

ON TWO RATIONALITY CONJECTURES FOR CUBIC FOURFOLDS

NICOLAS ADDINGTON

ABSTRACT. Motivated by the question of rationality of cubic fourfolds, we show that a cubic X has an associated K3 surface in the sense of Hassett if and only if the variety F of lines on X is birational to a moduli space of sheaves on a K3 surface, but that having F birational to $\text{Hilb}^2(\text{K3})$ is more restrictive. We compare the loci in the moduli space of cubics where each condition is satisfied.

It is widely expected that a smooth complex cubic fourfold X is rational if and only if it has an associated K3 surface in the sense of Hassett [8] or Kuznetsov [11]. New work of Galkin and Shinder [7] suggests instead that if X is rational then the variety F of lines on X is birational to the Hilbert scheme of two points on a K3 surface. The purpose of this note is to clarify the relationship between these two conditions. The latter is somewhat stronger.

First let us recall Hassett's Noether–Lefschetz divisors \mathcal{C}_d in the moduli space \mathcal{C} of cubic fourfolds [8, §3.2]. For a very general cubic X , the algebraic lattice $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) := H^{2,2}(X) \cap H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by h^2 , the square of the hyperplane class. A *special cubic of discriminant d* is one for which there is a primitive sublattice $K \subset H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ of rank 2 and discriminant d that contains h^2 . Such cubics form an irreducible divisor $\mathcal{C}_d \subset \mathcal{C}$, non-empty if and only if

$$d > 6 \text{ and } d \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{6}. \quad (*)$$

Moreover there exists a polarized K3 surface S such that $K^\perp \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge-isometric to $H_{\text{prim}}^2(S, \mathbb{Z})(-1)$ if and only d satisfies the further condition

$$d \text{ is not divisible by } 4, 9, \text{ or any odd prime } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \quad (**)$$

Using the Eisenstein integers one can show that $(**)$ is equivalent to saying that d is the norm of a primitive vector in the lattice $A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, or that d divides $2n^2 + 2n + 2$ for some integer n .

Theorem 1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d satisfying $(**)$.
- (b) The transcendental lattice $T_X \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge-isometric to $T_S(-1)$ for some K3 surface S .
- (c) F is birational to a moduli space of stable sheaves on S .

By a recent result of Bayer and Macrì [5, Thm. 1.2(c)], this last condition is equivalent to saying that F is isomorphic to a moduli space of Bridgeland-stable objects in the derived category of S . Thus Theorem 1 answers [13, Question 1.2] in the untwisted case.

Hassett [8, Prop. 6.1.3] showed that if the generic $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ has F isomorphic to $\text{Hilb}^2(S)$ for some K3 surface S then

$$d \text{ is of the form } \frac{2n^2 + 2n + 2}{a^2} \text{ for some } n, a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (***)$$

and proved a partial converse [8, Thm. 6.1.4]. Thanks to the global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds [19, 10, 15] we can now prove a more complete result:

Theorem 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d satisfying (***)
- (b) F is birational to $\text{Hilb}^2(S)$ for some K3 surface S .

In contrast to (**), it is hard to tell at a glance whether a number d satisfies (***) . On the one hand (***) implies (**), but it is strictly stronger: Hassett remarks in [8, §6.1] that 74 satisfies (**) but not (***) . To address

TABLE 1. Comparison of numerical conditions.

d	(**)	(***)	d	(**)	(***)	d	(**)	(***)
8			74	x		140		
12			78	x		144		
14	x	x	80			146	x	x
18			84			150		
20			86	x	x	152		
24			90			156		
26	x	x	92			158	x	
30			96			162		
32			98	x		164		
36			102			168		
38	x	x	104			170		
42	x	x	108			174		
44			110			176		
48			114	x	x	180		
50			116			182	x	x
54			120			186	x	x
56			122	x	x	188		
60			126			192		
62	x	x	128			194	x	x
66			132			198		
68			134	x	x	200		
72			138					

the question systematically, observe that d satisfies (***) if and only if there is an integral solution to the Pell-type equation $m^2 - 2da^2 = -3$; just substitute $m = 2n + 1$. If such an equation has any solution then it has one with a below an explicit bound [2, Thm. 4.2.7]. It is then straightforward to have a computer search for solutions up to this bound. Table 1 lists all d up to 200 that satisfy (*), indicating whether they satisfy (**) and (***). I do not know any nice characterization of (***) in terms of the A_2 lattice.

