

TESTS OF EXPONENTIALITY BASED ON YANEV-CHAKRABORTY CHARACTERIZATION, AND THEIR EFFICIENCY

Volkova K. Y.¹

Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia

1 Introduction

In this paper we develop goodness-of-fit tests for exponentiality using a characterization based on property of order statistics. The problem formulation is as follows: let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. observations having the continuous df F . Consider testing of composite hypothesis of exponentiality $H_0 : F \in \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$, where $\mathcal{E}(\lambda)$ denotes the class of exponential distributions with the density $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, x \geq 0$, here $\lambda > 0$ is some unknown parameter.

There exists considerable literature on the problem of testing exponentiality. Such tests are constructed by different techniques, see books and reviews [2], [5], [7], [11], [13], [21]. Some tests of exponentiality are based on the loss-of-memory property, see [1], [4], [18], and several tests use other characterizations of exponentiality [20], [29], [8], [19], [31], [23], [16], [28], [30].

We use the idea of testing statistical hypotheses using the characterizations by the property of equidistribution, and construct test statistics by means of so-called V - and U -empirical df's, see [15], [17]. Let us explain this method.

Suppose that the df F belongs to the class of distributions \mathcal{F} , if the corresponding density f has derivatives of all orders in the neighbourhood of zero.

Arnold and Villasenor conjectured in [3], and Yanev and Chakraborty proved recently in [34], see also [9], that the following property characterizes the exponential law within the class \mathcal{F} :

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be non-negative i.i.d. rv's with df F from class \mathcal{F} . Then the statistics $\max(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ and $\max(X_1, X_2) + \frac{1}{3}X_3$ are identically distributed if and only if the df F is exponential.

Consider the usual empirical df $F_n(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{X_i < t\}, t \in R^1$, based on the observations X_1, \dots, X_n . According to our characterization we construct for $t \geq 0$ the

¹Research supported by grant RFBR No. 13-01-00172, grant NSH No. 2504.2014.1 and by SPbGU grant No. 6.38.672.2013

V -empirical df's by the formulae

$$H_n(t) = \frac{1}{n^3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{\max(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, X_{i_3}) < t\}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$G_n(t) = \frac{1}{3n^3} \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3=1}^n [\mathbf{1}\{\max(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}) + \frac{X_{i_3}}{3} < t\} +$$

$$+ \mathbf{1}\{\max(X_{i_2}, X_{i_3}) + \frac{X_{i_1}}{3} < t\} + \mathbf{1}\{\max(X_{i_3}, X_{i_1}) + \frac{X_{i_2}}{3} < t\}], \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is known that the properties of V - and U -empirical df's are similar to the properties of usual empirical df's, see [12], [15]. Hence for large n the df's H_n and G_n should be close under H_0 , and we can measure their closeness by using some test statistics.

We suggest two scale-invariant statistics

$$I_n = \int_0^\infty (H_n(t) - G_n(t)) dF_n(t), \quad (1)$$

$$D_n = \sup_{t \geq 0} |H_n(t) - G_n(t)|, \quad (2)$$

assuming that their large values are critical.

We discuss their limiting distributions under the null hypothesis and calculate their efficiencies against common alternatives from the class \mathcal{F} . The statistic D_n has the non-normal limiting distribution, hence we use the notion of local exact Bahadur efficiency (BE) [6], [22], because the Pitman approach to efficiency is not applicable. However, it is known that the local BE and the limiting Pitman efficiency usually coincide, see [33], [22].

The large deviation asymptotics is the key tool for the evaluation of the exact BE, and we address this question using the results of [26]. Finally, we study the conditions of local optimality of our tests and describe the "most favorable" alternatives for them.

