

The uniform normal form of a linear mapping

Richard Cushman¹

Dedicated to my friend and colleague Arjeh Cohen on his retirement

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field k of characteristic 0. Let $A : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear mapping of V into itself with characteristic polynomial χ_A . The goal of this paper is to give a normal form for A , which yields a better description of its structure than the classical companion matrix. This normal form does not use a factorization of χ_A and requires only operations in the field k to compute.

1 Semisimple linear mappings

We begin by giving a well known criterion to determine if the linear mapping A is semisimple, that is, every A -invariant subspace of V has an A -invariant complementary subspace.

Suppose that we can factor χ_A , that is, find monic irreducible polynomials $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^m$, which are pairwise relatively prime, such that $\chi_A = \prod_{i=1}^m \pi_i^{n_i}$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then

$$\chi'_A = \sum_{j=1}^m (n_j \pi_j^{n_j-1} \pi'_j) \prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i^{n_i} = \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^m \pi_\ell^{n_\ell-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (n_j \pi'_j) \prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i \right).$$

Therefore the greatest common divisor χ_A and its derivative χ'_A is the polynomial $d = \prod_{\ell=1}^m \pi_\ell^{n_\ell-1}$. The polynomial d can be computed using the Euclidean algorithm. Thus the square free factorization of χ_A is the polynomial $p = \prod_{\ell=1}^m \pi_\ell = \chi_A/d$, which can be computed without knowing a factorization of χ_A .

The goal of the next discussion is to prove

Claim 1.1 The linear mapping $A : V \rightarrow V$ is semisimple if $p(A) = 0$ on V .

¹Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, T2N 1N4.

Let $p = \prod_{j=1}^m \pi_j$ be the square free factorization of the characteristic polynomial χ_A of A . We now decompose V into A -invariant subspaces. For each $1 \leq j \leq m$ let $V_j = \{v \in V \mid \pi_j(A)v = 0\}$. Then V_j is an A -invariant subspace of V . For if $v \in V_j$, then $\pi_j(A)Av = A\pi_j(A)v = 0$, that is, $Av \in V_j$. The following argument shows that $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m V_j$. Because for $1 \leq j \leq m$ the polynomials $\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i$ are pairwise relatively prime, there are polynomials f_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j (\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i)$. Therefore every vector $v \in V$ can be written as

$$v = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j(A) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i(A)v \right) = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j(A)v_j.$$

Since $\pi_j(A) \left(\prod_{i \neq j} \pi_i(A)v \right) = p(A)v = 0$, the vector $v_j \in V_j$. Therefore $V = \sum_{j=1}^m V_j$. If for $i \neq j$ we have $w \in V_i \cap V_j$, then for some polynomials F_i and G_j we have $1 = F_i \pi_i + G_j \pi_j$, because π_i and π_j are relatively prime. Consequently, $w = F_i(A)\pi_i(A)w + G_j(A)\pi_j(A)w = 0$. So $V = \sum_{j=1}^m \oplus V_j$. \square

We now prove

Lemma 1.2 For each $1 \leq j \leq m$ there is a basis of the A -invariant subspace V_j such that that matrix of A is block diagonal.

Proof. Let W be a minimal dimensional proper A -invariant subspace of V_j and let w be a nonzero vector in W . Then there is a minimal positive integer r such that $A^r w \in \text{span}_k\{w, Aw, \dots, A^{r-1}w\} = U$. We assert: the vectors $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ are linearly independent. Suppose that there are $a_i \in k$ for $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that $0 = a_0 w + a_1 Aw + \dots + a_{r-1} A^{r-1} w$. Let $t \leq r-1$ be the largest index such that $a_t \neq 0$. So $A^t w = -\frac{a_{t-1}}{a_t} A^{t-1} w - \dots - \frac{a_0}{a_t} w$, that is, $A^t w \in \text{span}_k\{w, \dots, A^{t-1} w\}$ and $t < r$. This contradicts the definition of the integer r . Thus the index t does not exist. Hence $a_i = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, that is, the vectors $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ are linearly independent.

