

# Upper central series for the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra

Valeriy G. Bardakov and Mikhail V. Neshchadim

**ABSTRACT.** We study some subgroups of the group of unitriangular automorphisms  $U_n$  of a free associative algebra over a field of characteristic zero. We find the center of  $U_n$  and describe the hypercenters of  $U_2$  and  $U_3$ . In particular, we prove that the upper central series for  $U_2$  has infinite length. As consequence, we prove that the groups  $U_n$  are non-linear for all  $n \geq 2$ .

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a free associative algebra  $A_n = K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$  over a field  $K$  of characteristic zero. We assume that  $A_n$  has unity. The group of  $K$ -automorphisms of this algebra, i.e. automorphisms that fix elements of  $K$  is denoted by  $\text{Aut } A_n$ . The group of tame automorphisms  $\text{TAut } A_n$  of  $A_n$  is generated by the group of affine automorphism  $\text{Aff } A_n$  and the group of unitriangular automorphisms  $U_n = U(A_n)$ . From the result of Umirbaev [U] follows that  $\text{Aut } A_3 \neq \text{TAut } A_3$ .

A question about linearity (i.e. about a faithful representation by finite dimensional matrices over some field) of  $\text{TAut } A_n$  was studied in the paper of Roman'kov, Chirkov, Shevelin [R], where it was proved that for  $n \geq 4$  these groups are not linear. Sosnovskii [S] proved that for  $n \geq 3$  the group  $\text{Aut } P_n$  is not linear, where  $P_n = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is the polynomial algebra over a field  $K$ . His result follows from description of the upper central series for the group of unitriangular automorphisms  $U(P_n)$  of  $P_n$ .

The structure of the present paper is the following. In Section 2 we introduce some notations, recall some facts on the automorphism group of free associative algebra and its subgroups. In the previous article [B] we found the lower central series and the series of the commutator subgroups for  $U_n$ . In Section 3 we study the upper central series for  $U_2$  and prove that the length of this series is infinite. Prove that  $U_2$  is non-linear. In Section 4 we study the upper central series for  $U_3$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 16W20; Secondary 20E15, 20F14.

*Key words and phrases.* Free associative algebra, group of unitriangular automorphisms, upper central series.

The first author thank the organizers of the Conference “Groups, Geometry and Dynamics” (Almora, India, 2012) for this beautiful and interesting Conference.

The authors was supported by Federal Target Grant “Scientific and educational personnel of innovation Russia” for 2009-2013 (government contract No. 02.740.11.0429). Also, this research was supported by the Indo-Russian DST-RFBR project grant DST/INT/RFBR/P-137 (No. 13-01-92697).

and describe the hypercentral subgroups in the terms of some algebras. In Section 5 we find the center of  $U_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Also, in Sections 4 and 5 we formulate some hypotheses and questions that are connected with the theory of non-commutative invariants in free associative algebra under the action of some subgroups of  $U_n$ .

## 2. Some previous results and remark

Let us recall definitions of some automorphisms and subgroups of  $\text{Aut } A_n$ .

For any index  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , a constant  $\alpha \in K^* = K \setminus \{0\}$  and a polynomial  $f = f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n) \in A_n$  (where the symbol  $\widehat{x}_i$  denotes that  $x_i$  is not included in  $f$ ) the elementary automorphism  $\sigma(i, \alpha, f)$  is an automorphism in  $\text{Aut } A_n$  that acts on the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  by the rule:

$$\sigma(i, \alpha, f) : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto \alpha x_i + f, \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \end{cases} \quad \text{if } j \neq i.$$

The group of tame automorphisms  $\text{TAut } A_n$  is generated by all elementary automorphisms.

The group of affine automorphisms  $\text{Aff } A_n$  is a subgroup of  $\text{TAut } A_n$  that consists of automorphisms

$$x_i \mapsto a_{i1}x_1 + \dots + a_{in}x_n + b_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $a_{ij}, b_i \in K$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , and the matrix  $(a_{ij})$  is a non-degenerate one. The group of affine automorphisms is the semidirect product  $K^n \rtimes \text{GL}_n(K)$  and, in particular, embeds in the group of matrices  $\text{GL}_{n+1}(K)$ .

