

HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSIONS OF RIGID MODULES

OLGUR CELIKBAS, MOHSEN GHEIBI,
MAJID RAHRO ZARGAR AND ARASH SADEGHI

ABSTRACT. We obtain various characterizations of commutative Noetherian local rings (R, \mathfrak{m}) in terms of homological dimensions of certain finitely generated modules. For example, we establish that R is Gorenstein if the Gorenstein injective dimension of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R is finite. Furthermore we prove that R must be regular if a single $\text{Ext}_R^n(I, J)$ vanishes for some integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals I and J of R and for some integer $n \geq \dim(R)$. Along the way we observe that local rings that admit maximal Cohen-Macaulay Tor-rigid modules are Cohen-Macaulay.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Definitions	3
3. Projective and injective dimensions via rigid modules	4
4. Auslander's transpose and remarks on Tor-rigidity	7
5. Main theorem	10
6. Corollaries of the main theorem	13
7. Gorenstein injective dimension of strongly-rigid modules	16
Appendix A. Some examples of test and rigid-test modules	20
Appendix B. Remarks on two-dimensional rational singularities	21
Acknowledgments	23
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout R is a commutative Noetherian local ring with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field k , and all modules over R are assumed to be finitely generated.

It is well-known that the projective dimension of an R -module M is determined by the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, k)$, i.e., if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, k) = 0$ for some positive integer n , then $\text{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$. In fact $\text{pd}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, k) \neq 0\}$. Furthermore it follows from classical theorems of Auslander, Buchsbaum and Serre that finiteness of the projective or the injective dimension of the residue field k characterizes the ring itself: R is regular if $\text{pd}(k) < \infty$ or $\text{id}(k) < \infty$; see [10, 2.2.7 and 3.1.26].

Date: November 13, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13D07; Secondary 13D05, 13H10.

Key words and phrases. Auslander's transpose, Frobenius endomorphism, Gorenstein injective dimension, semidualizing modules, test modules, Tor-rigidity, vanishing of Ext and Tor.

The main task in this paper is to introduce a class of modules, called *rigid-test* modules, that replace the residue field k in the aforementioned classical results; see (2.3) for the definition. A special case of our main result, Theorem 5.8, can be summarized as follows; see also Corollaries 6.1 and 6.11.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let M and N be a nonzero R -modules. Assume N is a rigid-test module (e.g., $N = k$).*

- (i) *If $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$, then $\text{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$.*
- (ii) *$\text{pd}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0\}$.*
- (iii) *If $\text{pd}(N) < \infty$ or $\text{id}(N) < \infty$, then R is regular.*

To motivate our approach, let us remark Corso, Huneke, Katz and Vascencelos [18, 3.3] established that integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals are rigid-test modules; see (A.2). Thus, for such ideals I and J , if $\text{Ext}_R^n(I, J) = 0$ for some $n \geq \dim(R)$, then it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\text{pd}(I) < \infty$, and hence R is regular; see (2.3). Furthermore, if R is a two-dimensional complete normal local rational singularity with an algebraically closed residue field, by a result of Lipman [44, 7.1], I^i is integrally closed, and hence rigid-test, for all $i \geq 1$. Consequently Theorem 1.1 yields the following result; see also (B.3).

Corollary 1.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a two-dimensional complete normal local domain with an algebraically closed residue field. Assume that R has a rational singularity. If $\text{Ext}_R^n(I^r, J^s) = 0$ for some integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals I and J of R , and for some positive integers n, r, s , then R is regular.*

Examples of two-dimensional rational singularities include hypersurface rings (rational double points), such as $R = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$.

Our argument has applications in several directions. We use Theorem 1.1 and deduce the following characterization of regularity from a beautiful result of Avramov, Hochster, Iyengar and Yao [9, 1.1]; see (6.7) and Corollary 6.8.

Corollary 1.3. *Let R be a complete local ring of prime characteristic p with a perfect residue field, and let M and N be nonzero R -modules with $\text{Ext}_R^i(\varphi^n M, N) = 0$ for some $i \geq \text{depth}(N)$ and $n \geq 1$. If N is a rigid-test module, then R is regular.*

Here $\varphi^n M$ is the R -module M with the R -action given by the n th iterate of the Frobenius endomorphism φ ; see (A.3). As an example, we note that nonzero modules of infinite projective dimension are rigid-test modules over $R = \mathbb{F}_p[[x, y, z]]/(xy - z^2)$, with p is an odd prime; thus Corollary 1.3 implies that $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(\varphi^e M, \varphi^r N) \neq 0$ for all positive integers e, n, r , and for all nonzero R -modules M, N ; see (A.4) and (A.6).

Theorem 1.1 determines the Gorensteinness of R via the *Gorenstein injective dimension*, a refinement of the usual injective dimension introduced by Enochs and Jenda [23]. We prove in Corollary 7.6 that R is Gorenstein if the Gorenstein injective dimension $\text{Gid}(\mathfrak{m})$ of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R is finite. This, combined with the results in the literature, seems to give a fairly complete picture: R is Gorenstein if and only if at least one of the dimensions $\text{Gid}(\mathfrak{m})$, $\text{Gid}(R)$ or $\text{Gid}(k)$ is finite; see also (7.7) and Avramov's remark following Question 7.1.

A rigid-test module is, by definition, Tor-rigid [1] and a test module (for projectivity) in the sense of [14]; see (2.3). Among those already discussed, there are quite a few motivations to study test and rigid-test modules: it was established in [14, 3.7] that if the dualizing module of a Cohen-Macaulay ring is a test module, then

there are no nonfree totally reflexive modules. Proposition 4.12 extends [14, 3.7] and establishes that there are no nonfree totally reflexive modules if there exists a nonzero test module over R – not necessarily maximal Cohen-Macaulay – of finite injective dimension. Another motivation for us to introduce rigid-test modules comes from the fact that the hypothesis – N is a test module – in Theorem 1.1 cannot be dropped in general. Tor-rigidity has remarkable consequences [1, 21], but if N is a Tor-rigid module, which is not a test module, i.e., not a rigid-test module, then the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$, even for all $n \gg 0$, does not necessarily force M to have finite projective dimension in general; see Theorem 1.1 and Example 6.3.

Cohen-Macaulay local rings admit maximal Cohen-Macaulay Tor-rigid modules, e.g., a high syzygy of the residue field is such an example. On the other hand, examples of non Cohen-Macaulay rings that admit maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules are easy to find; see (4.9). In proving our main result, we discover the following, which came as a surprise to us; see (4.7).

Observation 1.4. If a local ring R admits a (finitely generated) maximal Cohen-Macaulay Tor-rigid module, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

We make various observations in sections 3 and 4, and prove our main result, Theorem 5.8, in section 5. Sections 6 and 7 are devoted to applications of our argument. We also collect examples of test and rigid-test modules from the literature in Appendix A; Appendix B corroborates a result of Iyama and Wemyss [33].

2. DEFINITIONS

2.1. ([1]) An R -module M is said to be *Tor-rigid* provided that the following holds for all R -modules N :

$$\text{If } \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 1, \text{ then } \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(M, N) = 0.$$

The notion of Tor-rigidity were initially used in the study of the Koszul complex; it was later formulated and analyzed for modules by Auslander; see [1]. An interesting result of Lichtenbaum [43, Theorem 3] shows that modules over regular local rings, and those of finite projective dimension over hypersurfaces – quotient of power series rings over fields – are Tor-rigid.

2.2. ([14, 1.1]) An R -module M is said to be a *test module for projectivity* provided that the following holds for all R -modules N :

$$\text{If } \text{pd}(N) = \infty, \text{ then } \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) \neq 0 \text{ for infinitely many integers } n.$$

We will call a test module for projectivity simply a *test module*.

Motivated by a question of Lichtenbaum [43, page 226, question 4], we define:

2.3. A Tor-rigid test module is called a *rigid-test module*. More precisely, M is called a rigid-test module provided that the following holds for all R -modules N :

$$\text{If } \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 1, \text{ then } \text{Tor}_{n+1}^R(M, N) = 0 \text{ and } \text{pd}(N) < \infty.$$

Dao, Li and Miller [22] defined *strong-rigidity* to study Tor-rigidity of the Frobenius endomorphism over Gorenstein rings:

2.4. ([22, 2.1]) An R -module M is said to be *strongly-rigid* provided that the following holds for all R -modules N :

$$\text{If } \text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 1, \text{ then } \text{pd}(N) < \infty.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be a local ring and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume N is a rigid-test module and that $\text{syz}(N) = \text{depth}(N) \leq \text{pd}(M)$. Then*

$$\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \neq 0\} = \text{pd}(M) - \text{depth}(N).$$

Proof. We may assume $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$; see (2.3). Set $\text{pd}(M) = n$, $\text{depth}(N) = t$, and $q = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \neq 0\}$. We proceed by induction on t and prove that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \neq 0$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n - t$. Notice, since N is Tor-rigid, it is enough to show that $\text{Tor}_{n-t}^R(M, N) \neq 0$.

If $t = 0$, then it follows from Auslander's remark that $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N) \neq 0$; see (3.1). Hence assume $t \geq 1$, and pick a non zero-divisor x on N . Then one can see that there is a long exact sequence of the form:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-t+1}^R(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-t+1}^R(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{n-t}^R(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

It is easy to see that N/xN is a rigid-test over R ; see [14, 2.2]. Thus the induction hypothesis yields $\text{Tor}_{n-t+1}^R(M, N/xN) \neq 0$. Therefore $\text{Tor}_{n-t}^R(M, N) \neq 0$. In particular we have that $q \geq n - t$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\text{Tor}_q^R(M, N))$. Then the depth formula [1, 1.2] implies that:

$$(3.3.1) \quad \text{pd}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{depth}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = q.$$

If $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{depth}(N)$, then it follows that:

$$q = \text{pd}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{pd}(M) - \text{depth}(N) = n - t.$$

This shows $q = n - t$, and hence completes the proof.

Next suppose $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \text{depth}(N) = t$. Since $\text{depth}(N) = \text{syz}(N)$, we know that N is a t -th syzygy module. This implies that $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \min\{t, \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$; see [10, 1.3.7]. Hence $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore, by (3.3.1), we deduce that $q = 0$. Now the fact $\text{Tor}_{n-t}^R(M, N) \neq 0$ yields that $n - t = 0$, i.e., $q = n - t$. \square

Remark 3.4. Jorgensen [35, 2.2] proved, if M is a module over a local ring R with $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$, then $q^R(M, N) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \neq 0\}$ is equal to $\sup\{\text{pd}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) - \text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M \otimes_R N)\}$. Therefore, if $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$, one can deduce from Jorgensen's result that $q^R(M, N) \geq \text{pd}(M) - \text{depth}(N)$.

