

A note on complex interpolation and Calderón product of quasi-Banach spaces

Wen Yuan

Abstract In this paper, we prove that the inner complex interpolation of two quasi-Banach lattices coincides with the closure of their intersection in their Calderón product. This generalizes a classical result by Shestakov in 1974 for Banach lattices.

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the relation between complex interpolations and Calderón products for quasi-Banach lattices. We begin with the definition of complex interpolation for quasi-Banach spaces (see, for example, [1, 4, 5]). Consider a *couple of quasi-Banach spaces* X_0, X_1 , which are continuously embedding into a large topological vector space Y . The *space* $X_0 + X_1$ is defined as

$$X_0 + X_1 := \{h \in Y : \exists h_i \in X_i, i \in \{0, 1\}, \text{ such that } h = h_0 + h_1\},$$

with

$$\|h\|_{X_0+X_1} := \inf\{\|h_0\|_{X_0} + \|h_1\|_{X_1} : h = h_0 + h_1, h_0 \in X_0 \text{ and } h_1 \in X_1\}.$$

Let $U := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \Re z < 1\}$ and $\bar{U} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \Re z \leq 1\}$. A map $f: U \rightarrow X$ is said to be *analytic* if, for any given $z_0 \in U$, there exists $\eta \in (0, \infty)$ such that $f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_n(z-z_0)^n$, $h_n \in X$, is uniformly convergent for $|z-z_0| < \eta$. A quasi-Banach space X is said to be *analytically convex* if there exists a positive constant C such that, for any analytic function $f: U \rightarrow X$ which is continuous on the closed strip \bar{U} ,

$$\max_{z \in U} \|f(z)\|_X \leq C \max_{\Re z \in \{0,1\}} \|f(z)\|_X.$$

Suppose that $X_0 + X_1$ is analytically convex. The *set* $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)$ is defined to be the set of all functions $f: U \rightarrow X_0 + X_1$ satisfying that

- (i) f is analytic and *bounded* in $X_0 + X_1$, which means that $f(U) := \{f(z) : z \in U\}$ is a bounded set of $X_0 + X_1$;

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B70.

Key words and phrases. complex interpolation, Calderón product, quasi-Banach lattice

Wen Yuan is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11101038), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (Grant No. 20120003110003), the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities of China (Grant No. 2012LYB26) and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

- (ii) f is extended continuously to the closure \overline{U} of the strip U such that the traces $t \mapsto f(j + it)$ are bounded continuous functions into X_j , $j \in \{0, 1\}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

We endow \mathcal{F} with the *quasi-norm*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} := \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(it)\|_{X_0}, \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(1 + it)\|_{X_1} \right\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_0 := \mathcal{F}_0(X_0, X_1)$ be closure of all functions $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f(z) \in X_0 \cap X_1$ for all $z \in U$. We now recall the definition of complex interpolations.

Definition 1.1. Let X_0, X_1 be two quasi-Banach spaces such that $X_0 + X_1$ is analytically convex. Then the *outer complex interpolation space* $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is defined by

$$[X_0, X_1]_{\theta} := \{g \in X_0 + X_1 : \exists f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ such that } f(\theta) = g\}$$

and its *norm* given by $\|g\|_{[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}} := \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}} : f(\theta) = g\}$. The *inner complex interpolation space* $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$ is defined via the same as $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}$ with \mathcal{F} replaced by \mathcal{F}_0 .

It easily follows from the definition that $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i \hookrightarrow [X_0, X_1]_{\theta}$ and $X_0 \cap X_1$ is dense in $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i$. If X_0 and X_1 are both Banach spaces, then it is known that the inner and outer complex methods coincide (see [1, 5]). For the general quasi-Banach cases, Kalton, Mayboroda and Mitrea [5] pointed out that the inner and the outer complex methods yield the same space if X_0 and X_1 are separable analytically convex quasi-Banach spaces. However, for quasi-Banach spaces without the separability condition, whether these two methods still coincide is still unclear (see [5]).

