

REGULARITY OF ANALYTIC TORSION FORM ON FAMILIES OF NORMAL COVERINGS

BING KWAN SO AND GUANGXIANG SU

ABSTRACT. We prove the smoothness of the L^2 -analytic torsion form for fiber bundle with positive Novikov-Shubin invariant. We do so by generalizing the arguments of Azzali-Goette-Schick to an appropriate Sobolev space, and proving that the Novikov-Shubin invariant is also positive in the Sobolev setting, using an argument of Alvarez Lopez-Kordyukov.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a closed Riemannian manifold and F be a flat vector bundle on M , Ray and Singer in [17] introduced the analytic torsion which is the analytic analogue of the combinatorial torsion (cf. [15]). Let $Z \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a fiber bundle with connected closed fibers $Z_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$ and F be a flat complex vector bundle on M with a flat connection ∇^F and a Hermitian metric h^F . Let $T^H M$ be a horizontal distribution for the fiber bundle and g^{TZ} be a vertical Riemannian metric. Then in [4] Bismut and Lott introduced the torsion form $\mathcal{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F) \in \Omega(B)$ (cf. [4, (3.118)]) defined by

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F) = - \int_0^{+\infty} \left[f^\wedge(C'_t, h^W) - \frac{\chi'(Z; F)}{2} f'(0) \right. \\ \left. - \left(\frac{\dim(Z) \operatorname{rk}(F) \chi(Z)}{4} - \frac{\chi'(Z; F)}{2} \right) f' \left(\frac{i\sqrt{t}}{2} \right) \right] \frac{dt}{t}.$$

See [4] for the meaning of the terms in the integrand. To show the integral in the above formula is well-defined, it needs to calculate the asymptotic of $f^\wedge(C'_t, h^W)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and the asymptotic as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For the asymptotic as $t \rightarrow 0$, they used the local index technique. For the asymptotic as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the key fact is that the fiber Z is closed, so the fiberwise operators involved have uniform positive lower bound for positive eigenvalues. They also proved a C^∞ -analogue of the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem and proved that the torsion form is the transgression of the Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem (cf. [4, Theorem 3.23]) and showed the zero degree part of $\mathcal{T}(T^H M, g^{TZ}, h^F) \in \Omega(B)$ is the Ray-Singer analytic torsion (cf. [4, Theorem 3.29]).

On the other hand, the L^2 -analytic torsion was defined and studied by several authors, cf. [6], [12], [14] and etc. So it is natural to extend the L^2 -analytic torsion to the family case, that is to define and study the Bismut-Lott torsion form when the fiber Z is non-compact. From the above we see that it needs to study the asymptotic of the L^2 analogue of $f^\wedge(C'_t, h^W)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$. Since in the L^2 case

Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPIC, Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, China.
e-mail: bkso@graduate.hku.hk (B.K. So), guangxiangsu@gmail.com (G. Su).

$f^\wedge(C'_t, h^W)$ has the same asymptotic as $t \rightarrow 0$, so this part is easy. But in general the integral at ∞ does not converge, since in the L^2 case the positive eigenvalues of fiberwise operator involved in $f^\wedge(C'_t, h^W)$ may not have a positive lower bound. To overcome this difficulty, one considers the special case where the Novikov-Shubin invariant is (sufficiently) positive. In [7] Gong and Rothenberg defined the L^2 -analytic torsion form and proved that the torsion form is smooth, under the condition that the Novikov-Shubin invariant is at least half of the dimension of the base manifold. Heitsch-Lazarov [10] generalized essentially the same arguments to foliations. In [1] Azzali, Goette and Schick proved that the integrand defining the L^2 -analytic torsion form, as well as several other invariants related to the signature operator, converges provided the Novikov-Shubin invariant is positive (or of determinant class and L^2 -acyclic). However, they did not prove the smoothness of the L^2 -analytic torsion form. To consider transgression formula, they had to use weak derivatives.

The aim of this paper is to establish the regularity of the L^2 -analytic torsion form, in the case when the Novikov-Shubin invariant is positive. Our motivation comes from the study of analytic torsion on some “non-commutative” spaces (along the lines of [8], etc., for local index). In this case one considers universal differential forms (as in [8]), and the Duhamel’s formula for the heat operator having infinitely many terms. Instead, one makes essential use of the results of [1] to ensure that (1) is well defined in the non-commutative case. We achieve this result by generalizing Azzali-Goette-Schick’s arguments to some Sobolev spaces.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define Sobolev norms on the spaces of kernels on the fibered product groupoid. Unlike [1], we consider Hilbert-Schmit type norms on the space of smoothing operators. Given a kernel, the Hilbert-Schmit norm can be explicitly written down. As a result, we are able to take into account derivatives in both the fiber-wise and transverse directions, with the help of a splitting similar to [9]. In section 3, we turn to prove that having positive Novikov-Shubin invariant implies positivity of the Novikov-Shubin invariant in the Sobolev settings. We adapt an argument of Alvarez Lopez-Kordyukov [11]. In Section 4, we apply the arguments in [1] and conclude that the integral (1) converges in all Sobolev norms, and hence the regularity of the L^2 analytic torsion form.

Acknowledgement The authors are very grateful to referees for their very careful reading of the manuscript of the paper and many valuable suggestions. The second author was supported by NSFC 11571183.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will define Sobolev norms on the space of kernels on the fibered product groupoid.

2.1. The geometric settings. Let $Z \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a fiber bundle with connected fibers $Z_x = \pi^{-1}(x)$, $x \in B$. Let $E \xrightarrow{\varrho} M$ be a vector bundle. We assume B is compact. Let $V := \text{Ker}(d\pi) \subset TM$.

We suppose that there is a finitely generated discrete group G acting on M from the right freely, properly discontinuously. We also assume that the group G acts on B such that the actions commute with π and $M_0 := M/G$ is a compact manifold. Since the submersion π is G -invariant, M_0 is also foliated and denote such foliation

by V_0 . Fix a distribution $H_0 \subset TM_0$ complementary to V_0 . Fix a metric on V_0 and take a G -invariant metric on B , then these induce a Riemannian metric on M_0 as $g^{V_0} \oplus \pi^*g^{TB}$ on $TM_0 = V_0 \oplus H_0$.

Since the projection from M to M_0 is a local diffeomorphism, one gets a G -invariant splitting $TM = V \oplus H$. Denote by P^V, P^H respectively the projections to V and H . Moreover, one gets a G -invariant metric on V and a Riemannian metric on M as $g^{TM} = g^V \oplus \pi^*g^{TB}$ on $TM = V \oplus H$.

Given any vector field $X \in \Gamma^\infty(TB)$, denote the horizontal lift of X by $X^H \in \Gamma^\infty(H) \subset \Gamma^\infty(TM)$. By our construction, $|X^H|_{g_M}(p) = |X|_{g_B}(\pi(p))$.

Denote by μ_x, μ_B respectively the Riemannian measures on Z_x and B .

Definition 2.1. Let $E \xrightarrow{\wp} M$ be a complex vector bundle. We say that E is a contravariant G -bundle if G also acts on E from the right, such that for any $v \in E, g \in G$, $\wp(vg) = \wp(v)g \in M$, and moreover G acts as a linear map between the fibers.

The group G then acts on sections of E from the left by

$$s \mapsto g^*s, \quad (g^*s)(p) := s(pg)g^{-1} \in \wp^{-1}(p), \quad \forall p \in M.$$

We assume that E is endowed with a G -invariant metric g_E , and a G -invariant connection ∇^E (which is obviously possible if E is the pullback of some bundle on M_0). In particular, for any G -invariant section s of E , $|s|$ is a G -invariant function on M .

In the following, for any vector bundle F we denote its dual bundle by F' .

Recall that the ‘‘infinite dimensional bundle’’ over B in the sense of Bismut is a vector bundle with typical fiber $\Gamma_c^\infty(E|_{Z_x})$ (or other function spaces) over each $x \in B$. We denote by E_b for such Bismut bundle. The space of smooth sections on E_b is, as a vector space, $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$. Each element $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ is regarded as a map

$$x \mapsto s|_{Z_x} \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E|_{Z_x}), \quad \forall x \in B.$$

In other words, one defines a section on E_b to be smooth, if the images of all $x \in B$ fit together to form an element in $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$. In particular, $\Gamma_c^\infty((M \times \mathbb{C})_b) = C_c^\infty(M)$, and one identifies $\Gamma_c^\infty(TB \otimes (M \times \mathbb{C})_b)$ with $\Gamma_c^\infty(H)$ by $X \otimes f \mapsto fX^H$.

2.2. Covariant derivatives and Sobolev spaces. Let ∇^E be a G -invariant connection on E . Denote by ∇^{TM}, ∇^{TB} the Levi-Civita connections (with respect to the metrics defined in the last section). Note that $[X^H, Y] \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$ for any vertical vector field $Y \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$. One naturally defines the connections

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{V_b} Y &:= [X^H, Y], \quad \forall Y \in \Gamma^\infty(V_b) \cong \Gamma^\infty(V), \\ \nabla_X^{E_b} s &:= \nabla_{X^H}^E s, \quad \forall s \in \Gamma^\infty(E_b) \cong \Gamma_c^\infty(E). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2. The covariant derivative on E_b is the map

$$\dot{\nabla}^{E_b} : \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \otimes^\bullet V'_b \otimes^\bullet E_b) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^{\bullet+1} T^*B \otimes^\bullet V'_b \otimes^\bullet E_b),$$

defined by

$$(2) \quad \left(\dot{\nabla}^{E_b} s \right) (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l) := \nabla_{X_0}^{E_b} s(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l)$$

$$-\sum_{j=1}^l s(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{V_b} Y_j, \dots, Y_l) - \sum_{i=1}^k s(X_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{TB} X_i, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l),$$

for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}, X_0, \dots, X_k \in \Gamma^\infty(TB), Y_1, \dots, Y_l \in \Gamma^\infty(V)$.

Clearly, taking covariant derivative can be iterated, which we denote by $(\dot{\nabla}^{E_b})^m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Note that $(\dot{\nabla}^{E_b})^m$ is a differential operator of order m .

Also, we define $\dot{\partial}^V : \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \otimes \otimes^\bullet V'_b \otimes E_b) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \otimes \otimes^{\bullet+1} V'_b \otimes E_b)$ by

$$(3) \quad \left(\dot{\partial}^V s \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_l) := \nabla_{Y_0}^E s(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l) \\ - \sum_{j=1}^l s(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, P^V(\nabla_{Y_0}^{TM} Y_j), \dots, Y_l).$$

In the following definition, we regard $(\dot{\nabla}^{E_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^V)^j s \in \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^i H' \otimes \otimes^j V' \otimes E_b)$.

Definition 2.3. For $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$, we define its m -th Sobolev norm by

$$(4) \quad \|s\|_m^2 := \sum_{i+j \leq m} \int_{x \in B} \int_{y \in Z_x} |(\dot{\nabla}^{E_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^V)^j s|^2(x, y) \mu_x(y) \mu_B(x).$$

Denote by $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ the Sobolev completion of $\Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_m$.

