

# A CATEGORICAL EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN AFFINE YOKONUMA-HECKE ALGEBRAS AND SOME QUIVER HECKE ALGEBRAS

WEIDENG CUI

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the work of Rostam, we establish an explicit categorical equivalence between affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras and quiver Hecke algebras associated to disjoint copies of quivers of (affine) type  $A$ , generalizing Rouquier's categorical equivalence theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The representation theory of affine and degenerate affine Hecke algebras is very important, and has been studied extensively over the past few decades. Kazhdan and Lusztig [KaLu] and Ginzburg [CG] gave a classification and construction of irreducible representations of the affine Hecke algebra  $H_{q,k}$  when  $k$  is the complex number field and  $q$  is not a root of unity, which is known as the Deligne-Langlands-Lusztig classification. When  $H_{q,k}$  is of affine type  $A$ , a classification of irreducible representations of  $H_{q,k}$  was obtained in [AM] for any  $q$  and arbitrary sufficiently large  $k$ . When the parameter  $q$  is not a root of the Poincaré polynomial, Xi [Xi] proved that the classification established in [KaLu] remains valid. Lusztig [Lu1] proved that certain module category of an affine Hecke algebra is equivalent to its suitable counterpart of some graded affine Hecke algebra.

1.2. In order to compute the decomposition numbers of the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type  $A$  at an  $e$ th root of unity over  $\mathbb{C}$ , Lascoux, Leclerc, and Thibon [LLT] suggested the conjecture that the decomposition numbers can be computed using the canonical bases of quantum groups of affine type  $A$ . Later on, using the geometric results of Kazhdan-Lusztig and Ginzburg, Ariki [Ari] proved this conjecture by establishing a connection between the representation theory of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and the canonical bases of integrable highest weight modules over  $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_e(\mathbb{C})$ . Ariki's work turned out to be a beginning of some exciting developments which continue to this day, namely categorification.

In order to provide a categorification of quantum groups, Khovanov and Lauda [KhLa1, KhLa2] and Rouquier [Rou1] have independently introduced a new family of algebras associated to a generalized Cartan matrix, known as quiver Hecke algebras or Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. Moreover, they have shown that there exists an algebra isomorphism between the integral form of the negative half of a quantum group and the Grothendieck group of the additive category of finitely generated graded projective modules of a quiver Hecke algebra. Later on, when the Cartan matrix is symmetric, Varagnolo and Vasserot [VV] and independently Rouquier [Rou2] proved that Lusztig's canonical bases or Kashiwara's lower global bases correspond to the isomorphism classes of graded self-dual indecomposable projective modules under this isomorphism.

Besides, Khovanov and Lauda [KhLa1] suggested the cyclotomic categorification conjecture, which was proved by Brundan and Kleshchev [BK2] for type  $A_\infty$  and  $A_n^{(1)}$  using the isomorphism between the cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras of type  $A$  and the cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type  $A$  which was established in [BK1], and proved by Kang and Kashiwara [KK] for all symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras. Brundan and Kleshchev's isomorphism theorem was independently proved by Rouquier [Rou1, Corollaries 3.17 and 3.20], which allows us to construct an explicit  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on the cyclotomic Hecke algebras of type  $A$  and study their graded representation theory [BK2]. Rouquier [Rou1] also proved that certain module category of an affine and degenerate affine Hecke algebra is equivalent to its suitable counterpart of some quiver Hecke algebra of (affine) type  $A$ .

1.3. Yokonuma-Hecke algebras were introduced by Yokonuma [Yo] as a centralizer algebra associated to the permutation representation of a finite Chevalley group  $G$  with respect to a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  (of type  $A$ ) is a quotient of the group algebra of the modular framed braid group  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr B_n$ , where  $B_n$  is the braid group on  $n$  strands (of type  $A$ ). By the presentation given by Juyumaya and Kannan [Ju1, Ju2, JuK], the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  can also be regraded as a deformation of the group algebra of the complex reflection group  $G(r, 1, n)$ , which is isomorphic to the wreath product  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ . It is well-known that there exists another deformation of the group algebra of  $G(r, 1, n)$ , namely the Ariki-Koike algebra [AK]. The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  is quite different from the Ariki-Koike algebra. For example, the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type  $A$  is canonically a subalgebra of the Ariki-Koike algebra, whereas it is an obvious quotient of  $Y_{r,n}(q)$ , but not an obvious subalgebra of it.

Recently, by generalizing the approach of Okounkov-Vershik [OV] on the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , Chlouveraki and Poulain d'Andecy [ChP1] introduced the notion of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and gave explicit formulas for all irreducible representations of  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  over  $\mathbb{C}(q)$ , and obtained a semisimplicity criterion for it. In their subsequent paper [ChP2], they studied the representation theory of the affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}^d(q)$ . In particular, they gave the classification of irreducible representations of  $Y_{r,n}^d(q)$  in the generic semisimple case. In [CW], we gave the classification of the simple  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ -modules as well as the classification of the simple modules of the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic  $p$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $r$ . In the past several years, the study of affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras has made substantial progress; see [ChP1, ChP2, ChS, C, CW, ER, JP, Lu2, Ro].

1.4. Recently, Rostam [Ro] has shown that cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras are particular cases of cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras, generalizing the results of Brundan and Kleshchev. Largely inspired by the work of Rostam, we establish an explicit categorical equivalence between affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and quiver Hecke algebras  $H_n(\Gamma)$  associated to disjoint copies of quivers of (affine) type  $A$ , thus generalizing Rouquier's categorical equivalence theorem [Rou1, Theorems 3.16 and 3.19].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish many necessary results and then state the main theorem 2.10. In Section 3, we give the proof of the main theorem

by verifying the defining relations of  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and  $H_n(\Gamma)$ , respectively. In Section 4, we consider the degenerate case.

## 2. AN EQUIVALENCE OF MODULE CATEGORIES

**2.1. Quiver Hecke algebras.** Let  $r, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , and let  $k$  be a field which contains a primitive  $r$ th root of unity  $\zeta$ . Let  $p$  be the characteristic of  $k$  and consider an element  $q \in k^*$ . We denote by  $e$  the smallest integer such that  $1 + q + \cdots + q^{e-1} = 0$ , and set  $e := \infty$  if no such integer exists.

Assume first that  $q = 1$ . Given a subset  $I$  of  $k$ , we denote by  $I_1$  the quiver with set of vertices  $I$  and with an arrow  $i \rightarrow i + 1$  whenever  $i, i + 1 \in I$ .

Assume now that  $q \neq 1$ . Given a subset  $I$  of  $k^*$ , we denote by  $I_q$  the quiver with set of vertices  $I$  and with an arrow  $i \rightarrow qi$  whenever  $i, qi \in I$ .

Assume that  $I_q$  is connected. Note that when  $q = 1$ ,  $I_q$  has type  $A_\ell$  if  $|I| = \ell < p$  or  $|I| = \ell$  and  $p = 0$ ; type  $A_\infty$  if  $|I| = \infty$  and  $p = 0$ ; type  $\widetilde{A}_{p-1}$  if  $|I| = p > 0$ . When  $q \neq 1$ ,  $I_q$  has type  $A_\ell$  if  $|I| = \ell < e$  or  $|I| = \ell$  and  $e = \infty$ ; type  $A_\infty$  if  $|I| = \infty$  and  $e = \infty$ ; type  $\widetilde{A}_{e-1}$  if  $|I| = e > 0$ .

Let  $J = \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$ . We now define the quiver  $\Gamma = \coprod_{j \in J} I_q$ , which is  $r$  disjoint copies of  $I_q$ . Hence, the vertices of  $\Gamma$  are the elements of  $K = I \times J$  and there is an arrow between  $(i, j)$  and  $(i', j')$  in  $\Gamma$  if and only if there is an arrow between  $i$  and  $i'$  in  $I_q$  and  $j = j'$ . For  $k, k' \in K$ , we write  $k \rightleftharpoons k'$  whenever  $k \rightarrow k'$  and  $k' \rightarrow k$ ; this happens only when  $e = 2$ . Finally, we write  $k \not\rightleftharpoons k'$  if  $k \neq k'$  and if there is no arrow between these two vertices. The action of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  on  $K^n = I^n \times J^n$  is given by the diagonal action, that is,  $\sigma \cdot (\nu, j) = (\sigma(\nu), \sigma(j))$  for any  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$  and  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ .

