

# ON THE HECKE EIGENVALUES OF MAASS FORMS

Wenzhi Luo\* and Fan Zhou

June 4, 2018

## Abstract

Let  $\phi$  denote a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form for  $\Gamma_o(N)$  with the Laplacian eigenvalue  $\lambda_\phi = 1/4 + t_\phi^2$ . In this work we show that there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $p \nmid N$ ,  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ , and  $p \ll (N(1 + |t_\phi|))^c$ , where  $\alpha_p, \beta_p$  are the Satake parameters of  $\phi$  at  $p$ , and  $c$  is an absolute constant with  $0 < c < 1$ . In fact,  $c$  can be taken as 0.27332. In addition, we prove that the natural density of such primes  $p$  ( $p \nmid N$  and  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ ) is at least 34/35.

MSC: 11F30 (Primary) 11F41, 11F12 (Secondary)

## 1 Introduction

The celebrated Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture for an elliptic cuspidal Hecke eigenform  $f$  of weight  $k \geq 2$  and level  $N$  asserts that for any prime  $p \nmid N$ ,

$$|\lambda_f(p)| \leq 2p^{\frac{k-1}{2}},$$

where  $\lambda_f(p)$  denotes the  $p$ -th Hecke eigenvalue of  $f$ . This conjecture has been solved affirmatively by Deligne in [De1] and [De2] as a consequence of his proof of the Weil conjectures.

Now let  $\phi$  denote a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form for  $\Gamma_o(N)$  and Dirichlet character  $\chi_\phi$  with the Laplacian eigenvalue  $\lambda_\phi = 1/4 + t_\phi^2$ . Denote the  $n$ -th Hecke eigenvalue of  $\phi$  by  $\lambda_\phi(n)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The generalized Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture predicts that for  $p \nmid N$ ,

$$|\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2,$$

which is equivalent to (see the Lemma 1.1 below)  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ , where  $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$  are the Satake parameters of  $\phi$  at  $p$ , i.e. the local component of  $\phi$  at  $p$  is tempered. This is an outstanding unsolved problem in number theory, which would follow from the Langlands functoriality conjectures. Currently the record of individual bounds towards this conjecture is due to Kim-Sarnak [KS]

$$|\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq p^{\frac{7}{64}} + p^{-\frac{7}{64}},$$

---

\*Research of W. Luo is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1160647.

a culmination of a chain of advances in the theory of automorphic forms and analytic number theory.

In a different direction, it is proved by Ramakrishnan in [Ram] that for a Maass form  $\phi$  as above, this conjecture (i.e.,  $|\alpha_p| = |\beta_p| = 1$ ) is true for (unramified) primes with the lower *Dirichlet density* at least  $9/10$ . This lower Dirichlet density is later improved to  $34/35$  in [KSh]. For simplicity the primes at which the Ramanujan conjecture holds are referred as the *Ramanujan primes* of  $\phi$ , so the Ramanujan conjecture is equivalent to the statement that all (unramified) primes are Ramanujan primes of  $\phi$ . Note that the method in [Ram] (and [KSh]) is ineffective, and does not provide any quantitative bound, for example, for the occurrence of the least Ramanujan prime for a given Maass form  $\phi$ .

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the least Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$  is bounded by  $(N(1 + |t_\phi|))^c$  for some constant  $c > 0$ , and in fact we can prove a ‘subconvexity’ bound with  $c < 1$  (see Section 2 and Section 3 below). Indeed, such a result would be a direct consequence of a still open subconvexity bound for automorphic  $L$ -functions on  $GL(3)$  in the eigenvalue aspect. Furthermore, the Lindelöf hypothesis (a consequence of the Riemann Hypothesis) for the adjoint  $L$ -function of  $\phi$  (see (1) below) would imply that the exponent  $c > 0$  could be taken arbitrarily small.

Our approach is based upon the following simple yet crucial observation that if an unramified prime  $p$  is *not* a Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$ , then (see Lemma 1.1 below)  $\lambda_\phi(p^{2i})\overline{\chi_\phi}(p^i) > 2i + 1$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , where  $\chi_\phi$  is the central character of  $\phi$ . Thus the following adjoint (square)  $L$ -function associated to  $\phi$  comes into play (see [GJ]),

$$L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) = \frac{L(s, \phi \times \overline{\phi})}{\zeta(s)} = \zeta^{(N)}(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\chi_\phi}(n) \lambda_\phi(n^2) n^{-s}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\zeta^{(N)}(s)$ , as usual, stands for the partial zeta function with local factors at  $p|N$  removed from  $\zeta(s)$ . Then naturally we can relate our goal of bounding the least unramified Ramanujan prime for Maass form  $\phi$  to the sieving idea in the work [IKS] (as well as its further refinements in [KLSW] and [Mat]), which study the first negative Hecke eigenvalue for a holomorphic Hecke eigenform based on the Deligne’s resolution of Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture in the case of elliptic modular forms.