The group of triangular automorphisms  $T_n = T(A_n)$  of algebra  $A_n$  is generated by automorphisms

$$x_i \mapsto \alpha_i x_i + f_i(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\alpha_i \in K^*$ ,  $f_i \in A_n$  and  $f_n \in K$ . If all  $\alpha_i = 1$  then this automorphism is called the *unitriangular automorphism*. The group of unitriangular automorphisms is denoted by  $U_n = U(A_n)$ .

In the group  $U_n$  let us define a subgroup  $G_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  which is generated by automorphisms

$$\sigma(i, 1, f), \quad \text{where } f = f(x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in A_n.$$

Note that the subgroup  $G_i$  is abelian and isomorphic to an additive subgroup of algebra  $A_n$  that is generated by  $x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and the subgroup  $G_n$  is isomorphic to the additive group of the field  $K$ .

The lower central series of a group  $G$  is the series

$$G = \gamma_1 G \geq \gamma_2 G \geq \dots,$$

where  $\gamma_{i+1} G = [\gamma_i G, G]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The series of the commutator subgroups of a group  $G$  is the series

$$G = G^{(0)} \geq G^{(1)} \geq G^{(2)} \geq \dots,$$

where  $G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ . Here for subsets  $H, K$  of  $G$ ,  $[H, K]$  denotes the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the commutators  $[h, k] = h^{-1}k^{-1}hk$  for  $h \in H$  and  $k \in K$ .

Recall that the  $k$ -th hypercenter  $Z_k = Z_k(G)$  of the upper central series of  $G$  for the non-limited ordinal  $k$  is defined by the rule

$$Z_k/Z_{k-1} = Z(G/Z_{k-1})$$



is the group of unitriangular automorphisms of  $A_2$ .

It is not difficult to check the following Lemma

LEMMA 3.1. 1) If  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) \in U_2$ , then its inverse is equal to

$$\varphi^{-1} = (x - f(y - b), y - b);$$

2) if  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b)$  and  $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c) \in U_2$ , then the following formulas hold:

– the formula of conjugation

$$\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c), y + b),$$

– the formula of commutation

$$\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y), y).$$

Using this Lemma we can describe the center of  $U_2$ .

LEMMA 3.2. The center of  $U_2$  has the form  $Z(U_2) = \{\varphi = (x + a, y) \mid a \in K\}$ .

PROOF. If  $\varphi = (x + a, y)$ , then from the formula of conjugation (see Lemma 3.1) follows that  $\varphi \in Z(U_2)$ . To prove the inverse inclusion suppose  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) \in Z(U_2)$ . Using the formula of conjugation we get

$$\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) = \psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c), y + b),$$

for any automorphism  $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c) \in U_2$ , i.e.  $f(y) = h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c)$ . Taking  $h = 0$  we get  $f(y) = f(y + c)$  for every  $c \in K$ . Hence,  $f(y) = a \in K$ . We have only relation  $0 = h(y) - h(y + b)$ . Since  $h(y)$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $b = 0$ . □

LEMMA 3.3. The following properties hold true in  $U_2$ .

1)  $[U_2, U_2] = \{\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \mid f(y) \in K\langle y \rangle\}$ .

2) If  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y)$ , where  $f(y) \in K\langle y \rangle \setminus K$ , then

$$C_{U_2}(\varphi) = \{(x + h(y), y) \mid h(y) \in K\langle y \rangle\},$$

where  $C_{U_2}(\varphi)$  is the centralizer of  $\varphi$  in  $U_2$ , i.e.  $C_{U_2}(\varphi) = \{\psi \in U_2 \mid \psi\varphi = \varphi\psi\}$ .

3) If  $\varphi = (x, y + b)$ ,  $b \in K$ , then  $C_{U_2}(\varphi) = \{(x + a, y + c) \mid a, c \in K\}$ .

PROOF. 1) Let  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b)$ ,  $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c) \in U_2$ . By the formula of commutation

$$\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y), y).$$

It is easy to see that any element of  $K\langle y \rangle$  can be represented in a form  $r(y + d) - r(y)$  for some  $r(y) \in K\langle y \rangle$  and  $d \in K$ . Hence,

$$K\langle y \rangle = \{h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y) \mid h, f \in K\langle y \rangle, b, c \in K\}$$

and 1) is true.

2) Let  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y)$ ,  $f(y) \in K\langle y \rangle \setminus K$  and  $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c)$  be an arbitrary element of  $C_{U_2}(\varphi)$ . Using the formula of conjugation we get

$$\varphi = (x + f(y), y) = \psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + f(y + c), y).$$

Hence  $c = 0$ .