**Definition 2.1.** The quiver Hecke algebra  $H_n(\Gamma)$  associated to  $\Gamma$  is a (possibly non-unitary)  $k$ -algebra with generators  $\{1_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in K^n}$ ,  $\{x_a\}_{1 \leq a \leq n}$  and  $\{\tau_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1}$  and relations:

$$1_\alpha 1_{\alpha'} = \delta_{\alpha, \alpha'} 1_\alpha, \quad \sum_{\alpha \in K^n} 1_\alpha = 1; \quad (2.1)$$

$$\tau_i 1_\alpha = 1_{s_i(\alpha)} \tau_i, \quad x_a 1_\alpha = 1_\alpha x_a; \quad (2.2)$$

$$x_a x_b = x_b x_a, \quad \tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| \geq 2; \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tau_i x_a 1_\alpha - x_{s_i(a)} \tau_i 1_\alpha = \begin{cases} -1_\alpha & \text{if } a = i \text{ and } \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}, \\ 1_\alpha & \text{if } a = i + 1 \text{ and } \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\tau_i^2 1_\alpha = Q_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}}(x_i, x_{i+1}) 1_\alpha; \quad (2.5)$$

$$\tau_{i+1} \tau_i \tau_{i+1} 1_\alpha - \tau_i \tau_{i+1} \tau_i 1_\alpha =$$

$$\begin{cases} (x_{i+2} - x_i)^{-1} (Q_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}}(x_{i+2}, x_{i+1}) - Q_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}}(x_i, x_{i+1})) 1_\alpha & \text{if } \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

for  $\alpha, \alpha' \in K^n$ ,  $1 \leq a, b \leq n$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ , and where

$$Q_{\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}}(u, v) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq \alpha_{i+1}, \\ v - u & \text{if } \alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_{i+1}, \\ u - v & \text{if } \alpha_i \leftarrow \alpha_{i+1}, \\ -(u - v)^2 & \text{if } \alpha_i \rightleftharpoons \alpha_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

By (2.4), we get the following useful identity in  $H_n(\Gamma)$ :

$$f\tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} = \begin{cases} \tau_i^{s_i} f 1_{(\nu, j)} + \partial_i(f) 1_{(\nu, j)} & \text{if } (\nu_i, j_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1}), \\ \tau_i^{s_i} f 1_{(\nu, j)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

for any  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$  and  $f \in k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ , and where

$$\partial_i(f) := \frac{f - s_i f}{x_{i+1} - x_i}.$$

In the rest of this section, we always assume that  $q \neq 1$ . For each  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we define the following element:

$$Q_i(\nu, j) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} q(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1) & \text{if } \nu_i = \nu_{i+1}, \\ (q^{-1}(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^{-1} & \text{if } \nu_{i+1} = q\nu_i, \quad \text{if } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ \frac{\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - q\nu_i(x_i + 1)}{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ f_{i,j} & \text{if } j_i \neq j_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $f_{i,j} \in \{1, q\}$  is given by

$$f_{i,j} = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } j_i < j_{i+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } j_i > j_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$P_i(\nu, j) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu_i = \nu_{i+1}, \\ \frac{(q-1)\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \text{if } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_i \neq j_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma can be easily checked by definition.

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$P_i(\nu, j) + s_i P_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) = 1 - q \quad \text{if } \nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1} \text{ and } j_i = j_{i+1}; \quad (2.8)$$

$$s_i P_{i+1}(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) = s_{i+1} P_i(s_{i+1} \cdot (\nu, j)) \quad \text{for any } (\nu, j) \in K^n. \quad (2.9)$$

The following lemma can also be easily checked by definition.

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$s_i Q_{i+1}(s_{i+1} s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) = s_{i+1} Q_i(s_i s_{i+1} \cdot (\nu, j)) \quad \text{for any } (\nu, j) \in K^n. \quad (2.10)$$

We also need the following key lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.**

$${}^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j) = \begin{cases} (1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) & \text{if } (\nu_i, j_i) \not\rightarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1}), \\ \frac{(1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j))}{x_{i+1} - x_i} & \text{if } (\nu_i, j_i) \rightarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1}), \\ \frac{(1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j))}{x_i - x_{i+1}} & \text{if } (\nu_i, j_i) \leftarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1}), \\ \frac{(1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j))}{(x_i - x_{i+1})(x_{i+1} - x_i)} & \text{if } (\nu_i, j_i) \rightleftarrows (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1}). \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof.* (1) If  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , then  $(\nu_i, j_i) \not\rightarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , in this case

$${}^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j) = f_{i,j}f_{i,s_i(j)} = q,$$

while  $(1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) = q$ .

(2) If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $(\nu_i, j_i) \not\rightarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j) &= \frac{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}{\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - \nu_i(x_i + 1)} \cdot \frac{\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - q\nu_i(x_i + 1)}{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)} \\ &= \frac{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)) \cdot (q\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))}{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) \\ &= q - \frac{(q - 1)^2\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)} - \frac{(q - 1)^2\nu_{i+1}^2(x_{i+1} + 1)^2}{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))^2} \\ &= \{q(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))^2 - (q - 1)^2\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)) \\ &\quad - (q - 1)^2\nu_{i+1}^2(x_{i+1} + 1)^2\} \cdot (\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))^{-2} \\ &= \frac{q\nu_i^2(x_i + 1)^2 + q\nu_{i+1}^2(x_{i+1} + 1)^2 - (q^2 + 1)\nu_i\nu_{i+1}(x_i + 1)(x_{i+1} + 1)}{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))^2} \\ &= \frac{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)) \cdot (q\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))}{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1))^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

(3) If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $(\nu_i, j_i) \rightarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , then  $\nu_{i+1} = q\nu_i$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j) &= \frac{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}{\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - \nu_i(x_i + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{q^{-1}(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1)} \\ &= \frac{q(x_{i+1} + 1) - q^{-1}(x_i + 1)}{(q^{-1}(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $\nu_{i+1} = q\nu_i$  in (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) &= \frac{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q^2\nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1)) \cdot (q\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q\nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1))}{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q\nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1))^2} \\ &= \frac{(q(x_{i+1} + 1) - q^{-1}(x_i + 1)) \cdot (x_{i+1} - x_i)}{(q^{-1}(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $(\nu_i, j_i) \leftarrow (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , then  $\nu_i = q\nu_{i+1}$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j) &= \frac{1}{q^{-1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1)} \cdot \frac{\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - q\nu_i(x_i + 1)}{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)} \\ &= \frac{q(x_i + 1) - q^{-1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}{(q^{-1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $\nu_i = q\nu_{i+1}$  in (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) &= \frac{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1)) \cdot (q\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q^{-1}\nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1))}{(\nu_i(x_i + 1) - q^{-1}\nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1))^2} \\ &= \frac{(q(x_i + 1) - q^{-1}(x_{i+1} + 1)) \cdot (x_i - x_{i+1})}{(q^{-1}(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1))^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(5) If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $(\nu_i, j_i) \rightleftarrows (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , then  $q = -1$  and  $\nu_{i+1} = -\nu_i$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j) &= \frac{1}{-(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{-(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(x_i + x_{i+1} + 2)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

by setting  $\nu_{i+1} = -\nu_i$  in (2.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) &= \frac{\nu_i(x_i - x_{i+1}) \cdot \nu_i(x_{i+1} - x_i)}{(\nu_i(x_i + x_{i+1} + 2))^2} \\ &= \frac{(x_i - x_{i+1}) \cdot (x_{i+1} - x_i)}{(x_i + x_{i+1} + 2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We are done. □

By (2.5) and (2.11), we get the following consequence: for any  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$ ,

$$(\tau_i^2)^{s_i}Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))Q_i(\nu, j)1_{(\nu, j)} = (1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j))1_{(\nu, j)}. \quad (2.13)$$

**2.2. Affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras.** Let  $q$  be an indeterminate and let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}][q, q^{-1}]$ . We consider  $k$  as an  $\mathcal{R}$ -algebra by mapping  $q$  to the invertible element  $q \in k^*$ .

**Definition 2.5.** The affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, denoted by  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ , is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -associative algebra generated by the elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}, X_1^{\pm 1}$ , in which the generators  $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  satisfy the following relations:

$$g_i g_j = g_j g_i \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ such that } |i-j| \geq 2; \quad (2.14)$$

$$g_i g_{i+1} g_i = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-2; \quad (2.15)$$

$$t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (2.16)$$

$$g_i t_j = t_{s_i(j)} g_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (2.17)$$

$$t_i^r = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (2.18)$$

$$g_i^2 = q + (q-1)e_i g_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad (2.19)$$

where  $s_i$  is the transposition  $(i, i+1)$ , and for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

$$e_i := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s},$$

together with the following relations concerning the generators  $X_1^{\pm 1}$ :

$$X_1 X_1^{-1} = X_1^{-1} X_1 = 1; \quad (2.20)$$

$$g_1 X_1 g_1 X_1 = X_1 g_1 X_1 g_1; \quad (2.21)$$

$$g_i X_1 = X_1 g_i \quad \text{for all } i = 2, \dots, n-1; \quad (2.22)$$

$$t_j X_1 = X_1 t_j \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (2.23)$$

We define inductively elements  $X_2, \dots, X_n$  in  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  by

$$X_{i+1} := q^{-1} g_i X_i g_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.24)$$

Then it is proved in [ChP1, Lemma 1] that we have, for any  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

$$g_i X_j = X_j g_i \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ such that } j \neq i, i+1. \quad (2.25)$$

Moreover, by [ChP1, Proposition 1], we have that the elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n, X_1, \dots, X_n$  form a commutative family, that is,

$$xy = yx \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \{t_1, \dots, t_n, X_1, \dots, X_n\}. \quad (2.26)$$

We also have the following identities (see [ChP2, Lemma 2.3]): for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

$$g_i X_{i+1} = X_i g_i + (q-1)e_i X_{i+1}, \quad (2.27)$$

$$X_{i+1} g_i = g_i X_i + (q-1)e_i X_{i+1}, \quad (2.28)$$

$$g_i X_i^{-1} = X_{i+1}^{-1} g_i + (q-1)e_i X_i^{-1}, \quad (2.29)$$

$$X_i^{-1} g_i = g_i X_{i+1}^{-1} + (q-1)e_i X_i^{-1}. \quad (2.30)$$

Let  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k = k \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and let  $M$  be a  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k$ -module. Given  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^n$ , we denote by  $M_\alpha$  the subspace of  $M$  on which  $X_a - \nu_a$  acts locally nilpotently for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and simultaneously,  $t_a - \zeta^{j_a}$  acts as zero for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_K$  be the category of finitely generated  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k$ -modules  $M$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in K^n} M_\alpha$ .