Let (Ω, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and L_0 be the collection of all complex-valued μ -measurable functions on Ω . A quasi-Banach function space X on Ω is called a *quasi-Banach lattice* if for every $f \in X$ and $g \in L_0$ with $|g(x)| \leq |f(x)|$ for μ -a.e. $x \in \Omega$, one has $g \in X$ and $\|g\|_X \leq \|f\|_X$.

Definition 1.2. Let $X_j \subset L_0$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$, be quasi-Banach lattices on (Ω, μ) and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then the *Calderón product* $X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}$ of X_0 and X_1 is the collection of all functions $f \in L_0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}} := \inf \left\{ \|f_0\|_{X_0}^{1-\theta} \|f_1\|_{X_1}^{\theta} : |f| \leq |f_0|^{1-\theta} |f_1|^{\theta} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}, \quad f_j \in X_j, \quad j \in \{0, 1\} \right\}$$

is finite.

The first result concerning the relation between complex interpolations and Calderón products is due to Calderón [1]. He showed that if X_0 and X_1 are Banach lattices, then $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta} \hookrightarrow X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}$. Later, Shestakov [10] (see also [9, 7]) in 1974 proved that the complex interpolation of two Banach lattices X_0 and X_1 is just the closure of their intersection $X_0 \cap X_1$ in their Calderón product, namely, $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta} = \overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}}}$. In 1998, Kalton and Mitrea [4] considered more general quasi-Banach cases. Indeed, they proved in [4, Theorem 3.4] that, *if X_0 and X_1 are analytically convex separable*

quasi-Banach lattices, then $X_0 + X_1$ is also analytically convex and $[X_0, X_1]_\theta = X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta$. The proof of this result was noticed later in [5] to be also feasible for the coincidence $[X_0, X_1]_\theta^i = X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta$, and so in this case,

$$[X_0, X_1]_\theta = [X_0, X_1]_\theta^i = X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta = \overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta}}.$$

Notice that in Kalton and Mitrea's result [4, Theorem 3.4], there is a condition on the separability of the spaces X_0 and X_1 . An interesting question is, how is the relation between complex interpolations and Calderón products of quasi-Banach lattices which are not separable? Is Shestakov's result for Banach spaces also true for general quasi-Banach cases? In this note we give a positive answer for the inner complex interpolation.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be a Polish space, μ a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω and (X_0, X_1) a pair of quasi-Banach lattices on (Ω, μ) . If both X_0 and X_1 are analytically convex, then*

$$[X_0, X_1]_\theta^i = \overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta}}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

To prove Theorem 1.3, we use another interpolation method, the Gagliardo-Peetre interpolation introduced by Peetre [8]. In 1985 Nilsson [7] proved a general result concerning the relation between Gagliardo-Peetre interpolation and Calderón product, which is a key tool used in this paper. This proof is different from the one used by Shestakov [10] for Banach lattices.

Throughout the paper, the *symbol* C denotes a positive constant which may vary from line to line. The *meaning* of $A \lesssim B$ is given by: there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. The *symbol* $A \sim B$ means $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let X be a quasi-Banach lattice and $p \in [1, \infty]$. The *p-convexification* of X , denoted by $X^{(p)}$, is defined as follows: $f \in X^{(p)}$ if and only if $|f|^p \in X$. For all $f \in X^{(p)}$, define $\|f\|_{X^{(p)}} := \| |f|^p \|_X^{1/p}$. The lattice X is called *1/p-convex* if $X^{(p)}$ is a Banach space. Moreover, a quasi-Banach lattice X is said to be of *type \mathfrak{E}* , if there exists an equivalent quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ such that $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is 1/p-convex for some $p \in [1, \infty)$; see [7].