2 Integral statistic I_n

Without loss of generalization we can assume that $\lambda = 1$. The statistic I_n is asymptotically equivalent to the V -statistic of degree 4 with the centered kernel $\Psi(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$ given by

$$\Psi(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\pi(i_1, \dots, i_4)} \mathbf{1}\{\max(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, X_{i_3}) < X_{i_4}\} -$$

$$- \frac{1}{24} \sum_{\pi(i_1, \dots, i_4)} \mathbf{1}\{\max(X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}) + \frac{X_{i_3}}{3} < X_{i_4}\},$$

where $\pi(i_1, \dots, i_4)$ means all permutations of different indices from $\{i_1, \dots, i_4\}$.

Theorem 1. *Under null hypothesis as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the statistic I_n is asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance given by*

$$\sqrt{n}I_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{23}{10920}\right).$$

Proof. Let X_1, \dots, X_4 be independent standard exponential rv's. It is well-known that non-degenerate V - and U -statistics are asymptotically normal, see [14], [17]. To prove that the kernel $\Psi(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$ is non-degenerate, let calculate its projection $\psi(s)$. For fixed $X_4 = s$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) &= E(\Psi(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \mid X_4 = s) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4}P(\max(X_1, X_2, X_3) < s) + \frac{3}{4}P(\max(s, X_2, X_3) < X_1) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}P(\max(X_1, X_2) + \frac{X_3}{3} < s) - \frac{1}{4}P(\max(X_1, X_2) + \frac{s}{3} < X_3) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}P(\max(s, X_1) + \frac{X_2}{3} < X_3). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the above characterization that the first and the third probability both are equal to:

$$P(\max(X_1, X_2, X_3) < s) = \frac{1}{4}P(\max(X_1, X_2) + \frac{X_3}{3} < s) = (1 - e^{-s})^3.$$

The second term can be evaluated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max(s, X_2, X_3) < X_1) &= P(s < X_1, s > X_2, s > X_3) + \\ &\quad + 2P(X_2 < X_1, X_2 > s, X_2 > X_3) = \\ &= (1 - F(s))F(s)^2 + \left[\frac{2}{3}F(s)^3 - F(s)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \right] = \frac{1 - F(s)^3}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to calculate two last terms, the calculations give us:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max(X_1, X_2) + \frac{s}{3} < X_3) &= \int_{s/3}^{\infty} F^2(x - s/3) dF_x = \frac{1}{3}e^{-s/3}, \\ P(\max(s, X_1) + \frac{X_2}{3} < X_3) &= P(s < X_1, X_1 + \frac{X_2}{3} < X_3) + \\ &\quad + P(s > X_1, s + \frac{X_2}{3} < X_3) = \frac{3}{4}e^{-s} - \frac{3}{8}e^{-2s}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the final expression for the projection of the kernel Ψ :

$$\psi(s) = \frac{3}{8}e^{-s} - \frac{9}{16}e^{-2s} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-3s} - \frac{1}{12}e^{-s/3}. \quad (3)$$

The variance of the projection $\Delta^2 = E\psi^2(X_1)$ under H_0 is given by

$$\Delta^2 = \int_0^\infty \psi^2(s)e^{-s}ds = \frac{23}{174720} \approx 0.0001316.$$

Therefore the kernel Ψ is non-degenerate. Due to Hoeffding's theorem on asymptotic normality of V - and U -statistics, see again [14], [17], we get the statement of the theorem. \square

3 Large deviations and local efficiency of I_n

Now we shall evaluate the large deviation asymptotics of the sequence of statistics (1) under H_0 . The kernel Ψ is centered, bounded and non-degenerate. Hence according to the theorem on large deviations of such statistics from [26], see also [10], [24], we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. *For $a > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln P(I_n > a) = -f(a),$$

where the function f is continuous for sufficiently small $a > 0$, and

$$f(a) \sim \frac{a^2}{32\Delta_\psi^2} \sim \frac{5460}{23}a^2, \text{ as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that under the alternative H_1 the observations have the df $G(\cdot, \theta)$ and the density $g(\cdot, \theta)$, $\theta \geq 0$, such that $G(\cdot, 0) \in \mathcal{E}(\lambda)$. The measure of BE for any sequence $\{T_n\}$ of test statistics is the exact slope $c_T(\theta)$ describing the rate of exponential decrease for the attained level under the alternative df $G(\cdot, \theta)$. According to Bahadur theory [6], [22] the exact slopes may be found by using the following Proposition.

