

ON SOME NEW INEQUALITIES INVOLVING GENERALIZED ERDÉLYI-KOBER FRACTIONAL q -INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Daniele Ritelli^{1,*} and Praveen Agarwal²

¹ School of Economics, Management and Statistics
Department of Statistics
University of Bologna, via Belle Arti 41
40126 Bologna Italy
E-mail: daniele.ritelli@unibo.it

*Corresponding Author

²Department of Mathematics
Anand International College of Engineering
Jaipur-303012, India.
E-mail: goyal.praveen2011@gmail.com

Abstract. In the present investigation, we aim to establish some inequalities involving generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional q -integral operator of the two parameters of deformation q_1 and q_2 due to Gaulué, by following the same lines used by Baleanu and Agarwal [4] in their recent paper. Relevant connections of the results presented here with those earlier ones are also pointed out.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications : 26D10; 26D15; 26A33; 05A30.

Key words and Phrases. Integral inequalities; generalized q -Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral operator; q -Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral operator.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , and \mathbb{Z}_0^- denote the sets of positive integers, real numbers, complex numbers, and nonpositive integers, respectively, and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The enormous success of the theory of integral inequalities involving various fractional integral operators has stimulated the development of a corresponding theory in q -fractional integral inequalities (see, *e.g.*, [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13, 15]). In this paper we aim to present some inequalities involving generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional q -integral operator of the two parameters of deformation q_1 and q_2 due to Gaulué [10], by following the same lines used by Baleanu and Agarwal [4] in their recent paper. Relevant connections of the results presented here with those earlier ones are also pointed out.

Here, we recall the following definitions (see, *e.g.*, [14, Section 6]) and some earlier works.

The q -shifted factorial $(a; q)_n$ is defined by

$$(a; q)_n := \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0) \\ \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - a q^k) & (n \in \mathbb{N}), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $a, q \in \mathbb{C}$ and it is assumed that $a \neq q^{-m}$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

The q -shifted factorial for negative subscript is defined by

$$(a; q)_{-n} := \frac{1}{(1 - a q^{-1})(1 - a q^{-2}) \cdots (1 - a q^{-n})} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (1.2)$$

We also write

$$(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - a q^k) \quad (a, q \in \mathbb{C}; |q| < 1). \quad (1.3)$$

It follows from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$(a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(a q^n; q)_\infty} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}), \quad (1.4)$$

which can be extended to $n = \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$(a; q)_\alpha = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(a q^\alpha; q)_\infty} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}; |q| < 1), \quad (1.5)$$

where the principal value of q^α is taken.

We begin by noting that Jackson [11] was the first to develop q -calculus in a systematic way, this topic is also developed in the recent monograph [12].

The q -derivative of a function $f(t)$ is defined by

$$D_q\{f(t)\} := \frac{d_q}{d_q t}\{f(t)\} = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t}. \quad (1.6)$$

For $q \rightarrow 1$ we obtain the definition of differential function, being:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q\{f(t)\} = \frac{d}{dt}\{f(t)\},$$

if we assume that $f(t)$ is a differentiable function.

The function $F(t)$ is a q -antiderivative of $f(t)$ if $D_q\{F(t)\} = f(t)$. It is denoted by

$$\int f(t) d_q t. \quad (1.7)$$

The *Jackson integral* of $f(t)$ is thus defined, *formally*, by

$$\int f(t) d_q t := (1-q)t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j f(q^j t), \quad (1.8)$$

which can be easily generalized in the Stieltjes sense as follows:

$$\int f(t) d_q g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j t) (g(q^j t) - g(q^{j+1} t)). \quad (1.9)$$

Suppose that $0 < a < b$. The definite q -integral is defined as follows:

$$\int_0^b f(t) d_q t := (1-q)b \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^j f(q^j b) \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t) d_q t = \int_0^b f(t) d_q t - \int_0^a f(t) d_q t. \quad (1.11)$$

A more general version of (1.10) is given by

$$\int_0^b f(t) d_q g(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f(q^j b) (g(q^j b) - g(q^{j+1} b)). \quad (1.12)$$

