

A MIXING OF PROUHET-THUE-MORSE SEQUENCES AND RADEMACHER FUNCTIONS

HIEU D. NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. A novel generalization of the Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence to binary ± 1 -weight sequences is presented. Derived from Rademacher functions, these weight sequences are shown to satisfy interesting orthogonality and recurrence relations. In addition, a result useful in describing these weight sequences as sidelobes of Doppler tolerant waveforms in radar is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $v(n)$ denote the binary sum-of-digits residue function, i.e. the sum of the digits in the binary expansion of n modulo 2. For example, $v(7) = v(111_2) = 3 \bmod 2 = 1$. Then it is well known that $v(n)$ defines the classical Prouhet-Thue-Morse (PTM) integer sequence, which can easily be shown to satisfy the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &= 0 \\ v(2n) &= v(n) \\ v(2n+1) &= 1 - v(n) \end{aligned}$$

The first few terms of $v(n)$ are 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1. Observe that the PTM sequence can also be generated by starting with the value 0 and recursively appending a negated copy of itself (bitwise):

$$0 \rightarrow 01 \rightarrow 0110 \rightarrow 01101001 \rightarrow \dots$$

Another method is to iterate the morphism μ defined on the alphabet $\{0, 1\}$ by the substitution rules $\mu(0) = 01$ and $\mu(1) = 10$ and applied to $x_0 = 0$ as described in [1]:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \mu(x_0) = 01 \\ x_2 &= \mu^2(x_0) = \mu(x_1) = 0110 \\ x_3 &= \mu^3(x_0) = \mu(x_2) = 01101001 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

This *ubiquitous* sequence, coined as such by Allouche and Shallit in [1], first arose in the works of three mathematicians: E. Prouhet involving equal sums of like powers in 1851 ([15]), A. Thue on combinatorics of words in 1906 ([20]), and M. Morse in differential geometry in 1921 ([11]). It has found interesting applications in many areas of mathematics, physics, and engineering: combinatorial game theory ([1],[15]), fractals ([2],[9]), quasicrystals ([10],[18]) and more recently Doppler tolerant waveforms in radar ([4],[14],[12]).

Suppose we now replace the 0's and 1's in the PTM sequence with 1's and -1 's, respectively. Then it is easy to prove that this yields an equivalent binary ± 1 -sequence $w(n)$ satisfying the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} w(0) &= 1 \\ w(2n) &= w(n) \\ w(2n+1) &= -w(n), \end{aligned}$$

where $w(n)$ and $v(n)$ are related by

$$w(n) = 1 - 2v(n), \tag{1}$$

Date: 5-27-2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11, Secondary 94.

Key words and phrases. Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence.

or equivalently,

$$w(n) = (-1)^{v(n)}. \quad (2)$$

Of course, $v(n)$ can be generalized to any modulus $p \geq 2$. Towards this end, we define $v_p(n)$ to be the sum of the digits in the base- p expansion of n modulo p . We shall call $v_p(n)$ the mod- p PTM integer sequence. Then $v_p(n)$ satisfies the recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(0) &= 0 \\ v_p(pn + r) &= (v(n) + r)_p \end{aligned}$$

where $(m)_p \equiv m \pmod{p}$. More interestingly, it is well known that $v_p(n)$ provides a solution to the famous Prouhet-Tarry-Escott (PTS) problem ([15],[8],[22]): given a positive integer M , find p mutually disjoint sets of non-negative integers S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1} so that

$$\sum_{n \in S_0} n^m = \sum_{n \in S_1} n^m = \dots = \sum_{n \in S_{p-1}} n^m$$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$. The solution, first given by Prouhet [15] and later proven by Lehmer [8] (see also Wright [22]), is to partition the integers $\{0, 1, \dots, p^{M+1} - 1\}$ so that $n \in S_{v_p(n)}$. For example, if $M = 3$ and $p = 2$, then the two sets $S_0 = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 12, 15\}$ and $S_1 = \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14\}$ defined by Prouhet's algorithm solve the PTS problem:

