

**Comment to article "A light-hole exciton in a quantum dot" by
Y.H.Huo et al, Nature Physics 10, 46 (2014).**

E.Tsitsishvili

*Institute for Cybernetics, Tbilisi Technical University,
S. Euli 5, 0186, Tbilisi Georgian Republic*

Abstract

The exciton ground state in strained quantum dots similar to those fabricated in article specified in the title is shortly discussed within a relevant model Hamiltonian. Some characteristics of the light-hole exciton ground state reached in a dot under the tensile biaxial strain appear to be sensitive to the strain anisotropy breaking a purity of this state. It refers in particular to a degree of the in-plane polarization of the emission and the fine structure of the ground state.

PACS numbers: 78.67.Hc, 73.21.La

In recent paper "A light-hole exciton in a quantum dot" by Y.H. Huo et al.[1] is reported about a creation of the light-hole exciton ground state by applying biaxial tensile strain to an initially unstrained quantum dot. This conclusion is based, in particular, on the observation of the z -polarized line in emission spectra of strained dots - an obvious sign that the ground state is "mainly light-hole" exciton. A degree of the in-plane polarization anisotropy of the emission contains a definite information about a "purity" of this state. The last item is discussed by authors in Supplementary Section II.6 on a basis of theoretical results from Ref.[2]. While we acknowledge the calculation way of the linear polarization degree used in Ref.[2], an application of the particular results received there (formulae (1) and (7)) to the scenario taking place in Ref.[1] appears to be questionable. In this comment we simply would like to give some additions and specifications.

It is a question about the states of the heavy-hole (HH) and light-hole (LH) exciton with total angular momentum projection $|J_z| = 1$. For the in-plane symmetrical dots, this (two-fold degenerate) bright state is circularly polarized and therefore contributes to unpolarized emission. Any perturbation providing a coupling between the states with J_z of different signs leads generally to a formation of elliptically polarized states. Considering the HH-LH coupling of this type, the low-energy exciton states have a form (for simplicity, we take the same envelope function for the heavy and light hole)

$$\Psi_L^{(\pm)} \approx \left[w_{hh} \left| \mp \frac{1}{2}; \pm \frac{3}{2} \right\rangle + w_{lh} \left| \mp \frac{1}{2}; \mp \frac{1}{2} \right\rangle \right]. \quad (1)$$

The (square) amplitude $|w_{hh(lh)}|^2 = 0.5 \left[1 \pm \Delta_{lh}^{(0)} \left(\sqrt{(\Delta_{lh}^{(0)})^2 + 4\rho^2} \right)^{-1} \right]$ (with the mixing amplitude ρ and the LH-HH splitting $\Delta_{lh}^{(0)} > 0$) determines the exciton character $P_{hh(lh)} = |w_{hh(lh)}|^2$, that is the probability for the exciton to be HH(LH) exciton. Evidently, the above ground state is mainly of the heavy-hole type in a weak coupling limit, where $\rho \ll \Delta_{lh}^{(0)}$, while both the HH and LH exciton characters are of the same order at $\rho \gg \Delta_{lh}^{(0)}$. Having in mind a contribution of the bright exciton to a recombination, a degree of the linear polarization of the emission is expressed generally as

$$C = \frac{2 \sqrt{3P_{hh} P_{lh}}}{3P_{hh} + P_{lh}}. \quad (2)$$

Here it is considered that the recombination probability is three times larger for the HH than LH exciton, see e.g.[3]. From Eq.(2) follows that the linear polarization is equal to zero in absence of the HH-LH mixing, as expected, whereas it becomes close to unity in a strong

coupling limit where $\rho \gg \Delta_{lh}^{(0)}$. The above scenario is familiar for conventional quantum dots showing the anisotropic effects of an intrinsic nature and keeping the exciton ground state of the heavy-hole type, see e.g.[4] and Ref.[2] as well.

Simulating the experimental conditions realized in Ref.[1], the excitonic states with $|J_z| = 1$ in a strained dot can be described by a model (4×4) Hamiltonian having a block-diagonal matrix form. Both matrixes, one in the $\{|-\frac{1}{2}; +\frac{3}{2}\rangle, |-\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}\rangle\}$ basis and another in the $\{|+\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{3}{2}\rangle, |+\frac{1}{2}; +\frac{1}{2}\rangle\}$ basis, are identical and given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \Delta_d \\ \gamma^* \Delta_d & \Delta_{lh}^{(0)} - \Delta_d \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here the energy position of the HH exciton is set to zero and the strain-induced splitting Δ_d , positive (negative) for the tension (compression), is determined by the relative deformation $(\varepsilon_{\parallel} - \varepsilon_{\perp})$. The adjustable parameter γ measures the HH-LH coupling due to the strain anisotropy, which is expected to be rather weak. The low-energy states of the bright exciton have still the above structure Eq.(1), now with the (absolute value) amplitudes

$$|w_{lh(hh)}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 \mp \frac{1 - \delta_d}{\sqrt{(1 - \delta_d)^2 + 4|\gamma|^2 \delta_d^2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4)$$

where $\delta_d = \Delta_d / \Delta_{lh}^{(0)}$. In Fig. 1a we plot the exciton characters P_{hh} and P_{lh} calculated from Eq.(4) in a dependence on dimensionless variable δ_d at the anisotropic parameter $|\gamma| = 0.1$. It is seen that while the HH exciton fully dominates in the ground state for a compressive strain, the exciton character can be shifted to dominantly LH at a tensile strain. A step-like switching happens at $\delta_d = 1$, where the strain effect compensates the confinement-induced splitting $\Delta_{lh}^{(0)}$, and at an increase in tension only twice the LH exciton character almost completely prevails. This result is close to that reported for the valence band ground state in a specific quantum dot calculated in an empirical pseudopotential based approach in Ref.[1].