An important tool we used is the following equivalent characterization of analytically convex quasi-Banach lattice; see, for example, [3, 5]. In what follows, K_X denotes the *modulus of concavity* of a quasi-Banach space X , i. e., the smallest positive constant satisfying

$$\|x + y\|_X \leq K_X(\|x\|_X + \|y\|_X), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be a quasi-Banach lattice. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is analytically convex;
- (ii) there exists $r > 0$ such that X is r -convex, namely, $X^{(1/r)}$ is a Banach space;
- (iii) X is r -convex for all $0 < r < (1 + \log_2 K_X)^{-1}$.

It follows from Proposition 2.1 that all analytically convex quasi-Banach lattices are of type \mathfrak{E} .

We now prove one direction of Theorem 1.3 in the following theorem, which can be proved by an argument similar to that used for [4, Theorem 3.4]. For the sake of convenience, we give some details here.

Theorem 2.2. *Let Ω be a Polish space, μ a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω and (X_0, X_1) a pair of quasi-Banach lattices of functions on (Ω, μ) . If both X_0 and X_1 are analytically convex, then*

$$[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i \hookrightarrow \overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}}}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Proof. Since the lattices X_0, X_1 are analytically convex, by Proposition 2.1, we know that there exists $r \in (0, 1]$ such that X_0, X_1 are both r -convex lattices. By [4, Theorem 3.4] and its proof, $X_0 + X_1$ is also r -convex and hence $(X_0 + X_1)^{(1/r)}$ is a Banach space.

Since $X_0 \cap X_1$ is dense in $[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i$, it suffices to prove

$$(X_0 \cap X_1, \|\cdot\|_{[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i}) \hookrightarrow \overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}}}.$$

Let $f \in X_0 \cap X_1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}_0(X_0, X_1)$ such that $F(\theta) = f$ and $\|F\|_{\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)} \leq \|f\|_{[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i} + \varepsilon$. Since F is analytic in U and continuous in \overline{U} , for any $z_0 \in U$, there exist $R > 0$ and $f_k \in X_0 + X_1$ such that $F(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} f_k(z - z_0)^k$ with uniformly convergent in $X_0 + X_1$ for all $|z - z_0| < R$. Moreover, due to the Cauchy-Hadamard theorem, it holds $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k\|_{X_0 + X_1}^{1/k} \leq R^{-1}$. We also know that for μ -almost every $w \in \Omega$, any $\rho < R$ and $q \leq r$,

$$|F(z_0)(w)|^q \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |F(z_0 + \rho e^{it})(w)|^q dt.$$

Since $z \mapsto |F(z)|^q$ is continuous into $(X_0 + X_1)^{(1/q)}$, we know that, for any positive continuous functional $\phi \in ((X_0 + X_1)^{(1/q)})^*$,

$$\phi(|F(z_0)|^q) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \phi \left(\int_0^{2\pi} |F(z_0 + \rho e^{it})|^q dt \right) \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(|F(z_0 + \rho e^{it})|^q) dt,$$

hence $z \mapsto \phi(|F(z)|^q)$ is subharmonic on U . Then

$$(2.1) \quad \phi(|F(\theta)|^q) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(\theta, t) \phi(|F(it)|^q) dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1(\theta, t) \phi(|F(1+it)|^q) dt,$$

where P_0 and P_1 are the components of the Poisson kernel on U satisfying $\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(\theta, t) dt = 1 - \theta$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1(\theta, t) dt = \theta$. Let $f_0 := ((1 - \theta)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_0(\theta, t) |F(it)|^r dt)^{1/r}$ and $f_1 := ((1 - \theta)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_1(\theta, t) |F(1+it)|^r dt)^{1/r}$. It follows from the r -convexity of X_0 and X_1 that $f_j \in X_j$ with $\|f_j\|_{X_j} \leq \|F\|_{\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)}$, $j \in \{0, 1\}$. By (2.1), $q \leq r$ and the positivity of ϕ , we have $|F(\theta)|^q \leq (1 - \theta)f_0^q + \theta f_1^q$. Taking \log in both side and letting $q \rightarrow 0$ then gives $|f| = |F(\theta)| \leq f_0^{1-\theta} f_1^{\theta}$. Thus, $\|f\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^{\theta}} \leq \|F\|_{\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{[X_0, X_1]_{\theta}^i}$, as desired. \square

To show the other direction, we need the following Gagliardo-Peetre interpolation method, which was introduced by Peetre [8].