Proposition. *Suppose that the following two conditions hold:*

$$a) \quad T_n \xrightarrow{P_\theta} b(\theta), \quad \theta > 0,$$

where $-\infty < b(\theta) < \infty$, and $\xrightarrow{P_\theta}$ denotes convergence in probability under $G(\cdot; \theta)$.

$$b) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln P_{H_0}(T_n \geq t) = -h(t)$$

for any t in an open interval I , on which h is continuous and $\{b(\theta), \theta > 0\} \subset I$. Then $c_T(\theta) = 2h(b(\theta))$.

Note that the exact slopes always satisfy the inequality [6], [22]

$$c_T(\theta) \leq 2K(\theta), \quad \theta > 0, \tag{4}$$

where $K(\theta)$ is the Kullback-Leibler "distance" between the alternative and the null-hypothesis H_0 . In our case H_0 is composite, hence for any alternative density $g_j(x, \theta)$ one has

$$K_j(\theta) = \inf_{\lambda > 0} \int_0^{\infty} \ln[g_j(x, \theta)/\lambda \exp(-\lambda x)]g_j(x, \theta) dx.$$

This quantity can be easily calculated as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ for particular alternatives. According to (4), the local BE of the sequence of statistics T_n is defined as

$$e^B(T) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_T(\theta)}{2K(\theta)}.$$

Now we will give some examples of efficiency calculations. First consider the Makeham density

$$g_1(x, \theta) = (1 + \theta(1 - e^{-x})) \exp(-x - \theta(e^{-x} - 1 + x)), \theta \geq 0, x \geq 0,$$

and the corresponding df $G_1(x, \theta)$. According to the Law of Large Numbers for U - and V -statistics [17], the limit in probability under H_1 is equal to

$$b_1(\theta) = P_{\theta}(\max(X, Y, Z) < W) - P_{\theta}(\max(X, Y) + \frac{Z}{3} < W).$$

It is easy to show (see also [25]) that

$$b_1(\theta) \sim 4\theta \int_0^{\infty} \psi(s)h_1(s)ds,$$

where $h_1(s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}g_1(s, \theta) |_{\theta=0}$ and $\psi(s)$ is the projection from (3). Therefore for the Makeham alternative we have

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(\theta) &\sim 4\theta \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{8}e^{-s} - \frac{9}{16}e^{-2s} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-3s} - \frac{1}{12}e^{-s/3}\right)e^{-s}(2 - 2e^{-x} - x)ds = \\ &= \frac{3}{280}\theta, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the local exact slope of the sequence I_n as $\theta \rightarrow 0$ admits the representation

$$c_1(\theta) = b_1^2(\theta)/(16\Delta^2) \sim 0.055\theta^2.$$

The Kullback-Leibler "distance" $K_1(\theta)$ between the Makeham distribution and the null-hypothesis H_0 satisfies $K_1(\theta) \sim \frac{\theta^2}{24}$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Hence the local BE is equal to

$$e^B(I) = \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{c_1(\theta)}{2K_1(\theta)} \approx 0.654.$$

Consider the Weibull alternative with the density

$$g_2(x, \theta) = (1 + \theta)x^{\theta} \exp(-x^{1+\theta}), \theta \geq 0, x \geq 0,$$

and the corresponding df $G_2(x, \theta)$. After some calculations we have:

$$b_2(\theta) \sim \left(\frac{7}{16} \ln(3) - \frac{5}{8} \ln(2)\right)\theta \approx 0.047\theta, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0.$$

The local exact slope admits the representation $c_2(\theta) \sim 1.068\theta^2$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$, while $K_2(\theta) \sim \pi^2\theta^2/12$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, the local efficiency of the test is 0.649.