Outline. In §1 we review Markman’s Mukai lattice for a variety Y of $K3^{[n]}$ -type, which governs the global Torelli theorem for such varieties. We give criteria in terms of this lattice for Y to be birational to a moduli space of sheaves or Hilbert scheme of n points on a K3 surface.

In §2 we review Kuznetsov’s K3 category \mathcal{A} associated to X , the special classes $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$, and the Mukai lattice $K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})$ introduced in [1]. We prove that

$$H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})(1) \cong \lambda_1^\perp \subset K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A}). \quad (1)$$

This extends Beauville and Donagi’s result [6, Prop. 6] that $H_{\text{prim}}^2(F, \mathbb{Z})(1) \cong H_{\text{prim}}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})(2)$, since the latter is Hodge-isometric to $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle^\perp \subset K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})$. From (1) we deduce that $K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})(-1)$ is the Markman–Mukai lattice of F . All this is consistent with Kuznetsov and Markushevich’s result [12, §5] that F is a moduli space of objects in the numerical class $\lambda_1 \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$.

With this lattice theory in hand, we prove Theorems 1 and 2 in §3.

Convention. Since we are speaking about transcendental lattices and moduli spaces of sheaves, we will take all K3 surfaces to be projective unless otherwise stated.

Acknowledgements. This paper grew out of a long-running conversation with Richard Thomas. I also thank François Charles and Giovanni Mongardi for helpful discussions, and Kevin Buzzard, Emanuele Macrì, and Eyal Markman for expert advice. This work was partly done while visiting the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics in Bonn, Germany, and was partly supported by NSF grant no. DMS-0905923.

1. THE MARKMAN–MUKAI LATTICE OF A VARIETY OF $K3^{[n]}$ -TYPE

A *variety of $K3^{[n]}$ -type* is a smooth projective variety Y deformation-equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of n points of a K3 surface, $n \geq 2$. The second cohomology group $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ carries a quadratic form q , the *Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form*, under which it is a lattice of discriminant $-2n + 2$ and signature $(3, 20)$. Markman [15, §9] has described an extension of lattices and weight-2 Hodge structures $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ with the following properties:

Theorem 3 (Markman¹).

- (a) As a lattice, $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is isomorphic to $U^4 \oplus (-E_8)^2$.
- (b) The orthogonal $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\perp \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ is generated by a primitive vector of square $2n - 2$.
- (c) If Y is a moduli space of sheaves on a K3 surface S with Mukai vector $v \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ then the extension $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ is naturally identified with $v^\perp \subset H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$.
- (d) Y_1 and Y_2 are birational if and only if there is a Hodge isometry $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}_2$ taking $H^2(Y_1, \mathbb{Z})$ isomorphically to $H^2(Y_2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{alg}} \supset H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the algebraic part of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, that is, the integral classes of type $(1, 1)$.

Proposition 4. *Let Y be a variety of K3^[n]-type, $n \geq 2$. Then the following are equivalent:*²

- (a) $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{alg}}$ contains a copy of the hyperbolic plane $U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.
- (b) The transcendental lattice $T_Y \subset H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge-isometric to T_S for some K3 surface S .
- (c) Y is birational to a moduli space of stable sheaves on S .

Proof. (c) \Rightarrow (a): This is immediate from Theorem 3, since the algebraic part of $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ contains a copy of U spanned by H^0 and H^4 .

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $L = U^\perp \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$. As a lattice this is isomorphic to $U^3 \oplus (-E_8)^2$, so by the global Torelli theorem it is Hodge-isometric to $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ for some analytic K3 surface S . In fact S is projective, as follows. By Huybrechts' projectivity criterion [9, Thm. 3.11] there is a $c \in H^{1,1}(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ with $q(c) > 0$. Let v be a primitive generator of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\perp \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$; then $q(v) = 2n - 2 > 0$. Thus c and v span a positive definite sublattice of $\tilde{\Lambda}$. This cannot be contained in U , which is indefinite, so $\langle c, v \rangle \cap L$ contains a class of positive square, so S is projective by Huybrechts' criterion.

Now T_S is the transcendental part of L , which is the transcendental part of $\tilde{\Lambda}$, which is T_Y .

