

# SAMPLING, SPLINES AND FRAMES ON COMPACT MANIFOLDS

Isaac Z. Pesenson <sup>1</sup>

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Shannon-type sampling on compact Riemannian manifolds	5
2.1. Compact Riemannian manifolds	5
2.2. A sampling theorem on manifolds	6
2.3. Reconstruction using variational splines	7
2.4. Reconstruction using iterations	9
2.5. Reconstruction using the frame algorithm	10
3. Generalized variational splines on compact Riemannian manifolds with applications to integral geometry	10
3.1. Generalized variational splines on compact Riemannian manifolds	10
3.2. The Funk-Radon transform on spheres	12
3.3. Approximate inversion of the Funk-Radon transform using generalized splines	13
3.4. A sampling theorem for the Funk-Radon transform of bandlimited functions on $\mathbb{S}^n$	15
3.5. Hemispherical Radon transform on $\mathbb{S}^n$	16
3.6. Approximate inversion of the hemispherical Radon transform on $\mathbb{S}^n$ .	17
3.7. A sampling theorem for the hemispherical Radon transform on $\mathbb{S}^n$ .	18
3.8. Radon transform on the group of rotations $SO(3)$	19
3.9. Approximate inversion of the Radon transform on $SO(3)$ using generalized splines	21
3.10. A sampling theorem for Radon transform of bandlimited functions on $SO(3)$	22
4. Bandlimited and localized Parseval frames on compact homogeneous manifolds with applications to integral geometry	24
4.1. Compact homogeneous manifolds	24
4.2. Bandlimited and localized Parseval frames on homogeneous manifolds	26
4.3. Exact formulas for Fourier coefficients of a bandlimited function $f$ on $\mathbb{S}^n$ from a finite number of samples of its Funk-Radon transform	28
4.4. Exact formulas for Fourier coefficients of a bandlimited function $f$ on $SO(3)$ from a finite number of samples of $\mathcal{R}f$	29

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122; pesenson@temple.edu

ABSTRACT. Analysis on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  found many applications in seismology, weather prediction, astrophysics, signal analysis, crystallography, computer vision, computerized tomography, neuroscience, and statistics. In the last two decades, the importance of these and other applications triggered the development of various tools such as splines and wavelet bases suitable for the unit spheres  $\mathbb{S}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^3$  and the rotation group  $SO(3)$ . Present paper is a summary of some of results of the author and his collaborators on the Shannon-type sampling, generalized (average) variational splines and localized frames (wavelets) on compact Riemannian manifolds. The results are illustrated by applications to Radon-type transforms on  $\mathbb{S}^d$  and  $SO(3)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Harmonic analysis on the unit spheres  $\mathbb{S}^2$  and  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , on the rotation group of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and even on more general manifolds found many applications in seismology, weather prediction, astrophysics, signal analysis, computer vision, computerized tomography, neuroscience, scattering theory and statistics. Our list of references in which different aspects of analysis on manifolds were either developed or applied is very far from being complete [1]-[11], [13]-[16], [18]-[37], [41]-[67]. More references can be found in monographs [3], [27], [28], [45], [50].

Cubature formulas on spheres and interpolation on spheres can be traced back to the classical papers by S. L. Sobolev [70] and I. J. Schoenberg [68]. Pioneering work on splines, interpolation and approximation on spheres with many applications to geophysics was done by W. Freeden and his school [24]-[29]. Spherical splines for statistical analysis were developed in [74]-[75]. Important construction of the so-called needlets on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  appeared in [54].

The goal of the present study is to describe new constructions and applications of generalized splines and Parseval bandlimited and localized frames in a space  $L_2(M)$ , where  $M$  is a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold. Our article is a summary of some of results that were obtained by author and his collaborators in [6], [7], [18], [21], [35], [36], [44], [56]-[65]. To the best of our knowledge these are the papers which contain the most general and comprehensive results about splines, frames and Shannon sampling on compact Riemannian manifolds along with applications to Radon-type transforms on manifolds.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we develop what can be called the Shannon-type sampling of bandlimited functions on compact Riemannian manifolds. It is shown that proposed rate of sampling of bandlimited functions is essentially optimal. By bandlimited functions on compact manifolds we understand polynomials in eigenfunctions of the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator.

We also introduce variational splines which are used to interpolate smooth functions on finite sets of points. It should be noted that splines exhibit a strong localization compare to other natural "bases" on manifolds (compare, say, to eigenfunctions of the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operators). It makes them (like in the classical situation) an indispensable tool when it is necessary to reduce influence of a noise inherited into point-wise measurements.

We discuss a method of reconstruction of bandlimited functions from sampling sets as limits of variational splines when smoothness of splines goes to infinity. Another method of reconstruction by using iterations is also discussed. These

results are based on our extension of the Plancherel-Polya inequalities to manifolds (Theorem 2.1). It should be noted that in the case of manifolds (compact and non-compact) such inequalities appeared first in our papers [57] and [59]. Later on it became common to call them Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities when one refers to compact manifolds.

In subsection 3.1 we introduce what we call *generalized* variational interpolating splines on compact Riemannian manifolds [57]-[61]. We are motivated by the following problem which is of interest for integral geometry. Let  $M, \dim M = n$ , be a Riemannian manifold and  $\mathcal{M}_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , is a family of submanifolds  $\dim \mathcal{M}_\nu = d_\nu, 0 \leq d_\nu \leq n$ . Given a set of numbers  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N$  we would like to find a function for which

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathcal{M}_\nu} f dx = v_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Moreover, we are interested in a "least curved" function that satisfies the previous conditions. In other words, we seek a function that satisfies (1.1) and minimizes the functional

$$u \rightarrow \|(1 - L)^{t/2} u\|_{L_2(M)}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $-L$  is a differential second order elliptic operator which is self-adjoint and non-negative in the natural space  $L_2(M)$ . Note that in the case when the submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_\nu$  is a point the integral (1.1) is understood as a value of a function at this point.

Our result is that if  $s$  is a solution of such variational problem then the distribution  $(1 - L)^t s$  should satisfy the following distributional pseudo-differential equation on  $M$  for any  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ ,

$$(1.2) \quad \int_M \psi (1 - L)^t s dx = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu \int_{\mathcal{M}_\nu} \psi dx,$$

where coefficients  $\alpha_\nu \in \mathbb{C}$  depend just on  $s$ . This equation allows one to obtain the Fourier coefficients of the function  $s$  with respect to eigenfunctions of the operator  $L$ . From the very definition our solution  $s$  is an "interpolant" in the sense that it has a prescribed set of integrals. Moreover, we show that the function  $s$  is not just an interpolant but also an optimal approximation to the set of all functions  $f$  in the Sobolev space  $H_t(M)$  that satisfy (1.1) and

$$(1.3) \quad \|(1 - L)^{t/2} f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq K,$$

for appropriate  $K > 0$ . Namely, we show that  $s$  is the center of the convex and bounded set of all functions that satisfy (1.1) and (1.3).

We use generalized splines for approximate inversion of the Funk-Radon transform, hemispherical transform, and the Radon transform on the group  $SO(3)$ . The corresponding integral transforms are introduced in section 3.

In subsections 3.2 a brief introduction to the Funk-Radon transform  $R$  is given. In this case the manifold  $M$  is the standard unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , every  $\mathcal{M}_\nu, \nu = 1, \dots, N$ , is a great subsphere of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and  $L$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . The Radon transform  $R$  transforms even functions on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  into even functions and it is invertible.

Our objective in subsection 3.3 is to find an approximate preimage of  $Rf$  where  $f$  is sufficiently smooth and even by using only a finite set of values  $\{v_\nu\}_1^N$  defined in (1.1). We achieve the goal (Theorem 3.4) by constructing an even smooth function

$s_t(f)$  ( $t$  is a smoothness parameter) which interpolates  $f$  in the sense that it has the same set of integrals over subspheres  $\{w_\nu\}_1^N$ . This interpolant is an optimal approximation to  $f$  in the sense explained above.

In subsection 3.4 we explore a different approach which allows to obtain a quantitative estimate on the rate of convergence of our approximations. We consider a set of points  $\{x_\nu\}_1^N$  which is dual to the set of subspheres  $\{\mathcal{M}_\nu\}_1^N$ . Let  $\rho > 0$  be a separation parameter for the mesh  $\{x_\nu\}_1^N$ . The first step now is to use values  $\{Rf(x_\nu)\}_1^N$  to construct a spline  $s_{\rho,\tau}(Rf)$  ( $\tau$  is a smoothness parameter) which interpolates and approximates the Radon transform  $Rf$ . For the even function  $S_{\rho,\tau}(f) = R^{-1}s_{\rho,\tau}(Rf)$  we are able to show (see Theorem 3.5) that a difference between  $S_{\rho,\tau}(f)$  and  $f$  is of order  $\rho$  to a power. In other words when  $\rho$  goes to zero a sequence of corresponding functions  $S_{\rho,\tau}(f)$  converges to  $f$  with a geometrical rate. Moreover, the Theorem 3.6 shows that if  $f$  is  $\omega$ -bandlimited and  $\rho$  is small enough compare to  $\omega$  than  $S_{\rho,\tau}(f)$  converges to  $f$  when smoothness  $\tau$  goes to infinity (for a sufficiently dense but *fixed* mesh  $\{x_\nu\}_1^N$ ). This result can be considered as a generalization of the Classical Sampling Theorem.

Hemispherical Radon transform on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  is introduced in subsection 3.5. In subsections 3.6 and 3.7 we realize for the hemispherical Radon transform the same program which was realized in 3.3 and 3.4 for the Funk-Radon transform.

In 3.8 we briefly describe some basic properties of the Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}$  on the group of rotations  $SO(3)$ . Approximate inversion of the Radon transform on  $SO(3)$  using generalized splines is considered in 3.9. A sampling theorem for the Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}$  of bandlimited functions on  $SO(3)$  is proved in 3.10.

In subsections 4.1 and 4.2 we construct bandlimited and localized Parseval frames in  $L_2(M)$  where  $M$  is a compact homogeneous manifold [35], [62].

Let us remind [38] that a set of vectors  $\{\theta_\nu\}$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a Hilbert frame if there exist constants  $A, B > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$(1.4) \quad A\|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2 \leq \sum_\nu |\langle f, \theta_\nu \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2.$$

The largest  $A$  and smallest  $B$  are called respectively the lower and the upper frame bounds and the ratio  $B/A$  is known as the tightness of the frame. If  $A = B$  then  $\{\theta_\nu\}$  is a *tight* frame, and if  $A = B = 1$  it is called a *Parseval* frame. Parseval frames are similar in many respects to orthonormal bases. For example, if all members of a Parseval frame are unit vectors then it is an orthonormal basis.

According to the general theory of Hilbert frames [17], [38] the frame inequality (1.4) implies that there exists a dual frame  $\{\Theta_\nu\}$  (which is not unique in general) for which the following reconstruction formula holds

$$(1.5) \quad f = \sum_\nu \langle f, \theta_\nu \rangle \Theta_\nu.$$

The important fact is that in the case of a Parseval frame one can take  $\Theta_\nu = \theta_\nu$ .

Using our Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we construct a Parseval frame in  $L_2(M)$  (where  $M$  is compact and homogeneous) whose elements are bandlimited and have very strong localization on  $M$ . Such frame is a substitute for a wavelet basis and it is perfectly suitable to perform multiresolution analysis on compact homogeneous manifolds.

It is worth to remind that from the point of view of computations bandlimited localized frames on manifolds have a number of advantages compare, say, to

orthonormal bases comprised of eigenfunctions of respected Laplace-Beltrami operators. The main of these advantages are localization in space and frequency and redundancy.

In subsections 4.3 and 4.4 we are using our localized Parseval frames on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and on  $SO(3)$  to develop exact inversion of the Funk-Radon and Radon transforms of bandlimited functions on these manifolds from finite sets of samples.

## 2. SHANNON-TYPE SAMPLING ON COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

**2.1. Compact Riemannian manifolds.** Let  $M$  be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and  $L$  be a differential second order elliptic operator which is self-adjoint and negatively semi-definite in the space  $L_2(M)$  constructed using a Riemannian density  $dx$ . The best known example of such operator is the Laplace-Beltrami which is given in a local coordinate system by the formula

$$\Delta f = \sum_{m,k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})}} \partial_m \left( \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} g^{mk} \partial_k f \right)$$

where  $g_{ij}$  are components of the metric tensor,  $\det(g_{ij})$  is the determinant of the matrix  $(g_{ij})$ ,  $g^{mk}$  components of the matrix inverse to  $(g_{ij})$ .