The subspace U of W is A -invariant, for

$$\begin{aligned} A \left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} b_j A^j w \right) &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} b_j A^{j+1} w + b_{r-1} A^r w, \quad \text{where } b_j \in k \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} b_{j-1} A^j w + b_{r-1} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{r-1} a_\ell A^\ell w \right), \quad \text{since } A^r w \in U \\ &= b_{r-1} a_0 w + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (b_{j-1} + b_{r-1} a_j) A^j w \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that there is a monic polynomial μ of degree r such that $\mu(A) = 0$ on U . With respect to the basis $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ of U we can write $A^r w = -a_0 w - \cdots - a_{r-1} A^{r-1} w$. So $\mu(A)w = 0$, where

$$\mu(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1 \lambda + \cdots + a_{r-1} \lambda^{r-1} + \lambda^r. \quad (1)$$

Since $\mu(A)A^i w = A^i(\mu(A)w) = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq r-1$, it follows that $\mu(A) = 0$ on U .

By the minimality of the dimension of W the subspace U cannot be proper. But $U \neq \{0\}$, since $w \in U$. Therefore $U = W$. Since $U \subseteq V_j$, we obtain $\pi_j(A)u = 0$ for every $u \in U$. Because π_j is irreducible, the preceding statement shows that π_j is the minimum polynomial of A on U . Thus π_j divides μ . Suppose that $\deg \pi_j = s < \deg \mu = r$. Then $A^s u' \in \text{span}_k\{u', \dots, A^{s-1}u'\} = Y$ for some nonzero vector u' in U . By minimality, $Y = U$. But $\dim Y = s < \dim U = r$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\pi_j = \mu$. Note that the matrix of $A|U$ with respect to the basis $\{A^i w\}_{i=0}^{r-1}$ is the $r \times r$ companion matrix

$$C_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -a_1 \\ \vdots & 1 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & 0 & -a_{r-2} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 & -a_{r-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $\pi_j = a_0 + a_1 \lambda + \cdots + a_{r-1} \lambda^{r-1} + \lambda^r$.

Suppose that $U \neq V_j$. Then there is a nonzero vector $w' \in V_j \setminus U$. Let r' be the smallest positive integer such that $A^{r'} w' \in \text{span}_k\{w', Aw', \dots, A^{r'-1} w'\} = U'$. Then by the argument in the preceding paragraph, U' is a minimal A -invariant subspace of V_j of dimension $r' = r$, whose minimal polynomial is π_j . Suppose that $U' \cap U \neq \{0\}$. Then $U' \cap U$ is a proper A -invariant subspace of U' . By minimality $U' \cap U = U'$, that is, $U \subseteq U'$. But $r = \dim U = \dim U' = r'$. So $U = U'$. Thus $w' \in U'$ and $w' \notin U$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $U' \cap U = \{0\}$. If $U \oplus U' \neq V_j$, we repeat the above argument. Using $U \oplus U'$ instead of U , after a finite number of repetitions we have $V_j = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \oplus U_i$, where for every $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ the subspace U_i of V_j is A -invariant with basis $\{A^k u_i\}_{k=0}^{r-1}$ and the minimal polynomial of $A|U_i$ is π_j . With respect to the basis $\{A^k u_i\}_{(i,k)=(1,0)}^{(\ell,r-1)}$ of V_j the matrix of A is $\text{diag}(C_r, \dots, C_r)$, which is block diagonal. \square

For each $1 \leq j \leq m$ applying lemma 1.2 to V_j and using the fact that $V = \sum_{j=1}^m \oplus V_j$ we obtain

Corollary 1.3 There is a basis of V such that the matrix of A is block diagonal.

