

VIRTUAL COVERS OF LINKS

MICAH CHRISMAN

ABSTRACT. We use virtual knot theory to detect the non-invertibility of some classical links in \mathbb{S}^3 . These links appear in the study of virtual covers. Briefly, a virtual cover associates a virtual knot v to a knot K in a 3-manifold N , under certain hypotheses on K and N . Virtual covers of links in \mathbb{S}^3 come from taking K to be in the complement N of a fibered link J . If $J \sqcup K$ is invertible and K is “close to” a fiber of J , then v satisfies a symmetry condition to which some virtual knot polynomials are sensitive. We also discuss virtual covers of links $J \sqcup K$ where J is not fibered but is virtually fibered (in the sense of W. Thurston).

It is well-known that quantum knot invariants cannot distinguish a knot in \mathbb{S}^3 from its inverse. For links, it is unknown if quantum invariants detect non-invertibility (see Duzhin-Karev [4]). The HOMFLYPT polynomial in particular does not detect it. Other methods for knots (e.g. Hartley [9]) and links (e.g. Whitten [23]) can be used, but they are difficult. The situation for virtual knots is better: easily computable asymmetry sensitive virtual knot invariants exist. Moreover, Manturov and the author recently [3] developed *virtual covers*. This technique associates virtual knots to *some* two component links. Here we exploit these facts to give simple proofs of non-invertibility for *some* links in \mathbb{S}^3 (see Section 1.6).

To do this, we build on [3] and establish a condition on links in \mathbb{S}^3 so that the associated virtual knot functions essentially as a link invariant (see Section 1.5). Besides detecting non-invertibility, the result provides an avenue for studying geometric properties of classical links with virtual knots. Further applications will be considered in Chrisman-Kaestner [2].

It is thus desirable to extend virtual covers from *some* links to *some more* links. In [3], two component links of the form $J \sqcup K$ with J fibered and $\text{lk}(J, K) = 0$ were considered. This generalizes easily to $m + 1$ component links $J \sqcup K$ with J a fibered m component link and K “close to” a fiber of J (see Section 1.4). Section 2 considers the case that J is not necessarily fibered but that $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus J$ has a finite-index cover by $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \tilde{J}$, where \tilde{J} is a fibered link. Such links J are examples of *virtually fibered links*, a class of links that conjecturally contains all hyperbolic links (see Walsh [21]).

1. VIRTUAL COVERS AND NON-INVERTIBILITY OF LINKS


1.1. Review: Virtual Knots. The four models of virtual knots are:

- (1) virtual knot diagrams modulo the extended Reidemeister moves (Kauffman [12]),
- (2) Gauss diagrams modulo diagram Reidemeister moves (Goussarov-Polyak-Viro [8]),
- (3) abstract knot diagrams modulo Kamada–Kamada equivalence [10], and
- (4) knots in thickened surfaces modulo stabilization/destabilization (Kuperberg [17]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M25, 57M27.

Key words and phrases. virtual knots, non-invertible links, Vassiliev filtration, virtually fibered links.


There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of each interpretation. If we have a representative R of one of the models (2), (3), or (4), we will denote by $\kappa(R)$ the corresponding equivalence class of virtual knot diagrams from model (1). The four models for a single virtual knot are shown below.



We use “ \rightleftharpoons ” to denote equivalence of virtual knots. If a virtual knot has a diagram whose crossings are all classical, then it is said to be a *classical knot*. If two classical knots are equivalent as virtual knots, then they are equivalent as knots in \mathbb{S}^3 [8]. A virtual knot is classical if and only if it can be represented as a diagram on a compact surface in \mathbb{R}^2 .

1.2. Virtual Covers. Let M be a smooth connected oriented 3-manifold and K^M a smooth oriented knot in $\text{int}(M)$. Knots in M are considered equivalent up to ambient isotopy in M . If $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, we assume that the isotopy acts as the identity on ∂M . We write $K_1^M \rightleftharpoons K_2^M$ if K_1^M and K_2^M are equivalent in M .

Let N be a compact connected oriented (c.c.o) 3-manifold having a regular orientation preserving covering space $\Pi : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N$, where Σ is a c.c.o smooth 2-manifold. If K^N is a knot in N and $\mathfrak{k}^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}}$ is a knot in $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\Pi(\mathfrak{k}) = K$, we call $\mathfrak{k}^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}}$ a *lift by Π* of K^N . A *virtual cover* of K^N is a choice $\mathfrak{k}^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}}$ of lift by Π for K^N , denoted $(\mathfrak{k}^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}}, \Pi, K^N)$. The oriented virtual knot $v = \kappa(\mathfrak{k}^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}})$ is called the *associated virtual knot*.