In case $\text{syz}(N) = \text{depth}(N) \leq \text{pd}(M) < \infty$, Proposition 3.3 establishes the equality $q^R(M, N) = \text{pd}(M) - \text{depth}(N)$ without appealing to [35, 2.2]. Notice, by Example 3.2, the hypothesis $\text{depth}(N) \leq \text{pd}(M)$ is required, but we do not know whether the condition $\text{syz}(N) = \text{depth}(N)$ is essential.

If (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) is a local ring and N is a nonzero R -module, then the k -vector spaces $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, N)$ are nonzero for all n , where $\text{depth}(N) \leq n \leq \text{id}(N)$; see [51, Theorem 2]. In other words, if $\text{Ext}_R^n(k, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$, then $\text{id}(N) < \infty$. Since k is strongly-rigid, this leads us to pose the following question; see also (2.4).

Question 3.5. Let R be a local ring and let M and N be a nonzero R -modules. Assume M is strongly-rigid and $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$. Then must N have finite injective dimension?

In case M is a test module (not necessarily strongly-rigid) and R has a dualizing complex (i.e., R is a homomorphic image of a Gorenstein ring), it follows from [14, 3.2] that $\text{id}(N) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ vanishes for all $i \gg 0$. Here our aim is to examine the case where M is strongly-rigid, and a single $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ vanishes

for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$. In Proposition 3.6 we obtain a partial affirmative answer to Question 3.5 over Cohen-Macaulay rings. This, in particular, gives an affirmative answer when R is Artinian; see Corollary 3.8.

Proposition 3.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a dualizing module and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume the following holds:*

- (i) $\text{pd}_{R_p}(M_p) < \infty$ for all $p \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ (e.g., R has an isolated singularity.)
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) = 0$ for some $j \geq \dim(R) + 1$.
- (iii) M is strongly-rigid.

Then $\text{id}(N) < \infty$.

Proof. As R has a dualizing module, we can consider a maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximation of N , i.e., a short exact sequence of R -modules

$$(3.6.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow Y \rightarrow C \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0,$$

where C is maximal Cohen-Macaulay and $\text{id}(Y) < \infty$. Set $n = j - d + \text{depth}(M)$ where $d = \dim(R)$. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ to (3.6.1), we get the following long exact sequence:

$$(3.6.2) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^j(M, Y) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^j(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Note that $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, Y) = 0$. So it follows from (3.6.2) and (ii) that $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, C) = 0$. Observe, by (3.6.1), that $\text{id}(C) < \infty$ if and only if $\text{id}(N) < \infty$. Therefore we may assume N is maximal Cohen-Macaulay. Consider the following standard spectral sequence:

$$E_2^{p,q} = \text{Ext}_R^p(\text{Tor}_q^R(N^\dagger, M), \omega) \implies H^{p+q} = \text{Ext}_R^{p+q}(M, N)$$

Here $N^\dagger = \text{Hom}(N, \omega)$ and ω is the dualizing module of R . Observe $\text{Tor}_q^R(M, N^\dagger)$ has finite length for all $q \geq 1$: this follows from (i) and the fact that N^\dagger is maximal Cohen-Macaulay; see [59, 2.2]. Therefore $E_2^{p,q} = 0$ if $q \geq 1$ and $p \neq d$. Furthermore:

$$\text{Ext}_R^j(M, N) = H^j \cong E_2^{d,n} = \text{Ext}_R^d(\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N^\dagger), \omega).$$

Now, by (ii), the local duality theorem [10, 3.5.11(b)] yields that $\text{Tor}_n^R(M, N^\dagger) = 0$. Thus (iii) gives the required conclusion; see also (2.4). \square

We will use the following observation several times; see Corollaries 6.1 and 6.13.

3.7. Let R be a local ring and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$. If $n = 0$, then $\text{depth}(N) = 0$ and hence $\text{Hom}(M, N) \neq 0$; see, for example, [10, 1.2.3]. Therefore n is positive.

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be an Artinian ring and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. If M is strongly-rigid and $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$, then N is injective.*

Proof. In view of (3.7), the required result follows from Proposition 3.6. \square

If R is an Artinian hypersurface, that is quotient of a power series ring over a field, M is an R -module of infinite projective dimension and $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$, then [5, 5.12] and [11, 4.7] show that N is injective. One can recover this result from Corollary 3.8 since each module of infinite projective dimension is strongly-rigid over such an Artinian hypersurface; see (A.4) and (A.6).

Corollary 3.9. *Let R be a d -dimensional excellent Cohen-Macaulay local ring, N a nonzero R -module, and let I be an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R . Assume $\text{Ext}_R^n(I, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq d$. Then $\text{id}(N) < \infty$.*

Proof. It follows that $I \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ is an integrally closed $\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$ -primary ideal of \widehat{R} , where \widehat{R} is the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of R ; see, for example [32, 19.2.5]. So we may assume R is complete with a dualizing module. Notice, by (3.7), we have that $n \geq 1$. Moreover I is strongly-rigid, and $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is free for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$; see (A.2). Thus if $\text{Ext}_R^n(I, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq d$, then Proposition 3.6 implies that $\text{id}(N) < \infty$. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let R be a d -dimensional complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If $\text{Ext}_R^n(I, R) = 0$ for some $n \geq d$ and some integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I of R , then R is Gorenstein.*

It was posed in [14, 3.5-3.6] that whether or not test property is preserved under completion; see (2.2). An affirmative answer has been recently obtained in [12]:

3.11. ([12]) Let R be a local ring and let M be a nonzero R -module. Then M is a test module over R if and only if $M \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ is a test module over the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion \widehat{R} of R .

In light of (3.11), the excellent hypothesis on R in Corollary 3.9 can be removed provided there is an affirmative answer to the following longstanding open problem:

Question 3.12. Let R be a local ring. If M is a Tor-rigid module over R , then must $M \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ be Tor-rigid over \widehat{R} ?

Remark 3.13. It is established in Corollary 3.9 that, if $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(R/I, N) = 0$, then $\text{id}(N) < \infty$. Since R/I has finite length, it is worth noting that the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N)$ for an arbitrary R -module M of finite length does not force N to have finite injective dimension in general. For example, if $R = k[[x, y]]/(xy)$, $M = R/(x + y)$ and $N = k$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$, but $\text{id}(N) = \infty$.

4. AUSLANDER'S TRANSPOSE AND REMARKS ON TOR-RIGIDITY

4.1. ([2]) Let M be an R -module with a projective presentation $P_1 \xrightarrow{f} P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Then the *transpose* $\text{Tr}M$ of M is the cokernel of $f^* = \text{Hom}_R(f, R)$ and hence is given by the exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M^* \rightarrow P_0^* \rightarrow P_1^* \rightarrow \text{Tr}M \rightarrow 0$.

If n is a positive integer, $\mathcal{T}_n M$ denotes the transpose of the $(n - 1)$ st syzygy of M , i.e., $\mathcal{T}_n M = \text{Tr}\Omega^{n-1}M$.

There are exact sequence of functors [2, 2.8]:

- (i) $\text{Tor}_2^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, -) \rightarrow (\text{Ext}_R^n(M, R) \otimes_R -) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(M, -) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, -),$
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, -) \hookrightarrow \text{Tor}_n^R(M, -) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Ext}_R^n(M, R), -) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, -)$

The next useful fact has been initially addressed by Auslander in his 1962 ICM talk [3]. Auslander's original remark is for regular local rings but, in case Tor-rigidity holds, it also holds over arbitrary local rings; see (2.1).

4.2. (Auslander [3, Corollary 6]; see also Jothilingam [38]) Let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume N is Tor-rigid. Assume further that $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some nonnegative integer n .

It follows from (4.1)(i) that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N) = 0$. This implies, since N is Tor-rigid, that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. We can now use (4.1)(i) once more and conclude that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, R) \otimes_R N = 0$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, R) = 0$.

In particular, if $M = N$, then $\mathrm{Tor}_1^R(\mathrm{Tr}\Omega^n M, \Omega^n M) = 0$ so that [60, 3.9] implies $\Omega^n M$ is free, i.e., $\mathrm{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$.

As ${}^{\varphi}R$ is Tor-rigid over complete intersection rings, we deduce:

4.3. Assume R is an F-finite local complete intersection ring with prime characteristic p . If $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i({}^{\varphi^n}R, {}^{\varphi^n}R) = 0$ for some positive integers i and n , then it follows from (A.3)(iii) and (4.2) that R is regular; cf. Corollary 1.3.

One can find remarkable applications of (4.2) in the literature. For example, Jorgensen [36, 2.1] proved that, if R is a complete intersection ring and M is an R -module such that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^2(M, M) = 0$, then $\mathrm{pd}(M) \leq 1$; (4.2) plays an important role in Jorgensen's proof. On the other hand, Dao exploited (4.2) and obtained new results on the non-commutative crepant resolutions; see [20] for details.

We give two applications of Auslander's rigidity result recorded in (4.2). The first one, (4.5), is an immediate observation, albeit it will be quite useful later; see the proof of Corollary 4.12. Our second application is given in Corollary 4.6: it yields a characterization of Cohen-Macaulay rings in terms of Tor-rigidity. We proceed by recalling a remarkable result of Foxby:

4.4. (Foxby [10, 3.1.25]) R is Gorenstein if and only if there exists a nonzero finitely generated R -module M such that $\mathrm{pd}(M) < \infty$ and $\mathrm{id}(M) < \infty$.

4.5. Let M be a nonzero Tor-rigid R -module. If $\mathrm{id}(M) < \infty$, then it follows from (4.2) that $\mathrm{pd}(M) < \infty$ and hence, by (4.4), R is Gorenstein.

Tor-rigidity hypothesis in (4.5) cannot be replaced with test property: a local ring admitting a nonzero test module of finite injective dimension is not necessarily Gorenstein, however such a ring R is G -regular [55], i.e., $G\text{-dim}(M) = \mathrm{pd}(M)$ for all R -modules M ; see (2.2), Example 6.4 and Corollary 4.13.