Definition 2.3. Let X_0 and X_1 be a pair quasi-Banach spaces and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. We say $a \in \langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta$ if there exists a sequence $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset X_0 \cap X_1$ such that $a = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i$ with convergence in $X_0 + X_1$ and for any bounded sequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_i 2^{i(j-\theta)} a_i$ converges in X_j , $j \in \{0, 1\}$. We further require that

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \varepsilon_i 2^{i(j-\theta)} a_i \right\|_{X_j} \leq C \sup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\varepsilon_i|, \quad j \in \{0, 1\},$$

for some constant C . As a quasi-norm of $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta$, we use $\|a\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta} := \inf C$

Applying Proposition 2.1 and [7, Theorem 2.1] (see [7, (2.1)]), we have the following conclusion.

Theorem 2.4. Let Ω be a Polish space, μ a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω and (X_0, X_1) a pair of quasi-Banach lattices of functions on (Ω, μ) . If both X_0 and X_1 are analytically convex, then

$$\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta = \overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta}}, \quad \theta \in (0, 1),$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta}$.

From this conclusion, we deduce that $X_0 \cap X_1$ is dense in $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta$ and, to prove $\overline{X_0 \cap X_1}^{\|\cdot\|_{X_0^{1-\theta} X_1^\theta}} \hookrightarrow [X_0, X_1]_\theta^i$, it suffices to show $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta \hookrightarrow [X_0, X_1]_\theta^i$.

Theorem 2.5. Let Ω be a Polish space, μ a σ -finite Borel measure on Ω and (X_0, X_1) a pair of quasi-Banach lattices of functions on (Ω, μ) . If both X_0 and X_1 are analytically convex, then

$$\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta \hookrightarrow [X_0, X_1]_\theta^i, \quad \theta \in (0, 1).$$

Proof. Let $D(X_0, X_1, \theta)$ be the subspace of $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta$ consisting of all $f \in \langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta$ such that there exists a finite set $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{f_k\}_{k \in E} \subset X_0 \cap X_1$ such that $f = \sum_{k \in E} f_k$ in $X_0 + X_1$, and for any bounded sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in E}$ of complex numbers $\sum_{k \in E} \varepsilon_k 2^{k(j-\theta)} f_k$ converges in X_j , with

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in E} \varepsilon_k 2^{k(j-\theta)} f_k \right\|_{X_j} \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta} \sup_{k \in E} |\varepsilon_k|, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Obviously, $X_0 \cap X_1 \subset D(X_0, X_1, \theta)$, and hence $D(X_0, X_1, \theta)$ is dense in $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show

$$(D(X_0, X_1, \theta), \|\cdot\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}) \hookrightarrow [X_0, X_1]_\theta^i.$$

Let $f \in D(X_0, X_1, \theta)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f = \sum_{|k| \leq M} f_k$ in $X_0 + X_1$ for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{f_k\}_{|k| \leq M} \subset X_0 \cap X_1$, and

$$(2.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{|k| \leq M} \varepsilon_k 2^{k(j-\theta)} f_k \right\|_{X_j} \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta} \sup_{k \in E} |\varepsilon_k|, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Define $F(z) := \sum_{|k| \leq M} 2^{k(z-\theta)} f_k$ with convergence in $X_0 + X_1$ for all $z \in \bar{U}$. Obviously, $F(\theta) = f$ and $F(z) \in X_0 \cap X_1$.