In order to have an invertible operator we will work with  $I - L$ , where  $I$  is the identity operator in  $L_2(M)$ . It is known that for every such operator  $L$  the domain of the power  $(I - L)^{t/2}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is the Sobolev space  $H_t(M)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . There are different ways to introduce norm in Sobolev spaces. For a fixed operator  $L$  we will introduce the graph norm as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** *The Sobolev space  $H_t(M)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  can be introduced as the domain of the operator  $(I - L)^{t/2}$  with the graph norm*

$$\|f\|_{H_t(M)} = \|(I - L)^{t/2} f\|_{L_2(M)}, f \in H_t(M).$$

Note, that such norm depends on  $L$ . However, for differential second-order elliptic operators such norms are equivalent for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since the operator  $(-L)$  is self-adjoint and positive semi-definite it has a discrete spectrum  $0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ , and one can choose corresponding eigenfunctions  $u_0, u_1, \dots$  which form an orthonormal basis of  $L_2(M)$ . A distribution  $f$  belongs to  $H_t(M)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , if and only if

$$\|f\|_{H_t(M)} = \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_j)^t |c_j(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where Fourier coefficients  $c_j(f)$  of  $f$  are given by

$$c_j(f) = \langle f, u_j \rangle = \int_M f(x) \overline{u_j(x)} dx.$$

**Definition 2.2.** *The span of all eigenfunctions of  $-L$  whose corresponding eigenvalues are not greater than a positive  $\omega$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  and called the set of  $\omega$ -bandlimited functions.*

**2.2. A sampling theorem on manifolds.** One can show [57], [59] that for a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  there exists a constant  $N_M$  such that for every sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  there exists a finite set of points  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  such that

- (1) The balls  $B(x_\nu, \rho/4)$  are disjoint.
- (2) The balls  $B(x_\nu, \rho/2)$  form a cover of  $M$ .
- (3) The multiplicity of the cover  $\{B(x_\nu, \rho)\}$  is not greater  $N_M$ .

**Definition 2.3.** A set of points  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  that satisfies (1)-(3) will be called a metric  $\rho$ -lattice.

**Theorem 2.1.** There exist constants  $A = A(M, L) > 0$ ,  $B = B(M, L) > 0$ , and  $c_0 = c_0(M, L) > 0$ , such that for any  $\omega > 0$ , and for every metric  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  with  $\rho = c_0\omega^{-1/2}$ , the following Plancherel-Polya inequalities hold:

$$(2.1) \quad A\|f\|_{L_p(M)} \leq \rho^{n/p} \left( \sum_\nu |f(x_\nu)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq B\|f\|_{L_p(M)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty,$$

for all  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  and  $n = \dim M$ .

**Definition 2.4.** For a given space  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  every  $M_\rho$  metric  $\rho$ -lattice which is described in the previous theorem will be called a sampling set for  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$ .

This result can be reformulated as a generalization of the Classical Sampling Theorem for bandlimited functions (see [57]-[61]).

**Theorem 2.2.** There exists a constant  $c_0 = c_0(M, L) > 0$ , such that for any  $\omega > 0$ , and for every metric  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  with  $\rho = c_0\omega^{-1/2}$ , all functions in  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  are uniquely determined by their values on  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  and can be reconstructed from this set of values in a stable way.

The following Theorem implies that our metric lattices  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  (appearing in the previous statements) always contain essentially optimal number of sampling points (see also [58]).

**Theorem 2.3.** If the constant  $c_0(M, L) > 0$  is the same as above, then for any  $\omega > 0$  and  $\rho = c_0\omega^{-1/2}$ , there exist  $C_1(M, L), C_2(M, L)$  such that the number of points in any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho$  satisfies the following inequalities

$$(2.2) \quad C_1\omega^{n/2} \leq |M_\rho| \leq C_2\omega^{n/2};$$

*Proof.* According to the definition of a lattice  $M_\rho$  we have

$$|M_\rho| \inf_{x \in M} \text{Vol}(B(x, \rho/4)) \leq \text{Vol}(M) \leq |M_\rho| \sup_{x \in M} \text{Vol}(B(x, \rho/2))$$

or

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{\sup_{x \in M} \text{Vol}(B(x, \rho/2))} \leq |M_\rho| \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{\inf_{x \in M} \text{Vol}(B(x, \rho/4))}.$$

Since for certain  $c_1(M), c_2(M)$ , all  $x \in M$  and all sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$ , one has a double inequality

$$c_1(M)\rho^n \leq \text{Vol}(B(x, \rho)) \leq c_2(M)\rho^n,$$

and since  $\rho = c_0\omega^{-1/2}$ , we obtain that for certain  $C_1(M, L), C_2(M, L)$  and all  $\omega > 0$

$$(2.3) \quad C_1\omega^{n/2} \leq |M_\rho| \leq C_2\omega^{n/2}.$$

□

Note, that since the operator  $L$  is of order two, the dimension  $\mathcal{N}_\omega$  of the space  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  is given asymptotically by Weyl's formula [69]

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{N}_\omega(M) \asymp C(M)\omega^{n/2},$$

where  $n = \dim M$ .

Since the inequalities (2.2) are in an agreement with Weyl's formula (2.4), the last theorem shows that if  $\omega$  is large enough then every sampling set  $M_\rho$  for  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  contains essentially the "correct" number of points.

**2.3. Reconstruction using variational splines.** As it was explained (see the formula (4.14)) one can always use a dual frame for reconstruction of a function from its projections. However, in general it is not easy to construct a dual frame (unless the frame is tight and then it is self-dual).

The goal of this section is to introduce variational splines on manifolds and to show that such splines can be used for reconstruction of bandlimited functions from appropriate sets of samples.

Given a  $\rho$  lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  and a sequence  $\{z_\nu\} \in l_2$  we will be interested in finding a function  $s_k$  in the Sobolev space  $H_{2k}(M)$ , where  $k > n/2$ ,  $n = \dim M$ , such that

- (1)  $s_k(x_\nu) = z_\nu$ ,  $x_\nu \in M_\rho$ ;
- (2) function  $s_k$  minimizes functional  $g \rightarrow \|L^k g\|_{L_2(M)}$ .

For a given sequence  $\{z_\nu\} \in l_2$  consider a function  $f$  from  $H_{2k}(M)$  such that  $f(x_\nu) = z_\nu$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}f$  denote the orthogonal projection of this function  $f$  in the Hilbert space  $H_{2k}(M)$  with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} f(x_\nu)g(x_\nu) + \left\langle (-L)^{k/2} f, (-L)^{k/2} g \right\rangle$$

on the subspace  $U^{2k}(M_\rho) = \{f \in H_{2k}(M) | f(x_\nu) = 0\}$  with the norm generated by the same inner product. Then the function  $g = f - \mathcal{P}f$  will be the unique solution of the above minimization problem for the functional  $g \rightarrow \|L^k g\|_{L_2(M)}$ ,  $k = 2^l n$ ,  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

It is convenient to introduce the so-called Lagrangian splines. For a point  $x_\nu$  in a lattice  $M_\rho$  the corresponding Lagrangian spline  $l_\nu^{2k}$  is a function in  $H_{2k}(M)$  that minimizes the same functional and takes value 1 at the point  $x_\nu$  and 0 at all other points of  $M_\rho$ . Different parts of the following theorem can be found in [57]-[60].

**Theorem 2.4.** *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *for any function  $f$  from  $H_{2k}(M)$ ,  $k = 2^l n$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $n = \dim M$ , there exists a unique function  $s_k(f)$  from the Sobolev space  $H_{2k}(M)$ , such that  $f|_{M_\rho} = s_k(f)|_{M_\rho}$ ; and this function  $s_k(f)$  minimizes the functional  $u \rightarrow \|L^k u\|_{L_2(M)}$ ;*
- (2) *every such function  $s_k(f)$  is of the form*

$$s_k(f) = \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} f(x_\nu)l_\nu^{2k};$$

- (3) *functions  $l_\nu^{2k}$  form a Riesz basis in the space of all polyharmonic functions with singularities on  $M_\rho$  i.e. in the space of such functions from  $H_{2k}(M)$  which in the sense of distributions satisfy equation*

$$L^{2k}u = \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} \alpha_\nu \delta(x_\nu)$$

where  $\delta(x_\nu)$  is the Dirac measure at the point  $x_\nu$ ;

- (4) if in addition the set  $M_\rho$  is invariant under some subgroup of diffeomorphisms acting on  $M$  then every two functions  $l_\nu^{2k}, l_\mu^{2k}$  are translates of each other.

Next, if  $f \in H_{2k}(M), k = 2^l n, l = 0, 1, \dots$  then  $f - s_k(f) \in U^{2k}(M_\rho)$  and we have for  $k = 2^l n, l = 0, 1, \dots$

$$\|f - s_k(f)\|_{L_2(M)} \leq (C_0 \rho)^k \|L^{k/2}(f - s_k(f))\|_{L_2(M)}.$$

Using minimization property of

$$s_k(f) = \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} f(x_\nu) l_\nu^{2k}$$

we obtain the inequality

$$(2.5) \quad \left\| f - \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} f(x_\nu) l_\nu^{2k} \right\|_{L_2(M)} \leq (c_0 \rho)^k \|L^{k/2} f\|_{L_2(M)}, k = 2^l n, l = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and for  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  the Bernstein inequality gives for any  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  and  $k = 2^l n, l = 0, 1, \dots,$

$$(2.6) \quad \left\| f - \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} f(x_\nu) l_\nu^{2k} \right\|_{L_2(M)} \leq (c_0 \rho \sqrt{\omega})^k \|f\|_{L_2(M)}.$$

These inequalities lead to the following Approximation and Reconstruction Theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *There exist constants  $C = C(M) > 0$  and  $c_0 = c_0(M) > 0$  such that for any  $\omega > 0$  and any  $M_\rho$  with  $0 < \rho \leq c_0 \omega^{-1/2}$  the following inequality holds for all  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$*

$$\sup_{x \in M} |(s_k(f)(x) - f(x))| \leq \omega^n (C(M) \rho^2 \omega)^{k-n} \|f\|_{L_2(M)}, k = 2^l n, l = 0, 1, \dots$$

In other words, by choosing  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$\rho < (C(M) \omega)^{-1/2},$$

one obtains the following reconstruction algorithm

$$f(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k(f)(x),$$

where convergence holds in the uniform norm.

It should be noted that there exists an algorithm [60] which allows to express variational splines in terms of eigenfunctions of the operator  $L$ . Moreover, it was also shown [58] that eigenfunctions of  $L$  that belong to a fixed space  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  can be perfectly approximated by eigenfunctions of certain finite-dimensional matrices in spaces of splines with a fixed set of nodes.



**2.4. Reconstruction using iterations.** For a metric lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  one can construct corresponding partitions of unity ([57], [58])  $\theta = \{\theta_\nu\}$  (we will call it *associated* to the metric lattice  $M_\rho$ ) with the properties

- (1)  $\text{supp}(\theta_\nu) \subseteq B(x_\nu, \rho/2)$ ,
- (2) for each multi-index  $\alpha$  there exists  $C_\theta(\alpha)$  such that

$$\sup_\nu \sup_x |\partial^{|\alpha|} \theta_\nu| \leq C_\theta(\alpha).$$

In analogy to established terminology for the group case we call such a partition a *bounded uniform partition of unity* (for short a BUPU). For any continuous function  $f$  we define the (Voronoi-type) function  $V_{M_\rho} f$  by:

$$(2.7) \quad V_{M_\rho}(f) = \sum_\nu f(x_\nu) \theta_\nu, \quad \theta_\nu \in C_0^\infty(B(x_\nu, \rho/2)).$$

For the case that  $\theta_\nu$  is just the indicator function of those points which are closer to  $x_\nu$  than to any other point the resulting function is constant on Voronoi domains and is simply the nearest neighborhood interpolator.

It can be shown [21] that for any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho$  the function  $V_{M_\rho}(f)$  belongs to  $L_2(M)$  as long as  $f$  belongs to a  $H^k(M)$ , for some  $k > n/2$ ,  $n = \dim M$ , i.e. that  $V_{M_\rho}$  is a linear operator from  $H^k(M)$  into  $L^2(M)$ .

The approximation operator  $A$  will then be defined as

$$(2.8) \quad A_{M_\rho} = P_\omega \circ V_{M_\rho}, \quad \text{resp.} \quad A_{M_\rho} f = P_\omega V_{M_\rho}(f).$$

where  $P_\omega$  is the orthonormal projector from  $L_2(M)$  onto  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$ . Note that operator  $A_{M_\rho}$  depends not just on the lattice  $M_\rho$  but also on the corresponding partition of unity. The following statement was proved in [21].