Recall that an operator A is called C^∞ -bounded if in normal coordinates the coefficients and their derivatives are C^∞ -bounded.

Since M is locally isometric to a compact space M_0 , it is a manifold with bounded geometry (see [18, Appendix 1] for an introduction). Moreover, ∇^E is a C^∞ -bounded differential operator, because by G invariance the Christoffel symbols of ∇^E and all their derivatives are uniformly bounded. Using normal coordinate charts and parallel transport with respect to ∇^E as trivialization, one sees that E is a bundle with bounded geometry.

Since the operators $\dot{\nabla}^{E_b}$ and $\dot{\partial}^V$ are just respectively the $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ parts of the usual covariant derivative operator, our Definition 2.3 is equivalent to the standard Sobolev norm [18, Appendix 1 (1.3)] (with $p = 2$ and s non-negative integers).

One has the elliptic regularity for these Sobolev spaces:

Lemma 2.4. [18, Lemma 1.4] *Let A be any C^∞ -bounded, uniformly elliptic, differential operator of order m . For any $i, j \geq 0$, there exists a constant C such that for any $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$*

$$\|s\|_{i+m} \leq C(\|As\|_i + \|s\|_j).$$

Remark 2.5. Throughout this paper, by an ‘‘elliptic operator’’ on a manifold, we mean elliptic in all directions, without taking any foliation structure into consideration. We use the term ‘‘fiber-wise elliptic operators’’ to refer to differential operators that are fiber-wise and elliptic restricted to fibers.

2.3. The fibered product.

Definition 2.6. The fibered product of the manifold M is

$$M \times_B M := \{(p, q) \in M \times M : \pi(p) = \pi(q)\},$$

and with the maps from $M \times_B M$ to M defined by

$$\mathbf{s}(p, q) := q, \quad \mathbf{t}(p, q) := p.$$

The manifold $M \times_B M$ is a fiber bundle over B , with typical fiber $Z \times Z$. One naturally has the splitting [9, Section 2]

$$T(M \times_B M) = \hat{H} \oplus V_{\mathbf{t}} \oplus V_{\mathbf{s}},$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{s}} := \text{Ker}(d\mathbf{t}), \quad V_{\mathbf{t}} := \text{Ker}(d\mathbf{s}).$$

Note that $V_{\mathbf{s}} \cong \mathbf{s}^*V$ and $V_{\mathbf{t}} \cong \mathbf{t}^*(V)$. As in Section 1.1, we endow $M \times_B M$ with a metric by lifting the metrics on H_0 and V_0 . Then $M \times_B M$ is a manifold with bounded geometry.

Notation 2.7. With some abuse in notations, we shall often write elements in $M \times_B M$ as a triple (x, y, z) and $\mathbf{s}(x, y, z) = (x, z)$, $\mathbf{t}(x, y, z) = (x, y) \in M$, where $x \in B, y, z \in Z_x$

Let G act on $M \times_B M$ by the diagonal action

$$(p, q)g := (pg, qg).$$

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a contravariant G -vector bundle and E' be its dual. We shall consider

$$\hat{E} \rightarrow M \times_B M := \mathbf{t}^*E \otimes \mathbf{s}^*E'.$$

Given a G -invariant connection ∇^E on E , let

$$\nabla^{\hat{E}} := \mathbf{t}^*\nabla^E \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathbf{s}^*E'} + \text{Id}_{\mathbf{t}^*E} \otimes \mathbf{s}^*\nabla^{E'}$$

be the tensor product of the pullback connections. Fix any local base $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ of E' on some $U \subset M$, any section can be written as

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^r u_i \otimes \mathbf{s}^*e_i$$

on $\mathbf{s}^{-1}(U)$, where $u_i \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}^*E)$. Then by definition we have

$$(5) \quad \nabla_X^{\hat{E}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i \otimes \mathbf{s}^*e_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^r (\nabla_X^{\mathbf{t}^*E} u_i) \otimes \mathbf{s}^*e_i + u_i \otimes \mathbf{s}^*(\nabla_{d\mathbf{s}(X)}^{E'} e_i),$$

for any vector X on M .

Similar to Definition 2.2, we define the covariant derivative operators on $\Gamma^\infty(\otimes^\bullet T^*B \otimes \otimes^\bullet (V_{\mathbf{t}}')_b \otimes \otimes^\bullet (V_{\mathbf{s}}')_b \otimes \hat{E}_b)$.

Definition 2.8. Define

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b} \psi \right) (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & := \nabla_{X_0}^{\hat{E}_b} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{V_b} Y_j, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{V_b} Z_j, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \psi(X_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{TB} X_i, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\dot{\partial}^s \psi \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad := \nabla_{Y_0}^{\hat{E}} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, P^{Vs}(\nabla_{Y_0}^{TM} Y_j), \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, P^{Vt}[Y_0, Z_j], \dots, Z_{l'}),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\dot{\partial}^t \psi \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad := \nabla_{Y_0}^{\hat{E}} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, P^{Vs}[Z_0, Y_j], \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \psi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, P^{Vt}(\nabla_{Z_0}^{TM} Z_j), \dots, Z_{l'}).
\end{aligned}$$

Given any vector fields $Y, Z \in V$. Let Y^s, Z^t be respectively the lifts of Y and Z to V_s and V_t . Then $[Y^s, Z^t] = 0$. It follows that as differential operators,

$$[\dot{\partial}^s, \dot{\partial}^t] = 0.$$

Also, it is straightforward to verify that

$$[\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b}, \dot{\partial}^s] \text{ and } [\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b}, \dot{\partial}^t]$$

are both zeroth order differential operators (i.e. smooth bundle maps).

Fix a local trivialization

$$\mathbf{x}_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(B_\alpha) \rightarrow B_\alpha \times Z, \quad p \mapsto (\pi(p), \varphi^\alpha(p)),$$

where $B = \bigcup_\alpha B_\alpha$ is a finite open cover (since B is compact), and $\varphi^\alpha|_{\pi^{-1}(x)} : Z_x \rightarrow Z$ is a diffeomorphism. Such a trivialization induces a local trivialization of the fiber bundle $M \times_B M \xrightarrow{\mathbf{t}} M$ by $M = \bigcup M_\alpha, M_\alpha := \pi^{-1}(B_\alpha)$,

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha : \mathbf{t}^{-1}(M_\alpha) \rightarrow M_\alpha \times Z, \quad (p, q) \mapsto (p, \varphi^\alpha(q)).$$

On $M_\alpha \times Z$ the source and target maps are explicitly given by

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{s} \circ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^{-1}(p, z) = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha)^{-1}(\pi(p), z) \text{ and } \mathbf{t} \circ (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)^{-1}(p, z) = p.$$

For such trivialization, one has the natural splitting

$$T(M_\alpha \times Z) = H^\alpha \oplus V^\alpha \oplus TZ,$$

where H^α and V^α are respectively H and V restricted to $M_\alpha \times \{z\}$, $z \in Z$. It follows from (6) that

$$V^\alpha = d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(V_s), \quad TZ = d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(V_t).$$

Given any vector field X on B , let $X^H, X^{\hat{H}}$ be respectively the lifts of X to H and \hat{H} . Since $dt(X^{\hat{H}}) = ds(X^{\hat{H}}) = X^H$, it follows that

$$d\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha(X^{\hat{H}}) = X^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X^H).$$

Note that $d\varphi^\alpha(X^H) \in TZ \subseteq T(M_\alpha \times Z)$.

Corresponding to the splitting $T(M_\alpha \times Z) = H^\alpha \oplus V^\alpha \oplus TZ$, one can define the covariant derivative operators. Let ∇^{TM_α} be the Levi-Civita connection on M_α and ∇^{TZ} be the Levi-Civita connection on Z . Define for any smooth section $\phi \in \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^{\bullet} T^*B \otimes \otimes^{\bullet} (V^\alpha)'_b \otimes \otimes^{\bullet} T^*Z_b \otimes (\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha^{-1})^* \hat{E}'_b)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\dot{\nabla}^\alpha \phi \right) (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad := (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}'_b})_{X_0^{H^\alpha}} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, [X_0^{H^\alpha}, Y_j], \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, [X_0^{H^\alpha}, Z_j], \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \phi(X_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{TB} X_i, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\dot{\partial}^\alpha \phi \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad := (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}'_b})_{Y_0} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, P^{V^\alpha}(\nabla_{Y_0}^{TM_\alpha} Y_j), \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, P^{TZ}[Y_0, Z_j], \dots, Z_{l'}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\dot{\partial}^Z \phi \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad := (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^* \nabla^{\hat{E}'_b})_{Z_0} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, P^{V^\alpha}[Z_0, Y_j], \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \phi(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, \nabla_{Z_0}^{TZ} Z_j, \dots, Z_{l'}). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the special case when $\phi = u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e$, where $u \in \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^{\bullet} T^*B \otimes \otimes^{\bullet} (V^\alpha)'_b \otimes \mathbf{t}^* E)$, $e \in \Gamma^\infty(\otimes^{\bullet} T^*Z_b \otimes E')$.

Lemma 2.9. *For $(x, y, z) \in M_\alpha \times Z$, one has*

$$\dot{\nabla}^\alpha(u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e)(x, y, z) = (\dot{\nabla}^{E'_b} u|_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}}(x, y)) \otimes \mathbf{s}^*(e(x, z)) + u \otimes \mathbf{s}^*(\nabla^{E'_b} e(x, z))$$

and

$$\dot{\partial}^\alpha(u \otimes \mathbf{s}^*e)(x, y, z) = (\dot{\partial}^V u|_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}}(x, y)) \otimes \mathbf{s}^*(e(x, z)).$$

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when $Y_j, Z_{j'}$ are respectively vector fields on M_α and Z lifted to $M_\alpha \times Z$. From this assumption it follows that $[Y_j, Z_{j'}] = [X_0^{H^\alpha}, Z_{j'}] = 0$. The lemma follows by a simple computation. \square

We express the (pullback of) the covariant derivatives $\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}^b}\psi$, $\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}\psi$ and $\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\psi$ in terms of $\dot{\nabla}^\alpha\psi^\alpha$, $\dot{\partial}^\alpha\psi^\alpha$ and $\dot{\partial}^Z\psi^\alpha$, where $\psi^\alpha := (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^*\psi$. One directly verifies

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & \left(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}^b}\psi \right) (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \left(\nabla_{(X_0^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H))}^\alpha \psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'})) \right. \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Y_1, \dots, [X_0^{H^\alpha}, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Y_j], \dots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Y_l, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'})) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1, \dots, Y_l), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_1, \dots, [X_0^{H^\alpha} + d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_j], \dots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_{l'}) \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, \nabla_{X_0}^{TB} X_i, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \right) \\ &= (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \left(\dot{\nabla}^\alpha\psi^\alpha(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dot{\partial}^Z\psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H), Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \right) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1, \dots, Y_l), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_1, \dots, (\nabla^{TZ} d\varphi^\alpha(X_0^H))(d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_j), \dots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_{l'})). \end{aligned}$$

By similar computations for $\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}$ and $\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}$, one gets:

$$(8) \quad \left(\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}}\psi \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \left(\dot{\partial}^\alpha\psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'})) \right),$$

$$(9) \quad \left(\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{t}}\psi \right) (X_1, \dots, X_k; Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{l'}) \\ = (\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \left(\dot{\partial}^Z\psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1, \dots, Y_l, Z_0, \dots, Z_{l'})) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq l'} \psi^\alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k; d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(Y_1, \dots, Y_l), d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_1, \dots, (\nabla_{d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_0}^{TZ} d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_j - d\mathbf{x}_\alpha(P^{V_t} \nabla_{Z_0}^{TM} Z_j)), \dots, d\mathbf{x}_\alpha Z_{l'}) \right).$$

2.4. Smoothing operators. For any $(x, y, z) \in M \times_B M$, let $\mathbf{d}(x, y, z)$ be the Riemannian distance between $y, z \in Z_x$. We regard \mathbf{d} as a continuous, non-negative function on $M \times_B M$.