The *grade* of a pair of nonzero modules (M, N) , denoted by $\mathrm{grade}(M, N)$, is defined as $\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0\}$. Setting $\mathrm{grade}(M) = \mathrm{grade}(M, R)$, we see that $\mathrm{grade}(M) < \infty$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let R be a local ring and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume N is Tor-rigid. Set $\mathrm{grade}(M) = n$ and $\mathrm{grade}(M, N) = s$. Then $s \leq n$, and $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0$ for all $i = s, \dots, n$.*

Proof. We have, by definition, that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, R) \neq 0$. If $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$, then it follows from (4.2) that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, R) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(M, N) \neq 0$, and hence $s \leq n$. Now suppose $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for some $s < i < n$. Set $r = \min\{j \in \mathbb{Z} : \mathrm{Ext}_R^j(M, N) = 0 \text{ with } s < j < n\}$. We know, since $r < n$, that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^r(M, R) = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_r M$ is stably isomorphic to $\Omega \mathcal{T}_{r+1} M$. Moreover it follows from (4.2) that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathcal{T}_{r+1} M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. This implies that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(\mathcal{T}_r M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Now we use (4.1)(i) and deduce that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{r-1}(M, N) = 0$. This contradicts the choice of r , and finishes the proof. \square

An immediate consequence of Proposition 4.6 is a characterization of local rings:

Corollary 4.7. *Let R be a local ring and let N be a nonzero Tor-rigid R -module.*

- (i) $\text{depth}(N) \leq \dim(M) + \text{grade}(M)$ for all nonzero R -modules M .
- (ii) $\text{depth}(N) \leq \text{depth}(R)$.
- (iii) If N is maximal Cohen-Macaulay, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.6 that $\text{grade}(M, N) \leq \text{grade}(M)$. On the other hand $\text{depth}(N) - \dim(M) \leq \text{grade}(M, N)$; see [58, 2.1(a)]. This implies $\text{depth}(N) \leq \dim(M) + \text{grade}(M)$, and justifies (i). In particular, if $M = k$, we deduce that $\text{depth}(N) \leq \dim(k) + \text{grade}(k) = \text{depth}(R)$ and hence (ii) follows. Note that (iii) is an immediate consequence of (ii). \square

Alexandre Tchernev [17] informed us that the conclusion of Corollary (4.7)(iii) can be obtained without using Proposition 4.6. Next is Tchernev's argument; it is included here with his permission.

Theorem 4.8. *Let R be a d -dimensional local ring. Assume there exists a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module M that is Tor-rigid. Then R is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. (A. Tchernev) Pick a system of parameters $X = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ of R that is an M -regular sequence. Let $F = (F_i, f_i)$ be a free resolution of M and let $K(X, R)$ be the Koszul complex of R with respect to X . Consider the first quadrant double complex $F_p \otimes K_q(X, R)$. The first filtration has E^2 term equal to the iterated homology modules $E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(F, H_q(X)) = \text{Tor}_p^R(M, H_q(X))$. On the other hand, second filtration has E^2 term equal to the iterated homology modules $H_p(X, H_q(F)) = H_p(X, M)$. Thus there is a first quadrant spectral sequence:

$$\text{Tor}_p^R(M, H_q(X)) \implies H_{p+q}(X, M).$$

As x_1, \dots, x_d is a regular sequence on M , it follows that $H_i(X, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Furthermore $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, H_0(X)) = \text{Tor}_1^R(M, R/X) = 0$. Since M is Tor-rigid, we have that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, R/X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Note $d_{p,q}^2 : E_{p,q}^2 \rightarrow E_{p-2,q+1}^2$ is of bidegree $(-2, 1)$. Thus $E_{0,1}^\infty = E_{0,1}^2 = M \otimes_R H_1(X)$, which is a subquotient of $H_1(X, M) = 0$. It follows that $H_1(X) = 0$ and so $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ for all p and $q \leq 1$, except $E_{0,0}^2$. Thus $E_{0,2}^\infty = E_{0,2}^2 = M \otimes_R H_2(X)$, which is a subquotient of $H_2(X, M) = 0$. Hence $H_2(X) = 0$. Proceeding in this way we see that $H_i(X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Consequently x_1, \dots, x_d is an R -regular sequence, and R is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

It is an open question whether or not every complete Noetherian local ring has a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module: this is known as the small Cohen-Macaulay conjecture. However, in low dimensions, we can use Corollary 4.7 and observe:

4.9. Assume R is a local ring that is not Cohen-Macaulay.

- (i) If R is one-dimensional and \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime ideal of R , then R/\mathfrak{p} is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module that is not Tor-rigid. For example if we put $R = k[[x, y]]/(x^2, xy)$, then $R/(x)$ is not a Tor-rigid R -module. In fact $\text{Tor}_1^R(R/(x), R/(y)) = 0 \neq \text{Tor}_2^R(R/(x), R/(y))$; see [43, Question 3].
- (ii) If R is a two-dimensional complete domain, then the integral closure \overline{R} of R in its field of fractions is a (finitely generated) maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module that is not Tor-rigid. For example, if $R = k[[x^4, x^3y, xy^3, y^4]]$, then $\overline{R} = R[[x^2y^2]]$ is not a Tor-rigid R -module.

One can also find examples of three dimensional non Cohen-Macaulay local rings that admit maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules; see, for example, Hochster [25, 5.4, 5.6 and 5.9]. These modules are not Tor-rigid, for example, by (4.7).

Recall that an R -module C is called *semidualizing* if the natural map $R \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(C, C)$ is bijective and $\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. If C is a semidualizing module such that $\mathbf{id}(C) < \infty$, then R is Cohen-Macaulay and C is dualizing.

4.10. Let C be a semidualizing module over R . Then the C -projective dimension $\mathbf{C}\text{-pd}(M)$ of a nonzero R -module M is defined as the infimum of the integers n such that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C^{b_n} \rightarrow C^{b_{n-1}} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow C^{b_1} \rightarrow C^{b_0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where each b_i is a positive integer. It follows $\mathbf{C}\text{-pd}(M) = \mathbf{pd}(\mathbf{Hom}_R(C, M))$, and the C -injective dimension $\mathbf{C}\text{-id}(M)$ of M is defined similarly: $\mathbf{C}\text{-id}(M) = \mathbf{id}(C \otimes_R M)$; see [54, 1.6, 2.8, 2.9 and 2.11]. Notice, if $\mathbf{pd}(C) < \infty$, then $C \cong R$ and hence $\mathbf{C}\text{-pd}(N) = \mathbf{pd}(N)$ and $\mathbf{C}\text{-id}(N) = \mathbf{id}(N)$.

4.11. (Takahashi and White [54, 2.9]) Let M be a nonzero R -module and let C be a semidualizing R -module. If $\mathbf{C}\text{-pd}(M) < \infty$ (respectively, $\mathbf{C}\text{-id}(M) < \infty$), then $\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(C, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ (respectively, $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(C, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$). In particular, if M is a nonzero test module and $\mathbf{C}\text{-id}(M) < \infty$ for some semidualizing R -module C , then $C \cong R$ and hence $\mathbf{id}(M) < \infty$; see (2.1) and (4.10).

Proposition 4.12. *Let R be a local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. Assume M is a nonzero test module over R . Assume further that $\mathbf{C}\text{-id}(M) < \infty$. If X is an R -module such that $\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(X, R) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$, then $\mathbf{pd}(X) < \infty$.*

Proof. Assume X is an R -module with $\mathbf{Ext}_R^i(X, R) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. Note, by (4.11), we have that $\mathbf{id}(M) < \infty$. This yields $\mathbf{RHom}(\mathbf{RHom}(X, R), M) \simeq X \otimes_R^{\mathbf{L}} M$; see [15, A.4.24]. Therefore $\mathbf{Tor}_i^R(M, X) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$ so that $\mathbf{pd}(X) < \infty$. \square

It was proved in [14, 3.7] that, if the dualizing module of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring R is a test module, then R is G -regular, i.e., $\mathbf{pd}(M) = \mathbf{G}\text{-dim}(M)$ for all R -modules M [55]. A straightforward application of Proposition 4.12 extends this:

Corollary 4.13. *A local ring admitting a nonzero test module of finite injective dimension is G -regular.*

Before we proceed to prove our main result, we give an overview of what has been established so far in terms of the injective dimension of test and rigid modules:

4.14. Let R be a local ring and let N be a nonzero R -module such that $\mathbf{id}(N) < \infty$.

- (i) If N is Tor-rigid, then R is Gorenstein; see (4.5).
- (ii) If N is a test module over R , then R is G -regular; see Corollary 4.13.
- (iii) If N is a rigid-test module over R , then it follows from (i) and (ii) that R is regular; see also Question 2.5 and Corollary 6.11.

5. MAIN THEOREM

This section is dedicated to a proof of our main result, Theorem 5.8. In the following $\mathbf{H}\text{-dim}$ denotes a homological dimension of finitely generated modules; see (5.2) and, for example, Avramov's expository article [8, 8.6 - 8.8] for details. The special case – where \mathbf{H} is the projective dimension \mathbf{pd} – is what we really need for the proof of Theorem 1.1, stated in the introduction. However one can follow our argument word for word by replacing $\mathbf{H}\text{-dim}$ with projective dimension \mathbf{pd} so there is no extra penalty for this generality. Furthermore such a generality is useful to examine the *Gorenstein dimension* $\mathbf{G}\text{-dim}$ of Tor-rigid modules; see Corollary 6.13.

5.1. ([2]) A finitely generated module M over a commutative Noetherian ring R is said to be *totally reflexive* if the canonical map $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Hom}(M, R), R)$ is bijective, and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \text{Hom}(M, R)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

The infimum of nonnegative integers n for which there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, such that each X_i is totally reflexive, is called the Gorenstein dimension of M . If M has Gorenstein dimension n , we write $\text{G-dim}(M) = n$. Therefore M is totally reflexive if and only if $\text{G-dim}(M) \leq 0$, where it follows by convention that $\text{G-dim}(0) = -\infty$.

5.2. Throughout we assume H-dim satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\text{G-dim}(M) \leq \text{H-dim}(M) \leq \text{pd}(M)$ for all R -modules M .
- (ii) If $\text{H-dim}(M) = 0$, then $\text{Tr}M = 0$ or $\text{H-dim}(\text{Tr}M) = 0$ for all R -modules M .

Although we will not use it, we note that the *complete intersection dimension* [6] is an example of a homological dimension – in general distinct than the Gorenstein and projective dimension – that satisfies the conditions in (5.2).

5.3. For our purpose we recall a few properties of H-dim ; see [8, 3.1.2, 8.7 and 8.8].

- (i) If one of the dimensions in (5.2)(i) is finite, then it equals the one on its left.
- (ii) If $\text{H-dim}(M) < \infty$, then $\text{H-dim}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0\}$.
- (iii) If $\text{H-dim}(M) < \infty$, then $\text{H-dim}(M) \leq \text{depth}(R)$.

