

# BOUNDED AND INVERTIBLE TOEPLITZ PRODUCTS ON VECTOR WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES OF THE POLYDISC

BENOÎT F. SEHBA

ABSTRACT. We characterize bounded and invertible Toeplitz products on vector weighted Bergman spaces of the unit polydisc. For our purpose, we will need the notion of Békollé-Bonami weights in several parameters.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us denote by  $d\nu$  the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $\alpha > -1$ , we denote by  $d\nu_\alpha$  the normalized Lebesgue measure  $d\nu_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\nu(z)$ ,  $c_\alpha$  being the normalizing constant. The weighted Bergman space  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$  is the space of holomorphic functions  $f$  such that

$$\|f\|_{A_\alpha^p}^p := \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p d\nu_\alpha(z) < \infty.$$

The Bergman space  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel  $K_w^\alpha(z) = K^\alpha(w, z) = \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^{2+\alpha}}$ . That is for any  $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ , the following representation holds

$$(1) \quad f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) K^\alpha(w, z) d\nu_\alpha(z), \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, d\nu_\alpha)$ , we can densely define the Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  with symbol  $f$  on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  as follows

$$(2) \quad T_f(g) = P_\alpha(M_f)(g) = P_\alpha(fg)$$

where  $M_f$  is the multiplication operator by  $f$ . The Berezin transform is the operator defined on  $L^1(\mathbb{D}, d\nu_\alpha)$  by

$$B_\alpha(f)(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) |k_w^\alpha(z)|^2 d\nu_\alpha(z)$$

where  $k_w^\alpha$  is the normalized reproducing kernel of  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ .

Invertible and bounded Toeplitz products have been first obtained for the Hilbert-Bergman space  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  by K. Stroethoff and D. Zheng in [8]. They proved the following.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A36, 47B35, 47B38.

*Key words and phrases.* Bergman spaces, Reproducing kernel, Toeplitz operator, Békollé-Bonami weight.

**THEOREM 1.1** (K. Stroethoff and D. Zheng [8]). *Given  $f, g \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ , the product  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if*

$$(3) \quad \inf_{w \in \mathbb{D}} |f(w)||g(w)| > 0$$

and

$$(4) \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} (B_\alpha(|f|^2)(w))^{1/2} (B_\alpha(|g|^2)(w))^{1/2} = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{2,\alpha} < \infty.$$

The above result was recently extended to the unit polydisc by Z. Sun and Y. Lu in [5]. In [4], J. Isralowitz extended Theorem 1.1 to all  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$  using among others a result of J. Miao [6] and Békollé-Bonami weights. More precisely, he proved the following result.

**THEOREM 1.2** (J. Isralowitz [4]). *Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $p = q(p-1)$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Given  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$  and  $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D})$ , the product  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$  if and only if*

$$(5) \quad \inf_{w \in \mathbb{D}} |f(w)||g(w)| > 0$$

and

$$(6) \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} B_\alpha(|f(k_w^\alpha)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|^p)(w) B_\alpha(|g(k_w^\alpha)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}|^p)(w) < \infty.$$

Our aim in this paper is to extend the above result to the polydisc. Our motivation comes from the current and growing research activity on weighted norm inequalities for the Bergman projection and their application to some other operators as Toeplitz products.

## 2. STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

We recall that  $\mathbb{D}^n$  is the unit polydisc, where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the unit disc of  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any two points  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  and  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , we write

$$\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + \dots + z_n \bar{w}_n,$$

and

$$|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2.$$

For any real vector  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ , where each  $\alpha_j$  satisfies  $\alpha_j > -1$ , in which case we write  $\alpha > -\mathbf{1}$ , we consider the measure on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  given by

$$dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |z_j|^2)^{\alpha_j} dv(z_j),$$