Definition 2.10. (See [16]). As a vector space,

$$\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E) := \left\{ \psi \in \Gamma^\infty(\hat{E}) : \begin{array}{l} \text{For any } m \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon > 0, \exists C_m > 0 \\ \text{such that } \forall i + j + k \leq m, \\ |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}^b})^i (\dot{\partial}^{V_s})^j (\dot{\partial}^{V_t})^k \psi| \leq C_m e^{-\varepsilon \mathbf{d}}. \end{array} \right\}.$$

The convolution product structure on $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$ is defined by

$$\psi_1 \star \psi_2(x, y, z) := \int_{Z_x} \psi_1(x, y, w) \psi_2(x, w, z) \mu_x(w).$$

We introduce a Sobolev type generalization of the Hilbert-Schmit norm on $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$, the space of G -invariant kernels. Since G is a finitely generated discrete group and acts on M freely, properly discontinuously, then there exists a smooth compactly supported function $\chi \in C_c^\infty(M)$, such that

$$\sum_{g \in G} g^* \chi = 1.$$

In particular, one may construct χ as follows. Denote by π_G the projection $M \rightarrow M_0 = M/G$. There exists some $r > 0$, and a finite collection of geodesic balls $B(p_\alpha, r)$ of radius r , such that $B(p_\alpha, r)$ is diffeomorphic to its image in M_0 under π_G , and moreover $\{B(p_\alpha, \frac{r}{3})\}$ covers M_0 (since M_0 is compact). Since G acts on M by isometry, $\pi_G(B(p_\alpha g, r)) = \pi_G(B(p_\alpha, r))$ for all $g \in G$. Thus one may without loss of generality assume that $B(p_\alpha, r)$ are mutually disjoint.

Define the functions $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $F_\alpha, F \in C_c^\infty(M)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &:= e^{-\frac{1}{t^2}} \text{ if } t > 0, \quad 0 \text{ if } t \leq 0, \\ F_\alpha(p) &:= f\left(1 - \frac{2\mathbf{d}(p, p_\alpha)}{r}\right) \left(f\left(\frac{3\mathbf{d}(p, p_\alpha)}{r} - 1\right) + f\left(1 - \frac{2\mathbf{d}(p, p_\alpha)}{r}\right)\right)^{-1}, \quad p \in M, \\ F &:= \sum_\alpha F_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Note that F is well defined because F_α is supported on $B(p_\alpha, r)$, which is locally finite. Since by construction

$$\left\{ \bigcup_\alpha B(p_\alpha g, \frac{r}{3}) \right\}_{g \in G}$$

is a locally finite cover of M , $\sum_g g^* F$ is also well defined. Define

$$\chi := F\left(\sum_g g^* F\right)^{-1}.$$

Then clearly χ is the required partition of unity. Moreover, observe that $\chi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a smooth function because $f^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is smooth and all denominators are uniformly bounded away from 0.

For any G -invariant $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$, recall that the standard trace of ψ is

$$\mathrm{tr}_\Psi(\psi)(x) := \int_{z \in Z_x} \chi(x, z) \mathrm{tr}(\psi(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z) \in C^\infty(B).$$

The definition does not depend on the choice of χ . The corresponding Hilbert-Schmit norm is

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad & \int_B (\mathrm{tr}_\Psi(\psi\psi^*)(x))^2 \mu_B(x) \\ &= \int_B \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} \mathrm{tr}(\psi(x, z, y) \psi^*(x, y, z)) \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that Equation (10) coincides with the L^2 -norm of ψ . Generalizing Equation (10) to taking into account derivatives, we define:

Definition 2.11. The m -th Hilbert-Schmit norm on $\Psi^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$ is defined to be

$$\|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m}^2 := \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} \int_B \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \psi|^2(x, y, z) \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x),$$

for any G -invariant element ψ . Let $\bar{\Psi}_m^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$ be the completion of $\Psi_{\infty}^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$.

Similar to Lemma 2.4, one has the elliptic regularity for the Hilbert-Schmit norm:

Lemma 2.12. *Let A be a G -invariant, first order elliptic differential operator, then for any $m = 0, 1, \dots$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m+1} \leq C(\|A\psi\|_{\text{HS } m} + \|\psi\|_m),$$

for all $\psi \in \Psi^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)^G$.

Proof. Define

$$S := \{g \in G : \chi(g^* \chi) \neq 0\}.$$

Then S is finite because $\{g^* \chi\}$ is a locally finite partition of unity.

Consider $(\chi(x, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi$. By Leibniz rule, one has

$$(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi = \chi^{\frac{1}{2}} (\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \psi$$

modulo terms involving lower derivatives in ψ . Since $(\chi(x, z))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is smooth with bounded derivatives, there exists some $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $(x, y, z) \in M \times_B M$,

$$(11) \quad \left| \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi|^2 - \chi \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \psi|^2 \right| (x, y, z) \\ \leq \sum_{g \in S} g^* \chi \left(C_1 \sum_{i+j+k \leq m-1} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \psi|^2 \right) (x, y, z).$$

Similarly, since $A\chi^{\frac{1}{2}} - \chi^{\frac{1}{2}}A$ is a C^∞ -bounded tensor, one has

$$(12) \quad \left| \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k (A\chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi)|^2 - \chi \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k A\psi|^2 \right| \\ \leq \sum_{g \in S} g^* \chi \left(C_2 \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \psi|^2 \right).$$

Since the integrand is G -invariant, for any $g \in G$

$$\int_{M \times_B M} g^* \chi \sum_{i+j+k \leq m-1} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k \psi|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) = \|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m-1}^2.$$

Observe that A being G -invariant implies A is uniformly elliptic and C^∞ -bounded. Therefore applying Lemma 2.4 for $(\chi(x, z))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi$, there exists constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{M \times_B M} \sum_{i+j+k \leq m+1} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k (\chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_3 \left(\int_{M \times_B M} \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k (A \chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{M \times_B M} \sum_{i+j+k \leq m} |(\dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^s)^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k (\chi^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Equations (11) and (12), we get the lemma. \square

2.5. Fiber-wise operators. We turn to consider another class of operators and a different norm.

Definition 2.13. A fiber-wise operator is a linear operator $A : \Gamma_c^\infty(E_b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^0(E)$ such that for all $x \in B$, and any sections $s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E_b)$,

$$(As_1)(x) = (As_2)(x),$$

whenever $s_1(x) = s_2(x)$.

We say that A is smooth if $A(\Gamma_c^\infty(E)) \subseteq \Gamma^\infty(E)$. A smooth fiber-wise operator A is said to be bounded of order m if A extends to a bounded map from $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to itself.

Denote the operator norm of $A : \mathcal{W}^m(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^m(E)$ by $\|A\|_{\text{op } m}$.

Example 2.14. An example of smooth fiber-wise operators are $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$, acting on $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ by vector representation, i.e.

$$(\Psi s)(x, y) := \int_{Z_x} \psi(x, y, z) s(x, z) \mu_x(z).$$

Notation 2.15. For the fiber-wise operator $A : \Gamma_c^\infty(E_b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^0(E)$ which is of the form given by Example 2.14, we denote its kernel by $A(x, y, z)$. We shall write

$$\|A\|_{\text{HS } m} := \|A(x, y, z)\|_{\text{HS } m},$$

provided $A(x, y, z) \in \bar{\Psi}_m^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$.

The following lemma enables one to construct more fiber-wise operators:

Lemma 2.16. *Let A be any first order, C^∞ -bounded differential operator on M and $\Psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$ be as in Example 2.14. Then $[A, \Psi]$ is a fiber-wise operator in $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E)$.*

Proof. Since multiplication by a tensor or differentiation along V is fiber-wise, it remains to consider operators of the form $\nabla_{X^H}^E$, for some vector field X on B . Let $L_{X^H}^{\nabla^E} = d^{\nabla^E} i_{X^H} + i_{X^H} d^{\nabla^E}$, where d^{∇^E} is the twisted de Rham operator. In the following of this paper, the Lie derivatives are all defined in this way.

Let $s \in \Gamma_c^\infty(E)$ be arbitrary. We first suppose that Z is orientable and μ_x is a volume form. By the decay condition in Definition 2.10, one can differentiate under the integral sign to get

$$\begin{aligned} A\Psi s(x, z) &= \int_{Z_x} L_{X^H}^{\nabla^E}(\psi(x, y, z) s(x, y) \mu_x(y)) \\ &= \int_{Z_x} (L_{X^H}^{\nabla^E} \psi(x, y, z)) s(x, y) \mu_x(y) + \int_{Z_x} \psi(x, y, z) (L_{X^H}^{\nabla^E} s(x, y)) \mu_x(y) \\ &\quad + \int_{Z_x} \psi(x, y, z) s(x, y) (L_{X^H}^{\nabla^E} \mu_x(y)). \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the last line is just $\Psi A s$. Hence the result.

For the general case, one can take a suitable partition of unity and integrate over local volume forms, then one obtains a similar equation. \square

Let A be a smooth fiber-wise operator on $\Gamma_c^\infty(E_b)$. Then A induces a fiber-wise operator \hat{A} on $\Gamma_c^\infty(\hat{E}_b)$ by

$$(13) \quad \hat{A}(u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e) := A(u|_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}}) \otimes (\mathbf{s}^* e)$$

on $\mathbf{t}^{-1}(M_\alpha) \cong M_\alpha \times Z$, for any sections $e \in \Gamma^\infty(E')$, $u \in \Gamma^\infty(\mathbf{t}^* E)$ and $\psi = u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e \in \Gamma_c^\infty(\hat{E})$.

Note that \hat{A} is independent of trivialization since A is fiber-wise, and for any α, β and $z \in Z$, the transition function $\mathbf{x}_\beta \circ (\mathbf{x}_\alpha)^{-1}$ maps the sub-manifold $Z_x \times \{z\}$ to $Z_x \times \{\varphi_x^\beta \circ (\varphi_x^\alpha)^{-1}(z)\}$ as the identity diffeomorphism.

2.6. The main theorem. Suppose that A is smooth and bounded of order m for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the covariant derivatives of $\hat{A}\psi^\alpha$.