3) Let  $\varphi = (x, y + b)$  and  $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c)$  be an arbitrary element of  $C_{U_2}(\varphi)$ . Using the formula of conjugation we get

$$\varphi = (x, y + b) = \psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b), y + b).$$

Hence  $h(y) = a \in K$ . □

LEMMA 3.4. *If  $s$  is a non-negative integer, then the  $(s + 1)$ th hypercenter of  $U_2$  has the form*

$$Z_{s+1}(U_2) = \{\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \mid f(y) \in K\langle y \rangle, \deg f(y) \leq s\}.$$

PROOF. If  $s = 0$ , then the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2. Suppose that for  $s + 1$  the assertion holds true. We now prove it for  $s + 2$ . Let

$$\varphi = (x + f(y), y + b) \in Z_{s+2}(U_2).$$

Using the formula of commutation (see Lemma 3.1) for  $\varphi$  and  $\psi = (x + h(y), y + c)$  we get

$$\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}\varphi\psi = (x + h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y), y).$$

If  $b \neq 0$  and since  $h(y)$  is an arbitrary polynomial of  $K\langle y \rangle$ , then  $h(y) - h(y + b) + f(y + c) - f(y)$  represents arbitrary element of  $K\langle y \rangle$ . But it is not possible since for any automorphism  $\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \in Z_{s+1}$  the degree of  $f(y)$  is not bigger than  $s$ . Hence  $b = 0$ . Since  $\deg f(y + c) - f(y) \leq s$  and  $c$  is an arbitrary element of  $K$ , we have  $\deg f(y) \leq s + 1$ . So the inclusion from left to right is proved. The inverse inclusion is evident. □

Hence, from Lemma 3.4

$$Z_\omega(U_2) = \{\varphi = (x + f(y), y) \mid f(y) \in K\langle y \rangle\},$$

and using Lemma 3.3 we have  $Z_\omega(U_2) = [U_2, U_2]$ . Therefore

$$Z_{\omega+1}(U_2) = U_2.$$

COROLLARY 3.1. *The group  $U_2$  is not linear.*

PROOF. We know (see, for example [G]) that if a linear group does not contain torsion, then the length of the upper central series of this group is finite. But we proved that the length of the upper central series for  $U_2$  is equal to  $\omega + 1$ . Hence, the group  $U_2$  is not linear. □

Since  $U_2$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut } A_2$ , we have proven that this group is not linear too. Using the fact that if  $P_2$  is a polynomial algebra with unit over  $K$ , then  $\text{Aut } A_2 = \text{Aut } P_2$  (see, for example [C]).

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then the groups  $\text{Aut } A_n$  and  $\text{Aut } P_n$  are not linear.*

It follows from the fact that  $\text{Aut } A_2 \leq \text{Aut } A_n$  and  $\text{Aut } P_2 \leq \text{Aut } P_n$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

REMARK 3.5. In [S] the author considered the polynomials without free terms and proved that  $\text{Aut } P_3$  is not linear. Using his method it is not difficult to prove that if  $P_2$  contains free terms, then  $\text{Aut } P_2$  is not linear over any field of arbitrary characteristic.

#### 4. Unitriangular group $U_3$

The group  $U_3$  is equal to

$$U_3 = \{(x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, x_3 + f_3) \mid f_1 = f_1(x_2, x_3) \in K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle, \\ f_2 = f_2(x_3) \in K\langle x_3 \rangle, f_3 \in K\}.$$

Define an algebra  $S$  as subalgebra of  $K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$

$$S = \{f(x_2, x_3) \in K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle \mid f(x_2 + g(x_3), x_3 + h) = f(x_2, x_3) \\ \text{for any } g(x_3) \in K\langle x_3 \rangle, h \in K\}$$

Hence,  $S$  is a subalgebra of fixed elements under the action of the group

$$\{(x_2 + g, x_3 + h) \mid g = g(x_3) \in K\langle x_3 \rangle, h \in K\}$$

which is isomorphic to  $U_2$ . The set  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $A_3$ .

Define a set of commutators

$$c_1 = [x_2, x_3], \quad c_{k+1} = [c_k, x_3], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $[a, b] = ab - ba$  is the ring commutator. Using induction on  $k$ , it is not difficult to check the following result.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *The commutators  $c_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , lie in  $S$ .*

**Hypothesis 1.** The algebra  $K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots \rangle$  is equal to  $S$ .

Note that the elements  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  are free generators of  $K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots \rangle$  (see [Co, p. 62]).