The third is the Lehmann alternative with d.f.

$$G_3(x, \theta) = F^{1+\theta}(x), \theta \geq 0, x \geq 0.$$

Omitting the calculations similar to previous cases we get $b_3(\theta) \sim \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{3}{8} \ln 3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{24}\pi\right)\theta$, $c_3(\theta) \sim 0.371\theta^2$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$. It is easy to show that $K_3(\theta) \sim \frac{\pi^2(12-\pi^2)}{72}\theta^2$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Therefore the local BE is equal to 0.636.

The last alternative is the gamma-density

$$g_4(x, \theta) = \frac{x^\theta}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)}e^{-x}, \theta \geq 0, x \geq 0.$$

In this case we get $b_4(\theta) \sim \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln(3) - \frac{3}{4} \ln(2)\right)\theta \approx 0.029\theta$, $c_4(\theta) \sim 0.412\theta^2$, $K_4(\theta) \sim \left(\frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta^2$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$. Hence the local BE is equal to 0.638.

Next table gathers the values of local BE.

Table 1: Local Bahadur efficiencies of the statistic S_n under alternatives.

Alternative	Makeham	Weibull	Lehmann	Gamma
Efficiency	0.654	0.649	0.636	0.638

4 Kolmogorov-type statistic D_n

Now we consider the Kolmogorov type statistic (2). Its indisputable merit is consistency against any alternative that follows directly from the characterization as such, while the integral statistic I_n is not always consistent.

In our case for fixed $t \geq 0$ the difference $H_n(t) - G_n(t)$ is a family of V -statistics with the kernels, depending on $t \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(X, Y, Z; t) = & \mathbf{1}\{\max(X, Y, Z) < t\} - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{1}\{\max(X, Y) + \frac{Z}{3} < t\} - \\ & - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{1}\{\max(Y, Z) + \frac{X}{3} < t\} - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{1}\{\max(X, Z) + \frac{Y}{3} < t\}. \end{aligned}$$

The projection of this kernel $\xi(s; t) := E(\Xi(X, Y, Z; t) \mid X = s)$ for fixed t has the form:

$$\xi(s; t) = P\{\max(s, Y, Z) < t\} - \frac{2}{3}P\{\max(s, Y) + \frac{Z}{3} < t\} - \frac{1}{3}P\{\max(Y, Z) + \frac{s}{3} < t\}.$$

After standard computation we get:

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\max(s, Y, Z) < t\} &= \mathbf{1}\{s < t\}F^2(t), \\ P\{\max(s, Y) + \frac{Z}{3} < t\} &= P(s < Y, Y + \frac{Z}{3} < t) + P(s > Y, s + \frac{Z}{3} < t) = \\ &= \mathbf{1}\{s < t\} \left(1 - e^{3s-3t} + \frac{3}{2}e^{2s-3t} - \frac{3}{2}e^{-t} \right), \\ P\{\max(Y, Z) + \frac{s}{3} < t\} &= \mathbf{1}\{s < 3t\}F^2(t - \frac{s}{3}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the results obtained, we find that the projection $\xi(s; t)$ for fixed t is equal:

$$\xi(s; t) = \mathbf{1}\{s < t\} \left[\frac{1}{3} - e^{-t} + e^{-2t} - e^{2s-3t} + \frac{2}{3}e^{3s-3t} \right] - \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{1}\{s < 3t\}(1 - e^{t-s/3})^2. \quad (5)$$

Now let find the variance function $\delta^2(t) = E\xi^2(X_1, t)$ of this projection under H_0 . We have after some simple calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(t) &= \frac{1}{5}e^{-t} - \frac{2}{3}e^{-2t} + \left(\frac{26}{9} - \frac{4}{3}t\right)e^{-3t} - \frac{43}{21}e^{-4t} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{10}e^{-5t} - \frac{4}{45}e^{-6t} - \frac{2}{7}e^{-5t/3} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-7t/3} + e^{-8t/3} - \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{5}e^{-10t/3} - 2e^{-11t/3} + 2e^{-13t/3}. \end{aligned}$$

It is seen that our family of kernels $\Xi(X, Y, Z; t)$ is non-degenerate in the sense of [24] and $\delta^2 = \sup_{t \geq 0} \delta^2(t) \approx 0.01119$. This value will be important in the sequel when calculating the large deviation asymptotics.

