

# On finite simple groups acting on homology spheres with small fixed point sets

Bruno P. Zimmermann

Università degli Studi di Trieste  
Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze  
34127 Trieste, Italy

Abstract. *A finite nonabelian simple group does not admit a free action on a homology sphere, and the only finite simple group which acts on a homology sphere with at most 0-dimensional fixed point sets ("pseudofree action") is the alternating group  $\mathbb{A}_5$  acting on the 2-sphere. Our first main theorem is the finiteness result that there are only finitely many finite simple groups which admit a smooth action on a homology sphere with at most  $d$ -dimensional fixed points sets, for a fixed  $d$ . We then go on proving that the finite simple groups acting on a homology sphere with at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets are the alternating group  $\mathbb{A}_5$  in dimensions 2, 3 and 5, the linear fractional group  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  in dimension 5, and possibly the unitary group  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$  in dimension 5 (we conjecture that it does not admit any action on a homology 5-sphere but cannot exclude it at present). Finally, we discuss the situation for arbitrary finite groups which admit an action on a homology 3-sphere.*

## 1. Introduction

We are interested in finite groups, and in particular in finite simple groups, which admit a smooth action on an integer or a mod 2 homology sphere. A homology sphere (resp. a mod 2 homology sphere) is a closed manifold with the integer homology of a sphere (resp. the mod 2 homology of a sphere, i.e. with coefficients in the integers mod 2). In the present paper, simple group will always mean *nonabelian* simple group; also, all actions will be smooth (or locally linear), orientation-preserving and faithful.

By [24], [15-17], the only finite simple group which admits an action on a homology 3-sphere is the alternating group  $\mathbb{A}_5$ , and the only finite simple groups acting on a homology 4-sphere are the alternating groups  $\mathbb{A}_5$  and  $\mathbb{A}_6$ . The finite simple groups acting on a homology 5-sphere are considered in [10, Theorem 2] (see Theorem 4 in section 2).

Suppose that a finite nontrivial group  $G$  admits a *free* action on an integer homology sphere of dimension  $n$ . Since we are considering orientation-preserving actions, by the Lefschetz fixed point theorem this is possible only in odd dimensions; also,  $G$  has

periodic cohomology (cf. [4, chapters I.6 and VII.10]), and the class of groups of periodic cohomology is well-known and quite restricted (see [1]); in particular, no finite simple groups occur. A next case which has been considered is that of *pseudo-free actions*, i.e. actions with 0-dimensional fixed point sets; such actions exist only in even dimensions since the fixed point set of any finite cyclic (orientation-preserving) subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  has even codimension (since this is the case for the linear action induced on the tangent space of a fixed point). For this case it is easy to see that again only the groups with periodic cohomology occur, plus the finite groups acting on the 2-sphere (see the Remark at the end of section 2); in particular, the only finite simple group which occurs is the alternating group  $\mathbb{A}_5$  acting on  $S^2$ .

Thus one is led to consider less restrictive conditions on fixed point sets. For an integer  $d \geq -1$ , we say that a finite group acts *with at most  $d$ -dimensional fixed points sets* if the fixed point of each nontrivial element has dimension at most  $d$  (where  $d = -1$  stands for empty fixed point set). Our first main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.** *For a fixed  $d$ , there are only finitely many finite simple groups which admit an action on a homology sphere with at most  $d$ -dimensional fixed point sets.*

We believe that such a finiteness result does not hold for actions of finite simple groups on mod 2 homology spheres. For example, it is likely that all groups  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(p)$ ,  $p$  prime, admit an action already on a mod 2 homology 3-sphere, that is in dimension three; examples of such actions for various small values of  $p$  are given in [26] but the problem remains open in general (see also the survey [25]).