$$\begin{aligned} 60 &= 0 + 3 + 5 + 6 + 9 + 10 + 12 + 15 \\ &= 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 8 + 11 + 13 + 14 \\ 620 &= 0^2 + 3^2 + 5^2 + 6^2 + 9^2 + 10^2 + 12^2 + 15^2 \\ &= 1^2 + 2^2 + 4^2 + 7^2 + 8^2 + 11^2 + 13^2 + 14^2 \\ 7200 &= 0^3 + 3^3 + 5^3 + 6^3 + 9^3 + 10^3 + 12^3 + 15^3 \\ &= 1^3 + 2^3 + 4^3 + 7^3 + 8^3 + 11^3 + 13^3 + 14^3 \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we address the following question: what is the natural generalization of $w(n)$ to modulus $p \geq 2$? Which formula should we look to extend, (1) or (2)? Is there any intuition behind our generalization? One answer is to define $w_p(n)$ by merely replacing $v(n)$ with $v_p(n)$ in say (2). However, to discover a more satisfying answer, we consider a modified form of (2):

$$w(n) = (-1)^{d_{1-v(n)}} \quad (3)$$

Here, $d_{1-v(n)}$ takes on one of two possible values, $d_0 = 1$ or $d_1 = 0$, which we view as the first two digits in the binary expansion (base 2) of the number 1, i.e. $1 = d_1 2^1 + d_0 2^0$. Thus, formula (3) involves the digit opposite in position to $v(n)$.

To explain how this formula naturally generalizes to any positive modulus $p \geq 2$, we begin our story with two arbitrary elements a_0 and a_1 . Define $A = (a_n) = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ to be what we call a mod-2 PTM sequence generated from a_0 and a_1 , where the elements of A satisfy the aperiodic condition

$$a_n = a_{v(n)}$$

Thus, $A = (a_0, a_1, a_1, a_0, a_1, a_0, a_0, a_1, \dots)$. Since formula (3) holds, it follows that a_n can be decomposed as

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2}(a_0 + a_1) + \frac{1}{2}w(n)(a_0 - a_1) \quad (4)$$

In some sense, $w(n)$ plays the same role as $v(n)$ in defining the sequence A , but through the decomposition (4). We argue that formula (4) leads to a natural generalization of $w(n)$. For example, suppose $p = 3$ and consider the mod-3 PTM sequence $A = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ generated by three elements a_0, a_1, a_2 so that $a_n = a_{v_3(n)}$. The following decomposition generalizes (4):

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{4}w_0(n)(a_0 + a_1 + a_2) + \frac{1}{4}w_1(n)(a_0 + a_1 - a_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}w_2(n)(a_0 - a_1 + a_2) + \frac{1}{4}w_3(n)(a_0 - a_1 - a_2) \end{aligned}$$

Here, $w_0(n), w_1(n), w_2(n), w_3(n)$ are ± 1 -sequences that we shall call the weights of a_n . Since $a_n = a_{v_3(n)}$, these weights are fully specified once their values are known for $n = 0, 1, 2$. It is straightforward to verify in this case that $W(n) = (w_0(n), \dots, w_3(n))$ takes on the values

$$\begin{aligned} W(0) &= (1, 1, 1, 1) \\ W(1) &= (1, 1, -1, -1) \\ W(2) &= (1, -1, 1, -1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the weights $w_i(n)$ are a natural generalization of $w(n)$.

More generally, if $p \geq 2$ is a positive integer and $A = (a_n)$ is a mod- p PTM sequence generated from a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{p-1} , i.e. $a_n = a_{v_p(n)}$, then the following decomposition holds:

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i^{(p)}(n) B_i \quad (5)$$

Here, the weights $w_i^{(p)}$ are given by

$$w_i(n) := w_i^{(p)}(n) = (-1)^{d_{p-1-v_p(n)}^{(i)}} \quad (6)$$

for $0 \leq i \leq 2^{p-1} - 1$ and $i = d_{p-2}^{(i)} 2^{p-2} + \dots + d_1^{(i)} 2^1 + d_0^{(i)} 2^0$ is its binary expansion. Moreover, B_i is calculated by the formula

$$B_i = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} w_i(n) a_n \quad (7)$$

Observe that we can extend the range for i to $2^p - 1$ (and will do so), effectively doubling the number of weights by expanding i . In that case we find that

$$w_i(n) = -w_{2^p-1-i}(n).$$

With this extension, we demonstrate in Theorem 22 that each $w_i(n)$ satisfies the recurrence

$$w_i(pn + r) = w_{x_r(i)}(n) w_i(n)$$

where $x_r(i)$ denotes a quantity that we define in Section 4 as the ‘xor-shift’ of i by r , where $0 \leq x_r(i) \leq 2^p - 1$. For example, if $p = 2$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(2n) &= w_0(n) w_1(n) \\ w_1(2n + 1) &= w_3(n) w_1(n) \end{aligned}$$

Since $w_0(n) = 1$ and $w_3(n) = -1$ for all n , this yields the same recurrence satisfied by $w(n) = w_1(n)$ as described in the beginning of this section.

