

RATIONAL SIMPLICIAL GEOMETRY AND PROJECTIVE UNITAL LATTICE-ORDERED ABELIAN GROUPS

LEONARDO MANUEL CABRER

ABSTRACT. A *unital ℓ -group* is an abelian group equipped with a translation invariant lattice-order and with a distinguished *strong unit*, i.e. an element whose positive integer multiples eventually dominate every element of G . If X is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , the set $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of real-valued piecewise linear maps with integer coefficients, whose addition and lattice operations defined pointwise and whose distinguished element is the constant map 1, is a unital ℓ -group.

In this paper we provide a geometric description of finitely generated projective unital ℓ -groups. We prove that a finitely unital ℓ -group is projective if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(P)$ for some polyhedron P which is rational, contractible, contains an integer point, and satisfies an elementary arithmetical-topological property.

1. INTRODUCTION

An ℓ -group G is an abelian group equipped with a translation invariant lattice-order. A (unital) ℓ -group (G, u) is (*regular*) *projective* if whenever $\psi: (A, a) \rightarrow (B, b)$ is a surjective (unital) ℓ -homomorphism and $\phi: (G, u) \rightarrow (B, b)$ is a (unital) ℓ -homomorphism (i.e. preserve the lattice and group structure and the unit), there is a unital ℓ -homomorphism $\theta: (G, u) \rightarrow (A, a)$ such that $\phi = \psi \circ \theta$. Baker [1] and Beynon [3] proved that an ℓ -group G is finitely generated projective if and only if it is finitely presented. The characterisation of projective *unital* ℓ -groups is far more delicate.

Given a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ (see Section 3.1), we let $\mathcal{M}(P)$ denote the set of all continuous functions $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having the following property: there are finitely many linear (in the affine sense) polynomials p_1, \dots, p_m with integer coefficients, such that for all $x \in [0, 1]^n$ there is $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $f(x) = p_i(x)$. The set $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is the universe of a subalgebra of the unital ℓ -group $(\mathbb{R}, 1)^P$. Therefore, $\mathcal{M}(P)$ carries a natural structure of unital ℓ -group, where the lattice operations and the group operations are defined pointwise from \mathbb{R} and the strong unit is the constant map $v \in P \mapsto 1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

In [5] the author and D. Mundici presented a characterisation of projective unital ℓ -groups as those isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(P)$ where the rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ is a special kind of retract of $[0, 1]^n$ (for some $n = 1, 2, \dots$) called \mathbb{Z} -retract. Since \mathbb{Z} -retract are continuous retractions of cubes, they are trivially *contractible*, that is, homotopically equivalent to a point (see for example [7, Chapter 0]). Another important property of \mathbb{Z} -retracts is that they are *strongly regular* (see [5, Definition 3.1] and subsection 3.2). In [4, Theorem 4.17], we observe how strong regularity is connected with the notion of anchored polytopes defined by Jeřábek in [8]. In Lemma 3.6 we prove that a rational polyhedron P is strongly regular if and only if for each $v \in P \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment $\text{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ is contained in P . Using this result an equivalent presentation of [5, Theorem 4.5] is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *If the unital ℓ -group (G, u) is finitely generated and projective, then there exist $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ such that $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}(P)$ and P satisfies the following conditions:*

Date: November 27, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 06F20, 55U10. Secondary: 08B30, 52B20, 06D35.

Key words and phrases. Lattice-ordered abelian group, strong unit, projective, rational polyhedron, retract, contractibility, collapsibility, strong regularity.

This research was supported by a Marie Curie Intra European Fellowship within the 7th European Community Framework Program (ref. 299401-FP7-PEOPLE-2011-IEF).

- (i) P is contractible,
- (ii) $P \cap \{0, 1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and
- (iii) for each $v \in P \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment $\text{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ is contained in P .

This paper is devoted to proving the converse of Theorem 1.1. In the special case when the rational polyhedron P is a finite union of 1-simplexes, the converse was already proved in [5, Corollary 5.4], but the general case has remained open until now.

In [9], Mundici proved that unital ℓ -groups are categorically equivalent to the variety of MV-algebras (the algebraic semantic of Lukasiewicz infinite valued logic). Since (regular) projective objects are preserved under categorical equivalences, the main result in this paper provides also a complete description of projective MV-algebras. Moreover, this paper solves the sixth problem in the list of open problems presented by Mundici in [10].

2. SIMPLICIAL GEOMETRY

In this section we recall some definitions and results about simplicial complexes used in the rest of the paper. We refer the reader to [6] and [12] for background in elementary polyhedral topology and simplicial complexes.

