

SINGULAR VIRASORO VECTORS AND LIE ALGEBRA COHOMOLOGY

DMITRI MILLIONSCHIKOV

ABSTRACT. We present an explicit formula for a new family of Virasoro singular vectors. As a corollary we get formulas for differentials of Feigin-Fuchs-Rocha-Carridi-Wallach resolution of the the positive nilpotent part of Virasoro (or Witt) algebra L_1 .

INTRODUCTION

The main goal of the paper is to present explicit formulae for all differentials δ_k of the Feigin-Fuchs-Rocha-Carridi-Wallach-resolution ([6], [13]), a free resolution of a one-dimensional L_1 -module \mathbb{C} . By L_1 we denote the positive part of the Witt algebra. The differentials δ_k are 2×2 -matrices whose elements belong to the universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$. More precisely, the matrix δ_k can be expressed by means of singular vectors $S_{p,q}(t)v$ in Verma modules over the Virasoro Lie algebra (Virasoro singular vectors $S_{p,q}(t) \in U(L_1)$), t is a complex parameter:

$$\delta_1 = (S_{1,1}(-\frac{3}{2}), S_{1,2}(-\frac{3}{2})), \delta_k = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3k+1}(-\frac{3}{2}) & S_{2k+1,2}(-\frac{3}{2}) \\ -S_{2k+1,1}(-\frac{3}{2}) & -S_{1,3k+2}(-\frac{3}{2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

It is easy to find the first few singular vectors, for instance

$$S_{1,1}(t) = e_1, S_{1,2}(t) = e_1^2 + t^{-1}e_2, S_{3,1}(t) = e_1^3 + 4te_2e_1 + (4t^2 + 2t)e_3.$$

However, further computational complexity starts to increase exponentially. Fuchs and Feigin proposed to look for the operators $S_{p,q}(t)$ as

$$(1) \quad S_{p,q}(t) = e_1^{pq} + \sum_{\substack{pq \geq i_1 \geq \dots \geq i_s \geq 1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_s = pq}} P_{p,q}^{i_1, \dots, i_s}(t) e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s},$$

Date: 26 May, 2014.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B56; 17B68.

Key words and phrases. singular vectors, graded Lie algebras, free resolution, representation, cohomology.

Supported by the grant of Russian Scientific Foundation N 14-11-00414.

where $P_{p,q}^{i_1, \dots, i_s}(t)$ are Laurent polynomials in the complex variable t . Feigin, Fuchs and later Astashkevich had found some properties of these Laurent polynomials, however no explicit general formula have been found..

For a long time no one could get to write an explicit formula for the $S_{p,q}(t)$. At the end of 80s Benoit and Saint-Aubin [3] found a beautiful explicit expression for one family of singular vectors $S_{p,1}(t)$. Hence three of the four matrix elements of D_k we know (due to the property $S_{p,q}(t) = S_{q,p}(t^{-1})$), but the fourth element $S_{2k+1,2}(-\frac{3}{2})$ remained unknown. The key idea of the approach by Benoit and Saint-Aubin was the idea to consider expansions of $S_{p,1}(t)$ in all monomials

$$e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_s}, i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_s = pq,$$

which correspond to all unordered partitions of pq , not only to ordered partitions $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_s \geq 1$, as did Feigin and Fuchs. Of course this extends the formula for $S_{p,q}(t)$, a linear combination of this type is not unique, but in some cases (the calculation of the cohomology with different coefficients) it helps to get interesting combinatorial formulas [12].

Bauer, Di Francesco, Itzykson, Zuber [2] have found a very elegant proof of singularity of vectors $S_{p,1}(t)v$. Moreover, using the Benoit-Saint-Aubin formula as a starting point, Bauer, Di Francesco, Itzykson, Zuber [2] presented a complete and straightforward algorithm for finding all singular vectors. However, their algorithm encounters technical difficulties for $S_{p,q}(t)$, $p, q \geq 3$ and it is not still clear whether it is possible to get an explicit formula in general case by means of it. The Benoit-Saint-Aubin formula, examples $S_{2,2}(t)$ and $S_{3,2}(t)$ considered in [2] has allowed us to guess the general explicit formula for $S_{2,p}(t)$. We prove the singularity of vectors $S_{2,p}(t)v$ following [2] the proof for another family $S_{p,1}(t)v$.

1. SINGULAR VECTORS OF VERMA MODULES OVER VIRASORO LIE ALGEBRA

The Virasoro algebra Vir is infinite dimensional Lie algebra, defined by its basis $\{z, e_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and commutator relations:

$$[e_i, z] = 0, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad [e_i, e_j] = (j - i)e_{i+j} + \frac{j^3 - j}{12} \delta_{-i,j} z.$$

Vir is one-dimensional central extension of the Witt algebra W (the one-dimensional center is spanned by z).