Proof of claim 1.1 Suppose that U is an A -invariant subspace V . Then by corollary 1.3, there is a basis ε_U of U such that the matrix of $A|_U$ is block diagonal. By corollary 1.3 there is a basis ε_V of V which extends the basis ε_U such that the matrix of A on V is block diagonal. Let W be the subspace of V with basis $\varepsilon_W = \varepsilon_V \setminus \varepsilon_U$. The matrix of $A|_W$ is block diagonal. Therefore W is A -invariant and $V = U \oplus W$ by construction. Consequently, A is semisimple. \square

2 The Jordan decomposition of A

Here we give an algorithm for finding the Jordan decomposition of the linear mapping A , that is, we find real semisimple and commuting nilpotent linear maps S and N whose sum is A . The algorithm we present uses only the characteristic polynomial χ_A of A and does *not* require that we know *any* of its factors. Our argument follows that of [1].

Let p be the square free factorization of χ_A . Let M be the smallest positive integer such that χ_A divides p^M . Then $M \leq \deg \chi_A$. Assume that $\deg \chi_A \geq 2$, for otherwise $S = A$. Write

$$S = A + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} r_j(A)p(A)^j, \quad (3)$$

where r_j is a polynomial whose degree is less than the degree of p . From the fact that χ_A divides p^M , it follows that $p(A)^M = 0$.

We want to determine S in the form (3) so that

$$p(S) = 0. \quad (4)$$

From claim 1.1 it follows that S is semisimple.

We have to find the polynomials r_j in (3) so that equation (4) holds. We begin by using the Taylor expansion of p . If (3) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} p(S) &= p\left(A + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} r_j(A)p(A)^j\right) \\ &= p(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} p^{(i)}(A) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} r_j(A)p(A)^j\right)^i, \end{aligned}$$

where $p^{(i)}$ is $\frac{1}{i!}$ times the i^{th} derivative of p

$$= p(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} c_{k,i} p(A)^k p^{(i)}(A). \quad (5)$$

Here $c_{k,i}$ is the coefficient of z^k in $(r_1 z + \cdots + r_{M-1} z^{M-1})^i$. Note that $c_{k,i} = 0$ if $k > i$. A calculation shows that when $k \leq i$ we have

$$c_{k,i} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k-1} = i \\ \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + (k-1)\alpha_{k-1} = k}} \frac{i!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_{k-1}!} r_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots r_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}. \quad (6)$$

Interchanging the order of summation in (5) we get

$$p(S) = p(A) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(r_i(A) p^{(1)}(A) + e_i(A) \right) p(A)^i,$$

where $e_1 = 0$ and for $i \geq 2$ we have $e_i = \sum_{j=2}^i c_{i,j} p^{(j)}$. Note that e_i depends on r_1, \dots, r_{i-1} , because of (6).

Suppose that we can find polynomials r_i and b_i such that

$$r_i p^{(1)} + e_i = b_i p - b_{i-1}, \quad (7)$$

for every $1 \leq i \leq M-1$. Here $b_0 = 1$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(r_i(A) p^{(1)}(A) + e_i(A) \right) p(A)^i = \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} \left(b_i(A) p(A) - b_{i-1}(A) \right) p(A)^i = -p(A),$$

since $p^M(A) = 0$ and $b_0 = 1$, which implies $p(S) = 0$, see (5).

We now construct polynomials r_i and b_i so that (7) holds. We do this by induction. Since the polynomials p and $p^{(1)}$ have no common nonconstant factors, their greatest common divisor is the constant polynomial 1. Therefore by the Euclidean algorithm there are polynomials g and h with the degree of h being less than the degree of p such that

$$gp - hp^{(1)} = 1. \quad (8)$$

Let $r_1 = h$, and $b_1 = g$. Using the fact that $b_0 = 1$ and $e_1 = 0$, we see that equation (8) is the same as equation (7) when $i = 1$. Let $d_1 = 0$ and $q_0 = q_1 = 0$. Now suppose that $n \geq 2$. By induction suppose that the polynomials