2.1. Triangulations and subdivisions. During the rest of the paper, unless otherwise stated, m and n will denote positive integers, that is, $m, n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

For each $0 \leq k \leq n$, a k -simplex $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull $S = \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_k)$ of affinely independent points $v_0, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We let $\text{vert}(S) = \{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ denote the set of vertices of S . For any $F \subseteq \text{vert}(S)$ (including the empty set), the convex hull $\text{conv}(F)$ is called a *face* of S .

A *polyhedron* P in \mathbb{R}^n is a finite union of (always closed) simplexes $P = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_t$ in \mathbb{R}^n . Every simplicial complex considered in this paper will have only finitely many simplexes. For any simplicial complex Δ , its *support* $|\Delta|$ is the point-set union of all simplexes of Δ . The set of its vertices is denoted by $\text{vert}(\Delta)$, that is, $\text{vert}(\Delta)$ is the set of the vertices of its simplexes $\text{vert}(\Delta) = \bigcup \{\text{vert}(S) \mid S \in \Delta\}$. Given a polyhedron P , a *triangulation* of P is a simplicial complex Δ such that $P = |\Delta|$.

Given a polyhedron P and triangulations Δ and Σ of P we say that Δ is a *subdivision* of Σ if every simplex of Δ is contained in a simplex of Σ . For any point $p \in P$, let $\Delta_{(p)}$ be the subdivision of Δ given by replacing every simplex $S \in \Delta$ that contains p by the set of all simplexes of the form $\text{conv}(F \cup \{p\})$, where F is any (including the empty) face of S that does not contain p . The triangulation $\Delta_{(p)}$ of P is called the *elementary stellar subdivision* $\Delta_{(p)}$ of Δ at p [6, Definition III.2.1]. Given two triangulations Δ and ∇ of P , ∇ is said to be a *stellar subdivision* of Δ if there exists a finite sequence $p_1, \dots, p_m \in P$ such that, writing $\Delta_0 = \Delta$ and $\Delta_i = (\Delta_{i-1})_{(p_i)}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, it follows that $\nabla = \Delta_m$.

Lemma 2.1 ([13, Theorem 1]). *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron and Δ and Σ be triangulations of P . There exists a stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ that is a subdivision of Σ . Moreover, if $S \in \Delta$ is such that $S \subseteq T$ for some $T \in \Sigma$, then $S \in \nabla$.*

Corollary 2.2. *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be polyhedra and Δ a triangulation of Q . Then there exists a stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ such that*

- (i) the simplicial complex $\nabla_P = \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P , and
- (ii) if $S \in \Delta$ is such that $S \subseteq P$, then $S \in \nabla$.

Given polyhedra $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, a map $\eta: P \rightarrow Q$ is said to be (*continuous*) *piecewise-linear* if there exists a triangulation Δ of P such that for each $T \in \Delta$ the restriction $\eta|_T$ of η to T is an affine linear map. In this case we say that η and Δ are *compatible*.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be polyhedra, and let Δ and ∇ be triangulations of P and Q , respectively. If $\eta: P \rightarrow Q$ is a piecewise linear map compatible with Δ , there exists a stellar subdivision Δ' of Δ such that*

- (i) for each $S \in \Delta'$, there exists $T \in \nabla$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, and

(ii) if $S \in \Delta$ is such that there exists $T \in \nabla$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, then $S \in \Delta'$.

Proof. Let T_1, \dots, T_s be the maximal simplexes in ∇ . Then $\eta^{-1}(T_i)$ is a polyhedron for each $i = 1, \dots, s$. Repeated applications of Corollary 2.2, provide a triangulation Δ' of P with the following properties:

- (i) Δ' is a stellar subdivision of Δ , and
- (ii) for each $i = 1, \dots, s$ the simplicial complex $\Delta'_i = \{S \in \Delta' \mid S \subseteq \eta^{-1}(T_i)\}$ is a triangulation of $\eta^{-1}(T_i)$.

Since $P = \eta^{-1}(Q) = \eta^{-1}(T_1) \cup \dots \cup \eta^{-1}(T_s)$, condition (ii) is to the effect that each $S \in \Delta'$ is contained in $\eta^{-1}(T_i)$ for some $i = 1, \dots, s$. Therefore, $S \subseteq \eta^{-1}(T_i)$, that is, $\eta(S) \subseteq T_i$. \square

2.2. Abstract simplicial complexes and collapses. Let us recall that a (*finite*) *abstract simplicial complex* is a pair (V, Σ) , where V is a finite set, whose elements are called the *vertices* of (V, Σ) , and Σ is a collection of subsets of V whose union is V , having the property that every subset of an element of Σ is again an element of Σ .