Given an object  $M \in \mathcal{C}_K$ , we can write  $M$  as the direct sum of its weight spaces (simultaneous generalized eigenspaces):

$$M(\nu, j) = \{v \in M \mid (X_a - \nu_a)^N v = (t_a - \zeta^{j_a})v = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq a \leq n \text{ and } N \gg 0\}. \quad (2.31)$$

Considering the weight space decomposition of the regular module, we deduce that there is a family  $\{e(\nu, j)\}_{(\nu, j) \in K^n}$  of mutually orthogonal idempotents in  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k$  such that  $e(\nu, j)M = M(\nu, j)$  for each  $M \in \mathcal{C}_K$ . In fact, each  $e(\nu, j)$  lies in the commutative subalgebra generated by  $X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_n^{\pm 1}, t_1, \dots, t_n$ , all but finitely many of the  $e(\nu, j)$ 's are zero, and their sum is the identity element in  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k$ .

By definition, we easily get the following equalities: for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$ ,

$$e_i e(\nu, j) = 0 \text{ if } j_i \neq j_{i+1} \text{ and } e_i e(\nu, j) = e(\nu, j) \text{ if } j_i = j_{i+1}. \quad (2.32)$$

From (2.27), (2.28) and (2.32), we immediately get the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** *For  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$  with  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , we have*

$$g_i X_{i+1} e(\nu, j) = X_i g_i e(\nu, j) \text{ and } X_{i+1} g_i e(\nu, j) = g_i X_i e(\nu, j). \quad (2.33)$$

We also have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *If  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$  with  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , then we have*

$$g_i e(\nu, j) = e(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) g_i. \quad (2.34)$$

*Proof.* From (2.17) and (2.33), we see that  $g_i e(\nu, j)$  maps  $M(\nu, j)$  to  $M(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))$  for any  $M \in \mathcal{C}_K$ . Thus, both  $g_i e(\nu, j)$  and  $e(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) g_i$  map  $M(\nu', j')$  to zero unless  $(\nu', j') = (\nu, j)$ , and they map each  $m \in M(\nu, j)$  to  $g_i m$ . We get (2.34).  $\square$

For  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , set

$$\Phi_i = g_i + (1-q) \sum_{\substack{(\nu, j) \in K^n \\ \nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1} \\ j_i = j_{i+1}}} (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1})^{-1} e(\nu, j) + \sum_{\substack{(\nu, j) \in K^n \\ \nu_i = \nu_{i+1} \\ j_i = j_{i+1}}} e(\nu, j).$$

Recall also that the following intertwining element introduced in [CW, §5.1]:

$$\Theta_i := g_i (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) + (1-q) e_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

**Lemma 2.8.** *For  $1 \leq i, k \leq n-1$  and  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$ , we have*

$$\Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i = \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1}, \quad \Theta_i \Theta_k = \Theta_k \Theta_i \text{ if } |i-k| > 1; \quad (2.35)$$

$$\Phi_i e(\nu, j) = e(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) \Phi_i. \quad (2.36)$$

*Proof.* The second identity in (2.35) can be easily proved. The first identity in (2.35) can be proved by making a lengthy but routine calculation. Here we provide a sketch of another proof. To prove it, it suffices to prove that  $\Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i e(\nu, j) = \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} e(\nu, j)$  for all  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$ , which can be proved by discussing the following five cases:

Case 1:  $j_i = j_{i+1} = j_{i+2}$ ;

Case 2:  $j_i = j_{i+1} \neq j_{i+2}$ ;

Case 3:  $j_i \neq j_{i+1} = j_{i+2}$ ;



Case 4:  $j_i = j_{i+2} \neq j_{i+1}$ ;

Case 5:  $j_i, j_{i+1}, j_{i+2}$  are all different.

By  $e_i e(\nu, j) = e_{i+1} e(\nu, j) = e(\nu, j)$ , Case 1 comes down to the case of affine Hecke algebra of type  $A$ , which is exactly [BK, (4.13)].

For Case 2, after a careful calculation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i e(\nu, j) &= g_i g_{i+1} g_i (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) e(\nu, j) \\ &\quad + (1 - q) g_i (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) g_{i+1} (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) e(\nu, j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} e(\nu, j) &= g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) e(\nu, j) \\ &\quad + (1 - q) g_i (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) g_{i+1} (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) e(\nu, j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Case 2 holds by the braid relation (2.15).

Case 3 holds similarly.

For Case 4, after a careful calculation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i e(\nu, j) &= g_i g_{i+1} g_i (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) e(\nu, j) \\ &\quad + (1 - q) g_i^2 (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) e(\nu, j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} e(\nu, j) &= g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) e(\nu, j) \\ &\quad + (1 - q) g_{i+1}^2 (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) e(\nu, j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Case 4 holds by the braid relation (2.15) and the fact that  $g_i^2 e(\nu, j) = g_{i+1}^2 e(\nu, j) = q e(\nu, j)$ .

For Case 5, after a careful calculation, we get that

$$\Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i e(\nu, j) = g_i g_{i+1} g_i (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) e(\nu, j),$$

and

$$\Theta_{i+1} \Theta_i \Theta_{i+1} e(\nu, j) = g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} (1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}) (1 - X_i X_{i+2}^{-1}) (1 - X_{i+1} X_{i+2}^{-1}) e(\nu, j).$$

Thus, Case 5 holds by the braid relation (2.15).

We now prove (2.36). If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$ , the identity follows from [BK, (4.14)]; if  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , the identity follows from (2.34).  $\square$

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.9.** *For each  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$ , we have*

$$\Phi_r X_s = X_s \Phi_r \text{ if } s \neq r, r+1; \quad (2.37)$$

$$\Phi_r \Phi_s = \Phi_s \Phi_r \text{ if } |r-s| > 1; \quad (2.38)$$

$$\Phi_r Q'_s(\nu, j) = Q'_s(\nu, j) \Phi_r \text{ if } |r-s| > 1; \quad (2.39)$$

$$\Phi_r X_{r+1} e(\nu, j) = X_r \Phi_r e(\nu, j) \text{ if } \nu_r \neq \nu_{r+1} \text{ and } j_r = j_{r+1}; \quad (2.40)$$

$$X_{r+1} \Phi_r e(\nu, j) = \Phi_r X_r e(\nu, j) \text{ if } \nu_r \neq \nu_{r+1} \text{ and } j_r = j_{r+1}; \quad (2.41)$$

$$\Phi_r^2 e(\nu, j) = \frac{(X_{r+1} - qX_r)(X_r - qX_{r+1})}{(X_{r+1} - X_r)(X_r - X_{r+1})} e(\nu, j) \text{ if } \nu_r \neq \nu_{r+1} \text{ and } j_r = j_{r+1}; \quad (2.42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_r \Phi_{r+1} \Phi_r e(\nu, j) = \\ & \begin{cases} (\Phi_{r+1} \Phi_r \Phi_{r+1} + q\Phi_r - q\Phi_{r+1})e(\nu, j) & \text{if } \nu_r = \nu_{r+2} = \nu_{r+1}, \\ (\Phi_{r+1} \Phi_r \Phi_{r+1} + Z_r)e(\nu, j) & \text{if } \nu_r = \nu_{r+2} \neq \nu_{r+1}, \text{ if } j_r = j_{r+1} = j_{r+2}, \\ \Phi_{r+1} \Phi_r \Phi_{r+1} e(\nu, j) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

where  $Z_r$  denotes  $(1 - q)^2 \frac{(X_r X_{r+2} - X_{r+1}^2)(X_r X_{r+1} - qX_{r+1} X_{r+2})}{(X_r - X_{r+1})^2 (X_{r+1} - X_{r+2})^2}$ .

*Proof.* The identities (2.37) and (2.38) are clear from definitions. The identity (2.39) follows from (2.37). The identities (2.40) and (2.41) follow from [CW, (5.2)] and the fact that  $\Phi_r e(\nu, j) = \Theta_r (1 - X_r X_{r+1}^{-1})^{-1} e(\nu, j)$  for  $\nu_r \neq \nu_{r+1}$  and  $j_r = j_{r+1}$ , or they follow from [BK, (4.17) and (4.18)]. The identities (2.42) and (2.43) follow from [BK, (4.19) and (4.20)].  $\square$

**2.3. An equivalence of categories.** Recall that  $\mathcal{C}_K$  is the category of finitely generated  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k$ -modules  $M$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in K^n} M_\alpha$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\Gamma^0$  the category of finitely generated  $H_n(\Gamma)$ -modules  $M$  such that for every  $\alpha \in K^n$ ,  $x_a 1_\alpha$  acts locally nilpotently on  $1_\alpha M$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ .

Now we can state the main theorem of this paper.

**Theorem 2.10.** *There is an equivalence of categories  $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_K$  given by  $M \mapsto M$ , and where for each  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^n$ ,  $t_a$  acts on  $1_\alpha M$  by  $\zeta^{j_a}$ ,  $X_a$  acts on  $1_\alpha M$  by  $\nu_a(x_a + 1)$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and  $g_i$  acts on  $1_\alpha M$  by  $\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - P_i(\nu, j)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .*

*The inverse functor  $\Psi : \mathcal{C}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^0$  is given by  $N \mapsto N$ , where for each  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^n$ ,  $1_\alpha$  acts on  $N$  by  $e(\nu, j)$ ,  $x_a$  acts on  $N_\alpha$  by  $\nu_a^{-1} X_a - 1$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and  $\tau_i$  acts on  $N_\alpha$  by  $\Phi_i Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} = (g_i + P'_i(\nu, j)) Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , where  $P'_i(\nu, j)$  and  $Q'_i(\nu, j)$  are defined by replacing  $x_i$  with  $\nu_i^{-1} X_i - 1$  in the expressions of  $P_i(\nu, j)$  and  $Q_i(\nu, j)$ .*

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we prove the main theorem 2.10, which is motivated by the work of [BK1] and [Ro].