It turns out that the sieving idea in [IKS] (also in [KLSW] and [Mat]) works well in the current quite different setting, even though the Deligne-type bound is not available yet for Maass form  $\phi$ .

We present two proofs with different exponents  $c$ . The first proof (Section 2) illustrates our basic ideas via the simple case of level 1. The second proof obtains significantly better (smaller) exponent  $c$ . In Section 4, we refine the density results in [Ram] and [KSh] from the Dirichlet density to the natural density.

We end the Introduction by stating the following Lemma 1.1, which will be used in the proofs of the following sections, and a part of it is also an ingredient in [Ram].

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$  denote the Satake parameters at  $p \nmid N$  of a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form  $\phi$  for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  with Dirichlet character  $\chi_\phi$ . Then the Satake parameters at  $p$  for  $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)$  are given by  $\{\alpha_p/\beta_p, 1, \beta_p/\alpha_p\}$ . For any unramified  $p \nmid N$ , we have*

$$|\lambda_\phi(p)|^2 = \lambda_\phi^2(p) \overline{\chi_\phi}(p) = \lambda_\phi(p^2) \overline{\chi_\phi}(p) + 1.$$

In particular  $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi(p)}$  is real and  $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi(p)} \geq -1$ . If  $p$  is not a Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$ , i.e.,  $|\alpha_p/\beta_p| \neq 1$ , then we have  $|\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2$  and  $\alpha_p/\beta_p$  is real and  $> 0$  and for  $n \geq 0$

$$\lambda_\phi(p^{2n})\overline{\chi_\phi(p^n)} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p}}\right)^{2n+1} - \left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p}}\right)^{2n+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p}} - \sqrt{\frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p}}} > d(p^{2n}) = 2n + 1,$$

where  $d$  is the divisor function.

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the definition of  $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)$  and the fact that the Satake parameters at  $p$  for the contragredient form  $\overline{\phi}$  are  $\{\alpha_p^{-1}, \beta_p^{-1}\}$ . For  $p \nmid N$ , we have

$$\lambda_\phi(p) = \chi_\phi(p)\overline{\lambda_\phi(p)}.$$

By Hecke relation, we have  $\lambda_\phi(p^2) = \lambda_\phi(p)^2 - \chi_\phi(p)$ . Then we have  $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi(p)} = \lambda_\phi(p)^2\overline{\chi_\phi(p)} - 1 = \lambda_\phi(p)\overline{\lambda_\phi(p)} - 1$  and obviously  $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi(p)}$  is real and  $\geq -1$ .

For  $p \nmid N$ , we have

$$\alpha_p + \beta_p = \lambda_\phi(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p\beta_p = \chi_\phi(p).$$

Then we get

$$\frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} + \frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p} = |\lambda_\phi(p)|^2 - 2 \geq -2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_p} \cdot \frac{\beta_p}{\alpha_p} = 1.$$

The pair  $\{\alpha_p/\beta_p, \beta_p/\alpha_p\}$  are the roots of the quadratic equation

$$X^2 - (|\lambda_\phi(p)|^2 - 2)X + 1 = 0.$$

If  $p \nmid N$  is not a Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$ , i.e.,  $|\alpha_p/\beta_p| \neq 1$ , this implies that  $\{\alpha_p/\beta_p, \beta_p/\alpha_p\}$  are two real positive distinct roots. Because their product is 1, one of them is  $> 1$  and the other is  $< 1$ . Also, we have  $|\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2$ . From

$$\lambda_\phi(p^n) = \frac{\alpha_p^{n+1} - \beta_p^{n+1}}{\alpha_p - \beta_p} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_p\beta_p = \chi_\phi(p),$$

we get the last assertion. □

## 2 Hecke-Maass cusp forms of level 1

In this section, to illustrate quickly and clearly the main ideas of this paper, we consider the simplest case of level 1. Thus  $\phi$  is a Hecke-Maass cusp form for  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ , with the Laplacian eigenvalue  $\lambda_\phi = 1/4 + t_\phi^2$  and the  $n$ -th Hecke eigenvalue  $\lambda_\phi(n)$ . The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a Hecke-Maass cusp form for  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  as above. Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $|\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2$  and  $p \ll t_\phi^{8/11+\epsilon}$ , where the implied constant depends on  $\epsilon > 0$  alone.*