Two abstract simplicial complexes (V, Σ) and (V', Σ') are said to be *isomorphic* if there is a one-one map γ from V onto V' such that

$$\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \in \Sigma \text{ if and only if } \{\gamma(w_1), \dots, \gamma(w_k)\} \in \Sigma'$$

for each $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq V$.

For every complex Δ , the *skeleton* of Δ is the abstract simplicial complex $(\text{vert}(\Delta), \Sigma_\Delta)$, where

$$\Sigma_\Delta = \{\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq \text{vert}(\Delta) \mid \text{conv}(w_1, \dots, w_k) \in \Delta\}.$$

Two simplicial complexes Δ and ∇ are *simplicially isomorphic* if their skeletons are isomorphic.

A face F of a simplex S is called a *facet* of S if it is maximal among the proper faces of S . A simplex T of a simplicial complex ∇ in $[0, 1]^n$ has a *free face* F (relative to ∇) if F is a facet of T , but F is a face of no other simplex of ∇ . It follows that T is a maximal simplex of ∇ , and the removal of both T and F from ∇ results in the subcomplex $\nabla' = \nabla \setminus \{T, F\}$ of ∇ . The transition from ∇ to ∇' is an *elementary collapse* [6, Definition III.7.2]. (In [14, p.247], elementary collapses are called “elementary contractions”.) If a simplicial complex Δ can be obtained from ∇ by a sequence of elementary collapses we say that ∇ *collapses to* Δ . We say that ∇ is *collapsible* if it collapses to (the simplicial complex consisting of) one of its vertices.

Example 2.4. For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and points $v_0, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the convex hull $\text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_k)$ admits a collapsible triangulation Δ . Further, Δ can be obtained in such a way that $\text{vert}(\Delta) \subseteq \{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ (see for example [6, Theorem 2.6]).

In particular, the cube $[0, 1]^n$ admits a collapsible triangulation. Indeed, an example of a collapsible triangulation of the cube $[0, 1]^n$ is given by the *standard triangulation* [11, p. 60], formed by the simplexes $\text{conv}(C)$ whenever C is a chain in $\{0, 1\}^n$ with the product order

The following result is a direct application of [14, Theorem 4].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polyhedron and Δ a triangulation of P . If Δ is collapsible, then every stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ is collapsible.*

3. RATIONAL POLYHEDRA AND \mathbb{Z} -MAPS

3.1. Rational polyhedra and triangulations. A simplex S is said to be *rational* if the coordinates of each $v \in \text{vert}(S)$ are rational numbers. A set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *rational polyhedron* if there are rational simplexes $T_1, \dots, T_l \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $P = T_1 \cup \dots \cup T_l$.

We say that a simplicial complex Δ is *rational* if all simplexes of Δ are rational. Given a rational polyhedron P , a *rational triangulation* of P is a triangulation of P that is a rational simplicial complex.

Theorem 3.1 ([2, Theorem 1]). *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational polyhedron, and let Δ be a triangulation of P with vertices v_1, \dots, v_k . For any $0 < \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a rational triangulation ∇ of P with vertices w_1, \dots, w_k such that:*

- (i) $\|v_i - w_i\| < \varepsilon$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, and

- (ii) the map sending the vertex v_i of Δ to the vertex w_i of ∇ defines a simplicial isomorphism between Δ and ∇ .

Corollary 3.2. *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that P is a rational polyhedron and Q a convex polyhedron. Let Δ be a triangulation of Q such that the simplicial complex $\Delta_P = \{S \in \Delta \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P . Then there is triangulation ∇ of Q such that:*

- (i) the simplicial complex $\nabla_P = \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a rational triangulation of P , and
(ii) ∇ and Δ are simplicially isomorphic.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be rational polyhedra, Δ a (not necessarily rational) triangulation of P and ∇ a rational triangulation of Q . Let $\eta: P \rightarrow Q$ be piecewise linear map compatible with Δ . If η is such that for each $S \in \Delta$ there exists $T \in \nabla$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, then there exists $\eta': P \rightarrow Q$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) η' is a piecewise linear map compatible with Δ ,
(ii) for each $v \in \text{vert}(\Delta)$, $\eta'(v)$ is a rational point, and
(iii) for each $v \in \text{vert}(\Delta)$, if $\eta(v)$ is a rational point, then $\eta(v) = \eta'(v)$.