Theorem 2.17. *For any smooth bounded G -invariant operator A , there exist constants $C'_{1,1}, C'_{0,0} > 0$ such that for any $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M)^G$, one has $\hat{A}\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M)^G$ and*

$$\|\hat{A}\psi\|_{\text{HS}1} \leq (C'_{1,1}\|A\|_{\text{op}1} + C'_{1,0}\|A\|_{\text{op}0})\|\psi\|_{\text{HS}1}.$$

Proof. Fix a partition of unity $\{\theta_\alpha\} \in C_c^\infty(B)$ subordinate to $\{B_\alpha\}$. We still denote by $\{\theta_\alpha\}$ its pullback to M and $M \times_B M$. Fix any Riemannian metric on Z and denote the corresponding Riemannian measure by μ_Z . Then one writes

$$(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha)_*(\mu_x \mu_B) = J_\alpha \mu_B \mu_Z,$$

for some smooth positive function J_α . Moreover, over any compact subsets on $B_\alpha \times Z$, $\frac{1}{J_\alpha}$ is bounded.

Given any $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M)^G$, let $\psi^\alpha := \hat{\mathbf{x}}_\alpha^*(\psi)$. The theorem clearly follows from the inequalities

$$(14) \quad \int_{B_\alpha} \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |\dot{\nabla}^\alpha \hat{A}(\theta_\alpha \psi^\alpha)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) \\ \leq (C_1 \|A\|_{\text{op}1}^2 + C_2 \|A\|_{\text{op}0}^2) \|\psi\|_{\text{HS}1}^2,$$

$$(15) \quad \int_{B_\alpha} \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |\dot{\partial}^\alpha \hat{A}(\theta_\alpha \psi^\alpha)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) \\ \leq (C_1 \|A\|_{\text{op}1}^2 + C_2 \|A\|_{\text{op}0}^2) \|\psi\|_{\text{HS}1}^2,$$

$$(16) \quad \int_B \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{y \in Z_x} |\dot{\partial}^Z \hat{A}(\theta_\alpha \psi^\alpha)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) \leq \|A\|_{\text{op}0}^2 \|\psi\|_{\text{HS}1}^2.$$

Let $Z = \bigcup_\lambda Z_\lambda$ be a locally finite cover. Then the support of $\chi \theta_\alpha$ lies in some finite sub-cover. Let χ_α be the characteristic function

$$\chi_\alpha(x, z) = 1 \text{ if } (\chi \theta_\alpha)(x, z) > 0, \quad 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Without loss of generality we may assume $E'|_{Z_\lambda}$ are all trivial. For each λ fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_r^\lambda\}$ of $E'|_{B_\alpha \times Z_\lambda}$, and write

$$\psi^\alpha := \sum_r u_r^\lambda \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda.$$

Using Lemma 2.9 one estimates the integrand of the l.h.s. of Equation (14), then there exists constant $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \dot{\nabla}^\alpha (\hat{A} \theta_\alpha \psi^\alpha) \right|^2 (x, y, z) \\ &= \left| \sum_r (\dot{\nabla}^{E_b} A \theta_\alpha (u_r^\lambda |_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}})(x, y)) \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e_r^\lambda + (A \theta_\alpha u_r^\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{s}^* (\nabla^E e_r^\lambda) \right|^2 \\ &\leq C_3 \sum_r \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{E_b} A \theta_\alpha (u_r^\lambda |_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}})(x, y) \right|^2 + \left| (A \theta_\alpha u_r^\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{s}^* (\nabla^E e_r^\lambda) \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By integrating, one gets for some constants C_q , $q = 4, \dots, 10$, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\alpha} \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |\dot{\nabla}^\alpha \hat{A}(\theta_\alpha \psi^\alpha)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x) \\ &\leq C_4 \sum_\lambda \int_{Z_\lambda} \int_{B_\alpha} \int_{Z_x} \sum_r \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{E_b} A \theta_\alpha (u_r^\lambda |_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}})(x, y) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| (A \theta_\alpha u_r^\lambda) \otimes \mathbf{s}^* (\nabla^E e_r^\lambda) \right|^2 \right) \mu_x(y) \mu_B(x) \mu_Z(z) \\ &\leq \sum_\lambda \int_{Z_\lambda} \int_{B_\alpha} \int_{Z_x} \sum_r \left(C_5 \|A\|_{\text{op } 1}^2 \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{E_b} \theta_\alpha (u_r^\lambda |_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}})(x, y) \right|^2 \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left| \dot{\partial}^V \theta_\alpha (u_r^\lambda |_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}})(x, y) \right|^2 + \left| \theta_\alpha (u_r^\lambda |_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}})(x, y) \right|^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + C_6 \|A\|_{\text{op } 0} \left| \theta_\alpha u_r^\lambda \right|^2 \right) \mu_x(y) \mu_B(x) \mu_Z(z) \\ &\leq \sum_\lambda \int_{Z_\lambda} \int_{B_\alpha} \int_{Z_x} J_\alpha (C_7 \|A\|_{\text{op } 1}^2 + C_8 \|A\|_{\text{op } 0}) \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^\alpha \theta_\alpha \psi_\alpha \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \dot{\partial}^\alpha \theta_\alpha \psi_\alpha \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^Z \theta_\alpha \psi_\alpha \right|^2 + \left| \theta_\alpha \psi_\alpha \right|^2 \right) \mu_x(y) \mu_B(x) \mu_Z(z) \\ &\leq \int_B \int_{Z_x} \chi_\alpha \int_{Z_x} (C_9 \|A\|_{\text{op } 1}^2 + C_{10} \|A\|_{\text{op } 0}) \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b} \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \dot{\partial}^s \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 \right) \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \mu_B(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now we use an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.12. Namely, write the integrand as a sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_\alpha \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b} \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^s \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{g \in S} \chi_\alpha g^* \chi \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b} \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^s \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then since for all g

$$\int g^* \chi \left(\left| \dot{\nabla}^{\hat{E}_b} \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^s \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \dot{\partial}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 + \left| \mathbf{x}_\alpha^*(\theta_\alpha \psi) \right|^2 \right) = \|\psi\|_{\text{HS } 1},$$

equation (14) follows.

Using the same arguments with $\dot{\nabla}^\alpha$ in place of $\dot{\nabla}^\alpha$, one gets the Equation (15).

As for the last inequality, since $\mathbf{t}^* E|_{M_\alpha \times \{z\}}$ and the connection $(\mathbf{x}_\alpha^{-1})^* \nabla^{\mathbf{s}^* E}$ is trivial along $\exp tZ_0$, one can write

$$\nabla_{Z_0}^\alpha (\hat{A} u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} A u|_{M_\alpha \times \{\exp tZ\}} \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e + u \otimes \nabla_{Z_0}^{\mathbf{s}^* E'} \mathbf{s}^* e$$

$$= A\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} u|_{M_\alpha \times \{\exp tZ\}}\right) \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e + u \otimes \nabla_{Z_0}^{\mathbf{s}^* E'} \mathbf{s}^* e = \hat{A}(\nabla_{Z_0}^\alpha (u \otimes \mathbf{s}^* e)).$$

It follows that

$$\dot{\partial}^Z \hat{A}\psi^\alpha = \hat{A}(\dot{\partial}^Z \psi^\alpha),$$

and from which Equation (16) follows. \square

Clearly, the arguments leading to Corollary 2.17 can be repeated and we obtain:

Corollary 2.18. *For any smooth bounded operator \hat{A} and $m = 0, 1, \dots$, there exists $C'_{m,l} > 0$ such that for any $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M)^G$, one has*

$$\|\hat{A}\psi\|_{\text{HS } m} \leq \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq m} C_{m,l} \|A\|_{\text{op } l} \right) \|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m}.$$

Notation 2.19. In view of Corollary 2.18, we shall denote

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}' m} := \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq m} C_{m,l} \|A\|_{\text{op } l} \right).$$

We may assume without loss of generality that $C_{m,l} \geq 2$. Then one still has

$$(17) \quad \|A_1 A_2\|_{\text{op}' m} \leq \|A_1\|_{\text{op}' m} \|A_2\|_{\text{op}' m}.$$

3. LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF THE HEAT OPERATOR

In this section we will prove that under the condition of the positivity of the Novikov-Shubin invariant, the heat operator also convergences to the projection operator under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$.

3.1. The Novikov-Shubin invariant. Let $M \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle with a G action, and $TM = H \oplus V$ be the G -invariant splitting, as defined in Section 2.1. Recall that we assumed the metric on $H \cong \pi^*TB$ is given by pulling back some Riemannian metric on B . In other words, V is a Riemannian foliation.

Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a flat, contravariant G -vector bundle, and ∇ be an invariant flat connection on E . Denote $E^\bullet := \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E$.

Since the vertical distribution V is integrable, the deRham differential $d_V^{\nabla^E}$ along V is well defined. Write $\delta_0 := d_V^{\nabla^E} + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$, $\Delta := \delta_0^2$, and denote by $e^{-t\Delta}$ the heat operator and Π_0 the orthogonal projection onto $\text{Ker}(\Delta)$.

The following result is classical. See, for example, [2, Proposition 2.8] and [9, Proposition 3.5].

Lemma 3.1. *The heat operator $e^{-t\Delta}$ is given by a smooth kernel. Moreover, for any first order differential operator A , one has the Duhamel type formula*

$$(18) \quad [A, e^{-t\Delta}] = - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta} [A, \Delta] e^{-t'\Delta} dt'.$$

From Lemma 3.1, it follows that:

Corollary 3.2. [9, Corollary 3.11] *For any i, j, k , there exist $C, M > 0$ such that*

$$|(\dot{\nabla}^{E_b})^i (\dot{\partial}^{\mathbf{s}})^j (\dot{\partial}^t)^k e^{-t\delta_0^2}(x, y, z) \leq C e^{-M\mathbf{d}(y,z)^2}.$$

Hence $e^{-t\delta_0^2} \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E^\bullet)^G$.

As for Π_0 , one has

Lemma 3.3. *The kernel of Π_0 lies in $\bar{\Psi}_0^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E^\bullet)^G$.*

Proof. By [7, Theorem 2.2] Π_0 is also represented by a smooth kernel $\Pi_0(x, y, z)$. Moreover by [7, Theorem 2.2] and the fact that $\Pi_0 = \Pi_0^2$, one has

$$\sup_{x \in B} \left\{ \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |\Pi_0(x, y, z)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \right\} = \|\Pi_0\|_\tau < \infty,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ is the τ -trace norm defined in [7] (see also [1]).

Hence it remains to consider $\chi_n(x, y, z)\Pi_0(x, y, z)$, where $\chi_n \in C^\infty(M \times_B M)^G$ is a sequence of smooth functions such that

- (1) $0 \leq \chi_n \leq 1$;
- (2) χ_n is increasing and converges point-wise to 1;
- (3) $\chi_n(x, y, z) = 0$ whenever $\mathbf{d}(y, z) > nr$ for some $r > 0$.