**Remark 2.2.** It is clear from the proof that the same argument is in fact still valid for any primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form  $\phi$  on  $\Gamma_o(N)$  with the central character  $\chi_\phi$ , by simply replacing  $\lambda_\phi(p^2)$  by  $\lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi(p)}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $p$  is not a Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$  for all primes  $p \leq y$ . Then by the Lemma 1.1 we have  $\lambda_\phi(d^2) > 3$  for  $1 < d \leq y$ . Take  $x = yz$  and  $z = y^\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ . Consider the sum

$$S(x) = \sum_{d < x} \lambda_\phi(d^2) \log \frac{x}{d} = S^+(x) + S^-(x),$$

where  $S^+(x)$  and  $S^-(x)$  denote the partial sums over the positive and negative eigenvalues  $\lambda_\phi(d^2)$  respectively.

If  $\lambda_\phi(d^2) < 0$  in  $S^-(x)$ , then  $d = mp$  with  $\lambda_\phi(m^2) > 0$ ,  $\lambda_\phi(p^2) < 0$ , where all the prime divisors of  $m$  do not exceed  $y$ , and  $p > y$ . From  $\lambda_\phi(p^2) = \lambda_\phi^2(p) - 1 \geq -1$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S^-(x) &= \sum_{\substack{pm < x, p > y, \\ \lambda_\phi(p^2) < 0}} \lambda_\phi((pm)^2) \log \left( \frac{x}{pm} \right) \\ &\geq - \sum_{m < z} \lambda_\phi(m^2) \sum_{p \leq x/m} \log \left( \frac{x}{pm} \right) \\ &\geq - \left( \sum_{m < z} \frac{\lambda_\phi(m^2)}{m} \right) \frac{x}{\log y} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\log y} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

in view of the asymptotics

$$\pi(x) \log x - \sum_{p \leq x} \log p = \frac{x}{\log x} + O \left( \frac{x}{\log^2 x} \right),$$

by the Prime Number Theorem (see [Pra]).

Next we bound  $S^+(x)$ . By positivity,

$$\begin{aligned} S^+(x) &\geq \sum_{m < z} \lambda_\phi(m^2) \sum_{\substack{l < x/m \\ p|l \Rightarrow z < p \leq y}} \lambda_\phi(l^2) \log \left( \frac{x}{lm} \right) \\ &\geq 3 \sum_{m < z} \lambda_\phi(m^2) \Phi'(x/m, y, z), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Phi'(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{\substack{1 < l < X \\ p|l \Rightarrow Z < p \leq Y}} \log \left( \frac{X}{l} \right).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $Z$  is large,  $Z < Y$  and  $Y < X \leq YZ$ , then*

$$\Phi'(X, Y, Z) > \frac{X}{2 \log Z} - \frac{X}{\log Y} + O \left( \frac{Z \log Y}{\log Z} + \frac{X}{\log^2 Z} \right).$$

*Proof.* Define

$$\Phi(X, Y, Z) = \sum_{\substack{1 < l < X \\ p|l \Rightarrow Z < p \leq Y}} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(X, Z) = \sum_{\substack{1 < l < X \\ p|l \Rightarrow Z < p}} 1.$$

Then we have

$$\Phi'(X, Y, Z) = \int_Y^X \Phi(t, Y, Z) \frac{dt}{t} + \int_Z^Y \Phi(t, Z) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