If X and Y are nonzero R -modules and H-dim is a homological dimension of modules, we consider the following condition for (X, Y, H) :

5.4. If $\text{Tor}_1^R(X, Y) = 0$, then $\text{H-dim}(X) = \text{depth}(Y) - \text{depth}(X \otimes_R Y)$.

The condition in (5.4) is not restrictive for rigid modules. For example, Auslander [1, 1.2] proved that, if R is a local ring, and X and Y are nonzero R -modules where $\text{pd}(X) < \infty$ and Y is Tor-rigid, then (5.4) holds for (X, Y, pd) ; see (2.1).

Recently Christensen and Jorgensen [16, 5.3] established a similar result over AB rings: if R is AB, and X and Y are nonzero R -modules either of which is Tor-rigid, then $(X, Y, \text{G-dim})$ satisfies the condition in (5.4). Recall that a Gorenstein local ring R is said to be AB [30] if, for all R -modules M and N , $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$ implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i > \dim(R)$. The class of AB rings strictly contain that of complete intersections; see [30, 3.6] and [37, 4.5] for details.

Next we summarize the aforementioned two results:

5.5. Let R be a local ring and let X and Y be nonzero R -modules. Then (X, Y, H) satisfies the condition in (5.4) if at least one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) Y is a rigid-test module, and $\text{H-dim} = \text{pd}$; see (2.3) and [1, 1.2].
- (ii) R is AB, X or Y is Tor-rigid, and $\text{H-dim} = \text{G-dim}$; see (2.1) and [16, 5.3].

Next is the key result we use for our proof of Theorem 5.8; see also (4.1).

5.6. (Auslander and Bridger; see [2, 2.12, 2.15 and 2.17]) Let R be a local ring, M a nonzero R -module and let n be a positive integer. If M is n -torsion-free, then it is n -reflexive, i.e., if $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr}M, R) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then $M \approx \Omega_R^n(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}(\text{Tr}_R M))$, i.e., M is isomorphic to $\Omega_R^n(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}(\text{Tr}_R M))$ up to a projective summand.

Recall that $\text{depth}(0) = \infty$.

5.7. ([2]) Let R be a local ring and let M be an R -module. Then M is said to satisfy (\widetilde{S}_n) if $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \min\{n, \text{depth}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})\}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M)$.

If the ring is Cohen-Macaulay, (\widetilde{S}_n) coincides with Serre's condition (S_n) [24], but in general (\widetilde{S}_n) is a weaker condition. For example, if $R = k[[x, y]]/(x^2, xy)$, then, by definition, R satisfies (\widetilde{S}_n) for all nonnegative integers n , but fails to satisfy (S_1) since $\text{depth}(R) = 0$; see also the discussion following [45, Definition 10].

Theorem 5.8 is a generalization of a result of Jothilingham [39, Corollary 1]. We give the argument in two steps as the conclusion of part (1) may be of independent interest. It is already known that the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr} M, R)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$ forces M to be an r th syzgy module, and that forces M to satisfy (\widetilde{S}_r) ; see (5.7) and [45, Propositions 11 and 40].

Theorem 5.8. *Let R be a local ring and let M and N be a nonzero R -modules. Assume M satisfies (\widetilde{S}_r) for some nonnegative integer r .*

- (1) *If $\text{G-dim}(\text{Tr} M) < \infty$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr} M, R) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.*
- (2) *Assume $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some positive integer n .*
 - (i) *If N is strongly-rigid and $\text{depth}(R) \leq n + r$, then $\text{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$.*
 - (ii) *If N is Tor-rigid, $\text{depth}(N) \leq n + r$ and $(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N, \mathbf{H})$ satisfies the condition in (5.4), then $\text{H-dim}(M) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. We proceed to prove (1). Assume $\text{G-dim}(\text{Tr} M) < \infty$ and $r \geq 1$. If $\text{G-dim}(\text{Tr} M) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr} M, R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ so that there is nothing to prove. So we assume $\text{G-dim}(\text{Tr} M) \geq 1$ and set $s = \inf\{i \geq 1 : \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr} M, R) \neq 0\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\text{Ext}_R^s(\text{Tr} M, R))$. Then we have $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^s(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}), R_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ and that $s = \inf\{i \geq 1 : \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}), R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0\}$. It follows from the definition of the transpose, see (4.1), that there is an injection

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^s(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}), R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_s(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

which shows that $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Ass}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{T}_s(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. Hence $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{T}_s(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}})) = 0$. Since $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}), R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$, we conclude from (5.6) that

$$M_{\mathfrak{p}} \approx \Omega_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{s-1}(\mathcal{T}_s(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}})).$$

Note that $s \leq \text{G-dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Tr}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \leq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Therefore $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = s - 1$. Furthermore, since M satisfies (\widetilde{S}_r) , it follows that $\text{depth}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq \min\{r, \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$. Hence $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq r + 1$ and $r \leq s - 1$. Consequently $\text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Tr} M, R) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

We now proceed to prove (2). If $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M = 0$, then $\Omega^n M$ is free and hence $\text{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$; see (4.1). In particular, this implies that $\text{H-dim}(M) \leq n - 1$; see (5.3)(i). Therefore we may assume $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M \neq 0$.

Since M satisfies (\widetilde{S}_r) , it follows that $\Omega^n M$ satisfies (\widetilde{S}_{n+r}) ; see (5.7). Therefore, by the first part of the theorem, we conclude that:

$$(5.8.1) \quad \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, R) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n + r.$$

Notice, since $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$, we have by (4.1)(i) that:

$$(5.8.2) \quad \text{Tor}_1^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N) = 0.$$

If (i) holds, then it follows from (2.4) and (5.8.2) that $\text{pd}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) < \infty$. Therefore, since $\text{depth}(R) \leq n + r$, we use (5.3)(i) and deduce:

$$(5.8.3) \quad \text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) = \text{pd}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) \leq \text{depth}(R) \leq n + r.$$

On the other hand, if (ii) holds, then it follows from our assumption that $\text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) = \text{depth}(N) - \text{depth}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M \otimes_R N)$. Since $\text{depth}(N) \leq n + r$, we obtain:

$$(5.8.4) \quad \text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) \leq n + r.$$

Consequently, if either (i) or (ii) holds, then $\text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) \leq n + r$, where for part (i), $\text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) = \text{pd}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M)$; see (5.8.3) and (5.8.4).

Recall that $\text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) = \sup\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, R) \neq 0\} < \infty$; see (5.3)(ii). Since $\text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) \leq n + r$, it follows from (5.8.1) that $\text{H-dim}(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M) = 0$, i.e., $\text{H-dim}(\text{Tr}\Omega^n M) = 0$; see (4.1). Thus, by (5.2)(ii), we have that $\text{H-dim}(\Omega^n M) = 0$. This yields that $\text{H-dim}(M) \leq n$.

If (i) holds, then, since $\text{Ext}^n(M, N) = 0$, we conclude that $\text{H-dim}(M) \leq n - 1$; see, for example, [46, Chapter 19, Lemma 1(iii)]. On the other hand, if (ii) holds, then, since N is Tor-rigid, it follows from (5.8.2) and (4.1)(i) that $\text{Ext}^n(M, R) = 0$. Therefore we see that $\text{H-dim}(M) \leq n - 1$. \square

6. COROLLARIES OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we give various applications of Theorem 5.8 and examine homological dimensions of test and rigid-test modules. Corollary 6.1, a reformulation of Theorem 5.8, is fundamental to our work: it shows that one can use an arbitrary nonzero strongly-rigid, or a rigid-test module N , just like the residue field k , to determine the exact value of the projective dimension of M via the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$. Besides this, Corollary 6.1 yields a series of related results. Among those is Corollary 7.6 which proves, in particular, that R is Gorenstein if the Gorenstein injective dimension [23] of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is finite.

Corollary 6.1 is well-known for the special case where $N = k$. Recall that a rigid-test module is, by definition, strongly-rigid, but we do not know whether or not all strongly-rigid modules are rigid-test; see Question 2.5.

Corollary 6.1. *Let R be a local ring, and let M and N be nonzero R -modules.*

- (i) *Assume $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(R)$. Assume further N is strongly-rigid. Then $\text{pd}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0\} \leq n - 1$.*
- (ii) *Assume $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some integer $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$. Assume further N is a rigid-test module. Then $\text{pd}(M) = \sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0\} \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Note that, for part (i) and part (ii), it suffices to prove that $\text{pd}(M)$ cannot exceed $n - 1$; see, for example, [46, Chapter 19, Lemma 1(iii)].

Assume (i). If $n = 0$ then $\text{depth}(R) = 0$. Since $\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = 0$ and N is strongly-rigid, it follows from (4.7) that $\text{depth}(R) \geq \text{depth}(N) \geq 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $n \geq 1$. Setting $r = 0$ in Theorem 5.8(2)(i), we conclude that $\text{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$. Next assume (ii). Notice, by (3.7), n is a positive integer. Moreover, since N is a rigid-test module, (5.4) holds for $(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N, \text{pd})$; see (5.5)(i). Therefore we obtain the required conclusion by setting $r = 0$ in Theorem 5.8(2)(ii). \square

The conclusion of Corollary 6.1 is sharp: Example 6.2 shows that the condition on n cannot be removed. Examples 6.3 and 6.4, respectively, highlight the fact that

the assumption “ N is Tor-rigid” or “ N is a test module” is not merely enough to deduce that M has finite projective dimension; see (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3).

Example 6.2. Let k be a field, $R = k[[x, y, z]]/(xy - z^2)$, $M = k$ and $N = \Omega^2 k$. Then N is a rigid-test module, $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$, $\text{depth}(N) = 2$ and $\text{pd}(M) = \infty$

Example 6.3. Let k be a field, $R = k[[x, y]]/(xy)$, $M = k$ and $N = R/(x + y)$. Then, since $\text{pd}(N) = 1$, N is Tor-rigid. Furthermore, since R is not regular, N is not a test module. Consequently N is not a rigid-test, or a strongly-rigid module. Note that $\text{pd}(M) = \infty$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$.