Proof. Let v_1, \dots, v_k be the vertices of Δ such that $\eta(v_i)$ is not a rational point in Q . For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $T_i \in \nabla$ be the minimal simplex such that $\eta(v_i) \in T_i$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$ let us, arbitrarily, fix $w_i \in \text{vert}(T_i)$. Let $\eta': P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ such that

$$\eta'(v) = \begin{cases} w_i & \text{if } v = v_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, k, \\ \eta(v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that $\eta'(P) \subseteq Q$. Indeed, let $S \in \Delta$. If $\eta(v) = \eta'(v)$ for each $v \in \text{vert}(S)$ (that is, $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cap S = \emptyset$), then $\eta'(S) = \eta(S)$. Now assume that $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cap S \neq \emptyset$. By hypothesis, there exists $T \in \nabla$ such that $\eta(S) \subseteq T$. For each $v_i \in \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \cap S$, by the minimality of T_i we have the inclusion $T_i \subseteq T$, whence $\eta'(v_i) \in T$. Therefore, $\eta'(S) = \text{conv}(\eta'(\text{vert}(S))) \subseteq T \subseteq Q$. \square

3.2. Regular and strongly regular triangulations. Recall that for any rational point v in \mathbb{Q}^n we let $\text{den}(v)$ denote the lowest common denominator of the coordinates of v . The vector $\tilde{v} = \text{den}(v)(v, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is called the *homogeneous correspondent* of v . A simplex $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *regular* if the set of homogeneous correspondents of its vertices is part of a basis of the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} . By a *regular triangulation* of a rational polyhedron P we understand a rational triangulation of P consisting of regular simplexes.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be polyhedra and Δ a triangulation of Q such that the simplicial complex $\Delta_P = \{S \in \Delta \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P . If P is a rational polyhedron and Δ_P is a rational triangulation of P , then there exists a stellar subdivision ∇ of Δ , such that the simplicial complex $\nabla_P = \{S \in \nabla \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a regular triangulation of P .*

Proof. The affine version of [6, Theorem 8.5] yields a regular triangulation Σ of P that is a stellar subdivision of Δ_P . \square

A simplex S is said to be *strongly regular* if it is regular and the greatest common divisor of the denominators of the vertices of S is equal to 1. A rational triangulation Δ of a rational polyhedron P is said to be *strongly regular* if each maximal simplex of Δ is strongly regular.

Lemma 3.5 ([5, Lemma 3.3]). *Let Δ and ∇ be regular triangulations of a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Then Δ is strongly regular if and only if ∇ is.*

Lemma 3.6. *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rational polyhedron. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) there exists a strongly regular triangulation of P ;
(ii) for each rational point $v \in P$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment $\text{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ is contained in P .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $v \in P \cap \mathbb{Q}^n$. If $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the claim follows trivially by setting $w = v$ since $\text{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v)) = \{v\} \subseteq P$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. So assume that $v \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let Δ be a strongly regular triangulation of P and S be a strongly regular simplex in Δ such that $v \in S$. By [4,

Theorem 4.4], there exists $u \in S$ such that $\gcd(\text{den}(v), \text{den}(w)) = 1$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $a > 0$ and $a \text{den}(v) + b \text{den}(w) = 1$. Since $v \notin \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\text{den}(v) \neq 1$ and $b \neq 1$. Let us set $w = a \text{den}(v)v + b \text{den}(u)u \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon = 1/(b \text{den}(u))$. Then $v + \varepsilon(w - v) = u$ and $\text{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v)) \subseteq S \subseteq P$, which proves that P satisfies condition (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) This is just an application of [8, Lemma 4.1] and [4, Theorem 4.17]. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let S be a strongly regular simplex. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots$ there exists a rational point $v \in S$ such that $\gcd(k, \text{den}(v)) = 1$.*

Proof. By [5, Lemma 3.4], for a suitably large $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$, there exists a rational point $v \in S$ such that $\text{den}(v)$ divides $(k \cdot m) + 1$. Therefore, $\text{den}(v)$ and k are coprime. \square

3.3. \mathbb{Z} -maps and weighted abstract simplicial complexes. Take $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ rational polyhedra, and $\eta: P \rightarrow Q$ a piecewise linear map. If there is a triangulation Δ of P such that the restriction of η to every simplex T of Δ coincides on T with an (affine) linear map $\eta_T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ with integer coefficients, then η is called a \mathbb{Z} -map. In other words, η is a \mathbb{Z} -map if and only if it is a piecewise linear map and each linear piece has integer coefficients. Since \mathbb{Z} -maps are piecewise linear, if a \mathbb{Z} -map η is compatible with a rational triangulation Δ , then η is uniquely determined by its values on $\text{vert}(\Delta)$.