Viewing K as a closed path at a point x_0 in N , regularity implies there is a one-to-one correspondence between lifts by Π and elements of $\Pi^{-1}(x_0)$. If K^N has a lift by Π , let $\Upsilon(K^N)$ be the set of associated virtual knots for all lifts by Π of K^N . If $|\Upsilon(K^N)| = 1$, i.e. all the associated virtual knots are equivalent, then the unique element v is called the *invariant associated virtual knot*. In our notation, the main results of [3] can be restated compactly as follows (see also Krasnov-Manturov [16]).

Lemma 1. *Let $(\mathfrak{k}_0^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}}, \Pi, K_0^N), (\mathfrak{k}_1^{\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}}, \Pi, K_1^N)$ be virtual covers with invariant associated virtual knots v_0, v_1 , respectively. If $K_0^N \rightleftharpoons K_1^N$, then $v_0 \rightleftharpoons v_1$.*

Proof. This follows from the definitions and the proof of Lemma 3 from [3]. □

1.3. Interlude on Fibered Links. Let $V(X)$ denote some sufficiently small tubular neighborhood of X . For a link J in \mathbb{S}^3 , let, $N_J = \overline{\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(J)}$. By a fibered link, we will mean an oriented link in \mathbb{S}^3 having a c.c.o Seifert surface Σ_J such that the pair $(\overline{\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(\Sigma_J)}, \overline{\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(\Sigma_J)} \cap \partial N_J)$ is diffeomorphic as a pair to $(\Sigma_J \cap N_J, \partial(\Sigma_J \cap N_J)) \times \mathbb{I}$ (Kawauchi [13]). The surface Σ_J , called a *fiber*, must be oriented so that the induced orientation on the boundary is the orientation of J . Note we may identify N_J with a mapping torus $(\Sigma_J \cap N_J) \times \mathbb{I}/\psi$, with $\psi : \Sigma_J \cap N_J \rightarrow \Sigma_J \cap N_J$ an orientation preserving (o.p.) diffeomorphism. This defines a regular covering space $\Pi_J : (\Sigma_J \cap N_J) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow N_J$.¹

The Seifert surface Σ_J is of minimal genus and incompressible [13]. It is also unique in the sense that for any two minimal genus c.c.o Seifert surfaces Σ_1, Σ_2 of J , there is an ambient isotopy of \mathbb{S}^3 fixing J and taking Σ_1 to Σ_2 (see Kobayshi [14] and Whitten [22]). This is a special property of fibered links and is not always the case for an arbitrarily chosen link.

If two fibered links have known fibers, then a new fibered link can be constructed using a generalization of the boundary connect sum called *Murasugi's sums* [6]. The resulting link is fibered and the constructed surface is a fiber. Other techniques for finding fibers Σ_J are described in [19]. More recently, there is also *Futer's method* [5]. We will take the literature on the topic as a calculus we employ to find fibers. To manipulate fibers, draw them *disc-band form* (Burde-Zieschang [1]) and isotope using *topological script* (Kauffman [11]).

1.4. Examples of Virtual Covers. Consider an $m+1$ component oriented link $L = J \sqcup K$ in \mathbb{S}^3 , where J is a fibered m component link and K is a knot in the complement N_J . The components of L are ordered, where K is the “last” component. As above, Σ_J is an oriented c.c.o Seifert surface for J . It is two-sided in \mathbb{S}^3 , denoted red/blue in figures. The blue side is always chosen so that resting a thumb-up right hand on it reproduces the orientation of the surface and the right-handed orientation of \mathbb{S}^3 .