To construct χ_n , let $r > 0$ to be the infimum of the injective radius of the fibers Z_x , and ϕ_1 be a non-negative smooth function such that $\phi_1(t) = 1$ if $t < \frac{r}{2}$, $\phi_1(t) = 0$ if $t > r$. Then $\chi_1 := \phi_1 \circ \mathbf{d}(y, z)$ is G -invariant. Define

$$\tilde{\chi}_n := \chi_1 \star \cdots \star \chi_1 \text{ (convolution by } n \text{ times)}.$$

Note that $\tilde{\chi}_n(x, y, z) > 0$ whenever $\mathbf{d}(y, z) < \frac{nr}{2}$. Moreover, χ_n is G -invariant and $\tilde{\chi}_n(x, y, z) = 0$ whenever $\mathbf{d}(y, z) > nr$. Since $\tilde{\chi}_{n+1}$ is bounded away from 0 on the support of $\tilde{\chi}_n$, clearly one can find smooth functions ϕ_n such that $\chi_n := \phi_n \circ \tilde{\chi}_n$ satisfies conditions (1)-(3). \square

Because of Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, it makes sense to define:

Definition 3.4. We say that Δ has positive Novikov-Shubin invariant if there exist $\gamma > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for sufficiently large t ,

$$\sup_{x \in B} \left\{ \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |(e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0)(x, y, z)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \right\} \leq C_0 t^{-\gamma}.$$

Remark 3.5. The positivity of the Novikov-Shubin invariant is independent of the metrics defining the operator Δ .

Remark 3.6. Since $e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0$ is non-negative, self adjoint and $(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0)^2 = e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0$, one has

$$\sup_{x \in B} \left\{ \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \int_{Z_x} |(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0)(x, y, z)|^2 \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \right\} = \|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_\tau.$$

Hence our definition of having positive Novikov-Shubin invariant is equivalent to that of [1]. Our argument here is similar to the proof of [5, Theorem 7.7].

In this paper, we shall always assume Δ has positive Novikov-Shubin invariant. From this assumption, it follows by integration over B that there exist constants $\gamma > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for t large enough

$$(19) \quad \|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS}0} < C t^{-\gamma}.$$

3.2. Example: The Bismut super-connection.

Definition 3.7. A standard flat Bismut super-connection is an operator of the form

$$d^{\nabla^E} := d_V^{\nabla^E} + \nabla^{E_b^\bullet} + \iota_\Theta,$$

where Θ is the V -valued horizontal 2-form defined by

$$\Theta(X_1^H, X_2^H) := -P^V[X_1^H, X_2^H], \quad \forall X_1, X_2 \in \Gamma^\infty(TB),$$

and ι_Θ is the contraction with Θ . Note that P^V is not canonical and it depends on the splitting $TM = V \oplus H$.

Observe that the adjoint of the Bismut super-connection, $(d^{\nabla^E})' = (d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (\nabla^{E_b^\bullet})' - \Lambda_{\Theta^*}$, is also flat. It follows that

$$(\nabla^{E_b^\bullet})'(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*(\nabla^{E_b^\bullet})' = 0.$$

Define

$$\Omega := \frac{1}{2}((\nabla^{E_b^\bullet})' - \nabla^{E_b^\bullet}).$$

Observe that Ω is a tensor (see [11] for an explicit formula for Ω). Moreover one has

$$\nabla^{E_b^\bullet}(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*\nabla^{E_b^\bullet} = 2\Omega(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + 2(d_V^{\nabla^E})^*\Omega.$$

Also, observe that $(d_V^{\nabla^E}) + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + \nabla^{E_b^\bullet} + ((\nabla^{E_b^\bullet})')^*$ is an elliptic operator.

3.3. The regularity result of Alvarez Lopez and Kordyukov. We first recall that an operator A is called C^∞ -bounded if in normal coordinates the coefficients and their derivatives are uniformly bounded. As in [11], we make the more general assumption that there exists C^∞ -bounded first order differential operator Q , and zero degree operators R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4 , all G -invariant, such that $d_V^{\nabla^E} + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + Q$ is elliptic, and

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} Qd_V^{\nabla^E} + d_V^{\nabla^E}Q &= R_1d_V^{\nabla^E} + d_V^{\nabla^E}R_2, \\ Q(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*Q &= R_3(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*R_4. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, in our example, $\nabla^{E_b^\bullet} + ((\nabla^{E_b^\bullet})')^*$ satisfies Equation (20).

Write $\check{\partial}_0 := d_V^{\nabla^E} + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$, $\Delta := \check{\partial}_0^2$, and denote by $\Pi_{d_V}, \Pi_{d_V^*}$ respectively the orthogonal projections onto the range of $d_V^{\nabla^E}, (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*$, which we shall denote by $\text{Rg}(d_V), \text{Rg}(d_V^*)$.

In this section, we shall consider the operators

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= R_1\Pi_{d_V} + R_3\Pi_{d_V^*}, \\ B_2 &:= \Pi_{d_V^*}R_2 + \Pi_{d_V}R_4, \\ B &:= B_2\Pi_0 + B_1(\text{id} - \Pi_0). \end{aligned}$$

We recall some elementary formulas regarding these operators from [11]:

Lemma 3.8. [11, Lemma 2.2] *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} Qd_V^{\nabla^E} + d_V^{\nabla^E}Q &= B_1d_V^{\nabla^E} + d_V^{\nabla^E}B_2, \\ Q(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*Q &= B_1(d_V^{\nabla^E})^* + (d_V^{\nabla^E})^*B_2, \\ [Q, \Delta] &= B_1\Delta - \Delta B_2 - \check{\partial}_0(B_1 - B_2)\check{\partial}_0. \end{aligned}$$

One can furthermore estimate the derivatives of Π_0 . First, recall that

Lemma 3.9. *One has (cf. [11, Corollary 2.8])*

$$[Q + B, \Pi_0] = 0.$$

Proof. Here we give a different proof. From definition we have

$$B = (\Pi_{d_V^*} R_2 + \Pi_d R_4) \Pi_0 + R_1 \Pi_{d_V} + R_3 \Pi_{d_V^*},$$

where we used $\Pi_{d_V} \Pi_0 = \Pi_{d_V^*} \Pi_0 = 0$. Hence

$$B \Pi_0 - \Pi_0 B = (\Pi_{d_V^*} R_2 + \Pi_{d_V} R_4) \Pi_0 - \Pi_0 R_1 \Pi_{d_V} - \Pi_0 R_3 \Pi_{d_V^*}.$$

For any s one has

$$\Pi_{d_V} s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d \tilde{s}_n,$$

for some sequence \tilde{s}_n (in some suitable function spaces). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0 R_1 \Pi_{d_V} s &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_0 R_1 d \tilde{s}_1 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_0 (Q d_V^{\nabla^E} + d_V^{\nabla^E} Q - d_V^{\nabla^E} R_2) \tilde{s}_1 = \Pi_0 Q \Pi_{d_V} s. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has $\Pi_0 R_3 \Pi_{d_V^*} = \Pi_0 Q \Pi_{d_V^*}$ and by considering the adjoint $\Pi_{d_V^*} R_2 \Pi_0 = \Pi_{d_V^*} Q \Pi_0$, and $\Pi_{d_V^*} R_4 \Pi_0 = \Pi_{d_V^*} Q \Pi_0$. It follows that

$$[Q + B, \Pi_0] = (\text{id} - \Pi_{d_V} - \Pi_{d_V^*}) Q \Pi_0 - \Pi_0 Q (\text{id} - \Pi_{d_V} - \Pi_{d_V^*}) = 0. \quad \square$$

In other words, regarding $[Q, \Pi_0]$ and $[B, \Pi_0]$ as kernels, one has

$$\|[Q, \Pi_0]\|_{\text{HS } m} = \|[B, \Pi_0]\|_{\text{HS } m},$$

provided the right hand side is finite. Hence, using elliptic regularity and the same arguments as Lemma 3.3, one can prove inductively that

$$\Pi_0(x, y, z) \in \bar{\Psi}_m^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E^\bullet), \quad \forall m.$$

Next, we recall the main result of [11]

Lemma 3.10. *For any $m = 0, 1, \dots$,*

- (1) *The heat operator $e^{-t\Delta}$, and the operators $\bar{\partial}_0 e^{-t\Delta}$, $\Delta e^{-t\Delta}$ map $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to itself as bounded operators. Moreover, there exist constants $C_m^0, C_m^1, C_m^2 > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\text{op } m} &\leq C_m^0, \\ \|\bar{\partial}_0 e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\text{op } m} &\leq t^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_m^1, \\ \|\Delta e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\text{op } m} &\leq t^{-1} C_m^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t > 0$.

- (2) *As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $e^{-t\Delta}$ strongly converges as an operator on $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$. Moreover, $(t, s) \mapsto e^{-t\Delta} s$ is a continuous map form $[0, \infty] \times \mathcal{W}^m(E)$ to $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$.*
- (3) *One has the Hodge decomposition*

$$\mathcal{W}^m(E) = \text{Ker}(\Delta) + \overline{\text{Rg}(\Delta)} = \text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}_0) + \overline{\text{Rg}(\bar{\partial}_0)},$$

where the kernel, image and closure are in $\mathcal{W}^m(E)$.

Remark that our case is slightly different from that of [11], where M is assumed to be compact (but with possibly non-compact fibers). However, the same arguments clearly apply because our M is of bounded geometry.

We recall more results in [11, Section 2].

Lemma 3.11. [11, Lemma 2.4] *For any $m \geq 0$, there exists constant $C_0^3 > 0$ such that*

$$\|[Q, e^{-t\Delta}]\|_{\text{op } m} \leq C_m^3.$$

Proof. Using the third equation of Lemma 3.8, Equation (18) becomes

$$[Q, e^{-t\Delta}] = \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta} \delta_0(B_1 - B_2) \delta_0 e^{-t'\Delta} dt' - \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta} (B_1 \Delta - \Delta B_2) e^{-t'\Delta} dt'.$$

Using Lemma 3.10, we estimate the first integral

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta} \delta_0(B_1 - B_2) \delta_0 e^{-t'\Delta} dt' \right\|_{\text{op } m} &\leq \|B_1 - B_2\|_{\text{op } m} (C_m^1)^2 \int_0^t \frac{dt'}{\sqrt{(t-t')t'}} \\ &= \|B_1 - B_2\|_{\text{op } m} (C_m^1)^2 \pi. \end{aligned}$$

As for the second integral, we split the domain of integration into $[0, \frac{t}{2}]$ and $[\frac{t}{2}, t]$, and then integrate by part to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta} (B_1 \Delta - \Delta B_2) e^{-t'\Delta} dt' &= \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-t')\Delta} \Delta (-B_1 - B_2) e^{-t'\Delta} dt' \\ &\quad - \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t e^{-(t-t')\Delta} (B_1 - B_2) \Delta e^{-t'\Delta} dt' \\ &\quad + e^{-(t-t')\Delta} B_1 e^{-t'\Delta} \Big|_{t'=0}^{\frac{t}{2}} - e^{-(t-t')\Delta} B_2 e^{-t'\Delta} \Big|_{t'=\frac{t}{2}}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Again using Lemma 3.8, its $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op } m}$ -norm is bounded by

$$C_m^0 C_m^1 (\|B_1\|_{\text{op } m} + \|B_2\|_{\text{op } m}) \left(\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{dt'}{t-t'} + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{dt'}{t'} \right) + C_m^0 (C_m^0 + 1) (\|B_1\|_{\text{op } m} + \|B_2\|_{\text{op } m}),$$

which is uniformly bounded because $\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{dt'}{t-t'} = \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \frac{dt'}{t'} = \log 2$. \square

Lemma 3.9 suggests that $[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta}]$ converges to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed, we shall prove a stronger result, namely, $[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta}]$ decay polynomially in the $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$ -norm for all m .