$c_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n (\alpha_j + 1)$ ,  $dv$  being the Lebesgue area measure on  $\mathbb{D}$ , normalized so that the measure of  $\mathbb{D}$  is 1. Let  $H(\mathbb{D}^n)$  denote the space of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}^n$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$ , the (vector) weighted Bergman space  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  is the intersection  $L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, dv_\alpha) \cap H(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . The (unweighted) Bergman space  $A^p$  corresponds to  $\alpha = (0, \dots, 0)$ . We will be writing

$$\|f\|_{p,\alpha} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)|^p dv_\alpha(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\alpha := \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dv_\alpha(z).$$

The Bergman space  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space with its kernel  $K^\alpha$  given by

$$K^\alpha(z, w) = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{(1 - z_j \bar{w}_j)^{\alpha_j + 2}}.$$

That is for any  $f \in A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , the following representation holds

$$(7) \quad f(w) = P_\alpha f(w) = \langle f, K_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(z) K^\alpha(w, z) dv_\alpha(z).$$

The positive Bergman projection  $P_\alpha^+$  is defined by replacing  $K_w^\alpha$  by  $|K_w^\alpha|$  in the definition of  $P_\alpha$ . We remark that the boundedness of  $P_\alpha^+$  implies the boundedness of  $P_\alpha$ .

For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{D}^n, dv_\alpha)$ , we can densely define on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  the Toeplitz operator  $T_f$  with symbol  $f$  as in (2).

Very recently bounded and invertible Toeplitz products  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  on  $A^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  have been characterized in [5]. We extend this characterization to  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . More precisely, denoting  $k_w^\alpha$  the normalized kernel in  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , we have the following generalization.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha > -\mathbf{1} = (-1, -1, \dots, -1)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $pq = p + q$ , and assume that  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and  $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

(i) *If  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , then*

$$(8) \quad [f, g]_{p, \alpha} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p, \alpha} \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q, \alpha} < \infty$$

*and this is equivalent to*

$$(9) \quad \eta := \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)| |g(z)| > 0.$$

(ii) *If both (8) and (9) hold, then*

$$[f]_{p, \alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p, \alpha} \|f^{-1} k_w^\alpha\|_{q, \alpha} < \eta^{-2} [f, g]_{p, \alpha}^2,$$

*and  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Moreover,*

$$\|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| \leq C \eta^{-2n \times \max\{p, q\}} [f, g]_{2, \alpha}^{1+2n \times \max\{p, q\}}.$$

For the proof of the necessary part in Theorem 2.1, we will adapt the proof of the necessary condition (6) for the boundedness of the Toeplitz products in [6]. For the sufficient part, we define product Békollé-Bonami weights and use the corresponding estimate of the Bergman projection of the polydisc.

In the next section, we provide some useful results needed later. Theorem 2.1 is proved in Section 3.

As usual, given two positive quantities  $A$  and  $B$ , the notation  $A \lesssim B$  (resp.  $A \gtrsim B$ ) means that  $A \leq CB$  (resp.  $B \leq CA$ ) for some absolute

positive constant  $C$ . The notation  $A \simeq B$  mean that  $A \lesssim B$  and  $B \lesssim A$ . We will use  $C(p)$  to say that the constant  $C$  depends only on  $p$ .

### 3. SOME USEFUL TOOLS

We give in this section some useful facts needed in our proofs.

**3.1. Estimates and weighted estimates in one parameter.** We recall that the Carleson box associated to an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{T}$  is defined by

$$(10) \quad Q_I : \{re^{i\theta} : 1 - |I| < r < 1, \text{ and } e^{i\theta} \in I\}.$$

The following is easy to check.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Then*

$$\|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \simeq (1 - |w|^2)^{\left(\frac{2+\alpha}{2}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}-1\right)}, \quad w = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}.$$

We have the following estimate (see for example [4]).