If K lies in a small neighborhood of Σ_J , a lift by Π_J is guaranteed. More precisely, we say that K^{N_J} is in *special Seifert form (SSF) relative to Σ_J* if K^{N_J} can be decomposed into a finite number of disjoint arcs in Σ_J and a finite number of “crossings” in small disjoint embedded 3-balls $B_i \subset \text{int}(N_J)$: each $B_i \cap \Sigma_J$ is an embedded disc dividing B_i into halves, so that $K \cap B_i$ consists of two disjoint arcs in different halves of ∂B_i configured as in the model on the left side of Figure 1 (see also [3]). As Π_J is a local diffeomorphism and $\Pi_J^{-1}(\Sigma_J) \approx \Sigma_J \times \mathbb{Z}$, any K^{N_J} in SSF relative to Σ_J has a lift by Π_J . Hence we have virtual covers of the form $(\mathfrak{t}^{\Sigma_J \times \mathbb{R}}, \Pi_J, K^{N_J})$.

If K^{N_J} is in SSF relative to Σ_J , it has an invariant associated virtual knot. Note that Σ_J is a framed neat submanifold of N_J (Kosinski [15]). Orient the normal bundle by choosing a normal vector \vec{v} at each point of Σ_J so that the surface orientation together with \vec{v} gives the right-handed orientation of \mathbb{S}^3 . Assume the SSF lies in this oriented tubular neighborhood $\Sigma_J \times (-1, 1)$ where $\Sigma_J \equiv \Sigma_J \times \{0\}$. An arc in a ball of the SSF is *overcrossing* (resp. *undercrossing*) if it lies in $\Sigma_J \times [0, 1)$ (resp. $\Sigma_J \times (-1, 0]$). The SSF thus defines a knot diagram $[K; \Sigma_J]$ on Σ_J . By [3], the invariant associated virtual is $\kappa([K; \Sigma_J])$. See Figure 1.

¹Henceforth, we will frequently abuse notation and fail to distinguish between Σ_J and $\Sigma_J \cap N_J$.




FIGURE 1. (Left) A “crossing” in special Seifert form. (Right) A knot K in SSF wrt a left handed trefoil fiber and the invariant associated virtual knot v .

For an example of virtual covers of knots K^N where N is closed, take $L = J \sqcup K$ where J is a fibered knot in \mathbb{S}^3 and K is in SSF relative to Σ_J . Let N be the manifold obtained by performing the 0-surgery on J . Then N is a fibered 3-manifold. A fiber can be obtained by gluing a disc to $\partial\Sigma_J \approx \mathbb{S}^1$. Hence K^N has an invariant associated virtual knot as above.

1.5. The Main Theorem. We show that the associated virtual knot can be used essentially as a link invariant. It is not an invariant in the traditional sense, but it is an invariant in the sense that if two equivalent links of the form $L = J \sqcup K$ as above have associated virtual knots, then the associated virtual knots must be equivalent. First, a lemma is needed.

Lemma 2. *If K is in SSF with respect to Σ_J and $H : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ is an o.p. diffeomorphism, then $H(K)$ is in SSF with respect to $H(\Sigma_J)$ and $\kappa([K; \Sigma_J]) \simeq \kappa([H(K); H(\Sigma_J)])$.*

Proof. An SSF is defined by embedded 3-balls B_i , discs on Σ_J , arcs on Σ_J , and “crossings” in balls B_i . These are mapped by H to 3-balls, disc/arcs on $H(\Sigma_J)$ and “crossings” in balls $H(B_i)$. $H(\Sigma_J)$ inherits its orientation from Σ_J and is a canonically oriented framed neat submanifold of $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus V(\partial H(\Sigma_J))$. H is o.p., so the overcrossing arcs of the SSF for K are mapped to the canonically chosen overcrossing arcs for $H(K)$ in SSF with respect to $H(\Sigma_J)$. Observe that the Gauss diagrams of $[K; \Sigma_J]$ and $[H(K); H(\Sigma_J)]$ are equivalent. \square

Theorem 3 (Main Theorem). *For $i = 1, 2$, let $L_i = J_i \sqcup K_i$ be oriented links with J_i a fibered link, K_i in SSF with respect to a fiber Σ_i of J_i , and v_i the invariant associated virtual knot. If $L_1 \simeq L_2$, then $v_1 \simeq v_2$.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there is an o.p. diffeomorphism $H : \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ taking J_1 to J_2 and K_1 to K_2 . Let $\Sigma'_2 = H^{-1}(\Sigma_2)$. This is a minimal genus c.c.o Seifert surface for J_1 . Since J_1 is a fibered link, its minimal genus Seifert surfaces are unique. Hence, there is an ambient isotopy G of \mathbb{S}^3 taking Σ'_2 to Σ_1 and fixing J_1 pointwise. In particular, the inherited orientation on Σ'_2 maps to the orientation of Σ_1 . By Lemma 2, $K_1 = H^{-1}(K_2)$ is in SSF with respect to $\Sigma'_2 = H^{-1}(\Sigma_2)$ and $\kappa([K_1; \Sigma'_2]) \simeq v_2$. Note also that G takes K_1 to a knot K'_1 in SSF with respect to Σ_1 . Again by Lemma 2, it follows that $v_2 \simeq \kappa([K'_1; \Sigma_1])$.