Similarly to the bright exciton, the (anisotropic) strain couples the dark states of the HH exciton with $J_z = |2|$ to the states of the LH exciton with $J_z = 0$. Now, however, the exchange interaction must be considered explicitly. The corresponding Hamiltonian represents four-by-four matrix in the $\{|+\frac{1}{2}; +\frac{3}{2}\rangle, (2^{-0.5})[-i|+\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}\rangle + |-\frac{1}{2}; +\frac{1}{2}\rangle], (2^{-0.5})[+i|+\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{1}{2}\rangle + |-\frac{1}{2}; +\frac{1}{2}\rangle], |-\frac{1}{2}; -\frac{3}{2}\rangle\}$ basis. Solving the system makes possible to receive an information on the fine structure splitting of the exciton ground state. For a dot with the




FIG. 1: Evolution of the exciton characters of the ground state with in-plane biaxial strain at $|\gamma| = 0.1$ (a). Energy splitting between the optically allowed excitonic states at $|\gamma| = 0.1$ (b).

”mainly LH” exciton ground state ($\delta_d > 1$), the energy splitting between the optically active excitons is approximated by

$$E_{B_z} - E_{B_{x,y}} \simeq \Delta_{st} \left[1 - \left(\frac{2\gamma\delta_d}{\delta_d - 1} \right)^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

Here the energy E_{B_z} and $E_{B_{x,y}}$ refers to the z -polarized exciton and the exciton doublet polarized in the growth plane of a dot, respectively, and the exchange energy is Δ_{st} . To illustrate, in Fig. 1b is shown the (relative) energy splitting Eq.(5) as a function of dimensionless parameter δ_d at $|\gamma| = 0.1$ for a dot with the LH exciton character $P_{lh} \gtrsim 0.88$ (corresponding to $\delta_d \gtrsim 1.3$). It is seen that the energy distance between the high-energy exciton B_z and the low-energy doublet $B_{x,y}$ grows with an increase of a strain and limits to Δ_{st} at $\delta_d \gg 1$, as expected for the ”pure” LH exciton [3]. These results are similar to experimental findings and theoretical calculations from Ref.[1].

For the in-plane polarized doublet in a strained dot, in Fig. 2 we plot a degree of the linear polarization given by Eq.(2) as a function of the LH exciton character P_{lh} . Since a contribution of the HH exciton to recombination is (three times) larger than a contribution of the LH exciton, the calculated curve is not symmetric with respect to $P_{lh} \leftrightarrow 1 - P_{lh} = P_{hh}$ replacement and the polarization rate shows a sharp falling at $P_{lh} \rightarrow 1$. A speed with which the ground state becomes the almost pure LH exciton depends on a degree of the HH-LH coupling. Indeed, according to Eq.(4), for the tensile strain the LH exciton character limits to $P_{lh} \simeq 1 - (\varrho/\Delta_{lh}^{(-)})^2$ at $\delta_d > 1$, where $\varrho = |\gamma|E_d$ and $\Delta_{lh}^{(-)} = E_d - \Delta_{lh}^{(0)} > 0$. Obviously,




FIG. 2: Degree of the linear polarization as a function of the LH exciton character.

the HH exciton character in this case is $P_{hh} \simeq (\varrho/\Delta_{th}^{(-)})^2$.

In this regard, an application of results from Ref.[2] to (at least) the tensile strained dots is, evidently, not correct. Remember that for the ground state in a quantum dot, the exciton characters are presented in Ref.[2] by $P_{lh} = \beta^2$ and $P_{hh} = 1 - \beta^2$ with $\beta = \varrho_s/\sqrt{\Delta_{HL}^2 + \varrho_s^2}$ (formula (1), where Δ_{HL} and ϱ_s denotes the valence band splitting and the coupling amplitude, respectively). These results, written down in a weak mixing limit, are able to describe in general the effects of a weak intrinsic anisotropy and/or an external compressive strain. For tensile strained dots, however, formal equating of the "mixing parameter" β to unity (to obtain for the polarization rate the desired result $C = 0$) means a very strong coupling limit and is not adequate to the real physical scenario described above.

On the contrary, to minimize the optical anisotropy effect in a strained quantum dot with a dominant light-hole exciton ground state, the applied (tensile) strain is required to be highly isotropic in the growth plane of a dot. Indeed, even if the exciton ground state has about 95% LH character a degree of the linear polarization is calculated from Eq.(2) to be $C \approx 0.6$. Note that isotropic strain is also desirable to avoid the strain-induced source of the spin relaxation within the exciton ground state limiting the generation of single photons from a dot [5].

Strictly speaking, even in a fully isotropic case the HH and LH exciton states, those with the same momentum $J_z = 1(-1)$, are coupled by the short-range exchange interaction

(evidently, the heavy- and light-hole valence band states experience any such mixing). This kind of a coupling provides a smooth switching from the HH to LH exciton in the (tensile) strained dot as before, but preserves the circular polarization of the exciton ground state. It is possible that such a scenario is relevant for the strained quantum dot reported in Ref.[1], in which a negligible degree of the linear polarization $C = 0.01$ was measured (inset 3 in Fig. S17). In any case this extremely small polarization rate points to a very weak LH-HH coupling and the almost pure LH exciton ground state.

-
- [1] Y.H.Huo et al, Nature Physics **10**, 46 (2014).
 - [2] C. Tonin et al, PRB **85**, 155303 (2012).
 - [3] Al. L. Efros et al, Phys.Rev.B **54**, 4843 (1996).
 - [4] Y. Léger et al, PRB **76**, 045331 (2007).
 - [5] M. Reischle et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 146402 (2008) and references therein.