The limiting distribution of the statistic D_n is unknown. Using the methods of [32], one can show that the U -empirical process

$$\eta_n(t) = \sqrt{n}(H_n(t) - G_n(t)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

weakly converges in $D(0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to certain centered Gaussian process $\eta(t)$ with calculable covariance. Then the sequence of statistics $\sqrt{n}D_n$ converges in distribution to the rv $\sup_{t \geq 0} |\eta(t)|$ but currently it is impossible to find explicitly its distribution. Hence it is reasonable to determine the critical values for statistics D_n by simulation.




Figure 1: Plot of the function $\delta^2(t)$.

5 Large deviations and local efficiency of D_n

Now we obtain the logarithmic large deviation asymptotics of the sequence of statistics (2) under H_0 . The family of kernels $\{\Xi(X, Y, Z; t), t \geq 0\}$ is not only centered but bounded. Using the results from [24] on large deviations for the supremum of non-degenerate U - and V -statistics, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3. *For $a > 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \ln P(D_n > a) = -f_D(a),$$

where the function f_D is continuous for sufficiently small $a > 0$, moreover

$$f_D(a) = (18\delta^2)^{-1}a^2(1 + o(1)) \sim 4.966a^2, \text{ as } a \rightarrow 0.$$

To evaluate the efficiency, first consider the Makeham alternative with the density $g_1(x, \theta), \theta \geq 0, x \geq 0$ given above and corresponding df $G_1(x, \theta)$. By the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem for U - and V -statistics [15] the limit in probability under the alternative for statistics D_n is equal to

$$b_1(\theta) := \sup_{t \geq 0} |b_1(t, \theta)| = \sup_{t \geq 0} |P_\theta(\max(X, Y, Z) < t) - P_\theta(\max(X, Y) + \frac{Z}{3} < t)|.$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$b_1(t, \theta) \sim 3\theta \int_0^\infty \xi(s; t) h_1(s) ds,$$

where again $h_1(s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g_1(s, \theta) |_{\theta=0}$ and $\xi(s; t)$ is the projection defined above in (5). Hence for the Makeham alternative we have for $t \geq 0$:

$$b_1(t, \theta) \sim \left(\frac{3}{5}e^{-t} - \frac{9}{2}e^{-2t} + (1 + 6t)e^{-3t} + 3e^{-4t} - \frac{1}{10}e^{-6t} \right) \theta, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0.$$




Figure 2: Plot of the function $b_1(t, \theta)$, Makeham alt.

Thus $b_1(\theta) = \sup_{t \geq 0} |b_1(t, \theta)| \sim 0.032 \theta$, and it follows that the local exact slope of the sequence of statistics D_n admits the representation:

$$c_1(\theta) \sim b_1^2(\theta)/(9\delta^2) \sim 0.4599 \theta^2, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0.$$

The Kullback-Leibler "distance" in this case satisfies $K_1(\theta) \sim \frac{\theta^2}{24}$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$, and the local BE is 0.123.

Next we take the Weibull distribution, where the calculations are similar, and the local BE is equal to 0.079. In the case of the Lehmann density and the Gamma density we find that the local BE's are 0.330 and 0.066. We collect the values of local BE in the Table 2.

Alternative	Makeham	Weibull	Lehmann	Gamma
Efficiency	0.123	0.079	0.330	0.066

Table 2: Local Bahadur efficiencies of the statistic D_n .