Next we consider the case  $d = 1$ :

**Theorem 2.** *The finite simple groups which act with at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets on a homology sphere are the alternating group  $\mathbb{A}_5$  in dimensions 2, 3 and 5, the linear fractional group  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  in dimension 5, and possibly the unitary group  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$  in dimension 5.*

We note that the groups  $\mathbb{A}_5$  and  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  admit linear actions with at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets on spheres of the indicated dimensions (see the proof of Theorem 2). The unitary group  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$  has a linear action on  $S^6$  (with at most 2-dimensional fixed point sets); we conjecture that it does not admit any action on a homology 5-sphere but cannot exclude it at present.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are based also on a part of the following theorem which collects some consequences of the Borel-formula for actions of an elementary abelian  $p$ -group ([2, Theorem XIII.2.3]), in combination with some deep results from the theory of finite simple groups.

**Theorem 3.** i) Let  $G$  be a finite group which admits an action on a mod 2 homology  $n$ -sphere such that involutions have at most  $d$ -dimensional fixed points sets. Suppose that  $G$  has a subgroup isomorphic to the Klein group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then

$$n \leq 3d + 2;$$

in particular, this holds if  $G$  is a nonabelian simple group.

ii) Suppose that  $n = 3d + 2$ . If  $G$  is a nonabelian simple group then  $G$  has 2-rank at most two (i.e.,  $G$  has no subgroups  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ ) and is one of the following groups (where  $q$  denotes an odd prime power):

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(q), \mathrm{PSL}_3(q), \mathrm{PSU}_3(q), \mathrm{PSU}_3(4), \mathbb{A}_7, \text{ the Mathieu group } M_{11}.$$

iii) Suppose that  $n > 3d + 2$ . Then  $G$  has no subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ , and hence 2-periodic cohomology. Moreover, if  $G$  is nonsolvable then it has the following structure: denoting by  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  the maximal normal subgroup of odd order of  $G$ , the factor group  $G/\mathcal{O}(G)$  contains a normal subgroup of odd index which is isomorphic to

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(q), \mathrm{TL}_2(q) \text{ or } \hat{\mathbb{A}}_7.$$

Here  $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_7$  denotes the unique perfect central extension of the alternating group  $\mathbb{A}_7$ , with center of order two, and  $\mathrm{TL}_2(q)$  is the 2-fold extension of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(q)$  with a unique involution whose quotient group is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(q)$  (see [1, chapter IV.6]).

We refer to [4, Theorem VI.9.7] and [23] for the notion of a  $p$ -periodic group. We note also that a finite group admits a free action on a mod 2 homology sphere if and only if it is 2-periodic and has a unique involution, see [20].

In section 3 we consider finite groups acting on a homology 3-sphere. We note that, by the recent geometrization of finite group-actions on 3-manifolds due to Thurston and Perelman, the finite groups which admit an action on the 3-sphere  $S^3$  are exactly the finite subgroups of the orthogonal group  $\mathrm{O}(4)$ . This is no longer true for finite groups which admit an action on an arbitrary homology 3-sphere; however the classification of such groups remains open and appears to be difficult (even for quite easy types of finite groups as in Question 2 i) of section 3).

## 2. Proofs

We start with the *Proof of Theorem 3*. Let  $G$  be a finite group acting on a mod 2 homology  $n$ -sphere with at most  $d$ -dimensional fixed point sets; suppose that  $G$  has a subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ . We note that in particular every finite nonabelian simple group has a subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ : in fact, if a finite group has no subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  then, by a theorem of Burnside ([22, 4.4.3] or [4, Theorem IV.4.3]), a Sylow 2-subgroup is either cyclic or

generalized quaternion, but by [22, p. 144, Corollary 1] and [22, p. 306, Example 3] a Sylow 2-subgroup of a finite simple group cannot be cyclic or generalized quaternion.

By Smith fixed point theory ([3], [21]), the fixed point set of an orientation-preserving periodic map of prime order  $p$  of a mod  $p$  homology sphere is again a mod  $p$  homology sphere, of even codimension. A basic tool for actions of an elementary abelian  $p$ -group  $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}_p)^m$  on a mod  $p$  homology  $n$ -sphere is then the following Borel formula ([2, Theorem XIII.2.3]):

$$n - r = \sum_H (r(H) - r)$$

where the sum is taken over all subgroups  $H$  of index  $p$  of  $A$ ,  $r(H)$  denotes the dimension of the fixed point set of a given subgroup  $H$  and  $r = r(A)$  the dimension of the fixed point set of  $A$  (equal to  $-1$  if the fixed point set is empty).