**THEOREM 4.2.** *The center  $Z(U_3)$  of the group  $U_3$  is equal to*

$$Z(U_3) = \{(x_1 + f_1, x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 = f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S\}.$$

**PROOF.** The inclusion  $\supseteq$  is evident. Let

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3)$$

be some element in  $Z(U_3)$  and

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$$

be an arbitrary element of  $U_3$ . Since  $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi$  then we have the equalities

$$x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) = x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3) + g_1(x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3),$$

$$x_2 + g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3 + g_3) = x_2 + f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3),$$

$$x_3 + g_3 + f_3 = x_3 + f_3 + g_3.$$

The third equality holds for all  $x_3$ ,  $g_3$  and  $f_3$ . Rewrite the first and the second equality in the form

$$g_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3) + g_1(x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3),$$

$$g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3 + g_3) = f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3).$$

Let  $g_1 = x_2, g_2 = g_3 = 0$ . Then

$$x_2 + f_1(x_2, x_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3) + x_2 + f_2(x_3).$$

Hence  $f_2(x_3) = 0$ .

Let  $g_1 = x_3, g_2 = g_3 = 0$ . Then

$$x_3 + f_1(x_2, x_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3) + x_3 + f_3.$$

Hence  $f_3 = 0$ . We have only one condition

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) = f_1(x_2, x_3),$$

i.e.  $f_1 \in S$ .

□

Let us define the following subsets in the algebra  $A_2 = K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$ :

$$S_1 = S,$$

$$S_{m+1} = \{f \in A_2 \mid f^\varphi - f \in S_m \text{ for all } \varphi \in U_2\}, m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$S_\omega = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m,$$

$$S_{\omega+1} = \{f \in A_2 \mid f^\varphi - f \in S_\omega \text{ for all } \varphi \in U_2\},$$

$$S_{\omega+m+1} = \{f \in A_2 \mid f^\varphi - f \in S_{\omega+m} \text{ for all } \varphi \in U_2\}, m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$S_{2\omega} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_{\omega+m},$$

$$R_m = \{f = f(x_3) \in K\langle x_3 \rangle \mid \deg f \leq m\}, m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$R_\omega = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} R_m.$$

It is not difficult to see that all  $S_k$  are modules over  $S$ .

REMARK 4.3. If we consider the homomorphism

$$\pi : K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \longrightarrow K[x_1, x_2, x_3],$$

then

$$S^\pi = K,$$

$$S_{m+1}^\pi = \{f \in K[x_3] \mid \deg f \leq m\}, m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$S_\omega^\pi = K[x_3],$$

$$S_{\omega+m+1}^\pi = \{f \in K[x_2, x_3] \mid \deg_{x_2} f \leq m\}, m = 0, 1, \dots,$$

$$S_{2\omega}^\pi = K[x_2, x_3],$$

$$R_m^\pi = \{f \in K[x_3] \mid \deg f \leq m\}, m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$R_\omega^\pi = K[x_3].$$

THEOREM 4.4. *The following equalities hold*

$$(4.1) \quad Z_m = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 \in S_m\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, 2\omega,$$

$$(4.2) \quad Z_{2\omega+m} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3) \mid f_1 \in k\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle, f_2(x_3) \in R_m\}, \\ m = 1, 2, \dots, \omega,$$

$$(4.3) \quad Z_{3\omega+1} = U_3.$$

PROOF. We use induction on  $m$ . To prove (4.1) for  $m+1$ , we assume that for all  $m$  such that  $1 \leq m < \omega$  equality (4.1) holds. If

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3) \in Z_{m+1}$$

and

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) \in U_3,$$

then for some

$$\theta = (x_1 + h_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \in Z_m$$

holds  $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi\theta$ . Acting on the generators  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  by  $\varphi\psi$  and  $\psi\varphi\theta$  we have two relations

$$(4.4) \quad f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) = h_1(x_2, x_3) + \\ + g_1(x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3) - g_1(x_2, x_3),$$

$$(4.5) \quad g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_2 + g_3) = f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_2 + f_3).$$