Next, we note that the set of values $R(n) = (w_0(n), \dots, w_{2^p-1}(n))$ represent those given by the Rademacher functions $\phi_n(x)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, defined by (see [16], [6])

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(x) &= 1 \quad (0 \leq x < 1/2) & \phi_0(x+1) &= \phi_0(x) \\ \phi_0(x) &= -1 \quad (1/2 \leq x < 1) & \phi_n(x) &= \phi_0(2^k x) \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$w_i(n) = \phi_n(i/2^p)$$

so that the right-hand side of (5) can be thought of as a discrete *Rademacher* transform of $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{2^{p-1}-1})$. Moreover, formula (7) can be viewed as the inverse transform, which follows from the fact that the Rademacher functions form an orthogonal set. Thus, weight sequences can be viewed as a mixing of Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequences and Rademacher functions.

It is known that the Rademacher functions generate the Walsh functions, which have important applications in communications and coding theory ([3],[19]). Walsh functions are those of the form (see [6], [21])

$$\psi_m(x) = \phi_{n_k}(x) \phi_{n_{k-1}}(x) \cdots \phi_{n_1}(x)$$

where $m = 2^{n_k} + 2^{n_{k-1}} + \dots + 2^{n_1}$ with $n_i < n_{i+1}$ and $0 \leq m \leq 2^p - 1$. This allows us to generalize our weights $w_i(n)$ to sequences

$$\tilde{w}_i(m) = w_i(n_k) \cdots w_i(n_1)$$

which we view as a discrete version of the Walsh functions in the variable i . In that case, we prove in Section 3 that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^p-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) B_i = \begin{cases} a_n, & \text{if } m = 2^n, 0 \leq n \leq p-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We also prove in the same section a result that was used in [12] to characterize these weight sequences as sidelobes of Doppler tolerant radar waveforms (motivated by [4] and [14]).

2. THE PROUHET-THUE-MORSE SEQUENCE

Denote by $S(L)$ to be the set consisting of the first L non-negative integers $0, 1, \dots, L-1$.

Definition 1. Let $n = n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$ be the base- p representation of a non-negative integer n . We define the *mod- p sum-of-digits function* $v_p(n) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ to be the sum of the digits n_i modulo p , i.e.

$$v_p(n) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \pmod{p}$$

Observe that $v_p(n) = n$ if $0 \leq n < p$.

Definition 2. We define a sequence $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ to be a *mod- p Prouhet-Thue-Morse (PTM) sequence* if it satisfies the aperiodic condition

$$a_n = a_{v_p(n)}$$

Definition 3. Let p and M be positive integers and set $L = p^{M+1}$. We define $\{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1}\}$ to be a *Prouhet-Thue-Morse (PTM) p -block partition* of $S(L) = \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}$ as follows: if $v_p(n) = i$, then

$$n \in S_i$$

The next theorem solves the famous Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem.

Theorem 4 ([15], [8], [22]). *Let p and M be positive integers and set $L = p^{M+1}$. Suppose $\{S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{p-1}\}$ is a PTM p -block partition of $S(L) = \{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}$. Then*

$$P_m := \sum_{n \in S_0} n^m = \sum_{n \in S_1} n^m = \dots = \sum_{n \in S_{p-1}} n^m$$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$. We shall refer to P_m as the m -th Prouhet sum corresponding to p and M .

Corollary 5. *Let $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{L-1})$ be a mod- p PTM sequence of length $L = p^{M+1}$, where M is a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m a_n = P_m(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{p-1}) \tag{8}$$

for $m = 0, \dots, M$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m a_n &= \sum_{n \in S_0} n^m a_{v_p(n)} + \sum_{n \in S_1} n^m a_{v_p(n)} + \dots + \sum_{n \in S_{p-1}} n^m a_{v_p(n)} \\ &= a_0 \sum_{n \in S_0} n^m + a_1 \sum_{n \in S_1} n^m + \dots + a_{p-1} \sum_{n \in S_{p-1}} n^m \\ &= P_m(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{p-1}) \end{aligned}$$

□

3. WEIGHT SEQUENCES

In this section we develop a generalization of the PTM ± 1 -sequence $w(n)$ and derive orthogonality and recurrence relations for these generalized sequences that we refer to as *weight* sequences.