Now we prove $F \in \mathcal{F}_0(X_0, X_1)$. The analyticity of F is obvious. To show F is bounded in $X_0 + X_1$, for $z \in \bar{U}$, write $z = a + ib$ with $a \in [0, 1]$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$F(z) = \sum_{-M \leq k < 0} 2^{ka+kbi} 2^{-k\theta} f_k + \sum_{0 \leq k \leq M} 2^{k(a-1)+kbi} 2^{k(1-\theta)} f_k =: F_0(z) + F_1(z).$$

Since $\{2^{ka+kbi}\}_{-M \leq k < 0}$ and $\{2^{k(a-1)+kbi}\}_{0 \leq k \leq M}$ are bounded sequences, by (2.2), we have

$$\|F_j(z)\|_{X_j} \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}.$$

This implies $F(z) \in X_0 + X_1$ and $\|F(z)\|_{X_0+X_1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}$ for all $z \in \bar{U}$.

Similarly, since $\{2^{kti}\}_{|k| \leq M}$ is a bounded sequence, applying (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(j+it)\|_{X_j} &= \left\| \sum_{|k| \leq M} 2^{kit} 2^{k(j-\theta)} f_k \right\|_{X_j} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta} \sup_{|k| \leq M} |2^{kti}| \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show $t \mapsto F(j+it)$ is a continuous function into X_j , $j \in \{0, 1\}$. Fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta = \delta(M, \varepsilon) > 0$, such that for any $|t - t_0| < \delta$ and $|k| \leq M$, $|2^{kit} - 2^{kit_0}| < \varepsilon$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(j+it) - F(j+it_0)\|_{X_j} &= \left\| \sum_{|k| \leq M} [2^{kit} - 2^{kit_0}] 2^{k(j-\theta)} f_k \right\|_{X_j} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta} \sup_{|k| \leq M} |2^{kit} - 2^{kit_0}| \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}, \quad j \in \{0, 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

It remains to show the extension of F from U to \bar{U} is continuous. Since F is analytic in U , we only need to prove that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(2.3) \quad \|F(a+it) - F(it)\|_{X_0+X_1} \rightarrow 0, \quad a \rightarrow 0^+$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \|F(a+it) - F(1+it)\|_{X_0+X_1} \rightarrow 0, \quad a \rightarrow 1^-.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $\delta = \delta(M, \varepsilon) > 0$, such that for any $0 < a < \delta$ and $|k| \leq M$, $|2^{ka} - 1| < \varepsilon$. Write

$$F(a + it) - F(it) = \sum_{-M \leq k < 0} [2^{ka} - 1] 2^{kit} 2^{-k\theta} f_k + \sum_{|k| \leq M} [2^{ka} - 1] 2^{-k} 2^{kit} 2^{k(1-\theta)} f_k.$$

Since

$$\left\| \sum_{-M \leq k < 0} [2^{ka} - 1] 2^{kit} 2^{-k\theta} f_k \right\|_{X_0} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq M} [2^{ka} - 1] 2^{-k} 2^{kit} 2^{k(1-\theta)} f_k \right\|_{X_1} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta},$$

we know that

$$\|F(a + it) - F(it)\|_{X_0 + X_1} \lesssim \varepsilon \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}.$$

This gives (2.3). A similar argument gives (2.4).

Combining the above arguments, we know that $F \in \mathcal{F}_0(X_0, X_1)$ with $\|F\|_{\mathcal{F}(X_0, X_1)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}$. Therefore, $\|f\|_{[X_0, X_1]_\theta^i} \lesssim \|f\|_{\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta}$. This finishes the proof. \square

Theorem 1.3 is then a consequence of the above three theorems. Moreover, as a byproduct, we obtain the coincidence between the inner complex interpolation and the Gagliardo-Peetre interpolation.