*Proof of Theorem 2.10* We first verify that the actions under  $\Phi$  of the generators of  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k$  satisfy the relations (2.14)-(2.23).

The relation (2.14) easily follows from (2.3) and (2.4).

The relations (2.16) and (2.18) easily follow from the definition.

The relation (2.17) easily follows from the next fact:

$$\zeta^{j_b} P_a(\nu, j) = \zeta^{j_{s_a(b)}} P_a(\nu, j) \quad \text{for any } 1 \leq a \leq n - 1, 1 \leq b \leq n \text{ and } (\nu, j) \in K^n.$$

Next we check the relation (2.19), that is,  $g_i^2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} m = (q + (q - 1)g_i e_i) \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} m$  for all  $(\nu, j) \in K^n$  and  $m \in M$  with an  $M \in \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^0$ . To simplify notation for the remainder of

the proof, we no longer write the elements “ $m$ ” on the right hand side of all expressions, but remember it is always there. By definition, we have

$$g_i^2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = (\tau_i Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - P_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) P_i(\nu, j) + P_i(\nu, j)^2) 1_{(\nu, j)}.$$

If  $(\nu_i, j_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , by  $\tau_i^2 1_{(\nu, j)} = 0$ ,  $e_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = 1$  and  $\partial_i(qx_{i+1} + q - x_i - 1) = q + 1$  and (2.7), we deduce that

$$g_i^2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = (\tau_i(qx_{i+1} + q - x_i - 1) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - 2\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) + 1) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = ((q + 1) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - 2\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) + 1) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = (q + (q - 1)g_i e_i) \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)}.$$

If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ , by  $e_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = 1$ , (2.7), (2.8) and (2.13), we have

$$g_i^2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = ((\tau_i^2)^{s_i} Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) Q_i(\nu, j) - \tau_i(P_i(\nu, j) + s_i P_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)))) Q_i(\nu, j) + P_i(\nu, j)^2) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = ((1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) + (q - 1) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) + P_i(\nu, j)^2) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = (q + (q - 1)g_i e_i) \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)}.$$

If  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , by  $e_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = 0$ ,  $P_i(\nu, j) = 0$ , (2.7) and (2.13), we have

$$g_i^2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = (\tau_i^2)^{s_i} Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)) Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = (1 - P_i(\nu, j))(q + P_i(\nu, j)) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = q 1_{(\nu, j)} = (q + (q - 1)g_i e_i) \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)}.$$

To prove (2.21), it suffices to show that (2.24) holds. Since we have proved (2.19), it suffices to show that (2.27) holds.

If  $(\nu_i, j_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , by  $e_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = 1$  and (2.7), we deduce that

$$X_i g_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = X_i \diamond (\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - P_i(\nu, j)) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = \nu_{i+1}(x_i + 1) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - \nu_i(x_i + 1) P_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = (\nu_i \tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1) - \nu_i) Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - \nu_i(x_i + 1) P_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = \nu_i \tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1) Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - \nu_i(q(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1) + (x_i + 1)) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = \nu_i \tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1) Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - q \nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1) 1_{(\nu, j)},$$

and

$$(g_i + (1 - q)e_i) X_{i+1} \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = (\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - P_i(\nu, j) + (1 - q) \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = \nu_i \tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1) Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - q \nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1) 1_{(\nu, j)}.$$

If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ , by  $e_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = 1$  and (2.7), we have

$$X_i g_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = \nu_{i+1}(x_i + 1) \tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - \nu_i(x_i + 1) P_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} \\ = \nu_{i+1} \tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1) Q_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)} - \nu_i(x_i + 1) P_i(\nu, j) 1_{(\nu, j)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (g_i + (1 - q)e_i)X_{i+1} \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} \\
&= (\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - P_i(\nu, j) + (1 - q))\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)1_{(\nu, j)} \\
&= \nu_{i+1}\tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1)Q_i(\nu, j)1_{(\nu, j)} + \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)(1 - q)\left(1 + \frac{\nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}{\nu_i(x_i + 1) - \nu_{i+1}(x_{i+1} + 1)}\right) \\
&= \nu_{i+1}\tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1)Q_i(\nu, j)1_{(\nu, j)} - \nu_i(x_i + 1)P_i(\nu, j)1_{(\nu, j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , by  $e_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = 0$ ,  $P_i(\nu, j) = 0$  and (2.7), we have

$$X_i g_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = \nu_{i+1}\tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1)Q_i(\nu, j)1_{(\nu, j)},$$

and

$$(g_i + (1 - q)e_i)X_{i+1} \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = \nu_{i+1}\tau_i(x_{i+1} + 1)Q_i(\nu, j)1_{(\nu, j)}.$$

To prove (2.22), it suffices to prove (2.25), which easily follows from (2.4).

To prove (2.23), it suffices to prove (2.26), which immediately follows from the definition and (2.2).

Finally we need to check the braid relations (2.2). Without loss of generality we assume that  $i = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , and we need to show that  $g_2 g_1 g_2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = g_1 g_2 g_1 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)}$ , where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$ ,  $j = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$  and  $(\nu, j) \in K^3$ .

If  $j_1 = j_2 = j_3$ , we set  $r := \nu_1, s := \nu_2, t := \nu_3$ . We stop writing  $\diamond 1_{(\nu, j)}$  on the right hand side of all expressions and stop writing  $j = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$  in the expressions of  $Q_a(\nu, j)$  and  $P_a(\nu, j)$ , that is, we write  $Q_a(\nu)$  instead of  $Q_a(\nu, j)$  and  $P_a(\nu)$  instead of  $P_a(\nu, j)$  for  $a = 1, 2$ . By definition,  $g_2 g_1 g_2$  and  $g_1 g_2 g_1$  equal

$$\begin{aligned}
& -P_2(rst)P_1(rst)P_2(rst) + \tau_2 Q_2(rst)P_1(rst)P_2(rst) \\
& + P_2(srt)\tau_1 Q_1(rst)P_2(rst) - \tau_2 Q_2(srt)\tau_1 Q_1(rst)P_2(rst) \\
& + P_2(rts)P_1(rts)\tau_2 Q_2(rst) - \tau_2 Q_2(rts)P_1(rts)\tau_2 Q_2(rst) \\
& - P_2(trs)\tau_1 Q_1(rts)\tau_2 Q_2(rst) + \tau_2 Q_2(trs)\tau_1 Q_1(rts)\tau_2 Q_2(rst),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& -P_1(rst)P_2(rst)P_1(rst) + \tau_1 Q_1(rst)P_2(rst)P_1(rst) \\
& + P_1(rts)\tau_2 Q_2(rst)P_1(rst) - \tau_1 Q_1(rts)\tau_2 Q_2(rst)P_1(rst) \\
& + P_1(srt)P_2(srt)\tau_1 Q_1(rst) - \tau_1 Q_1(srt)P_2(srt)\tau_1 Q_1(rst) \\
& - P_1(str)\tau_2 Q_2(srt)\tau_1 Q_1(rst) + \tau_1 Q_1(str)\tau_2 Q_2(srt)\tau_1 Q_1(rst),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

respectively. We have to show that (3.1) equals (3.2). In order to show this, we compare various  $\tau$ -coefficients after commuting all  $\tau$ 's to the left using (2.7). Then we need to consider five cases.

*Case 1:  $r, s, t$  are all different.*

By (2.7), (3.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& - P_2(rst)P_1(rst)P_2(rst) + \tau_2 Q_2(rst)P_1(rst)P_2(rst) \\
& + \tau_1^{s_1} P_2(srt)Q_1(rst)P_2(rst) - \tau_2 \tau_1^{s_1} Q_2(srt)Q_1(rst)P_2(rst) \\
& + \tau_2^{s_2} P_2(rts)^{s_2} P_1(rts)Q_2(rst) - (\tau_2^2)^{s_2} Q_2(rts)^{s_2} P_1(rts)Q_2(rst) \\
& - \tau_1 \tau_2^{s_2 s_1} P_2(trs)^{s_2} Q_1(rts)Q_2(rst) + \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2^{s_2 s_1} Q_2(trs)^{s_2} Q_1(rts)Q_2(rst),
\end{aligned}$$

and (3.2) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& - P_1(rst)P_2(rst)P_1(rst) + \tau_1 Q_1(rst)P_2(rst)P_1(rst) \\
& + \tau_2^{s_2} P_1(rts)Q_2(rst)P_1(rst) - \tau_1 \tau_2^{s_2} Q_1(rts)Q_2(rst)P_1(rst) \\
& + \tau_1^{s_1} P_1(srt)^{s_1} P_2(srt)Q_1(rst) - (\tau_1^2)^{s_1} Q_1(srt)^{s_1} P_2(srt)Q_1(rst) \\
& - \tau_2 \tau_1^{s_1 s_2} P_1(str)^{s_1} Q_2(srt)Q_1(rst) + \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1^{s_1 s_2} Q_1(str)^{s_1} Q_2(srt)Q_1(rst).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $r, s, t$  are all different, we have  $\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1$ , and their corresponding coefficients are equal to each other by (2.10). The corresponding  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -coefficients and  $\tau_2 \tau_1$ -coefficients are equal to each other by (2.9). For the  $\tau_1$ -coefficients, it suffices to prove that

$${}^{s_1} P_2(srt)P_2(rst) - P_2(rst)P_1(rst) = {}^{s_1} P_1(srt)^{s_1} P_2(srt).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& {}^{s_1} P_2(srt)P_2(rst) - P_2(rst)P_1(rst) \\
& = \left( \frac{t(x_3 + 1)}{r(x_1 + 1) - t(x_3 + 1)} - \frac{s(x_2 + 1)}{r(x_1 + 1) - s(x_2 + 1)} \right) \cdot \frac{t(x_3 + 1)}{s(x_2 + 1) - t(x_3 + 1)} \\
& = \frac{-rt(x_1 + 1)(x_3 + 1)}{(r(x_1 + 1) - t(x_3 + 1))(r(x_1 + 1) - s(x_2 + 1))},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{s_1} P_1(srt)^{s_1} P_2(srt) & = \frac{r(x_1 + 1)}{s(x_2 + 1) - r(x_1 + 1)} \cdot \frac{t(x_3 + 1)}{r(x_1 + 1) - t(x_3 + 1)} \\
& = \frac{-rt(x_1 + 1)(x_3 + 1)}{(r(x_1 + 1) - t(x_3 + 1))(r(x_1 + 1) - s(x_2 + 1))}.
\end{aligned}$$