Remark. In [5, 7, 8] the symbol L_1 denotes the "positive part" of the Witt algebra W (or the Virasoro algebra Vir), i.e. the algebra of *polynomial* vector fields on the line \mathbb{R} which vanish at the origin together with their first derivatives. We will use further the symbol L_1 for the notation of both the algebras. This will not cause the confusion because the cohomology $H^*(L_1)$ and $H^*(W_+)$ are isomorphic [4, 9].

A Vir -module $V(h, c)$ is called a Verma module over the Virasoro algebra if it is free as a module over the universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$ of the subalgebra $L_1 \subset Vir$ and it is generated by some vector v such that

$$zv = cv, \quad e_0v = hv, \quad e_iv = 0, \quad i < 0,$$

where $c, h \in \mathbb{C}$. As a vector space $V(h, c)$ can be defined by its infinite basis

$$v, e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v, \quad i_1 \geq i_2 \geq \dots \geq i_s, \quad s \geq 1.$$

A Verma module $V(h, c)$ is \mathbb{Z}_+ -graded module:

$$V(h, c) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{+\infty} V_n(h, c), \quad V_n(h, c) = \langle e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v, i_1 + \dots + i_s = n \rangle.$$

$V_n(h, c)$ is an eigen-subspace of the operator e_0 that corresponds to the eigenvalue $(h + n)$:

$$e_0(e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v) = (h + i_1 + \dots + i_s)e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v.$$

In addition to this, $zw = cw$ for all $w \in V(h, c)$.

Definition 1.1. A nontrivial vector $w \in V(h, c)$ is called singular if $e_i w = 0$ for all $i < 0$.

Remark. A subalgebra Vir^- which is spanned by $\{e_i, i < 0\}$ is multiplicatively generated by two elements e_{-1} and e_{-2} . Hence a vector $w \in V(h, c)$ is singular if and only if

$$e_{-1}w = e_{-2}w = 0.$$

A homogeneous singular vector $w \in V_n(h, c)$ with the grading equal to n generates in $V(h, c)$ a submodule that is isomorphic to $V(h + n, c)$.

It is not difficult to present first examples of singular vectors. At the first level $n = 1$ there is a singular vector $w_1 \in V_1(h, c)$ if and only if $h = 0$. Indeed the subspace $V_1(h, c)$ is one-dimensional and it is spanned by e_1v , but on the another hand

$$e_{-1}e_1v = 2e_0v = 2hv, \quad e_{-2}e_1v = 0.$$

A two-dimensional subspace coincides with the span of vectors e_1^2v and e_2v . The condition that the vector $w_2 \in V_2(h, c)$ is annihilated by

the operator e_{-1} is equivalent to the condition that (up to multiplication by a constant) the vector w_2 is equal to $e_1^2 v - \frac{2}{3}(2h+1)e_2 v$. On the another hand e_{-2} annihilates w_2 if and only if the parameters h and c of a Verma module $V(h, c)$ are related by

$$6h - \frac{2}{3}(2h+1)\left(4h + \frac{c}{2}\right) = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that the set of solutions of this equation can be parametrized in a following way

$$(2) \quad c(t) = 13 + 6t + 6t^{-1}, \quad h(t) = -\frac{3}{4}t - \frac{1}{2},$$

where $t \neq 0$ runs the complex numbers (or runs the reals, it depends on the task).

The last remark can be reformulated as follows: for any value of t there is a unique (up to multiplication by a constant) singular vector $w_2 = e_1^2 v + t e_2 v$, $w_2 \in V_2(h(t), c(t))$, where $h(t)$ and $c(t)$ are defined by equations (1).

Theorem 1.2 ([11], [6, 7]). *In the Verma module $V(h, c)$ there is a singular vector $w \in V_n(h, c)$ with the grading not higher than n if and only if, when two natural numbers p and q can be found and also a complex number t such that*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} pq &\leq n, \quad c = c(t) = 13 + 6t + 6t^{-1}, \\ h &= h_{p,q}(t) = \frac{1-p^2}{4}t + \frac{1-pq}{2} + \frac{1-q^2}{4}t^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the following assertion holds [10]: with the fixed natural numbers p and q and with an arbitrary complex number t the Verma module $V(h_{p,q}(t), c(t))$ contains a singular vector $w_{p,q}(t)$ of degree pq , moreover the vector $w_{p,q}(t)$ is determined unambiguously up to a multiplication by some scalar:

$$w_{p,q}(t) = S_{p,q}(t)v = \sum_{|I|=pq} P_{p,q}^I(t)e_I v = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_s=pq} P_{p,q}^{i_1,\dots,i_s}(t)e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v,$$

where $S_{p,q}(t)$ denotes some element of the universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$. The coefficients $P_{p,q}^I(t)$ depend polynomially on t and t^{-1} . We assume that the coefficient $P_{p,q}^{1,\dots,1}(t)$ is equal to one. Obviously that $S_{p,q}(t) = S_{q,p}(t^{-1})$.