We observe that the efficiencies for the Kolmogorov-type test are lower than for the integral test. However, it is the usual situation when testing goodness-of-fit [22], [30], [24]. Probably the low values of efficiencies for the Kolmogorov type test are related to the intrinsic properties of the underlying characterization of exponential law.

6 Conditions of local asymptotic optimality

The efficiency values of our tests for standard alternatives are far from maximal ones. Nevertheless, there exist such special alternatives (we call them "most favorable") for which our sequences of statistics I_n and D_n are locally asymptotically optimal (LAO) in Bahadur sense, see general theory in [22, Ch.6]. This means to describe the local structure of the alternatives for which the given statistic has maximal potential local efficiency so that the relation

$$c_T(\theta) \sim 2K(\theta), \theta \rightarrow 0,$$

holds, (see [22], [27]). Such alternatives form the domain of LAO for the given sequence of statistics.

Denote by \mathcal{G} the class of densities $g(\cdot, \theta)$ with the df $G(\cdot, \theta)$ which satisfy the regularity conditions listed below. Consider the functions

$$H(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} G(x, \theta) |_{\theta=0}, \quad h(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(x, \theta) |_{\theta=0}.$$

Suppose also that the following regularity conditions hold:

$$h(x) = H'(x), \quad x \geq 0, \quad \int_0^\infty h^2(x) e^x dx < \infty, \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_0^\infty x g(x, \theta) dx |_{\theta=0} = \int_0^\infty x h(x) dx. \quad (7)$$

It is easy to show, see also [27], that under these conditions

$$2K(\theta) \sim \left\{ \int_0^\infty h^2(x) e^x dx - \left(\int_0^\infty x h(x) dx \right)^2 \right\} \theta^2, \quad \theta \rightarrow 0.$$

Let introduce the auxiliary function

$$h_0(x) = h(x) - (x-1) \exp(-x) \int_0^\infty u h(u) du. \quad (8)$$

First we consider the integral statistic I_n with kernel $\Psi(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4)$ and the projection $\psi(x)$ from (3) with corresponding variance Δ^2 of the projection.

Theorem 4. *Under regularity conditions (6)-(7) the alternative densities $g(x, \theta)$ constitute the LAO class in the class \mathcal{G} for the integral statistic I_n iff they have the form*

$$h(x) = g_\theta(x, 0) = e^{-x} (C_1 \psi(x) + C_2 (x-1))$$

for some constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. We recall that for the integral statistic (1) we have $b_I(\theta) \sim 4\theta \int_0^\infty \psi(x)h(x)dx$. It is straightforward that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty h^2(x)e^x dx - \left(\int_0^\infty xh(x)dx\right)^2 &= \int_0^\infty h_0^2(x)e^x dx, \\ \int_0^\infty \psi(x)h(x)dx &= \int_0^\infty \psi(x)h_0(x)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the local BE takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} e^B(I) &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} b_I^2(\theta) / (32\Delta^2 K(\theta)) = \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \psi(x)h_0(x)dx \right)^2 / \left(\int_0^\infty \psi^2(x)e^{-x} dx \cdot \int_0^\infty h_0^2(x)e^x dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

The local Bahadur asymptotic optimality means that the expression in the right-hand side is equal to 1. It follows from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, see also [25], that it happens iff $h_0(x) = C_1 e^{-x} \psi(x)$ for some constants $C_1 > 0$, so that $h(x) = e^{-x}(C_1 \psi(x) + C_2(x-1))$ for some constants $C_1 > 0$ and C_2 . \square

The example of such alternative is the density $g(x, \theta)$ which for small $\theta > 0$ satisfies the formula

$$g(x, \theta) = e^{-x} \left(1 + \theta \left(\frac{3}{8}e^{-x} - \frac{9}{16}e^{-2x} + \frac{1}{4}e^{-3x} - \frac{1}{12}e^{-x/3} \right) \right), x \geq 0.$$

Now consider the Kolmogorov-type statistic (2) with the family of kernels $\Xi(X, Y, Z; t)$ and the projection $\xi(x; t)$ from (5) with corresponding variances $\delta^2(t)$ of these projections.