The  $\tau_2$ -coefficients can be handled similarly. Considering the constant coefficients, we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
& P_1(rst)P_2(rst)^2 + (\tau_2^2)^{s_2} Q_2(rts)Q_2(rst)^{s_2} P_1(rts) \\
& = P_2(rst)P_1(rst)^2 + (\tau_1^2)^{s_1} Q_1(srt)Q_1(rst)^{s_1} P_2(srt).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the following identities:

$${}^{s_2} P_1(rts) = {}^{s_1} P_2(srt),$$

$$(\tau_2^2)^{s_2} Q_2(rts)Q_2(rst) = (1 - P_2(rst))(q + P_2(rst)),$$

$$(\tau_1^2)^{s_1} Q_1(srt)Q_1(rst) = (1 - P_1(rst))(q + P_1(rst)),$$

we need to check that

$$\begin{aligned} & P_2(rst)^2(P_1(rst) - {}^{s_2}P_1(rts)) + (1-q)P_2(rst)^{s_2}P_1(rts) \\ &= P_1(rst)^2(P_2(rst) - {}^{s_1}P_2(srt)) + (1-q)P_1(rst)^{s_1}P_2(srt). \end{aligned}$$

By an explicit expansion, it is easy to see that the left hand side and right hand side are both equal to

$$(1-q)^3 \frac{st^2(x_2+1)(x_3+1)^2}{(t(x_3+1) - s(x_2+1))(t(x_3+1) - r(x_1+1))(s(x_2+1) - r(x_1+1))}.$$

*Case 2:*  $r = s \neq t$ .

*Case 3:*  $r \neq s = t$ .

*Case 4:*  $r = t \neq s$ .

As in the proof of *Case 1*, these three cases can be proved in exactly the same way as in the proof of [BK1, Theorem 4.3, p. 478-479]. We omit the details.

*Case 5:*  $r = s = t$ .

This case is left as an exercise in the proof of [BK1, Theorem 4.3, p. 479]. Here we shall give a brief proof. In this case, we have  $P_1(\nu) = P_2(\nu) = 1$ ,  $Q_1(\nu) = qx_2 + q - x_1 - 1$  and  $Q_2(\nu) = qx_3 + q - x_2 - 1$ . Moreover, By (2.7), (3.1) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & -1 + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) - \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) - \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \\ & - \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \\ = & -1 + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) - \tau_2 \tau_1 {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) Q_1(\nu) - \tau_2 \partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) Q_1(\nu) + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \\ & - \tau_2 \partial_2(Q_2(\nu)) Q_2(\nu) - \tau_1 \tau_2 {}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu) Q_2(\nu) - \tau_1 \partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) Q_2(\nu) \\ & + \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 {}^{s_2 s_1} Q_2(\nu) {}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu) Q_2(\nu) + \tau_2 \tau_1 \partial_2({}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu)) {}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu) Q_2(\nu) \\ & + \tau_2 \partial_2(\partial_1(Q_2(\nu))) {}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu) Q_2(\nu) + \tau_2 \tau_1 {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) \partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) Q_2(\nu) \\ & + \tau_2 \partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) \partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) Q_2(\nu), \end{aligned}$$

and (3.2) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & -1 + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) - \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) - \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \\ & - \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \\ = & -1 + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) + \tau_2 Q_2(\nu) - \tau_1 \tau_2 {}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu) Q_2(\nu) - \tau_1 \partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) Q_2(\nu) + \tau_1 Q_1(\nu) \\ & - \tau_1 \partial_1(Q_1(\nu)) Q_1(\nu) - \tau_2 \tau_1 {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) Q_1(\nu) - \tau_2 \partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) Q_1(\nu) \\ & + \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 {}^{s_1 s_2} Q_1(\nu) {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) Q_1(\nu) + \tau_1 \tau_2 \partial_1({}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu)) {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) Q_1(\nu) \\ & + \tau_1 \partial_1(\partial_2(Q_1(\nu))) {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) Q_1(\nu) + \tau_1 \tau_2 {}^{s_2} Q_1(\nu) \partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) Q_1(\nu) \\ & + \tau_1 \partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) \partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) Q_1(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $r = s = t$ , we have  $\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1$ , and their corresponding coefficients are equal to each other by (2.10). For the  $\tau_1$ -coefficients, it suffices to prove that

$$1 - \partial_1(Q_1(\nu)) + \partial_1(\partial_2(Q_1(\nu))) {}^{s_1} Q_2(\nu) + \partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) \partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

By an explicit calculation, we have  $\partial_1(Q_1(\nu)) = q+1$ ,  $\partial_1(\partial_2(Q_1(\nu))) = 0$ ,  $\partial_2(Q_1(\nu)) = -q$ ,  $\partial_1(Q_2(\nu)) = -1$ . Thus, (3.3) holds. The  $\tau_2$ -coefficients can be handed similarly. All the other coefficients are routine.

If  $j_1, j_2, j_3$  are not all equal, by definition, we have the following identity:

$$g_i \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = \begin{cases} (\tau_i Q_i(\nu, j) - P_i(\nu, j))1_{(\nu, j)} & \text{if } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ f_{i, j} \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we write  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  instead of  $((\nu_{i_1}, \nu_{i_2}, \nu_{i_3}), (j_{i_1}, j_{i_2}, j_{i_3}))$  or  $(j_{i_1}, j_{i_2}, j_{i_3})$ . We need to check that  $g_2 g_1 g_2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = g_1 g_2 g_1 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)}$ . Then there are four cases for consideration.

*Case 1:*  $j_1 = j_2 \neq j_3$ .

By (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_2 g_1 g_2 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} &= g_2 g_1 \diamond f_{2, (123)} \tau_2 1_{(123)} \\ &= f_{2, (123)} g_2 \diamond f_{1, (132)} \tau_1 \tau_2 1_{(123)} \\ &= f_{2, (123)} f_{1, (132)} (\tau_2 Q_2(312) - P_2(312)) \tau_1 \tau_2 1_{(123)} \\ &= f_{2, (123)} f_{1, (132)} (\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2^{s_2 s_1} Q_2(312) - \tau_1 \tau_2^{s_2 s_1} P_2(312)) 1_{(123)}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly, we have

$$g_1 g_2 g_1 \diamond 1_{(\nu, j)} = f_{2, (213)} f_{1, (231)} \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 Q_1(123) 1_{(123)} - f_{2, (123)} f_{1, (132)} \tau_1 \tau_2 P_1(123) 1_{(123)}.$$

By the assumption on  $j_1, j_2$  and  $j_3$ , we have  $\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1$ . Using the fact that  $f_{i, j} = f_{i+1, s_i s_{i+1} j}$  and (2.9) and (2.10), we see that the corresponding  $\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2$ -coefficients and  $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -coefficients are equal to each other in the above two expressions.

*Case 2:*  $j_1 \neq j_2 = j_3$ .

Similar.

*Case 3:*  $j_1 = j_3 \neq j_2$ .

By the hypothesis, we have  $\tau_1^2 1_{(123)} = \tau_2^2 1_{(123)} = 1_{(123)}$  and  $\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 = \tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1$ . Then this case can also be checked by a routine calculation as in *Case 1*.

*Case 4:*  $j_1, j_2, j_3$  are all different.

This case can be easily checked.

Next we verify that the actions under  $\Psi$  of the generators of  $H_n(\Gamma)$  satisfy the relations (2.1)-(2.6).

We can see that (2.1) holds by definition.

The relation (2.2) easily follows from (2.26) and (2.36).

The relation (2.3) easily follows from (2.26), (2.38) and (2.39).

Next we check the relation (2.4). If  $a = i + 1$ , we need to prove that

$$\tau_i x_{i+1} 1_{(\nu, j)} * n - x_i \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} * n = \begin{cases} 1_{(\nu, j)} * n & \text{if } (\nu_i, j_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all  $n \in N$  with an  $N \in \mathcal{C}_K$ . To simplify notation for the remainder of the proof, we no longer write the elements “\*n” on the right hand side of all expressions, but remember it is always there.