The classical Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ (see, *e.g.*, [14, Section 1.1]) was introduced by Leonhard Euler in 1729 while he was trying to extend the factorial $n! = \Gamma(n+1)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) to real numbers. The q -factorial function $(n)_q!$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) of $n!$ defined by

$$(n)_q! := \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0), \\ (n)_q (n-1)_q \cdots (2)_q (1)_q & (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

can be rewritten as follows:

$$(1-q)^{-n} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{k+1})}{(1-q^{k+1+n})} = \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(q^{n+1}; q)_{\infty}} (1-q)^{-n} := \Gamma_q(n+1) \quad (0 < q < 1). \quad (1.14)$$

Replacing n by $a-1$ in (1.14), Jackson [11] defined the q -Gamma function $\Gamma_q(a)$ by

$$\Gamma_q(a) := \frac{(q; q)_{\infty}}{(q^a; q)_{\infty}} (1-q)^{1-a} \quad (0 < q < 1). \quad (1.15)$$

The q -analogue of $(t-a)^n$ is defined by the polynomial

$$(t-a)_q^n := \begin{cases} 1 & (n = 0), \\ (t-a)(t-qa) \cdots (t-q^{n-1}a) & (n \in \mathbb{N}), \\ = t^n \left(\frac{a}{t}; q \right)_n & (n \in \mathbb{N}_0). \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

Definition 1. A real-valued function $f(t)$ ($t > 0$) is said to be in the space C_{λ} ($\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$) if there exists a real number $p > \lambda$ such that $f(t) = t^p \phi(t)$, where $\phi(t) \in C(0, \infty)$.

Definition 2. A function $f(t)$ ($t > 0$) is said to be in the space C_{λ}^n ($n \in \mathbb{R}$) if $f^{(n)} \in C_{\lambda}$.

Definition 3. Let $0 < q < 1$, $f \in C_{\lambda}$. Then for $\Re(\beta), \Re(\mu) > 0$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ we define a generalized Erdélyi-Kober fractional integral $I_q^{\alpha, \beta, \eta}$ as follows (see, [10]) :

$$\begin{aligned} I_q^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{f(t)\} &= \frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_q(\mu)} \int_0^t (t^{\beta} - \tau^{\beta} q)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} f(\tau) d_q \tau, \\ &= \beta(1-q^{1/\beta})(1-q)^{\mu-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{\mu}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^{k(\eta+1)} f(tq^{k/\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

Definition 4. Let $0 < q < 1$, $f \in C_{\lambda}$. Then for $\Re(\mu) > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ a q -analogue of the Kober fractional integral operator is given by (see [1])

$$I_q^{\eta, \mu} \{f(t)\} = \frac{t^{-\eta-\mu}}{\Gamma_q(\mu)} \int_0^t (t - \tau q)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\eta} f(\tau) d_q \tau. \quad (1.18)$$

Remark 1. It is easy to see that

$$\Gamma_q(\mu) > 0; \quad (q^{\mu}; q)_k > 0, \quad (1.19)$$

for all $\mu > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function. Then we conclude that, under the given conditions in (1.17), each term in the series of generalized Erdélyi-Kober q -integral operator is nonnegative defined by

$$I_q^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{f(t)\} \geq 0, \quad (1.20)$$

for all $\mu > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$.

On the same way each term in the series of Kober q -integral operator (1.18) is also nonnegative defined by

$$I_q^{\eta, \mu} \{f(t)\} \geq 0. \quad (1.21)$$

for all $\mu > 0$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$.

2. Generalized Erdélyi-Kober q -integral Inequalities

In this section, we present six q -integral inequalities, which are the core of our research, involving the generalized Erdélyi-Kober q -integral (1.17) stated in Theorem 1 to 6 below. Before we recall, as stated in [6, p. 1, Eq. 2] therein, that if f, g are two real function defined and integrable on a real interval ($I \equiv I \in [a, b]$), we say that f and g are synchronous on I if for each $x, y \in I$ the following inequality holds:

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \geq 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Similarly f and g are asynchronous on I if for any $x, y \in I$ the inequality is reversed, that is:

$$(f(x) - f(y))(g(x) - g(y)) \leq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Theorem 1. Let $0 < q_1 < 1$ and $0 < q_2 < 1$ with $f, g, h \in C_\lambda$ are three synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$. If $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function, then the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} \\ & + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} \\ & \geq I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} \\ & + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $t > 0$, $\mu, \nu, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Let f, g and h are three continuous and synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$ and (2.1) is satisfied. Then for all $\tau, \rho \geq 0$, we have

$$((f(\tau) - f(\rho))(g(\tau) - g(\rho))(h(\tau) + h(\rho))) \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\tau)g(\tau)h(\tau) + f(\rho)g(\rho)h(\rho) + f(\tau)g(\tau)h(\rho) + f(\rho)g(\rho)h(\tau) \\ & \geq f(\tau)g(\rho)h(\tau) + f(\tau)g(\rho)h(\rho) + f(\rho)g(\tau)h(\tau) + f(\rho)g(\tau)h(\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Now, multiplying both sides of (2.5) by

$$\frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_{q_1}(\mu)} (\tau^\beta - \tau^\beta q_1)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(\tau)$$

and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to τ from 0 to t , and using (1.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} + h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} + f(\rho)g(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} \\ & + f(\rho)g(\rho)h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} \geq g(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} + g(\rho)h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} \\ & + f(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} + f(\rho)h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Next, multiply both sides of (2.6) by

$$\frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} u(\rho),$$

which remains nonnegative under the conditions in (1.20) and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to ρ from 0 to t , and using (1.17), we are led to the desired result (2.3). This complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $0 < q_1 < 1$, $0 < q_2 < 1$ and $f, g, h \in C_\lambda$ satisfying the condition (2.1) on $[0, \infty)$. If, we assume $u, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions. Then the following inequality holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} \\
& + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} \\
& \geq I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} \\
& + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for all $t > 0$, $\mu, \nu, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: To prove the above result, multiplying both sides of (2.6) by

$$\frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} v(\rho),$$

which remains nonnegative under the conditions in (1.20) and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to ρ from 0 to t , and using (1.17), we are led to the desired result (2.7). This complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Remark 1. It may be noted that the inequalities in (2.3) and (2.7) are reversed if functions f, g and h are asynchronous. It is also easily seen that the special case $u = v$ of (2.7) in Theorem 2 reduces to that in Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let $0 < q_1 < 1$, $0 < q_2 < 1$ and $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous function with we assume $f, g, h \in C_\lambda$, are three synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, for which the following condition are satisfied:*

$$\psi \leq f(x) \leq \Psi, \quad \phi \leq g(x) \leq \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \omega \leq h(x) \leq \Omega, \quad (\phi, \psi, \omega, \Phi, \Psi, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}; x \in [0, \infty)). \tag{2.8}$$

Then the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t)\} + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} \\
& + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{g(t) h(t) u(t)\} \\
& - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) g(t)\} \\
& - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) h(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\}| \\
& \leq I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t)\} (\Psi - \psi)(\Phi - \phi)(\Omega - \omega),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $t > 0$, $\mu, \nu, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Since f, g and h are three continuous and synchronous functions on $[0, \infty)$, for all $\tau, \rho \geq 0$, the inequality (2.1) is satisfied. we have from (2.8):

$$|f(\tau) - f(\rho)| \leq (\Psi - \psi), \quad |g(\tau) - g(\rho)| \leq (\Phi - \phi), \quad |h(\tau) - h(\rho)| \leq (\Omega - \omega),$$

which implies that

$$|(f(\tau) - f(\rho)) (g(\tau) - g(\rho)) (h(\tau) - h(\rho))| \leq (\Psi - \psi) (\Phi - \phi) (\Omega - \omega). \tag{2.10}$$

Let us define the function

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}(\tau, \rho) &= f(\tau)g(\tau)h(\tau) + f(\rho)g(\rho)h(\tau) + f(\tau)g(\rho)h(\rho) + f(\rho)g(\tau)h(\rho) \\
&\quad - f(\tau)g(\rho)h(\tau) - f(\rho)g(\rho)h(\rho) - f(\tau)g(\tau)h(\rho) - f(\rho)g(\tau)h(\tau),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Multiplying both sides of (2.11) by

$$\frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_{q_1}(\mu)} (\tau^\beta - \tau^\beta q_1)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(\tau)$$