Applying the Borel formula to a subgroup  $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  of  $G$  and using the fact that  $-1 \leq r(A), r(H) \leq d$ , we obtain the inequality

$$n = \sum_H r(H) - 2r \leq 3d + 2,$$

proving part i) of Theorem 3.

Suppose that  $n = 3d + 2$ . Then  $r(H) = d$  for each of the three  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  subgroups of  $A$ , and  $r = r(A) = -1$ . Suppose that  $G$  has a subgroup  $B \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ . Then  $B$  has exactly seven subgroups  $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  of index two,  $r(A) = -1$  for each of these by the above, and in particular also  $r(B) = -1$ . Applying the Borel formula to  $B$  now we obtain a contradiction ( $n = -7 - 6r = -13$ ). So  $G$  does not have an elementary abelian subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$  of rank three and has 2-rank equal to two. By a fundamental result in the classification of the finite simple groups ([9]), the finite simple groups of 2-rank two are exactly the groups listed in Theorem 3 ii).

Finally, suppose that  $n > 3d + 2$ . Then as before  $G$  has no subgroups  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  and hence, by [4, Theorem VI.9.7],  $G$  has 2-periodic cohomology.

Suppose that  $G$  is nonsolvable. By the Feit-Thompson theorem, a Sylow 2-subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  is nontrivial. Since the finite 2-group  $S$  has nontrivial center and no subgroups  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$ ,  $S$  has a unique involution. By the theorem of Burnside above, a finite 2-group with a unique involution is either cyclic or generalized quaternion. Since the Sylow 2-subgroup of a nonsolvable group cannot be cyclic ([22, chapter 5.2, Corollary 2]),  $S$  is a generalized quaternion group. The structure of the finite nonsolvable groups with a generalized quaternion Sylow 2-subgroup is given in [22, chapter 6, Theorem 8.7], and the version given in Theorem 3 is an elaboration of this as in [25, Theorem 5].

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 1 is now a consequence of Theorem 1 i) and of [10, Theorem 1] stating that for each dimension  $n$  there are only finitely many finite simple groups which admit an action on a homology  $n$ -sphere.

For the *Proof of Theorem 2*, let  $G$  be a finite simple group acting on a homology  $n$ -sphere with at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets; by Theorem 3 i),  $n \leq 5$ .

By [24], the only finite simple group acting on a homology 3-sphere is  $\mathbb{A}_5$ .

As noted above, by Smith fixed point theory the fixed point set of an orientation-preserving periodic map of prime order  $p$  of a mod  $p$  homology sphere is again a mod  $p$  homology sphere of even codimension. Now the case  $n = 4$  is excluded by the Borel formula applied to a subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  of  $G$  (since the hypothesis of at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets implies that  $r(\mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$  in dimension 4).

So we are left with the case  $n = 5$ : suppose that  $G$  acts on a homology 5-sphere. By Theorem 3 ii),  $G$  has 2-rank two and is one of the following groups:

$$\mathrm{PSL}_2(q), \mathrm{PSL}_3(q), \mathrm{PSU}_3(q), \mathrm{PSU}_3(4), \mathbb{A}_7, \text{ the Mathieu group } M_{11}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 2 we have to exclude all of these groups except  $\mathbb{A}_5 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(5)$ ,  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  and  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(q)$ . This is based on the following result from [10] (resp. on some part of its proof):

**Theorem 4.** ([10, Theorem 2]) *The finite simple groups which admit an action on a homology 5-sphere are the following:*

$$\mathbb{A}_5 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(5), \mathbb{A}_6 \cong \mathrm{PSL}_2(9), \mathbb{A}_7, \mathrm{PSL}_2(7), \mathrm{PSU}_4(2), \text{ possibly } \mathrm{PSU}_3(3).$$

With the exception of the unitary group  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$  (which admits a linear action on  $S^6$ ) these are exactly the finite simple groups which admit a linear action on  $S^5$ . We note that the proof of [10, Theorem 2] is on the basis of the full classification of the finite simple groups; in our situation the proof is much shorter since we have to consider only the quite restricted list of the finite simple groups of 2-rank two.

