{\Omega}$  the geodesic arc  $\Lambda$  joining  $p_3$  and  $p_4$  is contained in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . But,  $\Lambda \setminus \{p_3, p_4\}$  is contained in the open geodesic ball centered at  $G(p_1)$  and radius  $\varrho(p_4)$  from the convexity of the geodesic ball. This contradicts that  $p_4$  is a minimum for  $\varrho$ .

Once the Claim is proven, consider a compact hypersurface  $\Sigma$  immersed in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with boundary  $\Gamma$  and constant mean curvature  $H_0$ .

Let  $S$  be the rotational entire graph with constant mean curvature  $H_0$  in  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  for its unit normal pointing upwards. Consider a point  $p \in \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$  and the associated entire graph  $S^* \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  when we use geodesic polar coordinates at  $G(p) \in \mathbb{M}^n$ . Up to a vertical translation we can assume that  $S^* \cap \mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$  is the geodesic sphere centered at  $G(p)$  and containing to  $p$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$ . Thus, from the previous Claim,  $\Gamma \times \{0\}$  is contained in the closed mean convex component of  $S^*$ , and  $(p, 0) \in S^*$ .

Let us also denote by  $\overline{S^*}$  the reflection of  $S^*$  with respect to the horizontal slice  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$ . The entire graphs  $S^*$  and  $\overline{S^*}$  are congruent, and from Theorem 1 we obtain that they have mean curvature  $H \geq H_0$  for its unit normal pointing to the mean convex component.

As  $\Sigma$  is compact we can move vertically  $S^*$  in such a way that  $\Sigma$  is completely contained in the mean convex component of  $S^*$ . Now, from the maximum principle, if we move back  $S^*$  then the surfaces  $\Sigma$  and  $S^*$  do not intersect until  $S^*$  is in its initial position. The same is true for  $\overline{S^*}$ .

Therefore, for every  $p \in \Gamma$  the hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is contained in the compact domain determined by the intersection of the mean convex components of  $S^*$  and  $\overline{S^*}$ . In particular, the interior of  $\Sigma$  is contained in the solid cylinder  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ , and if  $\Sigma$  was given by the graph of a function  $h$  then its height is bounded a priori and so is its gradient at the boundary  $\Gamma$ .

Now we can prove that there exists a graph  $h$  over  $\Omega$  with constant mean curvature  $H_0 \in [0, \frac{n-1}{n}]$  and zero boundary data. That is, we want to solve the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{\nabla h}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla h|^2}} \right) = n H_0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ h = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

where the divergence and gradient  $\nabla h$  are taken with respect to the metric on  $\mathbb{M}^n$  (see [Sp]).

We have proven the existence of height estimates and gradient estimates at the boundary. Hence, from [Sp], we also have global gradient estimates, and the existence of  $h$  follows from the classical elliptic theory (see [GT] and [Sp]).

Finally, we want to show that if  $\Sigma$  is a compact hypersurface in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  with constant mean curvature  $H_0$  and boundary  $\Gamma$ , then it is the graph of the previous function  $h$  or  $-h$ .

First, let us observe that  $\Sigma$  is a vertical graph. In fact, we have shown that  $\Sigma$  is contained in the cylinder  $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Sigma$  has no interior point in  $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, we can use the maximum principle with respect to horizontal slices from the highest point of  $\Sigma$  to the lowest point of  $\Sigma$ , which proves that  $\Sigma$  is a graph.

Moreover, let  $\Sigma_0$  be the graph of  $h$  or  $-h$  which points in the same direction (upwards or downwards) as  $\Sigma$ . Moving  $\Sigma$  vertically upwards until  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_0$  are disjoint, and coming down again we observe that, from the maximum principle,  $\Sigma$  cannot touch  $\Sigma_0$  till the boundaries agree. Hence,  $\Sigma$  is above  $\Sigma_0$ . Repeating the same process, but moving now  $\Sigma$  vertically downwards, one has  $\Sigma$  is below  $\Sigma_0$ . Therefore,  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_0$  agree, as we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** *It is an interesting open question if, under the previous conditions on  $\mathbb{M}^n$ , there exists an entire vertical graph over  $\mathbb{M}^n$  for every constant mean curvature  $H_0 \in (0, (n-1)/n]$ .*

