

# A quantitative version of the Blow-up Lemma

Gábor N. Sárközy\*

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics  
Hungarian Academy of Sciences  
Budapest, P.O. Box 127  
Budapest, Hungary, H-1364

and

Computer Science Department  
Worcester Polytechnic Institute  
Worcester, MA, USA 01609  
gsarkozy@cs.wpi.edu

## Abstract

In this paper we give a quantitative version of the Blow-up Lemma.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Notations and definitions

All graphs are simple, that is, they have no loops or multiple edges.  $v(G)$  is the number of vertices in  $G$  (order),  $e(G)$  is the number of edges in  $G$  (size).  $deg(v)$  (or  $deg_G(v)$ ) is the degree of vertex  $v$  (within the graph  $G$ ), and  $deg(v, Y)$  (or  $deg_G(v, Y)$ ) is the number of neighbors of  $v$  in  $Y$ .  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$  are the minimum degree and the maximum degree of  $G$ .  $N(x)$  (or  $N_G(x)$ ) is the set of neighbors of the vertex  $x$ , and  $e(X, Y)$  is the number of edges between  $X$  and  $Y$ . A bipartite graph  $G$  with color-classes  $A$  and  $B$  and edges  $E$  will sometimes be written as  $G = (A, B, E)$ . For disjoint  $X, Y$ , we define the **density**

$$d(X, Y) = \frac{e(X, Y)}{|X| \cdot |Y|}.$$

---

\*Research supported in part by OTKA Grant No. K104343.

The density of a bipartite graph  $G = (A, B, E)$  is the number

$$d(G) = d(A, B) = \frac{|E|}{|A| \cdot |B|}.$$

For two disjoint subsets  $A, B$  of  $V(G)$ , the bipartite graph with vertex set  $A \cup B$  which has all the edges of  $G$  with one endpoint in  $A$  and the other in  $B$  is called the pair  $(A, B)$ .

A pair  $(A, B)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -**regular** if for every  $X \subset A$  and  $Y \subset B$  satisfying

$$|X| > \varepsilon|A| \quad \text{and} \quad |Y| > \varepsilon|B|$$

we have

$$|d(X, Y) - d(A, B)| < \varepsilon.$$

A pair  $(A, B)$  is  $(\varepsilon, d, \delta)$ -**super-regular** if it is  $\varepsilon$ -regular with density at least  $d$  and furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(a) &\geq \delta|B| \quad \text{for all } a \in A, \\ \text{and } \deg(b) &\geq \delta|A| \quad \text{for all } b \in B. \end{aligned}$$

$H$  is **embeddable** into  $G$  if  $G$  has a subgraph isomorphic to  $H$ , that is, if there is a one-to-one map (injection)  $\varphi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$  such that  $\{x, y\} \in E(H)$  implies  $\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\} \in E(G)$ .

## 1.2 A quantitative version of the Blow-up Lemma

The Blow-up Lemma [8, 9] has been a successful tool in extremal graph theory. There are now at least four new proofs for the Blow-up Lemma since the original appeared; an algorithmic proof [9], a hypergraph-packing approach [17], a proof based on counting perfect matchings in (Szemerédi-) regular graphs [16], and its constructive version in [18]. Very recently the Blow-up Lemma has been generalized to hypergraphs by Keevash [6] and to  $d$ -arrangeable graphs by Böttcher, Kohayakawa, Taraz, and Würfl [3]. The Blow-up Lemma has been applied in numerous papers (see e.g. [1, 4, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18]). See also the discussion on the Regularity Lemma and the Blow-up Lemma on pages 803-804 in the Handbook of Graph Theory [2] or the survey paper [14].

In either of our proofs [8, 9], the dependence of the parameters was not computed explicitly. In this paper we give a quantitative version, i.e. we compute explicitly the parameters.

**Theorem 1 (A quantitative version of the Blow-up Lemma).** *There exists an absolute constant  $C$  such that, given a graph  $R$  of order  $r \geq 2$  and positive parameters*

$d$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\Delta$ , for any  $0 < \varepsilon < \left(\frac{\delta d \Delta}{r \Delta}\right)^C$  the following holds. Let  $N$  be an arbitrary positive integer, and let us replace the vertices of  $R$  with pairwise disjoint  $N$ -sets  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r$  (blowing up). We construct two graphs on the same vertex-set  $V = \cup V_i$ . The graph  $R(N)$  is obtained by replacing all edges of  $R$  with copies of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{N,N}$ , and a sparser graph  $G$  is constructed by replacing the edges of  $R$  with some  $(\varepsilon, d, \delta)$ -super-regular pairs. If a graph  $H$  with  $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta$  is embeddable into  $R(N)$  then it is already embeddable into  $G$ .