Note that for the proof of Theorem 2 we still have to exclude that the alternating groups  $\mathbb{A}_6$  and  $\mathbb{A}_7$  from the list in Theorem 4 admit an action on a homology 5-sphere with at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets. Suppose that  $\mathbb{A}_6$  admits such an action. We consider an elementary abelian subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$  of  $\mathbb{A}_6$  generated by two disjoint cycles of length three, with four subgroups  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ; note that the four subgroups  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$  are conjugate in pairs in  $\mathbb{A}_6$  (two are generated by a 3-cycle, the other two by a product of two 3-cycles). By the Borel formula,  $n - r = 5 - r = \sum_i (r(H_i) - r)$ , or

$$5 + 3r = r(H_1) + r(H_2) + r(H_3) + r(H_4)$$

where the  $H_i$  denote the four subgroups  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$ . By our assumption of at most 1-dimensional fixed point sets, we have that  $r(H_i) \leq 1$ , hence also  $r \leq 1$  and  $5 + 3r \leq 4$ . This excludes the possibilities  $r = 0$  and  $r = 1$ . Suppose that  $r = -1$ . Then the only solution of the Borel formula is  $5 + 3r = 2 = r(H_1) + r(H_2) + r(H_3) + r(H_4) = 1 + 1 + 1 - 1$  (note that  $r(H_i) \neq 0$  since the fixed point set of each  $H_i$  has even codimension); however also this solution is not possible since the four subgroups  $H_i$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$  are conjugate in pairs.

This excludes the groups  $\mathbb{A}_6$  and  $\mathbb{A}_7$ , and the only finite simple groups which remain from the list in Theorem 4 are  $\mathbb{A}_5$ ,  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  and  $\mathrm{PSU}_3(3)$ . The dodecahedral group  $\mathbb{A}_5$  acts on  $S^2$  with 0-dimensional fixed point sets  $S^0$ , and it has two linear actions on  $S^3$ : one is the suspension of the action on  $S^2$ , with two global fixed points, the other one is the restriction to  $S^3$  of its irreducible 4-dimensional real representation (a summand of the standard 5-dimensional representation of  $\mathbb{A}_5$  by permutation of coordinates of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ ). A linear action of  $\mathbb{A}_5$  on  $S^5$  is obtained by considering  $S^5 \cong S^2 * S^2$  as the join of two 2-spheres and by taking also the join of two actions of  $\mathbb{A}_5$  on  $S^2$ , with fixed point sets  $S^0 * S^0 \cong S^1$  (or equivalently by restricting to  $S^5$  the direct sum of two irreducible 3-dimensional real representations). The group  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  has an irreducible 3-dimensional complex representation, and the restriction of the corresponding 6-dimensional (reducible) real representation to  $S^5$  has 1-dimensional fixed point sets (see the character tables in [5]; note that  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(7)$  has also an irreducible 6-dimensional real representation whose restriction, however, has also 3-dimensional fixed point sets).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**Remark.** Suppose that a finite nontrivial group  $G$  acts orientation-preservingly and pseudofreely (i.e., with at most 0-dimensional fixed point sets) on a homology  $n$ -sphere. Applying the Borel formula,  $G$  has no subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$  if  $n > 2$ , and no subgroup  $(\mathbb{Z}_p)^2$  for odd primes  $p$ . So, if  $n > 2$ , every abelian subgroup of  $G$  is cyclic and hence  $G$  has periodic cohomology (see [4, Proposition VI.9.3]). The groups of periodic cohomology are well-known (see e.g. [1]). If such a group has in addition a unique involution then it is known as an application of high-dimensional surgery theory that it admits a free action on a sphere of odd dimension ([14]); by suspending such a free action one obtains a pseudofree action with exactly two global fixed points on a sphere of even dimension. Thus the finite groups which admit a pseudofree action on some homology sphere are exactly the finite groups acting on  $S^2$ , plus the groups of periodic cohomology with a unique involution. Kulkarni has shown ([12, Theorem 7.4]) that, with the only exception of maybe dihedral groups, every pseudofree action on a homology sphere of dimension at least three has exactly two global fixed points such that the action on the complement of these two fixed points is free ("semifree action").

