

Spectral rigidity for primitive elements of F_N

Camille Horbez

July 31, 2018

Abstract

Two trees in the boundary of outer space are said to be *primitive-equivalent* whenever their translation length functions are equal in restriction to the set of primitive elements of F_N . We give an explicit description of this equivalence relation, showing in particular that it is nontrivial. This question is motivated by our description of the horoboundary of outer space for the Lipschitz metric in [18]. Along the proof, we extend a theorem due to White about the Lipschitz metric on outer space to trees in the boundary, showing that the infimal Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map between the metric completion of any two minimal, very small F_N -trees is equal to the supremal ratio between the translation lengths of the elements of F_N in these trees. We also provide approximation results for trees in the boundary of outer space.

Introduction

Outer space CV_N was introduced by Culler and Vogtmann in [10] with a view to studying the group $\text{Out}(F_N)$ of outer automorphisms of a finitely generated free group. The space CV_N (or its unprojectivized version cv_N) is the space of equivariant homothety (isometry) classes of simplicial free, minimal, isometric actions of F_N on simplicial metric trees. The *translation length* of an element g of a group G acting on an \mathbb{R} -tree T is defined as $\|g\|_T := \inf_{x \in T} d_T(x, gx)$. Translation lengths provide an embedding of cv_N into \mathbb{R}^{F_N} , whose image has projectively compact closure, as was proved by Culler and Morgan [9]. This compactification $\overline{CV_N}$ of outer space was described by Cohen and Lustig [7] and Bestvina and Feighn [3] as the space of homothety classes of minimal, very small actions of F_N on \mathbb{R} -trees.

Instead of considering the translation lengths of all elements of F_N , one might only look at the subset \mathcal{P}_N of primitive elements of F_N , i.e. those elements that belong to some free basis of F_N , and get another compactification of CV_N as a subspace of $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}_N}$, which we call the *primitive compactification* of outer space. Our original motivation for describing this alternative compactification comes from the desire to get a description of the horoboundary of outer space with respect to the so-called Lipschitz metric on CV_N , whose systematic study was initiated by Francaviglia and Martino in [12] (the distance between $T, T' \in CV_N$

is defined as the logarithm of the infimal Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map from the covolume 1 representative of T to the covolume 1 representative of T'). This in turn is motivated by the question of describing the behaviour of random walks on $\text{Out}(F_N)$: in [18], we derive an Oseledets-like theorem about possible growth rates of elements of F_N under iteration of random automorphisms of the free group from a description of the horoboundary of outer space. It turns out that the horocompactification of outer space is isomorphic to the primitive compactification [18].

Describing the primitive compactification of outer space requires understanding the lack of rigidity of the set \mathcal{P}_N in $\overline{cv_N}$, i.e. giving a description of the equivalence relation that identifies $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ whenever their translation length functions are equal in restriction to \mathcal{P}_N . This question may also be considered of independent interest, as part of a much wider class of problems arising in several contexts. The *marked length spectrum rigidity conjecture* is still open for Riemannian manifolds : it is not known whether the isometry type of a negatively curved closed Riemannian manifold M is determined by the length of the geodesic representatives of the free homotopy classes of curves in M (this was proven to be true in the case of surfaces by both Croke [8] and Otal [27]). Culler and Morgan's result states that an analogue of the marked length spectrum rigidity conjecture holds for free groups. It is then natural to ask, given a subset $S \subseteq F_N$, whether it is spectrally rigid in cv_N (or in the closure $\overline{cv_N}$), i.e. whether the restriction to S of the marked length spectrum of a tree $T \in cv_N$ (or $T \in \overline{cv_N}$) determines T up to F_N -equivariant isometry. Several results have already been obtained for some classes of subsets of F_N . Smillie and Vogtmann have shown that no finite subset of F_N is spectrally rigid in $\overline{cv_N}$ for $N \geq 3$ [34]. Kapovich has proved that almost every trajectory of the simple nonbacktracking random walk on F_N with respect to any free basis yields a subset of F_N that is spectrally rigid in cv_N [21]. Ray has proved that for all $\phi \in \text{Aut}(F_N)$ and all $g \in F_N$, the ϕ -orbit of g is not spectrally rigid in cv_N [31]. Finally, Carette, Francaviglia, Kapovich and Martino have shown that the set \mathcal{P}_N (and more generally the H -orbit of any $g \in F_N$ for $N \geq 3$, where H is any subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F_N)$ that projects to a nontrivial normal subgroup of $\text{Out}(F_N)$) is spectrally rigid in cv_N [6], and they raise the question of whether \mathcal{P}_N is spectrally rigid in $\overline{cv_N}$ for $N \geq 3$ (for $N = 2$, they provide a counterexample, attributed to Tao).