Our proof is almost identical to the proof in [9]. Of course one difference is that we have to compute explicitly the dependence between the parameters. Furthermore, this is also a slight strengthening of the original statement as there can be a small number of exceptional vertices which may have smaller degrees ( $\delta$  may be much smaller than  $d$ ). We note that the recent “arrangeable” Blow-up Lemma [3] is also quantitative, but first of all the bound on  $\varepsilon$  is somewhat weaker and second it does not allow for the strengthening mentioned above. However, in a recent application [5] we needed precisely this strengthening. We believe that this quantitative version of the Blow-up Lemma will find other applications as well.

In Section 2 we give the embedding algorithm. In Section 3 we show that the algorithm is correct.

## 2 The algorithm

The main idea of the algorithm is the following. We embed the vertices of  $H$  one-by-one by following a greedy algorithm, which works smoothly until there is only a small proportion of  $H$  left, and then it may get stuck hopelessly. To avoid that, we will set aside a positive proportion of the vertices of  $H$  as buffer vertices. Most of these buffer vertices will be embedded only at the very end by using a König-Hall argument.

### 2.1 Preprocessing

We will assume that  $|V(H)| = |V(G)| = |\cup_i V_i| = n = rN$ . We will assume for simplicity, that the density of every super-regular pair in  $G$  is exactly  $d$ . This is not a significant restriction, otherwise we just have to put everywhere the actual density instead of  $d$ .

We will use the following parameters:

$$\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon' \ll \varepsilon'' \ll \varepsilon''' \ll d''' \ll d'' \ll d' \ll d,$$

where  $a \ll b$  means that  $a$  is small enough compared to  $b$ . For example we can select

the parameters in the following explicit way:

$$d' = \frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}, d'' = (d')^3 = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^3, d''' = (d'')^2 = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^6, \varepsilon''' = (d''')^2 = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^{12},$$

$$\varepsilon'' = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^2 (d''')^2 (\varepsilon''')^3 = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^{50}, \varepsilon' = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^2 (d''')^2 (\varepsilon'')^3 = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^{164} \text{ and}$$

$$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon')^2 = \left(\frac{\delta d^\Delta}{8r\Delta}\right)^{328}.$$

For easier reading, we will mostly use the letter  $x$  for vertices of  $H$ , and the letter  $v$  for vertices of the host graph  $G$ .

Given an embedding of  $H$  into  $R(N)$ , it defines an *assignment*

$$\psi : V(H) \rightarrow \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r\},$$

and we want to find an *embedding*

$$\varphi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G), \quad \varphi \text{ is one-to-one}$$

such that  $\varphi(x) \in \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in V(H)$ . We will write  $X_i = \psi^{-1}(V_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Before we start the algorithm, we order the vertices of  $H$  into a sequence  $S = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  which is more or less, but not exactly, the order in which the vertices will be embedded (certain exceptional vertices will be brought forward). Let  $m = rd'N$ . For each  $i$ , choose a set  $B_i$  of  $d'N$  vertices in  $X_i$  such that any two of these vertices are at a distance at least 3 in  $H$ . (This is possible, for  $H$  is a bounded degree graph.) These vertices  $b_1, \dots, b_m$  will be called the **buffer vertices** and they will be the last vertices in  $S$ .

The order  $S$  starts with the neighborhoods  $N_H(b_1), N_H(b_2), \dots, N_H(b_m)$ . The length of this initial segment of  $S$  will be denoted by  $T_0$ . Thus  $T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m |N_H(b_i)| \leq \Delta m$ .

The rest of  $S$  is an arbitrary ordering of the leftover vertices of  $H$ .

## 2.2 Sketch of the algorithm

In *Phase 1* of the algorithm we will embed the vertices in  $S$  one-by-one into  $G$  until all non-buffer vertices are embedded. For each  $x_j$  not embedded yet (including the buffer vertices) we keep track of an ever shrinking host set  $H_{t,x_j}$  that  $x_j$  is confined to at time  $t$ , and we only make a final choice for the location of  $x_j$  from  $H_{t,x_j}$  at time  $j$ . At time 0,  $H_{0,x_j}$  is the cluster that  $x_j$  is assigned to. For technical reasons we will also maintain another similar set,  $C_{t,x_j}$ , where we will ignore the possibility that some

vertices are occupied already.  $Z_t$  will denote the set of occupied vertices. Finally we will maintain a set  $\text{Bad}_t$  of exceptional pairs of vertices.

In *Phase 2*, we embed the leftover vertices by using a König-Hall type argument.

## 2.3 Embedding Algorithm

At time 0, set  $C_{0,x} = H_{0,x} = \psi(x)$  for all  $x \in V(H)$ . Put  $T_1 = d''n$ .

### Phase 1.

For  $t \geq 1$ , repeat the following steps.