### 3. The situation in dimension three

By the recent geometrization of finite group-actions on 3-manifolds due to Thurston and Perelman, every finite group of diffeomorphisms of  $S^3$  is conjugate to a subgroup of the orthogonal group  $O(4)$ ; in particular, the finite groups occurring are exactly the finite subgroups of the orthogonal group  $O(4)$ . The finite groups which admit an action on an arbitrary homology 3-sphere are discussed in [27]; a complete classification of these groups is not known. We consider first the case of free actions.

If a finite group  $G$  admits a *free* action on a homology 3-sphere then  $G$  has periodic cohomology of period four and a unique involution; a list of such groups is given in [19], together with the subclass of all finite groups which admit a free, *linear* action on the 3-sphere. By [13] there remains one class of groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$  in [19] which do not admit a free, linear action on  $S^3$  but for which the existence of a free action on a homology 3-sphere remains open, in general. By [18], some of the groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$  admit a free action on a homology 3-sphere and some others do not, but the exact classification remains open (see also the discussion in [11, Problem 3.37 Update A (p.173)]).

The group  $Q(8a, b, c)$  has a presentation

$$\langle x, y, z \mid x^2 = (xy)^2 = y^{2a}, z^{bc} = 1, xzx^{-1} = z^r, yzy^{-1} = z^{-1} \rangle,$$

for relatively coprime positive integers  $8a, b$  and  $c$  such that either  $a$  is odd and  $a > b > c \geq 1$ , or  $a \geq 2$  is even and  $b > c \geq 1$ ; also,  $r \equiv -1 \pmod{b}$  and  $r \equiv +1 \pmod{c}$ . Note that  $Q(8a, b, c)$  is a semidirect product  $\mathbb{Z}_{bc} \rtimes Q(8a)$ , with normal subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_{bc} \cong \mathbb{Z}_b \times \mathbb{Z}_c$  generated by  $z$ , and factor group the generalized quaternion or binary dihedral subgroup  $Q(8a) \cong Q(8a, 1, 1)$  of order  $8a$  generated by  $x$  and  $y$ . See also [6, section 7] for a description of these groups and various inclusions between them.

We note that a group  $Q(8a, b, c)$  does not admit a free action on a homology 3-sphere if  $a$  is even ([13], [6]). If  $a$  is odd then  $Q(8a) \cong \mathbb{Z}_a \rtimes Q(8)$ , and hence  $Q(8a, b, c)$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}_{abc} \cong \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b \times \mathbb{Z}_c$  by the quaternion group  $Q(8) = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$  such that  $i, j, k$  acts trivially on  $\mathbb{Z}_a, \mathbb{Z}_b, \mathbb{Z}_c$ , respectively, and in a dihedral way on the other two.

Concerning *nonfree* actions of the groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$ , we note the following:

**Proposition 1.** *A group  $Q(8a, b, c)$  does not admit a nonfree action on a homology 3-sphere (orientation-preserving or not).*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G = Q(8a, b, c)$  acts orientation-preservingly on a homology 3-sphere  $M$ . The unique involution  $h = x^2 = (xy)^2 = y^{2a}$  of  $G$  is central in  $G$ ; by Smith fixed point theory the fixed point set of  $h$  has even codimension and is either empty or a 1-sphere  $S^1$  in  $M$  (see e.g. [3]). Suppose that  $h$  has nonempty fixed point set  $S^1$ ;

note that  $S^1$  is invariant under the action of  $G$ . We note that, if a finite orientation-preserving group leaves invariant a 1-sphere  $S^1$  in a 3-manifold then  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of a semidirect product  $(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ , with a dihedral action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  (here  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  acts as a reflection or strong inversion on  $S^1$  whereas  $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$  acts by rotations about and along  $S^1$ ). Since clearly  $G = Q(8a, b, c)$  is not of this type, the unique involution  $h$  of  $G$  has to act freely, and hence also every nontrivial element in  $G$  of even order.