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose there exist $C_m, \gamma > 0$ such that $\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS } m} \leq C_m t^{-\gamma}$, then there exist $C'_m, \gamma_m > 0$ such that*

$$\|[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta}]\|_{\text{HS } m} = \|[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\text{HS } m} \leq C'_m t^{-\gamma_m}.$$

Proof. We follow the proof of [11, Lemma 2.6]. By Lemma 3.8, we get

$$[Q + B, \Delta] = (\Delta(B_1 + B_2) + \delta_0(B_1 - B_2) \delta_0)(\text{id} - \Pi_0),$$

it follows that

$$\Pi_0 [Q + B, e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}] = [Q + B, e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}] \Pi_0 = 0.$$

Write

$$[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta}] = [Q + B, e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}] e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} + e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} [Q + B, e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}]$$

$$=[Q + B, e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}](e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0) + (e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0)[Q + B, e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta}].$$

Taking $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$ and using Corollary 2.18 and Lemma 3.11, the claim follows. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Suppose $\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS } 0} \leq C_0 t^{-\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0, C_0 > 0$. Then for any m , there exists $C_m'' > 0$ such that*

$$\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS } m} \leq C_m'' t^{-\gamma}, \quad \forall t > 1.$$

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction. The case $m = 0$ is given. Suppose that for some m , $\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS } m} \leq C_m t^{-\gamma}$. Consider $\|e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS } m+1}$.

Since Q is a first order differential operator, for any kernel $\psi \in \Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E^\bullet)^G$, $[Q, \psi]$ is also a kernel lying in $\Psi_\infty^{-\infty}(M \times_B M, E^\bullet)^G$, that is in particular given by a composition of the covariant derivatives $\nabla^{\hat{E}_b}, \dot{\partial}^s, \dot{\partial}^t$ and some tensors acting on ψ . Since $\|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m}$ is by definition the $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } 0}$ norm of the m -th derivatives of ψ , elliptic regularity (Lemma 2.12) implies

$$\|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m+1} \leq \tilde{C}_m (\|\psi\|_{\text{HS } m} + \|\partial_0 \psi\|_{\text{HS } m} + \|\psi \partial_0\|_{\text{HS } m} + \|[Q, \psi]\|_{\text{HS } m}),$$

for some constant $\tilde{C}_m > 0$. Put $\psi = e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0$. The theorem then follows from the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_0(e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0)\|_{\text{HS } m} &= \|(e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0)\partial_0\|_{\text{HS } m} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq m} C'_{m,l} \|\partial_0(e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0)\|_{\text{op } l} \right) \|e^{-\frac{t}{2}\Delta} - \Pi_0\|_{\text{HS } m} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq m} C'_{m,l} C_l^1 \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) C_m \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{-\gamma}, \\ \|[Q, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\text{HS } m} &\leq \|[Q + B, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\text{HS } m} + \|[B, e^{-t\Delta} - \Pi_0]\|_{\text{HS } m} \\ &\leq C'_m t^{-\gamma} + 2 \left(\sum_{0 \leq l \leq m} C'_{m,l} \|B\|_{\text{op } l} \right) C_m t^{-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we used Lemma 3.12 for the last inequality. \square

4. SOBOLEV CONVERGENCE

In this section we will use the method of [1] to prove that under the condition of positivity of the Novikov-Shubin invariant the L^2 -analytic torsion form is a smooth form.

Let ∇^E be a flat connection on E . Define the number operators on $\wedge^\bullet H' \otimes \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E$ by

$$N_\Omega|_{\wedge^q H' \otimes \wedge^{q'} V' \otimes E} := q, \quad N|_{\wedge^q H' \otimes \wedge^{q'} V' \otimes E} := q'.$$

In this section, we consider the rescaled Bismut super-connection [3, Chapter 9.1]

$$\check{\partial}(t) := \frac{t^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} t^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} (d + d^*) t^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}} (d_V + d_V^*) + (\nabla^{E_b} + (\nabla^{E_b})') + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-\Lambda_{\Theta^*} + \iota_\Theta) \right).$$

Denote

$$D_0 := -\frac{1}{2} (d_V - d_V^*), \quad \Omega_t := -\frac{1}{2} ((\nabla^{E_b} - (\nabla^{E_b})') - \frac{t^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{2} (-\Lambda_{\Theta^*} - \iota_\Theta)), \quad D(t) := t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0 + \Omega_t.$$

The curvature of $\check{\partial}(t)$ can be expanded in the form:

$$\check{\partial}(t)^2 = -D(t)^2 = t\Delta + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\Omega_t D_0 + t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0\Omega_t + \Omega_t^2.$$

Hence as a consequence of Duhamel's expansion (cf. [3]), we have

$$e^{-\bar{\delta}(t)^2} = e^{D(t)^2} = e^{-t\Delta} + \sum_{n=1}^{\dim B} \int_{(r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \Sigma^n} e^{-r_0 t \Delta} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_t D_0 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0 \Omega_t + \Omega_t^2) e^{-r_1 t \Delta} \dots (t^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega_t D_0 + t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0 \Omega_t + \Omega_t^2) e^{-r_n t \Delta} d\Sigma^n,$$

where $\Sigma^n := \{(r_0, r_1, \dots, r_n) \in [0, 1]^{n+1} : r_0 + \dots + r_n = 1\}$.

4.1. The large time estimate of the rescaled heat operator. In this section, we follow [1, Section 4] to estimate the Hilbert-Schmit norms of $e^{-\bar{\delta}(t)^2}$ (see Theorem 4.4 below).

Let $\gamma' := 1 - (1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\dim B + 2 + 2\gamma})^{-1}$, $\bar{r}(t) := t^{-\gamma'}$. Fix \bar{t} such that $\bar{r}(\bar{t}) < (\dim B + 1)^{-1}$. One has the following counterparts of [1, Lemma 4.2]:

Lemma 4.1. *For $c = 0, 1, 2$, there exists a constant C_m such that*

$$\|(\sqrt{\bar{t}}\bar{\delta}_0)^{\frac{c}{2}} e^{rt(D_0)^2}\|_{\text{op}'m} \leq C_m r^{-\frac{c}{2}}, \text{ for any } t > \bar{t}, 0 < r < 1 \text{ (by Lemma 3.10);}$$

And for any $t > \bar{t}$, $\bar{r}(t) < r < 1$,

$$\|e^{rt(D_0)^2}\|_{\text{HS}m} \leq C_m (rt)^{-\gamma}, \quad (\text{by Theorem 3.13})$$

$$\|(\sqrt{\bar{t}}\bar{\delta}_0)^{\frac{c}{2}} e^{rt(D_0)^2}\|_{\text{HS}m} \leq C_m r^{-\frac{c}{2}} (rt)^{-\gamma}, \text{ if } c = 1, 2. \quad (\text{by Corollary 2.18})$$

We furthermore observe that the arguments leading to the main result [1, Theorem 4.1] still hold if one replaces the operator and $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ norm respectively by $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}'m}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}m}$ for any m .

The arguments in [1, Section 4] are elementary, so we shall only recall some key steps.

First, one splits the domain of integration $\Sigma^n = \bigcup_{I \neq \{0, \dots, n\}} \Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n$, where

$$\Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n := \{(r_0, \dots, r_n) : r_i \leq \bar{r}(t), \forall i \in I, r_j \geq \bar{r}(t), \forall j \notin I\}.$$

Define

(21)

$$K(t, n, I, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n) := \int_{\Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0)^{c_0} e^{-r_0 t \Delta} \prod_{i=1}^n (\Theta_t^{a_i} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0)^{c_i} e^{-r_i t \Delta}) d\Sigma^n,$$

for $c_i = 0, 1, 2$, $a_j = 1, 2$. Then one has

$$e^{-\bar{\delta}(t)^2} = e^{D(t)^2} = \sum K(t, n, I, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

by grouping terms involving D_0 together.

We shall consider the kernels $K(t, n, I, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, y, z)$ of the terms in the summation above. Consider the special case when $c_i = 0, 1$. One has the analogue of [1, Proposition 4.6]:

Lemma 4.2. *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that as $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$K(t, n, I, c_0, \dots, c_n, a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{n!} \Pi_0 \Omega^{a_1} \Pi_0 \dots \Pi_0)(x, y, z) + O(t^{-\varepsilon}) & \text{if } I = \emptyset, c_0, \dots, c_n = 0 \\ O(t^{-\varepsilon}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in the $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}m}$ -norm.

Proof. We first consider the case $I = \emptyset$. Suppose furthermore $c_q = 1$ for some q . By Corollary 2.18, The $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$ -norm of the integrand on the r.h.s. of (21) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0)^{c_0}e^{-r_0t\Delta}\|_{\text{op}'m} \cdots \|\Omega_t^{a_q}\|_{\text{op}'m} \|(t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0)e^{-r_qt\Delta}\|_{\text{HS } m} \cdots \|(t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0)^{c_n}e^{-r_nt\Delta}\|_{\text{op}'m} \\ & \leq C'_m r_0^{-\frac{c_0}{2}} \cdots r_q^{-\frac{c_q}{2}} (r_q t)^{-\gamma} \cdots r_n^{-\frac{c_n}{2}} \\ & \leq C'_m \bar{r}(t)^{-\frac{n}{2}-\gamma} t^{-\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating, we have the estimate

$$\|K(t, n, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, y, z)\|_{\text{HS } m} \leq C'_m t^{-\gamma+\gamma'(\frac{n}{2}+\gamma)} \int d\Sigma^n,$$

which is $O(t^{-\varepsilon})$ with $\varepsilon = \gamma(1 - \frac{\dim B + 2\gamma}{\dim B + 2 + 2\gamma})$.

Next, suppose $I = \emptyset$ and $c_i = 0$ for all i . Write $e^{-r_0t\Delta - \Pi_0} + \Pi_0$ and split the integrand

$$(e^{-r_0t\Delta}\Omega_t^{a_1}e^{-r_1t\Delta} \cdots e^{-r_nt\Delta})(x, y, z)$$

into 2^{n+1} terms. If any term contains a $e^{-r_it\Delta} - \Pi_0$ factor, similar arguments as above shows that it is $O(t^{-\gamma})$. Hence the only term that dose not converge to 0 is

$$(\Pi_0\Omega^{a_1}\Pi_0 \cdots \Pi_0)(x, y, z).$$

Since the volume of $\Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n$ converges to $\frac{1}{n!}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the claim follows.