*Step 1* (Extending the embedding). We embed  $x_t$ . Consider the vertices in  $H_{t-1,x_t}$ . We will pick one of these vertices as the image  $\varphi(x_t)$  by using the Selection Algorithm (described below in Section 2.4).

*Step 2* (Updating). We set

$$Z_t = Z_{t-1} \cup \{\varphi(x_t)\},$$

and for each unembedded vertex  $y$  (i.e. the set of vertices  $x_j, t < j \leq n$ ), set

$$C_{t,y} = \begin{cases} C_{t-1,y} \cap N_G(\varphi(x_t)) & \text{if } \{x_t, y\} \in E(H) \\ C_{t-1,y} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$H_{t,y} = C_{t,y} \setminus Z_t.$$

We do not change the ordering at this step.

*Step 3* (Exceptional vertices in  $G$ ).

1. If  $t \notin \{1, T_0\}$ , then go to Step 4.
2. If  $t = 1$ , then we do the following (this is the part that is new compared to the proof in [9]). We find the 1st exceptional set (denoted by  $E_i^1$ ) consisting of those exceptional vertices  $v \in V_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$  for which there exists a  $j \neq i$  such that  $(V_i, V_j)$  is  $(\varepsilon, d, \delta)$ -super-regular, yet

$$\deg_G(v, V_j) < (d - \varepsilon)|V_j|.$$

(Note that  $\deg_G(v, V_j) \geq \delta|V_j|$  always holds by super-regularity.)  $\varepsilon$ -regularity implies that  $|E_i^1| \leq r\varepsilon N$ . We are going to change slightly the order of the vertices in  $S$ . We choose a set  $E_H^1$  of nonbuffer vertices  $x \in H$  of size  $\sum_{i=1}^r |E_i^1|$  (more precisely  $|E_i^1|$  vertices from  $X_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) such that they are at a distance at

least 3 from each other. This is possible since  $H$  is a bounded degree graph and  $\sum_{i=1}^r |E_i^1|$  is very small. We bring the vertices in  $E_H^1$  forward, followed by the remaining vertices in the same relative order as before. For simplicity we keep the notation  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for the resulting order. Furthermore, we slightly change the value of  $T_0$  to  $T_0 = |E_H^1| + \sum_{i=1}^m |N_H(b_i)|$ .

3. If  $t = T_0$ , then we do the following. We find the 2nd exceptional set (denoted by  $E_i^2$ ) consisting of those exceptional vertices  $v \in V_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$  for which  $v$  is not covered yet in the embedding and

$$|\{b : b \in B_i, v \in C_{t,b}\}| < d''|B_i|.$$

Once again we are going to change slightly the order of the remaining unembedded vertices in  $S$ . We choose a set  $E_H^2$  of unembedded nonbuffer vertices  $x \in H$  of size  $\sum_{i=1}^r |E_i^2|$  (more precisely  $|E_i^2|$  vertices from  $X_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) with

$$H_{t,x} = H_{0,x} \setminus \{\varphi(x_j) : j \leq t\} = \psi(x) \setminus \{\varphi(x_j) : j \leq t\}.$$

Thus in particular, if  $x \in X_i$ , then  $E_i^2 \subset H_{t,x}$ . Again we may choose the vertices in  $E_H^2$  as vertices in  $H$  that are at a distance at least 3 from each other and any of the vertices embedded so far. We are going to show later in the proof of correctness that this is possible since  $H$  is a bounded degree graph and  $\sum_{i=1}^r |E_i^2|$  is very small as well. We bring the vertices in  $E_H^2$  forward, followed by the remaining unembedded vertices in the same relative order as before. Again, for simplicity we keep the notation  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for the resulting order.

*Step 4* (Exceptional vertices in  $H$ ).

1. If  $T_1$  does not divide  $t$ , then go to Step 5.
2. If  $T_1$  divides  $t$ , then we do the following. We find all exceptional unembedded vertices  $y \in H$  such that  $|H_{t,y}| \leq (d')^2 n$ . Once again we slightly change the order of the remaining unembedded vertices in  $S$ . We bring these exceptional vertices forward (even if they are buffer vertices), followed by the non-exceptional vertices in the same relative order as before. Again for simplicity we still use the notation  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  for the new order. Note that it will follow from the proof, that if  $t \leq 2T_0$ , then we do not find any exceptional vertices in  $H$ , so we do not change the ordering at this step.

*Step 5* - If there are no more unembedded non-buffer vertices left, then set  $T = t$  and go to Phase 2, otherwise set  $t \leftarrow t + 1$  and go back to Step 1.

## Phase 2

Find a system of distinct representatives of the sets  $H_{T,y}$  for all unembedded  $y$  (i.e. the set of vertices  $x_j$ ,  $T < j \leq n$ ).