$r_1, \dots, r_{n-1}, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}$ and b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} are known and that r_i and b_i satisfy (7) for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Using the fact that the polynomials r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} are known, from formula (6) we can calculate the polynomial $e_n = \sum_{j=2}^n c_{i,n} p^{(j)}$. For $n \geq 2$ define the polynomial d_n by

$$d_n = q_{n-1} + h \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i. \quad (9)$$

Note that the polynomials $q_{n-1}, g = b_1, h = r_1$, and e_i for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ are already known by the induction hypothesis. Thus the right hand side of (9) is known and hence so is d_n . Now define the polynomials q_n and r_n by dividing d_n by p with remainder, namely

$$d_n = q_n p + r_n. \quad (10)$$

Clearly, q_n and r_n are now known. Next for $n \geq 2$ define the polynomial b_n by

$$b_n = -p^{(1)} q_n + g \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i. \quad (11)$$

Since the polynomials $p^{(1)}, q_n, g = b_1$, and e_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are known, the polynomial b_n is known. We now show that equation (7) holds.

Proof. We have already checked that (7) holds when $n = 1$. By induction we assumed that it holds for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Using the definition of b_n (11) and the induction hypothesis we compute

$$\begin{aligned} b_n p - b_{n-1} &= \left[-p^{(1)} p q_n + p g \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i \right] - \left[-p^{(1)} q_{n-1} + g \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-1-i} e_i \right] \\ &= -p^{(1)} (q_n p - q_{n-1}) + p g \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-i} e_i \\ &= -p^{(1)} (-r_n + d_n - q_{n-1}) + (h p^{(1)} + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-i} e_i, \\ &\quad \text{using (8) and (10)} \\ &= p^{(1)} r_n - h p^{(1)} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i + h p^{(1)} \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n g^{n-i} e_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g^{n-i} e_i, \\ &\quad \text{using (9)} \\ &= p^{(1)} r_n + e_n. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

This completes the construction of the polynomial r_n in (3). Repeating this construction until $n = M - 1$ we have determined the semisimple part S of A . The commuting nilpotent part of A is $N = A - S$. \square

3 Uniform normal form

In this section we give a description of the uniform normal form of a linear map A of V into itself. We assume that the Jordan decomposition of A into its commuting semisimple and nilpotent summands S and N , respectively, is known.

3.1 Nilpotent normal form

In this subsection we find the Jordan normal form for a nilpotent linear transformation N .

Recall that a linear transformation $N : V \rightarrow V$ is said to be *nilpotent of index n* if there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $N^{n-1} \neq 0$ but $N^n = 0$. Note that the index of nilpotency n need not be equal to $\dim V$. Suppose that for some positive integer $\ell \geq 1$ there is a nonzero vector v , which lies in $\ker N^\ell \setminus \ker N^{\ell-1}$. The set of vectors $\{v, Nv, \dots, N^{\ell-1}v\}$ is a *Jordan chain of length ℓ* with *generating vector v* . The space V^ℓ spanned by the vectors in a given Jordan chain of length ℓ is a *N -cyclic subspace* of V . Because $N^\ell v = 0$, the subspace V^ℓ is N -invariant. Since $\ker N|_{V^\ell} = \text{span}_k\{N^{\ell-1}v\}$, the mapping $N|_{V^\ell}$ has exactly one eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

Claim 3.1.1 The vectors in a Jordan chain are linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose not. Then $0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \alpha_i N^i v$, where not every $\alpha_i \in k$ is zero. Let i_0 be the smallest index for which $\alpha_{i_0} \neq 0$. Then

$$0 = \alpha_{i_0} N^{i_0} v + \dots + \alpha_{\ell-1} N^{\ell-1} v. \quad (12)$$