Lemma 3.8 ([10, Lemma 3.7]). *Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be rational polyhedra and Δ a regular triangulation of P . If $\eta: P \rightarrow Q$ is a piecewise linear map compatible with Δ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) η is a \mathbb{Z} -map;
- (ii) for each $v \in \text{vert}(\Delta)$, $\eta(v) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ and $\text{den}(\eta(v))$ divides $\text{den } v$.

A *weighted abstract simplicial complex* is a triple (V, Σ, ω) where (V, Σ) is an (always finite) abstract simplicial complex and ω is a map from V into the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{W} = (V, \Sigma, \omega)$ be a weighted abstract simplicial complex with vertex set $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{R}^n . We then use the notation $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ for the complex whose vertices are

$$v'_1 = e_1/\omega(v_1), \dots, v'_n = e_n/\omega(v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and whose k -simplexes ($k = 0, \dots, n$) are given by

$$\text{conv}(v'_{i(0)}, \dots, v'_{i(k)}) \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{W}} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \{v_{i(0)}, \dots, v_{i(k)}\} \in \Sigma.$$

Trivially, $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ is a regular triangulation of the polyhedron $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}| \subseteq [0, 1]^n$. The polyhedron $|\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}|$ is called the *geometric realisation* of \mathfrak{W} .

4. \mathbb{Z} -RETRACTS AND FINITELY GENERATED PROJECTIVE UNITAL ℓ -GROUPS

Definition 4.1. A rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ is said to be a \mathbb{Z} -retract if there exists a \mathbb{Z} -map $\eta: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$ such that

$$\eta(v) = v \text{ for each } v \in P.$$

The following result shows that the notion of \mathbb{Z} -retract is independent of the cube $[0, 1]^n$.

Lemma 4.2 ([5, Lemma 4.2]). *Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a rational polyhedron. If there is $m \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and \mathbb{Z} -maps $\mu: [0, 1]^m \rightarrow P$ and $\nu: P \rightarrow [0, 1]^m$ such that $\mu(\nu(v)) = v$ for each $v \in P$, then P is a \mathbb{Z} -retract.*

By definition, $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is the set of all real-valued \mathbb{Z} -maps defined on P . The connection between \mathbb{Z} -retracts and finitely generated unital ℓ -groups is given by the following:

Theorem 4.3 ([5, Theorem 4.1]). *A unital ℓ -group (G, u) is finitely generated projective if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}(P)$ for some \mathbb{Z} -retract P .*

In Theorem 4.7, the main result of this paper, we present necessary and sufficient conditions for a rational polyhedron to be a \mathbb{Z} -retract. The proof relies on the following three results:

Theorem 4.4 (Whitehead's Theorem–contractible case [7, Theorem 4.5]). *Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a polyhedron. If P is contractible, then P is a deformation retract of $[0, 1]^n$, that is, there exists a retraction $f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$ homotopically equivalent to the identity on $[0, 1]^n$ relative to P .*

Theorem 4.5 (Relative Simplicial Approximation Theorem [12, Theorem 3.2.1]). *Let $P \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ be polyhedra and $f: Q \rightarrow R$ be a continuous map such that $f|_P$ is a piecewise linear. Then there exists a piecewise linear map $g: Q \rightarrow R$ homotopically equivalent to f such that $g|_P = f|_P$.*

Theorem 4.6 ([5, Theorem 5.1]). *Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a polyhedron. Assume*

- (i) P has a collapsible triangulation ∇ ,
- (ii) P contains a vertex v of $[0, 1]^n$, and
- (iii) P has a strongly regular triangulation Δ .

Then P is a \mathbb{Z} -retract.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a rational polyhedron. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) P is a \mathbb{Z} -retract.
- (b) P has the following properties:
 - (i) P is contractible,
 - (ii) $P \cap \{0, 1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and
 - (iii) P has a strongly regular triangulation.

Proof. Theorems 1.1 and 4.3 take care of (a) \Rightarrow (b).

