

ON THE UPPER BOUNDS FOR THE CONSTANTS OF THE HARDY–LITTLEWOOD INEQUALITY

GUSTAVO ARAÚJO, DANIEL PELLEGRINO, AND DIOGO DINIZ P. DA SILVA E SILVA

ABSTRACT. The best known upper estimates for the constants of the Hardy–Littlewood inequality for m -linear forms on ℓ_p spaces are of the form $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$. We present better estimates which depend on p and m . An interesting consequence is that if $p \geq m^2$ then the constants have a subpolynomial growth as m tends to infinity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{K} be \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Given an integer $m \geq 2$, the Hardy–Littlewood inequality (see [1, 8, 12]) asserts that for $2m \leq p \leq \infty$ there exists a constant $C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \geq 1$ such that, for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{m+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{m+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|.$$

Using the generalized Kahane–Salem–Zygmund inequality (see [1]) one can easily verify that the exponents $\frac{2mp}{m+p-2m}$ are optimal. The case $p = \infty$ recovers the classical Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [4]). More precisely, it asserts that there exists a constant $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ such that for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \cdots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n ,

$$(2) \quad \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2m}{m+1}} \right)^{\frac{m+1}{2m}} \leq B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}} \|T\|.$$

From [3, 11] we know that $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ has a subpolynomial growth. On the other hand, the best known upper bounds for the constants in (1) are $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ (see [1, 2, 6]). In this paper we show that $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ can be improved to

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2} \right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}} \right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}$$

for real scalars and to

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}} \right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}$$

for complex scalars. These estimates are quite better than $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$ because $B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}}$ has a subpolynomial growth. Moreover, our estimates depend on p and m and catch more subtle information. For instance, if $p \geq m^2$ we conclude that $(C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}})_{m=1}^\infty$ has a subpolynomial growth. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $2m \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \ell_p^n \times \cdots \times \ell_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and all positive integers n , we have*

$$\left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{2mp}{m+p-2m}} \right)^{\frac{m+p-2m}{2mp}} \leq C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}} \|T\|$$

with

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(\sqrt{2} \right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}} \right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}$$

and

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} (B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}})^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}.$$

2. THE PROOF

We recall that the Khinchin inequality (see [5]) asserts that for any $0 < q < \infty$, there are positive constants A_q, B_q such that regardless of the scalar sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in ℓ_2 we have

$$A_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j r_j(t) \right|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where r_j are the Rademacher functions. More generally, from the above inequality together with the Minkowski inequality we know that

$$(3) \quad A_q^m \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{\infty} |a_{j_1 \dots j_m}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_I \left| \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{\infty} a_{j_1 \dots j_m} r_{j_1}(t_1) \dots r_{j_m}(t_m) \right|^q dt_1 \dots dt_m \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq B_q^m \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{\infty} |a_{j_1 \dots j_m}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $I = [0, 1]^m$ and all $(a_{j_1, \dots, j_m})_{j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^{\infty}$ in ℓ_2 . The notation of the constant A_q above will be used in all this paper.

Let $1 \leq s \leq 2$ and

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{2s}{ms + s - 2m + 2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{m-1}{s} + \frac{1}{\lambda_0} = \frac{m+1}{2},$$

from the generalized Bohnenblust–Hille inequality (see [1]) we know that there is a constant $C_m \geq 1$ such that for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_{\infty}^n \times \dots \times \ell_{\infty}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ we have

$$(4) \quad \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\hat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_0}} \leq C_m \|T\|.$$

Above, $\sum_{\hat{j}_i=1}^n$ means the sum over all j_k for all $k \neq i$. If we choose

$$s = \frac{2mp}{mp + p - 2m} \quad \text{if } p < \infty,$$

$$s = \frac{2m}{m+1} \quad \text{if } p = \infty,$$

we have

$$\lambda_0 \leq s \leq 2,$$

and $\lambda_0 = s$ when $p = \infty$.

The multiple exponent

$$(\lambda_0, s, s, \dots, s)$$

can be obtained by interpolating the multiple exponents $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$ and $\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{2m}{m+1} \right)$ with, respectively,

$$\theta_1 = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{s} \right)$$

$$\theta_2 = m \left(\frac{2}{s} - 1 \right),$$

in the sense of [1].