For  $Y < t \leq YZ$ , it is easy to see that

$$\Phi(t, Y, Z) = \Phi(t, Z) - \Phi(t, Y).$$

Recall the asymptotic formula of  $\Phi(X, Z)$ ,  $X \geq Z \geq 2$  (see Theorem 3, p. 400, [Ten])

$$\Phi(X, Z) = \omega\left(\frac{\log X}{\log Z}\right) \frac{X}{\log Z} - \frac{Z}{\log Z} + O\left(\frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right), \quad (4)$$

where  $\omega(u)$  is the Buchstab function, that is the continuous solution to the difference-differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} u\omega(u) &= 1 \quad (1 \leq u \leq 2), \\ (u\omega(u))' &= \omega(u-1) \quad (u > 2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the range of the Buchstab function is  $1/2 \leq \omega(u) \leq 1$ . We infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi'(X, Y, Z) &= \int_Z^X \Phi(t, Z) \frac{dt}{t} - \int_Y^X \Phi(t, Y) \frac{dt}{t} \\ &\geq \int_Z^X \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{t}{\log Z} - \frac{Z}{\log Z} \right) \frac{dt}{t} - \int_Y^X \left( \frac{t}{\log Y} - \frac{Y}{\log Y} \right) \frac{dt}{t} + O\left(\frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{X}{2 \log Z} - \frac{X}{\log Y} + O\left(\frac{Z \log Y}{\log Z} + \frac{X}{\log^2 Z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\Phi'(x/m, y, z) > \left( \frac{1}{2\delta} - 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right) \frac{x}{m \log y},$$

and

$$S^+(x) > \left( \frac{3}{2\delta} - 3 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{m < z} \frac{\lambda_\phi(m^2)}{m} \right) \frac{x}{\log y}$$

from (3). Consequently, after combining with the lower bound of  $S^-(x)$  in (2), we deduce that

$$S(x) > \left( \frac{3}{2\delta} - 4 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log y}\right) \right) \left( \sum_{m < z} \frac{\lambda_\phi(m^2)}{m} \right) \frac{x}{\log y}.$$

Therefore

$$S(x) \gg \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad (5)$$

on choosing  $\delta = 3/8 - \epsilon$ , provided  $y \gg 1$ .

Now for  $\sigma > 1$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= \sum_{d < x} \lambda_\phi(d^2) \log\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(\sigma)} \frac{L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) x^s}{\zeta(2s) s^2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1/2)} \frac{L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) x^s}{\zeta(2s) s^2} ds \\ &\ll t_\phi^{1/2+\eta} x^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

by shifting the line of integration to  $\Re(s) = 1/2$  and applying the convexity bound for  $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)$  on the critical line.

Comparing (5) and (6), we obtain

$$x = y^{1+\delta} \ll t_\phi^{1+2\eta},$$

i.e.

$$y \ll t_\phi^{8/11+\epsilon},$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** A hypothetical subconvexity bound of  $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)$  in the eigenvalue aspect on the critical line  $\Re(s) = 1/2$  would yield

$$L\left(\frac{1}{2} + it, \text{Ad } \phi\right) \ll t_\phi^{1/2-\delta} t^{3/4+\epsilon},$$

for some  $\delta > 0$ . It is clear that this in turn would immediately lead to  $y \ll t_\phi^{1-2\delta}$ .

### 3 Refinement and Generalization

In this section we refine the approach in Section 2 to obtain a better exponent. The method employs the theory of multiplicative functions.

Let  $\phi$  be a primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form for  $\Gamma_o(N) \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  with Dirichlet character  $\chi_\phi : (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . It has Laplacian eigenvalue  $1/4 + t_\phi^2$  with the parameter  $t_\phi$  lying in  $\mathbb{R} \cup [-7i/64, 7i/64]$ . We assume that  $\phi$  is not of dihedral type, otherwise the full Ramanujan conjecture is known. The standard  $L$ -function of  $\phi$  is given by

$$L(s, \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_\phi(n)}{n^s},$$

where  $\lambda_\phi(n)$ 's are normalized Hecke eigenvalues with  $\lambda_\phi(1) = 1$  and  $T_n \phi = \lambda_\phi(n) \phi$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Our main tool is the adjoint  $L$ -function of  $\phi$  mentioned in the Introduction and Lemma 1.1

$$L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) = \zeta^{(N)}(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\phi}(n^2) \overline{\chi_{\phi}}(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_{\phi}(n)}{n^s},$$

where  $A_{\phi}(n) = \sum_{k^2|n} \lambda_{\phi}(n^2/k^4) \overline{\chi_{\phi}}(n/k^2)$  for  $(n, N) = 1$ . As in [IS], we denote the analytic conductor by

$$Q = Q(\text{Ad } \phi).$$

We have

$$Q(\text{Ad } \phi) \leq N^2(1 + |t_{\phi}|)^2.$$

Lemma 1.1 implies that for a prime  $p \nmid N$  then  $A_{\phi}(p)$  is real and  $\geq -1$ . It also implies  $A_{\phi}(p) > 3$  if  $p$  is not a Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$ , i.e.,  $|\lambda_{\phi}(p)| > 2$ .