It remains to consider the case when I is non-empty. Write $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$, $\{0, \dots, n\} \setminus I =: \{k_1, \dots, k_{s'}\} \neq \emptyset$. For t sufficiently large $I \neq \{0, \dots, n\}$. Suppose $c_q = 1$ for some $q \notin I$. Then we take $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$ -norm for $(t^{\frac{1}{2}}D_0)e^{-r_qt\Delta}$ term, and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K(t, n, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, y, z)\|_{\text{HS } m} \\ & \leq \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \cdots \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \left(\int_{\{(r_{k_1}, \dots, r_{k_{s'}}): (r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n\}} C'_m r_0^{-\frac{c_0}{2}} \cdots r_q^{-\frac{c_q}{2}} (r_q t)^{-\gamma} \cdots r_n^{-\frac{c_n}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. d(r_{k_1} \cdots r_{k_{s'}}) \right) dr_{i_1} \cdots dr_{i_s}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the $I = \emptyset$ case, the integral over $\{(r_{k_1}, \dots, r_{k_{s'}}) : (r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n\}$ is $O(t^{-\varepsilon})$; while $\int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} r_i^{\frac{c_i}{2}} dr_i = O(t^{-\gamma'(1-\frac{c_i}{2})})$. Again the claim is verified.

Finally if $c_i = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K(t, n, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, y, z)\|_{\text{HS } m} \\ & \leq \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \cdots \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \left(\int_{\{(r_{k_1}, \dots, r_{k_{s'}}): (r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n\}} C''_m r_0^{-\frac{c_{i_1}}{2}} \cdots r_n^{-\frac{c_{i_s}}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. d(r_{k_1} \cdots r_{k_{s'}}) \right) dr_{i_1} \cdots dr_{i_s} \\ & = O(t^{-\gamma'(1-\frac{c_i}{2})}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

One then turns to the case for some i , $c_i = 2$. If I and J are disjoint subsets of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ with $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$, and $\{0, \dots, n\} \setminus (I \cup J) =: \{k_0, \dots, k_q\} \neq \emptyset$, denote by

$$\Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I, J}^n := \{(r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n : r_j = \bar{r}(t), \text{ whenever } j \in J\},$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned}
& K(t, n, I, J, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n) \\
& := \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \cdots \int_0^{\bar{r}(t)} \int_{\{(r_{k_0}, \dots, r_{k_q}) : (r_0, \dots, r_n) \in \Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I}^n\}} \\
& \quad (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0)^{c_0} e^{-r_0 t \Delta} \prod_{i=1}^n (\Theta_t^{a_i} (t^{\frac{1}{2}} D_0)^{c_i} e^{-r_i t \Delta}) \Big|_{\Sigma_{\bar{r}(t), I, J}^n} d^q(r_{k_0}, \dots, r_{k_q}) dr_1 \cdots dr_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Using integration by parts, one gets [1, Equation (4.17)],

$$\begin{aligned}
& K(t, n, I \cup \{i_p\}, J; \dots, 2, \dots, c_{k_0}, \dots; \dots, a_{i_p}, a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \\
(22) \quad & = \begin{cases} \begin{aligned} & K(t, n, I, J \cup \{i_p\}; \dots, 0, \dots, c_{k_0}, \dots; \dots, a_{i_p}, a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \\ & - K(t, n-1, I, J; \dots, \dots, c_{k_0}, \dots; \dots, a_{i_0} + a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \\ & + K(t, n, I \cup \{i_p\}, J \cup \{k_0\}; \dots, 0, \dots, c_{k_0}, \dots; \dots, a_{i_p}, a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \\ & + K(t, n, I \cup \{i_p\}, J; \dots, 0, \dots, c_{k_0} + 2, \dots; \dots, a_{i_p}, a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \end{aligned} & q > 0, \\ \begin{aligned} & K(t, n, I, J \cup \{i_p\}; \dots, 0, \dots, c_{k_0}, \dots; \dots, a_{i_p}, a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \\ & - K(t, n-1, I, J; \dots, \dots, c_{k_0}, \dots; \dots, a_{i_0} + a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \\ & + K(t, n, I \cup \{i_p\}, J; \dots, 0, \dots, c_{k_0} + 2, \dots; \dots, a_{i_p}, a_{i_{p+1}}, \dots) \end{aligned} & q = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We remark that the proof of [1, Equation (4.17)] does not involve any norm, therefore we omit the details here.

Using Equation (22) repeatedly, one eliminates all terms with $c_i = 2$.

On the other hand one has the following straightforward generalization of Lemma 4.2 (compare with [1, Proposition 4.7]):

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose $c_i = 0, 1$. As $t \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
& K(t, n, I, J, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)(x, y, z) \\
& = \begin{cases} (\frac{1}{(n-|J|)!} \Pi_0 \Omega^{a_1} \Pi_0 \cdots \Pi_0)(x, y, z) + O(t^{-\gamma'}) & \text{if } I = \emptyset, c_0, \dots, c_n = 0 \\ O(t^{-\gamma}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\gamma' > 0$, in the $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$ -norm.

Thus the term $K(t, n, I, c_0, \dots, c_n; a_1, \dots, a_n)$ converges to 0 unless

$$c_i = 0 \text{ whenever } i \in I, \quad c_i = 2 \text{ whenever } i \notin I.$$

Then one follows exactly as [1, Section 4.5] to compute the limit, and concludes with the following analogue of [1, Theorem 4.1]:

Theorem 4.4. *For $k = 0, 1, 2$ and any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t)^k e^{-\delta(t)^2} (x, y, z) = \Pi_0 (\Omega \Pi_0)^k e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2} (x, y, z)$$

in the $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS } m}$ -norm, where $\Omega := -\frac{\nabla^{E_b} - (\nabla^{E_b})^*}{2}$. Moreover, there exists $\varepsilon' > 0$ such that as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|(D(t)^k e^{-\delta(t)^2} - \Pi_0 (\Omega \Pi_0)^k e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2})(x, y, z)\|_{\text{HS } m} = O(t^{-\varepsilon'}).$$

4.2. Application: the L^2 -analytic torsion form. Our main application of Theorem 4.4 is in establishing the smoothness and transgression formula of the L^2 -analytic torsion form. Here, we briefly recall the definitions.

On $\wedge^\bullet T^*M \otimes E \cong \wedge^\bullet H' \otimes \wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E$, define N_Ω, N to be the number operators of $\wedge^\bullet H' \cong \pi^{-1}(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$ and $\wedge^\bullet V'$ respectively.

Define

$$F^\wedge(t) := (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \operatorname{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}N(1 + 2D(t)^2)e^{-\bar{\partial}(t)^2}).$$

Then under the positivity of the Novikov-Shubin invariant, we have the following well-defined L^2 -analytic torsion form.

Definition 4.5. ([1])

$$\tau := \int_0^\infty \left\{ -F^\wedge(t) + \frac{\operatorname{str}_\Psi(N\Pi_0)}{2} + \left(\frac{\dim(Z) \operatorname{rk}(E) \operatorname{str}_\Psi(\Pi_0)}{4} - \frac{\operatorname{str}_\Psi(N\Pi_0)}{2} \right) (1-2t)e^{-t} \right\} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

In [1], it is only shown that the form τ is continuous. Next we will show that indeed the form τ is smooth.

Theorem 4.6. *The form τ is smooth, i.e. $\tau \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$.*

Proof. Using [3, Proposition 9.24], the derivatives of the t -integrand are bounded as $t \rightarrow 0$. It follows that its integral over $[0, 1]$ is smooth.

We turn to study the large time behavior. Consider $\operatorname{str}(2^{-1}N(e^{-\bar{\partial}(t)^2} - \Pi_0))$. Using the semi-group property, we can write

$$e^{-\bar{\partial}(t)^2} = 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} 2^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}.$$

Also, since $\operatorname{str}(N\Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^{2j}) = \operatorname{str}([N\Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0), \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^{2j-1}]) = 0$ for any $j \geq 1$ one has

$$\operatorname{str}(N\Pi_0) = \operatorname{str}(N\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}) = 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \operatorname{str}(N\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2} \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{str}(2^{-1}N(e^{-\bar{\partial}(t)^2} - \Pi_0)) &= 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \operatorname{str}(2^{-1}N(e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2} \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})) \\ &= 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \operatorname{str}(2^{-1}N e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} (e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})) \\ &\quad + 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \operatorname{str}(2^{-1}N(e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}) \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the $L^2(B)$ -norm of $\operatorname{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}N e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} (e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}))$. To shorten notations, denote $G := 2^{-1}N e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} (e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})$. Writing G as a convolution product, then there exists constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_B \left| \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \operatorname{str}(G(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z) \right|^2 \mu_B(x) \\ &= \int_B \left| \int_{Z_x} \chi \operatorname{str} \left(\frac{N}{2} \int_{y \in Z_x} e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x, z, y) (e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})(x, y, z) \mu_x(y) \right) \mu_x(z) \right|^2 \mu_B(x) \\ &\leq C_0 \int_B \left(\int_{Z_x} \chi \int_{y \in Z_x} |e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x, z, y)| |e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}(x, y, z)| \mu_x(y) \mu_x(z) \right)^2 \mu_B(x) \\ &\leq C_0 \|e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\text{HS}0}^2 \|e^{-\bar{\partial}(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}\|_{\text{HS}0}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality three times. Since $\|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\text{HS}0}$ is bounded for t large (by triangle inequality), the expression above is $O(t^{-\gamma'})$.

We turn to estimate its derivatives. For any vector field X on B ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{TB} \text{str}_\Psi(G) &= \int (L_{XH} \chi(x, z)) \text{str}(G(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z) \\ &\quad + \int \chi(x, z) (L_{XH}^{\nabla^{\pi^{-1}TB}} \text{str}(G(x, z, z))) \mu_x(z) \\ &\quad + \int \chi(x, z) \text{str}(G(x, z, z)) (L_{XH} \mu_x(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating under the integral sign is valid because we knew a-priori that the integrands are all L^1 . Since $L_{XH} \mu_x(z)$ equals $\mu_x(z)$ multiplied by some bounded functions, it follows that the last term $\int \chi(x, z) \text{str}(G(x, z, z)) (L_{XH} \mu_x(z))$ is $O(t^{-\gamma'})$.

For the first term, we write $L_{XH} \chi(x, z) = \sum_{g \in G} (g^* \chi)(x, z) (L_{XH} \chi)(x, z)$. The sum is finite because $L_{XH} \chi$ is compactly supported. By G -invariance,

$$\int (g^* \chi)(x, z) \text{str}(G(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z) = \int \chi(x, z) \text{str}(G(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z).$$

Since $(L_{XH} \chi)(x, z)$ is bounded, it follows that $\int (L_{XH} \chi)(x, z) \text{str}(G(x, z, z)) \mu_x(z)$ is also $O(t^{-\gamma'})$.