An element of F_N is *simple* if it belongs to some proper free factor of F_N . One can define another equivalence relation on $\overline{cv_N}$, by saying that two trees are *simple-equivalent* if they have the same translation length functions in restriction to the set of *simple* elements of F_N . One easily checks that this equivalence relation is the same as the one define above using primitive elements (this is the content of Proposition 2.1 of the present paper), and it turns out that it is sometimes easier to work with the collection of simple elements of F_N rather than primitive elements in our arguments.

Generalizing Tao's counterexample to higher ranks, we show that the set \mathcal{P}_N is not spectrally rigid in $\overline{cv_N}$, yet we also show that this class of examples is the only obstruction to spectral rigidity of \mathcal{P}_N in $\overline{cv_N}$. Our construction is the following. Let T_0 be a (non necessarily minimal) F_{N-1} -tree in which some point is fixed by an element of F_{N-1} not contained in any proper free factor (the simplest example is to consider a tree T_0 reduced to a point, but one can also find more elaborated simplicial examples, as well as nonsimplicial examples by considering trees dual to a measured foliation on a surface with one single boundary component). Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ be a tree given by a graph of actions having T_0 as its only vertex tree, and having a single edge e with trivial edge group. Two trees are said to




Figure 1: The trees T_1 and T_2 are *special-pull-equivalent* if w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 do not belong to any proper free factor of F_{N-1} .

be *special-pull-equivalent* if they are both obtained from such a tree by partially or totally folding the edge e from one or both of its extremities along translates of the form ge , where $g \in F_{N-1}$ does not belong to any proper free factor of F_{N-1} , see Figure 1, see also Section 2.2 for precise definitions. The lack of spectral rigidity of the set \mathcal{P}_N in $\overline{cv_N}$ is precisely given by this equivalence relation on trees.

Theorem 0.1. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- For all $g \in \mathcal{P}_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$.
- For all simple elements $g \in F_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$.
- The trees T and T' are *special-pull-equivalent*.

Carette, Francaviglia, Kapovich and Martino's result, which states that the set of primitive elements is spectrally rigid in cv_N , is derived from Francaviglia and Martino's work [12] about extremal Lipschitz distortion between trees $T, T' \in cv_N$. The key point, due to White, is that the minimal Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map from T to T' is also equal to $\Lambda(T, T') := \sup_{g \in F_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}$, and this supremum is achieved on a finite set of primitive elements called *candidates*, represented in the quotient graph T/F_N by a special class of loops.

In order to study rigidity of \mathcal{P}_N in $\overline{cv_N}$, we extend White's result to trees in the boundary of the unprojectivized outer space. Interested in the metric completion of outer space, Algom-Kfir extended it to the case where T is a simplicial tree (possibly with nontrivial stabilizers) [2, Proposition 4.5]. We generalize it to arbitrary trees in $\overline{cv_N}$, thus answering Algom-Kfir's question [2, Question 4.6]. Given $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we define $\text{Lip}(T, T')$ to be the infimum of a Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$, where $\overline{T'}$ denotes the metric completion of T' , if such a map exists, and $\text{Lip}(T, T') = +\infty$ otherwise. We

define $\Lambda(T, T') := \sup_{g \in F_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}$ (where we take the conventions $\frac{0}{0} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{0} = +\infty$). We prove equality between these two notions of stretching.

Theorem 0.2. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we have $Lip(T, T') = \Lambda(T, T')$.*

Our proof of Theorem 0.2 relies on a structure theory of trees in the boundary of outer space. Levitt showed in [23] that any tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ splits as a graph of actions whose vertex trees have dense orbits (they can be reduced to a point). The case of trees with dense orbits, considered in Section 5, relies on two side results that provide us some approximation techniques. The first of these results extends work by Bestvina and Feighn [3] and Guirardel [16], and gives a way of approximating trees with dense orbits by free actions on simplicial metric trees.