If  $(\nu_i, j_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i x_{i+1} 1_{(\nu, j)} &= (g_i + 1) Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} (\nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_{i+1} - 1) e(\nu, j) \\ &= ((\nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_i - 1)(g_i + 1) + \nu_i^{-1} (q X_{i+1} - X_i)) Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} e(\nu, j) \\ &= (x_i \tau_i + 1) 1_{(\nu, j)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i x_{i+1} 1_{(\nu, j)} &= \Phi_i Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} (\nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_{i+1} - 1) e(\nu, j) \\ &= (\nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_i - 1) \Phi_i Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} e(\nu, j) \text{ by (2.40)} \\ &= x_i \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i x_{i+1} 1_{(\nu, j)} &= g_i \cdot f_{i, j}^{-1} (\nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_{i+1} - 1) e(\nu, j) \\ &= (\nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_i - 1) g_i \cdot f_{i, j}^{-1} e(\nu, j) \text{ by (2.33)} \\ &= x_i \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $a = i$ , the proof is similar.

If  $a \neq i, i + 1$ , we have  $\tau_i x_a 1_{(\nu, j)} - x_{s_i a} \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} = 0$  by (2.37).

Since we have verified (2.4), we can conclude that the identity (2.7) holds. For the relation (2.5), by (2.2), we have

$$\tau_i^2 1_{(\nu, j)} = \Phi_i Q'_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))^{-1} (\tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)}).$$

If  $(\nu_i, j_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, j_{i+1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i^2 1_{(\nu, j)} &= (g_i + 1) (q \nu_{i+1}^{-1} X_{i+1} - \nu_i^{-1} X_i)^{-1} (\tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)}) \\ &= (g_i + 1) ((q(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1))^{-1} \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (q(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1))^{-1} \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} &= \tau_i (q(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^{-1} 1_{(\nu, j)} - (q + 1) \\ &\quad \times (q(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1))^{-1} (q(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^{-1} 1_{(\nu, j)}. \end{aligned}$$



Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i^2 1_{(\nu, j)} &= (g_i + 1)(\tau_i(q(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^{-1} 1_{(\nu, j)} - (q + 1) \\
&\quad \times (q(x_{i+1} + 1) - (x_i + 1))^{-1}(q(x_i + 1) - (x_{i+1} + 1))^{-1} 1_{(\nu, j)}) \\
&= (g_i + 1)((g_i + 1)Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1}(q\nu_i^{-1}X_i - \nu_{i+1}^{-1}X_{i+1})^{-1} - (q + 1) \\
&\quad \times (q\nu_{i+1}^{-1}X_{i+1} - \nu_i^{-1}X_i)^{-1}(q\nu_i^{-1}X_i - \nu_{i+1}^{-1}X_{i+1})^{-1})e(\nu, j) \\
&= (g_i + 1)(g_i - q)Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1}(q\nu_i^{-1}X_i - \nu_{i+1}^{-1}X_{i+1})^{-1}e(\nu, j) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $j_i = j_{i+1}$  and  $\nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1}$ , by (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i^2 1_{(\nu, j)} &= \Phi_i(Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)))^{-1} \tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} \\
&= \Phi_i(\tau_i^{s_i} Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j)))^{-1} 1_{(\nu, j)} \\
&= \Phi_i^2 Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1 s_i} Q'_i(s_i \cdot (\nu, j))^{-1} e(\nu, j).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - P'_i(\nu, j))(q + P'_i(\nu, j))e(\nu, j) &= (1 - \frac{1 - q}{1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}})(q + \frac{1 - q}{1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1}})e(\nu, j) \\
&= \frac{(qX_{i+1} - X_i)(X_{i+1} - qX_i)}{(X_{i+1} - X_i)^2} e(\nu, j) \quad (3.4) \\
&= \Phi_i^2 e(\nu, j) \text{ by (2.42),}
\end{aligned}$$

we see that (2.5) holds by (2.11).

If  $j_i \neq j_{i+1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_i^2 1_{(\nu, j)} &= g_i \cdot f_{i, s_i(j)}^{-1} (\tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)}) \\
&= f_{i, s_i(j)}^{-1} g_i \cdot g_i \cdot f_{i, j}^{-1} e(\nu, j) \\
&= (f_{i, j} f_{i, s_i(j)})^{-1} g_i^2 e(\nu, j) \\
&= q^{-1} \cdot q e(\nu, j) = 1_{(\nu, j)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we prove (2.6). Without loss of generality we assume that  $i = 1$  and  $n = 3$ , and we need to show that (2.6) holds for any  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^3$  with  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$  and  $j = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$ .

If  $j_1 = j_2 = j_3$ , we set  $i := \nu_1, l := \nu_2, k := \nu_3$ . We stop writing  $j = (j_1, j_2, j_3)$  in the expressions of  $1_{(\nu, j)}$ ,  $e(\nu, j)$ ,  $Q_a(\nu, j)$  and  $P_a(\nu, j)$ , that is, we write  $1_\nu$  instead of  $1_{(\nu, j)}$ ,  $e(\nu)$  instead of  $e(\nu, j)$ ,  $Q_a(\nu)$  instead of  $Q_a(\nu, j)$  and  $P_a(\nu)$  instead of  $P_a(\nu, j)$  for  $a = 1, 2$ . To show that (2.6) holds, it suffices to consider the following five cases.

*Case 1:  $i, l, k$  are all different.*

*Case 2:  $i = l \neq k$ .*

Case 3:  $i \neq l = k$ .

In these three cases, we need to show that  $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_11_\nu = \tau_2\tau_1\tau_21_\nu$ , which can be proved in exactly the same way as in the proof of [BK1, Theorem 4.2, p. 475] by using (2.43). We omit the details.

Case 4:  $i = k \neq l$ .

In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_1\tau_2\tau_11_\nu \\ &= \Phi_1\Phi_2\Phi_1((Q_1(ili)^{s_1}Q_2(lii)^{s_1s_2}Q_1(lii)^{-1})1_\nu) + \Phi_1^2(Q_1(ili)^{-1}(s_1\partial_2(Q_1(lii)^{-1}))1_\nu), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_2\tau_1\tau_21_\nu \\ &= \Phi_2\Phi_1\Phi_2((Q_2(ili)^{s_2}Q_1(iil)^{s_2s_1}Q_2(iil)^{-1})1_\nu) + \Phi_2^2(Q_2(ili)^{-1}(s_2\partial_1(Q_2(iil)^{-1}))1_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10), (2.42) and (2.43), we get that  $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_11_\nu - \tau_2\tau_1\tau_21_\nu = A + B - C$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (1-q)^2 \frac{(X_1X_3 - X_2^2)(X_1X_2 - qX_2X_3)}{(X_1 - X_2)^2(X_2 - X_3)^2} ((Q_1(ili)^{s_1}Q_2(lii)Q_2(ili)^{-1})1_\nu), \\ B &= \frac{(X_2 - qX_1)(X_1 - qX_2)}{(X_2 - X_1)(X_1 - X_2)} (Q_1(ili)^{-1}(s_1\partial_2(Q_1(lii)^{-1}))1_\nu), \\ C &= \frac{(X_3 - qX_2)(X_2 - qX_3)}{(X_3 - X_2)(X_2 - X_3)} (Q_2(ili)^{-1}(s_2\partial_1(Q_2(iil)^{-1}))1_\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $Q_2(lii)1_\nu = i^{-1}(qX_3 - X_2)e(\nu)$ , we get that

$$A = -i(1-q)^2 \frac{(X_1X_3 - X_2^2)X_2}{(X_1 - X_2)^2(X_2 - X_3)^2} ((Q_1(ili)Q_2(ili)^{-1})1_\nu).$$

Since

$$\partial_2(Q_1(lii)^{-1})1_\nu = \frac{Q_1(lii)^{-1} - s_2Q_1(lii)^{-1}}{x_3 - x_2} 1_\nu = \frac{Q_1(lii)^{-1} - s_1Q_2(ili)^{-1}}{x_3 - x_2} 1_\nu,$$

we have

$$B = \frac{(X_2 - qX_1)(X_1 - qX_2)}{(X_2 - X_1)(X_1 - X_2)} \left( \frac{Q_1(ili)^{-1}Q_2(ili)^{-1} - Q_1(ili)^{-1}(s_1Q_1(lii)^{-1})}{x_1 - x_3} \right) 1_\nu.$$

Similarly, we have

$$C = \frac{(X_3 - qX_2)(X_2 - qX_3)}{(X_3 - X_2)(X_2 - X_3)} \left( \frac{Q_1(ili)^{-1}Q_2(ili)^{-1} - Q_2(ili)^{-1}(s_2Q_2(iil)^{-1})}{x_1 - x_3} \right) 1_\nu.$$

Note that  $(x_1 - x_3)1_\nu = i^{-1}(X_1 - X_3)e(\nu)$ . By a direct computation we have the identity:

$$\begin{aligned} & -i(1-q)^2 \frac{(X_1X_3 - X_2^2)X_2}{(X_1 - X_2)^2(X_2 - X_3)^2} - \frac{i(X_2 - qX_1)(X_1 - qX_2)}{(X_1 - X_2)^2(X_1 - X_3)} \\ & + \frac{i(X_3 - qX_2)(X_2 - qX_3)}{(X_2 - X_3)^2(X_1 - X_3)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get that

$$A + B - C = -\frac{(X_2 - qX_1)(X_1 - qX_2)}{(X_2 - X_1)(X_1 - X_2)} \left( \frac{(Q_1(ili)^{s_1} Q_1(s_1 \cdot (ili)))^{-1}}{x_1 - x_3} 1_\nu \right) \\ + \frac{(X_3 - qX_2)(X_2 - qX_3)}{(X_3 - X_2)(X_2 - X_3)} \left( \frac{(Q_2(ili)^{s_2} Q_2(s_2 \cdot (ili)))^{-1}}{x_1 - x_3} 1_\nu \right).$$

By using (2.11) and (3.4), we deduce that this equals 0 if  $i \neq l$ ,  $1_\nu$  if  $i \rightarrow l$ ,  $-1_\nu$  if  $i \leftarrow l$ , and  $(-2x_2 + x_1 + x_3)1_\nu$  if  $i \rightleftharpoons l$ . Thus, (2.6) holds.