Example 6.4. Let k be a field and put $R = k[[x, y, z]]/(y^2 - xz, x^2y - z^2, x^3 - yz)$. Let $M = \mathfrak{m}$ and $N = \omega$, the canonical module of R . As R is a one-dimensional domain with minimal multiplicity, it is Golod [4, 5.2.8]. Hence, since $\text{pd}(N) = \infty$, it follows from (A.4) that N is a test-module. Huneke and Wiegand [31, 4.8] proved that there exists an R -module M such that $M \otimes_R N$ is torsion-free and M has torsion. We now follow the proof of [31, 1.1]:

Let \overline{M} be the torsion-free part of M . Then, since R is a domain, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, where F is a free R -module. Tensoring this short exact sequence with N , we obtain an injection $\text{Tor}_1^R(C, N) \hookrightarrow \overline{M} \otimes_R N$. Since $\overline{M} \otimes_R N \cong M \otimes_R N$ and $\text{Tor}_1^R(C, N)$ is torsion, we see that $\text{Tor}_1^R(C, N) = 0$. Note that $\text{pd}(C) = \infty$: otherwise \overline{M} is free, and this would force M to be free. Therefore N is not a strongly-rigid module. For completeness, we also remark that N is not Tor-rigid; see (4.5). Notice $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and $\text{pd}(M) = \infty$.

Corollary 6.1 can be useful to determine the depth of $\text{Hom}(M, N)$:

Corollary 6.5. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- (i) R has an isolated singularity, i.e., $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$.
- (ii) M is nonfree and maximal Cohen-Macaulay.
- (iii) N is strongly-rigid with $\text{depth}(N) \geq 2$.

Then $\text{depth}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) = 2$.

Proof. Note that $\text{depth}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \geq \min\{2, \text{depth}(N)\} = 2$; see [10, 1.4.19]. Thus it suffices to prove $\text{depth}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \leq 2$. Assume not, i.e., assume $\text{depth}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) \geq 3$. Then, by [34, 1.1], we see either $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$, or $1 \leq \text{depth}(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)) < \infty$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ has finite length, it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, N) = 0$. Set $d = \dim(R)$. Then $M = \Omega^d(X)$ for some finitely generated R -module X ; see [41, A.15]. This yields $\text{Ext}_R^{d+1}(X, N) = 0$. Now Corollary 6.1 shows that $\text{pd}(X) < \infty$, i.e., M is free. So we conclude that $\text{depth}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) = 2$. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Let $A = Q/(f)$, where $Q = k[x_1, \dots, x_{2s+1}]$, with $s \geq 1$, is a polynomial ring over a perfect field k , and f is a nonconstant polynomial in Q . Set $R = A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_{2s+1})A$ and assume $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. If M and N are nonfree maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -modules, then $\text{depth}(\text{Hom}_R(M, N)) = 2$.*

Proof. In light of (A.4) and (A.8), the required conclusion follows immediately from Corollary 6.5; cf. [19, 3.4]. \square

Corollary 1.3, stated in the introduction, is now a direct consequence of our argument and the following special case of [9, 1.1]; see also (A.3)(i).

6.7. (Avramov, Hochster, Iyengar and Yao; see [9, 1.1]) Let R be a local ring of prime characteristic p and let M be a nonzero finitely generated R -module. If $\varphi^e M$ has finite flat dimension for some positive integer e , then R is regular.

Corollary 6.8. *Let R be an F-finite local ring of prime characteristic p and let N be a nonzero rigid-test module over R . If $\text{Ext}_R^j(\varphi^n M, N) = 0$ for some nonzero R -module M , and for some integers $n \geq 1$ and $j \geq \text{depth}(N)$, then R is regular.*

Proof. Notice, as R is F-finite, $\varphi^e M$ is a finitely generated R -module. Thus it follows from Corollary 6.1(ii) that $\text{pd}(\varphi^e M) < \infty$. Now, by (6.7), R is regular. \square

6.9. (Proof of Corollary 1.3) As R is complete and k is perfect, it follows that R is F-finite; see, for example, [10, page 398]. So the result follows from Corollary 6.8.

A special case of Corollary 6.1 and 6.8 has been established in [50, Theorem B]: if R is a complete intersection ring of prime characteristic p , and $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, \varphi^i R) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(R)$, then $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$; see (A.3)(iii). We should note that [50, Theorem B] does not require an F-finite ring and relies on methods different from ours. As discussed in the introduction, our argument is not specific to rings of characteristic p , and gives useful information regarding the Frobenius endomorphism even if the ring considered is not a complete intersection. For example the next result, in view of (A.3)(ii), is immediate from Corollary 6.1; cf. [50, Theorem A].

Corollary 6.10. *Let R be a one-dimensional F-finite Cohen-Macaulay local ring of prime characteristic p , and let M be an R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, \varphi^i R) = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$ and some $i \gg 0$ if and only if $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$.*

Corollary 6.1 yields a characterization of regularity in terms of C-pd and C-id dimensions of strongly-rigid modules; see also (2.4) and (4.10).

Corollary 6.11. *Let R be a local ring, C a semidualizing R -module and let M be a nonzero strongly-rigid R -module. Assume either $\text{C-pd}(M) < \infty$ or $\text{C-id}(M) < \infty$. Then R is regular.*

Proof. We start by noting that M is a test module; see (2.2). Assume first $\text{C-id}(M) < \infty$. Then it follows from (4.11) that $\text{id}(M) < \infty$, i.e., $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, M) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. Now Corollary 6.1(i) implies that $\text{pd}(k) < \infty$ so that R is regular.

Next assume $\text{C-pd}(M) < \infty$. Then it follows from (4.11) that $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Hence we can use Corollary 6.1(i) once more and deduce that $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$. This implies that R is regular. \square

A special case of Corollary 6.11 is a characterization of regularity in terms of integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideals; see (A.2).

Corollary 6.12. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let I be an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R . Then R is regular if and only if there exists a semidualizing R -module C such that $\text{C-id}(\Omega^n I) < \infty$ or $\text{C-pd}(\Omega^n I) < \infty$ for some nonnegative integer n . In particular, R is regular if and only if $\text{id}(I) < \infty$.*

The conclusions of next corollaries, 6.13 and 6.14, are known over complete intersection rings; see [52, 3.6]. Here we are able to show that these results carry over to AB rings. Recall that every complete intersection ring is AB, but not vice versa; see the paragraph preceding (5.5). Furthermore, in Corollary 6.15, we obtain a nonvanishing result for Ext over hypersurfaces that are in the form of (A.8).

Corollary 6.13. *Let R be a local AB ring, and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume N is Tor-rigid and that $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$. Then $\sup\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0\} = \text{G-dim}(M) = \text{depth}(R) - \text{depth}(M) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. The bound on $\text{G-dim}(M)$ follows from Theorem 5.8(ii): the conditions in (5.4) hold for $(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N, \text{G-dim})$; see (5.5)(ii). Hence, since R is an AB ring, it suffices to prove by [16, 3.2 and 6.1] that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$.

As $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ and N is a Tor-rigid module, it follows from the exact sequence (4.1)(i) that $\text{Tor}_i^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. This shows, by [16, 3.2], that Tate Tor groups $\widehat{\text{Tor}}_i^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N)$ vanish for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\text{G-dim}(M) \leq n - 1$, and $\text{G-dim}(\Omega^n M) = 0$; see [2, 3.13]. We now use [5, 4.4.7] and conclude, for all $i \geq n + 1$, that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) &\cong \text{Ext}_R^{i-n}(\Omega^n M, N) \cong \widehat{\text{Ext}}_R^{i-n}(\Omega^n M, N) \\ &\cong \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{-i+n-1}^R(\text{Hom}(\Omega^n M, R), N) \\ &\cong \widehat{\text{Tor}}_{-i+n+1}^R(\mathcal{T}_{n+1}M, N). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq n$, and this proves our claim. \square

Corollary 6.14. *Let R be a local AB ring, and let M and N be nonzero R -modules such that N is Tor-rigid (e.g., $N = k$). Assume $\text{depth}(N) \leq \text{G-dim}(M)$. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0$ for all i , where $\text{depth}(N) \leq i \leq \text{G-dim}(M)$. In particular, if $\text{depth}(M) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0$ for all i , where $\text{depth}(N) \leq i \leq \dim(R)$.*

Proof. The first part is clear from Corollary 6.13. If $\text{depth}(M) = 0$, then $\text{G-dim}(M) = \dim(R)$ so that the second part follows. \square

If R is a hypersurface (quotient of an equi-characteristic regular local ring) and N is an R -module such that $\text{id}(N) < \infty$, then $\text{pd}(N) < \infty$ and hence it follows from a result of Lichtenabum [43, Theorem 3] that N is Tor-rigid. Consequently, when R is such a hypersurface, and M and N are nonzero R -modules such that $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$, $\text{depth}(M) = 0$ and $\text{id}(N) < \infty$, Corollary 6.14 implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0$ for all i , where $\text{depth}(N) \leq i \leq \dim(R)$. Over certain hypersurfaces, we know that all modules are Tor-rigid so that the nonvanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ occurs without any restriction on N . For example, Corollary 6.14 and (A.8) yield:

Corollary 6.15. *Let $A = Q/(f)$, where $Q = k[x_1, \dots, x_{2s+1}]$, with $s \geq 1$, is a polynomial ring over a perfect field k , and f is a nonconstant polynomial in Q . Set $R = A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_{2s+1})A$ and assume $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. If M and N are nonzero R -modules such that $\text{depth}(M) = 0$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \neq 0$ for all i , where $\text{depth}(N) \leq i \leq \dim(R)$.*

7. GORENSTEIN INJECTIVE DIMENSION OF STRONGLY-RIGID MODULES

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a local ring. If R is Gorenstein and the injective dimension $\text{id}(\mathfrak{m})$ of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is finite, so is the injective dimension of k , and hence the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula implies that R is regular; see [10, 3.1.26]. Our results in this section originated in an attempt to answer the following question:

Question 7.1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring. Assume $\text{id}(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$. Then must R be Gorenstein, or equivalently, must R be regular?

Levin and Vasconcelos [42, Theorem 1.1] proved that R is regular if $\text{pd}(\mathfrak{m}M) < \infty$ for an R -module M with $\mathfrak{m}M \neq 0$. They also remarked that an argument analogous to that of [42, Theorem 1.1] would work just as well for finite injective dimension.

Avramov [17] pointed out that an affirmative answer to Question 7.1 came out in a discussion with himself and H.-B. Foxby in the summer of 1983. He also referred us to Lescot's explicit computation of the Bass series of \mathfrak{m} for an example of a published treatment of this fact; see [40, 1.8]. Avramov [7, Theorem 4] proved that any submodule L of a finitely generated R -module M satisfying $L \supseteq \mathfrak{m}M \supseteq \mathfrak{m}L$ has the same injective complexity and curvature as the residue field k . It follows, for example, if $\mathfrak{m}^n M \neq 0$ and $\text{id}(\mathfrak{m}^n M) < \infty$, then R is regular; see also [7, Corollary 5] and the remark following it. A very special case of this result – the case where $n = 1$ and $M = R$, i.e., the case where $\text{id}(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$ – also follows from (4.5).