**Theorem 2.6.** *For a given  $k > n/2$ ,  $n = \dim M$ , there exist constants  $C = C(M, L, k) > 0$  and  $\rho(M, L, k) > 0$  such that for any metric  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho$  with  $\rho < \rho(M, L, k)$ , and any  $\omega > 0$*

$$(2.9) \quad \|f - A_{M_\rho} f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq C\rho(1 + \omega^2)^{k/2} \|f\|_{L_2(M)} \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(L).$$

Hence, if  $k > n/2$  and  $\omega > 0$  are given we can choose

$$\rho < \left( C(1 + \omega^2)^{k/2} \right)^{-1},$$

which implies that

$$\|f - A_{M_\rho} f\|_{L_2(M)} \leq \epsilon \|f\|_{L_2(M)} \quad \text{with } \epsilon = C\rho(1 + \omega^2)^{k/2} < 1.$$

As a consequence we obtain that  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  can be recovered from  $A_{M_\rho} f$  by the following iterative procedure. Starting from  $f_0 = A_{M_\rho} f$  and defining inductively

$$(2.10) \quad f_{m+1} = f_m + A_{M_\rho}(f - f_m)$$

one has

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m = f$$

with the error estimate

$$(2.12) \quad \|f - f_m\|_{L_2(M)} \leq \epsilon^{m+1} \|f\|_{L_2(M)}.$$

Using Sobolev Embedding Theorems one can show ([58], [21]) that convergence of iterations takes place not only in the  $L_2(M)$  norm but also in Sobolev and uniform norms on the manifold.

**2.5. Reconstruction using the frame algorithm.** What follows is a brief description of the frame algorithm (see [38]). Let  $\{e_\nu\}$  be a frame in a Hilbert  $H$  space with frames bounds  $A, B$ , i. e.

$$A\|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2 \leq \sum_{\nu} |\langle f, e_\nu \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2, \quad f \in H.$$

Given a relaxation parameter  $0 < \gamma < \frac{2}{B}$ , set  $\eta = \max\{|1 - \gamma A|, |1 - \gamma B|\} < 1$ . Let  $f_0 = 0$  and define recursively

$$(2.13) \quad f_m = f_{m-1} + \gamma S(f - f_{m-1}),$$

where  $S$  is the frame operator which is defined on  $H$  by the formula

$$Sf = \sum_{\nu} \langle f, e_\nu \rangle e_\nu.$$

In particular,  $f_1 = \gamma Sf = \gamma \sum_{\nu} \langle f, e_\nu \rangle e_\nu$ . Pick  $\gamma = \frac{2}{A+B}$ . Then  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m = f$  with a geometric rate of convergence, that is,

$$(2.14) \quad \|f - f_m\|_{L_2(M)} \leq \eta^m \|f\|_{L_2(M)},$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad \eta = \frac{B - A}{A + B} \leq \frac{B/A - 1}{2}.$$

Let  $\psi_\nu$  be a projection of the Dirac measure  $\delta_{x_\nu}$ ,  $x_\nu \in M_\rho$ , onto space  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$ . The Plancherel-Polya inequality (2.1) shows that  $\{\psi_\nu\}$  is a frame in the space  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(L)$  and the corresponding frame constants are  $A\rho^{-n/2}$  and  $B\rho^{-n/2}$ .

### 3. GENERALIZED VARIATIONAL SPLINES ON COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS WITH APPLICATIONS TO INTEGRAL GEOMETRY

#### 3.1. Generalized variational splines on compact Riemannian manifolds.

We still consider a compact Riemannian manifold  $M$  and a differential second order elliptic operator  $-L$  which is self-adjoint and non-negative in the natural space  $L_2(M)$ . For a given finite family of pairwise different submanifolds  $\{\mathcal{M}_\nu\}_1^N$  consider the following family of distributions

$$(3.1) \quad F_\nu(f) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_\nu} f d\mu_\nu$$

( $d\mu_\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ ) which are well defined at least for functions in  $H_t(M)$  with  $t > n/2$ . In particular, if every  $\mathcal{M}_\nu$  is a point  $x_\nu \in M$ , then every  $F_\nu$  is a Dirac measure  $\delta_{x_\nu}$   $\nu = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $x_\nu \in M$ .

Given a sequence of complex numbers  $v = \{v_\nu\}$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and a  $t > n/2$  we consider the following

#### Variational problem.

Find a function  $u$  from the space  $H_t(M)$ ,  $t > n/2$ , which has the following properties:

- (1)  $F_\nu(u) = v_\nu$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $v = \{v_\nu\}$ ,
- (2)  $u$  minimizes functional  $u \rightarrow \|(I - L)^{t/2}u\|_{L_2(M)}$ .

One can show [60] that solution to Variational problem exists and is unique. The following Independence Assumption which first appeared in [60] is necessary in order to determine explicit form of the solution.

**Independence Assumption.** *There are functions  $\vartheta_\nu \in C^\infty(M)$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad F_\nu(\vartheta_\mu) = \delta_{\nu\mu},$$

where  $\delta_{\nu\mu}$  is the Kronecker delta.

Note, that this assumption implies in particular that the functionals  $F_\nu$  are linearly independent. Indeed, if for certain coefficients  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_N$  we have a relation  $\sum_{\nu=1}^N \gamma_\nu F_\nu = 0$ , then for any  $1 \leq \mu \leq N$  we obtain that  $0 = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \gamma_\nu F_\nu(\vartheta_\mu) = \gamma_\mu$ .

The families of distributions that satisfy our condition include in particular finite families of  $\delta$  functionals and their derivatives. Another example is a set of integrals over submanifolds from a finite family of submanifolds of any codimension.

The solution to the Variational Problem will be called a spline and will be denoted as  $s_t(v)$ . The set of all solutions for a fixed set of distributions  $F = \{F_\nu\}$  and a fixed  $t$  will be denoted as  $S(F, t)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** *Given a function  $f \in H_t(M)$  we will say that spline  $s \in S(F, t)$  interpolates  $f$  if*

$$F_\nu(f) = F_\nu(s).$$

The interpolating spline exists and unique (see below) and will be denoted as  $s_t(f)$ . Note, that from the point of view of the classical theory of variational splines it would be more natural to consider minimization of the functional  $u \rightarrow \|L^{t/2}u\|$ . However, in the case of a general compact manifolds it is easier to work with the operator  $I - L$  since this operator is invertible.

Our main result concerning variational splines is the following (see [60]).

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $t > n/2$ , then for any given sequence of scalars  $v = \{v_\nu\}$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $s_t(v)$  is the solution to the Variational Problem;
- (2)  $s_t(v)$  satisfies the the following equation in the sense of distributions

$$(3.3) \quad (I - L)^t s_t(v) = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu \overline{F_\nu}, \quad \alpha_\nu = \alpha_\nu(s_t(v)), \quad t > n/2,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$  form a solution of the  $N \times N$  system

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\nu=1}^N \beta_{\nu\mu} \alpha_\nu = v_\mu, \quad \alpha_\nu = \alpha_\nu(s_t(v)), \quad \mu = 1, \dots, N,$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda_j)^{-t} \overline{F_\nu(u_j)} F_\mu(u_j), \quad Lu_j = -\lambda_j u_j;$$

- (3) the Fourier series of  $s_t(v)$  has the following form

$$(3.6) \quad s_t(v) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j(s_t(v)) u_j,$$

where

$$c_j(s_t(v)) = \langle s_t(v), u_j \rangle = (1 + \lambda_j)^{-t} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(v)) \overline{F_\nu(u_j)}.$$

**Remark 1.** *It is important to note that the system (3.4) is always solvable according to our uniqueness and existence result for the Variational Problem.*

**Remark 2.** *It is also necessary to note that the series (3.5) is absolutely convergent if  $t$  is sufficiently large. Indeed, if all functionals  $F_\nu$  belong to  $H_{-t_0}(M)$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ , we obtain that for any normalized eigenfunction  $u_j$  which corresponds to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_j$  the following inequality holds true for some  $c = c(M, F)$*

$$|F_\nu(u_j)| \leq c \|(1 - L)^{t_0/2} u_j\|_{L_2(M)} \leq c(1 + \lambda_j)^{t_0/2}, \quad F = \{F_\nu\}.$$

So

$$\left| \overline{F_\nu(u_j)} F_\mu(u_j) \right| \leq c^2 (1 + \lambda_j)^{t_0},$$

and

$$\left| (1 + \lambda_j)^{-t} \overline{F_\nu(u_j)} F_\mu(u_j) \right| \leq c^2 (1 + \lambda_j)^{(t_0 - t)}.$$

It is known that the series

$$\sum_j \lambda_j^{-\tau},$$

which defines the  $\zeta$ -function of an elliptic second order operator, converges if  $\tau > n/2$ . This implies absolute convergence of (3.5) in the case  $t > t_0 + n/2$ .

One can show that splines provide an optimal approximations to sufficiently smooth functions. Namely let  $Q(F, f, t, K)$  be the set of all functions  $h$  in  $H_t(M)$  such that

- (1)  $F_\nu(h) = F_\nu(f)$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,
- (2)  $\|h\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq K$ , for a real  $K \geq \|s_t(f)\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)}$ .

The set  $Q(F, f, t, K)$  is convex, bounded and closed. The following theorem (see [60]) shows that splines provide an optimal approximations to functions in  $Q(F, f, t, K)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *The spline  $s_t(f)$  is the symmetry center of  $Q(F, f, t, K)$ . This means that for any  $h \in Q(F, f, t, K)$*

$$(3.7) \quad \|s_t(f) - h\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } Q(F, f, t, K).$$

**3.2. The Funk-Radon transform on spheres.** We consider the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and the corresponding space  $L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  constructed with respect to normalized and rotation-invariant measure.

Let  $Y_k^i$  be an orthonormal basis of spherical harmonics in the space  $L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ , where  $k = 0, 1, \dots; i = 1, 2, \dots, d_n(k)$  and

$$d_n(k) = (n + 2k - 1) \frac{(n + k - 2)!}{k!(n - 1)!}$$

is the dimension of the subspace of spherical harmonics of degree  $k$ . Note, that

$$(3.8) \quad Y_k^i(-x) = (-1)^k Y_k^i(x).$$

The Fourier decomposition of  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is

$$(3.9) \quad f(x) = \sum_{i,k} c_{i,k}(f) Y_k^i(x),$$

where

$$c_{i,k}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} f(x) \overline{Y_k^i(x)} dx = \langle f, Y_k^i \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)}.$$

To every function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  the Funk-Radon transform associates its integrals over great subspheres:

$$Rf(\theta^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^n) = \int_{\theta^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^n} f(x) dx,$$

where  $\theta^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^n$  is the great subsphere of  $\mathbb{S}^n$  whose plane has normal  $\theta$ .

In what follows we identify unit vector  $\theta$  with a point on a "dual" unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . Thus given a set of points on a dual sphere one can construct a corresponding set of subspheres  $\theta^\perp \cap \mathbb{S}^n$ .

Given a subsphere of co-dimension one, we associate with it a pair of unit vectors (points)  $\theta$  and  $-\theta$  on the dual unit sphere. So given a set of subsphere of co-dimension one we can associate with it a set of points  $\Xi$  which is symmetric in the sense that  $\Xi = -\Xi$ .

**Definition 3.2.** *We will say that a set of equatorial subspheres  $\{w_\nu\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , is a  $\rho$ -lattice if the corresponding set of points on the dual sphere is a  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho$ .*

Note that by a previous discussion the set  $M_\rho$  is symmetric :  $M_\rho = -M_\rho$ .

If a function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  has Fourier coefficients  $c_{i,k}(f)$  then its Radon Transform is given by the formula

$$R(f) = \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma((n+1)/2) \sum_{i,k} r_k c_{i,k}(f) Y_k^i.$$

where  $Y_k^i$  are spherical harmonics and

$$(3.10) \quad r_k = (-1)^{k/2} \Gamma((k+1)/2) / \Gamma((k+n)/2)$$

if  $k$  is even and  $r_k = 0$  if  $k$  is odd. It implies in particular that operators  $\Delta$  and  $R$  commute on a set of smooth functions. A function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is said to be even if its Fourier series (3.9) contains only harmonics of even degrees  $k = 2m$ . Because the coefficients  $r_k$  have asymptotics  $(-1)^{k/2} (k/2)^{(1-n)/2}$  as  $k$  goes to infinity we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *The spherical Radon transform  $R$  is a continuous operator from the Sobolev space of even functions  $H_t^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  onto the space  $H_{t+(n-1)/2}^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . Its inverse  $R^{-1}$  is a continuous operator from the space  $H_{t+(n-1)/2}^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  onto the space  $H_t^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . If  $f \in H_{t+(n-1)/2}^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and it has Fourier series  $f = \sum_{i,m} c_{i,2m}(f) Y_{2m}^i$  then*

$$(3.11) \quad R^{-1}f = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \sum_{i,m} \frac{c_{i,2m}(f)}{r_{2m}} Y_{2m}^i.$$

**3.3. Approximate inversion of the Funk-Radon transform using generalized splines.** We consider approximate inversion of the Radon transform on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  (see subsection 3.2) when only a finite number of integrals over equatorial subspheres is given. Let  $\{w_\nu\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , be a finite set of equatorial subspheres on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of codimension one (which play the same role as submanifolds  $M_\nu$  before) and distributions  $F_\nu$  are given by formulas

$$F_\nu(f) = \int_{w_\nu} f dx.$$

By solving corresponding variational problem we can find a spline  $s_t(f) \in H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)$  such that

$$F_\nu(s_t(f)) = F_\nu(f), \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

and  $s_t(f)$  minimizes norm  $\|(1 - \Delta)^{t/2} s_t(f)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$  where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator in  $L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ .

Our Theorem 3.1 in the case of the spherical Radon transform is summarized in the following statement.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For a given  $\rho$ -lattice  $W = \{w_\nu\}$  of equatorial subspheres  $\{w_\nu\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , an even smooth function  $f$  and any  $t > n/2$  define  $s_t(f)$  by the formula*

$$s_t(f) = \sum_{i,k} c_{i,k}(s_t(f)) Y_k^i,$$

where

$$c_{i,k}(s_t(f)) = (1 + \lambda_{i,k})^{-t} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) \int_{w_\nu} Y_k^i dx,$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^N b_{\nu\mu} \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) = v_\mu, \quad v_\mu = \int_{w_\mu} f dx, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$b_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{i,k} (1 + \lambda_{i,k})^{-t} \int_{w_\nu} Y_k^i dx \int_{w_\mu} Y_k^i dx.$$

The function  $s_t(f)$  has the following properties.

- (1) The function  $s_t(f)$  is even.
- (2) Integrals of  $s_t(f)$  over subspheres  $w_\nu$  have prescribed values  $v_\nu$

$$\int_{w_\nu} s_t(f) dx = v_\nu, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

- (3) Among all functions that satisfy (2) function  $s_t(f)$  minimizes the Sobolev norm

$$\|(I - \Delta)^{t/2} s_t(f)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)} = \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) v_\nu \right)^{1/2}.$$

- (4) The function  $s_t(f)$  is the center of the convex set  $Q(F, f, t, K)$  of all functions  $h$  from  $H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)$  that satisfy both the condition (2) and the inequality

$$\|(I - \Delta)^{t/2} h\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq K,$$

for any fixed  $K \geq \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) v_\nu \right)^{1/2}$ . In other words for any  $h \in Q(F, f, t, K)$

$$\|s_t(f) - h\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam } Q(F, f, t, K).$$

To prove item (1) one has to note (see [60]) that because of (3.8) integrals of  $Y_k^i$  over great circles are zero as long as  $k$  is odd. It implies that  $c_{i,k}(s_t(f)) = 0$  for every odd  $k$  which means that decomposition of the corresponding spline  $s_t(f)$  contains only harmonics  $Y_k^i$  with even  $k$ .

**3.4. A sampling theorem for the Funk-Radon transform of bandlimited functions on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ .** According to Theorem 3.3 if  $f \in H_t^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  then  $Rf \in H_{t+(n-1)/2}^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . Integral of  $f$  over a great subsphere  $w_\nu$  is the value of  $Rf$  at points  $x_\nu$  and  $-x_\nu$  which are dual to  $w_\nu$  and belong to the dual sphere.

For a fixed integer  $l \geq 0$  and  $t > n/2$  we apply Theorem 3.1 to the manifold  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and the set of distributions  $\{F_\nu\} = \{(\delta_{x_\nu} + \delta_{-x_\nu})\}$ , where  $\delta_{x_\nu}$  is the Dirac measure. This way we construct the spline  $s_\tau(Rf)$  with  $\tau = 2^l n + t + (n-1)/2$  which interpolates  $Rf$  on  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  and minimizes functional

$$(3.12) \quad u \rightarrow \|(1 - \Delta)^{\tau/2} u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)},$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator in  $L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ . Fourier coefficients of  $s_\tau(Rf)$  with respect to the basis  $\{Y_k^i\}$  can be obtained by using Theorem 3.1.

It is clear that  $s_\tau(Rf)$  has a representation of the form

$$(3.13) \quad s_\tau(Rf)(x) = \sum_k \sum_i c_i^{2k}(Rf) Y_{2k}^i(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

where  $c_i^k(Rf)$  are Fourier coefficients of  $s_\tau(Rf)$ . Applying (3.11) we obtain that the following function defined on  $\mathbb{S}^n$

$$(3.14) \quad S_\tau(f) = R^{-1}(s_\tau(Rf))$$

has a representation

$$S_\tau(f) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((n+1)/2)} \sum_k \sum_i \frac{c_{2k}^i(Rf)}{r_{2k}} Y_{2k}^i,$$

where  $r_{2k}$  are defined in (3.10).

In the following theorem we assume that a  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$  is a subset of points on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  and  $M_\rho$  is dual to a collection of great subspheres  $\{w_\nu\}$ . We also assume that functions  $S_\tau(f)$  constructed using values of  $Rf$  on  $M_\rho$ . One can prove the following Theorem (see [60]).

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $t > n/2$  then there exists a constant  $C = C(n, t) > 0$  such that for any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\} \subset \mathbb{S}^n$  with sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  and any sufficiently smooth function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  the following inequality holds true*

$$(3.15) \quad \|(S_\tau(f) - f)\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq 2(C\rho^{2n})^{2^{l-1}} \|Rf\|_{H_\tau(\mathbb{S}^n)}, \quad \tau = 2^l n + t + (n-1)/2,$$

for any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$ . In particular, if a natural  $k$  satisfies the inequality  $t > k + n/2$ , then

$$(3.16) \quad \|S_\tau(f) - f\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq 2(C\rho^{2n})^{2^{l-1}} \|Rf\|_{H_\tau(\mathbb{S}^n)}$$

for any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$

For an  $\omega > 0$  let us consider the subspace  $\mathbf{E}_\omega^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  of even  $\omega$ -bandlimited functions on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . Clearly, this subspace is invariant under  $R$ .

Note, that for functions in  $\mathbf{E}_\omega^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  the following Bernstein-type inequality holds

$$\|(I - \Delta)^s Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq (1 + \omega)^s \|Rf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^n)}.$$

As a consequence of the previous Theorem we obtain the next one (see [60]).

**Theorem 3.6.** (*Sampling Theorem For Radon Transform*). *If  $t > n/2$  then there exist constant  $C = C(n, t) > 0$  such that for any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\} \subset \mathbb{S}^n$  with sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  and any  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  one has the estimate for  $\tau = 2^l n + t + (n-1)/2$*

$$(3.17) \quad \|(S_\tau(f) - f)\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq 2(1 + \omega)^{t/2 + (n-1)/2} (C\rho^2(1 + \omega))^{2^{l-1}n} \|Rf\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)},$$

for any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$ . In particular, if a natural  $k$  satisfies the inequality  $t > k + n/2$ , then for  $\tau = 2^l n + t + (n-1)/2$

$$(3.18) \quad \|S_\tau(f) - f\|_{C^k(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq 2(1 + \omega)^{t/2 + (n-1)/2} (C\rho^2(1 + \omega))^{2^{l-1}n} \|Rf\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)},$$

for any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$

This Theorem 3.6 shows that if

$$\rho < (C(1 + \omega))^{-1/2}$$

then every  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega^{even}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  is completely determined by a finite set of values

$$Rf(x_\nu) = \int_{w_\nu} f dx, \quad x_\nu \in M_\rho.$$

Moreover, it shows that  $f$  can be reconstructed as a limit (when  $l$  goes to infinity) of functions  $S_{2^l n + t + (n-1)/2}(f)$  which were constructed by using only the set of values  $\{Rf(x_\nu)\}$  of the Radon transform  $Rf$ .

**3.5. Hemispherical Radon transform on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ .** To every function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  the hemispherical transform  $T$  assigns a function  $Tf \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  on the dual sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  which is given by the formula

$$(Tf)(\xi) = \int_{\xi \cdot x > 0} f(x) dx.$$

For every function  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  that has Fourier coefficients  $c_{i,j}(f)$  the hemispherical transform can be given explicitly by the formula

$$Tf = \pi^{(n-1)/2} \sum_{i,k} m_k c_k^i(f) Y_k^i,$$

where  $m_k = 0$ , if  $k$  is even and

$$m_k = (-1)^{(k-1)/2} \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{\Gamma((k+n+1)/2)},$$

if  $k$  is odd.

The transformation  $T$  is one to one on the subspace of odd functions (i.e.  $f(x) = -f(-x)$ ) of a Sobolev space  $H_t^{odd}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  and maps it continuously onto  $H_{t+(n+1)/2}^{odd}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ ,

$$T(H_t^{odd}(\mathbb{S}^n)) = H_{t+(n+1)/2}^{odd}(\mathbb{S}^n).$$



### 3.6. Approximate inversion of the hemispherical Radon transform on $\mathbb{S}^n$ .

Let  $\{h_\nu\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , be a finite set of hemispheres on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . We consider functionals  $F_\nu$  on  $L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)$  which are given by formulas

$$F_{h_\nu} = F_\nu = \int_{h_\nu} f dx.$$

We will assume that the set of points  $\Xi = \{\xi_\nu\}$  on the dual sphere  $\mathbb{S}_*^n$  that corresponds to the set of hemispheres  $h_\nu$  is symmetric in the sense that  $\Xi = -\Xi$ . Under this assumption we choose a  $t > 0$  and an odd function  $f$  and consider the following variational problem: find a function  $s_t(f) \in H_t(\mathbb{S}^n), t > 0$  such that

- 1)  $F_\nu(s_t(f)) = F_\nu(f), \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,
- 2)  $s_t(f)$  minimizes norm  $\|(I - \Delta)^{t/2} s_t(f)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)}$ .

Since  $\Xi = -\Xi$  and function  $f$  is odd, the solution  $s_t(f)$  will be an odd function.

According to Theorem 3.4 the Fourier series of  $s_t(f)$  is

$$s_t(f) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}(s_t(f)) Y_j^i,$$

where the Fourier coefficients  $c_{i,j}(s_t(f))$  of  $s_t(f)$  are given by formulas

$$c_{i,j}(s_t(f)) = \langle s_t(f), Y_j^i \rangle = (1 + \lambda_{i,j})^{-t} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) \int_{h_\nu} Y_j^i dx,$$

where vector  $\alpha(s_t(f))$  is the solution of the following  $N \times N$  system

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^N b_{\nu\mu} \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) = \int_{h_\mu} f dx, \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where

$$b_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{i,j} (1 + \lambda_{i,j})^{-t} \int_{h_\nu} Y_j^i dx \int_{h_\mu} Y_j^i dx.$$

This spline provides the optimal approximation to  $f$  in the sense that it is the center of the convex set  $Q(F, f, t, K)$  of all functions  $\psi$  from  $H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)$  that satisfy

$$(3.19) \quad \int_{h_\nu} \psi dx = \int_{h_\nu} f dx$$

and the inequality

$$(3.20) \quad \|(I - \Delta)^{t/2} \psi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq K$$

for any fixed  $K$  that satisfies the inequality

$$K \geq \|s_t(f)\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} = \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) \int_{h_\nu} f dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Our results about the hemispherical transform are summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *For a given symmetric set  $H = \{h_\nu\}, \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , of hemispheres  $h_\nu$ , an odd function  $f$  and any  $t > 0$  define the function  $s_t(f)$  by the formula*

$$s_t(f) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j}(s_t(f)) Y_j^i,$$

where

$$c_{i,j}(s_t(f)) = (1 + \lambda_{i,j})^{-t} \sum_{\nu}^N \alpha_{\nu}(s_t(f)) \int_{h_{\nu}} Y_j^i dx,$$

and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^N b_{\nu\mu} \alpha_{\nu}(s_t(f)) = v_{\mu}, \quad v_{\mu} = \int_{h_{\mu}} f dx, \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

$$b_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{i,j} (1 + \lambda_{i,j})^{-t} \int_{h_{\nu}} Y_j^i dx \int_{h_{\mu}} Y_j^i dx.$$

The function  $s_t(f)$  is odd and it has the following properties.