Let us assume that  $p$  is not a Ramanujan prime of  $\phi$  for all  $p \leq y$  and  $p \nmid N$ . Thus we have  $A_{\phi}(p) > 3$  for all  $p \leq y$ . Define

$$S^b(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}}^b A_{\phi}(n) \log\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$$

where the summation  $\sum^b$  is taken over squarefree numbers.

**Lemma 3.1.** *We have*

$$S^b(x) \ll x^{3/4} Q^{1/8+\epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$G(s) = \prod_{p \nmid N} \left(1 - \frac{A_{\phi}(p)}{p^s} + \frac{A_{\phi}(p)}{p^{2s}} - \frac{1}{p^{3s}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{A_{\phi}(p)}{p^s}\right).$$

The analytic function  $G(s)$  is absolutely convergent in  $\{\Re(s) > 1/2 + \epsilon\}$ , and uniformly bounded by  $Q^{\epsilon}$  with any  $\epsilon > 0$ , in view of the Rankin-Selberg convolution of  $\text{Ad } \phi \times \text{Ad } \phi$ . Now

$$L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)G(s) = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n, N)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{A_{\phi}(n)}{n^s}$$

is absolutely convergent in  $\{\Re(s) > 1\}$ . For  $c > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S^b(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(c)} L^{(N)}(s, \text{Ad } \phi)G(s) \frac{x^s}{s^2} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(3/4)} L^{(N)}(s, \text{Ad } \phi)G(s) \frac{x^s}{s^2} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

By using the convexity bound

$$L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) \ll_{\epsilon} (Qt^3)^{(1-\Re(s))/2+\epsilon},$$

we obtain  $S^b(x) \ll x^{3/4} Q^{1/8+\epsilon}$ . □

Define a multiplicative function supported on squarefree numbers with

$$h(p) = \begin{cases} 3, & p \leq y, \\ -1, & p > y. \end{cases}$$

It extends to all squarefree numbers. For convenience, we define  $h(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is not squarefree. Define

$$\mathfrak{S}^b(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}}^b A_\phi(n).$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\sum_{\substack{n \leq t \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \geq 0$  for all  $t \leq x$ , we have*

$$\mathfrak{S}^b(x) \geq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n). \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* The proof follows [KLSW]. Let us define a multiplicative function  $g$  defined by the Dirichlet convolution

$$A_\phi = h * g, \quad \text{or} \quad A_\phi(n) = \sum_{d|n} h(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

We have  $g(p) = A_\phi(p) - h(p) \geq 0$  for  $p \nmid N$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}^b(x) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}}^b A_\phi(n) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}}^b \sum_{d|n} h(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ (d, N)=1}}^b g(d) \sum_{\substack{b \leq x/d \\ (b, N)=1}} h(b) \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \end{aligned}$$

Both  $g(d)$  and  $\sum h(b)$  are non-negative. We have  $g(1) = 1$  and hence this lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $\sum_{\substack{n \leq t \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \geq 0$  for all  $t \leq x$ , we have*

$$S^b(x) \geq \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \log\left(\frac{x}{n}\right).$$



*Proof.* It follows from the formula

$$S^b(x) = \int_1^x \mathfrak{S}^b(t) \frac{dt}{t}$$

and Lemma 3.2. □

The following lemma evaluates the mean of the multiplicative function  $h(n)$  over a long range  $1 \leq n \leq x$  where  $x$  equals  $y^u$  for some  $u > 1$ . The special case of this lemma appears in [KLSW] and a more elaborate version is available in [Mat].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $U \geq 1$  and let  $h(n)$  be as above. We have*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq y^u \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) = c(N)(\sigma(u) + o_U(1))(\log y)^2 y^u$$

uniformly for  $u \in [1/U, U]$ , where  $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} o_U(1) = 0$  and  $c(N) = \left(\frac{\phi(N)}{N}\right)^3 \prod_{p|N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{3}{p}\right) \gg (\log \log N)^{-3}$ . The constant  $\sigma(u)$  is the continuous function of  $u \in (0, \infty)$  uniquely determined by the differential-difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u) &= u^2, & 0 < u \leq 1, \\ (u^{-2}\sigma(u))' &= -\frac{4\sigma(u-1)}{u^3}, & u > 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* In Lemma 6 of [Mat], take  $K = 1$ ,  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $\chi_0 = 3$ ,  $\chi_1 = -1$ ,  $q = 1$ . The function  $\sigma(u)$  can be computed from Lemma 8 of [Mat]. □