(b) \Rightarrow (c): We have a Hodge isometry $\varphi: T_Y \rightarrow T_S$, and primitive embeddings $T_Y \subset \tilde{\Lambda} \cong U^4 \oplus (-E_8)^2$ and $T_S \subset H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong U^4 \oplus (-E_8)^2$. The orthogonal T_S^\perp contains a copy of U , so by [18, Prop. 3.8] any two primitive embeddings $T_S \hookrightarrow U^4 \oplus (-E_8)^2$ differ by an automorphism of $U^4 \oplus (-E_8)^2$. Thus the lattice isomorphism $\varphi: T_Y \rightarrow T_S$ extends to a lattice isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{\Lambda} \rightarrow H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Since φ is a Hodge isometry, it takes $H^{2,0}(Y)$ to $H^{2,0}(S)$, so the extension $\tilde{\varphi}$ does as well, so $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Hodge isometry.

Again let $v \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ be a primitive generator of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\perp \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$, and write $\tilde{\varphi}(v) = (r, c, s) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$. I claim that either $r > 0$, or we can modify v and $\tilde{\varphi}$ to make it so. If $r < 0$, replace v with $-v$. If $r = 0$ and $s \neq 0$, compose $\tilde{\varphi}$ with the Mukai reflection through $(1, 0, 1) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$, so now

¹This summary is borrowed from [4, §1].

²Mongardi and Wandel have proved a similar result independently in [16, Prop. 2.3].

$\tilde{\varphi}(v) = (-s, c, 0)$ and we are reduced to the previous case. If $r = s = 0$, note that $c^2 = q(v) = 2n - 2 > 0$, and compose $\tilde{\varphi}$ with multiplication by $\exp(c) = (1, c, n - 1)$, so now $\tilde{\varphi}(v) = (0, c, n - 1)$ and we are reduced to the previous case.

Now $\tilde{\varphi}(v)$ is a Mukai vector of positive rank, so for a generic polarization of S the moduli space M of stable sheaves on S with Mukai vector $\tilde{\varphi}(v)$ is smooth and non-empty [17]. By construction $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Hodge isometry from $\tilde{\Lambda}$ to $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ taking $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ isomorphically to $\tilde{\varphi}(v)^\perp$, so Y is birational to M by Theorem 3. \square

Proposition 5. *Let Y be a variety of $K3^{[n]}$ -type, $n \geq 2$, and let v be a primitive generator of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})^\perp \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *There is a vector $w \in \tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{alg}}$ such that $v \cdot w = -1$ and $w^2 = 0$.*
- (b) *Y is birational to $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$ for some $K3$ surface S .*

Proof. (b) \Rightarrow (a): This is immediate from Theorem 3, since $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$ is the moduli space of sheaves with Mukai vector $v = (1, 0, 1 - n) \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$; take $w = (0, 0, 1)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (b): Observe that $e := v + (n - 1)w$ and $f := -w$ satisfy $e^2 = f^2 = 0$ and $e \cdot f = 1$, so they span a copy of U in $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\text{alg}}$. Let $L = U^\perp = \langle v, w \rangle^\perp \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$. As in the proof of Proposition 4, there is a projective $K3$ surface S such that $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \cong L$. Thus we can produce a Hodge isometry from $\tilde{\Lambda} = U \oplus L$ to $H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$ that takes v to $(1, 0, 1 - n)$, so Y is birational to $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$ by Theorem 3. \square

2. THE MARKMAN–MUKAI LATTICE OF F

Recall that X is a smooth cubic fourfold and F is the variety of lines on X . Kuznetsov has observed that the triangulated category

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \langle \mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X(1), \mathcal{O}_X(2) \rangle^\perp \subset D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) \\ &:= \{E \in D^b(\text{Coh}(X)) : \text{Ext}^*(\mathcal{O}_X(i), E) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2\} \end{aligned}$$

is like the derived category of a $K3$ surface in that it has the same Serre functor and Hochschild homology and cohomology, and has conjectured that X is rational if and only if \mathcal{A} is equivalent to the derived category of an actual $K3$ surface [11]. By [1], this is essentially equivalent to having $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d satisfying (**).

Let $K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ be the numerical Grothendieck group of \mathcal{A} , that is, $K(\mathcal{A})$ modulo the kernel of the Euler pairing. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ be the classes of the projections of $\mathcal{O}_L(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_L(2)$ into \mathcal{A} , where L is any line on X . The Euler pairing on the sublattice $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ is $-A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$.