taking q -integration of the resulting inequality with respect to τ from 0 to t and using (1.17), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_{q_1}(\mu)} \int_0^t (\tau^\beta - \tau^\beta q_1)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(\tau) \mathcal{A}(\tau, \rho) d_{q_1} \tau \\ &= I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} + f(\rho) g(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} + g(\rho) h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} \\ &+ f(\rho) h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} - h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} - g(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} \\ &- f(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} - f(\rho) g(\rho) h(\rho) I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Next, multiply both sides of (2.12) by

$$\frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} u(\rho)$$

then q -integrate of the resulting inequality with respect to ρ from 0 to t , and use (1.17), so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_{q_1}(\mu)} \frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} \int_0^t \int_0^t (\tau^\beta - \tau^\beta q_1)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(\tau) (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} u(\rho) \mathcal{A}(\tau, \rho) d_{q_1} \tau d_{q_2} \rho \\ &= I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t)\} + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} \\ &+ I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{g(t) h(t) u(t)\} \\ &- I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t) f(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t) g(t)\} \\ &- I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t) h(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\gamma, \delta, \zeta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Finally, by using (2.10) on to (2.13), we arrive at the desired result (2.9), involve in Theorem 3, after a little simplification.

Theorem 4. Let $0 < q_1 < 1$, $0 < q_2 < 1$ and $u, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous synchronous functions with $f, g, h \in C_\lambda$ satisfying the condition (2.1) on $[0, \infty)$ and the following condition:

$$\psi \leq f(x) \leq \Psi, \quad \phi \leq g(x) \leq \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \omega \leq h(x) \leq \Omega \quad (\phi, \psi, \omega, \Phi, \Psi, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}; x \in [0, \infty)) \quad (2.14)$$

Then the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned} & |I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t)\} + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) g(t)\} \\ &+ I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) h(t)\} + I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{g(t) h(t) v(t)\} \\ &- I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) g(t)\} \\ &- I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) h(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\}| \\ &\leq I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t)\} (\Psi - \psi)(\Phi - \phi)(\Omega - \omega), \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for all $t > 0$, $\mu, \nu, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Multiplying both sides of (2.12) by

$$\frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} v(\rho)$$

and taking the q -integration of the resulting inequality with respect to ρ from 0 to t with the aid of Definition 1 and then applying (2.10) on the resulting inequality, we get the desired result (2.15).

Remark 2. It is easily seen that the special case $u = v$ of (2.15) in Theorem 4 reduces to that in Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. Let $0 < q_1 < 1$, $0 < q_2 < 1$ and $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. we assume that $f, g, h \in C_\lambda$ satisfying the condition (2.1) on $[0, \infty)$ with constants L_1, L_2 and L_3 , respectively. Then the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} \\
& \quad + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} \\
& \quad - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} \\
& \quad - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) f(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} | \\
& \leq L_1 L_2 L_3 [I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{\tau^3 u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t)\} + 3I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{\tau u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{\rho^2 u(t)\} \\
& \quad - 3I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{\tau^2 u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{\rho u(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{\rho^3 u(t)\}].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all $t > 0$, $\mu, \nu, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let us define the following relations for all $\tau, \rho \in [0, \infty)$:

$$|f(\tau) - f(\rho)| \leq L_1(\tau - \rho), \quad |g(\tau) - g(\rho)| \leq L_2(\tau - \rho), \quad |h(\tau) - h(\rho)| \leq L_3(\tau - \rho), \tag{2.17}$$

which implies that

$$|\mathcal{A}(\tau, \rho)| \leq L_1 L_2 L_3 (\tau - \rho)^3, \tag{2.18}$$

where, $\mathcal{A}(\tau, \rho)$ is given by (2.11). Then, by setting:

$$\mathcal{B}(\tau, \rho) := L_1 L_2 L_3 (\tau - \rho)^3. \tag{2.19}$$

First, we multiplying both sides of (2.19) by

$$\frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_{q_1}(\mu)} (\tau^\beta - \tau^\beta q_1)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(\tau)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} u(\rho),$$

respectively, and taking the q -integration of the resulting inequality with respect to τ and ρ from 0 to t with the aid of Definition 1 and then applying (2.10) and (2.18) on the resulting inequality, we get the desired result (2.16). This complete the proof of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6. Let $0 < q_1 < 1$, $0 < q_2 < 1$ and assume that $u, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions and suppose $f, g, h \in C_\lambda$ synchronous on $[0, \infty)$ and satisfying (2.1) with constants L_1, L_2 and L_3 , respectively. Then the following inequality holds true:

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) h(t)\} \\
& \quad + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t)\} + I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) g(t) h(t)\} \\
& \quad - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t)\} - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t) h(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t)\} \\
& \quad - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) f(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) g(t) h(t)\} - I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{v(t) g(t)\} I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t) f(t) h(t)\} | \\
& \leq L_1 L_2 L_3 [I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{\tau^3 u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{u(t)\} + 3I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{\tau u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{\rho^2 v(t)\} \\
& \quad - 3I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{\tau^2 u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{\rho v(t)\} - I_{q_1}^{\eta, \mu, \beta} \{u(t)\} I_{q_2}^{\zeta, \nu, \delta} \{\rho^3 v(t)\}].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all $t > 0$, $\mu, \nu, \beta, \delta > 0$ and $\eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof: Multiplying both sides of (2.19) by

$$\frac{\beta t^{-\beta(\eta+\mu)}}{\Gamma_{q_1}(\mu)} (\tau^\beta - \tau^\beta q_1)_{\mu-1} \tau^{\beta(\eta+1)-1} u(\tau)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta t^{-\delta(\zeta+\nu)}}{\Gamma_{q_2}(\nu)} (t^\delta - \rho^\delta q_2)_{\nu-1} \rho^{\delta(\zeta+1)-1} v(\rho)$$

respectively, and taking the q -integration of the resulting inequality with respect to τ and ρ from 0 to t with the aid of Definition 1 and then applying (2.10) and (2.18) on the resulting inequality, we get the desired result (2.20). This complete the proof of Theorem 6.

Remark 3. It is easily seen that the special case $u = v$ of (2.20) in Theorem 6 reduces to that in Theorem 5.

Concluding Remarks

We can present a large number of special cases of our main inequalities in Theorems 1 to 6. Here we give only one example: Setting $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 1- 6, we get the known results given by Baleanu and Agarwal [4].

Therefore, we arrived at the conclusion that our present investigation are general in character and useful in deriving various q -inequalities in the theory of fractional q -integral operators.

References

- [1] R. P. Agrawal, Certain fractional q -integrals and q -derivatives, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **66** (1969), 365–370.
- [2] G. A. Anastassiou, *Advances on Fractional Inequalities*, Springer Briefs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [3] G. A. Anastassiou, q -Fractional Inequalities, *CUBO A Mathematical Journal*, **13**(1) (2011), 61-71.
- [4] D. Baleanu and P. Agarwal, Certain inequalities involving the fractional q -integral Operators, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2014**, Article ID 371274, 10 pages.
- [5] S. Belarbi and Z. Dahmani, On some new fractional integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **10**(3)(2009), Art. 86, 5 pp (electronic).
- [6] J. Choi and P. Agarwal, Certain inequalities associated with Saigo fractional integrals and their q -analogues, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2014**, Article ID 5792609, 11 pages.
- [7] Z. Dahmani, New inequalities in fractional integrals, *Int. J. Nonlinear Sci.* **9** (2010), 493–497.
- [8] Z. Dahmani, O. Mechouar and S. Brahami, Certain inequalities related to the Chebyshev’s functional involving a type Riemann-Liouville operator, *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* **3**(4) (2011), 38–44.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Some integral inequalities of Gruss type, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **31**(4)(2000), 397–415.
- [10] L. Gaulu e, Generalized Erd elyi-Kober fractional q -integral operator, *Kuwait J. Sci. Eng.* **36**(2A)(2009), 21-34.
- [11] F. H. Jackson, On q -definite integrals, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1910), 193-203.
- [12] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002.

- [13] H. Ögünmez and U.M. Özkan, Fractional quantum integral inequalities, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2011, Article ID 787939, 7 pp.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava and J. Choi, *Zeta and q-Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.
- [15] W. T. Sulaiman, Some new fractional integral inequalities, *J. Math. Anal.* **2**(2) (2011), 23–28.