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $u_0 > 1$  be such that  $\sigma(u) > 0$  for  $1 < u \leq u_0$ . We have for  $y \gg_{u_0} 1$ ,*

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq y^{u_0} \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \log \left(\frac{y^{u_0}}{n}\right) \gg_{u_0} c(N) y^{u_0}.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$H(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq y^{u_0} \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \log \left(\frac{y^{u_0}}{n}\right) &= \int_1^{y^{u_0}} H(t) \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^{u_0} H(y^u) \log y \, du \\ &\geq \int_{1/u_0}^{u_0} H(y^u) \log y \, du \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.4, we have for  $1/u_0 \leq u \leq u_0$  uniformly

$$H(y^u) = c(N)(\sigma(u) + o_{u_0}(1))(\log y)^2 y^u.$$

For  $y \gg_{u_0} 1$ , we hence have

$$\int_{1/u_0}^{u_0} H(y^u) \log y \, du \gg_{u_0} c(N)y^{u_0}$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $u_0$  be the same as defined in Lemma 3.5. We have  $c(N) \gg Q^{-\epsilon}$  for  $\epsilon > 0$ . Comparing Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.5, we infer that

$$y^{u_0} Q^{-\epsilon} \ll_{u_0} \sum_{\substack{n \leq y^{u_0} \\ (n, N)=1}} h(n) \log \left( \frac{y^{u_0}}{n} \right) \ll S^b(y^{u_0}) \ll (y^{u_0})^{3/4} Q^{1/8+\epsilon}$$

and this in turn gives

$$y \ll_{u_0} Q^{\frac{1}{2u_0} + \epsilon}. \quad (9)$$

By numerical computation of *Mathematica*, we find the smallest zero of  $\sigma(u)$  is approximately 3.65887. Then taking  $u_0$  to be microscopically less than 3.65887 we get:

**Theorem 3.6.** *For any primitive Hecke-Maass cusp form  $\phi$  for  $\Gamma_o(N)$  with character  $\chi_\phi$  and Laplace eigenvalue  $1/4 + t_\phi^2$ , there exists a prime number  $p \nmid N$  with  $p \ll (N(1 + |t_\phi|))^{0.27332}$  such that the Ramanujan conjecture holds for  $\phi$  at  $p$ .*

**Remark 3.7.** In Lemma 3.1, the line of integration in (7) may be taken on  $\{\Re(s) = \sigma\}$  instead of  $\{\Re(s) = 3/4\}$  for  $1/2 < \sigma < 1$ . This will result in a different version of Lemma 3.1, i.e.,

$$S^b(x) \ll x^\sigma Q^{(1-\sigma)/2+\epsilon}.$$

However, this change has no impact on the final exponent in Theorem 3.6.

**Remark 3.8.** To estimate the smallest zero of  $\sigma(u)$  without numerical computation, we have from Lemma 3.4

$$\sigma(u) = 7u^2 - 8u + 2 - 4u^2 \log u$$

for  $1 \leq u \leq 2$ . It is not hard to prove that  $\sigma(u)$  is monotone for  $1 \leq u \leq 2$  and this leads us to conclude  $\sigma(u)$  is positive for  $1 \leq u \leq 2$ . Without numerical computation, we can have  $1/4$  as the exponent in Theorem 3.6.

For  $2 \leq u \leq 3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u) = & 16u^2 Li_2(1-u) + (4\pi^2 u^2)/3 + 35u^2 - 24u^2 \log(u-1) + 16u^2 \log(u-1) \log(u) \\ & - 4u^2 \log(u) - 80u + 32u \log(u-1) - 8 \log(u-1) + 34, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Li_2$  is the famous dilogarithm function (see [Zag]). We leave to the reader to verify that  $\sigma(u)$  is positive for  $2 \leq u \leq 3$ .

## 4 Natural Density of Ramanujan Primes

Let  $\phi$  be a primitive Maass form for  $\Gamma_o(N)$  with character  $\chi_\phi$  and with Hecke eigenvalues  $\lambda_\phi(n)$ , following the same notations of the previous sections. We assume that  $\phi$  is not of Artin type, since otherwise the full Ramanujan conjecture is known ([KSh]).