The ambient isotopy G is also an isotopy of the embeddings of circles K_1 and K'_1 . Since K_1 and K'_1 are in SSF with respect to Σ'_2 and Σ_1 , respectively, each level of the isotopy must lie in the interior of N_{J_1} . Hence, by the isotopy extension theorem [15], there is an ambient isotopy of N_{J_1} taking $(K_1)^{N_{J_1}}$ to $(K'_1)^{N_{J_1}}$. Thus there are virtual covers

$(\mathfrak{k}_1^{\Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{R}}, \Pi, K_1^{N_{J_1}})$ and $((\mathfrak{k}'_1)^{\Sigma_1 \times \mathbb{R}}, \Pi, (K'_1)^{N_{J_1}})$ and $(K_1)^{N_{J_1}} \rightleftharpoons (K'_1)^{N_{J_1}}$. By Lemma 1, it follows that $v_1 \rightleftharpoons \kappa([K_1; \Sigma_1]) \rightleftharpoons \kappa([K'_1; \Sigma_1]) \rightleftharpoons v_2$. \square

An immediate corollary is the following strengthening of Theorem 2 from [3]. It will be used to show that some links are non-split.

Corollary 4. *Let $L = J \sqcup K$ with J a fibered link, K in SSF with respect to a fiber Σ_J of J , and v the invariant associated virtual knot. If there is an embedded 3-ball B in \mathbb{S}^3 such that $K \subseteq \text{int}(B)$ and $J \subseteq \mathbb{S}^3 \setminus B$ (so that L is split), then $v \rightleftharpoons K$.*

Proof. There is an ambient isotopy moving K far away from Σ_J . Then K can be drawn in SSF with respect to some embedded 2-disc D disjoint from Σ_J . This disc can be made arbitrarily small and moved back to a disc D' on Σ_J . K' is in SSF with respect to Σ_J and clearly its invariant associated virtual $v' \rightleftharpoons K$. By Theorem 3, $v \rightleftharpoons K$. \square

Example: The three component link L on the right in Figure 2 is not split. Suppose it is split, so that \mathbb{S}^3 decomposes into 3-balls $B_1 \cup B_2$ each containing a component of L . The Hopf link J must have its components in the same ball, say B_1 , since it has linking number one. The third component K cannot be contained in B_2 : K is in SSF with respect to a fiber of the Hopf link with trivial invariant associated virtual knot and $K^{\mathbb{S}^3} \rightleftharpoons 4_1$ isn't trivial. \square




FIGURE 2. (Left) A non-split link $J \sqcup K$. (Middle) K in SSF with respect to a Hopf link fiber. (Right) The invariant associated virtual knot is trivial.

1.6. Non-Invertible Links. This section applies Theorem 3 to the detection of non-invertible links in \mathbb{S}^3 . Denote as usual the change of orientation of all components of L by $-L$. An oriented link L is said to be *invertible* if $L \rightleftharpoons -L$ (Note: the given ordering of the components is not changed).

If \mathfrak{k} is a knot diagram on a c.c.o surface, let $D_{\mathfrak{k}}$ denote any Gauss diagram of \mathfrak{k} . For any Gauss diagram D , let $\mathfrak{f}(D)$ denote the diagram obtained from D by changing the direction of each arrow (but not the sign).