Next suppose that some nontrivial element  $g \in G$  of odd prime order has nonempty fixed point set  $S^1$ , acting as a rotation about  $S^1$ ; we can assume that  $g$  is an element of one of the subgroups  $\mathbb{Z}_b \times \mathbb{Z}_c$  or  $Q(8a) \cong Q(8a, 1, 1)$  of  $G$ . In each case some element  $u$  of even order in the generalized quaternion group  $Q(8a)$  acts dihedrally on  $g$  (i.e.,  $ugu^{-1} = g^{-1}$ ). Since  $u$  has no fixed points, it acts as a rotation along and about  $S^1$ . But then  $u$  commutes with the rotation  $g$  about  $S^1$  which is a contradiction.

Now suppose that some element of  $G$  reverses the orientation of  $M$ . Since the order  $bc$  of  $z$  is odd,  $x$  or  $xy$  are orientation-reversing; we assume that  $x$  is orientation-reversing (the case of  $xy$  is analogous). By Smith fixed point theory, the fixed point set of  $x$  has odd codimension and is either a 0-sphere (two points) or a 2-sphere. Then the fixed point set of the central involution  $h = x^2$  is also nonempty and hence a 1-sphere  $S^1$  (of even codimension), the fixed point set of  $x$  is a 0-sphere  $S^0 \subset S^1$ , and  $x$  acts as a reflection (strong inversion) on  $S^1$ .

If both  $x$  and  $xy$  reverse the orientation of  $M$  then also  $xy$  acts as a reflection on  $S^1$ , and  $y$  is orientation-preserving and acts as a rotation about and along  $S^1$ . But also the subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_{bc}$  generated by  $z$  acts as a group of rotations about and along  $S^1$ , so  $y$  and  $z$  commute; this is a contradiction since  $y$  acts dihedrally on  $z$ .

So  $xy$  acts orientation-preservingly and its fixed point set is either empty or  $S^1$ . If  $xy$  has empty fixed point set then it acts by rotations about and along  $S^1$ , and hence commutes with  $y^2$  (of order  $2a \geq 4$ ) which acts also by rotations about and along  $S^1$ . This is a contradiction since  $x$  and hence  $xy$  acts dihedrally on  $y$  and  $y^2$  (specifically,  $(xy)^2 = xyxy = h$  implies that  $xyx^{-1} = hy^{-1}x^{-2} = hy^{-1}h^{-1} = y^{-1}$ ). If  $xy$  fixes  $S^1$  instead then it acts by rotations about  $S^1$  and commutes again with  $y^2$ , so we obtain the same contradiction as before.

So there are no orientation-reversing actions of  $G$  on a homology 3-sphere. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.

By results of Milgram [18], some of the groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$  admit a free action on a homology 3-sphere. By the geometrization of 3-manifolds with finite fundamental group, none of the groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$  admits a free action on  $S^3$  (since, by [19], they do not admit a free, linear action on  $S^3$ ). By Proposition 1, they also don't admit nonfree actions on  $S^3$  (alternatively, considering orthogonal actions, one can confront them



with the list of the finite subgroups of  $O(4)$  in [8], see also [7] for the geometry of their quotient orbifolds in the orientation-preserving case). Summarizing, the following holds:

**Proposition 2.** *The class of finite groups which admit an action on a homology 3-sphere is strictly larger than the class of finite groups which admit an action on  $S^3$  (or the class of finite subgroups of  $SO(4)$ ).*

There arises naturally the question of how big the difference is between the classes of groups in Proposition 2: do there occur other groups than the Milnor groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$ ? If a finite group admits a free action on a homology 3-sphere but not on  $S^3$  then it is in fact one of the Milnor groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$ , with  $a$  odd ([13]), so any other such group would admit only nonfree actions on a homology 3-sphere.