Definition 6. Let $i = d_{p-1}^{(i)}2^{p-1} + d_{p-2}^{(i)}2^{p-2} + \dots + d_1^{(i)}2^1 + d_0^{(i)}2^0$ be the binary expansion of i , where i is a non-negative integer with $0 \leq i \leq 2^p - 1$. Define $w_0(n), w_1(n), \dots, w_{2^p-1}(n)$ be binary ± 1 -sequences defined by

$$w_i(n) = (-1)^{d_{p-1}^{(i)} - v_p(n)}$$

Example 7. Let $p = 3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(n) &= (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots) \\ w_1(n) &= (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, 1, \dots) \\ w_2(n) &= (\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, \dots) \\ w_3(n) &= (\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, -1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots) \\ w_4(n) &= (-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1, \dots) \\ w_5(n) &= (-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, \dots) \\ w_6(n) &= (-\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, -1, \dots) \\ w_7(n) &= (-\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1}, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the first three values of each weight $w_i(n)$ (displayed in bold) represent the binary value of i if we replace 1 and -1 with 0 and 1, respectively. Moreover, we have the following symmetry:

Lemma 8. For $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1$, we have

$$w_i(n) = -w_{2^p-1-i}(n)$$

Proof. If $i = d_{p-1}^{(i)}2^{p-1} + d_{p-2}^{(i)}2^{p-2} + \dots + d_0^{(i)}2^0$, then $j = 2^p - 1 - i$ has expansion

$$j = \bar{d}_{p-1}^{(j)}2^{p-1} + \bar{d}_{p-2}^{(j)}2^{p-2} + \dots + \bar{d}_0^{(j)}2^0$$

where $\bar{d}_k^{(j)} = 1 - d_k^{(i)}$. It follows that

$$w_i(n) = (-1)^{d_{p-1}^{(i)} - v_p(n)} = (-1)^{1 - d_{p-1}^{(j)} - v_p(n)} = -w_{2^p-1-i}(n)$$

□

Theorem 9. Let $p \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Then the vectors $W_p(0), W_p(1), \dots, W_p(p-1)$ defined by

$$W_p(n) = (w_0^{(p)}(n), w_1^{(p)}(n), \dots, w_{2^p-1}^{(p)}(n))$$

form an orthogonal set, i.e.

$$W_p(n) \cdot W_p(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^p-1} w_i(n)w_i(m) = 2^{p-1}\delta_{n-m} = \begin{cases} 2^{p-1}, & n = m \\ 0, & n \neq m \end{cases}$$

for $0 \leq n, m \leq p-1$. Here, δ_n is the Kronecker delta function.

Proof. It is straightforward to check that the lemma is true for $p = 2$. Thus, we assume $p \geq 3$ and define $k(n) = p-1-n$ so that

$$W_p(n) \cdot W_p(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^p-1} (-1)^{d_{k(n)}^{(i)} + d_{k(m)}^{(i)}}$$

Assume $n \neq m$ and without loss of generality, take $n < m$ so that $k(n) > k(m)$. Assume $0 \leq i \leq 2^{p-1} - 1$ and expand i in binary so that

$$i = d_{p-1}^{(i)}2^{p-1} + \dots + d_{k(n)}^{(i)}2^{k(n)} + \dots + d_{k(m)}^{(i)}2^{k(m)} + \dots + d_0^{(i)}2^0$$

where $d_{p-1}^{(i)} = 0$. Suppose in specifying i we fix the choice of values for all binary digits except for $d_{k(n)}^{(i)}$ and $d_{k(m)}^{(i)}$. Then the set $S = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\}$ consists of the four possibilities for choosing these two remaining digits, which we express as the ordered pair $d = (d_{k(n)}^{(i)}, d_{k(m)}^{(i)})$. But then the contribution from this set of four such values for i sums to zero in the dot product $W_p(n) \cdot W_p(m)$, namely

$$\sum_{d \in S} (-1)^{d_{k(n)}^{(i)} + d_{k(m)}^{(i)}} = 0$$

Since this holds for all cases in specifying i , it follows that $W_p(n) \cdot W_p(m) = 0$ as desired. On the other hand, if $n = m$, then $k(n) = k(m)$ and so $d_{k(n)}^{(i)} = d_{k(m)}^{(i)}$ for all i . It follows that

$$W_p(n) \cdot W_p(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{2d_{k(n)}^{(i)}} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} 1 = 2^{p-1}$$

□

In fact, we have the more general result, which states a discrete version of the fact that the Walsh functions form an orthogonal set.

