QUARTIC FORMS IN MANY VARIABLES

JAN H. DUMKE

ABSTRACT. We show that a quartic p-adic form with at least 3192 variables possesses a non-trivial zero. We also prove new results on systems of cubic, quadratic and linear forms. As an example, we show that for a system comprising two cubic forms 132 variables are sufficient.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a rational prime and $F_1, \ldots, F_r \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be forms with respective degrees d_1, \ldots, d_r . E. Artin conjectured in the 1930s, that F_1, \ldots, F_r have a common non-trivial zero provided

$$n > d_1^2 + \dots + d_r^2.$$

Unfortunately, this has been verified merely for a single quadratic (Hasse [6]), a single cubic (Lewis [8]) and a system comprising two quadratic forms (Demyanov [4] and independently Birch, Lewis and Murphy [1]). In fact counterexamples are known for many (d_1, \ldots, d_r) . Although false in general Bauer [2] has shown there is a finite non-negative integer $v(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$, independent of p, such that F_1, \ldots, F_r possess a non-trivial zero whenever

$$n > v(d_1, \ldots, d_r).$$

His proof reduces the problem to diagonal forms, which have been studied extensively (see in particular [3]). Refined subsequent results use quasi-diagonalisation techniques. The best general bound is due to Wooley [10]. For a system comprising r forms of degree d he showed that $n > (rd^2)^{2^{d-1}}$ suffices. For a number of degrees better bounds are available. Firstly, we can extract better estimates from Wooley's proof for specifc d. Secondly, Heath-Brown [7] considerably improved these for a single quartic by establishing $v(4) \leq 4220$. His proof has been adapted by Zahid [11] to show $v(5) \leq 4562911$ (Note that in this case the conjecture has been confirmed if p > 7. See [5]). Heath-Brown's method provides better results if the involved degrees are not multiples

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11D72 (11D88, 11E76, 11G25)

Key words and phrases. Artin's conjecture, p-adic forms, forms in many variables

of p. The purpose of this paper is to develop a variant yielding improved bounds if p does divide the degree.

Theorem 1. $v(4) \le 3191$

On the other hand, Terjanian [9] has constructed a dyadic quartic in 20 variables which lacks a non-trivial zero. Several results address specific systems of forms. To put the next result into perspective it suffices to know that $v(3,3) \leq 213$ can be derived by combing [7] and [11].

Theorem 2. $v(3,3) \le 131$

The proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 rely on results for systems comprising a number of quadratic forms. These allow us to impose certain constraints on the shape of the forms involved. By applying Hensel's Lemma we then establish a non-trivial zero. Both theorems would enormously profit from better bounds on systems of quadratics. The method can be readily adapted for other degrees.

2. Preliminaries

We introduce a few Lemmas we shall need in due course. For ease of notation we write $V(r_3, r_2, r_1; p)$ for the least integer such that every system comprising r_3 cubic, r_2 quadratic and r_1 linear *p*-adic forms possesses a non-trivial zero as soon as $n > V(r_3, r_2, r_1; p)$. Concerning quadratics the following bounds as found in [7] will be enough.

Lemma 1.

$$V(0, r, 0; p) \le \begin{cases} 2r^2 - 16 & \text{if } r \ge 6 \text{ is even} \\ 2r^2 - 14 & \text{if } r \ge 7 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

An estimate particular efficient for systems with just one cubic is due to Zahid [11].

Lemma 2. Suppose $p \neq 3$ and $r_3 \geq 1$. Then

 $V(r_3, r_2, r_1; p) \le V(r_3 - 1, 6(r_3 - 1) + r_2, 9r_3 + 6r_2 + r_1; p).$

We shall later need to establish that certain vectors are linearly independent. Heath-Brown [7] provides an adequate criterion.

Lemma 3. Let $F \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a form of degree d, having only the trivial zero in \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_k$ be linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{Q}_p , and suppose that we have a non-zero vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ such that the form

$$F_0(t_1,\ldots,t_k,t) := F(t_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + t_k\mathbf{e}_k + t\mathbf{e}),$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

in the indeterminates t_1, \ldots, t_k and t, contains no terms of degree one in t. Then the set $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_k, \mathbf{e}\}$ is linearly independent.