Theorem 1.3 (Benoit, Saint-Aubin).

$$(4) \quad S_{p,1}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_s \\ i_1 + \dots + i_s = p}} c_p(i_1, \dots, i_s) t^{p-s} e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s}.$$

where the sums are all over all partitions of p by positive numbers without any ordering restriction, and the coefficients $c_p(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ are defined by the formulas

$$(5) \quad c_p(i_1, \dots, i_s) = \frac{(p-1)!^2}{\prod_{l=1}^{s-1} \left((\sum_{q=1}^l i_q)(p - \sum_{q=1}^l i_q) \right)}$$

Example 1.4.

$$S_{1,1}(t) = e_1, \quad S_{2,1}(t) = e_1^2 + te_2, \quad S_{3,1}(t) = e_1^3 + t(2e_1e_2 + 2e_2e_1) + 4t^2e_3.$$

Theorem 1.5. Let V be a Verma module over the Virasoro algebra Vir . V generated by the vector v and such that V corresponds to the (complex) parameter t : with

$$c=13+6t+6t^{-1}, \quad h=-\frac{(p-1+t)(t^{-1}(p+1)+3)}{4}.$$

Let consider an element of universal enveloping algebra $U(L_1)$ defined by the formula

$$(6) \quad S_{2,p}(t) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_s \\ i_1 + \dots + i_s = 2p}} f_p(i_1, \dots, i_s) e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s}.$$

where the sums are all over all partitions of $2p$ by positive numbers without any ordering restriction, and the coefficients (that are rational functions on t) $f_p(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ are defined by the formulas

$$(7) \quad f_p(i_1, \dots, i_s) = \frac{(2p-1)!^2 (2t)^{s-2p} \prod_{r=1}^{2p-1} (p-t-r) \prod_{m=1}^s \left(i_m(2t+1) + 2(p-t - \sum_{n=1}^m i_n) \right)}{\prod_{l=0}^{2p-1} (2p-1-2l) \prod_{l=1}^{s-1} \left((\sum_{n=1}^l i_n)(2p - \sum_{n=1}^l i_n)(p-t - \sum_{n=1}^l i_n) \right)}.$$

Then $S_{2,p}(t)v$ is a singular vector of V :

$$(8) \quad e_{-k} S_{2,p} v = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For instance $S_{2,1} = e_1^2 + te_2$ and

$$(9) \quad S_{2,2}(t) = e_1^4 + 4te_1e_2e_1 + \frac{(1-t^2)}{t}(e_1^2e_2 + e_2e_1^2) + \frac{(1-t^2)^2}{t^2}e_2^2 + \\ + \frac{(1+t)(4t-1)}{t}e_1e_3 + \frac{(1-t)(4t+1)}{t}e_3e_1 + \frac{3(1-t^2)}{t}e_4.$$

Proof. We define the sequence of vectors $v^{(0)}, v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(2p-1)}$ by

$$v^{(0)} = v$$

and the following recursive relation for $k = 1, \dots, 2p-1$:

$$(10) \quad v^{(k)} = \frac{2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1) + 2k - 2p - 1) e_j v^{(k-j)}}{k(2p-k)(k-p-t)}$$

For instance

$$(11) \quad v^{(1)} = \frac{2t(1-2p)e_1v^{(0)}}{(2p-1)(1-p-t)}, \\ v^{(2)} = \frac{2t(2(t+1-p)e_2v^{(0)} + (3-2p)e_1v^{(1)})}{2(2p-2)(2-p-t)}.$$

After that we define the vector $w \in V_{2p}$ by the formula:

$$(12) \quad w = 2t \sum_{j=1}^{2p} ((j-1)(2t-1) + 2p - 1) e_j v^{(2p-j)}.$$

Lemma 1.6.

$$(13) \quad e_{-1}v^{(k)} = -(p+2-k+3t)v^{(k-1)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, 2p-1.$$

and the vector w annihilates the operator e_{-1} :

$$e_{-1}w = e_{-1} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^{2p} ((j-1)(2t-1) + 2p - 1) e_j v^{(2p-j)} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We will prove the following formula for $k = 1, \dots, 2p$:

$$(14) \quad e_{-1} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1) + 2k - 2p - 1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\ = -(p+2-k+3t)k(2p-k)(k-p-t)v^{(k-1)}.$$