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Assume that P satisfies (i)–(iii). We divide the proof into two parts. The first part is devoted to constructing a map $\eta: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$ and a (not necessarily rational) triangulation Δ of $[0, 1]^n$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) η is a continuous retract onto P ;
- (b) η is piecewise linear;
- (c) Δ is collapsible;
- (d) η and Δ are compatible;
- (e) the simplicial complex $\Delta_P = \{S \in \Delta \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P ;
- (f) Δ_P is rational and regular;
- (g) $\eta(v)$ is a rational point for each $v \in \text{vert}(\Delta)$;
- (h) for each n -simplex $\text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_n) \in \Delta$,

$$\gcd(\text{den}(\eta(v_0)), \dots, \text{den}(\eta(v_n))) = 1.$$

In the second part, using η and Δ , we will prove that P is a \mathbb{Z} -retract.

Part 1: The map η and the triangulation Δ , satisfying (a)–(h) above, are obtained in a series of steps. Each step provides a map and/or a triangulation that increasingly satisfy the conditions listed above. We label the steps with the capitalised letter of the label of the property that the new map and/or the triangulation obtained will have—in addition to the ones already satisfied by the previous maps and triangulations.

Step (A): By Theorem 4.4, there exists a continuous retraction $\eta_a: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$.

Step (B): An application of the Simplicial Approximation Theorem (Theorem 4.5) provides a continuous piecewise linear map $\eta_b: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$ such that $\eta_b|_P = \eta_a|_P$. Therefore, η_b is also a continuous retraction of $[0, 1]^n$ onto P .

Step (C): Let Δ_c be the standard triangulation of $[0, 1]^n$ in Example 2.4. (Any other collapsible triangulation of $[0, 1]^n$ would do.)

Step (D): Let Σ be a triangulation of $[0, 1]^n$ such that $\eta_b|_S$ is linear for each $S \in \Sigma$. Lemma 2.1 yields a stellar subdivision Δ_d of Δ_c such that Δ_d is also a subdivision of Σ . Therefore, η_b is linear on each simplex of Δ_d , and by Lemma 2.5, Δ_d is collapsible.

Step (E): Since $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ is a rational polyhedron, Corollary 2.2 yields a stellar subdivision Δ_e of Δ_d such that the simplicial complex $(\Delta_e)_P = \{S \in \Delta_e \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a triangulation of P . Clearly η_b and Δ_e are compatible, and by Lemma 2.5, Δ_e is collapsible since Δ_d is.

Step (F): An application of Corollary 3.2 to Δ_e provides a triangulation Δ'_e of $[0, 1]^n$ that is simplicially isomorphic to Δ_e and such that the simplicial complex $(\Delta'_e)_P = \{S \in \Delta'_e \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a rational triangulation of P . Now Lemma 3.4 gives a stellar subdivision Δ_f of Δ'_e such that the simplicial complex $(\Delta_f)_P = \{S \in \Delta_f \mid S \subseteq P\}$ is a regular triangulation of P . Since Δ_e is collapsible and Δ'_e is simplicially isomorphic to Δ_e , the triangulation Δ'_e of $[0, 1]^n$ is collapsible, whence so is its stellar subdivision Δ_f .

Step (G): Let $\eta_f: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ_f such that

$$\eta_f(v) = \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v \in \text{vert}(\Delta_f) \cap P, \\ \eta_b(v) & \text{if } v \in \text{vert}(\Delta_f) \setminus P. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Corollary 2.3 yields a stellar subdivision Δ_g of Δ_f satisfying the following conditions:

(G-i) for each $S \in \Delta_g$ there is $T \in (\Delta_f)_P$ such that $\eta_f(S) \subseteq T$;

(G-ii) if $S \in \Delta_f$ is such that there exists $T \in (\Delta_f)_P$ with $\eta(S) \subseteq T$, then $S \in \Delta_g$.

Let $S \in (\Delta_f)_P$. Since $\eta_f(S) = S$, from (G-ii) it follows that $S \in (\Delta_g)_P = \{T \in \Delta_g \mid T \subseteq P\}$. Therefore,

$$(\Delta_f)_P = (\Delta_g)_P. \quad (2)$$

Since Δ_f is a stellar subdivision of Δ_g , the map η_f is compatible with Δ_g . An application of Lemma 3.3 provides a map $\eta_g: [0, 1]^n \rightarrow P$ such that

(G-iii) for each $v \in \text{vert}(\Delta_g)$ the point $\eta_g(v)$ is rational, and

(G-iv) for each $v \in \text{vert}(\Delta_g)$, if $\eta_f(v)$ is a rational point then $\eta_g(v) = \eta_f(v)$.

