

## AN AFFINE VERSION OF A THEOREM OF NAGATA

GENE FREUDENBURG

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be an affine  $k$ -domain over the field  $k$ . The paper's main result is that, if  $R$  admits a non-trivial embedding in a polynomial ring  $K[s]$  for some field  $K$  containing  $k$ , then  $R$  can be embedded in a polynomial ring  $F[t]$  which extends  $R$  algebraically. This theorem can be applied to subrings of a ring which admits a non-zero locally nilpotent derivation. In this way, we obtain a concise new proof of the cancellation theorem for rings of transcendence degree one for fields of characteristic zero.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If  $F \subset E$  are fields and  $x \in E$ , the subfield of  $E$  generated by  $F$  and  $x$  is denoted  $F(x)$ . If  $x$  is transcendental over  $F$ , then  $F(x)$  is isomorphic to the field of rational functions in one variable over  $F$ , and we write  $F(x) \cong F^{(1)}$ . In his 1967 paper [13], Nagata proved the following fundamental result for fields.

**Theorem 1.1.** ([13], Thm. 2 and [15], Thm. 5.2) *Let  $k, K, L$  be fields such that:*

- (i)  $k \subset K$  and  $k \subset L \subset K^{(1)}$
- (ii)  $K$  is finitely generated over  $k$
- (iii)  $L \not\subset K$

*Then there exists a finite algebraic extension of the form  $L \subset M^{(1)}$  for some field  $M$  containing  $k$ .*

This result extends the famous theorem of Lüroth, which asserts that, if  $k \subset L \subset k(x)$  are fields with  $k \neq L$  and  $x$  transcendental over  $k$ , then there exists  $y \in k(x)$  with  $L = k(y)$ . By combining the theorems of Lüroth and Nagata, we get an even stronger statement for fields of transcendence degree one over  $k$ ; see the *Appendix*.

We consider an analogous situation for integral domains. The polynomial ring in one variable  $x$  over the field  $F$  is denoted  $F[x] = F^{[1]}$ . For the integral domain  $R$ , we seek criteria to determine when  $R = F^{[1]}$ ; when  $R \subset F^{[1]}$  and  $R \not\subset F$ ; or when  $R \subset F^{[1]}$  with  $F^{[1]}$  algebraic over  $R$ . Our main result is *Theorem 2.1*, which may be regarded as an affine version of Nagata's theorem:

*Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $R$  be an affine  $k$ -algebra. Suppose that there exists a field  $K$  with  $R \subset K^{[1]}$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . Then there exists a field  $F$  and an algebraic extension  $R \subset F^{[1]}$ .*

This result is of particular interest in the setting of locally nilpotent derivations, where we assume that the ground field  $k$  is of characteristic zero. If an integral  $k$ -domain  $B$  admits a non-zero locally nilpotent derivation  $D$ , then  $B$  is contained in  $K[s]$ , where  $K$  is the field of fractions of the kernel of  $D$  and  $s$  is a local slice. Thus, any affine subalgebra  $R \subset B$  not contained in the Makar-Limanov invariant of  $B$  is isomorphic to a non-trivial subring of  $F[s]$  for some field  $F$ , where  $F[s]$  is algebraic over  $R$ .

For rings of transcendence degree one over  $k$ , *Thm. 3.1* gives an even stronger conclusion.

---

*Date:* September 19, 2021.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13B25, 14R10.

*Key words and phrases.* Lüroth theorem, cancellation problem, locally nilpotent derivation.

Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra with  $\text{tr.deg}_k R = 1$ . Suppose that there exists a field  $K$  with  $R \subset K^{[1]}$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . Then  $R$  is  $k$ -affine and there exists a field  $F$  algebraic over  $k$  with  $R \subset F^{[1]}$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then there exists  $t \in \text{frac}(R)$  with  $R \subset k[t]$ .

In [1], Abhyankar, Eakin, and Heinzer proved that if  $R, S$  are integral domains of transcendence degree one over a field  $k$  such that the polynomial rings  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $S[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  are isomorphic  $k$ -algebras, then  $R$  and  $S$  are isomorphic. In Section 4, we apply Thm. 3.1, together with the well-known theorems of Seidenberg and Vasconcelos on derivations, to obtain a short proof of this result in the case  $k$  is of characteristic zero. Makar-Limanov gave a proof of this result for  $k = \mathbb{C}$  in [12], and we follow his idea to use the Makar-Limanov invariant. Other proofs are given in [4, 5] for the case  $k$  is algebraically closed.