A Mukai lattice for \mathcal{A} was introduced in [1, Def. 2.2]:

$$K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\kappa \in K_{\text{top}}(X) : \chi([\mathcal{O}_X(i)], \kappa) = 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2\}.$$

Here $K_{\text{top}}(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of topological vector bundles and χ is the Euler pairing, which is integer-valued and extends the Euler pairing

on $K_{\text{num}}(X)$. It has a Hodge structure of K3 type pulled back via the Chern character or the Mukai vector

$$K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{C})(i).$$

In [1] this was called a weight-two Hodge structure, but it should really be called weight-zero. We will need the following properties:

Theorem 6 (Addington, Thomas [1, §§2.3–2.4]).

- (a) *As a lattice, $K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $U^4 \oplus E_8^2$.*
- (b) *The algebraic part of $K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$.*
- (c) *$\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle^\perp \subset K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})$ is Hodge-isometric to $H_{\text{prim}}^4(X, \mathbb{Z})(2)$.*
- (d) *$X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ if and only if there is a primitive sublattice $M \subset K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ of rank 3 and discriminant d that contains λ_1 and λ_2 .*

Proposition 7. *Let $P \subset F \times X$ be the universal line and $p: P \rightarrow F$ and $q: P \rightarrow X$ the two projections. Then the map φ from $\lambda_1^\perp \subset K_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{A})$ to $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})(1)$ defined by $\varphi(\kappa) = c_1(p_*q^*\kappa)$ is a Hodge isometry.*

Proof. Both λ_1^\perp and $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})(1)$ are lattices of rank 23 and discriminant 2. It is enough to show that φ is a Hodge isometry when tensored with \mathbb{Q} ; a priori this only implies that φ embeds λ_1^\perp as a finite-index sublattice of $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})(1)$, but since they have the same discriminant the index must in fact be 1.

By the Riemann–Roch formula [3, §3], $\varphi(\kappa)$ is the degree-2 part of

$$p_*(q^*(\text{ch}(\kappa)) \cup \text{td}(T_p)), \quad (2)$$

where T_p is the relative tangent bundle of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $p: P \rightarrow F$. First we calculate $\text{td}(T_p)$. Let $h \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the hyperplane class. Let S be the restriction to F of the tautological sub-bundle on $\text{Gr}(2, 6)$. Then $g := -c_1(S) \in H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is the hyperplane class in the Plücker embedding. The universal line P is the projectivization $\mathbb{P}S$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}S}(1) = q^*\mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Since T_p is line bundle, we can take determinants in the Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}S}(1) \otimes p^*S \rightarrow T_p \rightarrow 0$$

to get $T_p = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}S}(2) \otimes p^*\det S$. Thus

$$\text{td}(T_p) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(2q^*h - p^*g) + \frac{1}{12}(2q^*h - p^*g)^2 + \cdots. \quad (3)$$

The orthogonal to λ_1 in $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle$ is generated by $\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$. Since we are tensoring with \mathbb{Q} , we have orthogonal direct sums

$$\lambda_1^\perp = \langle \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 \rangle \oplus \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \rangle^\perp \quad (4)$$

$$H^2(F, \mathbb{Q}) = \langle g \rangle \oplus H_{\text{prim}}^2(F, \mathbb{Q}). \quad (5)$$

By [1, Prop. 2.3], the Chern character³ gives a Hodge isometry from the second summand of (4) to $H_{\text{prim}}^4(X, \mathbb{Q})(2)$. By [6, Prop. 6], p_*q^* gives a

³In fact [1, Prop. 2.3] says that the Mukai vector gives such a Hodge isometry, but since $\text{td}(X)$ is a polynomial in h , multiplying by $\sqrt{\text{td}(X)}$ does not affect $H_{\text{prim}}^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

Hodge isometry from this to the second summand of (5). Since the degree-0 part of $\mathrm{td}(T_p)$ is 1, we see that for $\alpha \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$, the degree-2 part of $p_*(q^*\alpha \cup \mathrm{td}(T_p))$ is just $p_*q^*\alpha$. Thus φ gives a Hodge isometry from the second summand of (4) to the second summand of (5).