## 2.4 Selection Algorithm

We distinguish two cases. Let  $E_H = E_H^1 \cup E_H^2$ .

**Case 1.**  $x_t \notin E_H$ .

We choose a vertex  $v \in H_{t-1, x_t}$  as the image  $\varphi(x_t)$  for which the following hold for all unembedded  $y$  with  $\{x_t, y\} \in E(H)$ ,

$$(d - \varepsilon)|H_{t-1, y}| \leq \deg_G(v, H_{t-1, y}) \leq (d + \varepsilon)|H_{t-1, y}|, \quad (1)$$

$$(d - \varepsilon)|C_{t-1, y}| \leq \deg_G(v, C_{t-1, y}) \leq (d + \varepsilon)|C_{t-1, y}| \quad (2)$$

and

$$(d - \varepsilon)|C_{t-1, y} \cap C_{t-1, y'}| \leq \deg_G(v, C_{t-1, y} \cap C_{t-1, y'}) \leq (d + \varepsilon)|C_{t-1, y} \cap C_{t-1, y'}|, \quad (3)$$

for at least a  $(1 - \varepsilon')$  proportion of the unembedded vertices  $y'$  with  $\psi(y') = \psi(y)$  and  $\{y, y'\} \notin \text{Bad}_{t-1}$ . Then we get  $\text{Bad}_t$  by taking the union of  $\text{Bad}_{t-1}$  and the set of all of those pairs  $\{y, y'\}$  for which (3) does not hold for  $v = \varphi(x_t)$ ,  $C_{t-1, y}$  and  $C_{t-1, y'}$ . Thus note that we add at most  $\Delta\varepsilon'N$  new pairs to  $\text{Bad}_t$ .

**Case 2.**  $x_t \in E_H$ .

If  $x_t \in X_i \cap E_H^l$ ,  $l = 1, 2$ , then we choose an arbitrary vertex of  $E_i^l$  as  $\varphi(x_t)$ . Note that for all  $y \in N_H(x_t)$ , we have  $C_{t-1, y} = \psi(y)$ ,

$$\deg_G(\varphi(x_t), C_{t-1, y}) = \deg_G(\varphi(x_t)) \geq \delta N = \delta|C_{t-1, y}|, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\deg_G(\varphi(x_t), H_{t-1, y}) \geq \deg_G(\varphi(x_t)) - T_0 - |E_H| \geq \delta N - 2\Delta r d' N \geq \frac{\delta}{2} N \quad (5)$$

(using our choice of parameters). Here we used super-regularity and the fact that  $|E_H| \ll \Delta m$  which will be shown later (Lemma 3).

## 3 Proof of correctness

The following claims state that our algorithm finds a good embedding of  $H$  into  $G$ .

**Claim 1.** *Phase 1 always succeeds.*

**Claim 2.** *Phase 2 always succeeds.*

If at time  $t$ ,  $S$  is a set of unembedded vertices  $x \in H$  with  $\psi(x) = V_i$  (here and throughout the proof when we talk about time  $t$ , we mean *after* Phase 1 is executed

for time  $t$ , so for example  $x_t$  is considered embedded at time  $t$ ), then we define the bipartite graph  $U_t$  as follows. One color class is  $S$ , the other is  $V_i$ , and we have an edge between an  $x \in S$  and a  $v \in V_i$  whenever  $v \in C_{t,x}$ .

In the proofs of the above claims the following lemma will play a major role. First we prove the lemma for  $t \leq T_0$ , from this we deduce that  $|E_H|$  is small, then we prove the lemma for  $T_0 < t \leq T$ .

**Lemma 2.** *We are given integers  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq T_0$  and a set  $S \subset X_i$  of unembedded vertices at time  $t$  with  $|S| \geq (d''')^2 |X_i| = (d''')^2 N$ . If we assume that Phase 1 succeeded for all time  $t'$  with  $t' \leq t$ , then apart from an exceptional set  $F$  of size at most  $\varepsilon'' N$ , for every vertex  $v \in V_i$  we have the following*

$$\deg_{U_t}(v) = |\{x : x \in S, v \in C_{t,x}\}| \geq (1 - \varepsilon'') d(U_t) |S| \quad \left( \geq \frac{d^\Delta}{2} |S| \right).$$

**Proof.** In the proof of this lemma we will use the “defect form” of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (just as in the original proof of the Regularity Lemma [19]): if

$$\sum_{k=1}^m X_k = \frac{m}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k + D \quad (m \leq n)$$

then

$$\sum_{k=1}^n X_k^2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n X_k \right)^2 + \frac{D^2 n}{m(n-m)}.$$