**Question 1.** i) Does there exist a finite group which admits a nonfree, orientation-preserving action on a homology 3-sphere but is not isomorphic to a subgroup of the orthogonal group  $SO(4)$ ?

ii) Is there a finite group with an orientation-reversing action on a homology 3-sphere which is not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $O(4)$ ?

In the following, concentrating on the orientation-preserving case, we discuss some natural candidates. It is shown in [27] that the finite nonsolvable groups which admit an orientation-preserving action on a homology 3-sphere are exactly the finite nonsolvable subgroups of the orthogonal group  $SO(4) \cong S^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3$  (the central product of two copies of the unit quaternions), plus possibly two other classes of groups:

- the central products

$$\mathbb{A}_5^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q(8a, b, c)$$

where  $a$  is odd and  $\mathbb{A}_5^*$  denotes the binary dodecahedral group;

- their subgroups

$$\mathbb{A}_5^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\mathbb{D}_{4a}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_b)$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_{4a}^* \cong Q(4a) \cong \mathbb{Z}_a \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$  denotes the binary dihedral or generalized quaternion group of order  $4a$ .

In turn these have subgroups

$$\mathbb{D}_8^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\mathbb{D}_{4a}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_b)$$

which do not act freely on a homology 3-sphere (since they have a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ ).

**Lemma.** *For odd coprime integers  $a, b \geq 3$ , the group  $G = \mathbb{D}_8^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{D}_{4a}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_b$  does not admit an orientation-preserving, linear action on  $S^3$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $G$  is a subgroup of the orthogonal group  $\mathrm{SO}(4) \cong S^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3$ . The finite subgroups of the unit quaternions  $S^3$  are cyclic, binary dihedral or binary polyhedral groups. The two projections of the subgroup  $\mathbb{D}_8^*$  of  $G$  to the first and second factor of  $S^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3$  are cyclic or binary dihedral groups; since  $\mathbb{D}_8^*$  is nonabelian, one of the two projections, say the first one, has to be a binary dihedral group. Then, since the projections of the subgroups  $\mathbb{D}_8^*$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{4a}^*$  of  $G$  commute elementwise, the projection of  $\mathbb{D}_{4a}^*$  to the second factor of  $S^3 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^3$  has to be a binary dihedral group. But then at least one of the two projections of the cyclic subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_b$  of  $G$  (any nontrivial one) does not commute elementwise with either the binary dihedral projection of  $\mathbb{D}_8^*$  or that of  $\mathbb{D}_{4a}^*$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Question 2.** i) For odd, coprime integers  $a, b > 1$ , does

$$\mathbb{D}_8^* \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{D}_{4a}^* \times \mathbb{Z}_b$$

admit an orientation-preserving action on a homology 3-sphere? (If  $a$  is even then there is no such action by [27, Lemma].)

ii) Does the central product

$$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Q(8a, b, c)$$

admit an action on some homology 3-sphere (assuming that  $Q(8a, b, c)$  does)?

Note that these groups do not act freely on a homology 3-sphere (since they have a subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ ) and are not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$  (by the Lemma, and since  $Q(8a, b, c)$  is not).

If the answer to i) is negative then by [27] the class of the finite nonsolvable groups which admit an orientation-preserving action on a homology 3-sphere coincides with the class of the finite nonsolvable subgroups of the orthogonal group  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ . On the other hand, if a group in i) or ii) admits such an action then this would give a first example of a finite group which admits a nonfree, orientation-preserving action on a homology 3-sphere but which is not isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\mathrm{SO}(4)$  (and in case i) independently of the quite difficult Milnor groups  $Q(8a, b, c)$ ).

Finally, considering also the case of mod 2 homology 3-spheres, we close with the following:

**Conjecture.** Each linear fractional group  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(p)$ ,  $p$  prime, admits an action on a mod 2 homology 3-sphere.

