

# MULTIPLICITY FORMULA FOR RESTRICTION OF REPRESENTATIONS OF $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ TO $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$

SHIV PRAKASH PATEL AND DIPENDRA PRASAD

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove a certain multiplicity formula regarding the restriction of an irreducible admissible genuine representation of a 2-fold cover  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  to the 2-fold cover  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ , and find in particular that this multiplicity may not be one, a result that seems to have been noticed before. The proofs follow the standard path via Waldspurger’s analysis of theta correspondence between  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This paper will be concerned with certain 2-fold covers of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  to be called the metaplectic covering of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ , where  $E$  is a non-Archimedean local field. We recall that there is a unique (up to isomorphism) non-trivial 2-fold cover of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  called the metaplectic cover and denoted by  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  in this paper, but there are many inequivalent 2-fold coverings of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  which extend this 2-fold covering of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ . We fix a covering of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  as follows. Observe that  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  is the semi-direct product of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  and  $E^\times$ , where  $E^\times$  sits inside  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  as  $e \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

This action of  $E^\times$  on  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  lifts uniquely to an action of  $E^\times$  on  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ . Denote  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E) = \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E) \rtimes E^\times$  and call this the metaplectic cover of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ . Thus the metaplectic cover of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  that we consider in this paper is that cover of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  which extends the metaplectic cover of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  and is further split on the subgroup  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : e \in E^\times \right\}$ . Moreover, we have the following short exact sequence of locally compact topological groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{GL}_2(E) \longrightarrow 1.$$

For any subset  $X$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  we write  $\widetilde{X}$  for its inverse image in  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ . Let  $Z$  be the center of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  which we identify with  $E^\times$ . It can be checked that  $\widetilde{Z}$  is an abelian subgroup of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$  but is not the center of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ ; the center of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$  is

---

*Date:* February 3, 2020.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E35; Secondary 22E50.

*Key words and phrases.* Covering groups, multiplicity formula, restriction of representations.

$\tilde{Z}^2$ . The centralizer of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  inside  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$  is  $\tilde{Z}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+ = \tilde{Z} \cdot \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a genuine character of  $\tilde{Z}$  and  $\tau$  an irreducible admissible genuine representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ . We say that  $\mu$  and  $\tau$  are compatible if  $\mu|_{\{\pm 1\}} = \omega_\tau$  where  $\omega_\tau$  is the central character of  $\tau$  and if so, we define a representation  $\mu\tau$  of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$  whose restriction to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  is  $\tau$  and central character is  $\mu$ . One may choose the representatives of the quotient  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)/\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+ \cong E^\times/E^{\times 2}$  to be  $g(a) := \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $a \in E^\times$  representing a coset of  $E^{\times 2}$ . We write  $(\mu\tau)^a$  for the conjugate representation of  $\mu\tau$  by the element  $g(a)$ . Since the quadratic Hilbert symbol is non-degenerate, if  $a \in E^\times - E^{\times 2}$  then  $\mu \neq \mu^a$  where  $\mu^a(\tilde{z}) = \mu(\tilde{z})(a, z)$  with  $z = p(\tilde{z})$ . It follows that if  $a \in E^\times - E^{\times 2}$  then  $\mu\tau \not\cong (\mu\tau)^a$ , indeed the central characters  $\mu\tau$  and  $(\mu\tau)^a$  are different. By Clifford theory,  $\tilde{\pi} := \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)}(\mu\tau)$  is an irreducible admissible genuine representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ . Moreover, every irreducible admissible genuine representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$  arises in this fashion. If  $\tilde{\pi} = \mathrm{ind}_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+}^{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)}(\mu\tau)$  then by Mackey theory it is easy to see that

$$\tilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+} = \bigoplus_{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}} (\mu\tau)^a, \quad (1)$$

Since  $(\mu\tau)^a \not\cong (\mu\tau)^b$  if  $ab^{-1} \notin E^{\times 2}$ , the restriction of  $\tilde{\pi}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$  is multiplicity free. Further restriction of  $\tilde{\pi}$  to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  is given by

$$\tilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)} = \bigoplus_{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}} \tau^a. \quad (2)$$

This identification of  $\tilde{\pi}$  restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  allows us to study the multiplicity of the restriction of a representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$  restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ , and in particular shows that it may be greater than one.

## 2. $\theta$ -CORRESPONDENCE AND WALDSPURGER INVOLUTION

In this section, we recall some results of Waldspurger from [2], related to  $\theta$ -correspondence between  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E) = \mathrm{SO}(2, 1)$  and that between  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and  $\mathrm{PD}^\times = \mathrm{SO}(3)$ , where  $D$  is the unique quaternion division algebra over  $E$ . We will use these results repeatedly.