In this section, we refine the density results of the Ramanujan primes in [Ram] and [KSh] from *Dirichlet density* to *natural density*. We achieve the same constant by employing a similar but different method. We will first quickly indicate how our method leads directly to the fact that the lower *natural density* of the Ramanujan primes of  $\phi$  is at least  $9/10$ , and then improve it further to  $34/35$  by a more elaborate argument.

The adjoint (Gelbart-Jacquet) lift (see [GJ]) of  $\phi$ , with its  $L$ -function defined by  $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_\phi(n)/n^s$ ,  $\Re(s) > 1$  where  $A_\phi(p) = \lambda_\phi(p^2)\overline{\chi_\phi}(p)$ , is a cuspidal automorphic representation of  $GL(3)$ . The symmetric cube lift  $\text{Sym}^3\phi$  and the twisted symmetric fourth power lift  $\text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi^2}$  are cuspidal automorphic representations of  $GL(4)$  and  $GL(5)$  respectively (see [KSh2] and [Ki]). Let

$$L(s, \text{Sym}^3\phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_\phi^{[3]}(n)}{n^s} \quad \text{and} \quad L(s, \text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi^2}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_\phi^{[4]}(n)}{n^s}$$

be their  $L$ -functions and  $\{\alpha_p, \beta_p\}$  be the Satake parameters associated with  $\phi$  at an unramified prime  $p$ . The Satake parameters of  $\text{Sym}^3\phi$  are given by  $\{\alpha_p^3, \alpha_p^2\beta_p, \alpha_p\beta_p^2, \beta_p^3\}$ , while those of  $\text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi^2}$  are given by  $\{\alpha_p^2/\beta_p^2, \alpha_p/\beta_p, 1, \beta_p/\alpha_p, \beta_p^2/\alpha_p^2\}$ .

In light of the standard zero-free region of  $L(s, \text{Ad } \phi)$  and  $L(s, \text{Sym}^4\phi \times \overline{\chi_\phi^2})$ , the following Prime Number Theorem for  $L$ -functions holds. (see Theorem 5.13 of [IK]).

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have*

$$\sum_{p \leq X} A_\phi(p) = o\left(\frac{X}{\log X}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{p \leq X} A_\phi^{[4]}(p) = o\left(\frac{X}{\log X}\right),$$

as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ .

For the result on the natural density, let us first consider the sum

$$S(X) = \sum_{p \leq X} (1 + 3A_\phi(p))^2.$$

On one hand, we have

$$S(X) > 10^2 \#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2\}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(X) &= \sum_{p \leq X} (1 + 6A_\phi(p) + 9A_\phi(p)^2) \\ &= \sum_{p \leq X} (1 + 6A_\phi(p) + 9(A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + A_\phi(p) + 1)) \\ &= \sum_{p \leq X} (10 + 15A_\phi(p) + 9A_\phi^{[4]}(p)) \\ &= 10\pi(X) + o(\pi(X)), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.1. Hence we get

$$\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| > 2\} \leq \frac{1}{10}\pi(X) + o(\pi(X)),$$

or equivalently

$$\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\} \geq \frac{9}{10}\pi(X) + o(\pi(X)),$$

i.e., the lower *natural density* of the Ramanujan primes of  $\phi$  is at least  $9/10$ .

Next we turn to the improvement of the above density result. A zero-free region of Rankin-Selberg  $L$ -functions has been established by Moreno in [Mor]. By the Tauberian theorem of Wiener and Ikehara (Theorem 1, page 311, [Lan]) for  $L'/L(s)$ , where  $L(s) = L(s, \Pi \times \overline{\Pi})$ , and  $\Pi = \text{Sym}^3 \phi$  or  $\text{Sym}^4 \phi$ , we obtain the Prime Number Theorem for  $L(s)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be the von Mangoldt function. We have*

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n) |A_\phi^{[3]}(n)|^2 \sim X \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \leq X} \Lambda(n) |A_\phi^{[4]}(n)|^2 \sim X,$$

as  $X \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 4.3.** The previous lemma implies

$$\limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq X} |A_\phi^{[4]}(p)|^2}{\pi(X)} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq X} |A_\phi^{[3]}(p)|^2}{\pi(X)} \leq 1.$$

**Theorem 4.4.** *We have*

$$\liminf_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\}}{\pi(X)} \geq \frac{34}{35}.$$