Theorem 5. *Let $L = J \sqcup K$ be an $m + 1$ component oriented link, with J an m component fibered link, Σ_J a fiber, and K a knot in SSF with respect to Σ_J . If $L = J \sqcup K$ is invertible, then $\kappa(D_{[K; \Sigma_J]}) \rightleftharpoons -\kappa(\mathfrak{f}(D_{[K; \Sigma_J]}))$.*

Proof. Recall that the orientation of Σ_J induces the given orientation on $\partial \Sigma_J = J$. A fiber Σ'_J for $-J$ may be obtained by changing the orientation of Σ_J . This also changes the framing of Σ_J ; just switch the blue and red sides. Clearly, $-K$ is in SSF with respect to Σ'_J . A Gauss diagram for $[-K; \Sigma'_J]$ can be obtained from $D_{[K; \Sigma_J]}$ by changing the orientation of $D_{[K; \Sigma_J]}$

and switching each overcrossing arc in the SSF to an undercrossing arc (and vice versa). This does not change the local orientation of the crossing. Now apply Theorem 3. \square

Example: The link $L = J \sqcup K$ on the left in Figure 3 is a non-split non-invertible link with invertible components. An evident Seifert surface Σ_J in disc-band form is depicted. J is fibered and invertible: it is a connect sum of 3_1 (leftmost two bands), 5_1 (next four bands) and 3_1 (rightmost two bands). As a Murasugi sum of fibers of fibered knots, Σ_J is a fiber. K is invertible as it a left handed trefoil (after some effort). A Gauss diagram D of the invariant associated virtual knot v is on the right of the figure. The (reduced) Sawollek polynomial [18] shows that $D \neq -\mathfrak{f}(D)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}_D(x, y) &= -1 + x^2 - x^3 + x^4 + \frac{x^3}{y^3} + \frac{x^4}{y^3} - y + xy + xy^2 - x^2y^2, \\ \tilde{Z}_{-\mathfrak{f}(D)}(x, y) &= -1 + x^2 - x^3 + x^4 + \frac{x^3}{y^2} - \frac{x^4}{y^2} - \frac{x}{y} + \frac{x^2}{y} - y^3 + xy^3.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, L is not invertible. The Sawollek polynomial of a classical knot is 0, so v is non-classical and hence not equivalent to K . By Corollary 4, L is non-split. \square




FIGURE 3. (Left) A non-invertible non-split link with invertible components. (Right) The invariant associated virtual knot.

The previous example can be generalized to any number of components. Whitten proved [23] that for every $m \geq 2$, there is an m component non-invertible non-split link all of whose proper sublinks are invertible. This is the inspiration behind the following result.

Corollary 6. *For every $m \geq 2$, there are infinitely many m component non-invertible non-split links $L_{m,i}$ all of whose components are invertible. Moreover, $L_{m,i} = J_{m,i,1} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup J_{m,i,m-1} \sqcup K_{m,i}$ may be chosen so that each proper sublink not containing $J_{m,i,1}$ is invertible.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma_{2,1}$ be the surface indicated in Figure 3. Construct a surface $\Sigma_{m,i}$ by taking Murasugi sums [6] with $i - 1$ trefoil fibers Σ_T and $m - 2$ Hopf link fibers Σ_H . The desired operations are topologically boundary connect sums of surfaces, as indicated in Figure 4. $\Sigma_{m,i}$ is an oriented fiber of the oriented fibered link $\partial\Sigma_{m,i}$ (Stallings [19]). The link $\partial\Sigma_{m,i}$

has $m - 2$ unknotted components and one component that is a connect sum of 5_1 and $i + 1$ right handed trefoils. Set this last component to be $J_{m,i,1}$ and label the other components arbitrarily. Define $K_{m,i}$ to be the (red) knot K from Figure 3.

By construction, $K_{m,i}$ is in SSF with respect to $\Sigma_{m,i}$. Its invariant associated virtual knot is depicted on the right in Figure 3. Thus $L_{m,i}$ is non-invertible (see previous example). All of the components are invertible. Any proper sublink not containing $J_{m,i,1}$ is a split link that splits into a trefoil and an unlink. Such a link is invertible.

Lastly we must show that $L_{m,i}$ is non-split. If it is split, then \mathbb{S}^3 can be decomposed into two 3-balls B_1 and B_2 each containing at least one component of $L_{m,i}$. Linking number considerations imply that all of the components $J_{j,i,k}$ must be in the same 3-ball, say B_1 . But $K_{j,i}$ cannot be in B_2 since the associated virtual knot is not classical (by Corollary 4). \square




FIGURE 4. Murasugi sums used in the proof of Corollary 6.