- (1) Integrals of the function  $s_t(f)$  over hemispheres  $h_{\nu}$  have prescribed values  $v_{\nu}$ :

$$(Ts_t(f))(\xi_{\nu}) = \int_{h_{\nu}} s_t(f) dx = v_{\nu}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

- (2) Among all functions that satisfy (5.1) function  $s_t(f)$  minimizes the Sobolev norm

$$\left\| (I - \Delta)^{t/2} s_t(f) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)} = \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_{\nu}(s_t(f)) v_{\nu} \right)^{1/2}.$$

- (3) Function  $s_t(f)$  is the center of the convex set  $Q(F, f, t, K)$  of all functions  $g$  from  $H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)$  that satisfy (5.1) and the inequality

$$(3.21) \quad \left\| (I - \Delta)^{t/2} g \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq K,$$

for any fixed  $K \geq \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_{\nu}(s_t(f)) v_{\nu} \right)^{1/2}$ . In other words for any  $g \in Q(F, f, t, K)$

$$\|s_t(f) - g\|_{H_t(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{diam} Q(F, f, t, K).$$

### 3.7. A sampling theorem for the hemispherical Radon transform on $\mathbb{S}^n$ .

Applying our Approximation Theorem we obtain the following result about convergence of interpolants in the case of hemispherical transform. In this Theorem we use the following parameter  $\rho$

$$\rho = \sup_{\nu} \inf_{\mu} \text{dist}(\xi_{\nu}, \xi_{\mu}), \quad \xi_{\nu}, \xi_{\mu} \in \Xi, \nu \neq \mu,$$

as a measure of the density of the set  $\Xi$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *There exists a constant  $C$  such that for any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$ , any  $k < 2^l n - n/2$  and for any odd function  $f \in H_k(\mathbb{S}^n)$  we have*

$$\|s_{2^l n}(f) - f\|_{H_k(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq (C\rho^2)^{2^l n} \|(I - \Delta)^{\tau/2} T f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \tau = 2^l n + (n+1)/2,$$

and if  $f \in \mathbf{E}_{\omega}^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{S}^n)$  then

$$\|s_{2^l n}(f) - f\|_{H_k(\mathbb{S}^n)} \leq (C\rho^2(1 + \omega))^{2^l n} (1 + \omega)^{(n+1)/2} \|T f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^n)}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots$$

The first inequality shows that for any odd smooth function  $f$  the interpolants  $s_{2^l n}(f)$  of a fixed order  $2^l n$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $k < 2^l n - n/2$ , converge to  $f$  in the uniform norm  $C^k(M)$  as long as the parameter  $\rho$  goes to zero, i.e. the set  $\Xi$  on the dual sphere gets denser.

The second inequality in the Theorem shows, that interpolants converge to an odd harmonic polynomial of order  $\omega$  for a fixed set of hemispheres  $\Xi$  if  $C\rho^2(1+\omega) < 1$  and if  $l$  goes to infinity. This statement is an analog of the Sampling Theorem for the hemispherical transform.

**3.8. Radon transform on the group of rotations  $SO(3)$ .** The following information can be found in [31], [73]. The group of rotations  $SO(3)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  consists of  $3 \times 3$  real matrices  $U$  such that  $U^T U = I$ ,  $\det U = 1$ . It is known that any  $g \in SO(3)$  has a unique representation of the form

$$g = Z(\gamma)X(\beta)Z(\alpha), \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \alpha, \gamma < 2\pi,$$

where

$$Z(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

are rotations about the  $Z$ - and  $X$ -axes, respectively. In the coordinates  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , which are known as Euler angles, the Haar measure of the group  $SO(3)$  is given as

$$dg = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sin \beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma.$$

In other words the following formula holds:

$$\int_{SO(3)} f(g) dg = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} f(g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sin \beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma.$$

Note that if  $SO(2)$  is the group of rotations of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  then the two-dimensional sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2$  can be identified with the factor  $SO(3)/SO(2)$ .

We introduce Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}f$  of a smooth function  $f$  defined on  $SO(3)$ .

**Definition 3.3.** *If  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is the standard unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then for a pair  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  the value of the Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}f$  at  $(x, y)$  is defined by the formula*

$$(\mathcal{R}f)(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\{g \in SO(3): x=gy\}} f(g) d\nu_g =$$

$$(3.22) \quad 4\pi \int_{SO(3)} f(g) \delta_y(g^{-1}x) dg = (f * \delta_y)(x), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2,$$

where  $d\nu_g = 8\pi^2 dg$ , and  $\delta_y$  is the measure concentrated on the set of all  $g \in SO(3)$  such that  $x = gy$ .

**Remark 3.** *Note, that crystallographic X-ray transform of a function  $f$  is a function on  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , which is defined by the following formula*

$$(3.23) \quad Pf(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{R}f(x, y) + \mathcal{R}f(-x, y)), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2.$$

An orthonormal system in  $L_2(S^2)$  is provided by the spherical harmonics  $\{Y_k^i, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, i = 1, \dots, 2k + 1\}$ . The subspaces  $\mathcal{H}_k := \text{span}\{Y_k^i, i = 1, \dots, 2k + 1\}$  spanned by the spherical harmonics of degree  $k$  are the invariant subspaces of the quasi-regular representation  $T(g) : f(x) \mapsto f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$ , (where  $\cdot$  denotes the canonical action of  $SO(3)$  on  $S^2$ ). Representation  $T$  decomposes into  $(2k + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representations  $\mathcal{T}_k$  in  $\mathcal{H}_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The corresponding matrix coefficients are the Wigner-polynomials

$$\mathcal{T}_k^{ij}(g) = \langle \mathcal{T}_k(g)Y_k^i, Y_k^j \rangle.$$

If  $\Delta_{SO(3)}$  and  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$  are Laplace-Beltrami operators of invariant metrics on  $SO(3)$  and  $\mathbb{S}^2$  respectively, then

$$(3.24) \quad \Delta_{SO(3)}\mathcal{T}_k^{ij} = -k(k+1)\mathcal{T}_k^{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{S^2}Y_k^i = -k(k+1)Y_k^i.$$

Using the fact that  $\Delta_{SO(3)}$  on the eigenspace  $\mathcal{H}_k$  is just multiplication by  $-k(k+1)$  we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L_2(SO(3))}^2 = \|(4\pi)^{-1}(I - 2\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2})^{1/4}\mathcal{R}f\|_{L_2(S^2 \times S^2)}^2,$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the natural metric on  $S^2 \times S^2$ . Here  $\Delta_1$  is acting on the first component and  $\Delta_2$  is acting on the second component of the product  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ .

We define the following norm on the space  $C^\infty(S^2 \times S^2)$

$$\|u\|^2 = \|(I - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{1/2}u, u\|_{L_2(S^2 \times S^2)}.$$

Because  $\mathcal{R}$  is essentially an isometry between  $L_2(SO(3))$  with the natural norm and  $L_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  the inverse of  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by its adjoint operator. To calculate the adjoint operator we express the Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}$  in another way. Going back to our problem in crystallography we first state that the great circle  $C_{x,y} = \{g \in SO(3) : g \cdot x = y\}$  in  $SO(3)$  can also be described by the following formula

$$C_{x,y} = x'SO(2)(y')^{-1} := \{x'h(y')^{-1}, h \in SO(2)\}, \quad x', y' \in SO(3),$$

where  $x' \cdot x_0 = x$ ,  $y' \cdot x_0 = y$  and  $SO(2)$  is the stabilizer of  $x_0 \in S^2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}f(x,y) &= \int_{SO(2)} f(x'h(y')^{-1}) dh = 4\pi \int_{C_{x,y}} f(g) dg \\ &= 4\pi \int_{SO(3)} f(g)\delta_y(g^{-1} \cdot x) dg, \quad f \in L_2(SO(3)). \end{aligned}$$

By using this representation one can find that the  $L^2$ -adjoint operator of  $\mathcal{R}$  is given by

$$(3.25) \quad (\mathcal{R}^*u)(g) = (4\pi) \int_{S^2} (I - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{1/2}u(g \cdot y, y) dy.$$

**Definition 3.4** (Sobolev spaces on  $S^2 \times S^2$ ). *The Sobolev space  $H_t(S^2 \times S^2)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as the domain of the operator  $(I - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{\frac{t}{2}}$  with graph norm*

$$\|f\|_{H_t(S^2 \times S^2)} = \|(I - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{\frac{t}{2}}f\|_{L_2(S^2 \times S^2)},$$

and the Sobolev space  $H_t^\Delta(S^2 \times S^2)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as the subspace of all functions  $f \in H_t(S^2 \times S^2)$  such  $\Delta_1 f = \Delta_2 f$ .

**Definition 3.5** (Sobolev spaces on  $SO(3)$ ). *The Sobolev space  $H_t(SO(3))$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , is defined as the domain of the operator  $(I - 4\Delta_{SO(3)})^{\frac{t}{2}}$  with graph norm*

$$\|f\|_t = \|(I - 4\Delta_{SO(3)})^{\frac{t}{2}} f\|_{L^2(SO(3))}, \quad f \in L_2(SO(3)).$$

It is not difficult to prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.9.** *For any  $t \geq 0$  the Radon transform on  $SO(3)$  is an invertible mapping*

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{R} : H_t(SO(3)) \rightarrow H_{t+\frac{1}{2}}^\Delta(S^2 \times S^2).$$

and

$$f(g) = \int_{S^2} (I - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mathcal{R}f)(gy, y) dy = \frac{1}{4\pi} (\mathcal{R}^* \mathcal{R}f)(g), \quad g \in SO(3).$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{R}^{-1} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathcal{R}^*$ .

One can verify [6] that the following relations hold

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}_k^{ij}(x, y) = \mathcal{T}_k^{i1}(x) \overline{\mathcal{T}_k^{j1}(y)} = \frac{4\pi}{2k+1} Y_k^i(x) \overline{Y_k^j(y)},$$

$$(3.28) \quad \Delta_{S^2 \times S^2} \mathcal{R}f = 2\mathcal{R} \Delta_{SO(3)} f, \quad f \in H_2(SO(3)),$$

$$(3.29) \quad (1 - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{t/2} \mathcal{R}f = \mathcal{R} (1 - 4\Delta_{SO(3)})^{t/2} f, \quad f \in H_t(SO(3)), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$(3.30) \quad (1 - 2\Delta_{S^2 \times S^2})^{t/2} g = \mathcal{R} (1 - 4\Delta_{SO(3)})^{t/2} \mathcal{R}^{-1} g,$$

where  $g \in H_{t+1/2}^\Delta(S^2 \times S^2)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.10** (Reconstruction formula). *Let*

$$G(x, y) = \mathcal{R}f(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k+1} \widehat{G}(k)_{ij} Y_k^i(x) \overline{Y_k^j(y)} \in H_{\frac{1}{2}+t}^\Delta(S^2 \times S^2), \quad t \geq 0,$$

be a result of the Radon transform. Then the pre-image  $f \in H_t(SO(3))$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is given by

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k+1} \frac{(2k+1)}{4\pi} \widehat{G}(k)_{ij} \mathcal{T}_k^{ij}$$

**3.9. Approximate inversion of the Radon transform on  $SO(3)$  using generalized splines.** Let  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$  be a set of pairs of points from  $SO(3)$ . In what follows we have to assume that our **Independence Assumption** (3.2) holds. It takes now the following form: there are smooth functions  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N$  on  $SO(3)$  with

$$\mathcal{R}\phi_\nu(x_\nu, y_\nu) = \delta_{\nu\mu}.$$

But it is obvious that for this condition to satisfy it is enough to assume that submanifolds  $\mathcal{M}_\nu = x_\nu SO(2) y_\nu^{-1} \subset SO(3)$  are pairwise different (not necessarily disjoint).

Let  $f$  be a function in  $H_t(SO(3))$ ,  $t > \frac{1}{2}$  ( $\dim SO(3) = 3/2$ ) and

$$(3.31) \quad v_\nu = \int_{\mathcal{M}_\nu} f dx, \quad \nu = 1, \dots, N, \quad v = \{v_\nu\}.$$

According to Definition 3.1 we use notation  $s_t(f) = s_t(v)$  for a function in  $H_t(SO(3))$  such that for  $\mathcal{M}_\nu = x_\nu SO(2)y_\nu^{-1}$  it satisfies (3.31) and minimizes the functional

$$(3.32) \quad u \rightarrow \|(1 - 4\Delta_{SO(3)})^{t/2}u\|_{L_2(SO(3))}.$$

In this situation the results of section 3 can be summarized in the following statement which was proved in [6].