Theorem 10. *Let m be an integer and expand $m = 2^{n_k} + 2^{n_{k-1}} + \dots + 2^{n_1}$ in binary with $n_i < n_{i+1}$ and $0 \leq m \leq 2^p - 1$. Define*

$$\tilde{w}_i(m) = w_i(n_k) \cdots w_i(n_1)$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1$. Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) = 0 \tag{9}$$

for all $m = 0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1$.

Proof. Let $m = 2^{n_k} + 2^{n_{k-1}} + \dots + 2^{n_1}$. We argue by induction on k , i.e. the number of distinct powers of 2 in the binary expansion of m . Suppose $k = 1$ and define $q = p - 1 - v_p(n_1)$. Then given any value of i where the binary digit $d_q^{(i)} = 0$, there exists a corresponding value j whose binary digit $d_q^{(j)} = 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) &= \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ d_q^{(i)}=0}}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_q^{(i)}} + \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ d_q^{(i)}=1}}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_q^{(i)}} \\ &= 2^{p-2} - 2^{p-2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Next, assume that (9) holds for all m with $k - 1$ distinct powers of 2. Define $q_k = p - 1 - v_p(n_k)$. Then for m with k distinct powers of 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_{q_k}^{(i)} + d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + \dots + d_{q_1}^{(i)}} \\ &= (-1)^0 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ d_{q_k}^{(i)}=0}}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + \dots + d_{q_1}^{(i)}} + (-1)^1 \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\ d_{q_k}^{(i)}=1}}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + \dots + d_{q_1}^{(i)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + \dots + d_{q_1}^{(i)}} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} (-1)^{d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + d_{q_{k-1}}^{(i)} + \dots + d_{q_1}^{(i)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

In [17], Richman observed that the classical PTM sequence $v(i)$ (although he did not recognize it by name in his paper) can be constructed from the product of all Radamacher functions up to order $p - 1$, where $0 \leq i \leq 2^p - 1$. This result easily follows from our formulation of weight sequences since

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{w}_i^{(p)}(2^p - 1) &= w_i^{(p)}(0)w_i^{(p)}(1) \cdots w_i^{(p)}(p - 1) \\ &= (-1)^{d_{p-1}^{(i)} + d_{p-2}^{(i)} + \cdots + d_0^{(i)}} \\ &= v(i)\end{aligned}$$

Next, we relate weight sequences with PTM sequences. Since $w_i(n) = -w_{p-1-i}(n)$ from Lemma 8, the following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 11. *Let $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ be a mod- p PTM sequence. Define*

$$B_i = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} w_i(n)a_n$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1$. Then

$$B_i(n) = -B_{2^p-1-i}(n)$$

Theorem 12. *The following equation holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:*

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n)B_i \quad (10)$$

Proof. Since $a_n = a_{v(n)}$ for a PTM sequence, it suffices to prove (10) for $n = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$. It follow from Theorem 9 that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n)B_i &= \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n) \left(\sum_{m=0}^{p-1} w_i(m)a_m \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n)w_i(m) \right) a_m \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} 2^{p-1} \delta_{n-m} a_m \\ &= a_n\end{aligned}$$

□

NOTE: Because of the lemma above, we shall refer to $w_0(n), w_1(n), \dots, w_{2^p-1-i}(n)$ as the PTM weights of a_n with respect to the basis of sums $(B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{2^p-1-i})$.

Example 13.