Now fix a non-trivial additive character  $\psi$  of  $E$ . With respect to this  $\psi$ , one has the  $\theta$ -correspondence between irreducible admissible genuine representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and irreducible admissible representations of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \xrightarrow{\theta(-, \psi)} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)),$$

as well as one between irreducible admissible genuine representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and irreducible admissible representations of  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \xrightarrow{\theta(-, \psi)} \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PD}^\times).$$

This correspondence  $\tau \mapsto \theta(\tau, \psi)$  depends on  $\psi$  and will be abbreviated to  $\tau \mapsto \theta(\tau)$  as  $\psi$  will be fixed. The  $\theta$ -correspondence between  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  gives a one to one mapping from the subset of irreducible admissible genuine representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  which have  $\psi$ -Whittaker model onto all irreducible admissible representations of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ . Similarly,  $\theta$ -correspondence between  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  and  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  gives a one to one mapping from the subset of irreducible admissible genuine representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  which do not have  $\psi$ -Whittaker model onto all irreducible representations of  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ . Thus  $\theta$ -correspondence defines a bijection (which depends on the choice of  $\psi$ ):

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)) \bigsqcup \mathrm{Irr}(\mathrm{PD}^\times). \quad (3)$$

Now we can describe the Waldspurger involution [2]  $W : \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Irr}(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E))$  which is defined using

- (1) the  $\theta$ -correspondence from  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  to  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ ,
- (2) the  $\theta$ -correspondence from  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  to  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  and
- (3) the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence between representations of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  and  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ , and makes the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{PGL}_2(E) \\ w \updownarrow & & \updownarrow J-L \\ \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E) & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathrm{PD}^\times \end{array}$$

This involution is defined on the set of all representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  whose fixed points are precisely the irreducible admissible genuine representations which are not discrete series representations. Denote this involution by  $\tau \mapsto \tau_W$ . This involution is independent of the character  $\psi$  chosen to define it.

The following theorem summarizes some of the results of Waldspurger from [2] which are relevant to our analysis. This theorem is in terms of the local  $\epsilon$ -factors of Jacquet-Langlands, which we will use without reviewing.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\tau$  be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ . Let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial additive character of  $E$ . For  $a \in E^\times$ , let  $\chi_a$  be the quadratic character of  $E^\times$  defined by  $\chi_a(x) = (a, x)$  where  $(-, -)$  denotes the Hilbert symbol with values in  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Both the representations  $\tau$  and  $\tau^a$  of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  are in the domain*

of theta correspondence (with respect to the character  $\psi$ ) either with  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  or with  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  if and only if

$$\epsilon(\theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a) = \chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\theta(\tau)),$$

and then

$$\theta(\tau^a) \cong \theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a.$$

If  $\epsilon(\theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a) = -\chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\theta(\tau))$ , and if  $\theta(\tau)$  is a representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  then  $\theta(\tau^a)$  is a representation of  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  and vice-versa, and

$$\theta(\tau^a) = \theta(\tau)^{JL} \otimes \chi_a.$$

### 3. MULTIPLICITY FORMULA ON RESTRICTION FROM $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ TO $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$

Let  $\tilde{\pi}$  be an irreducible admissible genuine representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a character of  $\tilde{Z}$  and  $\tau$  an irreducible representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ , which are compatible, such that  $\mu\tau$  appears in  $\tilde{\pi}$  restricted to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$ . We have

$$\tilde{\pi}|_{\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+} = \bigoplus_{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}} (\mu^a \tau^a)$$

where  $a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2}$  are elements of the split torus  $T \cong E^\times \times E^\times$  of the form  $\mathrm{diag}(a, 1)$ . Since the restriction of  $\mu\tau$  from  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_2(E)_+$  to  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  is  $\tau$ , the multiplicity with which the representation  $\tau$  appears in  $\tilde{\pi}$ , to be denoted by  $m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau)$ , is given by

$$m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau) = \#\{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2} : \tau^a \cong \tau\}.$$

**Lemma 1.** *For an irreducible admissible representation  $\tau$  of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ , and  $a \in E^\times$ , we have*

$$\tau \cong \tau^a \iff \begin{cases} (1) & \theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a \cong \theta(\tau) \\ (2) & \chi_a(-1) = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It  $\tau \cong \tau^a$ , then considering the central characters on both sides, we find that  $\chi_a(-1) = 1$ . Further, if  $\tau \cong \tau^a$ , then in particular, they both have  $\theta$  lifts either to  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  or  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$ , and  $\theta(\tau) \cong \theta(\tau^a)$ . Thus from Theorem 1 due to Waldspurger, we deduce the assertion in the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *The multiplicity of  $\tau$  in  $\tilde{\pi}$  is given by*

$$m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau) = \#\{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2} : \theta(\tau) \otimes \chi_a \cong \theta(\tau) \text{ and } \chi_a(-1) = +1\}.$$

It is well-known that for a representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ , cf. [1]

$$m(\pi) := \#\{a \in E^\times/E^{\times 2} : \pi \cong \pi \otimes \chi_a\} \in \{1, 2, 4\}.$$

The condition  $\chi_a(-1) = 1$  is automatic in some situations for example if  $-1 \in E^{\times 2}$ . Thus we get  $m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau)$  to be any of the following possibilities:

$$m(\tilde{\pi}, \tau) = 1, 2 \text{ or } 4$$

for some  $p$ -adic field for any  $p$ , including  $p = 2$ .