If  $g_2 = 0$ , then the relation (4.5) has the form

$$f_2(x_2 + g_3) = f_2(x_3).$$

Since  $g_3$  is an arbitrary element of  $K$ , then  $f_2 \in K$ . But in this case (4.5) has the form

$$g_2(x_3 + f_3) = g_2(x_3).$$

Since  $g_2(x_3)$  is an arbitrary element of  $K\langle x_3 \rangle$ , then  $f_3 = 0$  and (4.4) has the form

$$(4.6) \quad f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) = h_1(x_2, x_3) + g_1(x_2 + f_2, x_3) - g_1(x_2, x_3).$$

Let  $g_1 = x_2^N$  for some natural number  $N$ . Using the homomorphism

$$\pi : K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \longrightarrow K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

and the equality  $\deg_{x_2} h_1^\pi = 0$  we see that if  $f_2 \neq 0$ , then

$$\deg_{x_2} (f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3))^\pi = N - 1.$$

Since  $N$  is any non-negative integer, then  $f_2 = 0$  and

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_m,$$

i.e.  $f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_{m+1}$  and we have proven the equality (4.1) for  $m+1$ :

$$Z_{m+1} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 \in S_{m+1}\}.$$

To prove (4.1) for  $\omega + m + 1$  assume that for all  $\omega + m$  such that  $1 \leq m < \omega$  equality (4.1) holds. If  $\varphi \in Z_{\omega+m+1}$  and  $\psi \in U_3$  then for some  $\theta \in Z_{\omega+m}$  we have



$\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi\theta$  that give the relations (4.4) and (4.5). As in the previous case we can check that  $f_2 \in K$ ,  $f_3 = 0$  and (4.4)–(4.5) are equivalent to (4.6). Let  $g_1 = x_2^N$  for some natural number  $N$ . Using the homomorphism

$$\pi : K\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \longrightarrow K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

and the inequality  $\deg_{x_2} h_1^\pi \leq m - 1$  we see that if  $f_2 \neq 0$ , then

$$\deg_{x_2} (f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3))^\pi = N - 1$$

for  $N \geq m + 1$ . But the degree of the left hand side is bounded. Hence  $f_2 = 0$  and we have

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) - f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_{\omega+m},$$

i.e.,  $f_1(x_2, x_3) \in S_{m+1}$  and we have proven the equality (4.1) for  $\omega + m + 1$ :

$$Z_{\omega+m+1} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2, x_3) \mid f_1 \in S_{\omega+m+1}\}.$$

To prove (4.2) for  $m + 1$  assume that for all  $m$  such that  $1 \leq m < \omega$  equality (4.2) holds. If  $\varphi \in Z_{2\omega+m+1}$ ,  $\psi \in U_3$ , then for some  $\theta \in Z_{2\omega+m}$  we have  $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi\theta$ . If

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3),$$

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$$

and

$$\theta = (x_1 + h_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + h_2(x_3), x_3),$$

then we have the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + g_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3) &= x_1 + h_1(x_2, x_3) + f_1(x_2 + h_2(x_3), x_3) + \\ &+ g_1(x_2 + h_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3), x_3 + f_3), \end{aligned}$$

$$x_2 + g_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3 + g_3) = x_2 + h_2(x_3) + f_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3).$$

Since  $h_1$  is an arbitrary element of  $K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$ , then we must consider only the second relation which is equal to

$$f_2(x_3 + g_3) - f_2(x_3) = h_2(x_3) + g_2(x_3 + f_3) - g_2(x_3).$$

Since  $\deg h_2 \leq m$  and  $g_2(x_3)$  is any element of  $K\langle x_3 \rangle$ , then  $f_3 = 0$ . Hence,

$$f_2(x_3 + g_3) - f_2(x_3) = h_2(x_3).$$

From this equality follows that  $\deg f_2 \leq m + 1$ . We have proven the equality (4.2) for  $m + 1$ :

$$Z_{2\omega+m+1} = \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3) \mid f_1 \in K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle, f_2(x_3) \in R_{m+1}\}.$$

To prove (4.2) we note that

$$[U_3, U_3] \subseteq \{(x_1 + f_1(x_2, x_3), x_2 + f_2(x_3), x_3)\}.$$

□

We described the hypercenters of  $U_3$  in the terms of the algebras  $S_m$  and  $S_{\omega+m}$ . It is interesting to find sets of generators for these algebras. To do it we must give answers on the following questions.