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D})$ ,*

$$(11) \quad |f(z)|^p \leq C \|k_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-p} \|fk_z^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let  $\omega$  be a positive function defined on  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\alpha > -1$ . For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we say  $\omega$  is a Békollé-Bonami weight (or  $\omega$  belongs to the class  $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ ) if

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}} := \sup_{I \subset \mathbb{T}, I \text{ interval}} \left( \frac{1}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} \omega(z) d\nu_\alpha(z) \right) \left( \frac{1}{|I|^{2+\alpha}} \int_{Q_I} \omega(z)^{1-q} d\nu_\alpha(z) \right)^{p-1} < \infty,$$

$$pq = p + q.$$

D. Békollé and A. Bonami proved in [2] and [3] that the Bergman projection  $P_\alpha$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{D}, \omega d\nu_\alpha)$  if and only if the weight function  $\omega$  is in the class  $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ . The following estimate of the weighted norm of the Bergman projection is due to S. Pott and M. C. Reguera.

**PROPOSITION 3.3** (Pott-Reguera [7]). *Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $p = q(p-1)$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Suppose that  $\omega \in B_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ . Then  $P_\alpha$  and  $P_\alpha^+$  are bounded on  $L^p(\omega d\nu_\alpha)$ . Moreover,  $\|P_\alpha^+\|_{L^p(\omega d\nu_\alpha) \rightarrow L^p(\omega d\nu_\alpha)} \leq C(p)[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}}^{\max\{1, \frac{q}{p}\}}$ .*

Let us observe the following easy to prove fact (see for example [4]).

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Assume that  $1 < p < \infty$  and put  $p = q(p-1)$ . If  $f$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}$  with*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty,$$

then  $\omega = |f|^p$  belongs to the class  $B_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$ . Moreover,

$$[\omega]_{B_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})} \lesssim [f]_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

**3.2. Multi-parameter estimates.** Given  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the notation  $\alpha < \beta$  (resp.  $\alpha = \beta$ ) means that  $\alpha_j < \beta_j$  (resp.  $\alpha_j = \beta_j$ ),  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . The notation  $\alpha > \beta$  is equivalent to  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\alpha \leq \beta$  is equivalent to  $\alpha < \beta$  or  $\alpha = \beta$ . We also use the notations  $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $-\mathbf{1} = (-1, \dots, -1)$ , and  $\underline{\infty} = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$ .

Let us introduce the class  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^n \ni \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) > -\mathbf{1}$ . We say a positive locally integrable function  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$  if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$(12) \quad \sup_{\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}} [\omega(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \cdot, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n)]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha_k}(\mathbb{D})} \leq C.$$

We denote by  $[\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)}$  the infimum of the constants  $C$  in (12). Note that the class  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$  is not empty; one easily checks that the weight  $\omega = \prod_{j=1}^n \omega_j$  where  $\omega_j \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha_j}(\mathbb{D})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ .

From our definition of the multi-parameter Békollé-Bonami classes and Proposition 3.3 we easily deduce the following.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $p = q(p-1)$  and  $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) < \underline{\infty}$ . Suppose that  $\omega \in \mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Then both  $P_\alpha$  and  $P_\alpha^+$  are bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, \omega d\nu_\alpha(z))$ . Moreover,*

$$\|P_\alpha^+\|_{L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, \omega d\nu_\alpha(z)) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, \omega d\nu_\alpha(z))} \leq C(p) [\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)}^{n \times \max\{1, \frac{q}{p}\}}.$$

Let us also observe the following.