We proceed by recursion on k . The recursion base is

$$e_{-1}(2t(2-2p-1)e_1v^{(0)}) = 2t(1-2p)2hv^{(0)} = -(p+1+3t)(2p-1)(1-p-t)v^{(0)}.$$

The recursive step is the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned}
(15) \quad & e_{-1} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\
& = 2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) ((j+1)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)} + e_j e_{-1}v^{(k-j)}) = \\
& = 4t(2k-2p-1)e_0v^{(k-1)} + 2t \sum_{j=2}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (j+1)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)} + \\
& \quad - 2t \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (p+2-k+j+3t)e_jv^{(k-j-1)} = \\
& \quad = t(2k-2p-1)4(h+k-1)v^{(k-1)} + \\
& \quad - (p-k+1+3t)2t \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-3) e_jv^{(k-j-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In this chain of equalities we replaced $e_{-1}e_j$ by $(j+1)e_{j-1}+e_je_{-1}$ and used the formula for $e_{-1}v^{(k-j)}$ which we considered true for $j = 1, \dots, k$ by the induction hypothesis.

Then we shifted $j=j'+1$ the summation index in the sum

$$\sum_{j=2}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (j+1)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)}$$

and used the following equality

$$\begin{aligned}
(16) \quad & (j(2t-1)+2k-2p-1)(j+2) - \\
& - ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1)(p+2-k+j+3t) = \\
& = -(p-k+1+3t) ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-3).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of $v^{(k-1)}$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
(17) \quad & 2t \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-3) e_jv^{(k-j-1)} = \\
& = (k-1)(2p-k+1)(k-1-p-t)v^{(k-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that

$$4t(h+k-1) = (-(p-1+t)(p+1+3t)+4kt-4t)$$

and finish our calculations.

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{-1} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1) + 2k - 2p - 1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\
(18) \quad & = (2k - 2p - 1) (-(p-1+t)(n+1+3t) + 4kt - 4t) v^{(k-1)} - \\
& - (p-k+1+3t)(k-1)(2p-k+1)(k-1-p-t) v^{(k-1)} = \\
& = -k(2p-k)(k-p-t)(p+2-k+3t) v^{(k-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we divide the resulting equality (18) by $k(2p-k)(k-p-t)$, we obtain the required formula

$$e_{-1} v^{(k)} = -(p+2-k+3t) v^{(k-1)}.$$

Taking $k = 2p$ we will get

$$e_{-1} w = 0.$$

□

Lemma 1.7.

(19)

$$e_{-2} v^{(1)} = 0, \quad e_{-2} v^{(k)} = -(p+4-k+5t) v^{(k-2)}, \quad k = 2, \dots, 2p-1.$$

and the vector w annihilates the operator e_{-2} :

$$e_{-2} w = e_{-2} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^{2p} ((j-1)(2t-1) - 1) e_j v^{(2p-j)} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. We recursively prove this formula for $k = 2, \dots, 2p$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad & e_{-2} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1) + 2k - 2p - 1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\
& = -(p+4-k+5t) k(2p-k)(k-p-t) v^{(k-2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The starting point $k=1$ is evident

$$e_{-2} (2t(2-2p-1)e_1 v^{(0)}) = 2t(1-2p)(3e_{-1} v^{(0)} + e_1 e_{-2} v^{(0)}) = 0.$$

Now we take $k=2$.

(21)

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{-2} (2t(2t+2k-2p-2)e_2 v^{(0)} + 2t(3-2p)e_1 v^{(1)}) = \\
& = 2t(3-2p)3e_{-1} v^{(1)} + 2t(2t+2-2p)(4e_0 + \frac{1}{2}z) v^{(0)} = \\
& = 2t \left(-3(3-2p)(p+1+3t) + 2(t+1-p)(4h + \frac{13}{2} + 3t + 3t^{-1}) \right) v^{(0)} = \\
& = -(p+2+5t)2(2p-2)(2-p-t) v^{(0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let consider the recursive step.

$$\begin{aligned}
(22) \quad & e_{-2} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\
& = 2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) ((j+2)e_{j-2}v^{(k-j)} + e_j e_{-2}v^{(k-j)}) = \\
& = 6t(2k-2p-1)e_{-1}v^{(k-1)} + 4t(t+1-p)(4(h+k-2) + \frac{13}{2} + 3t+3t^{-1})v^{(k-2)} + \\
& \quad + 2t \sum_{j=3}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (j+2)e_{j-2}v^{(k-j)} - \\
& \quad - 2t \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (p+4-k+j+5t)e_j v^{(k-j-2)} =
\end{aligned}$$

We used the induction assumption for $e_{-2}v^{(k-j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, k-2$.