We denote the *p*-adic valuation of $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ by $\nu(x)$. The following non-standard variant of Hensel's Lemma is crucial to our proofs.

Lemma 4. Let f be a polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_p and suppose there exists an integer x such that $\nu(f(x)) \geq \nu(f'(x))^2$ and, if equality holds, in addition $\nu(f''(x)/2) \geq 1$. Then there exists a p-adic integer y such that f(y) = 0 and $y = x \pmod{p}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

It is sufficient to establish the dyadic case, since Heath-Brown has shown that 313 variables are enough if p is odd. As a first step we reduce this to a problem for a system of cubic, quadratic and linear forms.

Lemma 5. $v(4) \le \max\{V(4, 10, 20; 2), V(3, 18, 56; 2)\}$

Proof of Lemma 5. Let $F \in \mathbb{Q}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be a quartic form. Suppose that F does have the trivial zero only and

(1)
$$n > \max\{V(4, 10, 20; 2), V(3, 18, 56; 2)\}.$$

We shall construct a subspace of \mathbb{Q}_2^n on which F is of special shape. By applying Hensel's Lemma we then find a non-trivial zero. In order to see how we can manipulate the shape assume that $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_2^n$ are linearly independent. If \mathbf{e} is an additional vector we write

$$F(x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_k\mathbf{e}_k + x\mathbf{e}) = F(x_1\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_k\mathbf{e}_k) + \sum_{\sum d_i=3} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} L_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{e}) x + \sum_{\sum d_i=2} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} Q_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{e}) x^2 + \sum_{\sum d_i=1} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{d}} C_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{e}) x^3 + F(\mathbf{e}) x^4,$$

where $L_{\mathbf{d}}$ are linear, $Q_{\mathbf{d}}$ quadratic and $C_{\mathbf{d}}$ cubic forms. If we want that some of these forms (and respective monomials) vanish, they must have \mathbf{e} as a common non-trivial zero. This can be ensured at the cost of a condition on n. If, in particular, $L_{\mathbf{d}}(\mathbf{e}) = 0$ for all \mathbf{d} such that $\sum d_i = 1$, then $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{k-1}, \mathbf{e}$ are by Lemma 3 linearly independent. Thus we can successively choose vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_5$ such that

$$F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_5 x_5) = F(\mathbf{e}_1) x_1^4 + \dots + F(\mathbf{e}_5) x_5^4,$$

by imposing at most 4 cubic, 10 quadratic and 20 linear constraints (see (1)). Clearly, $F(\mathbf{e}_i) \neq 0$ for all *i*. We show that we may assume

(2)
$$\nu(\mathbf{e}_1) = 0, \quad \nu(\mathbf{e}_2) = 1, \quad \nu(\mathbf{e}_3) = 2$$

JAN H. DUMKE

We say that a non-zero vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Q}_2^n$ has level r if $\nu(F(\mathbf{e})) = r$ (mod 4). If $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_5$ have three different levels, then (2) follows by relabelling and rescaling. Otherwise we can find three vectors $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{e}_k$ of the same level r for some $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 5$. As we may assume that $F(\mathbf{e}_i), F(\mathbf{e}_j), F(\mathbf{e}_k) \in \{-2^r, 2^r\}$, there are $s, t \in \{i, j, k\}$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_s) + F(\mathbf{e}_t) = \pm 2^{r+1} \pmod{2^{r+2}}$. We replace \mathbf{e}_s and \mathbf{e}_t with $\mathbf{e}'_s :=$ $\mathbf{e}_s + \mathbf{e}_t$, which has level $r + 1 \pmod{4}$, and a newly chosen vector \mathbf{e}'_t . If the resulting vectors still have two levels only, we repeat the argument until we obtain three vectors of different levels.