**Question 1** (see Hypothesis 1). Is it true that

$$S = K\langle c_1, c_2, \dots \rangle?$$

**Question 2.** Is it true that for all  $m \geq 1$  the following equalities are true

$$S_{m+1} = \{f \in K\langle S, x_3 \rangle \mid \deg_{x_3} f \leq m\}?$$

**Question 3.** Is it true that

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} S_m = K\langle S, x_3 \rangle?$$

**Question 4.** Is it true that for all  $m \geq 1$  the following equalities are true

$$S_{\omega+m} = \{f \in K\langle S, x_3, x_2 \rangle \mid \deg_{x_2} f \leq m\}?$$

If  $R$  is the Specht algebra of  $A_2$ , i.e. the subalgebra of  $A_2$  that is generated by all commutators

$$[x_2, x_3], [[x_2, x_3], x_3], [[x_2, x_3], x_2], \dots$$

then the following inclusions hold

$$(4.7) \quad S_{m+1} \subset \{f \in K\langle R, x_3 \rangle \mid \deg_{x_3} f \leq m\},$$

$$(4.8) \quad S_{\omega+m} \subset \{f \in K\langle R, x_3, x_2 \rangle \mid \deg_{x_2} f \leq m\},$$

for all  $m \geq 1$ . It follows from the fact that  $K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$  is a free left  $R$ -module with the set of free generators

$$x_2^\alpha x_3^\beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

Note that the inclusion (4.7) is strict. It follows from

PROPOSITION 4.1. *The commutators*

$$[x_2, \underbrace{x_3, \dots, x_3}_k, x_2] = [c_k, x_2], \quad k \geq 1,$$

do not lie in  $S_m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .

PROOF. Indeed, for the automorphism

$$\varphi = (x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$$

of the algebra  $K\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle$  we have

$$[c_k, x_2]^\varphi = [c_k^\varphi, x_2^\varphi] = [c_k, x_2 + g_2(x_3)] = [c_k, x_2] + [c_k, g_2(x_3)].$$

If  $g_2(x_3) = x_3^N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [c_k, x_3^N] &= c_k x_3^N - x_3^N c_k = (c_k x_3 - x_3 c_k) x_3^{N-1} + x_3 c_k x_3^{N-1} - x_3^N c_k \\ &= c_{k+1} x_3^{N-1} + x_3 (c_k x_3^{N-1} - x_3^{N-1} c_k) \\ &= c_{k+1} x_3^{N-1} + x_3 ((c_k x_3 - x_3 c_k) x_3^{N-2} + x_3 c_k x_3^{N-2} - x_3^{N-1} c_k) \\ &= c_{k+1} x_3^{N-1} + x_3 c_{k+1} x_3^{N-2} + x_3^2 (c_k x_3^{N-2} - x_3^{N-2} c_k) \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $\varphi = (x_2 + g_2(x_3), x_3 + g_3)$  we have

$$[c_k, x_2]^\varphi - [c_k, x_2] = \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q.$$

If

$$g_2(x_3) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n x_3^n,$$

then

$$[c_k, x_2]^\varphi - [c_k, x_2] = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n \sum_{p+q=n-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q.$$

If  $[c_k, x_2] \in S_m$  for some  $m$ , then

$$[[c_k, x_2], \varphi] \equiv [c_k, x_2]^\varphi - [c_k, x_2] \in S_{m-1}.$$

Let

$$\psi = (x_2 + h_2(x_3), x_3 + h), \quad \varphi = (x_2 + x_3^N, x_3).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [[c_k, x_2], \varphi], \psi &= \left[ \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q, \psi \right] \\ &= \sum_{p+q=N-1} (x_3 + h)^p c_{k+1} (x_3 + h)^q - \sum_{p+q=N-1} x_3^p c_{k+1} x_3^q \\ &= \sum_{p+q=N-1} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} C_p^l x_3^l h^{p-l} \right) c_{k+1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^q C_{q-1}^r x_3^r h^{q-r} \right) \end{aligned}$$

has the degree  $N - 2$  on the variable  $x_3$ . Continuing this process we are getting that if  $\deg g_2(x_3) = N$ , then

$$[c_k, x_2, \varphi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1}] \in S$$

for every  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1} \in U_2$ . Hence,

$$[c_k, x_2, \varphi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1}] \in S,$$

.....

$$[[c_k, x_2], \varphi] \in S_N,$$

$$[c_k, x_2] \in S_{N+1}.$$

Using the similar ideas we can prove that

$$[c_k, x_2] \notin S_N.$$

Since we can take arbitrary number  $N > m$ , then

$$[c_k, x_2] \notin S_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

□

### 5. Center of the unitriangular group $U_n$ , $n \geq 4$

In this section we prove the following assertion

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Any automorphism  $\varphi$  in the center  $Z(U_n)$  of  $U_n$  has a form*

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f(x_2, \dots, x_n), x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

where the polynomial  $f$  is such that

$$f(x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n) = f(x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

for every  $g_2 \in K\langle x_3, \dots, x_n \rangle$ ,  $g_3 \in K\langle x_4, \dots, x_n \rangle, \dots, g_n \in K$ .