The Gorenstein injective dimension, introduced by Enochs and Jenda [23], is a refinement of the classical injective dimension. We use Corollary 6.1 and prove that R is Gorenstein if the Gorenstein injective dimension of an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R is finite; see Corollary 7.6. This, in particular, refines Question 7.1 and establishes that R is Gorenstein if and only if the Gorenstein injective dimension of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is finite. We proceed by recalling some definitions:

7.2. ([23]; see [15, 6.2.2]) An R -module M is said to be Gorenstein injective if there is an exact sequence $I_\bullet = (\cdots \rightarrow I_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} I_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} I_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots)$ of injective R -modules such that $M \cong \ker(\partial_0)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(E, I_\bullet)$ is exact for any injective R -module E . The Gorenstein injective dimension of M , $\text{Gid}(M)$, is defined as the infimum of n for which there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow I_{-n} \rightarrow 0$, where each I_i is Gorenstein injective.

The Gorenstein injective dimension is a refinement of the classical injective dimension: $\text{Gid}(M) \leq \text{id}(M)$, with equality if $\text{id}(M) < \infty$; see [15, 6.2.6]. It follows that every module over a Gorenstein ring has finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Hence, if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is Gorenstein, but not regular, then $\text{Gid}(k) < \infty = \text{id}(k)$.

Cohen-Macaulay local rings that admit a nonzero strongly-rigid module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension are Gorenstein.

Proposition 7.3. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring. If $\text{Gid}(M) < \infty$ for some nonzero strongly-rigid R -module M , then R is Gorenstein.*

Proof. Assume $\text{Gid}(M) < \infty$ for some nonzero strongly-rigid R -module M . Then, since R is Cohen-Macaulay, there exists a nonzero finitely generated R -module N such that $\text{id}(N) < \infty$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$; see [26, 2.22]. Therefore Corollary 6.1(i) shows that $\text{pd}(N) < \infty$, i.e., R is Gorenstein; see (4.4). \square

In general it is not known whether or not a local ring admitting a nonzero module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension must be Cohen-Macaulay; see [15]. Hence, in view of the foregoing result, it seems worth raising the following question:

Question 7.4. Let R be a local ring and let M be a nonzero strongly-rigid module over R . If $\text{Gid}(M) < \infty$, then must R be Gorenstein?

We are able to give an affirmative answer to Question 7.4 when M is an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal. For that we need the following result of Yassemi:

7.5. (Yassemi [57, 1.3]) Let R be a local ring and let M be a nonzero R -module. Assume $\text{Gid}(M) < \infty$. Assume further that $\dim(M) = \dim(R)$. Then R is Cohen-Macaulay.

An integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I of (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a strongly-rigid module with $\dim(I) = \dim(R)$; see (A.2). So we deduce from Proposition 7.3 and (7.5) that:

Corollary 7.6. *A local ring (R, \mathfrak{m}) is Gorenstein if and only if $\text{Gid}(I) < \infty$ for some integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal I of R . In particular, (R, \mathfrak{m}) is Gorenstein if and only if $\text{Gid}(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$.*

Remark 7.7. It is known that if $\text{Gid}(k) < \infty$ or $\text{Gid}(R) < \infty$, then R is Gorenstein; see [15, 6.2.7] and [27, 2.1]. However we do not know whether the finiteness of $\text{Gid}(\mathfrak{m})$ directly implies the finiteness of $\text{Gid}(k)$ or $\text{Gid}(R)$ via the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$. Thus, as far as we know, Corollary 7.6, even for the special case where $I = \mathfrak{m}$, is new.

Our final aim is to show in Proposition 7.13 that the finiteness of $\text{C-Gid}(\mathfrak{m})$ for a semidualizing module C detects the dualizing module, i.e., forces C to be dualizing. We first record a few preliminary results.

7.8. Let C be a semidualizing R -module; see (4.10). Then the C -Gorenstein injective dimension $\text{C-Gid}(M)$ of a nonzero R -module M can be defined as $\text{Gid}_{R \times C}(M)$, where $R \times C$ is the trivial extension of R by C ; see Henrik and Jørgensen [28, 2.16]. In particular, if $C = R$, then $\text{C-Gid}(M) = \text{Gid}(M)$; see (7.2).

Proposition 7.9 is used for our proof of Proposition 7.13 for the case where R is Artinian; see also (2.4).

Proposition 7.9. *Let R be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with a dualizing module and let C be a semidualizing R -module. Assume M is a nonzero strongly-rigid module over R . Assume further $\text{C-Gid}(M) < \infty$. Then C is dualizing.*

Proof. Note that $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, \omega) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$, where ω is the dualizing module. Hence $X = \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(C, \omega) \simeq \mathbf{H}\text{om}_R(C, \omega)$ is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module. It follows from [28, 4.6] that M is in the Bass class of R with respect to X . In particular $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, M) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$; see [28, 4.1]. Therefore Corollary 6.1(i) implies that $C \cong \omega$. \square

7.10. Let M be a nonzero R -module and let C be a semidualizing R -module. Assume $\text{C-Gid}(M) < \infty$. If $\dim(M) = \dim(R)$, then $\dim_{R \times C}(M) = \dim(R \times C)$ so that, by (7.5), R is Cohen-Macaulay. Therefore, if M is a strongly-rigid module, $\dim(M) = \dim(R)$ and $\text{depth}(R) = 0$, then R is Artinian and it follows from Proposition 7.9 that C is dualizing.

For the rest of our arguments, \overline{X} denotes X/xX , where X is an R -module and x is a non-zero-divisor on R .

7.11. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let $x \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$ be a non-zero-divisor on R . Then the surjective R -linear map $f : \mathfrak{m}/x\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}/xR$, given by $f(y + x\mathfrak{m}) = y + xR$ for all $y \in \mathfrak{m}$, splits; see, for example, the proof of [46, 19.2]. Therefore there exists an \overline{R} -module N such that $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} \cong N \oplus \mathfrak{m}/xR$.

7.12. Let R be a local ring and let $x \in R$. Assume x is a non-zerodivisor on R . Then x is also a non-zerodivisor on $R \times C$, and hence the following holds:

$$(7.12.1) \quad \overline{R} \times \overline{C} \cong \overline{R \times C}$$

Let M be an R -module. Assume x is also a non-zerodivisor on M . Assume further $\text{C-Gid}(M) < \infty$ for some semidualizing R -module C . Then, in view of (7.12.1), we deduce from [53, Lemma 2] that:

$$(7.12.2) \quad \text{Gid}_{\overline{R \times C}}(\overline{M}) = \text{Gid}_{\overline{R} \times \overline{C}}(\overline{M}) = \overline{C}\text{-Gid}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}) < \infty.$$

Proposition 7.13. *Let R be a local ring. Assume at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\text{C-Gid}(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$ for some semidualizing R -module C .
- (ii) $\text{C-Gid}(M) < \infty$ for some maximal Cohen-Macaulay strongly-rigid module M .

Then C is dualizing.

Remark 7.14. We already know from (7.10) that R must be Cohen-Macaulay in case (i) or (ii) holds in Proposition 7.13.

Proof of Proposition 7.13. We proceed by induction on $d = \text{depth } R$. If $d = 0$, then (7.10) gives the required conclusion for both case (i) and (ii). Hence we assume $d \geq 1$ and pick a non-zerodivisor x on R such that $x \in \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{m}^2$.

First suppose (i) holds, i.e., $\text{C-Gid}(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$. It follows from (7.11) that there exists an \overline{R} -module N such that $\overline{\mathfrak{m}} \cong N \oplus \mathfrak{m}/xR$. Therefore we conclude from (7.12.2) that $\text{Gid}_{\overline{R \times C}}(N \oplus \mathfrak{m}/xR) = \text{Gid}_{\overline{R \times C}}(\overline{\mathfrak{m}}) < \infty$. Then [26, 2.6] implies that $\text{Gid}_{\overline{R \times C}}(\mathfrak{m}/xR) < \infty$. Hence we obtain the following; see also (7.10) and (7.12.1).

$$\text{Gid}_{\overline{R \times C}}(\mathfrak{m}/xR) = \text{Gid}_{\overline{R} \times \overline{C}}(\mathfrak{m}/xR) = \overline{C}\text{-Gid}_{\overline{R}}(\mathfrak{m}/xR) < \infty.$$

Now the induction hypothesis forces \overline{C} to be dualizing over \overline{R} , i.e., $\text{id}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{C}) < \infty$. Consequently $\text{id}_R(C) < \infty$ and hence C is dualizing over R .

Next assume (ii). Then it follows from (7.12.2) that $\overline{C}\text{-Gid}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}) < \infty$. Moreover, since M is maximal Cohen-Macaulay, x is a non-zerodivisor on M . We can easily observe, similar to [14, 2.2], that \overline{M} is strongly-rigid over \overline{R} : here we include an argument since [14] deals with only test modules; see also (2.2) and (2.4).

Suppose $\text{Tor}_n^{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}, L) = 0$ for some \overline{R} -module L , and for some positive integer n . Then, since M is a strongly-rigid module over R , and $\text{Tor}_i^{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}, L) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(M, L)$ for all $i \geq 0$, we see that $\text{pd}_R(L) < \infty$. Now the fact $x \notin \mathfrak{m}^2$ implies that $\text{pd}_{\overline{R}}(L) < \infty$; see [4, 3.3.5(1)]. This proves that \overline{M} is strongly-rigid over \overline{R} . Thus the induction hypothesis implies that \overline{C} is dualizing over \overline{R} , and so C is dualizing over R . \square

Proposition 7.13 naturally raises the following question; see (2.4).

Question 7.15. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. If $\text{C-Gid}(M) < \infty$ for some nonzero strongly-rigid R -module M , then must R be Cohen-Macaulay? What if M is an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal?

The *Cohen-Macaulay injective dimension* $\text{CMid}(M)$ [29, 2.3] of an R -module M is defined as $\inf\{\text{C-Gid}(M) : C \text{ is a semidualizing } R\text{-module}\}$; see also (7.8). In view of this notation, Proposition 7.13 characterizes Cohen-Macaulay rings in terms of the finiteness of the Cohen-Macaulay injective dimension of the maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , i.e., if $\text{CMid}(\mathfrak{m}) < \infty$, then R is Cohen-Macaulay with a dualizing module C .