Since $(\Delta_f)_P$ is a rational triangulation of P , each vertex of $(\Delta_f)_P$ is a rational point. Thus from condition (G-iv) and (1) it follows that

$$\eta_g(v) = \eta_f(v) = v \quad \text{for each } v \in P. \quad (3)$$

By Lemma 2.5, Δ_g is collapsible since Δ_f is.

Step (H): Since Δ_g is a triangulation of $[0, 1]^n$, a simplex in Δ_g is maximal if and only if it is an n -simplex. Let S_1, \dots, S_k be the list of all n -simplexes of Δ_g $\gcd(\{\text{den}(\eta(v)) \mid v \in \text{vert}(S)\}) \neq 1$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let v_i be an arbitrary point in the relative interior of S_i , that is, v_i belongs to S_i but does not belong to any proper face of S_i (for instance, we may let each v_i be the barycentre of S_i). Set $\nabla_0 = \Delta_g$ and $\nabla_i = (\nabla_{i-1})_{v_i}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\Delta_h = \nabla_k$. Since Δ_g is collapsible and Δ_h is a stellar subdivision of Δ_g , it follows from Lemma 2.5 that Δ_h is collapsible. By (iii), P admits a strongly regular triangulation. Since $(\Delta_g)_P$ is a regular, Lemma 3.5 shows that $(\Delta_g)_P$ is strongly regular. Therefore, $\{S_1, \dots, S_k\} \cap (\Delta_g)_P = \emptyset$, and hence

$$(\Delta_h)_P = (\Delta_g)_P. \quad (4)$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let $T_i \in (\Delta_g)_P = (\Delta_h)_P$ be such that $\eta_g(S_i) \subseteq T_i$ and T_i is maximal in $(\Delta_h)_P$. By Lemma 3.7, for each $i = 1, \dots, k$, there exists a rational point $x_i \in T_i$ such that $\text{den}(x_i)$ and $\text{den}(\eta(v))$ are coprime for each $v \in \text{vert}(S_i)$. Let η_h be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ_h such that

$$\eta_h(v) = \begin{cases} \eta_g(v) & \text{if } v \in \text{vert}(\Delta_g), \\ x_i & \text{if } v = v_i \text{ for some } i = 1, \dots, k. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Then η_g satisfies (h).

To conclude the first part of the proof let us set $\eta = \eta_h$ and $\Delta = \Delta_h$. From Step (H), it follows directly that η and Δ_h satisfy conditions (b)-(d) and (h). Since $(\Delta_f)_P$ is a regular triangulation of P , from (2) and (4) it follows that Δ satisfies (e) and (f). From (G-i) and (5) it follows that η satisfies (g). By definition, η is continuous. Equations (3) and (5) show that $\eta(v) = v$ for each

$v \in \text{vert}(\Delta_P)$. Since Δ_P is a triangulation of P and η is compatible with Δ , we obtain that $\eta(v) = v$ for each $v \in P$, thus proving that η satisfies (a).

Therefore, we have proved the existence of η and Δ satisfying conditions (a)–(h).

Part 2: Let the abstract simplicial complex $(\text{vert}(\Delta), \Sigma_\Delta)$ be the skeleton of Δ (see Section 2.2). Let $\mathfrak{W} = (\text{vert}(\Delta), \Sigma_\Delta, \omega)$ be the weighted abstract simplicial complex such that

$$\omega(v) = \text{den}(\eta(v)) \quad \text{for each } v \in \text{vert}(\Delta). \quad (6)$$

Let v_1, \dots, v_k be the vertices of Δ , and $Q = |\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}| \subseteq [0, 1]^k$ the geometric realisation of \mathfrak{W} . By (ii), there exists $v \in P$ such that $\text{den}(v) = 1$. Since Δ_P is a regular triangulation of P , $v = v_i \in \text{vert}(\Delta_P) \subseteq \text{vert}(\Delta)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $\omega(v_i) = \text{den}(\eta(v)) = \text{den}(v) = 1$. Thus $e_i \in Q \cap \{0, 1\}^k$. Since Δ is a triangulation of $[0, 1]^n$, a simplex S is maximal in Δ if and only if it is an n -simplex. As a consequence of (h), for each S maximal in Δ , we have $\text{gcd}(\{\omega(v) \mid v \in \text{vert}(S)\}) = 1$. Thus $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ is a strongly regular triangulation of Q . Hence, Theorem 4.6 implies that $Q \subseteq [0, 1]^k$ is a \mathbb{Z} -retract. Let $\nu: [0, 1]^k \rightarrow Q$ be a \mathbb{Z} -map such that $\nu(v) = v$ for each $v \in Q$.