**LEMMA 3.6.** *Let  $-\mathbf{1} < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$ . Assume that  $1 < p < \infty$  and put  $p = q(p-1)$ . If  $f$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  with*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty,$$

*then there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and any  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$ ,*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_w k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{p,\alpha_k} \|f_w^{-1} k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{q,\alpha_k} \leq C \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k_\xi^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1} k_\xi^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha},$$

*where  $f_w(z) = f(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n)$ ,  $\zeta_j = w_j$  for  $j \neq k$  and  $\zeta_k = z$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $k = 1$ . Let  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{D}^{n-1}$  be given. For any  $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{D}$  given, we put  $\zeta = (z, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ . Then using Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_w k_z^{\alpha_1}\|_{p,\alpha_1}^p &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(\xi, w)|^p |k_z^{\alpha_1}(\xi)|^p (1 - |\xi|^2)^{\alpha_1} d\nu(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f_\xi(w)|^p |k_z^{\alpha_1}(\xi)|^p (1 - |\xi|^2)^{\alpha_1} d\nu(\xi) \\ &\lesssim \|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \|f_\xi k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^p |k_z^{\alpha_1}(\xi)|^p (1 - |\xi|^2)^{\alpha_1} d\nu(\xi) \\ &= \|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-p} \|f k_\zeta^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^p. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|f_w^{-1} k_z^{\alpha_1}\|_{q,\alpha_1} \lesssim \|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-q} \|f^{-1} k_\zeta^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^q.$$

Thus as  $\|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{p,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-p}\|k_w^{\tilde{\alpha}}\|_{q,\tilde{\alpha}}^{-q} \simeq 1$ , we obtain for any  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\|f_w k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{p,\alpha_k}\|f_w^{-1} k_z^{\alpha_k}\|_{q,\alpha_k} \lesssim \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k_\zeta^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1} k_\zeta^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Putting together the definition of Békollé-Bonami classes of the polydisc, the above lemma and Lemma 3.4, we obtain the following.

**LEMMA 3.7.** *Let  $-1 < \alpha < \underline{\infty}$ . Assume that  $1 < p < \infty$  and put  $p = q(p-1)$ . If  $f$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{D}^n$  such that*

$$[f]_{p,\alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}\|f^{-1} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty,$$

*then the function  $\omega = |f|^p$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Moreover,*

$$[\omega]_{\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)} \lesssim [f]_{p,\alpha}^p.$$

#### 4. BOUNDED AND INVERTIBLE TOEPLITZ PRODUCTS ON THE UNIT POLYDISC OF $\mathbb{C}^n$

Before proving Theorem 2.1, let us prove some useful results. We start by the following lemma.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $qp = p + q$ , and let  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,  $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Then*

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_{p,\alpha}\|g\|_{q,\alpha} \lesssim \|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and  $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Consider on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  the operator  $f \otimes g$  defined by

$$(f \otimes g)h = \langle h, g \rangle_\alpha f,$$

for  $h \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . It is easily proved that  $f \otimes g$  is bounded on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  with norm equals to

$$(14) \quad \|f \otimes g\| = \|f\|_{p,\alpha}\|g\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

Next recall that the Berezin transform of an operator  $S$  defined on  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$  is given by

$$(15) \quad B_\alpha(S)(w) = \langle S k_w^\alpha, k_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha.$$

Observing that  $T_{\bar{f}} K_w^\alpha = \bar{f}(w) K_w^\alpha$ , we easily obtain

$$(16) \quad B_\alpha(T_f T_{\bar{g}})(w) = f(w) \bar{g}(w).$$

One also proves as in the one parameter case that

$$(17) \quad B_\alpha(f \otimes g)(w) = \langle (f \otimes g) k_w^\alpha, k_w^\alpha \rangle_\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |w_j|^2)^{\alpha_j+2} f(w) \overline{g(w)}.$$

Note also that if  $(\lambda)_{\alpha_0,k}$  is a sequence defined so that the Taylor expansion of  $(1-x)^{2+\alpha_0}$  in a neighborhood of the origin is

$$(18) \quad (1-x)^{2+\alpha_0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{\alpha_0,k} x^k,$$

then for  $\alpha_0 > -1$  the series in the right hand side of (18) is absolutely convergent in the closed unit disc of the complex plane. Hence, if  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $\lambda_{\alpha, l} = \lambda_{\alpha_1, l_1} \cdots \lambda_{\alpha_n, l_n}$  we have

$$(19) \quad \prod_{j=1}^n (1 - x_j)^{2+\alpha_j} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n} \lambda_{\alpha, l} x^l,$$

where  $x^l = x_1^{l_1} \cdots x_n^{l_n}$  and the series in (19) is absolutely convergent in the closed unit polydisc.