Now we shift the summation index $j' = j-2$ in the sum

$$\sum_{j=3}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (j+2)e_{j-1}v^{(k-j)},$$

replace $3e_{-1}v^{(k-1)}$ by $-(p+3-k+3t)v^{(k-2)}$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(23) \quad & e_{-2} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\
& = 2tQv^{(k-2)} + 2t \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} R_j e_j v^{(k-j-2)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q = -3(2k-2p-1)(p+3-k+3t) + 2(t+k-p-1) \left(4h+4k-8 + \frac{13}{2} + 3t+3t^{-1} \right)$$

and now we compute the coefficient R_j

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad & R_j = -((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) (p+4-k+j+5t) + \\
& \quad + (4+j) ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) = \\
& = -(p-k-2+5t) ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-5)
\end{aligned}$$

The first factor of R_j does not depend on j and moreover

$$(25) \quad 2t \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} R_j e_j v^{(k-j-2)} = -(p-k-2+5t)(k-2)(2p-k+2)(k-p-t)v^{(k-2)}$$

Hence

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & e_{-2} \left(2t \sum_{j=1}^k ((j-1)(2t-1)+2k-2p-1) e_j v^{(k-j)} \right) = \\ & = (2tQ - (p-k-2+5t)(k-2)(2p-k+2)(k-p-t)) v^{(k-2)} = \\ & = -k(2p-k)(k-p-t)(p+4-k+5t)v^{(k-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the resulting equality by $k(2p-k)(k-p-t)$ and obtain the required formula.

Taking $k = 2p$ in (26) we have

$$e_{-2}w = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of the theorem follows from two lemmas. The only one thing we still have to do is to present explicit formula for w .

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} w &= 2t \sum_{j_1=1}^{2p} ((j_1-1)(2t-1)+2p-1) e_{j_1} v^{(2p-j_1)}, \\ v^{(2p-j_1)} &= \sum_{j_2=1}^{2p-j_1} \frac{2t((j_2-1)(2t-1)+2p-2j_1-1)}{(2p-j_1)j_1(p-j_1-t)} e_{j_2} v^{(2p-j_1-j_2)}, \\ v^{(2p-j_1-j_2)} &= \sum_{j_3=1}^{2p-j_1-j_2} \frac{2t((j_3-1)(2t-1)+2p-2j_1-2j_2-1)}{(2p-j_1-j_2)(j_1+j_2)(p-j_1-j_2-t)} e_{j_3} v^{(2p-j_1-j_2-j_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proceeding further step by step we obtain the formula

$$(28) \quad w = \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_s \\ j_1 + \dots + j_s = 2p}} \frac{(2t)^s \prod_{r=1}^s \left((j_r-1)(2t-1) + 2p-1 - 2 \sum_{q=1}^{r-1} j_q \right)}{\prod_{m=1}^{s-1} \left(\left(\sum_{q=1}^m j_q \right) (2p - \sum_{q=1}^m j_q) (p-t - \sum_{q=1}^m j_q) \right)} e_{j_1} \dots e_{j_s} v.$$

We need to calculate the coefficient facing e_1^{2p} in the expansion of w :

$$w = \frac{(2t)^{2p} \prod_{k=0}^{2p-1} (2p-1-2k)}{(2p-1)!^2 \prod_{q=1}^{2p-1} (p-t-q)} e_1^{2p} v + \dots$$

Finally we get

$$S_{2,p}(t)v = \frac{(2p-1)!^2 \prod_{q=1}^{2p-1} (p-t-q)}{(2t)^{2p} \prod_{k=0}^{2p-1} (2p-1-2k)} w.$$

□

Example 1.8.