Theorem 0.3. *For all $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- *There exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of trees in cv_N converging to T , such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T$.*
- *All arc stabilizers in T are trivial.*

The second side result we use enables us to build Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps between trees in $\overline{cv_N}$ by a limiting process.

Theorem 0.4. *Let T and T' be two very small F_N -trees, let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $(T'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) be a sequence of trees converging to T (resp. T') in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology, and let $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers, satisfying $M := \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M_n < +\infty$. Assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an M_n -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T'_n$. Then there exists an M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow T'$.*

The rest of our proof of Theorem 0.2, which is carried out in Section 6, consists in extending the standard techniques in the proof of White's theorem to be able to deal with graphs of actions.

We also extend the notion of candidates to trees in the boundary of outer space. However, the supremum in the definition of $\Lambda(T, T')$ can no longer be taken over the set \mathcal{P}_N in general. This property nevertheless holds true for trees that contain at least two orbits of edges with trivial stabilizer in their simplicial part. Further building on the work of Bestvina and Feighn [3] and Guirardel [16], we obtain an approximation result by such trees, which is used in Section 7 to derive Theorem 0.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we review basic facts about outer space and \mathbb{R} -trees. In Section 2, we prove that two trees in $\overline{cv_N}$ are primitive-equivalent if and only if they are simple-equivalent (Section 2.1). We also give (and discuss) the precise definition of the special-pull-equivalence relation (Section 2.2), and we show that special-pull-equivalent trees are simple-equivalent, using the Whitehead algorithm (Section 2.3). We also define the primitive compactification of outer space (Section 2.4). Section 3 is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 0.3, by using techniques of approximations by geometric trees. We also prove an approximation result by trees having at least two orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers (Theorem 3.11). Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 0.4. In the next two sections, we prove our extension of White's theorem to trees in $\overline{cv_N}$. The case

of trees with dense orbits is treated in Section 5, where we also prove that simple-equivalent trees with dense orbits are equal. We complete the proof of Theorem 0.2 in Section 6. We also generalize the notion of candidates (Section 6.5), and give more precise statements in the case of trees that have two distinct orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers in their simplicial parts (Section 6.6). In Section 7, we complete the proof of Theorem 0.1, by proving that simple-equivalent trees are special-pull-equivalent.

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank my advisor Vincent Guirardel for his helpful advice, and his patience in reading through first drafts of the present paper and suggesting many improvements and simplifications.

1 Outer space and its closure

We start by fixing a few notations and recalling standard facts about outer space and F_N -actions on \mathbb{R} -trees in its closure.

1.1 Outer space and its closure

Outer space CV_N was defined by Culler and Vogtmann in [10] to be the space of simplicial, free, minimal, isometric actions of F_N on simplicial metric trees, up to equivariant homothety (an action of F_N on a tree is said to be *minimal* if there is no proper invariant subtree). We denote by cv_N the *unprojectivized outer space*, in which trees are considered up to isometry, instead of homothety. The reader is referred to [37] for an excellent survey and reference article about outer space.

An \mathbb{R} -tree is a metric space (T, d_T) in which any two points x and y are joined by a unique arc, which is isometric to a segment of length $d_T(x, y)$ (the reader is referred to [9] for an introduction to \mathbb{R} -trees). Let T be an F_N -tree, i.e. an \mathbb{R} -tree equipped with an isometric action of F_N . For $g \in F_N$, the *translation length* of g in T is defined to be

$$\|g\|_T := \inf_{x \in T} d_T(x, gx).$$

Culler and Morgan have shown in [9, Theorem 3.7] that the map

$$\begin{aligned} i : cv_N &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{F_N} \\ T &\mapsto (\|g\|_T)_{g \in F_N} \end{aligned}$$

is injective, and actually a homeomorphism onto its image. More precisely, the following holds.