Applying $N^{\ell-1-i_0}$ to both sides of (12) gives $0 = \alpha_{i_0} N^{\ell-1} v$. By hypothesis $v \notin \ker N^{\ell-1}$, that is, $N^{\ell-1} v \neq 0$. Hence $\alpha_{i_0} = 0$. This contradicts the definition of the index i_0 . Therefore $\alpha_i = 0$ for every $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. Thus the vectors $\{N^i v\}_{i=0}^{\ell-1}$, which span the Jordan chain V^ℓ , are linearly independent. \square

With respect to the *standard basis* $\{N^{\ell-1}v, N^{\ell-2}v, \dots, Nv, v\}$ of V^ℓ the

matrix of $N|V^\ell$ is the $\ell \times \ell$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is a *Jordan block* of size ℓ .

We want to show that V can be decomposed into a direct sum of N -cyclic subspaces. In fact, we show that there is a basis of V , whose elements are given by a dark dot \bullet or an open dot \circ in the diagram below such that the arrows give the action of N on the basis vectors. Such a diagram is called the *Young diagram of N* .




Figure 3.1.1. The Young diagram of N .

Note that the columns of the Young diagram of N are Jordan chains with generating vector given by an open dot. The black dots form a basis for the image of N , whereas the open dots form a basis for a complementary subspace in V . The dots on or above the ℓ^{th} row form a basis for $\ker N^\ell$ and the black dots in the first row form a basis for $\ker N \cap \text{im } N$. Let r_ℓ be the number of dots in the ℓ^{th} row. Then $r_\ell = \dim \ker N^\ell - \dim \ker N^{\ell-1}$. Thus the Young diagram of N is unique.

Claim 3.1.2 There is a basis of V that realizes the Young diagram of N .

Proof. Our proof follows that of Hartl [2]. We use induction of the dimension of V . Since $\dim \ker N > 0$, it follows that $\dim \text{im } N < \dim V$. Thus by

the induction hypothesis, we may suppose that $\text{im } N$ has a basis which is the union of p Jordan chains $\{w_i, Nw_i, \dots, N^{m_i}w_i\}$ each of length m_i . The vectors $\{N^{m_i}w_i\}_{i=1}^p$ lie in $\text{im } N \cap \ker N$ and in fact form a basis of this subspace. Since $\ker N$ may be larger than $\text{im } N \cap \ker N$, choose vectors $\{y_1, \dots, y_q\}$ where q is a nonnegative integer such that $\{N^{m_1}w_1, \dots, N^{m_p}w_p, y_1, \dots, y_q\}$ form a basis of $\ker N$.

Since $w_i \in \text{im } N$ there is a vector v_i in V such that $w_i = Nv_i$. We assert that the p Jordan chains

$$\{v_i, Nv_i, \dots, N^{m_i+1}v_i\} = \{v_i, w_i, Nw_i, \dots, N^{m_i}w_i\}$$

each of length $m_i + 2$ together with the q vectors $\{y_j\}$, which are Jordan chains of length 1, form a basis of V . To see that they span V , let $v \in V$. Then $Nv \in \text{im } N$. Using the basis of $\text{im } N$ given by the induction hypothesis, we may write

$$Nv = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{i\ell} N^\ell w_i = N \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{i\ell} N^\ell v_i \right).$$

Consequently,

$$v - \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\ell=0}^{m_i} \alpha_{i\ell} N^\ell v_i = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i N^{m_i+1} v_i + \sum_{\ell=1}^q \gamma_\ell y_\ell,$$

since the vectors

$$\{N^{m_1}w_1, \dots, N^{m_p}w_p, y_1, \dots, y_q\} = \{N^{m_1+1}v_1, \dots, N^{m_p+1}v_p, y_1, \dots, y_q\}$$

form a basis of $\ker N$. Linear independence is a consequence of the following counting argument. The number of vectors in the Jordan chains is

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (m_i + 2) + q = \sum_{i=1}^p (m_i + 1) + (p + q) = \dim \text{im } N + \dim \ker N = \dim V.$$

□

We note that finding the generating vectors of the Young diagram of N or equivalently the Jordan normal form of N , involves solving linear equations with coefficients in the field k and thus only operations in the field k .