1. $p = 2$:

$$\begin{aligned}B_0 &= a_0 + a_1 \\ B_1 &= a_0 - a_1\end{aligned}$$

2. $p = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}B_0 &= a_0 + a_1 + a_2 \\ B_1 &= a_0 + a_1 - a_2 \\ B_2 &= a_0 - a_1 + a_2 \\ B_3 &= a_0 - a_1 - a_2\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 14. *For $0 \leq m \leq 2^p - 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^p-1} \tilde{w}_i(m)B_i = \begin{cases} a_n, & \text{if } m = 2^n, 0 \leq n \leq p - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Proof. If $m = 2^n$, then $\tilde{w}_i(n) = w_i(n)$ and thus formula (11) reduces to (10). Therefore, assume $m = 2^{n_k} + \dots + 2^{n_1}$ where $k > 1$. Define $S_m = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\} - \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) B_i &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n_k) \cdots w_i(n_1) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} w_i(j) a_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n_k) \cdots w_i(n_1) w_i(j) \right) a_j \end{aligned}$$

Next, isolate the terms in the outer summation above corresponding to S_m :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) B_i &= a_{n_1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n_k) \cdots w_i(n_2) w_i(n_1)^2 + \dots \\ &\quad + a_{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n_k)^2 w_i(n_{k-1}) \cdots w_i(n_1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in S_m} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n_k) \cdots w_i(n_1) w_i(j) \right) a_j \\ &= a_{n_1} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m_1^-) + \dots + a_{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m_k^-) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in S_m} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m_j^+) \right) a_j \end{aligned}$$

where $m_j^- = m - 2^j$ and $m_j^+ = m + 2^j$. Now observe that all three summations above with index i must vanish because of Theorem 10. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^{p-1}-1} \tilde{w}_i(m) B_i = 0$$

as desired. □

We end this section by presenting a result that is useful in characterizing sidelobes of Doppler tolerant waveforms in radar ([14],[4],[12]).

Theorem 15. *Let $A = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{L-1})$ be a mod- p PTM sequence of length $L = p^{M+1}$, where M is a non-negative integer. Write*

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} w_0(n) B_0 + \frac{1}{2^{p-1}} S_p(n) \tag{12}$$

where

$$S_p(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{p-1}-1} w_i(n) B_i$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m S_p(n) = N_m(L) B_0 \tag{13}$$

for $m = 1, \dots, M$ where

$$N_m(L) = 2^{p-1} P_m - \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m$$

Proof. We apply (8):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m S_p(n) &= 2^{p-1} \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m a_n - B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m w_0(n) \\
&= 2^{p-1} P_m(a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{p-1}) - B_0 \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m \\
&= (2^{p-1} P_m - \sum_{n=0}^{L-1} n^m) B_0 \\
&= N_m(L) B_0
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. XOR-SHIFT RECURRENCE

In this section we develop a recurrence formula for our weight sequences. Towards this end, we introduce the notion of an *xor-shift* of a binary integer.

Definition 16. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. We define $a \oplus b$ to be the exclusive OR (XOR) operation given by the following Boolean truth table:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \oplus 0 &= 0 \\
0 \oplus 1 &= 1 \\
1 \oplus 0 &= 1 \\
1 \oplus 1 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, let $x = a_k \dots a_0$ and $y = b_k \dots b_0$ be two non-negative integers expressed in binary. We define $z = x \oplus y = c_k \dots c_0$ to be the *xor bit-sum* of x and y , where

$$c_k = a_k \oplus b_k$$

Definition 17. We shall say that two integers a and b are congruent modulo 2 and write $a \cong b$ to mean $a = b \pmod{2}$.

The following lemma, which is straightforward to prove, will be useful to us.

Lemma 18. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then*

$$a \pm b \cong a \oplus b$$

Definition 19. Let p be a positive integer and i a non-negative integer with $0 \leq i \leq 2^p - 1$. Expand i in binary so that

$$i = d_{p-1} 2^{p-1} + \dots + d_0 2^0$$

We define the *degree- p xor-shift* of i by $r \geq 0$ to be the decimal value given by the xor bit-sum

$$x_r(i) := x_r^{(p)}(i) = d_{p-1} \dots d_r d_{r-1} \dots d_0 \oplus d_{p-1-r} \dots d_0 d_{p-1} \dots d_{p-r}$$

i.e.

$$x_r(i) = e_{p-1} 2^{p-1} + \dots + e_0 2^0$$

where

$$e_k = \begin{cases} d_k \oplus d_{k-r}, & k \geq r \\ d_k \oplus d_{k+(p-r)}, & k < r \end{cases}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$.