It follows from (16) that for  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , the Berezin transform of the product  $T_{z^t} T_f T_{\bar{g}} T_{\bar{z}^t}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{\alpha} (T_{z^t} T_f T_{\bar{g}} T_{\bar{z}^t}) (w) &= B_{\alpha} \left( T_{z^t} f T_{\bar{g} z^t} \right) (w) \\ &= w^t f(w) \overline{g(w) w^t}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using the expansion (19), equation (17) and the injectivity of the Berezin transform, we obtain

$$(20) \quad f \otimes g = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n} \lambda_{\alpha, l} T_{z^l} T_f T_{\bar{g}} T_{\bar{z}^l} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n} \lambda_{\alpha, l} T_{z_1^{l_1} \dots z_n^{l_n}} T_f T_{\bar{g}} T_{\bar{z}_1^{l_1} \dots \bar{z}_n^{l_n}}.$$

It follows that if  $s_{\alpha} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^n} |\lambda_{\alpha, l}|$ , then as  $\|T_z\| = 1$ , we have

$$\|f\|_{p, \alpha} \|g\|_{q, \alpha} = \|f \otimes g\| \leq s_{\alpha} \|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\|$$

for  $f \in A_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and  $g \in A_{\alpha}^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

We also need the following necessary condition for the boundedness of the Toeplitz product. It extends the one-parameter result in [6].

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *Let  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $qp = p + q$ , and let  $f \in A_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,  $g \in A_{\alpha}^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . If  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded on  $A_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , then (8) holds. Moreover,*

$$(21) \quad [f, g]_{p, \alpha} \lesssim \|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\|.$$

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as in the one-parameter in [6]. Let us give it here for completeness. We start by recalling that for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , the transform  $z \mapsto \varphi_a(z) = \frac{a-z}{1-\bar{a}z}$  is an automorphism of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  with inverse  $\varphi_a^{-1} = \varphi_a$ , and such that  $\varphi_a(0) = a$  and  $\varphi_a(a) = 0$ . Now for  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n$ , the corresponding mapping in the unit polydisc  $\mathbb{D}^n$  is the transform  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{D}^n \mapsto \varphi_a(z) = (\varphi_{a_1}(z_1), \dots, \varphi_{a_n}(z_n))$ . This is an automorphism of  $\mathbb{D}^n$  and its real Jacobian is  $\prod_{j=1}^n |\varphi_{a_j}'(z_j)|^2$  (see [9]). It follows that as in the one-parameter case, the change of variables  $w = \varphi_a(z)$  works in the polydisc and the following holds:

$$(22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(\varphi_a(z)) dv_{\alpha}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} f(z) |k_a^{\alpha}(z)|^2 dv_{\alpha}(z).$$

Let us consider the mapping  $U_a(h) = (h \circ \varphi_a) k_a^{\alpha}$ . It is easy to check that  $U_a$  is an isometry of  $A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Also,  $U_a$  is unitary and commutes with the Toeplitz operators in the following sense:

$$(23) \quad U_a T_f = T_{f \circ \varphi_a} U_a, \quad f \in A_{\alpha}^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$$

and consequently,

$$T_{\bar{g}} U_a = U_a T_{\bar{g} \circ \varphi_a}$$

(see [9]). As a consequence, one obtains that for any  $f_1 \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and  $g_1 \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,

$$(24) \quad T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1} \circ \varphi_a} = U_a (T_{f_1} T_{\overline{g_1}}) U_a.$$

As said above,  $U_a$  is an isometry of  $A_\alpha^2(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Using (22), one checks that the following operator also provides an isometry of  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,

$$U_a^p(h) = (h \circ \varphi_a) k_a^\alpha (\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{2/p-1}.$$