2. VIRTUAL COVERS AND VIRTUALLY FIBERED LINKS

Previously links in \mathbb{S}^3 of the form $J \sqcup K$ where J is fibered have been considered. Virtual covers can also be applied to links of the form $J \sqcup K$ where J is not fibered. We will consider links where N_J has a finite index cover by $N_{\tilde{J}}$, where \tilde{J} is a fibered link. Such a link is an example of a *virtually² fibered link* [21]. More generally, a virtually fibered 3-manifold is one which has a finite index cover by a fibered 3-manifold (Thurston [20]).

Example: We review Gabai’s [7] example of a non-fibered, virtually fibered hyperbolic link. Let J be the two component link on the right hand side of Figure 5 and \tilde{J} the three component link on the left hand side of Figure 5. An index two covering projection $N_{\tilde{J}} \rightarrow N_J$ can be described as follows. A “dented cube” C with a tangle removed is depicted at the bottom of Figure 6. This is a fundamental region of an index two covering projection $C \sqcup C \rightarrow C$ (see Figure 6). N_J is constructed by identifying the golden annulus Y and red annulus R in Figure 7 and then identifying the blue (B) and green (G) twice punctured discs in Figure 7. The corresponding identifications on $C \sqcup C$ produce $N_{\tilde{J}}$. The calculus described in Section 1.3 can be used to show that the surface $\Sigma_{\tilde{J}}$ in Figure 8, after an ambient isotopy of \tilde{J} , is a fiber for \tilde{J} . By [7], J is non-fibered and hyperbolic. \square

²The double use of the word “virtual” is admittedly confusing, but unavoidable.




FIGURE 5. The links \tilde{J} (Left) and J (Right) (drawn in SnapPy).




FIGURE 6. Constructing Gabai's double cover $N_{\tilde{J}}$ of N_J .

Let $L = J \sqcup K$ and suppose that J is a virtually fibered link admitting a finite-index covering $p : N_{\tilde{J}} \rightarrow N_J$, where \tilde{J} is a fibered link. Denote the index by n . Assume also that p is regular and orientation preserving. Suppose that there is a knot \tilde{K} in $N_{\tilde{J}}$ such that $p(\tilde{K}) = K$ (as functions defined on \mathbb{S}^1). By regularity, there are n such knots $\tilde{K} = \tilde{K}(1), \dots, \tilde{K}(n)$. Suppose that each $\tilde{K}(i)$ is in SSF with respect to some fiber $\Sigma(i)$ of \tilde{J} . The *associated virtual knot spectrum* of K in N_J is the formal sum:

$$\sigma_K = \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa([\tilde{K}(i); \Sigma(i)]).$$

We consider σ_K as an element of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{V}]$, the free abelian group generated by the equivalence classes of oriented virtual knots.




FIGURE 7. The identifications of the bottom cube in Figure 6 to obtain N_J .




FIGURE 8. Gabai's fiber for $N_{\tilde{J}}$.

Theorem 7. Let $K_1^{N_J}$, $K_2^{N_J}$ have associated virtual knot spectra σ_1 , σ_2 , respectively. If $K_1^{N_J} \simeq K_2^{N_J}$, then $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$.

Proof. View the ambient isotopy as an isotopy of the functions $K_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow N_J$. The isotopy lifts to $N_{\tilde{J}}$ and connects some lift of K_1 to some lift of K_2 . Again applying the isotopy extension theorem we see that these are equivalences of knots in $N_{\tilde{J}}$. Say $\tilde{K}_1(i) \simeq \tilde{K}_2(j_i)$. Apply this to all lifts of K_1 to get a permutation of $1, \dots, n$ defined by $i \rightarrow j_i$.

Let $\tilde{L}_k(i) = \tilde{J} \sqcup \tilde{K}_k(i)$. By the above, we have an equivalence $\tilde{L}_1(i) \simeq \tilde{L}_2(j_i)$. By hypothesis, each $\tilde{K}_k(i)$ is in SSF with respect to some fiber $\Sigma_k(i)$. By Theorem 3, it follows that $\kappa([\tilde{K}_1(i); \Sigma_1(i)]) \simeq \kappa([\tilde{K}_2(j_i); \Sigma_2(j_i)])$. Hence $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. \square