We choose new vectors \mathbf{e}_4 of maximal level such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1x_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_4x_4)$ is diagonal. We show that \mathbf{e}_4 has level 2 at most. If \mathbf{e}_4 has level 3, we choose a new vector \mathbf{e}_5 such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1x_1+\cdots+\mathbf{e}_5x_5)$ remains diagonal. By (2) we may, after rescaling and relabelling, assume that

(3)
$$\nu(\mathbf{e}_1) = 0, \quad \nu(\mathbf{e}_2) = 1, \quad \nu(\mathbf{e}_3) = 2, \quad \nu(\mathbf{e}_4) = 3, \quad \nu(\mathbf{e}_5) = 0.$$

Thus we can set $x_1, x_5 = 1$ and pick $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ for $2 \le i \le 4$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_5 x_5) = 0 \pmod{2^4}$. The function $f(t) := F(\mathbf{e}_1 t + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_5 x_5)$ then satisfies $\nu(f(1)) \ge 4$, $\nu(f'(1)) = 2$ and $\nu(f''(1)/2) \ge 1$. By Hensel's Lemma F has a non-trivial zero, contrary to assumption. We choose a new vector \mathbf{e}_5 of maximal level such that

$$F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_5 x_5) = F(\mathbf{e}_1) x_1^4 + F(\mathbf{e}_2) x_2^4 + F(\mathbf{e}_3) x_3^4 + F(\mathbf{e}_4) x_4^4 + c_{45} x_4 x_5^3 + F(\mathbf{e}_5) x_5^4.$$

By maximality \mathbf{e}_5 can be of level 2 at most. We show that $\nu(F(\mathbf{e}_5)) \leq 1$. Suppose, after rescaling, that $\nu(F(\mathbf{e}_4)), \nu(F(\mathbf{e}_5)) = 2$. By a caseby-case analysis of $\nu(c_{45})$ we establish a non-trivial zero. If $\nu(c_{45}) < \nu(F(\mathbf{e}_5))$ we use Hensel's Lemma to lift \mathbf{e}_5 . In the case of $\nu(c_{45}) = 2$ it follows that $2^{-2}F(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5) = 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus we can apply Hensel's Lemma to $f(t) = 2^{-2}F(\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5 t)$. If $\nu(c_{45}) = 3$ we may assume $\nu(\mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5) > 3$, since the case of four vectors of levels 0, 1, 2 and 3 has been discussed above (see (3)). Thus we can choose $x_i \in \{0, 2\}$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2x_2 + \mathbf{e}_3x_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5) = 0 \pmod{2^7}$. Consequently, we can apply Hensel's Lemma to $f(t) := F(\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2x_2 + \mathbf{e}_3x_3 + \mathbf{e}_4 t + \mathbf{e}_5)$. The case $\nu(c_{45}) = 4$ is slightly more involved. Since we may assume $\nu(\mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_5) > 3$, it follows that $F(\mathbf{e}_3) = F(\mathbf{e}_i) \pmod{2^4}$ for some $i \in \{4, 5\}$. Thus $\mathbf{e}'_3 := \mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_i$ is a vector such that $\nu(\mathbf{e}'_3) = 3$. Assume without loss of generality that i = 4. By (5) we can choose a new vector \mathbf{e}_6 such that

$$F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 x_2 + \mathbf{e}'_3 x_3 + \mathbf{e}_5 x_5 + \mathbf{e}_6 x_6) =$$

$$F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 x_2 + \mathbf{e}'_3 x_3 + \mathbf{e}_5 x_5) + F(\mathbf{e}_6) x_6^4$$