*Proof.* Define  $U(p) = \left(1 + 3A_\phi(p) + 5A_\phi^{[4]}(p)\right)^2$ . Obviously we have  $U(p) \geq 0$  and if  $p$  is not a Ramanujan prime, we have

$$U(p) > 35^2.$$

By the Hecke relations

$$A_\phi(p)A_\phi^{[4]}(p) = |A_\phi^{[3]}(p)|^2 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_\phi(p)^2 = A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + A_\phi(p) + 1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(p) &= 1 + 9A_\phi(p)^2 + 25A_\phi^{[4]}(p)^2 + 6A_\phi(p) + 10A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + 30A_\phi(p)A_\phi^{[4]}(p) \\ &= -20 + 15A_\phi(p) + 19A_\phi^{[4]}(p) + 30|A_\phi^{[3]}(p)|^2 + 25A_\phi^{[4]}(p)^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the previous two lemmas, we have

$$\limsup_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{p \leq X} U(p)}{\pi(X)} \leq 35. \tag{10}$$

We have

$$\frac{\sum_{p \leq X} U(p)}{\pi(X)} \geq \frac{35^2(\pi(X) - \#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\})}{\pi(X)}$$

and then

$$\frac{\#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\}}{\pi(X)} \geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{p \leq X} U(p)}{35^2 \pi(X)}.$$

Hence by (10) we get  $\liminf_{X \rightarrow \infty} \#\{p \leq X, |\lambda_\phi(p)| \leq 2\} / \pi(X) \geq 34/35$ .  $\square$

## References

- [De1] Deligne, Pierre. "Formes modulaires et représentations  $l$ -adiques." In *Séminaire Bourbaki vol. 1968/69 Exposés 347-363*, pp. 139-172. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1971.
- [De2] Deligne, Pierre. "La conjecture de Weil. I." *Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques* 43, no. 1 (1974): 273-307.
- [GJ] Gelbart, Stephen, and Hervé Jacquet. "A relation between automorphic representations of  $GL(2)$  and  $GL(3)$ ." *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.(4)* 11, no. 4 (1978): 471-542.
- [IKS] Iwaniec, Henryk, Winfried Kohnen, and J. Sengupta. "The first negative Hecke eigenvalue." *International Journal of Number Theory* 3, no. 03 (2007): 355-363.
- [IK] Iwaniec, Henryk, and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*. Vol. 53. Providence: American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [IS] Iwaniec, Henryk, and Peter Sarnak. "Perspectives on the analytic theory of  $L$ -functions." In *Visions in Mathematics*, pp. 705-741. Birkhäuser Basel, 2010.
- [Ki] Kim, Henry. "Functoriality for the exterior square of  $GL_4$  and the symmetric fourth of  $GL_2$ ." *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 16, no. 1 (2003): 139-183.
- [KS] Kim, Henry, and Peter Sarnak. "Refined estimates towards the Ramanujan and Selberg conjectures." *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 16, no. 1 (2003): 175-181.
- [KSh] Kim, Henry H., and Freydoon Shahidi. "Cuspidality of symmetric powers with applications." *Duke Mathematical Journal* 112, no. 1 (2002): 177-197.
- [KSh2] Kim, Henry H., and Freydoon Shahidi. "Functorial products for  $GL_2 \times GL_3$  and the symmetric cube for  $GL_2$ ." *Annals of Mathematics* (2002): 837-893.
- [KLSW] Kowalski, Emmanuel, Yuk Kam Lau, Kannan Soundararajan, and Jie Wu. "On modular signs." In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 389-411. Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [Lan] Lang, Serge. *Algebraic number theory*. second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 110, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

- [Mat] Matomäki, Kaisa. "On signs of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms." In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 152, no. 02, pp. 207-222. Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [Mor] Moreno, Carlos J. "Analytic proof of the strong multiplicity one theorem." *American Journal of Mathematics* (1985): 163-206.
- [Pra] Prachar, Karl. *Primzahlverteilung*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1957.
- [Ram] Ramakrishnan, Dinakar. "On the coefficients of cusp forms." *Mathematical Research Letters* 4 (1997): 295-308.
- [Ten] Tenenbaum, Gérald. *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*. Vol. 46. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Zag] Zagier, Don. "The dilogarithm function." In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry II*, pp. 3-65. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2007.

WENZHI LUO and FAN ZHOU

Department of Mathematics

The Ohio State University

Columbus, OH 43210, USA

wluo@math.ohio-state.edu, zhou.1406@math.osu.edu