We will assume that  $U_{n-1}$  includes in  $U_n$  by the rule

$$U_{n-1} = \{\varphi = (x_1, x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n) \in U_n \mid g_2 \in K\langle x_3, \dots, x_n \rangle, \dots, g_n \in K\}.$$

Hence we have the following sequence of inclusions for the subgroups  $U_k$ ,  $k = 3, \dots, n$

$$U_n \geq U_{n-1} \geq \dots \geq U_3.$$

In this assumption we can formulate Theorem by the following manner

$$Z(U_n) = \{\varphi = (x_1 + f(x_2, \dots, x_n), x_2, \dots, x_n) \mid f^{U_{n-1}} = f\},$$

where

$$f^{U_{n-1}} = \{f^\psi \mid \psi \in U_{n-1}\}.$$

**PROOF.** Let

$$\varphi = (x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, \dots, x_n + f_n) \in Z(U_n)$$

and

$$\psi = (x_1 + g_1, x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n)$$

be an arbitrary element of  $U_n$ . Then  $x_k^{\varphi\psi} = x_k^{\psi\varphi}$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In particular, if  $k = 1$ , then

$$(5.1) \quad (x_1 + f_1)^\psi = (x_1 + g_1)^\varphi.$$

Put  $g_1 = x_2$ ,  $g_2 = g_3 = \dots = 0$ . Then this relation has the form

$$x_1 + x_2 + f_1 = x_1 + f_1 + x_2 + f_2.$$

Hence,  $f_2 = 0$ .

Analogously, putting  $g_1 = x_3$ ,  $g_2 = g_3 = \dots = 0$ , we get  $f_3 = 0$ . Hence,  $f_2 = f_3 = \dots = f_n = 0$ .

The relation (5.1) for arbitrary  $\psi$  has the form

$$x + g_1(x_2, \dots, x_n) + f_1(x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n) = x + f_1(x_2, \dots, x_n) + g_1(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

Hence,

$$f_1(x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n) = f_1(x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

□



We see that the description of the hypercenters of  $U_n$  (see Hypotheses 1 and 2) is connected with the theory of non-commutative invariants in free associative algebra under the action of some subgroups of  $U_n$ . We will study these invariants in next papers.

### References

- [U] U. U. Umirbaev, *Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial rings, and wild automorphisms of free associative algebras*, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk, **407**, N 3 (2006), 319–324.
- [B] V. G. Bardakov, M. V. Neshchadim, Yu. V. Sosnovsky, *Groups of triangular automorphisms of a free associative algebra and a polynomial algebra*, J. Algebra, **362** (2012), 201–220.
- [R] V. A. Roman'kov, I. V. Chirkov, M. A. Shevelin, *Nonlinearity of the automorphism groups of some free algebras*, Syberian Math. J., **45**, N 5 (2004), 974–977.
- [S] Yu. V. Sosnovskii, *The hypercentral structure of the group of unitriangular automorphisms of a polynomial algebra*, Syberian Math. J., **48**, N 3 (2007), 555–558.
- [G] K. W. Gruenberg, *The hypercenter of linear groups*, J. Algebra **8**, N 1 (1968), 34–40.
- [Co] P. M. Cohn, *Free Rings and Their Relations*, 2nd Ed. Academ Press, London, 1985.
- [C] A. J. Czerniakiewicz, *Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2*. Part I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **160** (1971), 393–401.

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK 630090,  
RUSSIA

AND

LABORATORY OF QUANTUM TOPOLOGY, CHELYABINSK STATE UNIVERSITY, BRAT'EV KASHIRINYKH  
STREET 129, CHELYABINSK 454001, RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* `bardakov@math.nsc.ru`

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK 630090,  
RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* `neshch@math.nsc.ru`