If $\nu(\mathbf{e}_6) = 3$ then $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_6$ have levels 0, 1, 2 and 3, respectively, and we can proceed as in (3). The same works for $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}'_3, \mathbf{e}_6$ provided that $\nu(\mathbf{e}_6) = 2$. If $\nu(\mathbf{e}_6) = 1$ we choose $x_1, x_3, x_5 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $F(2\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}'_3x_3 + \mathbf{e}_5x_5 + \mathbf{e}_6) = 0 \pmod{2^5}$. If we set $f(t) = 2^{-1}F(2\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{e}'_3x_3 + \mathbf{e}_5x_5 + \mathbf{e}_6t)$ then $\nu(f(1)) \ge 4$, $\nu(f'(1)) = 2$ and $\nu(f''(1)) \ge 1$ hold true and Hensel's Lemma can be applied. Similarly we can find $x_2, x_3, x_5 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2x_2 + \mathbf{e}'_3x_3 + \mathbf{e}_5x_5 + \mathbf{e}_6) = 0 \pmod{2^4}$ provided $\nu(\mathbf{e}_6) = 0$. Consequently, Hensel's Lemma yields a non-trivial zero.

By (5) we can choose a new vector \mathbf{e}_6 of maximal level such that

$$F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_6 x_6) = F(\mathbf{e}_1) x_1^4 + F(\mathbf{e}_2) x_2^4 + F(\mathbf{e}_3) x_3^4 + F(\mathbf{e}_4) x_4^4 + c_{45} x_4 x_5^3 + F(\mathbf{e}_5) x_5^4 + c_{46} x_4 x_6^3 + c_{56} x_5 x_6^3 + c_{456} x_4 x_5 x_6^2 + F(\mathbf{e}_6) x_6^4.$$

By maximality \mathbf{e}_6 has level 1 at most. Suppose after rescaling that $\nu(\mathbf{e}_5), \nu(\mathbf{e}_6) = 1$. If $\nu(c_{56}) < 1$, we can lift \mathbf{e}_6 via Hensel's Lemma. If $\nu(c_{56}) = 1$, there are $x_2, x_5, x_6 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $2^{-1}F(\mathbf{e}_2x_2 + \mathbf{e}_5x_5 + \mathbf{e}_6x_6) = 0 \pmod{2}$ and one of it's partial derivatives does not vanish modulo 2. Thus there exists a non-trivial zero and we may assume that $\nu(c_{56}) \geq 2$. By maximality $\mathbf{e}_5 + \mathbf{e}_6$ can not have level 2 or 3. Hence we can find $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, 2\}$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2x_2 + \mathbf{e}_5 + \mathbf{e}_6) = 0 \pmod{2^9}$. Consequently, we can apply Hensel's Lemma to $f(t) := F(\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \mathbf{e}_2x_2 + \mathbf{e}_5t + \mathbf{e}_6)$.

By (1) we can choose final vector \mathbf{e}_7 of maximal level such that

$$F(\mathbf{e}_{1}x_{1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{7}x_{7}) = F(\mathbf{e}_{1})x_{1}^{4} + F(\mathbf{e}_{2})x_{2}^{4} + F(\mathbf{e}_{3})x_{3}^{4} + F(\mathbf{e}_{4})x_{4}^{4}$$
$$+ c_{45}x_{4}x_{5}^{3} + F(\mathbf{e}_{5})x_{5}^{4} + c_{46}x_{4}x_{6}^{3} + c_{56}x_{5}x_{6}^{3} + c_{456}x_{4}x_{5}x_{6}^{2}$$
$$+ F(\mathbf{e}_{6})x_{6}^{4} + c_{47}x_{4}x_{7}^{3} + c_{57}x_{5}x_{7}^{3} + c_{67}x_{6}x_{7}^{3} + c_{457}x_{4}x_{5}x_{7}^{2}$$
$$+ c_{467}x_{4}x_{6}x_{7}^{2} + c_{567}x_{5}x_{6}x_{7}^{2} + F(\mathbf{e}_{7})x_{7}^{4}.$$

By maximality \mathbf{e}_7 has level 0. Suppose that $\nu(\mathbf{e}_6), \nu(\mathbf{e}_7) = 0$. If $\nu(c_{67}) < 0$, we lift \mathbf{e}_7 . In case of $\nu(c_{67}) = 0$ we can find $x_1, x_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{e}_6 x_6 + \mathbf{e}_7 x_7) = 0 \pmod{2}$ and Hensel's Lemma can be applied. Finally, suppose that $\nu(c_{67}) > 0$. Since $\mathbf{e}_6 + \mathbf{e}_7$ can not have level 1, 2, 3 we can find $x_1 \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $F(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \mathbf{e}_6 + \mathbf{e}_7) = 0 \pmod{2^8}$. Lifting this zero completes the proof of Lemma 5.