## 4. A LEMMA ON WALDSPURGER INVOLUTION

We recall that for an irreducible admissible genuine representation  $\tau$  of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ , the central characters of  $\tau$  and  $\tau_W$  are different. The group  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$ , or what amounts to simply  $E^\times$  sitting inside  $\mathrm{GL}_2(E)$  as  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : e \in E^\times \right\}$ , acts on the set of irreducible representations of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  denoted by  $\tau \mapsto \tau^a$  for  $a \in E^\times$ . Since a similar action produces an  $L$ -packet for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$ , whereas for  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ , one defines an  $L$ -packet by taking  $\tau$  and  $\tau_W$ , we investigate in this section if it can happen that  $\tau_W \cong \tau^a$  for some  $a \in E^\times$  and  $\tau$  a discrete series representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\tau$  be a discrete series representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ . Let  $\psi$  be a non-trivial additive character of  $E$  such that  $\tau$  has  $\theta$  lift to  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  with respect to  $\psi$ . Then there exists  $a \in E^\times$  with  $\tau^a \cong \tau_W$  if and only if for  $\pi = \theta(\tau, \psi)$ , we have*

- (i)  $\pi \cong \pi \otimes \chi_a$
- (ii)  $\chi_a(-1) = -1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi = \theta(\tau, \psi)$  and  $\theta(\tau_W, \psi) = \pi^{JL}$ , where  $\pi^{JL}$  denotes the representation of  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  which is associated to  $\pi$  via the Jacquet-Langlands correspondence. From Theorem 1 it follows that if  $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \chi_a) = \chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\pi)$ , then  $\tau^a$  lift to  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  and not to  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  and hence  $\tau^a$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\tau_W$ . Thus if  $\tau^a$  were isomorphic to  $\tau_W$ , then we must have  $\epsilon(\pi \otimes \chi_a) = -\chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\pi)$ . In this case, by Theorem 1,  $\tau^a$  lifts to  $\mathrm{PD}^\times$  and is  $\pi^{JL} \otimes \chi_a$ . Therefore

$$\tau^a \cong \tau_W \iff \begin{cases} (i) & \epsilon(\pi \otimes \chi_a) = -\chi_a(-1)\epsilon(\pi) \\ (ii) & \pi^{JL} \cong \pi^{JL} \otimes \chi_a. \end{cases}$$

The equations (i) and (ii) can be combined to say that

$$\tau^a \cong \tau_W \iff \begin{cases} (i) & \pi \cong \pi \otimes \chi_a \\ (ii) & \chi_a(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

As a consequence of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\tau$  be an irreducible genuine discrete series representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$ . Let  $m_1 = \#\{\tau^a, (\tau_W)^a \mid a \in E^\times\}$ , and let  $m_2$  be the cardinality of the  $L$ -packet of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  determined by  $\theta(\tau, \psi)$ . Then*

$$m_1 \cdot m_2 = 2[E^\times : E^{\times 2}].$$

**Corollary 3.** *If  $\pi$  is a principal series representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$  with  $\pi \otimes \chi_a \cong \pi$ , then  $\pi$  must be the principal series representation  $Ps(\mu, \mu\chi_a)$  with  $\mu^2 = \chi_a$ , and as a result  $\chi_a(-1) = \mu^2(-1) = 1$ . Therefore if  $\tau$  is an irreducible admissible representation of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(E)$  with  $\theta(\tau)$  an irreducible principal series representation of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(E)$ , then*

for  $m_1 = \#\{\tau^a \mid a \in E^\times\}$ , and  $m_2$  the cardinality of the  $L$ -packet of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(E)$  determined by  $\theta(\tau, \psi)$ ,

$$m_1 \cdot m_2 = [E^\times : E^{\times 2}].$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands, '*L-Indistinguishability for  $\mathrm{SL}(2)$* ', *Canad. J. Math.*, vol. 31, No. 4 (1979), 726-785.
- [2] J.-L. Waldspurger, '*Correspondance de Shimura et quaternions*', *Forum Mathematicum*, vol. 3, No. 3 (1991), 219-307.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

*E-mail address:* shiv@math.tifr.res.in

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

*E-mail address:* dprasad@math.tifr.res.in