Now, let $\mu: Q \rightarrow P$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with $\Delta_{\mathfrak{W}}$ such that

$$\mu(e_i/\omega(v_i)) = \eta(v_i) \quad \text{for each } v_i \in \text{vert}(\Delta). \quad (7)$$

By (6) and Lemma 3.8, μ is a \mathbb{Z} -map. Similarly, let $\xi: P \rightarrow Q$ be the unique piecewise linear map compatible with Δ_P that satisfies

$$\xi(v_i) = e_i/\omega(v_i) \quad \text{for each } v_i \in \text{vert}(\Delta_P) = \text{vert}(\Delta) \cap P. \quad (8)$$

By (6), another application of Lemma 3.8 shows that ξ is a \mathbb{Z} -map.

Clearly, $\mu \circ \xi$ is compatible with Δ_P . From (7) and (8), it follows $\mu \circ \xi(v_i) = \mu(e_i/\omega(v_i)) = \eta(v_i) = v_i$ for each $v_i \in \text{vert}(\Delta_P)$. Therefore, $(\mu \circ \xi)(v) = v$ for each $v \in P$.

In conclusion, the \mathbb{Z} -maps $\mu \circ \nu: [0, 1]^k \rightarrow P$ and $\xi: P \rightarrow Q \subseteq [0, 1]^k$ satisfy $(\mu \circ \nu) \circ \xi(v) = v$ for each $v \in P$. By Lemma 4.2, P is a \mathbb{Z} -retract, and the proof is complete. \square

Combining Lemma 3.6 with Theorems 4.3, and 4.7 we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.8. *A unital ℓ -group (G, u) is finitely generated and projective if and only if there exist $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ such that $(G, u) \cong \mathcal{M}(P)$ and*

- (i) P is contractible,
- (ii) $P \cap \{0, 1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and
- (iii) for each rational point $v \in P$ there exist $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the convex segment $\text{conv}(v, v + \varepsilon(w - v))$ is contained in P .

If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an n -simplex, then S automatically satisfies condition (iii) in Corollary 4.8.

Corollary 4.9. *Let P be a rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ such that*

- (i) P is contractible,
- (ii) $P \cap \{0, 1\}^n \neq \emptyset$, and
- (iii) $P = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k$ for suitable n -simplexes $S_1, \dots, S_k \subseteq [0, 1]^n$.

Then $\mathcal{M}(P)$ is a finitely generated projective unital ℓ -group.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Daniele Mundici for his numerous comments and corrections. I also wish to thank Hilary Priestley for her careful reading of a previous draft of this paper and her valuable suggestions for improvement.

REFERENCES

- [1] Baker, K. A., Free vector lattices, *Canad. J. Math.*, 20:58–66, 1968.
- [2] Beynon, W. M., On rational subdivisions of polyhedra with rational vertices, *Canad. J. Math.*, 29:238–242, 1977.
- [3] Beynon, W. M., Applications of duality in the theory of finitely generated lattice-ordered abelian groups, *Canad. J. Math.*, 29:243–254, 1977.
- [4] Cabrer, L. M., Simplicial geometry of unital lattice-ordered abelian groups, *Forum Math.* (Published Online: 03/07/2013, DOI:10.1515/forum-2011-0131).

- [5] Cabrer, L. M., Mundici, D., Rational polyhedra and projective lattice-ordered abelian groups with order unit, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, 14(3) (2012) 1250017 (DOI: 10.1142/S0219199712500174).
- [6] Ewald, G., *Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [7] Hatcher, A., *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [8] E. Jeřábek, Admissible rules of Łukasiewicz logic, *J. Logic and Comp.*, **20**(2) (2010), 425-447.
- [9] D. Mundici, Interpretation of AF C^* -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **65** (1986), 15-63.
- [10] D. Mundici, *Advanced Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras*, Trends Log. Stud. Log. Libr., Vol. 35, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [11] Semadeni, Z., *Schauder bases in Banach spaces of continuous functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 918. Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [12] Stallings, J. R., *Lectures on Polyhedral Topology*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, 1967.
- [13] Whitehead, J. H. C., On subdivisions of complexes, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 31:69-75, 1935.
- [14] Whitehead, J. H. C., Simplicial spaces, nuclei and m -groups, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 45:243-327, 1939.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE, STATISTICS AND APPLICATIONS "GIUSEPPE PARENTI", UNIVERSITY OF FLORENCE, VIALE MORGAGNI 59 - I-50134, FLORENCE - ITALY
E-mail address: `l.cabrer@disia.unifi.it`