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_N, y_N)\}$  be a subset of  $SO(3) \times SO(3)$  such that submanifolds  $\mathcal{M}_\nu = x_\nu SO(2)y_\nu^{-1} \subset SO(3)$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, N$ , are pairwise different.*

*For a function  $f$  in  $H_t(SO(3))$ ,  $t > 3/2$ , and a vector of measurements  $v = (v_\nu)_1^N$  in (3.31) the solution of a constrained variational problem (3.31)-(3.32) is given by*

$$(3.33) \quad s_t(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k+1} c_{ij}^k(s_t(f)) \mathcal{T}_k^{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{trace}(c_k(s_t(f)) \mathcal{T}_k),$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_k^{ij}$  are the Wigner polynomials. The Fourier coefficients  $c_k(s_t(f))$  of the solution are given by their matrix entries

$$(3.34) \quad c_{ij}^k(s_t(f)) = \frac{4\pi}{(2k+1)(1+k(k+1))^t} \sum_{\nu=1}^N \alpha_\nu(s_t(f)) Y_k^i(x_\nu) \overline{Y_k^j(y_\nu)},$$

where  $\alpha(s_t(f)) = (\alpha_\nu(s_t(f)))_1^N \in \mathbb{R}^N$  is the solution of

$$(3.35) \quad \beta \alpha(s_t(f)) = f,$$

with  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$  given by

$$(3.36) \quad \beta_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1+k(k+1))^{-t} C_k^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_\nu \cdot y_\nu) C_k^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_\mu \cdot y_\mu),$$

where the Gegenbauer polynomials  $C_k^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are given by the formulas ( see [72], [73])

$$(3.37) \quad C_k^{\frac{1}{2}}(x \cdot y) = \frac{4\pi}{2k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} Y_k^i(x) \overline{Y_k^i(y)}$$

for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The function  $s_t(f) \in H_t(SO(3))$  has the following properties:

- (1)  $s_t(f)$  has the prescribed set of measurements  $v = (v_\nu)_1^N$  at points  $((x_\nu, y_\nu))_1^N$ ;
- (2) it minimizes the functional (3.32);
- (3) the solution (3.33) is optimal in the sense that for every sufficiently large  $K > 0$  it is the symmetry center of the convex bounded closed set of all functions  $h$  in  $H_t(SO(3))$  with  $\|h\|_{H_t(SO(3))} \leq K$  which have the same set of measurements  $v = (v_\nu)_1^N$  at points  $((x_\nu, y_\nu))_1^N$ .

**3.10. A sampling theorem for Radon transform of bandlimited functions on  $SO(3)$ .** According to Theorem 3.9 if  $f \in H_t(SO(3))$  then  $\mathcal{R}f \in H_{t+1/2}^\Delta(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ . Integral of  $f$  over the manifold  $x_\nu SO(2)y_\nu^{-1}$  is the value of  $\mathcal{R}f$  at  $(x_\nu, y_\nu)$  where  $\{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\} = M_\rho \subset (\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$  is a  $\rho$ -lattice. Note that dimension of the manifold  $SO(3)$  is three and dimension of the manifold  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  is four.

For a fixed natural  $l \geq 0$  and  $t > 3/2$  we apply Theorem 3.1 to the manifold  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  and the set of distributions  $F = \{\delta_\nu\}$  where

$$\delta_\nu(g) = g(x_\nu, y_\nu), \quad g \in C^0(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2), \quad (x_\nu, y_\nu) \in M_\rho \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2,$$

to construct spline  $s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(\mathcal{R}f)$ , which interpolates  $\mathcal{R}f$  on  $M_\rho = \{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\}$  and minimizes functional

$$(3.38) \quad u \rightarrow \|(I - 2\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2})^{2^{l+1}+(t+1)/2}u\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)}.$$

Fourier coefficients of  $s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(\mathcal{R}f)$  with respect to the basis  $\{Y_{k_1}^i Y_{k_2}^j\}$  can be obtained by using Theorem 3.1. Let  $s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}^\Delta(\mathcal{R}f)$  be the orthogonal projection (in the norm of  $H_t(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ ) of  $s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(\mathcal{R}f)$  onto subspace  $H_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}^\Delta(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$ . It means that  $s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}^\Delta(\mathcal{R}f)$  has a representation of the form

$$(3.39) \quad s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}^\Delta(\mathcal{R}f)(x, y) = \sum_k \sum_{ij} c_{ij}^k(\mathcal{R}f) Y_k^i(x) \overline{Y_k^j(y)}, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2,$$

where

$$c_{ij}^k(\mathcal{R}f) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2} s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(\mathcal{R}f)(x, y) \overline{Y_k^i(x) Y_k^j(y)} dx dy$$

are the Fourier coefficients of  $s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(\mathcal{R}f)$ . Applying (3.27) we obtain that the following function defined on  $SO(3)$

$$(3.40) \quad S_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(f)(x) = \mathcal{R}^{-1} \left( s_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}^\Delta(\mathcal{R}f) \right) (x),$$

has a representation

$$S_{2^{l+2}+(t+1)}(f)(x) = \sum_k \sum_{ij} \frac{2k+1}{4\pi} c_{ij}^k(\mathcal{R}f) \mathcal{T}_k^{ij}(x).$$

Let us stress that these functions do not interpolate  $f$  in any sense. However, the following approximation results were proved in [6].

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $t > 3/2$  then there exist a constant  $C = C(t) > 0$  such that for any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  with sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  and any sufficiently smooth function  $f \in H_t(SO(3))$  the following inequality holds true*

$$\|(S_\tau(f) - f)\|_{H_t(SO(3))} \leq C_1(l) \rho^{2^{l+2}} \|\mathcal{R}f\|_{H_\tau(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)},$$

for  $\tau = 2^{l+2} + (t+1)$  and any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$ . In particular, if a natural  $k$  satisfies the inequality  $t > k + 3/2$ , then

$$(3.41) \quad \|S_\tau(f) - f\|_{C^k(SO(3))} \leq C_1(l) \rho^{2^{l+2}} \|\mathcal{R}f\|_{H_\tau(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)}$$

for any  $m = 0, 1, \dots$

For an  $\omega > 0$  let us consider the space  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(SO(3))$  of  $\omega$ -bandlimited functions on  $SO(3)$  i.e. the span of all Wigner functions  $T_k^{ij}$  with  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$ . As the formulas (3.24) and (3.27) show the Radon transform of such function is  $\omega$ -bandlimited on  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  in the sense its Fourier expansion involves only functions  $Y_k^i \overline{Y_k^j}$  which are eigenfunctions of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2}$  with eigenvalue  $-2k(k+1) \geq -2\omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$  be the span of  $Y_k^i(\xi) \overline{Y_k^j(\eta)}$  with  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$ . Thus

$$(3.42) \quad \mathcal{R} : \mathbf{E}_\omega(SO(3)) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2).$$

For  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(SO(3))$  the following Bernstein-type inequality holds

$$\|(1 - 2\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2})^\tau \mathcal{R}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)} \leq (1 + 4\omega)^\tau \|\mathcal{R}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)}.$$

For the proof of the next theorem we refer to [6].

**Theorem 3.13.** (*Sampling Theorem For Radon Transform*). *If  $t > 3/2$  then there exist a constant  $C = C(t) > 0$  such that for any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$  with sufficiently small  $\rho > 0$  and any  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(SO(3))$  one has the estimate*

$$(3.43) \quad \|(S_\tau(f) - f)\|_{H_t(SO(3))} \leq 2(1 + 4\omega)^{(t+1)/2} (C\rho^2(1 + 4\omega))^{2^{t+1}} \|\mathcal{R}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)},$$

for  $\tau = 2^{l+2} + (t + 1)$  and any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$ . In particular, if a natural  $k$  satisfies the inequality  $t > k + 3/2$ , then

$$\|S_\tau(f) - f\|_{C^k(SO(3))} \leq 2(1 + 4\omega)^{(t+1)/2} (C\rho^2(1 + 4\omega))^{2^{t+1}} \|\mathcal{R}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)},$$

for any  $l = 0, 1, \dots$

This Theorem 3.13 shows that if  $\rho < (C(1 + \omega))^{-1/2}$  then every  $f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(SO(3))$  is completely determined by a finite set of values

$$\mathcal{R}f(x_\nu, y_\nu) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_\nu} f,$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_\nu = x_\nu SO(2)y_\nu^{-1} \subset SO(3)$ ,  $\{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\} = M_\rho \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ . Moreover, it shows that  $f$  can be reconstructed as a limit (when  $l$  goes to infinity) of functions  $S_{2^{l+2}+t}(f)$  which were constructed by using only the values of the Radon transform  $\mathcal{R}f(x_\nu, y_\nu)$ .

#### 4. BANDLIMITED AND LOCALIZED PARSEVAL FRAMES ON COMPACT HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS WITH APPLICATIONS TO INTEGRAL GEOMETRY

We now turn to a special class of the so-called compact homogeneous manifolds [39], [40], [72], [73], [77]. These manifolds (which have many symmetries) appear in applications very often. For compact homogeneous manifolds we are able to construct Parseval frames which share many properties with orthonormal bases.

**4.1. Compact homogeneous manifolds.** A *homogeneous compact manifold*  $M$  is a  $C^\infty$ -compact manifold on which a compact Lie group  $G$  acts transitively. In this case  $M$  is necessary of the form  $G/K$ , where  $K$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$ . The notation  $L_2(M)$ , is used for the usual Hilbert spaces, with invariant measure  $dx$  on  $M$ .

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a compact Lie group  $G$  is then a direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} + [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ , where  $\mathfrak{a}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  is a semi-simple algebra. Let  $Q$  be a positive-definite quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which, on  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ , is opposite to the Killing form. Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is orthonormal with respect to  $Q$ . The operator

$$(4.1) \quad -X_1^2 - X_2^2 - \dots - X_d^2, \quad d = \dim G,$$

is a bi-invariant operator on  $G$ , which is known as the Casimir operator ([39], [77]).



Every element  $X$  of the Lie algebra of  $G$  generates a vector field on  $M$ , which we will denote by the same letter  $X$ . Namely, for a smooth function  $f$  on  $M$  one has

$$Xf(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\exp tX \cdot x) - f(x)}{t}$$

for every  $x \in M$ . In the future we will consider on  $M$  only such vector fields. The translations along integral curves of such vector fields  $X$  on  $M$  can be identified with a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of  $M$ , which is usually denoted as  $\exp tX$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ . At the same time, the one-parameter group  $\exp tX$ ,  $-\infty < t < \infty$ , can be treated as a strongly continuous one-parameter group of operators acting on the space  $L_2(M)$ . These operators act on functions according to the formula

$$f \rightarrow f(\exp tX \cdot x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in L_2(M), \quad x \in M.$$

The generator of this one-parameter group will be denoted by  $D_X$ , and the group itself will be denoted by

$$e^{tD_X} f(x) = f(\exp tX \cdot x), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad f \in L_2(M), \quad x \in M.$$

According to the general theory of one-parameter groups in Banach spaces [12], Ch. I, the operator  $D_X$  is a closed operator in  $L_2(M)$ .

Since the operator (4.1) is bi-invariant the corresponding operator on  $L_2(M)$ ,

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{L} = -D_1^2 - D_2^2 - \dots - D_d^2, \quad D_j = D_{X_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq d, \quad d = \dim G,$$

commutes with all operators  $D_j = D_{X_j}$ . Here  $D_j^2 f = D_j(D_j f)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{L}$ , which is often called the Laplace operator, is the image of the Casimir operator under differential of quazi-regular representation in  $L_2(M)$ . The operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is not necessarily the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the natural invariant metric on  $M$ . But it coincides with such operator at least in the following cases: 1) if  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional torus, 2) if the manifold  $M$  is itself a compact semi-simple Lie group  $G$  ([39], Ch. II), 3) if  $M = G/K$  is a compact symmetric space of rank one ([39], Ch. II, Theorem 4.11).

The following theorem holds for any compact manifold [35], [62].

**Theorem 4.1.** *(Cubature formula with positive weights) There exists a positive constant  $c = c(M)$ , such that if  $\rho = c\omega^{-1/2}$ , then for any  $\rho$ -lattice  $M_\rho = \{x_\nu\}$ , there exist strictly positive coefficients  $\mu_\nu > 0$  for which the following equality holds for all functions in  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(M)$ :*

$$(4.3) \quad \int_M f dx = \sum_{x_\nu \in M_\rho} \mu_\nu f(x_\nu).$$

Moreover, there exists constants  $c_1, c_2$ , such that the following inequalities hold:

$$(4.4) \quad c_1 \omega^{-n/2} \leq \mu_\nu \leq c_2 \omega^{-n/2}, \quad n = \dim M.$$

The following important result was obtained in [35], [62].