Theorem 5. *Under regularity conditions (6)-(7) the alternative densities $g(x, \theta)$ form the domain of LAO in the class \mathcal{G} for the statistic D_n iff the function $h(x)$ has the form*

$$h(x) = e^{-x}(C_1 \xi(x; t_0) + C_2(x-1))$$

for

$$t_0 = \arg \max_{t \geq 0} \delta^2(t) \tag{9}$$

and some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. In this case we recall that for the statistic (2) we have

$$b_D(t, \theta) \sim 3\theta \int_0^\infty \xi(x; t)h(x)dx,$$

Therefore the local BE is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} e^B(D) &= \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} b_D^2(\theta) / \sup_{t \geq 0} (18\delta^2(t)) K(\theta) = \\ &= \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\int_0^\infty \xi(x; t)h_0(x)dx \right)^2 / \sup_{t \geq 0} \left(\int_0^\infty \xi^2(x; t)e^{-x} dx \cdot \int_0^\infty h_0^2(x)e^x dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the sequence of statistics D_n is locally optimal iff $h_0(x) = e^{-x}C_1 \xi(x; t_0)$ for t_0 from (9) and some constants $C_1 > 0$, C_2 . Using (8) we complete the proof. \square

The simplest example of such alternative density $g(x, \theta)$ for small $\theta > 0$ is given by the formula

$$g(x, \theta) = e^{-x}(1 + \theta \mathbf{1}\{x < t_0\} \left[\frac{1}{3} - e^{-t_0} + e^{-2t_0} - e^{2x-3t_0} + \frac{2}{3}e^{3x-3t_0} \right] - \frac{\theta}{3} \mathbf{1}\{x < 3t_0\}(1 - e^{t_0-x/3})^2), x \geq 0,$$

where t_0 is from (9).

References

- [1] I. Ahmad, I. Alwasel. A goodness-of-fit test for exponentiality based on the memoryless property. —J. Roy. Statist. Soc. **61**, Pt.3 (1999), 681 – 689.
- [2] M. Ahsanullah, G. G. Hamedani. Exponential Distribution: Theory and Methods. NOVA Science, New York, 2010.
- [3] B. C. Arnold, J. A. Villasenor. Exponential characterizations motivated by the structure of order statistics in samples of size two. — Statist. and Probab. Letters **83** (2013), 596 – 601.
- [4] J. E. Angus. Goodness-of-fit tests for exponentiality based on a loss-of-memory type functional equation.— J. Statist. Plann. Infer. **6** (1982), 241 – 251.
- [5] S. Asher. A survey of tests for exponentiality. —Commun. Statist. Theory and Methods **19** (1990), 1811 – 1825.
- [6] R. R. Bahadur. Some limit theorems in statistics. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1971.
- [7] N. Balakrishnan, A. Basu. The exponential distribution: theory, methods and applications. Gordon and Breach, Langhorne, PA, 1995.
- [8] L. Baringhaus, N. Henze. Tests of fit for exponentiality based on a characterization via the mean residual life function. — Statist. Papers **41** (2000), 225 – 236.
- [9] S.Chakraborty, G.P. Yanev. Characterization of exponential distribution through equidistribution conditions for consecutive maxima. J.Stat. Appl.Pro., 2(2013), N 3, 1-6.
- [10] A. DasGupta, Asymptotic Theory of Statistics and Probability. Springer, New York, 2008.