Example 20. Here are some values of $x_i^{(p)}(n)$ for $p = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1^{(3)}(0) &= 000_2 \oplus 000_2 = 000_2 = 0, & x_2^{(3)}(0) &= 000_2 \oplus 000_2 = 000_2 = 0 \\ x_1^{(3)}(1) &= 001_2 \oplus 010_2 = 011_2 = 3, & x_2^{(3)}(1) &= 001_2 \oplus 100_2 = 101_2 = 5 \\ x_1^{(3)}(2) &= 010_2 \oplus 100_2 = 110_2 = 6, & x_2^{(3)}(2) &= 010_2 \oplus 001_2 = 011_2 = 3 \\ x_1^{(3)}(3) &= 011_2 \oplus 110_2 = 101_2 = 5, & x_2^{(3)}(3) &= 011_2 \oplus 101_2 = 110_2 = 6 \end{aligned}$$

In fact, when $n = p - 1$, the sequence

$$x_1^{(n+1)}(n) = (0, 3, 6, 5, 12, 15, 10, 9, 24, 27, \dots)$$

generates the xor bit-sum of n and $2n$ (sequence A048724 in the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) database: <http://oeis.org>).

Lemma 21. *Define*

$$E_p(i, n) := d_{p-1-v_p(n)}^{(i)}$$

so that $w_i(n) = (-1)^{E_p(i, n)}$. Then for $0 \leq r < p$, we have

$$E_p(i, pn + r) = \begin{cases} d_{p-1-v_p(n)-r}, & \text{if } v_p(n) + r < p \\ d_{p-1-s}, & \text{if } v_p(n) + r \geq p \end{cases}$$

where $s = v_p(n) + r - p$. Moreover,

$$E_p(i, pn + r) - E_p(i, n) \cong E_p(x_r(i), n) \quad (14)$$

Proof. Since $v_p(pn + r) = (v_p(n) + r)_p$, we have

$$E_p(i, pn + r) = d_{p-1-(v_p(n)+r)_p}$$

Now consider two cases: either $v(n) + r < p$ or $v(n) + r \geq p$. If $v(n) + r < p$, then

$$E_p(i, pn + r) = d_{p-1-v_p(n)-r}$$

On the other hand, if $v(n) + r \geq p$, then set $s = v_p(n) + r - p$ so that $(v_p(n) + r)_p = s$. It follows that

$$E_p(i, pn + r) = d_{p-1-s}$$

To prove (14), we again consider two cases. First, assume $v_p(n) + r < p$ so that $p - 1 - v_p(n) \geq r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(i, pn + r) - E_p(i, n) &= d_{p-1-v_p(n)-r} - d_{p-1-v_p(n)} \\ &\cong d_{p-1-v_p(n)} \oplus d_{p-1-v_p(n)-r} \\ &\cong E_p(x_r(i), n) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $v_p(n) + r \geq p$, then set $s = v_p(n) + r - p$ so that $(v_p(n) + r)_p = s$. Since $p - 1 - v_p(n) < r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_p(i, pn + r) - E_p(i, n) &= d_{p-1-s} - d_{p-1-v_p(n)} \\ &\cong d_{p-1-v_p(n)} \oplus d_{p-1-s} \\ &\cong d_{p-1-v_p(n)} \oplus d_{p-1-v_p(n)+(p-r)} \\ &\cong E_p(x_r(i), n) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 22. *Let p be a positive integer. The weight sequences $w_i(n)$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^p - 1$, satisfy the recurrence*

$$w_i(pn + r) = w_{x_r(i)}(n)w_i(n) \quad (15)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. The recurrence follows easily from formula (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{w_i(pn+r)}{w_i(n)} &= (-1)^{E_p(i,pn+r)-E_p(i,n)} \\ &= (-1)^{E_p(x_r(i),n)} \\ &= w_{x_r(i)}(n) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 23. Let $p = 3$. Then $w_0(n) = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the other weight sequences, $w_1(n)$, $w_2(n)$, $w_3(n)$, satisfy the following recurrences:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1(3n) &= w_0(n)w_1(n), \quad w_1(3n+1) = w_3(n)w_1(n), \quad w_1(3n+2) = w_5(n)w_1(n) \\ w_2(3n) &= w_0(n)w_2(n), \quad w_2(3n+1) = w_6(n)w_2(n), \quad w_2(3n+2) = w_3(n)w_2(n) \\ w_3(3n) &= w_0(n)w_3(n), \quad w_3(3n+1) = w_5(n)w_3(n), \quad w_3(3n+2) = w_6(n)w_3(n) \end{aligned}$$

5. CONCLUSION.

In this paper we presented what appears to be a novel generalization of the Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence to weight sequences by considering the Rademacher transform of a given set of elements. These weight sequences were shown to satisfy interesting recurrences and orthogonality relations. Moreover, they were used in [12] to describe sidelobes of Doppler tolerant waveforms to radar.