It is thus important to control the constants associated to the multiple exponents $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$ and $\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{2m}{m+1} \right)$.

The exponent $\left(\frac{2m}{m+1}, \dots, \frac{2m}{m+1}\right)$ is the classical exponent of the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality and the estimate of the constant associated to $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$ is well-known (we present the details for the sake of completeness). In fact, in general, for the exponent $\left(\frac{2k}{k+1}, \dots, \frac{2k}{k+1}, 2, \dots, 2\right)$ (with $\frac{2k}{k+1}$ repeated k times and 2 repeated $m - k$ times), using the multiple Khinchin inequality (3), we have, for all m -linear forms $T : \ell_\infty^n \times \dots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_m=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{2k}{k+1}} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}} \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k=1}^n \left(A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)} \left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-k}} \left| \sum_{j_{k+1}, \dots, j_m=1}^n r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) \dots r_{j_m}(t_m) T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m}) \right|^{\frac{2k}{k+1}} dt_{k+1} \dots dt_m \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}} \\
& = A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)} \left(\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k=1}^n \int_{[0,1]^{m-k}} \left| T \left(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}, \sum_{j_{k+1}=1}^n r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) e_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, \sum_{j_m=1}^n r_{j_m}(t_m) e_{j_m} \right) \right|^{\frac{2k}{k+1}} dt_{k+1} \dots dt_m \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}} \\
& = A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)} \left(\int_{[0,1]^{m-k}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_k=1}^n \left| T \left(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_k}, \sum_{j_{k+1}=1}^n r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) e_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, \sum_{j_m=1}^n r_{j_m}(t_m) e_{j_m} \right) \right|^{\frac{2k}{k+1}} dt_{k+1} \dots dt_m \right)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}} \\
& \leq A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)} \sup_{t_{k+1}, \dots, t_m \in [0,1]} B_{\mathbb{K}, k}^{\text{mult}} \left\| T \left(\cdot, \dots, \cdot, \sum_{j_{k+1}=1}^n r_{j_{k+1}}(t_{k+1}) e_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, \sum_{j_m=1}^n r_{j_m}(t_m) e_{j_m} \right) \right\| \\
& = A_{\frac{2k}{k+1}}^{-(m-k)} B_{\mathbb{K}, k}^{\text{mult}} \|T\|.
\end{aligned}$$

So, choosing $k = 1$, since $A_1 = (\sqrt{2})^{-1}$ and $B_{\mathbb{K}, 1}^{\text{mult}} = 1$ we conclude that the constant associated to the multiple exponent $(1, 2, \dots, 2)$ is $(\sqrt{2})^{m-1}$.

Therefore, the optimal constant associated to the multiple exponent

$$(\lambda_0, s, s, \dots, s)$$

is less or equal (for real scalars) than

$$\left((\sqrt{2})^{m-1} \right)^{2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{1}{s} \right)} \left(B_{\mathbb{R}, m}^{\text{mult}} \right)^{m \left(\frac{2}{s} - 1 \right)}$$

i.e.,

$$(5) \quad C_m \leq \left(\sqrt{2} \right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(B_{\mathbb{R}, m}^{\text{mult}} \right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}.$$

More precisely, (4) is valid with C_m as above. For complex scalars we can use the Khinchin inequality for Steinhaus variables and replace $\sqrt{2}$ by $\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ as in [10].

Let

$$\lambda_j = \frac{\lambda_0 p}{p - \lambda_0 j}$$

for all $j = 0, \dots, m$. Note that

$$\lambda_m = s$$

and that

$$\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_j} \right)^* = \frac{\lambda_{j+1}}{\lambda_j}$$

for all $j = 0, \dots, m - 1$.

Let us suppose that $1 \leq k \leq m$ and that

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \leq C_m \|T\|$$

is true for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \underbrace{\ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n}_{k-1 \text{ times}} \times \ell_\infty^n \times \dots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let us prove that

$$\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k}} \leq C_m \|T\|$$

for all continuous m -linear forms $T : \underbrace{\ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n}_{k \text{ times}} \times \ell_\infty^n \times \dots \times \ell_\infty^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ and for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The initial case (the case $k = 0$) is precisely (4) with C_m as in (5).