APPENDIX A. SOME EXAMPLES OF TEST AND RIGID-TEST MODULES

There are quite a few examples of test and rigid-test modules in the literature. In this section we catalogue a few of them; see also [14, 1.4] for a characterization of test modules over complete intersection rings. We start by pointing out that test and Tor-rigid modules are distinct in general; see (2.1) and (2.2).

A.1. Let $S = k[[x, y, z]]$ be the formal power series over a field k , and let R to be the subring of S generated by monomials of degree 2, that is, the 2nd *Veronese* subring S . Then $S = R \oplus_R L$, where L generates the class group of R ; see, for example [13, 3.16]. Since S is a finite extension of R , [14, 2.4] shows that L is a test module over R . On the other hand, by Dao's remark [20, 2.6], L is not Tor-rigid.

Recall that all rigid-test modules are strongly-rigid; see (2.3) and (2.4).

A.2. If I is an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal of R and $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/I, N) = 0$, then $\mathrm{pd}(N) \leq n - 1$, i.e., $\Omega^i(R/I)$ is a rigid-test module for all $i \geq 1$; see [18, 3.3].

A.3. Let R be an F-finite local ring of prime characteristic p , and let $\varphi^n : R \rightarrow R$ be the n th iterate of the Frobenius endomorphism defined by $r \mapsto r^{p^n}$ for $r \in R$. If M is a finitely generated R -module, $\varphi^n M$ denotes the (finitely generated) R -module M with the R -action given by $r \cdot m = \varphi^n(r)m$.

- (i) $\varphi^n R$ is a test module over R for all $n \gg 0$; see (2.2) and [47, 2.2.8].
- (ii) If R is a one-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, then $\varphi^n R$ is a rigid-test module over R for all $n \gg 0$; see (2.3) and [47, 2.1.3 and 2.2.12].
- (iii) If $\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} = 0$, or R is a complete intersection ring, then $\varphi^n R$ is a rigid-test module over R for all $n \geq 1$; see (2.3) and [47, 2.2.9, 2.2.10 and 5.1.1].

A.4. Let R be a Golod ring (e.g., R is a hypersurface). If M is an R -module with $\mathrm{pd}(M) = \infty$, then M is a test-module over R ; see [4, section 5] and [35, 3.1].

A.5. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a two-dimensional complete normal local domain with an algebraically closed residue field k . Assume R has a rational singularity, i.e., there exists a resolution of singularities $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, a proper birational morphism where X is a regular scheme, such that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$; see [41, 6.32] or [44]. It follows that R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring with minimal multiplicity; see, for example, [41, 6.36]. Thus R is Golod [4, 5.2.8]. Hence each R -module M with $\mathrm{pd}(M) = \infty$ is a test module over R ; see (A.4). In particular, if R is not Gorenstein, then the dualizing module of R is a test module.

A.6. Let $R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]/(f)$, where k is a field and $n \geq 3$. Assume R has an isolated singularity, i.e., $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Let M be an R -module. If $n = 3$ (i.e., $\dim(R) = 2$), or $\dim(M) \leq 1$ (e.g., M has finite length), then M is Tor-rigid; see [21, 2.10, 3.4 and 3.6].

A technical but rather important point for us is that a rigid-test module, unlike the residue field k , may have arbitrary depth and, even if its depth is zero, it does not have to have finite length in general. Here is such an example:

A.7. Let k be a field, $R = k[[x, y, z]]/(xy - z^2)$ and $M = \mathfrak{m}/x\mathfrak{m}$. Then M is a rigid-test module with $\mathrm{depth}(M) = 0$ and $\dim(M) = 1$; see (A.4) and (A.6).

The next result, in view of Dao [21, 2.10], was already known when f is homogeneous. Recently Walker [56] removed the homogeneity assumption; see [48] and also the paragraph preceding [56, 1.2].

A.8. Let $A = Q/(f)$, where $Q = k[x_1, \dots, x_{2s+1}]$, with $s \geq 1$, is a polynomial ring (with standard grading) over a perfect field k , and f is a nonconstant polynomial in Q . Set $R = A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, where $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_{2s+1})A$ and assume $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) - \{\mathfrak{m}\}$. Then all R -modules are Tor-rigid; see [21, 2.10] and [56, 1.3].

APPENDIX B. REMARKS ON TWO-DIMENSIONAL RATIONAL SINGULARITIES

In this section we prove an extension of Corollary 1.2, and compare our conclusion to a vanishing result obtained in [13]. Furthermore we improve a result of Iyama and Wemyss [33] over two-dimensional rational singularities; see (B.8).

B.1. Let R be a rational singularity as in Corollary 1.2, and let M and N be nonzero R -modules. Assume N is Tor-rigid and $\text{pd}(N) = \infty$. Then it follows that N is a rigid-test module; see (A.4) and (A.5). Therefore, if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq \text{depth}(N)$, then Corollary 6.1 implies that $\text{pd}(M) \leq n - 1$.

If R is as in Corollary 1.2, any power of an integrally closed \mathfrak{m} -primary ideal is Tor-rigid, and has infinite projective dimension unless R is regular; see the paragraph following Theorem 1.1 and (A.2). Therefore (B.1) subsumes Corollary 1.2.

The following vanishing result is from [13]:

B.2. ([13, 4.9]) Let R be a two-dimensional normal local domain such that the class group of R is torsion. Let M and N be nonzero finitely generated R -modules. Assume $\text{Cl-dim}(M) < \infty$. Set $c = \text{cx}(M)$, the complexity of M (e.g., c is the codimension of R); see [4, 4.2]. If $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = \dots = \text{Ext}_R^{n+c-1}(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq 3 - \text{depth}(M)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 3 - \text{depth}(M)$.

We will observe that, in characteristic zero, [13, 4.9], stated as (B.2), is essentially concerned with a hypersurface ring. In the case where R is a hypersurface ring, the conclusion $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$ – in fact $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$ or $\text{pd}(N) < \infty$ – can be obtained from Corollary 6.1.

B.3. Assume R is complete and contains an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero. Assume further that R is as in (B.2), or equivalently, R is a rational singularity as in Corollary 1.2; see [13, 2.6].

Then R is Golod; see (A.5). Since $\text{Cl-dim}(M) < \infty$, it follows that either $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$, or $\text{pd}(M) = \infty$ and R is a hypersurface that is quotient of a power series ring over k (rational double point); see [4, 5.3.3(2)] and [41, 6.18 and 6.37]. If $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$, then the vanishing result stated in (B.2) is vacuous. So assume $\text{pd}(M) = \infty$ and that R is a rational double point.

Suppose $\text{pd}(N) = \infty$. Then N is a rigid-test module; see (A.4) and (A.6). Hence, if $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, N) = 0$ for some $n \geq 2$, then Corollary 6.1 implies that $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$. Thus $\text{pd}(N) < \infty$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 3 - \text{depth}(M)$; see also [5, 4.7].

Next our aim is to improve the following result of Iyama and Wemyss:

B.4. (Iyama and Wemyss [33, 3.1(1)]) Let R be a two-dimensional complete normal local domain such that k is algebraically closed and has characteristic zero. Assume R has a rational singularity. Assume further R is not Gorenstein. If X is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R) = \text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) = 0$, then X is free.

The result of Iyama and Wemyss, stated in (B.4), leads to the important result that the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -modules does not contain any

n -cluster tilting objects for $n \geq 3$; see [33, 4.15(3)] for details. In (B.8) we improve (B.4) and show that the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R)$ is not necessary, i.e., we prove that X is free provided that $\text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) = 0$.

The proof of (B.4), given in [33, 3.1(1)], relies upon on a deep result of Christensen, Piepmeyer, Struli and Takahashi [48, 4.3]. As we have already noted that two-dimensional rational singularities are Golod (A.5), we can reprove (B.4) by giving a short and straightforward argument without appealing to [48].

B.5. Assume R is as in (B.4) and let X be a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R) = \text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) = 0$. Iyama and Wemyss establishes in [33, 3.1(1)] that $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. We briefly explain their argument for completeness:

As $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, R) = 0$, one has $\Omega X \cong X^*$ where $X^* = \text{Hom}(X, R)$; see [33, 2.2]. Similarly, since $\text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) = \text{Ext}_R^1(\Omega X, R) = \text{Ext}_R^1(X^*, R) = 0$, it follows that $\Omega X^* \cong X^{**}$. Notice X is reflexive; see, for example, [10, 1.4.1(b)]. Thus there are exact sequences $0 \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow F \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow G \rightarrow X^* \rightarrow 0$, where F and G are free R -modules. Consequently, using dimension shifting along those exact sequences, one concludes that $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

B.6. Let R be a local ring, M a nonzero test module and let N be a nonzero Cohen-Macaulay R -module. Assume $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. Then $\text{id}(N) < \infty$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $\dim(N)$. It follows from our assumption that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, \text{Hom}(N, E)) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$, where E is the injective hull of k . Hence the case where $\dim(N) = 0$ follows by Matlis duality. Next assume $\dim(N) \geq 1$, and choose $x \in R$ such that x is a non zero-divisor on N . The short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$ induces the following long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N/xN) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N/xN) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$. It now follows from the induction hypothesis that $\text{id}(N/xN) < \infty$. Hence, applying $\text{Hom}_R^i(k, -)$ to the short exact sequence above, we see that $\text{Ext}_R^i(k, N) = 0$ for all $i \gg 0$, i.e., $\text{id}(N) < \infty$. \square

Alternate proofs of (B.4). As R is Golod and not Gorenstein, the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, R)$ for all $i \geq 1$ implies that $\text{pd}(X) < \infty$; see (B.5) and [37, 1.4]. This forces X to be free as it is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module.

As an alternate argument, one can use (B.6): suppose X is not free. Then, as R is Golod, X is a test module; see (A.4). Moreover $\text{Ext}_R^i(X, R) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$; see (B.5). It now follows from (B.6) that R is Gorenstein. Thus X must be free. \square

B.7. (Auslander and Bridger; see [2, 2.17 and 2.21]) Let R be a Noetherian ring and let X be a nonzero finitely generated R -module. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ of finitely generated R -modules, where F is free, $Y = \text{Tr}\Omega\text{Tr}\Omega X$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(Y, R) = 0$; see (4.1).

B.8. Let R be a two-dimensional rational singularity as in (B.4). Assume X is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) = 0$. Then X is free.