For the first summands of (4) and (5), observe that the Euler square of $\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$ is -6 , and by [8, §2.1] we have $q(g) = -6$ as well (the minus sign comes because we have twisted down to weight zero). Thus it is enough to show that

$$\varphi(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2) = g. \quad (6)$$

To calculate $\mathrm{ch}(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)$, recall that λ_i is the class of the left mutation of $\mathcal{O}_L(i)$ past $\mathcal{O}_X(2)$, $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and \mathcal{O}_X , where L is any line on X , so a straightforward calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= [\mathcal{O}_L(1)] - [\mathcal{O}_X(1)] + 4[\mathcal{O}_X] \\ \lambda_2 &= [\mathcal{O}_L(2)] - [\mathcal{O}_X(2)] + 4[\mathcal{O}_X(1)] - 6[\mathcal{O}_X] \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{ch}(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2) = -3 + 3h - \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \dots$$

By [8, §2.1] we have $p_*q^*h^2 = g$. We also have $p_*q^*h = 1$: to see this, take a smooth hyperplane section $X \cap H$ and take its preimage under q ; this is the blow-up of F along the surface of lines contained in the cubic threefold $X \cap H$, hence is generically 1-to-1 over F . Combining these facts with (2) and (3) we get (6). \square

Corollary 8. *The embedding $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \subset K_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathcal{A})(-1)$ given by the previous proposition can be identified with Markman's embedding $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$ discussed in §1.*

Proof. If $n = 2$ or if $n - 1$ is a prime power then for any Y of $\mathrm{K3}^{[n]}$ -type, any two primitive embeddings of $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ into $U^4 \oplus (-E_8)^2$ differ by an automorphism of the latter [14, §4.1]. \square

3. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1 AND 2

Theorem 1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d satisfying (**).
- (b) The transcendental lattice $T_X \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is Hodge-isometric to $T_S(-1)$ for some K3 surface S .
- (c) F is birational to a moduli space of stable sheaves on S .

Proof. By [1, Thm. 3.1], condition (a) holds if and only if $K_{\mathrm{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ contains a copy of $U \cong -U$. Moreover we have $T_X \cong T_F(-1)$. Thus the theorem follows from Corollary 8 and Proposition 4. \square

To prove Theorem 2 we will have to work in a basis:

Lemma 9. *If $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ then there is a $\tau \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tau \rangle$ is a primitive sublattice of discriminant d with Euler pairing*

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{when } d = 6k, \text{ or}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2k \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{when } d = 6k + 2.$$

Proof. By Theorem 6(d), we can choose a $\tau \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tau \rangle$ is a primitive sublattice of discriminant d . Write the Euler pairing as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & a \\ 1 & -2 & * \\ a & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Replace τ with $\tau - a\lambda_2$; then the Euler pairing becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 3b + c \\ 0 & 3b + c & * \end{pmatrix}$$

for some b and some $-1 \leq c \leq 1$. Replace τ with $\tau + b(\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2)$; then the Euler pairing becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & c \\ 0 & c & 2k \end{pmatrix}$$

for some k , since $K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ is an even lattice. If $c = 0$ this has determinant $6k$. If $c = 1$ this has determinant $6k + 2$. If $c = -1$, replace τ with $-\tau$ to get back to the previous case. \square

Theorem 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d satisfying (**).
- (b) F is birational to $\text{Hilb}^2(S)$ for some K3 surface S .

Proof. We will show that condition (a) holds if and only if there is a $w \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that

$$\chi(\lambda_1, w) = 1 \text{ and } \chi(w, w) = 0. \quad (7)$$

Then the theorem follows from Corollary 8 and Proposition 5.

If there is such a w , let $L = \langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, w \rangle \subset K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$. By hypothesis, the Euler pairing on L is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & n \\ 1 & n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so $\text{disc}(L) = 2n^2 + 2n + 2$. Let M be the saturation of L , let a be the index of L in M , and let $d = \text{disc}(M)$. Then $\text{disc}(L) = a^2d$, and $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ by Theorem 6(d).

Conversely, suppose $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d satisfying (**). Choose integers n and a such that

$$da^2 = 2n^2 + 2n + 2.$$

Recall that d is even. Since $2n^2 + 2n + 2$ satisfies (**) we see that a is a product of primes $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and in particular $a \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. We consider three cases.

Case 1: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. In this case we find that $d \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$. Write $d = 6k$. By Lemma 9 there is a $\tau \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that the Euler pairing on $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tau \rangle$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $m = (n - 1)/3$, which is an integer; then we find that

$$w := m\lambda_1 + (2m + 1)\lambda_2 + a\tau$$

satisfies (7).