Consider

$$T \in \mathcal{L}(\underbrace{\ell_p^n, \dots, \ell_p^n}_{k \text{ times}}, \ell_\infty^n, \dots, \ell_\infty^n; \mathbb{K})$$

and for each $x \in B_{\ell_p^n}$ define

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(x)} : \underbrace{\ell_p^n \times \dots \times \ell_p^n}_{k-1 \text{ times}} \times \ell_\infty^n \times \dots \times \ell_\infty^n &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ (z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(m)}) &\mapsto T(z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k-1)}, xz^{(k)}, z^{(k+1)}, \dots, z^{(m)}), \end{aligned}$$

with $xz^{(k)} = (x_j z_j^{(k)})_{j=1}^n$. Observe that

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T^{(x)}\| : x \in B_{\ell_p^n}\}.$$

By applying the induction hypothesis to $T^{(x)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s |x_{j_k}|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_{k-1}}, x e_{j_k}, e_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ (6) \quad &= \left(\sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T^{(x)}(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\ &\leq C_m \|T^{(x)}\| \\ &\leq C_m \|T\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.

We will analyze two cases:

1) $i = k$

Since

$$\left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{j-1}} \right)^* = \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_{j-1}}$$

for all $j = 1, \dots, m$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1} \left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}} \right)^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1} \left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}} \right)^*}} \\
&= \left(\left\| \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right\|_{j_k=1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\
&= \left(\sup_{y \in B_{\ell_p^n}^{\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}}} \sum_{j_k=1}^n |y_{j_k}| \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\
&= \left(\sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p^n}} \sum_{j_k=1}^n |x_{j_k}|^{\lambda_{k-1}} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\
&= \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p^n}} \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s |x_{j_k}|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \\
&\leq C_m \|T\|.
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by (6).

2) $i \neq k$

It is important to note that $\lambda_{k-1} < \lambda_k \leq s$. Denoting, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$S_i = \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} = \sum_{j_i=1}^n S_i^{\lambda_k} = \sum_{j_i=1}^n S_i^{\lambda_k - s} S_i^s \\
&= \sum_{j_i=1}^n \sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s - \lambda_k}} = \sum_{j_k=1}^n \sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s - \lambda_k}} \\
&= \sum_{j_k=1}^n \sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{s(s - \lambda_k)}{s - \lambda_{k-1}}}}{S_i^{s - \lambda_k}} |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\frac{s(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k-1})}{s - \lambda_{k-1}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Hölder's inequality twice we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} \\
(7) \quad & \leq \sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{s-\lambda_k}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1}}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \\
& \leq \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k} \cdot \frac{s-\lambda_k}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \cdot \frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}
\end{aligned}$$

We know from the case $i = k$ that

$$(8) \quad \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda_k} \cdot \frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \leq (C_m \|T\|)^{\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}.$$

Now we investigate the first factor in (7). From Hölder's inequality and (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{j_k=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k}} = \left\| \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_k} \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \right)^n \right\|_{j_k=1} \left(\frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}} \right)^* \\
& = \sup_{y \in B_{\ell_p^n} \frac{p}{\lambda_{k-1}}} \sum_{j_k=1}^n |y_{j_k}| \sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} = \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p^n}} \sum_{j_k=1}^n \sum_{\widehat{j}_k=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} |x_{j_k}|^{\lambda_{k-1}} \\
(9) \quad & = \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p^n}} \sum_{j_i=1}^n \sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}}{S_i^{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^{\lambda_{k-1}} |x_{j_k}|^{\lambda_{k-1}} \\
& \leq \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p^n}} \sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n \frac{|T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s}{S_i^s} \right)^{\frac{s-\lambda_{k-1}}{s}} \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s |x_{j_k}|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \\
& = \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p^n}} \sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s |x_{j_k}|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_{k-1}} \leq (C_m \|T\|)^{\lambda_{k-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing (8) and (9) in (7) we finally conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j_i=1}^n \left(\sum_{\widehat{j}_i=1}^n |T(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_m})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s} \lambda_k} \leq (C_m \|T\|)^{\lambda_{k-1} \frac{s-\lambda_k}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} (C_m \|T\|)^{\frac{(\lambda_k-\lambda_{k-1})s}{s-\lambda_{k-1}}} \\
& = (C_m \|T\|)^{\lambda_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_m = s$ the proof is done.