**1.1. Background.** Lüroth's Theorem was proved by Lüroth for the field  $k = \mathbb{C}$  in 1876, and for all fields by Steinitz in 1910 [11, 19]. One generalization states that, if  $k \subset L \subset k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $L$  is of transcendence degree one over  $k$ , then  $L = k(y)$ . This was proved by Gordan for  $k = \mathbb{C}$  in 1887, and for all fields by Igusa in 1951 [8, 10]; other proofs appear in [13, 17]. In 1894, Castelnuovo showed that, if  $\mathbb{C} \subset L \subset \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $L$  is of transcendence degree two over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $L = \mathbb{C}(y_1, y_2)$  [2]. Castelnuovo's result does not extend to non-algebraically closed ground fields, or to fields  $L$  of higher transcendence degree. An excellent account of ruled fields and their variants can be found in [15], including the theorem of Nagata (Thm. 5.2 of [15]).

For polynomial rings, Evyatar and Zaks [6] showed that if  $R$  is a PID and  $k \subset R \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $R = k^{[1]}$ ; in [21], Zaks generalized this to the case  $R$  is a Dedekind domain. And in [1], (2.5), Abhyankar, Eakin, and Heinzer showed that, if  $k \subset R \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $R$  is of transcendence degree one over  $k$ , then  $R$  is isomorphic to a subring of  $k^{[1]}$ .

The Makar-Limanov invariant of a commutative ring (defined below) was introduced by Makar-Limanov in the mid-1990s, and he called it the ring of *absolute constants*. It is an important invariant in the study of affine rings, affine varieties, and their automorphisms.

**1.2. Preliminaries.** If  $B$  is an integral domain, then  $\text{frac}(B)$  is the quotient field of  $B$ , and  $B^{[n]}$  is the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $B$ . Given  $f \in B$ ,  $B_f$  denotes the localization  $B[1/f]$ . The set of derivations  $D : B \rightarrow B$  is  $\text{Der}(B)$ .

If  $A \subset B$  is a subring, the transcendence degree of  $B$  over  $A$ , denoted  $\text{tr.deg}_A B$ , will mean the transcendence degree of  $\text{frac}(B)$  over  $\text{frac}(A)$ . The set of elements of  $B$  algebraic over  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Alg}_A B$ .

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero, and  $B$  an integral domain containing  $k$ . The set of  $k$ -derivations  $D : B \rightarrow B$  is denoted  $\text{Der}_k(B)$ .  $D$  is said to be *locally nilpotent* if, to each  $b \in B$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $D^n b = 0$ . The set of locally nilpotent derivations of  $B$  is denoted  $\text{LND}(B)$ . If  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$  is non-zero, and  $A$  is the kernel of  $D$ , then  $A$  is algebraically closed in  $B$  and  $\text{tr.deg}_A(B) = 1$ .

The *Makar-Limanov invariant* of  $B$  is the intersection of all kernels of locally nilpotent derivations of  $B$ , denoted  $ML(B)$ . Note that  $ML(B)$  is a  $k$ -algebra which is algebraically closed in  $B$ , and that any automorphism of  $B$  maps  $ML(B)$  into itself.

An element  $s \in B$  is a *local slice* of  $D$  if  $D^2 s = 0$  and  $Ds \neq 0$ . Note that every non-zero element of  $\text{LND}(B)$  admits a local slice. If  $s \in B$  is a local slice of  $D$ , then:

$$(1) \quad B_{Ds} = A_{Ds}[s] = (A_{Ds})^{[1]} \quad \text{and} \quad D = d/ds$$

This implies the following property: If  $Df \in fB$  for some  $f \in B$ , then  $Df = 0$ . The reader is referred to [7] for further details regarding locally nilpotent derivations.

We also need the following.