In order to estimate the quantities of V(4, 10, 20; 2) and V(3, 18, 56; 2) we provide an improved estimate.

Lemma 6. Suppose $p = 2 \pmod{3}$ and $r_3 \ge 1$. Then

$$V(r_3, r_2, r_1; p) \le V(r_3 - 1, 3r_3 + r_2, 3r_3 + 3r_2 + r_1; p).$$

Theorem 1 now easily follows from Lemmas 1, 2, 5 and 6.

JAN H. DUMKE

Proof of Lemma 6. It is enough to show that $V(r_3, r_2, 0; p) \leq V(r_3 - 1, 3r_3 + r_2, 3r_3 + 3r_2; p)$. We choose a cubic form C and denote by **G** the system comprising all other forms. Suppose that for all non-zero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ we have $C(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$. Assume in addition that

(4)
$$n > V(r_3 - 1, 3r_3 + r_2, 3r_3 + 3r_2; p).$$

By (4) there exists \mathbf{e}_1 such that $C(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1) = C(\mathbf{e}_1) x_1^4$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1)$ is identically zero. We shall successively choose further vectors. A nonzero vector \mathbf{e} is said to have level $r \in \mathbb{F}_3$ if $\nu(C(\mathbf{e})) = r \pmod{3}$. Suppose we have chosen s vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_s$ of different levels such that $C(\mathbf{e}_i x_i) = C(\mathbf{e}_i) x_i^4$ and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{e}_i x_i) = 0$ for all $1 \le i \le s$. Since $s \le 3$ and (4) we can choose an additional vector \mathbf{e}_{s+1} such that

(5)
$$C(\mathbf{e}_{i}x_{i} + \mathbf{e}_{s+1}x_{s+1}) = C(\mathbf{e}_{i})x_{i}^{3} + C(\mathbf{e}_{s+1})x_{s+1}^{3}$$

and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{e}_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_{s+1} x_{s+1})$ is identically zero for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. It follows from Lemma 3 that \mathbf{e}_i and \mathbf{e}_{s+1} are linearly independent for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. By iterating this argument we find two vectors $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j$ of the same level for some $1 \leq i < j \leq 4$ such that $C(\mathbf{e}_i x_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j)$ is diagonal. After rescaling both the variables and the form we may assume that $\nu(C(\mathbf{e}_i)), \nu(C(\mathbf{e}_j)) = 0$. Since $p = 2 \pmod{3}$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $\nu(C(\mathbf{e}_i t + \mathbf{e}_j)) \geq 1$ and $\nu(C'(\mathbf{e}_i t + \mathbf{e}_j)) = 0$. The Lemma then follows by applying Hensel's Lemma.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

We crucially establish a new bound if p = 3.

Lemma 7. Suppose $r_3 \ge 1$. Then

$$V(r_3, r_2, r_1; 3) \le V(r_3 - 1, 3r_3 + r_2, 6r_3 + 3r_2 + r_1; 3).$$

Theorem 2 now follows in conjunction with Lemmas 1 and 2. Also note the improvement provided by Lemma 6 if $p = 2 \pmod{3}$.

Proof. It is suffices to prove that $V(r_3, r_2, 0; 3) \leq V(r_3 - 1, 3r_3 + r_2, 6r_3 + 3r_2; 3)$. We choose a cubic form C and denote by **G** the system comprising all other forms. Suppose that for all non-zero $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Q}_3$ such that $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ we have $C(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$. Assume in addition that

(6)
$$n > V(r_3 - 1, 3r_3 + r_2, 6r_3 + 3r_2; 3).$$

By (6) we can successively choose non-zero vectors $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4$ such that