3.2 Some facts about S

We now study the semisimple part A .

Lemma 3.2.1 $V = \ker S \oplus \operatorname{im} S$. Moreover the characteristic polynomial $\chi_S(\lambda)$ of S can be written as a product of λ^n , where $n = \dim \ker S$ and $\chi_{S|_{\operatorname{im} S}}$, the characteristic polynomial of $S|_{\operatorname{im} S}$. Note that $\chi_{S|_{\operatorname{im} S}}(0) \neq 0$

Proof. $\ker S$ is an S -invariant subspace of V . Since $Sv = 0$ for every $v \in \ker S$, the characteristic polynomial of $S|_{\ker S}$ is λ^n .

Because S is semisimple, there is an S -invariant subspace Y of V such that $V = \ker S \oplus Y$. The linear mapping $S|_Y : Y \rightarrow Y$ is invertible, for if $Sy = 0$ for some $y \in Y$, then $S(y + u) = 0$ for every $u \in \ker S$. Therefore $y + u \in \ker S$, which implies that $y \in \ker S \cap Y = \{0\}$, that is, $y = 0$. So $S|_Y$ is invertible. Suppose that $y \in Y$, then $y = S((S|_Y)^{-1}y) \in \operatorname{im} S$. Thus $Y \subseteq \operatorname{im} S$. But $\dim \operatorname{im} S = \dim V - \dim \ker S = \dim Y$. So $Y = \operatorname{im} S$.

Since $\ker S \cap \operatorname{im} S = \{0\}$, we see that λ does not divide the polynomial $\chi_{S|_{\operatorname{im} S}}(\lambda)$. Consequently, $\chi_{S|_{\operatorname{im} S}}(0) \neq 0$. Since $V = \ker S \oplus \operatorname{im} S$, where $\ker S$ and $\operatorname{im} S$ are S -invariant subspaces of V , we obtain

$$\chi_S(\lambda) = \chi_{\ker S}(\lambda) \cdot \chi_{S|_{\operatorname{im} S}}(\lambda) = \lambda^n \chi_{S|_{\operatorname{im} S}}(\lambda). \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.2.2 The subspaces $\ker S$ and $\operatorname{im} S$ are N -invariant and hence A -invariant.

Proof. Suppose that $x \in \operatorname{im} S$. Then there is a vector $v \in V$ such that $x = Sv$. So $Nx = N(Sv) = S(Nv) \in \operatorname{im} S$. In other words, $\operatorname{im} S$ is an N -invariant subspace of V . Because $\operatorname{im} S$ is also S -invariant and $A = S + N$, it follows that $\operatorname{im} S$ is an A -invariant subspace of V . Suppose that $x \in \ker S$, that is, $Sx = 0$. Then $S(Nx) = N(Sx) = 0$. So $Nx \in \ker S$. Therefore $\ker S$ is an N -invariant and hence A -invariant subspace of V . \square

3.3 Description of uniform normal form

We now describe the uniform normal form of the linear mapping $A : V \rightarrow V$, using both its semisimple and nilpotent parts.

Since $A|_{\ker S} = N|_{\ker S}$, we can apply the discussion of §3.1 to obtain a basis of $\ker S$ which realizes the Young diagram of $N|_{\ker S}$, which say has r columns. For $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ let F_{q_ℓ} be the space spanned by the generating vectors of Jordan chains of $N|_{\ker S}$ in $\ker S$ of length m_ℓ .