3. CONSTANTS WITH SUBPOLYNOMIAL GROWTH

The optimal constants of the Khinchin's inequality (these constants are due to U. Haagerup [7]) are

$$A_q = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{q+1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for $q > q_0 \cong 1.847$ and

$$A_q = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q}}$$

for $q \leq q_0$, where $q_0 \in (0, 2)$ is the unique real number satisfying

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{q_0 + 1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}.$$

For complex scalars if we use the Khinchin inequality for Steinhaus variables we have

$$A_q = \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{q+2}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for all $1 \leq q < 2$ (see [9]).

The best known upper estimates for $B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}}$ (from [3]) are

$$B_{\mathbb{K},m}^{\text{mult}} \leq \prod_{j=2}^m A_{\frac{2j-2}{j}}^{-1}.$$

Combining these results we have

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq \left(2^{\frac{4m^2 - pm - 2m}{2p - 4m} + \frac{446381}{55440}} \prod_{j=14}^m \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{j})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{j}{2-2j}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}} \quad \text{for } m \geq 14$$

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{R}} \leq (\sqrt{2})^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^m 2^{\frac{1}{2j-2}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq m \leq 13$$

and

$$C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{\frac{2m(m-1)}{p}} \left(\prod_{j=2}^m \Gamma\left(2 - \frac{1}{j}\right)^{\frac{j}{2-2j}}\right)^{\frac{p-2m}{p}}.$$

From [3] we know that there is a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$B_{\mathbb{R},m}^{\text{mult}} \leq \kappa m^{\frac{2 - \ln 2 - \gamma}{2}} < \kappa m^{0.37},$$

$$B_{\mathbb{C},m}^{\text{mult}} \leq \kappa m^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}} < \kappa m^{0.22},$$

for all m , where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant. We thus conclude that if $p \geq m^2$ then $(C_{m,p}^{\mathbb{K}})_{m=1}^{\infty}$ has a subpolynomial growth.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Sharp generalizations of the multilinear Bohnenblust–Hille inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* **266** (2014), 3726–3740.
- [2] N. Albuquerque, F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. Seoane-Sepúlveda, Optimal Hardy–Littlewood type inequalities for polynomials and multilinear operators, arXiv:1311.3177v3 [math.FA].
- [3] F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, The Bohr radius of the n -dimensional polydisc is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$, arXiv:1310.2834v2 [math.FA] 15 Oct 2013.
- [4] H. F. Bohnenblust and E. Hille, On the absolute convergence of Dirichlet series, *Ann. of Math.* **32** (1931), 600–622.
- [5] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow and A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [6] V. Dimant and P. Sevilla-Peris, Summation of coefficients of polynomials on ℓ_p spaces, arXiv:1309.6063v1 [math.FA].
- [7] U. Haagerup, The best constants in the Khinchine inequality, *Studia Math.* **70** (1982) 231–283.
- [8] G. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, Bilinear forms bounded in space $[p, q]$, *Quart. J. Math.* **5** (1934).
- [9] H. König, On the best constants in the Khintchine inequality for variables on spheres. *Math. Seminar, Universität Kiel*, 1998.
- [10] D. Nuñez-Alarcón, D. Pellegrino, J.B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, On the Bohnenblust–Hille inequality and a variant of Littlewood’s 4/3 inequality, *J. Funct. Anal.* **264** (2013), 326–336.
- [11] D. Nuñez, D. Pellegrino and J.B. Seoane, D. M. Serrano-Rodríguez, There exist multilinear Bohnenblust-Hille constants $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_{n+1} - C_n) = 0$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **264** (2013), 429–463.
- [12] T. Praciano-Pereira, On bounded multilinear forms on a class of ℓ_p spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **81** (1981), 561–568.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58.051-900 - JOÃO PESSOA, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: gdsaraujo@gmail.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA PARAÍBA, 58.051-900 - JOÃO PESSOA, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: pellegrino@pq.cnpq.br and dmpellegrino@gmail.com

UNIDADE ACADEMICA DE MATEMATICA E ESTATISTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE CAMPINA GRANDE, CAIXA POSTAL 10044, 58.429-270 - CAMPINA GRANDE- PB, BRAZIL.

E-mail address: diogo@dme.ufcg.edu.br