Case 2: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. In this case we find that $d \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. Write $d = 6k + 2$. By Lemma 9 there is a $\tau \in K_{\text{num}}(\mathcal{A})$ such that the Euler pairing on $\langle \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \tau \rangle$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2k \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $m = (a - n - 2)/3$, which is an integer; then we find that

$$w := m\lambda_1 + (2m + 1)\lambda_2 + a\tau$$

satisfies (7).

Case 3: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Again we find that $d \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. Argue as in the previous case but with $m = (a + n - 1)/3$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Addington and R. Thomas. Hodge theory and derived categories of cubic fourfolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(10):1885–1927, 2014. Also [arXiv:1211.3758](#).
- [2] T. Andreescu, D. Andrica, and I. Cucurezeanu. *An introduction to Diophantine equations*. Birkhäuser Verlag, New York, 2010. A problem-based approach.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch. Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. III*, pages 7–38. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [4] A. Bayer, B. Hassett, and Y. Tschinkel. Mori cones of holomorphic symplectic varieties of K3 type. Preprint, [arXiv:1307.2291](#).
- [5] A. Bayer and E. Macrì. MMP for moduli of sheaves on K3s via wall-crossing: nef and movable cones, Lagrangian fibrations. *Invent. Math.*, to appear. Also [arXiv:1301.6968](#).
- [6] A. Beauville and R. Donagi. La variété des droites d’une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 301(14):703–706, 1985. Also [math1.unice.fr/~beauvill/pubs/bd.pdf](#).
- [7] S. Galkin and E. Shinder. The Fano variety of lines and rationality problem for a cubic hypersurface. Preprint, [arXiv:1405.5154](#).

- [8] B. Hassett. Special cubic fourfolds. *Compositio Math.*, 120(1):1–23, 2000. Also math.rice.edu/~hassett/papers/cubics/cubic.pdf.
- [9] D. Huybrechts. Compact hyper-Kähler manifolds: basic results. *Invent. Math.*, 135(1):63–113, 1999. Also [alg-geom/9705025](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9705025).
- [10] D. Huybrechts. A global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds [after M. Verbitsky]. *Astérisque*, (348):Exp. No. 1040, x, 375–403, 2012. Séminaire Bourbaki: Vol. 2010/2011. Exposés 1027–1042. Also [arXiv:1106.5573](https://arxiv.org/abs/1106.5573).
- [11] A. Kuznetsov. Derived categories of cubic fourfolds. In *Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems*, volume 282 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 219–243. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2010. Also [arXiv:0808.3351](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.3351).
- [12] A. Kuznetsov and D. Markushevich. Symplectic structures on moduli spaces of sheaves via the Atiyah class. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 59(7):843–860, 2009. Also [math/0703264](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0703264).
- [13] E. Macrì and P. Stellari. Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds containing a plane. *Math. Ann.*, 354(3):1147–1176, 2012. Also [arXiv:0909.2725](https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.2725).
- [14] E. Markman. Integral constraints on the monodromy group of the hyperKähler resolution of a symmetric product of a K3 surface. *Internat. J. Math.*, 21(2):169–223, 2010. Also [math/0601304](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0601304).
- [15] E. Markman. A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. In *Complex and differential geometry*, volume 8 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 257–322. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011. Also [arXiv:1101.4606](https://arxiv.org/abs/1101.4606).
- [16] G. Mongardi and M. Wandel. Induced automorphisms on irreducible symplectic manifolds. Preprint, [arXiv:1405.5706](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.5706).
- [17] S. Mukai. On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces. I. In *Vector bundles on algebraic varieties (Bombay, 1984)*, volume 11 of *Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math.*, pages 341–413. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1987.
- [18] D. Orlov. Equivalences of derived categories and K3 surfaces. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 84(5):1361–1381, 1997. Also [alg-geom/9606006](https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9606006).
- [19] M. Verbitsky. Mapping class group and a global Torelli theorem for hyperkähler manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 162(15):2929–2986, 2013. Appendix A by Eyal Markman. Also [arXiv:0908.4121](https://arxiv.org/abs/0908.4121).

E-mail address: adding@math.duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, BOX 90320, DURHAM, NC 27708-0320, UNITED STATES