*Case 5:  $i = l = k$ .*

This case is left as an exercise in the proof of [BK1, Theorem 4.2, p. 476]. Here we shall give a brief proof. In this case, by (2.10), we have

$$\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 1_\nu = \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \Phi_1 ((Q_1(iii)^{s_2} Q_1(iii) Q_2(iii))^{-1} 1_\nu) \\ + \Phi_1^2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1} (s_1 \partial_2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1})) 1_\nu) + \Phi_1 (\partial_1 (\partial_2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1})) 1_\nu),$$

and

$$\tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 1_\nu = \Phi_2 \Phi_1 \Phi_2 ((Q_2(iii)^{s_1} Q_2(iii) Q_1(iii))^{-1} 1_\nu) \\ + \Phi_2^2 (Q_2(iii)^{-1} (s_2 \partial_1 (Q_2(iii)^{-1})) 1_\nu) + \Phi_2 (\partial_2 (\partial_1 (Q_2(iii)^{-1})) 1_\nu).$$

Using (2.10), (2.43) and the fact that  $\Phi_1^2 e(\nu) = (1+q)\Phi_1 e(\nu)$  and  $\Phi_2^2 e(\nu) = (1+q)\Phi_2 e(\nu)$ , we see that  $\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 1_\nu - \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 1_\nu = \Phi_1(D1_\nu) - \Phi_2(E1_\nu)$ , where

$$D = q(Q_1(iii)^{s_2} Q_1(iii) Q_2(iii))^{-1} + (1+q)Q_1(iii)^{-1} (s_1 \partial_2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1})) + \partial_1 (\partial_2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1})),$$

and

$$E = q(Q_2(iii)^{s_1} Q_2(iii) Q_1(iii))^{-1} + (1+q)Q_2(iii)^{-1} (s_2 \partial_1 (Q_2(iii)^{-1})) + \partial_2 (\partial_1 (Q_2(iii)^{-1})).$$

In order to show that  $\tau_1 \tau_2 \tau_1 1_\nu = \tau_2 \tau_1 \tau_2 1_\nu$ , it suffices to prove that  $D = E = 0$ . By a direct computation, we have

$$q(Q_1(iii)^{s_2} Q_1(iii) Q_2(iii))^{-1} = \frac{q}{(qx_2 - x_1)(qx_3 - x_2)(qx_3 - x_1)},$$

$$(1+q)Q_1(iii)^{-1} (s_1 \partial_2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1})) = \frac{q(1+q)}{(qx_2 - x_1)(qx_3 - x_2)(qx_1 - x_2)},$$

$$\partial_1 (\partial_2 (Q_1(iii)^{-1})) = \frac{q(x_1 + x_2 - qx_3 - q^2 x_3)}{(qx_2 - x_1)(qx_3 - x_1)(qx_1 - x_2)(qx_3 - x_2)}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $D = 0$ . Similarly, we have  $E = 0$ . Thus, (2.6) holds.

If  $j_1, j_2, j_3$  are not all equal, by definition, we have the following identity:

$$\tau_i 1_{(\nu, j)} = \begin{cases} (g_i + (1-q)(1 - X_i X_{i+1}^{-1})^{-1}) Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} e(\nu, j) & \text{if } \nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1} \text{ and } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ (g_i + 1) Q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} e(\nu, j) & \text{if } \nu_i = \nu_{i+1} \text{ and } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ f_{i,j}^{-1} g_i e(\nu, j) & \text{if } j_i \neq j_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity, we write  $(i_1, i_2, i_3)$  instead of  $((\nu_{i_1}, \nu_{i_2}, \nu_{i_3}), (j_{i_1}, j_{i_2}, j_{i_3}))$  or  $(j_{i_1}, j_{i_2}, j_{i_3})$ . We

need to check that  $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_11_{(\nu,j)} = \tau_2\tau_1\tau_21_{(\nu,j)}$ . Then there are four cases for consideration.

*Case 1:*  $j_1 = j_2 \neq j_3$ .

In this case, we need to consider the following two subcases.

♣ If  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tau_2\tau_1\tau_21_{(123)} \\
&= ((g_2 + (1-q)(1 - X_2X_3^{-1})^{-1})Q'_2(312)^{-1}e(312))(\tau_1\tau_21_{(123)}) \\
&= (g_2 + (1-q)(1 - X_2X_3^{-1})^{-1})(Q_2(312)^{-1}\tau_1\tau_21_{(123)}) \\
&= (g_2 + (1-q)(1 - X_2X_3^{-1})^{-1})(\tau_1\tau_2^{s_2s_1}Q_2(312)^{-1}1_{(123)}) \\
&= (g_2 + (1-q)(1 - X_2X_3^{-1})^{-1})(\tau_11_{(132)})(\tau_2Q_1(123)^{-1}1_{(123)}) \\
&= (g_2 + (1-q)(1 - X_2X_3^{-1})^{-1})(f_{1,(132)}^{-1}g_1e(132))(f_{2,(123)}^{-1}g_2e(123))(Q_1(123)^{-1}1_{(123)}) \\
&= f_{1,(132)}^{-1}f_{2,(123)}^{-1}(g_2g_1g_2 + (1-q)(1 - X_2X_3^{-1})^{-1}g_1g_2)(Q_1(123)^{-1}1_{(123)}) \\
&= f_{1,(132)}^{-1}f_{2,(123)}^{-1}(g_1g_2g_1 + (1-q)g_1g_2(1 - X_1X_2^{-1})^{-1})(Q_1(123)^{-1}1_{(123)}) \text{ by (2.33)} \\
&= f_{1,(132)}^{-1}f_{2,(123)}^{-1}g_1g_2(g_1 + (1-q)(1 - X_1X_2^{-1})^{-1})Q'_1(123)^{-1}e(123),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1\tau_2\tau_11_{(123)} &= (\tau_11_{(231)})(\tau_21_{(213)})(\tau_11_{(123)}) \\
&= f_{1,(231)}^{-1}f_{2,(213)}^{-1}g_1g_2(g_1 + (1-q)(1 - X_1X_2^{-1})^{-1})Q'_1(123)^{-1}e(123).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that  $f_{i,j} = f_{i+1,s_i s_{i+1} j}$ , we can get that  $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_11_{(123)} = \tau_2\tau_1\tau_21_{(123)}$ .

♣ If  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_21_{(312)} &= (g_2 + 1)Q'_2(312)^{-1}e(312), \\
\tau_11_{(123)} &= (g_1 + 1)Q'_1(123)^{-1}e(123).
\end{aligned}$$

We can get the desired identity by the same calculation as above.

*Case 2:*  $j_1 \neq j_2 = j_3$ .

Similar.

*Case 3:*  $j_1 = j_3 \neq j_2$ .

In this case, we also need to consider the following two subcases:  $\nu_1 \neq \nu_2$  and  $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ . Note that  $g_1^2e(123) = g_2^2e(123) = qe(123)$ . Then this case can also be checked by a routine calculation as in *Case 1*.

*Case 4:*  $j_1, j_2, j_3$  are all different.

This case can be easily checked.

It is obvious that  $\Phi \circ \Psi = \text{Id}$  and  $\Psi \circ \Phi = \text{Id}$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  establish an equivalence of categories.

## 4. THE DEGENERATE CASE

In this section, we consider the case  $q = 1$ . We introduce a degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, and establish an equivalence between its module category and its suitable counterpart for the quiver Hecke algebra. Since most of the calculations are entirely similar to the non-degenerate case, we shall not write them explicitly, and just state the main result.

Following [Ro, §5.1], we define the degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(1)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** The degenerate affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, denoted by  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(1)$ , is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -associative algebra generated by the elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_n$  in which the generators  $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  satisfy the following relations:

$$f_i f_j = f_j f_i \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ such that } |i-j| \geq 2; \quad (4.1)$$

$$f_i f_{i+1} f_i = f_{i+1} f_i f_{i+1} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-2; \quad (4.2)$$

$$t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (4.3)$$

$$f_i t_j = t_{s_i(j)} f_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (4.4)$$

$$t_i^r = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (4.5)$$

$$f_i^2 = 1 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1; \quad (4.6)$$

together with the following relations concerning the generators  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ :

$$y_i y_j = y_j y_i; \quad (4.7)$$

$$f_i y_{i+1} = y_i f_i + e_i; \quad (4.8)$$

$$f_i y_j = y_j f_i \quad \text{for all } j \neq i, i+1; \quad (4.9)$$

$$t_j y_i = y_i t_j \quad \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad (4.10)$$

where for each  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ ,

$$e_i := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s}.$$

Let  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k(1) = k \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \widehat{Y}_{r,n}(1)$  and let  $M$  be a  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k(1)$ -module. Given  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^n$ , we denote by  $M_\alpha$  the subspace of  $M$  on which  $y_a - \nu_a$  acts locally nilpotently for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and simultaneously,  $t_a - \zeta^{j_a}$  acts as zero for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ . Let  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_K$  be the category of finitely generated  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k(1)$ -modules  $M$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in K^n} M_\alpha$ .

