

A REMARK ON THE ASYMPTOTIC TIGHTNESS IN $\ell^\infty([a, b])$

GANE SAMB LO

Dedicated to the memory of Souleymane Niang, the Senegal Mathematics School founder

ABSTRACT. In this note, we extend a simple criteria for uniform tightness in $C(0, 1)$, the class of real continuous functions defined on $(0, 1)$, given in Theorem 8.3 of Billingsley to the asymptotic tightness in $\ell^{+\infty}([a, b])$, the class of real bounded functions defined on $[a, b]$ with $a < b$, in the lines of Theorems 1.5.6 and 1.5.7 in van der vaart and Wellner.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we adapt a powerful tool of Billingsley [1]. In order to describe that tool, we are going to make a number of definitions and reminders.

1.1. Uniform tightness. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be sequence of random elements with values in $S_1 = C(0, 1)$. This sequence is said to be tight, that is the sequence of probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n})_{n \geq 0}$ is tight, if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set K_ε of S_1 such that

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in K_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon.$$

He proved :

Theorem 1.1. *The sequence of the probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n})_{n \geq 0}$ is tight in S_1 if and only if*

- (i) *The sequence of the probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n(0)})_{n \geq 0}$ is tight in \mathbb{R} and*
- (ii) *The sequence X_n is uniformly equicontinuous in probability, that is, for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{|s-t| < \delta, (s,t) \in (0,1)^2} |X_n(s) - X_n(t)| > \eta \right) = 0.$$

Since (1.1) is not easy to handle in general, a stronger criteria is generally used. It is only a sufficient condition for tightness. It is exposed in Billingsley [1], as follows

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose the two following assertions hold*

- (i) *The sequence of the probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n(0)})_{n \geq 0}$ is tight in \mathbb{R} .*
- (iii) *For or any $\eta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{s \in (0,1)} \sup_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{s-\delta < t < s+\delta, t \in (0,1)} |X_n(s) - X_n(t)| > \eta \right) = 0.$$

Then sequence of the probability measures $(\mathbb{P}_{X_n})_{n \geq 0}$ is tight.

1.2. Asymptotic tightness for non measurable random applications. Now consider the more general space $S_2 = \ell^\infty([a, b])$, $a < b$, the set of all bounded and real functions defined on $[a, b]$, equipped with the supremum norm $\|x\| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)|$. Let $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$ be a field of applications with values in S_2 and such that each X_α is defined on a probability space $(\Omega_\alpha, \mathcal{A}_\alpha, \mathbb{P}_\alpha)$ and is not necessarily measurable, where D is a well-directed set. It is said that the field $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$ is asymptotically measurable if and only if for any real, and bounded and continuous f defined on S_2 (denoted $f \in C_b(S)$), we have

$$\lim_{\alpha} E^* f(X_\alpha) - E_* f(X_\alpha) = 0.$$

where \mathbb{E}^* , \mathbb{E}_* , \mathbb{P}^* and \mathbb{P}_* respectively stand for the outer integral, the inner integral, the outer probability and the inner probability.

It is asymptotically tight if and only if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set K_ε such that for any $\delta > 0$

$$\liminf_{\alpha} \sup \mathbb{P}_*(X_n \in K_\varepsilon^\delta) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

where $K_\varepsilon^\delta = \{y \in S_2, \|x - K_\varepsilon\| < \delta\}$ is the δ -enlargement of K_ε .

The following characterization of the asymptotic tightness in $\ell^\infty([a, b])$ is given in [2] as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *The field $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$ is asymptotically tight if and only if*

- (iv) *each margin $X_\alpha(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, is asymptotically tight in \mathbb{R} and*
- (v) *there exists a semi-metric ρ on S_2 such that $([a, b], \rho)$ is totally bounded and such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, and for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\alpha} \mathbb{P}^* \left(\sup_{\rho(s,t) < \delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| > \eta \right) = 0.$$

We are going to make comments on these theorems in the next section where we state the problem and propose a solution.

2. THE RESULT

We observe that for $\rho(s, t) = |s - t|$, the space $([a, b], \rho)$ is totally bounded and (1.1) and (1.2) coincide under the assumption of *a.s.* continuity of the X_α . Thus, it is natural to know whether Theorem 1.3 has an analogue in S_2 . Indeed, we have

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the two following assertions hold.*

- (iv) *Each margin $X_\alpha(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, is asymptotically tight in \mathbb{R} .*
- (v) *For $s \in [a, b]$, for any $\eta > 0$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} \limsup_{\alpha} \frac{1}{\delta} \mathbb{P}^* \left(\sup_{s - \delta < t < s + \delta, t \in [a, b]} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| > \eta \right) = 0.$$

Then the field $(X_\alpha)_{\alpha \in D}$ is asymptotically tight.

Proof. Suppose that (iv) and (v) hold. Let $0 < \delta < (b - a)$ and $\eta > 0$. Put

$$A_t = \{z : \sup_{t \leq s \leq t + \delta} |z(s) - z(t)| > \eta\}.$$

The intervals $I_i = [a + i\delta, a + (i + 1)\delta]$ form a partition of $[a, b]$. Consider a $z \in S_2$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \forall i \leq \frac{b - a}{\delta}, z \notin A_{t_i}$$

where $t_i = a + i\delta \leq b$. Let $(s, t) \in [a, b]$ such that $|s - t| < \delta$. Then either s and t lie in the same interval I_i or they lie in adjacent ones. In the latter case, put $t \in I_i$ et $s \in I_{i+1}$, where we suppose that $t \leq s$. We have $t_i = a + i\delta$ and

$$(2.2) \Rightarrow |z(s) - z(t)| \leq |z(s) - z(t_i)| + |z(t_i) - z(t_{i+1})| + |z(t_{i+1}) - z(t)| < 3\eta.$$

This implies.

$$\sup_{|s - t| < \delta} |z(s) - z(t)| \leq 2\eta.$$

We get that

$$z \in \{x : \sup_{|s - t| < \delta} |x(s) - x(t)| \geq 3\eta\}$$

implies that there exists an indice i such that

$$z \in A_{t_i}.$$

Then

$$\{z : \sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |z(s) - z(t)| \geq 3\eta\} \subset \bigcup_i A_{t_i}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}^*(X_\alpha \in \{z : \sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |z(s) - z(t)| \geq 3\eta\}) \leq \sum_{i \leq (b-a)/\delta} \mathbb{P}^*(X_\alpha \in A_{t_i}).$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| \geq 3\eta) \leq \sum_{i \leq (b-a)/\delta} \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{t_i \leq s \leq t_i + \delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| > \eta).$$

We apply (2.1) with 3η to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_\alpha \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| \geq 3\eta) \\ & \leq \limsup_\alpha \leq \delta \sum_{i \leq (b-a)/\delta} \delta^{-1} \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{t_i \leq s \leq t_i + \delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| > 3\eta) \\ & \leq \delta([\frac{b-a}{\delta}] + 1) \limsup_\alpha \max_{i \leq (b-a)/\delta} \left(\delta^{-1} \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{t_i \leq s \leq t_i + \delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| > 3\eta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It comes that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_\alpha \mathbb{P}^*(\sup_{|s-t|<\delta} |X_\alpha(s) - X_\alpha(t)| \geq 3\eta) = 0.$$

The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Billingsley, P.(1968). *Convergence of Probability measures*. John Wiley, New-York.
- [2] van der Vaart A.W and Wellner J.A.(1996). *Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes With Applications to Statistics*. Springer, New-York.