**Theorem 4.2.** *(Product property) If  $M = G/K$  is a compact homogeneous manifold and  $\mathcal{L}$  is the same as above, then for any  $f$  and  $g$  belonging to  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ , their product  $fg$  belongs to  $\mathbf{E}_{4d\omega}(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $d$  is the dimension of the group  $G$ .*

**4.2. Bandlimited and localized Parseval frames on homogeneous manifolds.** In this section we assume that a manifold  $M$  is homogeneous in the sense that it is of the form  $M = G/K$ , where  $G$  is a compact Lie group and  $K$  is its closed subgroup (see subsection 4.1). In this situation we consider spaces of bandlimited functions  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ ,  $\omega > 0$ , with respect to the Casimir operator  $\mathcal{L}$  that was defined in (4.2). Our goal is to construct a tight bandlimited and localized frame in the space  $L_2(M)$ .

Let  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be a monotonic function such that  $\text{supp } g \subset [0, 2^2]$ , and  $g(s) = 1$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,  $0 \leq g(s) \leq 1$ ,  $s > 0$ . Setting  $G(s) = g(s) - g(2^2s)$  implies that  $0 \leq G(s) \leq 1$ ,  $s \in \text{supp } G \subset [2^{-2}, 2^2]$ . Clearly,  $\text{supp } G(2^{-2j}s) \subset [2^{2j-2}, 2^{2j+2}]$ ,  $j \geq 1$ . For the functions  $\Phi(s) = \sqrt{g(s)}$ ,  $\Phi(2^{-2j}s) = \sqrt{G(2^{-2j}s)}$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , one has

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} (\Phi(2^{-2j}s))^2 = 1, \quad s \geq 0.$$

Using the spectral theorem for  $\mathcal{L}$  one obtains

$$\sum_{j \geq 0} \Phi^2(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f = f, \quad f \in L_2(M),$$

and taking inner product with  $f$  gives

$$(4.5) \quad \|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2 = \sum_{j \geq 0} \langle \Phi^2(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \geq 0} \|\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f\|_{L_2(M)}^2.$$

Moreover, since the function  $\Phi(2^{-2j}s)$  has its support in  $[2^{2j-2}, 2^{2j+2}]$  the elements  $\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f$  are bandlimited to  $[2^{2j-2}, 2^{2j+2}]$ .

Expanding  $f \in L_2(M)$  in terms of eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{L}$  we obtain

$$\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f = \sum_i \Phi(2^{-2j}\lambda_i) c_i(f) u_i, \quad c_i(f) = \langle f, u_i \rangle.$$

Since for every  $j$  function  $\Phi(2^{-2j}s)$  is supported in the interval  $[2^{2j-2}, 2^{2j+2}]$  the function  $\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f(x)$ ,  $x \in M$ , is bandlimited and belongs to  $\mathbf{E}_{2^{2j+2}}(\mathcal{L})$ . But then the function  $\overline{\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f(x)}$  is also in  $\mathbf{E}_{2^{2j+2}}(\mathcal{L})$ . Since

$$|\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f(x)|^2 = [\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f(x)] \left[ \overline{\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f(x)} \right],$$

one can use Theorem 4.2 to conclude that  $|\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f|^2 \in \mathbf{E}_{4d2^{2j+2}}(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $d = \dim G$ ,  $M = G/K$ .

To summarize, we proved, that for every  $f \in L_2(M)$  we have the following decomposition

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_{j \geq 0} \|\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f\|_{L_2(M)}^2 = \|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2, \quad |\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f(x)|^2 \in \mathbf{E}_{4d2^{2j+2}}(\mathcal{L}).$$

The next objective is to perform a discretization step. According to our Theorem 4.1 there exists a constant  $c = c(M) > 0$  such that for all integer  $j$  if

$$(4.7) \quad \rho_j = c(4d2^{2j+2} + 1)^{-1/2} \sim 2^{-j}, \quad d = \dim G, \quad M = G/K,$$

then for any  $\rho_j$ -lattice  $M_{\rho_j}$  one can find coefficients  $b_{j,k}$  with

$$(4.8) \quad b_{j,k} \sim \rho_j^n, \quad n = \dim M,$$

for which the following exact cubature formula holds

$$(4.9) \quad \|\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f\|_{L_2(M)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{J_j} b_{j,k} |(\Phi(2^{-2j}\mathcal{L})f)(x_{j,k})|^2,$$

where  $x_{j,k} \in M_{\rho_j}$ , ( $k = 1, \dots, J_j = \text{card}(M_{\rho_j})$ ).

For each  $x_{j,k}$  we define the functions

$$(4.10) \quad \psi_{j,k}(y) = \overline{\mathcal{K}_{2^{-j}}^{\Phi}}(x_{j,k}, y) = \sum_i \overline{\Phi}(2^{-2j}\lambda_i) \overline{u}_i(x_{j,k}) u_i(y),$$

$$(4.11) \quad \Psi_{j,k} = \sqrt{b_{j,k}} \psi_{j,k}.$$

We find that for all  $f \in L_2(M)$ ,

$$(4.12) \quad \|f\|_{L_2(M)}^2 = \sum_{j,k} |\langle f, \Psi_{j,k} \rangle|^2.$$

Moreover, one can show [35], [62], [71] that the frame members  $\psi_{j,k}$  are strongly localized on the manifold. All together it implies the following statement [35], [62].

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $M$  is a homogeneous manifold, then the set of functions  $\{\Psi_{j,k}\}$ , constructed in (4.10)-(4.11) has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\{\Psi_{j,k}\}$ , is a Parseval frame in the space  $L_2(M)$ .
- (2) Every function  $\Psi_{j,k}$  is bandlimited to  $[2^{2j-2}, 2^{2j+2}]$ .
- (3) For any  $N > 0$  there exists a  $C(N) > 0$  such that uniformly in  $j$  and  $k$

$$(4.13) \quad |\psi_{j,k}(x)| \leq C(N) \frac{2^{nj}}{(1 + 2^j \text{dist}(x, x_{j,k}))^N}, \quad j \geq 0.$$

- (4) The following reconstruction formula holds

$$(4.14) \quad f = \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_k \langle f, \Psi_{j,k} \rangle \Psi_{j,k} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_k b_{j,k} \langle f, \psi_{j,k} \rangle \psi_{j,k}, \quad f \in L_2(M),$$

with convergence in  $L_2(M)$ .

By using Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 one can easily obtain a following **exact** discrete formula for Fourier coefficients which uses only samples of  $f$  on a sufficiently dense lattice.

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $M$  is a homogeneous compact manifold then there exists a  $c = c(M) > 0$  such that for any  $\omega > 0$ , if  $\rho_\omega = c(\omega + 1)^{-1/2}$ , then for any  $\rho_\omega$ -lattice  $M_{\rho_\omega} = \{x_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{N_\omega}$  of  $M$ , there exist positive weights  $\mu_\nu \asymp (\omega + 1)^{-n/2}$ ,  $n = \dim M$ , such that for every function  $f$  in  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$  the Fourier coefficients  $c_i(f)$*

$$c_i(f) = \int_M f \overline{u}_i, \quad -\mathcal{L}u_i = \lambda_i u_i, \quad \lambda_i \leq \omega,$$

are given by the formulas

$$(4.15) \quad c_i(f) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_\omega} \mu_\nu f(x_\nu) \overline{u}_i(x_\nu).$$

Theorems 4.3, 4.4, 4.1, and 4.2 can be used to prove another exact discrete formula for Fourier coefficients which involves frame functions.

**Theorem 4.5.** *For a compact homogeneous manifold  $M$  there exists a constant  $c = c(M) > 0$  such that for any natural  $J$  if*

$$\rho_J = c2^{-J}$$

*then for any  $\rho_J$ -lattice  $M_{\rho_J} = \{x_\nu^*\}_{\nu=1}^{N_\omega}$ , there exist positive weights*

$$\mu_\nu^* \asymp 2^{-Jn}, \quad n = \dim M,$$

*such that the following formula holds*

$$(4.16) \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^J \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_J} \mu_\nu^* f(x_\nu^*) \psi_{j,k}(x_\nu^*) \psi_{j,k}, \quad f \in \mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L}), \quad \omega = 2^{2J} - 1.$$

#### 4.3. Exact formulas for Fourier coefficients of a bandlimited function $f$ on $\mathbb{S}^n$ from a finite number of samples of its Funk-Radon transform.

Theorem 4.4 can be used to obtain a discrete inversion formula for  $R$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *(Discrete Inversion Formula) There exists a  $c = c(M) > 0$  such that for any  $\omega > 0$ , if  $\rho_\omega = c\omega^{-1/2}$ , then for any  $\rho_\omega$ -lattice  $M_{\rho_\omega} = \{x_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{m_\omega}$  of  $\mathbb{S}^n$ , there exist positive weights  $\mu_\nu \asymp \omega^{-d/2}$ , such that for every function  $f$  in  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^n)$  the Fourier coefficients  $c_k^i(Rf)$  of its Radon transform, i.e.*

$$Rf(x) = \sum_{i,k} c_k^i(Rf) Y_k^i(x), \quad k(k+1) \leq \omega, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^n,$$

*are given by the formulas*

$$(4.17) \quad c_k^i(Rf) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_\omega} \mu_\nu(Rf)(x_\nu) Y_k^i(x_\nu).$$

*The function  $f$  can be reconstructed by means of the formula*

$$(4.18) \quad f = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma((d+1)/2)} \sum_k \sum_i \frac{c_k^i(Rf)}{r_k} Y_k^i,$$

*in which  $k$  runs over all natural even numbers such that  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$  and  $r_k$  are defined in (3.10).*

*Proof.* Note that in the case when  $M$  is the rank one compact symmetric space (in particular, the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  or any of the projective spaces  $P^d$ ,  $\mathbb{C}P^d$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}P^d$ ) the constant  $4d$  in Theorem 4.2 can be improved. Namely, if  $M = G/H$  is a compact symmetric space of rank one then for any  $f$  and  $g$  belonging to  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(\mathcal{L})$ , their product  $fg$  belongs to  $\mathbf{E}_{2\omega}(\mathcal{L})$ .

Using this fact we obtain that if  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$  then every product  $Y_k^i \overline{Y_k^j}$ , where  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$  belongs to  $\mathbf{E}_{2\omega}(\mathbb{S}^n)$ .

By Theorem 4.1 there exists a positive constant  $c = c(M)$ , such that if  $\rho_\omega = c\omega^{-1/2}$ , then for any  $\rho_\omega$ -lattice  $M_{\rho_\omega} = \{x_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^{m_\omega}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  there exist a set of positive weights  $\mu_\nu \asymp \omega^{-d/2}$  such that

$$(4.19) \quad c_k^i(Rf) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} (Rf)(x) \overline{Y_k^i(x)} dx = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_\omega} \mu_\nu(Rf)(x_\nu) \overline{Y_k^i(x_\nu)}.$$

Thus,

$$(Rf)(x) = \sum_{k,i} c_k^i(Rf) \overline{Y_k^i(x)}.$$

Now the reconstruction formula of Theorem 3.10 implies the formula (4.18).  $\square$

Without going to details we just mention that similar results can be obtained for the hemispherical Radon transform on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  (see [60]) and for the case of Radon transform of functions on domains see [64].

**4.4. Exact formulas for Fourier coefficients of a bandlimited function  $f$  on  $SO(3)$  from a finite number of samples of  $\mathcal{R}f$ .** Let  $M_\rho = \{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\}$  be a metric  $\rho$ -lattice of  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ . In what follows  $\mathcal{E}_\omega(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$  will denote the span in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2)$  of all  $Y_k^i \overline{Y_k^j}$  with  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$ . Theorem 4.4 implies the following exact discrete reconstruction formula which uses only samples of  $\mathcal{R}f$  on a sufficiently dense lattice.