**Proposition 1.1.** ([14], Prop. 5.1.2) *Let  $k$  be a field and  $A$  a commutative  $k$ -algebra. Then, for any field extension  $L/k$ ,  $A$  is finitely generated over  $k$  if and only if  $L \otimes_k A$  is finitely generated over  $L$ .*

## 2. MAIN THEOREM

For a field  $K$ , the polynomial ring  $K[s] = K^{[1]}$  is naturally  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded over  $K$ , where  $s$  is homogeneous of degree one. Let  $\deg$  be the associated degree function in  $s$  over  $K$ . A subring  $R \subset K[s]$  is *homogeneous* if the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading restricts to  $R$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $k \subset K$  be fields and  $K[s] = K^{[1]}$ . Suppose that  $R \subset K[s]$  is a homogeneous subring with  $k \subset R$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . Let  $L = \text{frac}(R) \cap K$ , and let  $\hat{L}, \hat{K}$  denote the algebraic closures of  $L$  and  $K$ , respectively. Then there exists  $c \in \hat{K}$  and integer  $d \geq 1$  such that  $R \subset \hat{L}[cs^d]$  and  $\hat{L}[cs^d]$  is algebraic over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Define the integer:

$$d = \gcd\{\deg r \mid r \in R, r \neq 0\}$$

Let homogeneous  $r \in R$  of positive degree be given. Then there exist  $\kappa \in K$  and positive  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r = \kappa s^{de}$ . Let  $c \in \hat{K}$  be such that  $c^e = \kappa$ . Then  $r = (cs^d)^e$ .

If  $\rho \in R$  is any other homogeneous element of positive degree, then  $\rho = (c's^d)^{e'}$  for  $c' \in \hat{K}$  and positive  $e' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have:

$$\frac{r^{e'}}{\rho^e} = \frac{((cs^d)^e)^{e'}}{((c's^d)^{e'})^e} = \left(\frac{c}{c'}\right)^{ee'} \in L \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{c}{c'} \in \hat{L} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{L}[c's^d] = \hat{L}[cs^d]$$

It follows that  $R \subset \hat{L}[cs^d]$ . □

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $R$  be an affine  $k$ -algebra. Suppose that there exists a field  $K$  with  $R \subset K^{[1]}$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . Then there exists a field  $F$  and an algebraic extension  $R \subset F^{[1]}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R \subset K[s] = K^{[1]}$ . For each  $g \in K[s]$ , let  $\bar{g}$  denote the highest-degree homogeneous summand of  $g$  as a polynomial in  $s$ . Define the set:

$$\bar{R} = \{\bar{r} \mid r \in R, r \neq 0\}$$

Then  $k[\bar{R}]$  is a homogeneous subalgebra of  $K[s]$  not contained in  $K$ .

By Lemma 2.1, if  $L = \text{frac}(k[\bar{R}]) \cap K$  and if  $\hat{L}, \hat{K}$  are the algebraic closures of  $L$  and  $K$ , respectively, then:

$$(2) \quad k[\bar{R}] \subset \hat{L}[cs^d] \quad (c \in \hat{K}, d \geq 1)$$

By hypothesis, there exist  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in R$  ( $m \geq 1$ ) such that  $R = k[w_1, \dots, w_m]$ . Given  $i$ , assume that  $w_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} c_{ij} w^j$ , where  $c_{ij} \in K$ . Define  $A \subset \hat{K}$  and  $B \subset \hat{K}[s]$  by:

$$A = \hat{L}[c, c_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq n_i] \quad \text{and} \quad B = A[s] = A^{[1]}$$

Then  $R \subset B$ ,  $A$  is finitely generated over  $\hat{L}$ , and the Jacobson radical of  $A$  is trivial. Choose a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A$  not containing  $c$ .

If  $R \cap \mathfrak{m}B \neq (0)$ , let non-zero  $r \in R \cap \mathfrak{m}B$  be given. Since  $\mathfrak{m}B = \mathfrak{m}[s]$ , we have  $r = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq e} a_i s^i$ , where  $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$  for each  $i$ . Note that  $e \geq 1$ , since  $\hat{L} \cap \mathfrak{m} = (0)$ . Therefore, by equation (2), there exist  $\epsilon \geq 1$  and non-zero  $\lambda \in \hat{L}$  such that:

$$\bar{r} = a_e s^e = \lambda (cs^d)^\epsilon$$

But then  $c \in \mathfrak{m}$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $R \cap \mathfrak{m}B = (0)$ .

Let  $\pi : B \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}B$  be the canonical surjection of  $\hat{L}$ -algebras, noting that:

$$B/\mathfrak{m}B = (A/\mathfrak{m}A)[\pi(s)] = \hat{L}^{[1]}$$

Since  $\pi(cs^d) = \pi(c)\pi(s)^d$ , where  $\pi(c) \neq 0$ , we see that  $\pi|_R$  is a degree-preserving isomorphism. It follows that  $R$  is a subring of  $\hat{L}^{[1]}$  via  $\pi$ .