Assume indirectly that the statement in Lemma 2 is not true, that is,  $|F| > \varepsilon'' N$ . We take an  $F_0 \subset F$  with  $|F_0| = \varepsilon'' N$ . Let us write  $\nu(t, x)$  for the number of neighbors (in  $H$ ) of  $x$  embedded by time  $t$ . Then in  $U_t$  using the left side of (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} e(U_t) &= d(U_t) |S| |V_i| = \sum_{v \in V_i} \deg_{U_t}(v) = \sum_{x \in S} \deg_{U_t}(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in S} |C_{t,x}| \geq \sum_{x \in S} (d - \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x)} N - \Delta r^2 \varepsilon N^2 \geq (d - \varepsilon)^\Delta |S| N - \Delta r^2 \varepsilon N^2 \geq \frac{d^\Delta}{2} |S| N, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the error term comes from the neighbors of elements of  $E_H^1$  (we are yet to start the embedding of the vertices in  $E_H^2$ ), since for them we cannot guarantee the same lower bound.

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{x \in S} \sum_{x' \in S} |N_{U_t}(x) \cap N_{U_t}(x')| = \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{x' \in S} |C_{t,x} \cap C_{t,x'}| \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{x' \in S} (d + \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x) + \nu(t,x')} N + |S| N + \Delta^2 |S| N + 2\Delta r^2 \varepsilon |S| N^2 + 2\Delta \varepsilon' N^3 \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{x' \in S} (d + \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x) + \nu(t,x')} N + 5\Delta \varepsilon' N^3. \quad (7)$$

The error terms come from the following  $(x, x')$  pairs. For each such pair we estimate  $|C_{t,x} \cap C_{t,x'}| \leq N$ . The first error term comes from the pairs where  $x = x'$ . The second error term comes from those pairs  $(x, x')$  for which  $N_H(x) \cap N_H(x') \neq \emptyset$ . The number of these pairs is at most  $|S|\Delta(\Delta - 1) \leq \Delta^2|S|$ . The third error term comes from those pairs  $(x, x')$  for which  $x$  or  $x'$  is a neighbor of an element of  $E_H^1$ . Finally we have the pairs for which  $\{x, x'\} \in \text{Bad}_t$ . The number of these pairs is at most  $2t\Delta\varepsilon'N \leq 2\Delta\varepsilon'N^2$ .

Next we will use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with  $m = \varepsilon''N$  and the variables  $X_k, k = 1, \dots, N$  are going to correspond to  $\deg_{U_t}(v), v \in V_i$  (and the first  $m$  variables to degrees in  $F_0$ ). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D| &= \varepsilon'' \sum_{v \in V_i} \deg_{U_t}(v) - \sum_{v \in F_0} \deg_{U_t}(v) \\ &\geq \varepsilon'' \sum_{v \in V_i} \deg_{U_t}(v) - \varepsilon''(1 - \varepsilon'')d(U_t)|S|N = (\varepsilon'')^2 d(U_t)|S|N. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Then using (6), (8) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{x' \in S} |N_{U_t}(x) \cap N_{U_t}(x')| &= \sum_{v \in V_i} (\deg_{U_t}(v))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{v \in V_i} \deg_{U_t}(v) \right)^2 + (\varepsilon'')^3 d(U_t)^2 N |S|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{x \in S} (d - \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x)} N - \Delta r^2 \varepsilon N^2 \right)^2 + (\varepsilon'')^3 d(U_t)^2 N |S|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{x \in S} \sum_{x' \in S} (d - \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x) + \nu(t,x')} N - 2\Delta \varepsilon' N^3 + (\varepsilon'')^3 (d - \varepsilon)^{2\Delta} N |S|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with (7), since  $|S| \geq (d''')^2 N$ ,

$$\left( (d + \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x) + \nu(t,x')} - (d - \varepsilon)^{\nu(t,x) + \nu(t,x')} \right) \ll \Delta \varepsilon,$$

and

$$(\varepsilon'')^3 (d - \varepsilon)^{2\Delta} (d''')^2 \gg \frac{d^{2\Delta}}{2} (d''')^2 (\varepsilon'')^3 \geq \Delta \varepsilon' \gg \Delta \varepsilon,$$

by the choice of the parameters.  $\square$

An easy consequence of Lemma 2 is the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.** *In Step 3 we have  $|E_i^2| \leq \varepsilon''N$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq r$ .*

**Proof.** Indeed applying Lemma 2 with  $t = T_0$  and  $S = B_i$  (so we have  $|S| = |B_i| = d'N > (d''')^2N$ ) we get

$$(1 - \varepsilon'')d(U_t)|S| \geq \frac{d^\Delta}{2}|S| > d''|S|,$$

and  $E_i^2 \subset F$ .  $\square$

From this we can prove Lemma 2 for  $t > T_0$  with  $\varepsilon'''$  instead of  $\varepsilon''$ .