(29)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2,3}(t) = & e_1^6 + \frac{(4-t^2)}{3t} (e_1^4 e_2 + e_2 e_1^4) + \frac{8(1-t^2)}{3t} (e_1^3 e_2 e_1 + e_1 e_2 e_1^3) + 9t e_1^2 e_2 e_1^2 + \\ & + 3(4-t^2) (e_1^2 e_2^2 + e_2^2 e_1^2) + \frac{64(1-t^2)^2}{9t^2} e_1 e_2^2 e_1 + \frac{(4-t^2)^2}{9t^2} e_2 e_1^2 e_2 + \\ & + \frac{8(1-t^2)(4-t^2)}{9t^2} (e_1 e_2 e_1 e_2 + e_2 e_1 e_2 e_1) + \frac{(4-t^2)^2}{t} e_2^3 + \\ & + \frac{4(4-t^2)(1-t^2)(9-16t^2)}{9t^4} e_3^2 + \frac{6(1+t)(4t-1)}{t} e_1^2 e_3 e_1 + \frac{6(1-t)(4t+1)}{t} e_1 e_3 e_1^2 + \\ & + \frac{2(1+t)(2+t)(3-4t)}{3t^2} e_1^3 e_3 + \frac{2(1-t)(2-t)(3+4t)}{3t^2} e_3 e_1^3 + \\ & + \frac{16(1-t^2)(1+t)(2+t)(3-4t)}{9t^3} e_1 e_2 e_3 + \frac{16(1-t^2)(1-t)(2-t)(3+4t)}{9t^3} e_3 e_2 e_1 + \\ & + \frac{2(4-t^2)(2+t)(1+t)(3-4t)}{9t^3} e_2 e_1 e_3 + \frac{2(4-t^2)(2-t)(1-t)(3+4t)}{9t^3} e_3 e_1 e_2 + \\ & + \frac{2(4-t^2)(1-t)(4t+1)}{t^2} e_1 e_3 e_2 + \frac{2(4-t^2)(1+t)(4t-1)}{t^2} e_2 e_3 e_1 + \\ & + \frac{6(1+t)(2+t)(3t-1)}{t^2} e_1^2 e_4 + \frac{48(1-t^2)}{t} e_1 e_4 e_1 + \frac{6(1-t)(2-t)(3t+1)}{t^2} e_4 e_1^2 + \\ & + \frac{(4-t^2)(2+t)(1+t)(3t-1)}{t^3} e_2 e_4 + \frac{(4-t^2)(2-t)(1-t)(3t+1)}{t^3} e_4 e_2 + \\ & + \frac{4(1-t^2)(2+t)(8t-1)}{t^3} e_1 e_5 + \frac{4(1-t^2)(2-t)(8t+1)}{t^3} e_5 e_1 + \frac{20(1-t^2)(4-t^2)}{t^3} e_6. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, coefficients $f_p(i_1, \dots, i_s)$ facing monomials $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s}$ (that correspond to unordered partitions of $2p$) in the expansion of $S_{2,p}(t)$ are not uniquely defined, because these monomials are linearly dependent in V . There are, however, two coefficients $f_p(1, \dots, 1)$ and $f_p(2, \dots, 2)$ facing e_1^{2p} and e_2^p respectively which, as it is easily seen, are uniquely determined. Let us calculate $f_p(2, \dots, 2)$.

$$f_p(2, \dots, 2) = \frac{\prod_{q=1}^p (t^2 - (p+1-2q)^2)}{t^p}.$$

In particular, in our two previous examples we have

$$f_2(2, 2) = \frac{(t^2-1)^2}{t^2}, \quad f_3(2, 2, 2) = \frac{(t^2-4)^2 t^2}{t^3}.$$

It was proved in [1] that

$$S_{k,l}(t) = (k-1)!^{2l} e_k^l t^{(k-1)l} + \dots + (l-1)!^{2k} e_l^k t^{-(l-1)k},$$

where "..." denotes intermediate degrees in t . we see that our coefficient $f_p(2, \dots, 2)$ has prescribed asymptotic behavior with respect to t . Hence

$$S_{2,p}(t) = e_2^p t^p + \dots$$

which is consistent with our calculations.

2. SINGULAR VECTORS AND COHOMOLOGY

Now we are going to consider Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra with $c = 0$ that one can consider as Verma modules over the Witt algebra.

Proposition 2.1 (Kac [11], Feigin and Fuchs [6, 7]). *There is a singular vector w_n in the homogeneous subspace $V_n(0, 0)$ of the Verma module $V(0, 0)$ then and only then when n is equal to some pentagonal number $n = e_{\pm}(k) = \frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}$.*

It follows from the theorem 1.2 that if a Verma module $V(h, 0)$ (with $c=0$) has a singular vector $w_{p,q}(t)$ it implies that $t = -\frac{3}{2}$ or $t = -\frac{2}{3}$. We will fix the value $t = -\frac{3}{2}$ and we will write $S_{p,q}$ instead of $S_{p,q}(-\frac{3}{2})$ for convenience in the notations. Let us denote by $V\left(\frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}\right)$ a submodule in the Verma module $V(0, 0)$ generated by a singular vector with the grading $\frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}$. The submodule $V\left(\frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Verma module $V\left(\frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}, 0\right)$.