The following operator was introduced in one-parameter case by J. Miao in [6]:

$$V_a^p(h) = P_\alpha (U_a^p(h)) = P_\alpha \left( (h \circ \varphi_a) k_a^\alpha (\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{2/p-1} \right).$$

Using the following identity which holds for any  $f_2 \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and any  $g_2 \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,

$$(25) \quad T_{\overline{f_2}} P_\alpha(g_2) = P_\alpha(\overline{f_2} g_2),$$

we obtain for any  $h \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{(\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/p}} V_a^p(h) &= P_\alpha \left( (\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/p} (h \circ \varphi_a) k_a^\alpha (\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{2/p-1} \right) \\ &= (h \circ \varphi_a) k_a^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(26) \quad T_{(\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/p}} V_a^p(h) = U_a(h).$$

From (24), we have for any  $u \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and any  $v \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,

$$(27) \quad \langle T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1} \circ \varphi_a} u, v \rangle_\alpha = \langle T_{\overline{g_1}} (U_a(u)), T_{f_1} (U_a(v)) \rangle_\alpha.$$

It follows from (27) and (26) that for any  $u \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and any  $v \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ ,

$$(28) \quad \langle T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1} \circ \varphi_a} u, v \rangle_\alpha = \langle T_{\overline{g_1}} T_{(\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/p}} V_a^p(u), T_{f_1} T_{(\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/q}} V_a^q(v) \rangle_\alpha.$$

Let us take

$$f_1 = \frac{f}{(k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/q}}, \quad g_1 = \frac{g}{(k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/p}}.$$

Clearly,  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  are holomorphic and consequently,

$$T_{\overline{f_1}} T_{(\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/q}} = T_{\overline{f_1 (k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/q}}} = T_{\overline{f}}$$

and

$$T_{\overline{g_1}} T_{(\overline{k_a^\alpha})^{1-2/p}} = T_{\overline{g_1 (k_a^\alpha)^{1-2/p}}} = T_{\overline{g}}.$$

It follows from the Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1} \circ \varphi_a} u, v \rangle_\alpha| &= |\langle T_f T_{\overline{g}} V_a^p(u), V_a^q(v) \rangle_\alpha| \\ &\leq \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \|V_a^p(u)\|_{p,\alpha} \|V_a^q(v)\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \|U_a^p(u)\|_{p,\alpha} \|U_a^q(v)\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &= \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\| \|u\|_{p,\alpha} \|v\|_{q,\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Thus using Lemma 4.1,

$$\|f_1 \circ \varphi_a\|_{p,\alpha} \|g_1 \circ \varphi_a\|_{q,\alpha} \lesssim \|T_{f_1 \circ \varphi_a} T_{\overline{g_1} \circ \varphi_a}\| \leq \|T_f T_{\overline{g}}\|.$$



Next we observe that

$$f_1 \circ \varphi_a = (f \circ \varphi_a)(k_a^\alpha \circ \varphi_a)^{-1+\frac{2}{q}} = (f \circ \varphi_a)(k_a^\alpha \circ \varphi_a)^{1-\frac{2}{p}}$$

and consequently using (22) that

$$\|f_1 \circ \varphi_a\|_{p,\alpha} = \|fk_a^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}.$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\|g_1 \circ \varphi_a\|_{q,\alpha} = \|gk_a^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}.$$

We conclude that

$$\|fk_a^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|gk_a^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \lesssim \|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\|.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let us now prove the following.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $p = q(p-1)$  and  $-1 < \alpha < \infty$ . Given  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and  $g \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , if the product  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , then the two following conditions are equivalent.*

(i)

$$(29) \quad \inf_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} |f(w)| |g(w)| > 0.$$

(ii)

$$(30) \quad \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* We assume that  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , so that its adjoint  $(T_f T_{\bar{g}})^* = T_g T_{\bar{f}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Let us first observe that the following conditions obviously hold.