It remains to show that  $R$  and  $\hat{L}^{[1]}$  have the same transcendence degree over  $k$ . Since  $R \subset \hat{L}^{[1]}$ , it will suffice to show  $\text{tr.deg}_k \hat{L}^{[1]} \leq \text{tr.deg}_k R$ . By Lemma 2.1, we see that  $\text{tr.deg}_k \hat{L}^{[1]} = \text{tr.deg}_k k[\bar{R}]$ , so it will suffice to show  $\text{tr.deg}_k k[\bar{R}] \leq \text{tr.deg}_k R$ .

Let  $n = \dim_k R$ , and let  $r_1, \dots, r_{n+1} \in R$  be given. Then there exists a polynomial  $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] = k^{[n+1]}$  with  $h(r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) = 0$ . If  $k[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded in such a way that each  $x_i$  is homogeneous and the degree of  $x_i$  is  $\deg r_i$ , then  $H(\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_{n+1}) = 0$ , where  $H$  is the highest-degree homogeneous summand of  $h$ . We have thus shown that any subset of  $n+1$  elements in a generating set for  $k[\bar{R}]$  is algebraically dependent over  $k$ . Therefore, the transcendence degree of  $k[\bar{R}]$  over  $k$  is at most  $n$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. RINGS OF TRANSCENDENCE DEGREE ONE

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $R$  be a  $k$ -algebra with  $\text{tr.deg}_k R = 1$ . Suppose that there exists a field  $K$  with  $R \subset K^{[1]}$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . Then  $R$  is  $k$ -affine and there exists a field  $F$  algebraic over  $k$  with  $R \subset F^{[1]}$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then there exists  $t \in \text{frac}(R)$  with  $R \subset k[t]$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R \subset K[s] = K^{[1]}$ , and let  $\deg$  be the associated degree function in  $s$  over  $K$ .

Consider first the case  $k$  is algebraically closed. The set

$$\Sigma := \{\deg w \mid w \in R, w \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

is a semigroup, and is therefore finitely generated as a semigroup. Let  $w_1, \dots, w_m \in R$  be such that  $\Sigma = \langle \deg w_1, \dots, \deg w_m \rangle$ , and define  $S = k[w_1, \dots, w_m] \subset R$ . Then, given  $v \in R$ , there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $\deg u = \deg v$ . Assume that  $\deg v \geq 1$ .

As in the preceding proof, since  $u$  and  $v$  are algebraically dependent over  $k$ ,  $\bar{u}$  and  $\bar{v}$  are also algebraically dependent over  $k$ . Since  $u$  and  $v$  have the same degree, there exists  $P \in k[x, y] = k^{[2]}$  which is homogeneous relative to the standard  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $k[x, y]$  such that  $P(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) = 0$ . Write  $P(x, y) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} (\alpha_i x + \beta_i y)$ , where  $\ell$  is a positive integer and  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in k^*$  ( $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ ). Then  $\alpha_i \bar{u} + \beta_i \bar{v} = 0$  for some  $i$ . Therefore,  $\deg(\alpha_i u + \beta_i v) < \deg v$  for some  $i$ . By induction on degrees, we can assume that  $\alpha_i u + \beta_i v \in S$ , which implies  $v \in S$ , and  $R = S$ . Therefore,  $R$  is finitely generated over  $k$  when  $k$  is algebraically closed.

For general  $k$ , let  $\hat{k}, \hat{K}$  denote the algebraic closures of  $k$  and  $K$ , respectively. Set  $\hat{R} = \hat{k} \otimes_k R$ . Then  $\text{tr.deg}_{\hat{k}} \hat{R} = 1$ ,  $\hat{R} \subset \hat{K}^{[1]}$  and  $\hat{R} \not\subset \hat{K}$ . By what was shown above, we conclude that  $\hat{R}$  is affine over  $\hat{k}$ . Therefore, *Prop. 1.1* implies that  $R$  is affine over  $k$ .