By lemma 3.2.1 $A|_{\operatorname{im} S}$ is a linear mapping of $\operatorname{im} S$ into itself with invertible semisimple part $S|_{\operatorname{im} S}$ and commuting nilpotent part $N|_{\operatorname{im} S}$. Using

the discussion of §3.1 for every $r + 1 \leq \ell \leq p$ let F_{q_ℓ} be the set of generating vectors of the Jordan chains of $N|_{\text{im } S}$ in $\text{im } S$ of length m_ℓ , which occur in the $p - (r + 1)$ columns of the Young diagram of $N|_{\text{im } S}$.

Now we prove

Claim 3.3.1 For each $1 \leq \ell \leq p$ the space F_{q_ℓ} is S -invariant.

Proof. Let $v^\ell \in F_{q_\ell}$. Then $\{v^\ell, Nv^\ell, \dots, N^{m_\ell-1}v^\ell\}$ is a Jordan chain in the Young diagram of N of length m_ℓ with generating vector v^ℓ . For each $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ we have $F_{q_\ell} \subseteq \ker S$. So trivially F_{q_ℓ} is S -invariant, because $S = 0$ on F_{q_ℓ} . Now suppose that $r + 1 \leq \ell \leq p$. Then $F_{q_\ell} \subseteq \text{im } S$ and $S|_{\text{im } S}$ is invertible. Furthermore, suppose that for some $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{k}$ with $0 \leq j \leq m_\ell - 1$ we have $0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m_\ell-1} \alpha_j N^j (Sv^\ell)$. Then $0 = S(\sum_{j=0}^{m_\ell-1} \alpha_j N^j v^\ell)$, because $S|_{\text{im } S}$ and $N|_{\text{im } S}$ commute. Since $S|_{\text{im } S}$ is invertible, the preceding equality implies $0 = \sum_{j=0}^{m_\ell-1} \alpha_j N^j v^\ell$. Consequently, by lemma 3.1.1 we obtain $\alpha_j = 0$ for every $0 \leq j \leq m_\ell - 1$. In other words, $\{Sv^\ell, N(Sv^\ell), \dots, N^{m_\ell-1}(Sv^\ell)\}$ is a Jordan chain of $N|_{\text{im } S}$ in $\text{im } S$ of length m_ℓ with generating vector Sv^ℓ . So $Sv^\ell \in F_{q_\ell}$. Thus F_{q_ℓ} is an S -invariant subspace of $\text{im } S$ and hence is an S -invariant subspace of V , since $V = \text{im } S \oplus \ker S$. \square

An A -invariant subspace U of V is *uniform of height* $m - 1$ if $N^{m-1}U \neq \{0\}$ but $N^m U = \{0\}$ and $\ker N^{m-1}U = NU$. For each $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ let U^{q_ℓ} be the space spanned by the vectors in the Jordan chains of length m_ℓ in the Young diagram of $N|_{\ker S}$ and for $r + 1 \leq \ell \leq p$ let U^{q_ℓ} be the space spanned by the vectors in the Jordan chains of length m_ℓ in the Young diagram of $N|_{\text{im } S}$.

Claim 3.3.2 For each $1 \leq \ell \leq p$ the subspace U^{q_ℓ} is uniform of height $m_\ell - 1$.