**Lemma 4.** *We are given integers  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $T_0 < t \leq T$  and a set  $S \subset X_i$  of unembedded vertices at time  $t$  with  $|S| \geq (d''')^2|X_i| = (d''')^2N$ . If we assume that Phase 1 succeeded for all time  $t'$  with  $t' \leq t$ , then apart from an exceptional set  $F$  of size at most  $\varepsilon'''N$ , for every vertex  $v \in V_i$  we have the following*

$$\deg_{U_t}(v) = |\{x : x \in S, v \in C_{t,x}\}| \geq (1 - \varepsilon''')d(U_t)|S| \quad \left( \geq \frac{d^\Delta}{2}|S| \right).$$

**Proof.** We only have to pay attention to the neighbors of the elements of  $E_H^2$ , otherwise the proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 2 with  $\varepsilon'''$  instead of  $\varepsilon''$ . In (6) the error term becomes  $\Delta r \varepsilon'' N^2$ , coming from the neighbors of elements of  $E_H^2$ . In (7) we have more bad pairs, namely all pairs  $(x, x')$  where  $x$  or  $x'$  is a neighbor of an element of  $E_H^2$ . These give an additional error term of  $2\Delta r \varepsilon'' |S| N^2$ . However, the contradiction still holds, since

$$(\varepsilon''')^3(d - \varepsilon)^{2\Delta}(d''')^2 \gg \frac{d^{2\Delta}}{2}(d''')^2(\varepsilon''')^3 \geq \Delta \varepsilon'',$$

by the choice of the parameters.  $\square$

An easy consequence of Lemmas 2 and 4 is the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** *We are given integers  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq T$ , a set  $S \subset X_i$  of unembedded vertices at time  $t$  with  $|S| \geq d'''|X_i| = d'''N$  and a set  $A \subset V_i$  with  $|A| \geq d'''|V_i| = d'''N$ . If we assume that Phase 1 succeeded for all time  $t'$  with  $t' \leq t$ , then apart from an exceptional set  $S'$  of size at most  $(d''')^2N$ , for every vertex  $x \in S$  we have the following*

$$|A \cap C_{t,x}| \geq \frac{|A|}{2N}|C_{t,x}|. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** Assume indirectly that the statement is not true, i.e. there exists a set  $S' \subset S$  with  $|S'| > (d''')^2N$  such that for every  $x \in S'$  (9) does not hold. Once again we consider the bipartite graph  $U_t = U_t(S', V_i)$ . We have

$$\sum_{v \in A} \deg_{U_t}(v) = \sum_{x \in S'} |A \cap C_{t,x}| < \frac{|A|}{2N} \sum_{x \in S'} |C_{t,x}| = \frac{|A|}{2N} d(U_t)|S'|N.$$

On the other hand, applying Lemmas 2 or 4 for  $S'$  we get

$$\sum_{v \in A} \deg_{U_t}(v) \geq (1 - \varepsilon'')d(U_t)|S'|(|A| - \varepsilon''N)$$

contradicting the previous inequality.  $\square$

Finally we have

**Lemma 6.** *For every  $1 \leq t \leq T$  and for every vertex  $y$  that is unembedded at time  $t$ , if we assume that Phase 1 succeeded for all time  $t'$  with  $t' \leq t$ , then we have the following at time  $t$*

$$|H_{t,y}| > d''N. \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** We apply Lemma 5 with  $S_t$  the set of all unembedded vertices in  $X_i$  at time  $t$ , and  $A_t = V_i \setminus Z_t$  (all uncovered vertices). Then for all but at most  $(d''')^2N$  vertices  $x \in S_t$  using (2) and (4) we get

$$|H_{t,x}| = |A_t \cap C_{t,x}| \geq \frac{|A_t|}{2N}|C_{t,x}| \geq \frac{d'}{4}\delta(d - \varepsilon)^\Delta N \geq (d')^2N, \quad (11)$$

if  $|A_t| \geq (d'/2)N$ . We will show next that in fact for  $1 \leq t \leq T$ , we have

$$|A_t| \geq |A_T| \geq (d' - d'')N \quad \left( \geq \frac{d'}{2}N \right),$$

so (11) always holds. Assume indirectly that this is not the case, i.e. there exists a  $1 \leq T' < T$  for which,

$$|A_{T'}| \geq (d' - d'')N \quad \text{but} \quad |A_{T'+1}| < (d' - d'')N.$$

From the above at any given time  $t$  for which  $T_1|t$  and  $1 \leq t \leq T'$ , in Step 4 we find at most  $(d''')^2N$  exceptional vertices in  $X_i$ . Hence, altogether we find at most