(7)
$$C(\mathbf{e}_1x_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_4x_4) = C(\mathbf{e}_1)x_1^3 + C(\mathbf{e}_2)x_2^3 + C(\mathbf{e}_3)x_3^3 + C(\mathbf{e}_4)x_4^3$$

6

and $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{e}_1 x_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_4 x_4)$ is identical zero. By Lemma 3 are $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$ and \mathbf{e}_4 linearly independent. A vector $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{Q}_3 - 0$ is said to have level $r \in \mathbb{F}_3$ if $\nu(C(\mathbf{e})) = r \pmod{3}$. Suppose there are two vectors $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j$ of the same level for some $1 \le i < j \le 4$. We rescale both the variables and the form such that $\nu(\mathbf{e}_i), \nu(\mathbf{e}_i) = 0$. Since $C(\mathbf{e}_i), C(\mathbf{e}_i) = \pm 1$ (mod 3) there exists $t_0 \in \{1, -1\}$ such that $3 \mid C(\mathbf{e}_i t_0 + \mathbf{e}_i)$. If we set $f(t) = C(\mathbf{e}_i t + \mathbf{e}_j)$ either $\nu(f(t_0)) \ge 2, \, \nu(f'(t_0)) = 1$ and $\nu(f''(t_0)) \ge 1$ such that Hensel's Lemma can be applied or $\mathbf{e}'_i := \mathbf{e}_i t_0 + \mathbf{e}_i$ is of level 1. Thus we can replace three vectors of the same level r by two of level r and r+1. We then choose an additional fourth vector. By repeating this argument, relabelling and rescaling we find vectors \mathbf{e}_i , \mathbf{e}_j , \mathbf{e}_k for some $1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4$ such that $\nu(\mathbf{e}_i), \nu(\mathbf{e}_j) = 0$ and $\nu(\mathbf{e}_k) = 1$. We set $x_j = -C(\mathbf{e}_i)$ such that $3 \mid C(\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j)$. Thus we can write $C(\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j x_j) = 3s$ and $C(\mathbf{e}_k) = 3l$ where $3 \nmid l$. We set $x_3 = -sl$ if s is a padic unit and $x_3 = 0$ otherwise. If we write $f(t) = C(\mathbf{e}_i t + \mathbf{e}_j x_j + \mathbf{e}_k x_k)$, then $\nu(f(1)) \geq 2$, $\nu(f'(1)) = 1$, $\nu(f''(1)) \geq 1$ and Hensel's Lemma applies.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank my supervisor Professor D.R. Heath-Brown for suggesting this topic, his encouragement and helpful comments.

References

- B. J. Birch, D. J. Lewis, and T. G. Murphy, Simultaneous quadratic forms, Amer. J. Math. 84 (1962), 110–115.
- R. Brauer, A note on systems of homogeneous algebraic equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), 749–755.
- H. Davenport and D. J. Lewis, *Homogeneous additive equations*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Ser. A 274 (1963), 443–460.
- V. B. Demyanov, Pairs of quadratic forms over a complete field with discrete norm with a finite field of residue classes, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 20 (1956), 307–324.
- J. H. Dumke, p-adic Zeros of Quintic Forms, http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.0999, 2013.
- H. Hasse, Uber die Darstellbarkeit von Zahlen durch quadratische Formen im Körper der rationalen Zahlen., Journal reine angew. Math. 152 (1923), 129–148.
- D. R. Heath-Brown, Zeros of p-adic forms, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 100 (2010), no. 2, 560–584.
- D. J. Lewis, Cubic homogeneous polynomials over p-adic number fields, Ann. of Math. (2) 56 (1952), 473–478.
- G. Terjanian, Formes p-adiques anisotropes, J. Reine Angew. Math. 313 (1980), 217–220.
- T. D. Wooley, On the local solubility of Diophantine systems, Compositio Math. 111 (1998), no. 2, 149–165.

JAN H. DUMKE

11. J. Zahid, Zeros of p-adic forms, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 10, 2439–2456.

Mathematisches Institut, Bunsenstr. 3-5, 37073 Göttingen, Germany

E-mail address: jdumke@uni-math.gwdg.de

8