Acknowledgment. The authors wish to thank Greg Coxson (Naval Research Laboratory) for many useful discussions on radar and complementary code matrices.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Allouche and J. Shallit, *The Ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse Sequence*, Sequences and Their applications, Proc. SETA'98 (Ed. C. Ding, T. Helleseth, and H. Niederreiter). New York: Springer-Verlag, pp. 1-16, 1999.
- [2] J.-P. Allouche and G. Skordev, *Von Koch and Thue-Morse revisited*, *Fractals* **15** (2007), no. 4, 405-409.
- [3] K. G. Beauchamp, *Walsh Functions and Their Applications*, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [4] Y. C. Chi, A. Pezeshki, and A. R. Howard, *Complementary Waveforms for Sidelobe Suppression and Radar Polarimetry*, Principles of Waveform Diversity and Design, M. Wicks, E. Mokole, S. Blunt, R. Schneible and V. Amuso (editors), SciTech Publishing, Raleigh, NC, 2011.
- [5] G. E. Coxson and W. Haloupek, *Construction of Complementary Code Matrices for Waveform Design*, *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems*, **49** (2013), No. 3, 1806 - 1816.
- [6] N. J. Fine, *On the Walsh functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1949), 372-414.
- [7] M. J. E. Golay, *Multislit spectroscopy*, *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **39** (1949), 437-444.
- [8] D. H. Lehmer, *The Tarry-Escott Problem*, *Scripta Math.*, **13** (1947), 37-41.
- [9] J. Ma and J. Holdener, *When Thue-Morse meets Koch*, *Fractals* **13** (2005), 191-206.
- [10] L. Moretti L and V. Mocella, *Two-dimensional photonic aperiodic crystals based on Thue-Morse sequence*, *Optics Express* **15** (2007), no. 23, 15314-23.
- [11] M. Morse, *Recurrent Geodesics on a Surface of Negative Curvature*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **22** (1921), 84-100.
- [12] H. D. Nguyen and G. E. Coxson, *Doppler Tolerance, Complementary Code Sets, and the Generalized Thue-Morse Sequence*, preprint, 2014.
- [13] I. Palacios-Huerta, *Tournaments, fairness and the prouhet-thue-morse sequence*, *Economic inquiry* **50** (2012), no. 3, 848-849.
- [14] A. Pezeshki, A. R. Calderbank, W. Moran, and S. D. Howard, *Doppler Resilient Golay Complementary Waveforms*, *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **54** (2008), no. 9, 4254 - 4266.
- [15] E. Prouhet, *Memoire sur Quelques Relations Entre les Puissances des Nombres*, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, **33** (1851), 225.
- [16] H. Rademacher, *Einige Sätze über Reihen von allgemeinen Orthogonalfunktionen*, *Math. Ann.* **87** (1922), no. 1-2, 112-138 (German)
- [17] R. M. Richman, *Recursive Binary Sequences of Differences*, *Complex Systems* **13** (2001) 381-392.
- [18] R. Riklund, M. Severin, and Y. Liu, *The Thue-Morse aperiodic crystal: a link between the Fibonacci quasicrystal and the periodic crystal*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **1** (1987), no. 1, 121-132.
- [19] S. G. Tzafestas, *Walsh Functions in Signal and Systems Analysis and Design* (Benchmark Papers in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, Vol 31), Springer, 1985.
- [20] A. Thue, *Über unendliche Zeichenreihen*, *Kra. Vidensk. Selsk. Skrifter. I. Mat.-Nat., Christiana, Nr. 10.* 1912 (Reprinted in Selected Mathematical Papers of Axel Thue, edited by T. Nagell. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget, 1977, 139-58).
- [21] J. L. Walsh, *A Closed Set of Normal Orthogonal Functions*, *Amer. J. Math.* **45** (1923), no. 1, 5-24.

[22] E. M. Wright, *Prouhet's 1851 Solution of the Tarry-Escott Problem of 1910*, Amer. Math. Monthly **102** (1959), 199-210.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ROWAN UNIVERSITY, GLASSBORO, NJ 08028.
E-mail address: nguyen@rowan.edu