By [15] or [16], these are exactly the candidates among the finite nonabelian simple groups which possibly admit an action on a mod 2 homology 3-sphere. Examples of such actions for various small values of  $p$  are given in [26]; see [15],[16] or the survey

[25] for a partial classification of the finite nonsolvable groups which admit an action on a mod 2 homology 3-sphere.

**Acknowledgment.** The author was supported by a FRV grant from Università degli Studi di Trieste.

## References

- [1] A. Adem, R.J. Milgram, *Cohomology of finite groups*. Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften 309, Springer-Verlag 1994
- [2] A. Borel, *Seminar on Transformation Groups*. Ann. Math. Studies 46, Princeton University Press 1960
- [3] G. Bredon, *Introduction to Compact Transformation Groups*. Academic Press, New York 1972
- [4] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer 1982
- [5] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*. Oxford University Press 1985
- [6] J.F. Davis, *The surgery semicharacteristic*. Proc. London Math. Soc. 47, 411-428 (1983)
- [7] W.D. Dunbar, *Geometric orbifolds*. Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid 1, 67-99 (1988)
- [8] P. Du Val, *Homographies, Quaternions and Rotations*. Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford University Press 1964
- [9] D. Gorenstein, *The Classification of Finite Simple Groups*. Plenum Press, New York 1983
- [10] A. Guazzi, B. Zimmermann, *On finite simple groups acting on homology spheres*. Monatsh. Math. 169, 371-381 (2013)
- [11] R. Kirby, *Problems in low-dimensional topology*. Geometric Topology. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics Volume 2, part 2, 35-358 (1997)
- [12] R.S. Kulkarni, *Pseudofree actions and Hurwitz's  $84(g-1)$  theorem*. Math. Ann. 261, 209 - 226 (1982)
- [13] R. Lee, *Semicharacteristic classes*. Topology 12, 183-199 (1973)
- [14] I. Madsen, C.B. Thomas, C.T.C. Wall, *The topological space form problem II: Existence of free actions*. Topology 15, 375-382 (1976)
- [15] M. Mecchia, B. Zimmermann, *On finite groups acting on  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homology 3-spheres*. Math. Z. 248, 675-693 (2004)
- [16] M. Mecchia, B. Zimmermann, *On finite simple groups acting on integer and mod 2 homology 3-spheres*. J. Algebra 298, 460-467 (2006)
- [17] M. Mecchia, B. Zimmermann, *On finite simple and nonsolvable groups acting on homology 4-spheres*. Top. Appl. 153, 2933-2942 (2006)
- [18] R.J. Milgram, *Evaluating the Swan finiteness obstruction for finite groups*. Algebraic and Geometric Topology. Lecture Notes in Math. 1126 (Springer 1985), 127-158

- [19] J. Milnor, *Groups which act on  $S^n$  without fixed points*. Amer. J. Math. 79, 623-630 (1957)
- [20] W. Pardon, *Mod 2 semi-characteristics and the converse of a theorem of Milnor*. Math. Z. 171, 247-268 (1980)
- [21] P.A. Smith, *New results and old problems in finite transformation groups*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66, 401 - 415 (1960)
- [22] M. Suzuki, *Group Theory II*. Springer-Verlag 1982
- [23] R.G. Swan, *The  $p$ -period of a finite group*. Illinois J. Math. 4, 341-346 (1960)
- [24] B. Zimmermann, *On finite simple groups acting on homology 3-spheres*. Top. Appl. 125, 199-202 (2002)
- [25] B. Zimmermann, *Some results and conjectures on finite groups acting on homology spheres*. Sib. Electron. Math. Rep. 2, 233-238 (2005) (<http://semr.math.nsc.ru>)
- [26] B. Zimmermann, *Cyclic branched coverings and homology 3-spheres with large group actions*. Fund. Math. 184, 343-353 (2004)
- [27] B. Zimmermann, *On the classification of finite groups acting on homology 3-spheres*. Pacific J. Math. 217, 387-395 (2004)