$$\frac{1}{d''}(d''')^2N \ll d''N$$

exceptional vertices in  $X_i$  up to time  $T'$ . However, this implies that at time  $T'$  we still have many more than  $(d' - d'')N$  unembedded buffer vertices in  $X_i$ , which in turn implies that  $|A_{T'+1}| \gg (d' - d'')N$ , a contradiction. Thus we have

$$|A_T| \geq (d' - d'')N, \quad T \leq rN - rd'N + rd''N,$$

at time  $T$  (or in Phase 2) we have at least  $(d' - d'')N$  unembedded buffer vertices in each  $X_i$ , and furthermore, for every  $1 \leq t \leq T$  for all but at most  $(d''')^2N$  vertices  $x \in S_t$  we have

$$|H_{t,x}| > (d')^2N.$$

Let us pick an arbitrary  $1 \leq t \leq T$  and an unembedded  $y$  at time  $t$  (with  $\psi(y) = V_i$ ). We have to show that (10) holds. Let  $kd''n = kT_1 \leq t < (k+1)T_1$  for some  $0 \leq k \leq T/T_1$ . We distinguish two cases:

**Case 1.**  $y$  was not among the at most  $(d''')^2N$  exceptional vertices of  $X_i$  found in Step 4 at time  $kT_1$ . Then

$$|H_{t,y}| \geq \left( \frac{\delta}{2}(d-\varepsilon)^\Delta(d')^2 - rd'' \right) N.$$

Indeed, at time  $kT_1$  we had  $|H_{kT_1,y}| \geq (d')^2N$ . Until time  $t$ ,  $H_{t,y}$  could have been cut at most once to a  $\geq (\delta/2)$ -fraction (if  $y$  is a neighbor of an element of  $E_H$ , there can be at most one such  $E_H$ -neighbor) and at most  $\Delta$  times to a  $\geq (d-\varepsilon)$ -fraction (using (1) and (5)), and precisely  $t - kT_1 \leq T_1 = rd''N$  new vertices were covered.

**Case 2.**  $y$  was among the at most  $(d''')^2N$  exceptional vertices of  $X_i$  found in Step 4 at time  $kT_1$ . Then

$$|H_{t,y}| \geq \left( \frac{\delta}{2}(d-\varepsilon)^\Delta(d')^2 - rd'' - r(d''')^2 \right) N,$$

since at time  $(k-1)T_1$  (we certainly must have  $k \geq 2$ ),  $y$  was not exceptional, and because the exceptional vertices were brought forward we have  $t \leq kT_1 + r(d''')^2N$ . Thus in both cases we have  $|H_{t,y}| > d''N$ , as desired.  $\square$

Finally we show that the selection algorithm always succeeds in selecting an image  $\varphi(x_t)$ .

**Lemma 7.** *For every  $1 \leq t \leq T$ , if we assume that Phase 1 succeeded for all time  $t'$  with  $t' \leq t$ , then Phase 1 succeeds for time  $t$ .*

**Proof.** We only have to consider Case 1 in the selection algorithm. We choose a vertex  $v \in H_{t-1,x_t}$  as the image  $\varphi(x_t)$  which satisfies (1), (2) and (3). We have by Lemma 6,

$$|H_{t-1,x_t}| \geq d''N.$$

By  $\varepsilon$ -regularity we have at most  $2\varepsilon N$  vertices in  $H_{t-1,x_t}$  which do not satisfy (1) and similarly for (2). For (3) we define an auxiliary bipartite graph  $B$  as follows. One color class  $W_1$  is the vertices in  $H_{t-1,x_t}$  and the other class  $W_2$  is the sets  $C_{t-1,y} \cap C_{t-1,y'}$  for all pairs  $\{y, y'\}$  where  $\{x_t, y\} \in E(H)$ ,  $\psi(y) = \psi(y')$ , and  $\{y, y'\} \notin \text{Bad}_{t-1}$ . We put an edge between a  $v \in W_1$  and an  $S \in W_2$  if inequality (3) is not satisfied for  $v$  and  $S$ . Let us assume indirectly that we have more than  $\varepsilon'N$  vertices  $v \in W_1$  with  $\deg_B(v) > \varepsilon'|W_2|$ . Then there must exist a  $S \in W_2$  with

$$\deg_B(S) > \varepsilon'|W_1| \gg \varepsilon N.$$

However, this is a contradiction with  $\varepsilon$ -regularity since

$$|S| \geq (d - \varepsilon)^{2\Delta} N \gg \varepsilon N.$$

Here we used the fact that the pair corresponding to  $S$  is not in  $\text{Bad}_{t-1}$ . Thus altogether we have at most  $4\varepsilon N + \varepsilon' N \ll d'' N$  vertices in  $H_{t-1, x_t}$  that we cannot choose and thus the selection algorithm always succeeds in selecting an image  $\varphi(x_t)$ , proving Claim 1.  $\square$

**Proof of Claim 2.** We want to show that we can find a system of distinct representatives of the sets  $H_{T, x_j}$ ,  $T < j \leq n$ , where the sets  $H_{T, x_j}$  belong to a given cluster  $V_i$ .