Given an object  $M \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_K$ , we can write  $M$  as the direct sum of its weight spaces (simultaneous generalized eigenspaces):

$$M(\nu, j) = \{v \in M \mid (y_a - \nu_a)^N v = (t_a - \zeta^{j_a})^N v = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq a \leq n \text{ and } N \gg 0\}. \quad (4.11)$$

Considering once again the weight space decomposition of the regular module, we deduce that there is a family  $\{e(\nu, j)\}_{(\nu, j) \in K^n}$  of mutually orthogonal idempotents in  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k(1)$  such that  $e(\nu, j)M = M(\nu, j)$  for each  $M \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_K$ . In fact, each  $e(\nu, j)$  lies in the commutative subalgebra generated by  $y_1, \dots, y_n, t_1, \dots, t_n$ , all but finitely many of the  $e(\nu, j)$ 's are zero, and their sum is the identity element in  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}^k(1)$ .

The proof of the next theorem is entirely similar to that of Theorem 2.10, which requires extremely careful verification.

**Theorem 4.2.** *There is an equivalence of categories  $\bar{\Phi} : \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}_K$  given by  $M \mapsto M$ , and where for each  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^n$ ,  $t_a$  acts on  $1_\alpha M$  by  $\zeta^{j_a}$ ,  $y_a$  acts on  $1_\alpha M$  by  $x_a + \nu_a$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and  $f_i$  acts on  $1_\alpha M$  by  $\tau_i q_i(\nu, j) - p_i(\nu, j)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , where*

$$q_i(\nu, j) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} x_{i+1} - x_i + 1 & \text{if } \nu_i = \nu_{i+1}, \\ (x_i - x_{i+1} - 1)^{-1} & \text{if } \nu_{i+1} = \nu_i + 1, \\ \frac{x_{i+1} - x_i + \nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1}{x_i - x_{i+1} + \nu_i - \nu_{i+1}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \text{if } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } j_i \neq j_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$p_i(\nu, j) = \begin{cases} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu_i = \nu_{i+1}, \\ \frac{1}{x_i - x_{i+1} + \nu_i - \nu_{i+1}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} & \text{if } j_i = j_{i+1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } j_i \neq j_{i+1}. \end{cases}$$

The inverse functor  $\bar{\Psi} : \bar{\mathcal{C}}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\Gamma^0$  is given by  $N \mapsto N$ , where for each  $\alpha = (\nu, j) \in K^n$ ,  $1_\alpha$  acts on  $N$  by  $e(\nu, j)$ ,  $x_a$  acts on  $N_\alpha$  by  $y_a - \nu_a$  for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and  $\tau_i$  acts on  $N_\alpha$  by  $\varphi_i q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1} = (f_i + p'_i(\nu, j)) q'_i(\nu, j)^{-1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , where  $p'_i(\nu, j)$  and  $q'_i(\nu, j)$  are defined by replacing  $x_i$  with  $y_i - \nu_i$  in the expressions of  $p_i(\nu, j)$  and  $q_i(\nu, j)$ , and

$$\varphi_i = f_i + \sum_{\substack{(\nu, j) \in K^n \\ \nu_i \neq \nu_{i+1} \\ j_i = j_{i+1}}} (y_i - y_{i+1})^{-1} e(\nu, j) + \sum_{\substack{(\nu, j) \in K^n \\ \nu_i = \nu_{i+1} \\ j_i = j_{i+1}}} e(\nu, j).$$

**Remark 4.3.** We point out that there are some typos in [Rou1, Theorems 3.16 and 3.19] (also [Rou2, Theorems 3.11 and 3.12]). For example, let us look at [Rou1, Theorem 3.19]. We claim that when  $\nu_{i+1} = q\nu_i$ , the formula is not right, since it does not satisfy

$$T_i^2 \diamond 1_\nu = (q + (q-1)T_i) \diamond 1_\nu \quad (4.12)$$

Set

$$Q_i(\nu) = \begin{cases} (q^{-1}x_i - x_{i+1})^{-1} & \text{if } \nu_{i+1} = q\nu_i, \\ \frac{q\nu_i x_i - \nu_{i+1} x_{i+1}}{\nu_i x_i - \nu_{i+1} x_{i+1}} & \text{if } \nu_i = q\nu_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$P_i(\nu) = (q-1)\nu_{i+1}x_{i+1}(\nu_i x_i - \nu_{i+1}x_{i+1})^{-1} \text{ if } \nu_{i+1} \neq \nu_i.$$

When  $\nu_{i+1} = q\nu_i$ , if (4.12) holds, we deduce that

$$Q_i(s_i \cdot (\nu))^{s_i} Q_i(\nu) \tau_i^2 1_\nu = (1 - P_i(\nu))(q + P_i(\nu)) 1_\nu.$$

Then we must have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nu_{i+1}x_i - \nu_i x_{i+1})^{-1} (q\nu_{i+1}x_i - \nu_i x_{i+1}) (q^{-1}x_{i+1} - x_i)^{-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \\ & = q + (1-q)(q-1)\nu_{i+1}x_{i+1}(\nu_i x_i - \nu_{i+1}x_{i+1})^{-1} - (q-1)^2 \nu_{i+1}^2 x_{i+1}^2 (\nu_i x_i - \nu_{i+1}x_{i+1})^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $\nu_i$  with  $q^{-1}\nu_{i+1}$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & (q^{-1}x_{i+1} - qx_i)(x_{i+1} - x_i)(q^{-1}x_{i+1} - x_i)^{-2} \\ & = q - (q-1)^2x_{i+1}(q^{-1}x_i - x_{i+1})^{-1} - (q-1)^2x_{i+1}^2(q^{-1}x_i - x_{i+1})^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

After a careful calculation, we deduce that  $q + 2q^{-2} = q^{-3} + 2$ , which is a contradiction.

We also claim that when  $\nu_{i+1} = \nu_i$ , the action of  $X_i$  given in [Rou1, Theorem 3.19] or [Rou2, Theorem 3.11] is not right, since it does not satisfy

$$T_i X_i T_i \diamond 1_\nu = q X_{i+1} \diamond 1_\nu,$$

which is equivalent to

$$X_i T_i \diamond 1_\nu = (T_i + (1 - q)) X_{i+1} \diamond 1_\nu. \quad (4.13)$$

When  $X_i$  acts as  $\nu_i(x_i + 1)$ , from (4.13) we easily deduce that  $-\nu_i(qx_{i+1} + 1) = -q\nu_i(x_{i+1} + 1)$ , which is a contradiction.

When  $X_i$  acts as  $x_i + \nu_i$ , from (4.13) we easily deduce that  $-qx_{i+1} - \nu_i = -qx_{i+1} - q\nu_i$ , which is a contradiction.

There exist some similar typos in [Rou1, Theorem 3.16].

## REFERENCES

- [Ari] S. Ariki, *On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of  $G(m, 1, n)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996) 789-808.
- [AK] S. Ariki and K. Koike, *A Hecke algebra of  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  and construction of its irreducible representations*, Adv. Math. **106** (1994) 216-243.
- [AM] S. Ariki and A. Mathas, *The number of simple modules of the Hecke algebras of type  $G(r, 1, n)$* , Math. Z. **233** (2000) 601-623.
- [BK1] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009) 451-484.
- [BK2] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, *Graded decomposition numbers for cyclotomic Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009) 1883-1942.
- [CG] N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [ChP1] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *Representation theory of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, Adv. Math. **259** (2014) 134-172.
- [ChP2] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *Markov traces on affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2015) rnv257, 62 pp.
- [ChS] M. Chlouveraki and V. Sécherre, *The affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the pro- $p$ -Iwahori-Hecke algebra*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear, arXiv: 1504.04557.
- [C] W. Cui, *Affine cellularity of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras*, arXiv: 1510.02647.
- [CW] W. Cui and J. Wan, *Modular representations and branching rules for affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras*, submitted, arXiv: 1506.06570.
- [ER] J. Espinoza and S. Ryom-Hansen, *Cell structures for the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the algebra of braids and ties*, arXiv: 1506.00715.
- [JP] N. Jacon and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *An isomorphism theorem for Yokonuma-Hecke algebras and applications to link invariants*, Math. Z. **283** (2016) 301-338.
- [Ju1] J. Juyumaya, *Sur les nouveaux générateurs de l'algèbre de Hecke  $\mathcal{H}(G, U, 1)$* . (French) On new generators of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(G, U, 1)$ , J. Algebra **204** (1998) 49-68.
- [Ju2] J. Juyumaya, *Markov trace on the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **13** (2004) 25-39.

- [JuK] J. Juyumaya and S. Kannan, *Braid relations in the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, J. Algebra **239** (2001) 272-297.
- [KK] S.-J. Kang and M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012) 699-742.
- [KaLu] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **87** (1987) 153-215.
- [KhLa1] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009) 309-347.
- [KhLa2] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011) 2685-2700.
- [LLT] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, and J.Y. Thibon, *Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996) 205-263.
- [Lu1] G. Lusztig, *Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989) 599-635.
- [Lu2] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups. VII*, Represent. Theory (electronic) **9** (2005) 209-266.
- [OV] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups*, Selecta Math. (N.S) **2** (1996) 581-605.
- [Ro] S. Rostam, *Cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras are cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras*, 28 pages (2016), arXiv:1603.03901.
- [Rou1] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, preprint(2008), arXiv: 0812.5023.
- [Rou2] R. Rouquier, *Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (2012) 359-410.
- [VV] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, *Canonical bases and KLR-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **659** (2011) 67-100.
- [Xi] N. Xi, *Representations of affine Hecke algebras and based rings of affine Weyl groups*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007) 211-217.
- [Yo] T. Yokonuma, *Sur la structure des anneaux de Hecke d'un groupe de Chevalley fini*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B **264** (1967) 344-347.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, SHANDONG 250100, P.R. CHINA.

*E-mail address:* `cwdeng@amss.ac.cn`