Proposition 2.2 ([13], [6]). *The system of submodules $V\left(\frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}\right)$ has the following important properties:*

- 1) *the sum $V(1) + V(2)$ is the subspace of codimension one in $V(0)$;*
- 2) $V\left(\frac{3k^2-k}{2}\right) \cap V\left(\frac{3k^2+k}{2}\right) = V\left(\frac{3(k+1)^2-(k+1)}{2}\right) + V\left(\frac{3(k+1)^2+(k+1)}{2}\right), k \geq 1.$

One can directly verify that the vectors $e_1 v$ and $(e_1^2 - \frac{2}{3}e_2)v$ are singular in the module $V(0, 0)$ with the gradings 1 and 2 respectively. Let consider a submodule $V(1)$ generated by $w_1 = e_1 v$. It is isomorphic to the Verma module $V(1, 0)$ and it contains a singular vector $S_{1,4}w_1$. A Verma module $V(2, 0) = V(2)$ (generated by the vector $w_2 = S_{1,2}v$) contains a singular vector $S_{3,1}w_2$. Vectors $S_{1,4}w_1$ and $S_{3,1}w_2$ are both singular and they at the level $n=5$ in the Verma module $V(0, 0)$. Hence they coincide

$$w_5 = S_{1,4}w_1 = S_{3,1}w_2.$$

Similarly, one can check the other equality

$$w_7 = S_{3,2}w_1 = S_{1,5}.$$

We see that singular vectors $w_5, w_7 \in V(1) \cap V(2)$ as well as the sum $V(5) + V(7)$ of submodules generated by w_5 and w_7 respectively:

$$V(5) + V(7) \subset V(1) \cap V(2).$$

The intersection $V(5) \cap V(7)$ contains two singular vectors

$$w_{12} = S_{1,7}w_5 = S_{5,1}w_7, \quad w_{15} = S_{5,2}w_5 = S_{1,8}w_7.$$

The inclusions of submodules $V\left(\frac{3k^2 \pm k}{2}\right)$ provides us with an exact sequence [13, 6, 7]:

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow & V\left(\frac{3(k+1)^2-(k+1)}{2}\right) \oplus V\left(\frac{3(k+1)^2+(k+1)}{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{k+1}} V\left(\frac{3k^2-k}{2}\right) \oplus V\left(\frac{3k^2+k}{2}\right) \rightarrow \dots \\ \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_3} & V(5) \oplus V(7) \xrightarrow{\delta_2} V(1) \oplus V(2) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} V(0) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where δ_k are defined with the aid of operators $S_{p,q} \in U(L_1)$:

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_{k+1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3k+1} & S_{2k+1,2} \\ -S_{2k+1,1} & -S_{1,3k+2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \geq 1; \\ \delta_1 \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= (S_{1,1}, S_{1,2}) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and ε is a projection to the one-dimensional \mathbb{C} -submodule generated by the vector v .

Theorem 2.3 ([13], [6]). *The exact sequence (30) considered as a sequence of L_1 -modules is a free resolution of the one-dimensional trivial L_1 -module \mathbb{C} .*

Corollary 2.4 ([6]). *Let V be a L_1 -module. Then the cohomology $H^*(L_1, V)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the following complex:*

$$(32) \quad \dots \xleftarrow{d_{k+1}} V \oplus V \xleftarrow{d_k} V \oplus V \xleftarrow{d_{k-1}} \dots \xleftarrow{d_1} V \oplus V \xleftarrow{d_0} V,$$

with the differentials

$$(33) \quad d_k \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,3k+1} & -S_{2k+1,1} \\ S_{2k+1,2} & -S_{1,3k+2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \geq 1;$$

$$d_0(m) = \begin{pmatrix} S_{1,1}m \\ S_{1,2}m \end{pmatrix}, \quad m, m_1, m_2 \in V.$$

Let consider the trivial one-dimensional module $V = \mathbb{C}$. All operators d_k are trivial and we obtain the famous Goncharova theorem.

Theorem 2.5 ([4]). *The space of q -cohomology $H^q(L_1, \mathbb{C})$ is two-dimensional for all $q \geq 1$, moreover it is the direct sum of its one-dimensional subspaces:*

$$H^q(L_1, \mathbb{C}) = H^q_{\frac{3q^2-q}{2}}(L_1, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^q_{\frac{3q^2+q}{2}}(L_1, \mathbb{C}).$$

The numbers $e_{\pm}(q) = \frac{3q^2 \pm q}{2}$ are called Euler pentagonal numbers.

Remark. The original proof of the properties of the free resolution of one-dimensional L_1 -module \mathbb{C} by Rocha-Carridi and Wallach [13] seriously used the Goncharova theorem.