$$(31) \quad M_1 := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} \|T_f T_{\bar{g}} k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty$$

and

$$(32) \quad M_2 := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|T_g T_{\bar{f}} k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Next, observing that given  $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,  $T_f T_{\bar{g}} k_w^\alpha = \overline{g(w)} f k_w^\alpha$ , we obtain that for any  $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} \|(T_f T_{\bar{g}})^{-1} (\overline{g(w)} f k_w^\alpha)\|_{p,\alpha} \\ &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} \|(T_f T_{\bar{g}})^{-1}\| \|g(w)\| \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(33) \quad \|k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha}^{-1} |g(w)| \|f k_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{\|(T_f T_{\bar{g}})^{-1}\|}$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|(T_g T_{\bar{f}})^{-1} (\overline{f(w)} g k_w^\alpha)\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} \|(T_g T_{\bar{f}})^{-1}\| \|f(w)\| \|g k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Which provides that

$$(34) \quad \|k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha}^{-1} |f(w)| \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{\|(T_g T_{\bar{f}})^{-1}\|}.$$

Combining (33) and (34), we see that there are two constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that for any  $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,

$$(35) \quad \frac{c_1}{\|(T_f T_{\bar{g}})^{-1}\| \|(T_g T_{\bar{f}})^{-1}\|} \leq |f(w)| |g(w)| \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \leq c_2 M_1 M_2.$$

Now suppose that

$$M = \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} < \infty.$$

Then from the left inequality in (35) we get that for any  $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,

$$|f(w)| |g(w)| \geq \frac{c_1}{M \|(T_f T_{\bar{g}})^{-1}\| \|(T_g T_{\bar{f}})^{-1}\|} > 0.$$

Hence (29) holds.

Conversely, if  $\eta = \inf_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} |f(w)| |g(w)| > 0$ , then the right inequality in (35) provides

$$\|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \leq \frac{c_2 M_1 M_2}{\eta} < \infty$$

for any  $w \in \mathbb{D}$ . That is (30) holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Note that if  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , then (8) holds by Proposition 4.2. Hence if  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ , (8) holds and by Proposition 4.3 this is equivalent to (9).

Now let us suppose that both (8) and (9) are satisfied. We first prove that the weight  $\omega = |f|^p$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Let us observe for this that as  $\eta = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}^n} |f(z)| |g(z)| > 0$ , we have that for any  $z \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,  $|f(z)| |g(z)| > \eta$  and consequence, that  $|g(z)| > \eta |f(z)|^{-1}$ . It follows using the analogue of the estimate (11) for the polydisc that for any  $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [f]_{p,\alpha} &:= \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} = \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} |f(w)| |f(w)|^{-1} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\leq \eta^{-2} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} |f(w)| |g(w)| \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} \\ &\lesssim \eta^{-2} (\|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha})^2 \\ &\leq \eta^{-2} [f, g]_{p,\alpha}^2. \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p,\alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q,\alpha} < \eta^{-2} [f, g]_{p,\alpha}^2$$

and from Lemma 3.7, we have that this implies that  $\omega = |f|^p$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{B}_{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Hence it follows from Proposition 3.5 that  $P_\alpha^+$  is bounded

on  $L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, |f|^p d\nu_\alpha)$ . It follows using Lemma 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_f T_{\bar{g}} h(z)| &= |f(z)| |P_\alpha(\bar{g}h)(z)| \\ &\leq |f(z)| \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |g(w)| |h(w)| |K^\alpha(z, w)| d\nu_\alpha(w) \\ &= |f(z)| \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |g(w)| |f(w)| |f(w)|^{-1} |h(w)| |K^\alpha(z, w)| d\nu_\alpha(w) \\ &\lesssim [f, g]_{p, \alpha} |f(z)| \int_{\mathbb{D}^n} |f(w)|^{-1} |h(w)| |K^\alpha(z, w)| d\nu_\alpha(w) \\ &= [f, g]_{p, \alpha} |f(z)| P_\alpha^+(|f|^{-1}|h|)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the boundedness of  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathcal{H})$  follows from the boundedness of  $P_\alpha^+$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbb{D}^n, |f|^p d\nu_\alpha)$  with the right estimate. Thus