By *Thm. 2.1*, there exists a field  $F$  algebraic over  $k$  with  $R \subset F^{[1]}$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then  $F = k$  and  $k \subset R \subset k[s]$  for some  $s$  transcendental over  $k$ . If  $\mathcal{O}$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in  $\text{frac}(R)$ , then since  $k[s]$  is integrally closed, we have  $k \subset R \subset \mathcal{O} \subset k[s]$ . In this situation, it is known that  $\mathcal{O} = k[\theta]$  for some  $\theta \in k[s]$ ; see [3], *Prop. 2.1*.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** (See [12], Lemma 14) *Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let  $B$  be a commutative  $k$ -domain. Given  $r \in B$ , if  $r \notin ML(B)$ , then there exists  $t \in \text{frac}(\text{Alg}_{k[r]} B)$  such that  $\text{Alg}_{k[r]} B \subset k[t]$ .*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there exists  $D \in \text{LND}(B)$  with  $Dr \neq 0$ . If  $A = \ker D$  and  $K = \text{frac}(A)$ , then  $K \otimes_k B = K^{[1]}$  by equation (1). We therefore have  $\text{Alg}_{k[r]} B \subset K^{[1]}$ , and  $r \notin K$ . The result now follows by *Thm. 3.1*.  $\square$

Makar-Limanov asked whether this result generalizes to rings of transcendence degree 2: Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, and let  $B$  be a commutative  $k$ -domain. Given  $x, y \in B$ , does the following implication hold?

$$\text{Alg}_{k[x,y]} B \cap ML(B) = k \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Alg}_{k[x,y]} B \subset k^{[2]}$$

See [12], p.39.

**Example 3.1.** Let  $k \subset K$  be fields, where  $K = k[\alpha]$  is a simple algebraic extension of  $k$ , and  $[K : k] \geq 2$ . Define:

$$R = k[u, v] \subset K[s] = K^{[1]}$$

where  $u = \alpha s^2$  and  $v = \alpha s^3$ . Since  $s = v/u$  and  $\alpha = u^3/v^2$ , we see that  $\text{frac}(R) = K(s)$ . If  $R \subset k[t]$  for  $t \in \text{frac}(R)$ , then  $k(t) = \text{frac}(R) = K(s)$ , which is not possible. Therefore, the ring  $R$  cannot be embedded in  $k^{[1]}$ . This shows that the hypothesis that the field  $k$  be algebraically closed is necessary in the last statement of *Thm. 3.1*.

**Example 3.2.** As an illustration of *Cor. 3.1*, let  $k[x, y] = k^{[2]}$ , and write  $k[x, y] = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} V_i$ , where  $V_i$  is the vector space of binary forms of degree  $i$  over  $k$ . Define  $D \in \text{LND}(k[x, y])$  by  $D = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ . Then  $D$  is linear, meaning that  $D(V_i) \subset V_i$  for each  $i$ . Therefore, if  $B = k[V_2, V_3]$ , then  $D$  restricts to  $B$ . Let  $R$  be the algebraic closure of  $k[y^2]$  in  $B$ , noting that  $D(y^2) \neq 0$ . Then  $R = k[y^2, y^3]$  and  $\text{frac}(R) = k(y)$ .

#### 4. CANCELLATION THEOREM FOR RINGS OF TRANSCENDENCE DEGREE ONE

##### 4.1. Integral Extensions and the Conductor Ideal.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A \subset B$  be integral domains. The *conductor of  $B$  in  $A$*  is:

$$\mathcal{C}_A(B) = \{a \in A \mid aB \subset A\}$$

If  $\mathcal{O}$  is the integral closure of  $A$  in  $\text{frac}(A)$ , then the *conductor ideal of  $A$*  is  $\mathcal{C}_A(\mathcal{O})$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{C}_A(B)$  is an ideal of both  $A$  and  $B$ , and is the largest ideal of  $B$  contained in  $A$ . The following two properties of the conductor are easily verified.

$$(C.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{A^{[n]}}(B^{[n]}) = \mathcal{C}_A(B) \cdot B^{[n]} \text{ for every } n \geq 0$$

$$(C.2) \quad D\mathcal{C}_A(B) \subset \mathcal{C}_A(B) \text{ for every } D \in \text{Der}(B) \text{ restricting to } A$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $k$  be a field, let  $A$  be an integral domain containing  $k$ , and let  $\mathfrak{C} \subset A$  be the conductor ideal of  $A$ . If  $A$  is affine over  $k$ , then  $\mathfrak{C} \neq (0)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is affine over  $k$ , its normalization  $\mathcal{O}$  is also affine over  $k$ , and is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module (see [9], Ch. I, Thm. 3.9A). Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be a generating set for  $\mathcal{O}$  as an  $A$ -module, and let non-zero  $a \in A$  be such that  $a\omega_1, \dots, a\omega_n \in A$ . Then  $a \in \mathfrak{C}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** (Seidenberg [18]) *Let  $A$  be a noetherian integral domain containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the integral closure of  $A$  in  $\text{frac}(A)$ . Then every  $D \in \text{Der}(A)$  extends to  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