Fuchs and Feigin studied L_1 -cohomology with coefficients in graded modules $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ only with one-dimensional homogeneous components V_i . We will define them with the aid of the special basis $f_i, V_i = \langle f_i \rangle$ ($j \in \mathbb{Z}$ in the infinite dimensional case and $j \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq j \leq n$ in the finite dimensional). For a given graded L_1 -module $V = \bigoplus_i V_i$ let us introduce the numbers $\sigma_{p,q}(j) \in \mathbb{K}$ such that

$$S_{p,q}f_j = \sigma_{p,q}(j)f_{j+pq}.$$

Example 2.6. The well-known L_1 -module $F_{\lambda,\mu}$ of tensor densities [9]:

$$e_i f_j = (j + \mu - \lambda(i + 1)) f_{i+j}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{K}$ are two complex parameters.

Corollary 2.7 ([6]). *Let $V = \oplus_i V_i$ be a graded L_1 -module over the field \mathbb{K} . Then the one-dimensional cohomology $H_s^*(L_1, V)$ is isomorphic to the cohomology of the following complex:*

$$(34) \quad \dots \xleftarrow{D_{k+1}} \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} \xleftarrow{D_k} \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} \xleftarrow{D_{k-1}} \dots \xleftarrow{D_1} \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K} \xleftarrow{D_0} \mathbb{K},$$

where the differentials D_k are assigned by the numerical matrices

$$(35) \quad D_k = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,3k+1} \left(s + \frac{3k^2-k}{2} \right) & -\sigma_{2k+1,1} \left(s + \frac{3k^2-k}{2} \right) \\ \sigma_{2k+1,2} \left(s + \frac{3k^2+k}{2} \right) & -\sigma_{1,3k+2} \left(s + \frac{3k^2+k}{2} \right) \end{pmatrix}, D_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1,1}(s) \\ \sigma_{1,2}(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fuchs and Feigin [6] have not found general explicit formulas for singular vectors of the type $S_{p,1}$ and $S_{p,2}$ but they managed however to find formulae for elements $\sigma_{p,q}(j)$ for modules $F_{\lambda,\mu}$ (using other arguments). But other graded L_1 -modules are also can be important for applications [12] and require explicit formulas for singular vectors $S_{p,1}$ and $S_{p,2}$. The main result of this article, together with the Benoit-Saint-Aubin theorem provides us with the formulae useful for cohomology calculations.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.B. Astashkevich, D.B. Fuchs, *Asymptotic of singular vectors in Verma modules over Virasoro Lie algebra*, Pacific Journal of Math., **177**, No 2 (1977), 201-209.
- [2] M. Bauer, Ph. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson, J.-B. Zuber, *Covariant differential equations and singular vectors in Virasoro representations*, Nuclear Physics, B362 (1991), 515-562.
- [3] L. Benoit, Y. Saint-Aubin, *Degenerate conformal field theories and explicit expressions for some null vectors*, Phys. Letters, **215(B)** (1988), 517-522.
- [4] L.V. Goncharova, *Cohomology of Lie algebras of formal vector fields on the line*, Funct. Anal. and Appl. **7:2** (1973), 6-14; **7:3** (1973), 33-44.
- [5] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, *Invariant skew-symmetric differential operators on the line and Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra*, Funct. Anal. and Appl., **16:2** (1982), 114-126.
- [6] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, *Verma modules over Virasoro algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1060** (1984), 230-245.
- [7] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, *Representations of the Virasoro algebra*, in: "Representations of Lie groups and related topics", Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **7**, Gordon & Breach, 1991, 465-554.
- [8] B.L. Feigin, D.B. Fuchs, V.S. Retakh, *Massey operations in the cohomology of the infinite dimensional Lie algebra L_1* , Lecture Notes in Math., **1346** (1988), 13-31.
- [9] D.B. Fuchs, *Cohomology of the infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Consultant Bureau, New York, 1987.
- [10] D.B. Fuchs, *Singular vectors over the Virasoro algebra and extended Verma modules*, Adv. Soviet Math. **17**, 65-74, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.

- [11] V.G. Kac, *Contravariant form for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Lect. Notes in Phys., **94(B)** (1979), 441–445.
- [12] D.V. Millionshchikov, *Algebra of formal vector fields on the line and Buchstaber's conjecture*, Funct. Anal. and Appl., (2009), **43**:4, 264–278.
- [13] A. Rocha-Carridi, N.R. Wallach, *Characters of irreducible representations of the algebra of vector fields on the circle*, Invent. Math., **72** (1983), 57–75.

MOSCOW STATE UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, LENINSKIE GORY 1, 119992 MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: million@higeom.math.msu.su