Proof. By definition $U^{q_\ell} = F_{q_\ell} \oplus NF_{q_\ell} \oplus \dots \oplus N^{m_\ell-1}F_{q_\ell}$. Since $N^{m_\ell}F_{q_\ell} = \{0\}$ but $N^{m_\ell-1}F_{q_\ell} \neq \{0\}$, the subspace U^{q_ℓ} is A -invariant and of the height $m_\ell - 1$. To show that U^{q_ℓ} is uniform we need only show that $\ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell} \subseteq NU^{q_\ell}$ since the inclusion of NU^{q_ℓ} in $\ker N^{m_\ell-1}$ follows from the fact that $N^{m_\ell}F_{q_\ell} = 0$. Suppose that $u \in \ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell}$, then for every $0 \leq i \leq m_\ell - 1$ there are unique vectors $f_i \in F_{q_\ell}$ such that $u = f_0 + Nf_1 + \dots + N^{m_\ell-1}f_{m_\ell-1}$. Since $u \in \ker N^{m_\ell-1}$ we get $0 = N^{m_\ell-1}u = N^{m_\ell-1}f_0$. If $f_0 \neq 0$, then the preceding equality contradicts the fact that f_0 is a generating vector of a Jordan chain of N of length m_ℓ . Therefore $f_0 = 0$, which means that $u = N(f_1 + \dots + N^{m_\ell-2}f_{m_\ell-1}) \in NU^{q_\ell}$. This shows that $\ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell} \subseteq NU^{q_\ell}$. Hence $\ker N^{m_\ell-1} \cap U^{q_\ell} = NU^{q_\ell}$, that is, the subspace U^{q_ℓ} is uniform of height $m_\ell - 1$. \square

Now we give an explicit description of the uniform normal form of the linear mapping A . For each $1 \leq \ell \leq p$ let $\chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}$ be the characteristic polynomial of S on F_{q_ℓ} . From the fact that every summand in $U^{q_\ell} = F_{q_\ell} \oplus NF_{q_\ell} \oplus \cdots \oplus N^{m_\ell-1}F_{q_\ell}$ is S -invariant, it follows that the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{S|U^{q_\ell}}$ of S on U^{q_ℓ} is $\chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}^{m_\ell}$. Since $V = \sum_{\ell=1}^p \oplus U^{q_\ell}$, we obtain $\chi_S = \prod_{\ell=1}^p \chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}^{m_\ell}$. Choose a basis $\{u_j^\ell\}_{j=1}^{q_\ell}$ of F_{q_ℓ} so that the matrix of $S|F_{q_\ell}$ is the $q_\ell \times q_\ell$ companion matrix C_{q_ℓ} (2) associated to the characteristic polynomial $\chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}$. When $1 \leq \ell \leq r$ the companion matrix C_{q_ℓ} is 0 since $S|F_{q_\ell} = 0$. With respect to the basis $\{u_j^\ell, Nu_j^\ell, \dots, N^{m_\ell-1}u_j^\ell\}_{j=1}^{q_\ell}$ of U^{q_ℓ} the matrix of $A|U^{q_\ell}$ is the $m_\ell q_\ell \times m_\ell q_\ell$ matrix

$$D_{m_\ell q_\ell} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{q_\ell} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ I & C_{q_\ell} & 0 & \cdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I & C_{q_\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & I & C_{q_\ell} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $V = \sum_{\ell=1}^p \oplus U^{q_\ell}$, the matrix of A is $\text{diag}(D_{m_1 q_1}, \dots, D_{m_p q_p})$ with respect to the basis $\{u_j^\ell, Nu_j^\ell, \dots, N^{m_\ell-1}u_j^\ell\}_{(j,\ell)=(1,1)}^{(q_\ell,p)}$. We call preceding matrix the *uniform normal form* for the linear map A of V into itself. We note that this normal form can be computed using only operations in the field k of characteristic 0.

Using the uniform normal form of A we obtain a factorization of its characteristic polynomial χ_A over the field k .

Corollary 3.3.3 $\chi_A(\lambda) = \prod_{\ell=1}^p \chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}^{m_\ell}(\lambda) = \lambda^n \prod_{\ell=r+1}^p \chi_{S|F_{q_\ell}}^{m_\ell}(\lambda)$, where $n = \sum_{\ell=1}^r m_\ell = \dim \ker S$.

References

- [1] N. Burgoyne and R. Cushman, *The decomposition of a linear mapping*, J. Lin. Alg. & Appl., **8** (1974) 515–519.
- [2] J. Hartl, *Ein einfacher Induktionsbeweis für die Existenz der Jordan-Normalform*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **50** (1988) 323327.