**Theorem 4.2.** (Vasconcelos [20]) *Let  $A \subset A'$  be integral domains containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $A'$  is an integral extension of  $A$ . If  $D \in \text{LND}(A)$  extends to  $D' \in \text{Der}(A')$ , then  $D' \in \text{LND}(A')$ .*

##### 4.2. The Theorem of Abhyankar, Eakin, and Heinzer.

**Theorem 4.3.** ([1], (3.3)) *Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $R, S$  be integral  $k$ -domains of transcendence degree one over  $k$ . If  $R^{[n]} \cong_k S^{[n]}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ , then  $R \cong_k S$ .*

*Proof.* (characteristic  $k = 0$ ) Since  $R$  is algebraically closed in  $R^{[n]}$ , we have:

$$\text{Alg}_k(R^{[n]}) = \text{Alg}_k(R)$$

Let  $\alpha : R^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{[n]}$  be an isomorphism of  $k$ -algebras. If  $k' = \text{Alg}_k(R)$ , then  $\alpha(k') = \text{Alg}_k(S)$ , since  $S$  is algebraically closed in  $S^{[n]}$ . Therefore, identifying  $k'$  and  $\alpha(k')$ , we can view  $R$  and  $S$  as  $k'$ -algebras, and  $\alpha$  as a  $k'$ -isomorphism. It thus suffices to assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed in  $R$ .

Since  $ML(R^{[n]}) \subset ML(R)$ , we see that  $ML(R^{[n]})$  is an algebraically closed subalgebra of  $R$ . Therefore, either  $ML(R^{[n]}) = R$  or  $ML(R^{[n]}) = k$ .

Case 1:  $ML(R^{[n]}) = R$ . In this case, we also must have  $ML(S^{[n]}) = S$ . Since  $\alpha$  maps the Makar-Limanov invariant onto itself, we conclude that  $\alpha(R) = S$ .

Case 2:  $ML(R^{[n]}) = k$ . We will show that  $R = k^{[1]}$  in this case. It suffices to assume that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field: If  $\hat{k}$  is the algebraic closure of  $k$ , and  $\hat{R} = \hat{k} \otimes_k R$ , then  $ML(\hat{R}^{[n]}) = \hat{k}$ . If

this implies  $\hat{R} = \hat{k}^{[1]}$ , then  $R = k^{[1]}$ . (All forms of the affine line over a perfect field are trivial; see [16].)

So assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. By hypothesis, there exists  $D \in \text{LND}(R^{[n]})$  with  $DR \neq 0$ . If  $\mathcal{O}$  is the integral closure of  $R$  in  $\text{frac}(R)$ , then  $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$  is the integral closure of  $R^{[n]}$  in  $\text{frac}(R^{[n]})$ . By property (C.1), if  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the conductor ideal of  $R$ , then  $\mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$  is the conductor ideal of  $R^{[n]}$ .

Let  $s$  be a local slice of  $D$ , and let  $K = \text{frac}(\ker D)$ . Then by equation (1),  $R \subset K[s]$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . By *Thm. 3.1*,  $R$  is  $k$ -affine, and there exists  $t \in \text{frac}(R)$  such that  $\mathcal{O} = k[t]$ . By the theorems of Seidenberg and Vasconcelos,  $D$  extends to a locally nilpotent derivation of  $\mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ ; and by property (C.2),  $D(\mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}) \subset \mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ .

By *Lemma 4.1*,  $\mathfrak{C} \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}$  is an ideal of  $k[t]$ , there exists non-zero  $h \in R$  with  $\mathfrak{C} = h \cdot k[t]$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{C} \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]} = h \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$  and  $D(h \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}) \subset h \cdot \mathcal{O}^{[n]}$ . Therefore,  $Dh = 0$ . If  $h \notin k$ , then  $k[h] \subset \ker D$  implies  $R \subset \ker D$ , which is not the case. Therefore,  $h \in k^*$  and  $R = k[t]$ . By symmetry,  $S = k^{[1]}$ .  $\square$

## 5. APPENDIX

Combining the theorems of Lüroth and Nagata gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Suppose that  $k \subset L$  are fields, where  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $L$  is finitely generated over  $k$ , and  $\text{tr.deg}_k L = 1$ . If there exists a field  $E$  containing  $k$  such that  $L \subset E^{(1)}$  and  $L \not\subset E$ , then  $L = k^{(1)}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $L \subset E(s) = E^{(1)}$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$  be such that  $L = k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Choose  $f_i(s), g_i(s) \in E[s]$  such that  $\alpha_i = f_i/g_i$ , and let  $K$  be the subfield of  $E$  generated by the coefficients of  $f_i$  and  $g_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $K$  is finitely generated over  $k$ , and  $L \subset K(s)$ . By Nagata's theorem, there exists a finite algebraic extension  $L \subset M^{(1)}$  for some field  $M$  containing  $k$ . Since the transcendence degree of  $L$  over  $k$  is one, we see that  $M$  is algebraic over  $k$ , i.e.,  $M = k$ . The corollary now follows by Lüroth's Theorem.  $\square$

We conclude by asking if the analogue of *Thm. 2.1* holds for Laurent polynomial rings: Let  $k$  be a field, and let  $R$  be an affine  $k$ -algebra. Suppose that there exists a field  $K$  with  $R \subset K^{[\pm 1]}$  and  $R \not\subset K$ . Does it follow that there exists a field  $F$  and an algebraic extension  $R \subset F^{[\pm 1]}$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abhyankar, P. Eakin, and W. Heinzer, *On the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a polynomial ring*, J. Algebra **23** (1972), 310–342.
- [2] G. Castelnuovo, *Sulla razionalità delle involuzioni piane*, Math. Ann. **44** (1894), 125–155.
- [3] P.M. Cohn, *Subalgebras of free associative algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **14** (1964), 618–632.
- [4] A. Crachiola, *On the AK-Invariant of Certain Domains*, Ph.D. thesis, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, 2004.
- [5] A. Crachiola and L. Makar-Limanov, *On the rigidity of small domains*, J. Algebra **284** (2005), 1–12.
- [6] A. Evyatar and A. Zaks, *Rings of polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (1970), 559–562.
- [7] G. Freudenburg, *Algebraic Theory of Locally Nilpotent Derivations*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 136, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2006.
- [8] P. Gordan, *Über biquadratische Gleichungen*, Math. Ann. **29** (1887), 318–326.
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM, vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [10] J. Igusa, *On a theorem of Luroth*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math. **26** (1951), 251–253.
- [11] J. Lüroth, *Beweis eines Satzes über rationale Kurven*, Math. Ann. **9** (1876), 163–165.
- [12] L. Makar-Limanov, *Locally nilpotent derivations, a new ring invariant and applications*, Lecture notes, Bar-Ilan University, 1998. Avail. at <http://www.math.wayne.edu/~lml/>.
- [13] M. Nagata, *A theorem on valuation rings and its applications*, Nagoya Math. J. **29** (1967), 85–91.
- [14] A. Nowicki, *Polynomial Derivations and their Rings of Constants*, Uniwersytet Mikolaja Kopernika, Toruń, 1994.
- [15] J. Ohm, *On ruled fields*, Séminaire de Théorie des Nombres, Bordeaux **1** (1988-1989), 27–49.
- [16] K.P. Russell, *Forms of the affine line and its additive group*, Pacific J. Math. **32** (1970), 527–539.
- [17] P. Samuel, *Some remarks on Lüroth's Theorem*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A. Math. **27** (1953), 223–224.
- [18] A. Seidenberg, *Derivations and integral closure*, Pacific J. Math. **16** (1966), 167–173.
- [19] E. Steinitz, *Algebraische Theorie der Körper*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **137** (1910), 167–309.

- [20] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Derivations of commutative noetherian rings*, Math Z. **112** (1969), 229–233.
- [21] A. Zaks, *Dedekind subrings of  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  are rings of polynomials*, Israel J. Math. **9** (1971), 285–289.

Department of Mathematics  
Western Michigan University  
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49008  
gene.freudenburg@wmich.edu