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $B_r$  be a closed geodesic ball of radius  $r > 0$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n$ ,  $c := \max\{K_p(\pi) : \partial_t \in \pi, p \in B_r\}$  be the maximum of the radial sectional curvatures on  $B_r$ , and  $K_0 > 0$  such that  $r_{c,n}^*(K_0) = r$ . Then, there exists a strictly convex graph  $h_K$  over  $B_r$  of constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature  $K > 0$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  y  $h_K|_{\partial B_r} = 0$ , for any  $K < K_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider a sphere  $S$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$  with positive constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature  $K < K_0$ . From Theorem 1, the corresponding sphere  $S^*$  in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ , using polar coordinates at the center of  $B_r$ , has Gauss-Kronecker curvature greater than or equal to  $K$ , and so it is a subsolution for the existence of the graph we are looking for. Thus, from [Gu] (see also [Sp]) there exists a strictly convex graph of constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature  $K$  and zero boundary data.  $\square$

## References

- [AR] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, *A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* . Acta Math. **193** (2004), n° 2, 141–174.
- [AEG] J.A. Aledo, J.M. Espinar, J.A. Gálvez, *Height estimates for surfaces with positive constant mean curvature in  $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$* . Illinois J. Math. **52** (2008), n° 1, 203–211.
- [Al] S. Alexander, *Locally convex hypersurfaces of negatively curved spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1977), no. 2, 321–325.

- [BE] P. Bérard, R. Sa Earp, *Examples of  $H$ -hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  and geometric applications*. Mat. Contemp. **34** (2008), 19–51.
- [ES] M.F. Elbert, R. Sa Earp, *Constructions of  $H_r$ -hypersurfaces, barriers and Alexandrov Theorem in  $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , arxiv.org/pdf/1402.6886.pdf; preprint (2014).
- [EGR] J.M. Espinar, J.A. Gálvez, H. Rosenberg, *Complete surfaces with positive extrinsic curvature in product spaces*. Comment. Math. Helv. **84** (2009), n° 2, 351–386.
- [ER] J.M. Espinar, H. Rosenberg, *Complete constant mean curvature surfaces and Berstein type teorems in  $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* . J. Diff. Geometry **82** (2009), n° 3, 611–628.
- [GL] J.A. Gálvez, V. Lozano, *Existence of barriers for surfaces with prescribed curvatures in  $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* . J. Diff. Equations **255** (2013), n° 7, 1828–1838.
- [GT] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Springer–Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [GW] R.E. Green, H. Wu. *Function Theory on Manifolds which possess a pole*. Springer-Verlag, Lectures notes in mathematics 699, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1979.
- [Gu] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for Monge-Ampère equations in nonconvex domains and spacelike hypersurfaces of constant Gauss curvature*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), n° 12, 4955-4971.
- [HH] W.Y. Hsiang y W.T. Hsiang, *On the uniqueness of isoperimetric solutions and imbedded soap bubbles in noncompact simmetric spaces*. Invent. Math. **98** (1998), n° 1, 39–58.
- [NSST] B. Nelli, R. Sa Earp, W. Santos, E. Toubiana, *Uniqueness of  $H$ -surfaces in  $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|H| \leq 1/2$ , with boundary one or two parallel horizontal circles*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **33** (2008), n° 4, 307–321.
- [PR] R. Pedrosa, M. Ritoré, *Isoperimetric domains in the Riemannian product of a circle with a simple connected space form and applications to free boundary problems*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), n° 4, 1357–1394.
- [Sp] J. Spruck, *Interior gradient estimates and existence theorems for constant mean curvature graphs in  $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$* . Pure Appl. Math. Q. **3** (2007), n° 3, 785–800.
- [Wa] F.W. Warner, *Extensions of the Rauch comparison theorem to submanifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **122** (1966) 341–356.