**Theorem 4.7.** (*Discrete Inversion Formula* [7]) *There exists a  $c = c(M) > 0$  such that for any  $\omega > 0$ , if  $\rho_\omega = c\omega^{-1/2}$ , then for any  $\rho_\omega$ -lattice  $M_{\rho_\omega} = \{(x_\nu, y_\nu)\}_{\nu=1}^{m_\omega}$  of  $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ , there exist positive weights  $\mu_\nu \asymp \omega^{-2}$ , such that for every function  $f$  in  $\mathbf{E}_\omega(SO(3))$  the Fourier coefficients  $c_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{R}f)$  of its Radon transform, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{R}f(x, y) = \sum_{i,j,k} c_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{R}f) Y_k^i(x) \overline{Y_k^j}(y), \quad k(k+1) \leq \omega, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2,$$

are given by the formulas

$$(4.20) \quad c_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{R}f) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{m_\omega} \mu_\nu (\mathcal{R}f)(x_\nu, y_\nu) Y_k^i(x_\nu) \overline{Y_k^j}(y_\nu).$$

The function  $f$  can be reconstructed by means of the formula

$$(4.21) \quad f(g) = \sum_k \sum_{i,j} \frac{(2k+1)}{4\pi} c_{i,j}^k(\mathcal{R}f) \mathcal{T}_k^{i,j}(g), \quad g \in SO(3),$$

in which  $k$  runs over all natural numbers such that  $k(k+1) \leq \omega$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alfeld, M. Neamtu, L. L. Schumaker, *Fitting scattered data on sphere-like surfaces using spherical splines*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 73, (1996), 5-43.
- [2] J.-P. Antoine, D. Roca, P. Vandergheynst, *Wavelet transform on manifolds: old and new approaches*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 28 (2010), no. 2, 189-202.
- [3] K. Atkinson, W. Han, *Spherical harmonics and approximations on the unit sphere: an introduction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2044. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [4] P. Baldi, G. Kerkycharian, D. Marinucci, D. Picard, *Asymptotics for Spherical Needlets*, *Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 37, (2009), No. 3, 1150-1171.
- [5] S. Bernstein, S. Ebert, *Wavelets on  $S^3$  and  $SO(3)$ -their construction, relation to each other and Radon transform of wavelets on  $SO(3)$* , Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 33 (2010), no. 16, 1895-1909.
- [6] S. Bernstein, S. Ebert, I.Z. Pesenson, *Generalized Splines for Radon Transform on Compact Lie Groups with Applications to Crystallography*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 19 (2013), no. 1, 140-166.
- [7] S. Bernstein, I.Z. Pesenson, *The Radon transform on  $SO(3)$ : motivations, generalizations, discretization*, Geometric analysis and integral geometry, 77-96, Contemp. Math., 598, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013.
- [8] S. Bernstein, H. Schaeben, *A one-dimensional Radon transform on  $SO(3)$  and its application to texture goniometry*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 28:1269-1289 (2005),

- [9] S. Bernstein, R. Hielscher, H. Schaeben, *The generalized totally geodesic Radon transform and its application to texture analysis*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 32:379–394 (2009),
- [10] A. Bondarenko, D. Hardin, E. Saff, *Mesh ratios for best-packing and limits of minimal energy configurations*, Acta Math. Hungar. 142 (2014), no. 1, 118-131.
- [11] K.G.van den Boogaart, R. Hielscher, J. Prestin and H. Schaeben, *Kernel-based methods for inversion of the Radon transform on  $SO(3)$  and their applications to texture analysis*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 199 (2007),122-40,
- [12] P. Butzer, H. Berens, *Semi-Groups of operators and approximation*, Springer, Berlin, 1967 .
- [13] R.R. Coifman, M. Maggioni, *Diffusion wavelets*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 21 (2006) 53-94.
- [14] T. Coulhon, G. Kerkycharian, P. Petrushev, *Heat kernel generated frames in the setting of Dirichlet spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 18 (2012), no. 5, 995-1066.
- [15] S. Dahlke, W. Dahmen, W. Schmitt, I. Weinreich, *Multiresolution analysis and wavelets on  $S^2$  and  $S^3$* , Numer Funct Anal Optim., 16(1995), 19-41.
- [16] J.R. Driscoll and D.M. Healy, *Computing Fourier Transforms and Convolutions on the 2-Sphere*, Adv. in Applied Math. 15(1994), 202-250.
- [17] R. Duffin, A. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Trans. AMS, 72, (1952), 341-366.
- [18] C. Durastanti, Y. Fantaye, F. Hansen, D. Marinucci, and I. Z. Pesenson, *A Simple Proposal for Radial 3D Needlets*, Phys. Rev. D 90, 103532, 2014.
- [19] N. Dyn, F.J. Narcovich, and J.D. Ward, *Variational Principles and Sobolev-Type Estimates for generalized Interpolation on a Riemannian Manifold*, Constr. Approx., 15(1999), 175-208.
- [20] G. E. Fasshauer; L. L. Schumaker, *Scattered data fitting on the sphere. Mathematical methods for curves and surfaces, II* (Lillehammer, 1997), 117-166, Innov. Appl. Math., Vanderbilt Univ. Press, Nashville, TN, 1998.
- [21] H. Feichtinger, I. Pesenson, *Iterative recovery of band limited functions on manifolds*, Contemp. Math., 2004, 137-153.
- [22] F. Filbir, H. Mhaskar, *A quadrature formula for diffusion polynomials corresponding to a generalized heat kernel*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 16 (2010), no. 5, 629-657.
- [23] F. Filbir, D. Potts, *Scattered data approximation on the bisphere and application to texture analysis*, Math. Geosci. 42 (2010), no. 7, 747-771.
- [24] W. Freeden, *An application of a summation formula to numerical computation of integrals over the sphere*, Bull. Geodesique 52 (1978), no. 3, 165-175.
- [25] W. Freeden, *On spherical spline interpolation and approximation*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 3 (1981), no. 4, 551-575.
- [26] W. Freeden, U. Windheuser, *Spherical wavelet transform and its discretization*, Adv. Comput. Math. 5, 51-94, (1996).
- [27] W. Freeden, T. Gervens, M. Schreiner, *Constructive approximation on the spheres. With applications to geomathematics*, Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [28] W. Freeden, V. Michel, *Multiscale Potential Theory (with Applications to Geoscience)*, Birkhuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin, (2004).
- [29] W. Freeden, M. Schreiner, *Biorthogonal locally supported wavelets on the sphere based on zonal kernel functions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 13 (2007), no. 6, 693-709.
- [30] H. Führ, *Painless Gabor expansions on homogeneous manifolds*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 26 (2009), no. 2, 200211.
- [31] I.M. Gelfand, R.A. Minlos, Z.Y. Shapiro, *Representations of the Rotation and Lorentz Groups and Their Applications*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [32] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Besov spaces and frames on compact manifolds.*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58 (2009), no. 5, 2003-2042.
- [33] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Nearly Tight Frames and Space-Frequency Analysis on Compact Manifolds* (2009), Math. Z. **263** (2009), 235-264.
- [34] D. Geller and A. Mayeli, *Wavelets on manifolds and statistical applications to cosmology*, Wavelets and multiscale analysis, 259-277, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhuser/Springer, New York, 2011.
- [35] D. Geller and I. Pesenson, *Band-limited localized Parseval frames and Besov spaces on compact homogeneous manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. 21 (2011), no. 2, 334-371.

- [36] D. Geller and I. Pesenson, *Kolmogorov and Linear Widths of Balls in Sobolev Spaces on Compact Manifolds*, Math. Scand. 115 (2014), no. 1, 96-122.
- [37] D. Geller and D. Marinucci, *Mixed needlelets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 375 (2011), no. 2, 610-630.
- [38] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*, Birkhauser, 2001.
- [39] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic, N.Y., 1962,
- [40] S. Helgason, *Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces*, Second edition. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 39. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. xviii+637 pp. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4530-1
- [41] K. Hesse, H.N. Mhaskar, I.H. Sloan, *Quadrature in Besov spaces on the Euclidean sphere*, J. Complexity 23 (2007), no. 4-6, 528-552.
- [42] R. Hielscher, D. Potts, J. Prestin, H. Schaeben, M. Schmalz, *The Radon transform on  $SO(3)$ : a Fourier slice theorem and numerical inversion*, Inverse Problems 24 (2008), no. 2, 025011, 21 pp.,
- [43] T. Kakehi, C. Tsukamoto, *Characterization of images of Radon transform*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. (1993), 22, 101-16,
- [44] S. Krein, I. Pesenson, *Interpolation Spaces and Approximation on Lie Groups*, The Voronezh State University, Voronezh, 1990, (Russian).
- [45] M.J. Lai, L.L. Schumaker, *Spline functions on triangulations*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 110. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007. xvi+592 pp.
- [46] Q.T. Le Gia, I.H. Sloan, H. Wendland, *Multiscale approximation for functions in arbitrary Sobolev spaces by scaled radial basis functions on the unit sphere*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 32 (2012), no. 3, 401-412.
- [47] T. Lyche, L. Schumaker, *A multiresolution tensor spline method for fitting functions on the sphere*, SIAM J Sci Comput, 22(2000), 724-746.
- [48] M. Maggioni, H.N. Mhaskar, *Diffusion polynomial frames on metric measure spaces*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 24 (3) (2008) 329-353.
- [49] S. Mallat, *Group invariant scattering*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 65 (2012), no. 10, 1331-1398.
- [50] D. Marinucci, G. Peccati, *Random fields on the sphere. Representation, limit theorems and cosmological applications*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 389. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011. xii+341 pp. ISBN: 978-0-521-17561-6.
- [51] D. Marinucci et al., *Spherical Needlelets for CMB Data Analysis*, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, Vol. 383, (2008), pp. 539-545.
- [52] H.N. Mhaskar, F.J. Narcowich, J.D. Ward, *Spherical Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities and positive quadrature*, Math. Comp. 70 (2001), no. 235, 1113-1130.
- [53] F.J. Narcowich, J.D. Ward, *Scattered data interpolation on spheres: Error estimates and locally supported basis functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 33 (2002) 1393-1410.
- [54] F.J. Narcowich, P. Petrushev and J. Ward, *Localized Tight frames on spheres*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38, (2006), 574-594.
- [55] J. Ortega-Cerda, B. Pridhnani, *Beurling-Landau's density on compact manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 263 (2012), no. 7, 2102-2140.
- [56] I. Pesenson, *The Best Approximation in a Representation Space of a Lie Group*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk USSR, v. 302, No 5, pp. 1055-1059, (1988) (Engl. Transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl., v.38, No 2, pp. 384-388, 1989.)
- [57] I. Pesenson, *A sampling theorem on homogeneous manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 9, 4257-4269.
- [58] I. Pesenson, *An approach to spectral problems on Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. of Math. Vol. 215(1), (2004), 183-199.
- [59] I. Pesenson, *Poincare-type inequalities and reconstruction of Paley-Wiener functions on manifolds*, J. of Geometric Analysis, (4), 1, (2004), 101-121.
- [60] I. Pesenson, *Variational splines on Riemannian manifolds with applications to integral geometry*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 33 (2004), no. 3, 548-572.
- [61] I. Pesenson, *Frames in Paley-Wiener spaces on Riemannian manifolds*, in Integral Geometry and Tomography, Contemp. Math., 405, AMS, (2006), 137-153.
- [62] I. Pesenson, D. Geller, *Cubature formulas and discrete fourier transform on compact manifolds* in "From Fourier Analysis and Number Theory to Radon Transforms and Geometry: In Memory of Leon Ehrenpreis" (Developments in Mathematics 28) by Hershel M. Farkas, Robert C. Gunning, Marvin I. Knopp and B. A. Taylor, Springer NY 2013.

- [63] I. Pesenson, M. Pesenson, *Approximation of Besov vectors by Paley-Wiener vectors in Hilbert spaces*, Approximation Theory XIII: San Antonio 2010 (Springer Proceedings in Mathematics, Vol.13), by Marian Neamtu and Larry Schumaker, 249–263.
- [64] I. Pesenson, *Average sampling and frames on bounded domains*, submitted.
- [65] I. Pesenson, *Multiresolution Analysis on Compact Riemannian Manifolds* Multiscale Analysis and Nonlinear Dynamics: From Genes to the Brain (Annual Reviews of Nonlinear Dynamics and Complexity (VCH)) Misha Meyer Pesenson (Editor), Heinz Georg Schuster (Series Editor) WILEY-VCH 2013, pp. 65-83.
- [66] G. Peyr, *Manifold models for signals and images*, Computer Vision and Image Understanding, 113 (2009) 249-260.
- [67] E. Saucan; E. Appleboim; Y. Y. Zeevi, *Image projection and representation on  $S^n$* . J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 13 (2007), no. 6, 711-727.
- [68] I.J. Schoenberg, *Positive definite functions on spheres*, Duke. Math.J., 9(1942), 96-108.
- [69] C. Sogge, *Fourier Integrals in Classical Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [70] S. L. Sobolev, *Cubature formulas on the sphere invariant under finite groups of rotations*, Soviet Math. 3 (1962), 1307-1310.
- [71] M. Taylor, *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [72] N.J. Vilenkin, *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs Vol. 22, American Mathematical Society, 1978.
- [73] N.J. Vilenkin and A.U. Klimyk, *Representations of Lie Groups and special functions*, volume 2, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1993.
- [74] G. Wahba, *Spline interpolation and smoothing on the sphere*, SIAM J Sci Stat Comput 2(1981), 5-16.
- [75] G. Wahba, *Surface fitting with scattered noisy data on Euclidean  $d$ -space and on the sphere*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 14(1984), 281-299.
- [76] G. Wahba, *Spline models for observational data*, In: CBMS-NSF regional conference series in applied mathematics, 59(1990). SIAM, Philadelphia.
- [77] D. Zelobenko, *Compact Lie groups and their representations*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 40. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1973. viii+448 pp.