- [11] K. A. Doksum, B. S. Yandell. Tests of exponentiality. — Handbook of Statist. **4** (1984), 579–612.
- [12] R. Helmers, P. Janssen, R. Serfling. Glivenko-Cantelli properties of some generalized empirical DF's and strong convergence of generalized L-statistics. — Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **79** (1988), 75–93.
- [13] N. Henze, S. Meintanis. Goodness-of-fit tests based on a new characterization of the exponential distribution. — Commun. in Statist. Theor. Meth. **31** (2002), 1479 – 1497.
- [14] W. Hoeffding. A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distribution. — Ann. Math. Statist., **19** (1948), 293-325.
- [15] P. L. Janssen. Generalized empirical distribution functions with statistical applications. Limburgs Universitair Centrum, Diepenbeek, 1988.
- [16] H.M. Jansen Van Rensburg, J.W.H. Swanepoel. A class of goodness-of-fit tests based on a new characterization of the exponential distribution. Journ. of Nonparam. Stat., 20(2008), N 6, 539 - 551.
- [17] V. S. Korolyuk, Yu. V. Borovskikh. Theory of U -statistics. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1994.
- [18] H. L. Koul. A test for new better than used. —Commun. Statist. Theory and Meth.**6** (1977), 563 – 574.
- [19] H. L. Koul. Testing for new is better than used in expectation. —Commun. Statist. Theory and Meth. **7** (1978), 685 – 701.
- [20] V. V. Litvinova. Asymptotic properties of goodness-of-fit and symmetry tests based on characterizations. Ph.D. Thesis. Saint-Petersburg University, 2004.
- [21] P. Nabendu, J. Chun, R. Crouse. Handbook of exponential and related distributions for engineers and scientists. Chapman and Hall, 2002.
- [22] Y. Nikitin. Asymptotic efficiency of nonparametric tests. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995.
- [23] Ya. Yu. Nikitin. Bahadur efficiency of a test of exponentiality based on a loss of memory type functional equation. J. Nonparam. Stat., **6** (1996), N 1, 1326.
- [24] Ya. Yu. Nikitin. Large deviations of U -empirical Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, and their efficiency. — J. Nonparam. Stat., **22** (2010), 649 – 668.

- [25] Ya. Yu. Nikitin, I. Peaucell. Efficiency and local optimality of distribution-free tests based on U - and V - statistics. *Metron* **LXII** (2004), 185 - 200.
- [26] Ya. Yu. Nikitin, E. V. Ponikarov. Rough large deviation asymptotics of Chernoff type for von Mises functionals and U -statistics. —*Proc. of St.Petersburg Math. Society* **7** (1999), 124–167. Engl. transl. in *AMS Transl., ser.2* **203** (2001), 107 - 146.
- [27] Ya. Yu. Nikitin, A. V. Tchirina. Bahadur efficiency and local optimality of a test for the exponential distribution based on the Gini statistic.— *Statist. Meth. and Appl.*, **5** (1996), 163 –175.
- [28] Ya. Yu. Nikitin, K. Yu. Volkova. Asymptotic efficiency of exponentiality tests based on order statistics characterization. — *Georgian Math. Journ.*, **17** (2010), 749 – 763.
- [29] H.A.Noughabi, N.R.Arghamia. Testing exponentiality based on characterizations of the exponential distribution. *J. of Statist. Comp. and Simul.*, 81(2011), N 11, 1641-1651.
- [30] R. F. Rank, *Statistische Anpassungstests und Wahrscheinlichkeiten grosser Abweichungen. Vom Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Hannover zur Erlangung des Grades Doktor der Naturwissenschaften Dr. rer.nat. genehmigte Dissertation, Hannover, 1999.*
- [31] J. S. Rao, E. Taufer. The use of Mean Residual Life to test departures from Exponentiality. — *J. Nonparam. Statist.***18** (2006), 277 – 292.
- [32] B. W. Silverman. Convergence of a class of empirical distribution functions of dependent random variables.— *Ann. Probab.* **11** (1983), 745-751.
- [33] H. S. Wieand. A condition under which the Pitman and Bahadur approaches to efficiency coincide. — *Ann. Statist.* **4** (1976), 1003 – 1011.
- [34] G.P. Yanev, S.Chakraborty. Characterizations of exponential distribution based on sample of size three. *Pliska Studia Mathem. Bulgarica* 23(2013), 237 - 244.