Example: Let J, \tilde{J} be as in Gabai's example. The covering $p : N_{\tilde{J}} \rightarrow N_J$ is regular because it has index 2. Clearly p preserves orientation. Let K be the (red) knot drawn in the detail of the middle panel of Figure 9 oriented arbitrarily. We will show K is non-invertible in N_J . K has two lifts $\tilde{K}(1)$ and $\tilde{K}(2)$. $\tilde{K}(1)$ is in SSF with respect to Gabai's fiber $\Sigma_{\tilde{J}}$ (top panel). The invariant associated virtual knot $v(1) = \kappa([\tilde{K}(1); \Sigma_J])$ is in the bottom left panel. This

is equivalent to the non-invertible classical knot 8_{17} , oriented as $\vec{8}_{17}$. The knot $\tilde{K}(2)$ can also be put into special SSF with respect to Σ_J (after some effort). The associated virtual knot $v(2)$ is also $\vec{8}_{17}$. Hence $\sigma_K = 2 \cdot \vec{8}_{17}$. But $\sigma_{-K} = 2 \cdot (-\vec{8}_{17})$. Hence $K \not\equiv -K$ in N_J . Note however that K is a trefoil in \mathbb{S}^3 , and hence is invertible in \mathbb{S}^3 (bottom right panel). \square

2.1. **Thanks.** To A. Kaestner, K. Orr, and R. Todd, for encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Burde and H. Zieschang. *Knots*, volume 5 of *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, second edition, 2003.
- [2] M. Chrisman and A. Kaestner. Virtual covers of links II. to appear in *E. Flapan, A. Henrich, A. Kaestner, and S. Nelson (Eds.), Knot Theoretic Structures & Spatial Graphs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, (2017)*, arXiv:1512.02667[math.GT].
- [3] M. W. Chrisman and V. O. Manturov. Fibered knots and virtual knots. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 22(12):1341003, 23, 2013.
- [4] S. V. Duzhin and M. V. Karev. Determination of the orientation of string links using finite-type invariants. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 41(3):48–59, 96, 2007.
- [5] D. Futer. Fiber detection for state surfaces. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(5):2799–2807, 2013.
- [6] D. Gabai. The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation. In *Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981)*, vol. 20 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 131–143. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.
- [7] D. Gabai. On 3-manifolds finitely covered by surface bundles. In *Low-dimensional topology and Kleinian groups (Coventry/Durham, 1984)*, volume 112 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 145–155. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [8] M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, and O. Viro. Finite-type invariants of classical and virtual knots. *Topology*, 39(5):1045–1068, 2000.
- [9] R. Hartley. Identifying noninvertible knots. *Topology*, 22(2):137–145, 1983.
- [10] N. Kamada and S. Kamada. Abstract link diagrams and virtual knots. *Journal of Knot Theory and its Ramifications*, 9:93–106, 2000.
- [11] L. H. Kauffman. *On knots*, volume 115 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987.
- [12] L. H. Kauffman. Virtual knot theory. *European J. Combin.*, 20(7):663–690, 1999.
- [13] A. Kawauchi. *A survey of knot theory*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1996. Translated and revised from the 1990 Japanese original by the author.
- [14] T. Kobayashi. Uniqueness of minimal genus Seifert surfaces for links. *Topology Appl.*, 33(3):265–279, 1989.
- [15] A. A. Kosinski. *Differential manifolds*, volume 138 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.
- [16] V. A. Krasnov and V. O. Manturov. Graph-valued invariants of virtual and classical links and minimality problem. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 22(12):1341006, 14, 2013.
- [17] G. Kuperberg. What is a virtual link? *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 3:587–591, 2003.
- [18] J. Sawollek. On Alexander-Conway Polynomials for Virtual Knots and Links. *arXiv:9912173[math.GT]*, December 1999.
- [19] J. R. Stallings. Constructions of fibred knots and links. In *Algebraic and geometric topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 2*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXII, pages 55–60. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1978.
- [20] W. P. Thurston. Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 6(3):357–381, 1982.
- [21] G. S. Walsh. Great circle links and virtually fibered knots. *Topology*, 44(5):947–958, 2005.
- [22] W. Whitten. Isotopy types of knot spanning surfaces. *Topology*, 12:373–380, 1973.
- [23] W. C. Whitten, Jr. On noninvertible links with invertible proper sublinks. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 26:341–346, 1970.




FIGURE 9. The knot K in N_J (middle, red), the knot $\tilde{K}(1)$ in $N_{\tilde{J}}$ (top, red), associated virtual knot $v(1) \rightleftharpoons 8_{17}$ (bottom left), and K depicted as a left handed trefoil in \mathbb{S}^3 (bottom right).