$$\|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| \leq C(p) [\omega]_{B_{p, \alpha}(\mathbb{D}^n)}^{n \times \max\{1, \frac{q}{p}\}} [f, g]_{p, \alpha} \leq C(p) [f, g]_{p, \alpha} [f]_{p, \alpha}^{n \times \max\{p, q\}}.$$

We conclude that

$$\|T_f T_{\bar{g}}\| \leq C \eta^{-2n \times \max\{p, q\}} [f, g]_{p, \alpha}^{1+2n \times \max\{p, q\}}.$$

To prove that  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is invertible, we first observe with the right hand inequality in (35) that there is a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that for any  $w \in \mathbb{D}^n$ ,

$$c_1 \leq |f(w)| |g(w)| \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p, \alpha} \|gk_w^\alpha\|_{q, \alpha} \leq |f(w)| |g(w)| [f, g]_{p, \alpha}.$$

Hence that the function  $(f\bar{g})^{-1}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{D}^n$ . Thus the Toeplitz operator  $T_{(f\bar{g})^{-1}}$  is bounded on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Hence, denoting  $I$  the identity operator, it follows that

$$T_f T_{\bar{g}} T_{(f\bar{g})^{-1}} = I = T_{(f\bar{g})^{-1}} T_f T_{\bar{g}}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

We have the following particular case of Theorem 2.1.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $\alpha > -\mathbf{1} = (-1, -1, \dots, -1)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $pq = p+q$ , and assume that  $f \in A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  and  $\frac{1}{f} \in A_\alpha^q(\mathbb{D}^n)$ . Then  $T_f T_{\bar{g}}$  is bounded and invertible on  $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{D}^n)$  if and only if*

$$[f]_{p, \alpha} := \sup_{w \in \mathbb{D}^n} \|fk_w^\alpha\|_{p, \alpha} \|f^{-1}k_w^\alpha\|_{q, \alpha} < \infty.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1]
- [2] D. BÉKOLLÉ, Inégalités à poids pour le project de Bergman dans la boule unité de  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . *Studia Math.* **71** (1981/82), no. 3, 305–323 (French).
- [3] D. BÉKOLLÉ AND A. BONAMI, Inégalités à poids pour le noyau de Bergman, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **286** (1978), no. 18, A775–A778 (French, with English summary).
- [4] J. ISRALOWITZ, Invertible Toeplitz products, weighted inequalities and  $A_p$  weights. *J. Oper. Theor.* **71** (2) (2014), 381–410.
- [5] Y. LU AND Z. SUN, Invertible Toeplitz operators products on the Bergman spaces of the polydisc, *Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications* Sept., 2012, Vol. **32**, No. 5, 543–553.
- [6] J. MIAO, Bounded Toeplitz products on the weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **346** (2008), 305–313.
- [7] S. POTT AND M. C. REGUERA, Sharp Békollé estimates for the Bergman projection. *J. Funct. Anal.* **265** (2013), 3233–3244.

- [8] K. STROETHOFF AND A. D. ZHENG, Invertible Toeplitz products. *J. Funct. Anal.* **195** (1) (2002), 48–70.
- [9] K. STROETHOFF AND A. D. ZHENG, Bounded Toeplitz products on the Bergman space of the polydisk. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **278** (2003), no. 1, 125–135.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF GHANA PO. BOX LG 62 LEGON  
ACCRA GHANA

*E-mail address:* [bfsehba@ug.edu.gh](mailto:bfsehba@ug.edu.gh)