To simplify notation, let us denote by  $Y$  the set of remaining vertices in  $V_i$ , and by  $X$  the set of remaining unembedded (buffer) vertices assigned to  $V_i$ . If  $x = x_j \in X$  then write  $H_x$  for its possible location  $H_{T, x_j}$  at time  $T$ . Also write  $M = |X| = |Y|$ .

The König-Hall condition for the existence of a system of distinct representatives obviously follows from the following three conditions:

$$|H_x| > d''' M \quad \text{for all } x \in X, \tag{12}$$

$$\left| \bigcup_{x \in S} H_x \right| \geq (1 - d''') M \quad \text{for all subsets } S \subset X, |S| \geq d''' M, \tag{13}$$

$$\left| \bigcup_{x \in S} H_x \right| = M \quad \text{for all subsets } S \subset X, |S| \geq (1 - d''') M. \tag{14}$$

Equation (12) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6, (13) is a consequence of Lemma 2. Finally to prove (14), we have to show that every vertex in  $Y \subset V_i$  belongs to at least  $d''' |X|$  location sets  $H_x$ . However, this is trivial from the construction of the embedding algorithm, in Step 3 of Phase 1 we took care of the small number of exceptional vertices for which this is not true. This finishes the proof of Claim 2 and the proof of correctness.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alon, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, Perfect matchings in  $\varepsilon$ -regular graphs, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 5 (1998), R13.
- [2] B. Bollobás, V. Nikiforov, Extremal graph theory, in *Handbook of Graph Theory*, CRC Press, 2004, pp. 788-816.
- [3] J. Böttcher, Y. Kohayakawa, A. Taraz, A. Würfl, An extension of the Blow-up Lemma to arrangeable graphs, arXiv:1305.2059.

- [4] R.L. Graham, V. Rödl, A. Rucinski, On bipartite graphs with linear Ramsey numbers, *Combinatorica*, 21 (2001), pp. 199-209.
- [5] A. Grinshpun, G. N. Sárközy, Monochromatic bounded degree subgraph partitions, submitted for publication.
- [6] P. Keevash, A hypergraph blow-up lemma, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 39 (2011), pp. 275-376.
- [7] Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, Algorithmic aspects of regularity, *LNCS*, 1776 (2000), pp. 1-17.
- [8] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, E. Szemerédi, Blow-up Lemma, *Combinatorica* 17 (1997), pp. 109-123.
- [9] J. Komlós, G. N. Sárközy, E. Szemerédi, An algorithmic version of the Blow-up Lemma, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 12 (1998), pp. 297-312.
- [10] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits, Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma and its applications in graph theory, in *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty* (D. Miklós, V.T. Sós, and T. Szőnyi, Eds.), pp. 295-352, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies, Vol. 2, János Bolyai Mathematical Society, Budapest, 1996.
- [11] M. Krivelevich, B. Sudakov, T. Szabó, Triangle factors in pseudo-random graphs, *Combinatorica*, 24 (2004), pp. 403-426.
- [12] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, Loose Hamilton cycles in 3-uniform hypergraphs of high minimum degree, *J. Combinatorial Theory Series B*, 96 (2006), pp. 767-821.
- [13] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, Multicolored Hamilton cycles and perfect matchings in pseudo-random graphs, *SIAM Journal Discrete Mathematics*, 20 (2006), pp. 273-286.
- [14] D. Kühn, D. Osthus, Embedding large subgraphs into dense graphs. *Surveys in Combinatorics 2009* (editors S. Huczynka, J. Mitchell, C. Roney-Dougal), Cambridge University Press, 2009, pp. 137-167.
- [15] T. Łuczak, V. Rödl, E. Szemerédi, Partitioning two-colored complete graphs into two monochromatic cycles, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 7 (1998), pp. 423-436.
- [16] V. Rödl, , A. Ruciński, Perfect matchings in  $\epsilon$ -regular graphs and the Blow-up Lemma, *Combinatorica* 19 (1999), pp. 437-542.

- [17] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, A. Taraz, Hypergraph packing and graph embedding, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 8 (1999), pp. 363-376.
- [18] V. Rödl, A. Ruciński, M. Wagner, Matchings meeting quotas and their impact on the Blow-up Lemma, *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 31 (2001), pp. 428-446.
- [19] E. Szemerédi, *Regular partitions of graphs*, Colloques Internationaux C.N.R.S. N° 260 - Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes, Orsay (1976), pp. 399-401.