Corollary 2.6. *Let (X_0, X_1) a pair of analytically convex quasi-Banach lattices of functions on (Ω, μ) . Then $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta = [X_0, X_1]_\theta^i$ for all $\theta \in (0, 1)$.*

Remark 2.7. Recall that if X_0 and X_1 are Banach spaces, then $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta \hookrightarrow [X_0, X_1]_\theta$; see, for example, [8, 2, 7]. The above corollary gives a generalization of this coincidence.

From the relation between the inner and outer complex interpolations (see [1] and [5, Theorem 7.9]), we also have the following conclusion.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and (X_0, X_1) a pair of analytically convex quasi-Banach lattices of functions on (Ω, μ) . Then $\langle X_0, X_1 \rangle_\theta = [X_0, X_1]_\theta$ if either X_0, X_1 are both Banach spaces or X_0, X_1 are both separable.*

Finally we give an application of Theorem 1.3 to the Morrey space, which is a typical example of non-separable spaces. Let $0 < p \leq u \leq \infty$ and (\mathcal{X}, μ) be a quasi-metric measure space. Recall that the Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathcal{X})$ is the collection of all p -locally integrable functions f on \mathcal{X} such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathcal{X})} := \sup_{B \subset \mathcal{X}} |B|^{1/u-1/p} \left[\int_B |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls B in \mathcal{X} . Obviously, $\mathcal{M}_p^p(\mathcal{X}) = L_p(\mathcal{X})$. Since Morrey spaces are non-separable, we can not apply [4, Theorem 3.4] to Morrey spaces.

By [6, Proposition 2.1], we know that $[\mathcal{M}_{p_0}^{u_0}(\mathcal{X})]^{1-\theta} [\mathcal{M}_{p_1}^{u_1}(\mathcal{X})]^\theta = \mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathcal{X})$, which together with Theorem 1.3 induce the following conclusion.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $0 < p_i \leq u_i < \infty$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$ and*

$$\frac{1}{u} := \frac{1-\theta}{u_0} + \frac{\theta}{u_1}, \quad \frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}.$$

If $u_0 p_1 = u_1 p_0$, then

$$[\mathcal{M}_{p_0}^{u_0}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{M}_{p_1}^{u_1}(\mathcal{X})]_{\theta}^i = \langle \mathcal{M}_{p_0}^{u_0}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{M}_{p_1}^{u_1}(\mathcal{X}) \rangle_{\theta} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_{p_0}^{u_0}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{p_1}^{u_1}(\mathcal{X})}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}_p^u(\mathcal{X})}}.$$

References

- [1] A. P. Calderón, Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method, *Studia Math.* 24 (1964), 113-190.
- [2] S. Janson, Minimal and maximal methods of interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 44 (1981), 50-73.
- [3] N. Kalton, Plurisubharmonic functions on quasi-Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* 84 (1986), 297-324.
- [4] N. Kalton and M. Mitrea, Stability results on interpolation scales of quasi-Banach spaces and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 350 (1998), 3903-3922.
- [5] N. Kalton, S. Mayboroda and M. Mitrea, Interpolation of Hardy-Sobolev-Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and applications to problems in partial differential equations, in: *Interpolation Theory and Applications*, *Contemp. Math.* 445 (2007), 121-177.
- [6] Y. Lu, D. Yang and W. Yuan, Interpolation of Morrey spaces on metric measure spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* (2013), DOI: 10.4153/CMB-2013-009-4.
- [7] P. Nilsson, Interpolation of Banach lattices, *Studia Math.* 82 (1985), 133-154.
- [8] J. Peetre, Sur l'utilisation des suites inconditionnellement sommables dans la théorie des espaces d'interpolation, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 46 (1971), 173-190.
- [9] V. A. Shestakov, Interpolation of linear operators in spaces of measurable functions, *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 8 (1974), 91-92 (in Russian).
- [10] V. A. Shestakov, On complex interpolation of Banach spaces of measurable functions, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* 19 (1974), 64-68 (in Russian).

Wen Yuan

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China
E-mail: wenyuan@bnu.edu.cn