As for the second term, we differentiate under the integral sign, then use the Leibniz rule to get that there exists constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &|L_{XH}^{\nabla^{\pi^{-1}TB}} \text{str}(G(x, z, z))| \\ &\leq C_1 \left(\int_{Z_x} |L_{XH}^{\nabla^{\wedge \bullet H' \otimes \wedge \bullet V' \otimes \hat{E}}} e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x, z, y)| |e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2}(x, y, z)| \mu_x(y) \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{Z_x} |e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x, z, y)| |L_{XH}^{\nabla^{\wedge \bullet H' \otimes \wedge \bullet V' \otimes \hat{E}}} (e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2})(x, y, z)| \mu_x(y) \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{Z_x} |e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}(x, z, y)| |e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2}(x, y, z)| \sup |L_{XH} \mu| \mu_x(y) \right), \\ &\int_B \left| \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) (L_{XH}^{\nabla^{\pi^{-1}TB}} \text{str}(G(x, z, z))) \mu_x(z) \right|^2 \mu_B(x) \\ &\leq C_1 (\|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\text{HS}1}^2 \|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2}\|_{\text{HS}0}^2 \\ &\quad + \|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\text{HS}0}^2 \|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2}\|_{\text{HS}1}^2 \\ &\quad + \sup |L_{XH} \mu| \|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}\|_{\text{HS}1}^2 \|e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2}\|_{\text{HS}0}^2) \\ &= O(t^{-\gamma'}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly the above arguments can be repeated and one concludes that all Sobolev norms of $\text{str}_\Psi(G)$ are $O(t^{-\gamma'})$.

By exactly the same arguments, we have as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\text{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}N(e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2})\Pi_0 e^{(\Omega \Pi_0)^2}) = O(t^{-\gamma'}),$$

in all Sobolev norms.

As for $\text{str}_\Psi(2^{-1}N(D(t)^2e^{-\delta(t)^2}))$, one has $D(t)^2 = 2(2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}}D(\frac{t}{2})^22^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{str}_\Psi\left(\frac{N}{2}(D(t)^2e^{-\delta(t)^2})\right) &= 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \text{str}_\Psi\left(N(D(\frac{t}{2})^2e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^2e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\right) \\ &= 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \text{str}_\Psi\left(N(D(\frac{t}{2})^2e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - \Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^2e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2}\right) \\ &\quad - 2^{-\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \text{str}_\Psi\left(N\Pi_0(\Omega\Pi_0)^2e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2}(e^{-\delta(\frac{t}{2})^2} - e^{(\Omega\Pi_0)^2})\right), \end{aligned}$$

which is also $O(t^{-\gamma'})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ by similar arguments.

By the Sobolev embedding theorem (for the compact manifold B), it follows that

$$-F^\wedge(t) + \frac{\text{str}_\Psi(N\Pi_0)}{2} + \left(\frac{\dim(Z) \text{rk}(E) \text{str}_\Psi(\Pi_0)}{4} - \frac{\text{str}_\Psi(N\Pi_0)}{2}\right)(1-2t)e^{-t}$$

and its all derivatives are $O(t^{-\gamma'})$ uniformly.

Finally, since all derivatives of the t -integrand in Definition 4.5 are L^1 , derivatives of τ exist and equal differentiations under the t -integration sign. Hence we conclude that the torsion τ is smooth. \square

Remark 4.7. If Z is L^2 -acyclic and of determinant class (cf. [1, Def. 6.3]), the analogue of Remark 3.6 reads

$$\int_0^\infty \|e^{-t\Delta}\|_{\text{HS}0}^2 \frac{dt}{t} = \int_0^\infty \|e^{-t\Delta}\|_\tau \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

(note that $\Pi_0 = 0$ by hypothesis). Unlike having positive Novikov-Shubin invariant, the heat operator is not of determinant class in $\|\cdot\|_{\text{HS}0}$.

Given a power series $f(x) = \sum a_j x^j$. For clarity, let h be the metric on $\wedge^\bullet V \otimes E$ and we denote

$$f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h) := \text{str} \left(\sum_j a_j \left(\frac{1}{2} (\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E} - (\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E})^*) \right)^j \right) \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*M),$$

$$f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h)_{H^\bullet(Z,E)} := \text{str}_\Psi \left(\sum_j a_j \left(\frac{1}{2} \Pi_0 (\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_b \otimes E_b} - (\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_b \otimes E_b})^*) \Pi_0 \right)^j \right) \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B).$$

Note that the summations are only up to $\dim M$.

Let TZ be the vertical tangent bundle of the fiber bundle $M \rightarrow B$ and recall that we have chosen a splitting of TM and defined a Riemannian metric on TM . Let P^{TZ} denote the projection from TM to TZ . Let ∇^{TM} be the corresponding Levi-Civita connection on TM and define $\nabla^{TZ} = P^{TZ} \nabla^{TM} P^{TZ}$, a connection on TZ . The restriction of ∇^{TZ} to a fiber coincides with the Levi-Civita connection of the fiber. Let R^{TZ} be the curvature of ∇^{TZ} .

For N even, let $\text{Pf} : \mathfrak{so}(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the Pfaffian and put

$$(23) \quad e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}) := \begin{cases} \text{Pf} \left[\frac{R^{TZ}}{2\pi} \right] & \text{if } \dim(Z) \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \dim(Z) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

A classical argument [4, 13, 1] then gives:

Corollary 4.8. *If $\dim Z = 2n$ is even one has the transgression formula*

$$d\tau(x) = \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}) f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}) - f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E})_{H^\bullet(Z,E)},$$

with $f(x) = xe^{x^2}$.

Now let h_l be a family of G -invariant metrics on $\wedge^\bullet V \otimes E$, $l \in [0, 1]$. Define

$$\tilde{f}(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h_l) := \int_0^1 (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{\frac{N_\Omega}{2}} \operatorname{str} \left((h_l)^{-1} \frac{dh_l}{dl} f'(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h_l) \right) dl,$$

and similarly for $\tilde{f}(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h_l)_{H^\bullet(Z, E)}$. Note that $f'(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h_l)$ uses the adjoint connection with respect to h_l .

Let $\hat{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 0}, \nabla^{TZ, 1}) \in Q^M/Q^{M, 0}$ (cf. [4]) be the secondary class associated to the Euler class. Its representatives are forms of degree $\dim(Z) - 1$ such that

$$(24) \quad d\hat{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 0}, \nabla^{TZ, 1}) = e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 1}) - e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 0}).$$

If $\dim(Z)$ is odd, we take $\hat{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 0}, \nabla^{TZ, 1})$ to be zero.

One has an anomaly formula [4, Theorem 3.24].

Lemma 4.9. *Modulo exact forms*

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_1 - \tau_0 = & \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) \hat{e}(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 0}, \nabla^{TZ, 1}) f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h_0) \\ & + \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ, 1}) \tilde{f}(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}, h_l) - \tilde{f}(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_b \otimes E_b}, h_l)_{H^\bullet(Z, E)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the degree-0 part of Equation (25) is the anomaly formula for the L^2 -Ray-Singer analytic torsion, which is a special case of [20, Theorem 3.4].

Remark 4.10. Let $Z_0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow B$ be a fiber bundle with compact fiber Z_0 , $Z \rightarrow M \rightarrow B$ be the normal covering of the fiber bundle $Z_0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow B$. Then one can define the Bismut-Lott and L^2 -analytic torsion form $\tau_{M_0 \rightarrow B}, \tau_{M \rightarrow B} \in \Gamma^\infty(\wedge^\bullet T^*B)$, and one has the respective transgression formulas

$$\begin{aligned} d\tau_{M_0 \rightarrow B} &= \int_{\pi_0^{-1}(x)} e(TZ_0, \nabla^{TZ_0}) f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_0 \otimes E_0}) - f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V'_0 \otimes E_0})_{H^\bullet(Z_0, E_0)} \\ d\tau_{M \rightarrow B} &= \int_{Z_x} \chi(x, z) e(TZ, \nabla^{TZ}) f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E}) - f(\nabla^{\wedge^\bullet V' \otimes E})_{H^\bullet(Z, E)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose further that the DeRham cohomologies are trivial:

$$H^\bullet(Z_0, E|_{Z_0}) = H^\bullet_{L^2}(Z, E|_Z) = \{0\}.$$

Then $d(\tau_{M \rightarrow B} - \tau_{M_0 \rightarrow B}) = 0$. Hence $\tau_{M \rightarrow B} - \tau_{M_0 \rightarrow B}$ defines some class in the DeRham cohomology of B . We also remark that this form was also mentioned in [1, Remark 7.5], as a weakly closed form.

Remark 4.11. In our preprint [19], we extended the methods in this paper to the case when B/G is not a manifold. Regarding B/G as a non-commutative space, in [19] we defined the non-commutative analytic torsion form and got a non-commutative Riemannian-Roch-Grothendieck theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Azzali, S. Goette, and T. Schick, Large time limit and L^2 local index for families. *J. Non-commu. Geom.*, 9 (2015), no. 2, 621-664.
- [2] J. M. Bismut, The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.*, 83 (1986), 91-151.

- [3] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [4] J.M. Bismut and J. Lott, Flat bundles, direct images and higher real analytic torsion. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1995), no. 2, 291-363.
- [5] J. M. Bismut, X. Ma and W. Zhang, Asymptotic torsion and Toeplitz operators. *Journal of Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 2015. doi: 10.1017/S1474748015000171.
- [6] A. Carey and V. Mathai, L^2 -torsion invariants. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 110 (1992), 377-409.
- [7] D. Gong and M. Rothenberg, Analytic torsion forms on non-compact fiber bundles. preprint http://webdoc.sub.gwdg.de/ebook/serien/e/mpi_mathematik/1997/105.ps, 1996.
- [8] A. Gorokhosky and J. Lott, Local index theory over foliation groupoids. *Adv. Math.*, 244 (2007), no. 4, 351-386.
- [9] J.L. Heitsch, Bismut super-connections and the Chern character for Dirac operators on foliated manifolds. *K-Theory*, 9 (1995), 507-528.
- [10] J.L. Heitsch and C. Lazarov, Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck and torsion for foliations. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 12 (2003), no. 3, 437-468.
- [11] J.A. Alvarez Lopez and Y.A. Kordyukov, Long time behavior of leafwise heat flow for Riemannian foliations. *Compositio Math.*, 125 (2001), no. 2, 129-153.
- [12] J. Lott, Heat kernels on covering spaces and topological invariants. *J. Differential Geom.*, 35 (1992), 471-510.
- [13] X. Ma and W. Zhang, Eta-invariants, torsion forms and flat vector bundles. *Math. Ann.*, 340 (2008), 569-624.
- [14] V. Mathai, L^2 -analytic torsion. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 107 (1992), 369-386.
- [15] J. Milnor, Whitehead torsion. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1996), 358-426.
- [16] V. Nistor, A. Weinstein, and P. Xu, Pseudodifferential operators on differential groupoids. *Pacific J. Math.*, 189 (1999), no. 1, 117-152.
- [17] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds. *Adv. Math.*, 7 (1971), 145-210.
- [18] M. A. Shubin, Spectra of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds. In *Methods semiclassiques Vol 1*, volume 207 of *Astérisque*, pages 35-108, 1992.
- [19] B. K. So and G. Su, Non-commutative analytic torsion form for the transform groupoid. *Preprint*, 2016.
- [20] W. Zhang, An extended Cheeger-Müller theorem for covering spaces. *Topology*, **44**:1093-1131, 2005.