Proof. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$, where F is free and $Y = \text{Tr}\Omega\text{Tr}\Omega X$; see (B.7) and also (4.1). This shows that Y is maximal Cohen-Macaulay (since X is maximal Cohen-Macaulay) and induces the long exact sequence: $\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(X, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(Y, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(F, R) \rightarrow \cdots$. Hence

$\text{Ext}_R^2(Y, R) = 0$. Furthermore, by [2, 2.17], we have that $\text{Ext}_R^1(Y, R) = 0$. Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^1(Y, R) = \text{Ext}_R^2(Y, R) = 0$. Applying (B.4), we conclude that Y is free. Consequently, since Y and F is free, X is free. \square

An immediate consequence of (B.8) is:

B.9. Let R be a rational singularity as in (B.4). If X is an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^n(X, R) = 0$ for some $n \geq 4$, then $\text{pd}(X) < \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Luchezar Avramov, David Buchsbaum, Kamran Divaani-Aazar, Henrik Holm, Li Liang, Saeed Nasseh, Greg Piepmeyer, William Sanders, Alex Tchernev, Sean Sather-Wagstaff, Yang Zheng, Ryo Takahashi and Mark E. Walker for valuable information and discussions related to this work during its preparation.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander. Modules over unramified regular local rings. *Illinois J. Math.*, 5:631–647, 1961. 2, 3, 4, 5, 11
- [2] M. Auslander and M. Bridger. *Stable module theory*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969. 7, 11, 12, 16, 22, 23
- [3] Maurice Auslander. Modules over unramified regular local rings. In *Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962)*, pages 230–233. Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, 1963. 7
- [4] L. L. Avramov. Infinite free resolutions. In *six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996)*, volume 166 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–118. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998. 14, 19, 20, 21
- [5] L. L. Avramov and R.-O. Buchweitz. Support varieties and cohomology over complete intersections. *Invent. Math.*, 142(2):285–318, 2000. 6, 16, 21
- [6] L. L. Avramov, V. Gasharov, and I. Peeva. Complete intersection dimension. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (86):67–114 (1998), 1997. 11
- [7] Luchezar L. Avramov. Modules with extremal resolutions. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(3):319–328, 1996. 17
- [8] Luchezar L. Avramov. Homological dimensions and related invariants of modules over local rings. In *Representations of algebra. Vol. I, II*, pages 1–39. Beijing Norm. Univ. Press, Beijing, 2002. 10, 11
- [9] Luchezar L. Avramov, Melvin Hochster, Srikanth B. Iyengar, and Yongwei Yao. Homological invariants of modules over contracting endomorphisms. *Math. Ann.*, 353(2):275–291, 2012. 2, 14, 15
- [10] W. Bruns and J. Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. 1, 5, 6, 8, 14, 15, 16, 22
- [11] O. Celikbas and H. Dao. Asymptotic behavior of Ext functors for modules of finite complete intersection dimension. *Math. Z.*, 269, 1005–1020, 2011. 6
- [12] O. Celikbas and S. Sather-Wagstaff. Test complexes of finite Gorenstein dimension. preprint, 2014. 7
- [13] Olgur Celikbas and Hailong Dao. Necessary conditions for the depth formula over Cohen-Macaulay local rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 218(3):522–530, 2014. 20, 21
- [14] Olgur Celikbas, Hailong Dao, and Ryo Takahashi. Modules that detect finite homological dimensions. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 54(2):295–310, 2014. 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 19, 20
- [15] Lars Winther Christensen. *Gorenstein dimensions*, volume 1747 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000. 10, 17, 18
- [16] Lars Winther Christensen and David A. Jorgensen. Vanishing of Tate homology and depth formulas over local rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 219(3):464–481, 2015. 11, 16
- [17] Private communication. 9, 17
- [18] Alberto Corso, Craig Huneke, Daniel Katz, and Wolmer V. Vasconcelos. Integral closure of ideals and annihilators of homology. In *Commutative algebra*, volume 244 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 33–48. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006. 2, 20

- [19] H. Dao. Some observations on local and projective hypersurfaces. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 15(2):207–219, 2008. 14
- [20] Hailong Dao. Remarks on non-commutative crepant resolutions of complete intersections. *Adv. Math.*, 224(3):1021–1030, 2010. 8, 20
- [21] Hailong Dao. Decent intersection and Tor-rigidity for modules over local hypersurfaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(6):2803–2821, 2013. 3, 20, 21
- [22] Hailong Dao, Jinjia Li, and Claudia Miller. On the (non)rigidity of the Frobenius endomorphism over Gorenstein rings. *Algebra Number Theory*, 4(8):1039–1053, 2010. 3
- [23] Edgar E. Enochs and Overtoun M. G. Jenda. Gorenstein injective and projective modules. *Math. Z.*, 220(4):611–633, 1995. 2, 13, 17
- [24] E. G. Evans and P. Griffith. *Syzygies*, volume 106 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985. 4, 12
- [25] Melvin Hochster. Cohen-Macaulay modules. In *Conference on Commutative Algebra (Univ. Kansas, Lawrence, Kan., 1972)*, pages 120–152. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 311. Springer, Berlin, 1973. 9
- [26] Henrik Holm. Gorenstein homological dimensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 189(1-3):167–193, 2004. 17, 19
- [27] Henrik Holm. Rings with finite Gorenstein injective dimension. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(5):1279–1283 (electronic), 2004. 18
- [28] Henrik Holm and Peter Jørgensen. Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, no. 2:423–445, 2006. 18
- [29] Henrik Holm and Peter Jørgensen. Cohen-Macaulay homological dimensions. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 117:87–112, 2007. 19
- [30] C. Huneke and D. A. Jorgensen. Symmetry in the vanishing of Ext over Gorenstein rings. *Math. Scand.*, 93(2):161–184, 2003. 11
- [31] C. Huneke and R. Wiegand. Tensor products of modules and the rigidity of Tor. *Math. Ann.*, 299(3):449–476, 1994. 14
- [32] Craig Huneke and Irena Swanson. *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, volume 336 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. 7
- [33] Osamu Iyama and Michael Wemyss. A new triangulated category for rational surface singularities. *Illinois J. Math.*, 55(1):325–341 (2012), 2011. 3, 21, 22
- [34] M. I. Jinnah. Reflexive modules over regular local rings. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 26(4):367–371, 1975. 14
- [35] David A. Jorgensen. A generalization of the Auslander-Buchsbaum formula. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 144(2):145–155, 1999. 5, 20
- [36] David A. Jorgensen. Finite projective dimension and the vanishing of $\text{Ext}_R(M, M)$. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(12):4461–4471, 2008. 8
- [37] David A. Jorgensen and Liana M. Şega. Nonvanishing cohomology and classes of Gorenstein rings. *Adv. Math.*, 188(2):470–490, 2004. 11, 22
- [38] P. Jothilingam. A note on grade. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 59:149–152, 1975. 7
- [39] P. Jothilingam. Syzygies and ext. *Math. Z.*, 188:278–282 (1985), 1985. 12
- [40] Jack Lescot. La série de Bass d’un produit fibré d’anneaux locaux. In *Paul Dubreil and Marie-Paule Malliavin algebra seminar, 35th year (Paris, 1982)*, volume 1029 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 218–239. Springer, Berlin, 1983. 17
- [41] Graham J. Leuschke and Roger Wiegand. *Cohen-Macaulay representations*, volume 181 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012. 14, 20, 21
- [42] G. Levin and W. V. Vasconcelos. Homological dimensions and Macaulay rings. *Pacific J. Math.*, 25:315–323, 1968. 17
- [43] S. Lichtenbaum. On the vanishing of Tor in regular local rings. *Illinois J. Math.*, 10:220–226, 1966. 3, 9, 16
- [44] Joseph Lipman. Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):195–279, 1969. 2, 20
- [45] V. Mašek. Gorenstein dimension and torsion of modules over commutative Noetherian rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 28(12):5783–5811, 2000. Special issue in honor of Robin Hartshorne. 12

- [46] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. 13, 18
- [47] Claudia Miller. The Frobenius endomorphism and homological dimensions. In *Commutative algebra (Grenoble/Lyon, 2001)*, volume 331 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 207–234. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003. 20
- [48] W. F. Moore, G. Piepmeyer, S. Spiroff, and M.E. Walker. Hochster’s theta invariant and the Hodge-Riemann bilinear relations. *Adv. Math.*, no. 2:1692–1714, 2011. 20, 22
- [49] M. P. Murthy. Modules over regular local rings. *Illinois J. Math.*, 7:558–565, 1963. 4
- [50] Saeed Nasseh, Massoud Tousi, and Siamak Yassemi. Characterization of modules of finite projective dimension via Frobenius functors. *Manuscripta Math.*, 130(4):425–431, 2009. 15
- [51] P. Roberts. Two applications of dualizing complexes over local rings. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 9(1):103–106, 1976. 5
- [52] A. Sadeghi. Vanishing of cohomology over complete intersection rings. *to appear in Glasgow Mathematical Journal*; posted at *arxiv:1210.5882*. 15
- [53] Shokrollah Salarian, Sean Sather-Wagstaff, and Siamak Yassemi. Characterizing local rings via homological dimensions and regular sequences. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 207(1):99–108, 2006. 19
- [54] R. Takahashi and D. White. Homological aspects of semidualizing modules. *Math. Scand.* 10
- [55] Ryo Takahashi. On G -regular local rings. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(12):4472–4491, 2008. 8, 10
- [56] M. E. Walker. Chern characters for twisted matrix factorizations and the vanishing of the higher Herbrand difference. *preprint*, posted at *arXiv:1404.0352*. 20, 21
- [57] Siamak Yassemi. A generalization of a theorem of Bass. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(1):249–251, 2007. 18
- [58] Siamak Yassemi, Leila Khatami, and Tirdad Sharif. Grade and Gorenstein dimension. *Comm. Algebra*, 29(11):5085–5094, 2001. 9
- [59] Ken-ichi Yoshida. Tensor products of perfect modules and maximal surjective Buchsbaum modules. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 123(1-3):313–326, 1998. 6
- [60] Y. Yoshino. *Cohen-Macaulay modules over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 146 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. 8

OLGUR CELIKBAS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CT 06269, USA

E-mail address: `olgur.celikbas@uconn.edu`

MOHSEN GHEIBI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA-LINCOLN, LINCOLN, NE 68588, USA

E-mail address: `mohsen.gheibi@gmail.com`

MAJID RAHRO ZARGAR, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `zargar9077@gmail.com`

ARASH SADEGHI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `sadeghiarash61@gmail.com`