Theorem 1.1. *(Culler-Morgan [9, Theorem 3.7]) Let T, T' be two minimal F_N -trees. If $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$ for all $g \in F_N$, then there is a unique F_N -equivariant isometry from T to T' .*

Taking the quotient by equivariant homotheties, we get an embedding of CV_N into the projective space $\mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^{F_N}$, whose image has compact closure $\overline{CV_N}$ [9, Theorem 4.5]. Hence $\overline{CV_N}$ is a compactification of CV_N . Bestvina and Feighn [3], extending results by Cohen and Lustig [7], have identified the compactification $\overline{CV_N}$ as the space of homothety classes

of minimal, *very small* F_N -trees, i.e. trees with trivial or maximally cyclic arc stabilizers and trivial tripod stabilizers. We also denote by $\overline{cv_N}$ the lift of $\overline{CV_N}$ to \mathbb{R}^{F_N} . We call the topology induced by this embedding on each of the spaces $CV_N, \overline{CV_N}, cv_N$ and $\overline{cv_N}$ the *axes topology*, it is equivalent to the *weak topology* on CV_N introduced by Culler and Vogtmann in [10].

1.2 A metric on outer space

There is a natural asymmetric metric on outer space, whose systematic study was initiated by Francaviglia and Martino in [12] : given $T, T' \in cv_N$, the distance $d(T, T')$ is defined as the logarithm of the infimal Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map from T to T' (see also [2, Section 2.4]). An easy Arzelà-Ascoli argument shows that this infimal Lipschitz constant is actually achieved [12, Lemma 3.4]. This defines a topology on outer space, which is equivalent to the usual one [12, Theorems 4.11 and 4.18]. An element $g \in F_N$ is a *candidate* in T if it is represented in the quotient graph $X := T/F_N$ by a loop which is either

- an embedded circle in X , or
- an embedded bouquet of two circles in X , i.e. $\gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are embedded circles in X which meet in a single point, or
- a barbell graph, i.e. $\gamma = \gamma_1\eta\gamma_2\bar{\eta}$, where γ_1 and γ_2 are embedded circles in X that do not meet, and η is an embedded path in X that meets γ_1 and γ_2 only at their origin (and $\bar{\eta}$ denotes the path η crossed in the opposite direction). We call η the *central path* of γ .

The following result, due to White, gives an alternative description of the metric on outer space. A proof can be found in [12, Proposition 3.15], it was simplified by Algom-Kfir in [1, Proposition 2.3].

Theorem 1.2. (White, see [12, Proposition 3.15] or [1, Proposition 2.3]) For all F_N -trees $T, T' \in CV_N$, we have

$$d(T, T') = \log \sup_{g \in F_N \setminus \{e\}} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

Furthermore, the supremum is achieved for an element $g \in F_N$ which is a candidate in $X := T/F_N$.

Notice in particular that candidates in X are *primitive* elements of F_N , i.e. they belong to some free basis of F_N (see Lemma 1.12, for instance). White's theorem has been extended by Algom-Kfir to the case of two trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ when T is assumed to be simplicial (in [2, Proposition 4.5], Algom-Kfir states her result when T' is a tree in the metric completion of outer space, but it actually holds true with the same proof for all trees $T' \in \overline{cv_N}$). We denote by $Lip(T, T')$ the infimal Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map from T to T' .

Theorem 1.3. (Algom-Kfir [2, Proposition 4.5]) Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. If T is simplicial, then

$$Lip(T, T') = \sup_{g \in F_N \setminus \{e\}} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

Furthermore, the supremum is achieved for an element $g \in F_N$ which is a candidate in $X := T/F_N$.

1.3 Decomposing actions in \overline{CV}_N

We now recall a result due to Levitt [23] which allows to decompose any F_N -tree into simpler actions. The reader is referred to [33] for an introduction to graphs of groups and related terminology. An F_N -graph of actions consists of

- a marked metric graph of groups, whose edges all have positive length, with fundamental group F_N , with vertex groups G_v , edge groups G_e , and for every oriented edge e with terminal vertex $t(e)$, an injective morphism $i_e : G_e \rightarrow G_{t(e)}$, and
- an isometric action of every vertex group G_v on an \mathbb{R} -tree T_v (possibly reduced to a point), and
- a point $p_e \in T_{t(e)}$ fixed by $i_e(G_e) \subseteq G_{t(e)}$ for every oriented edge e .

Associated to any F_N -graph of actions \mathcal{G} is an F_N -tree $T(\mathcal{G})$. Informally, the tree $T(\mathcal{G})$ is obtained from the Bass-Serre tree of the underlying graph of groups by equivariantly attaching the vertex trees T_v at the vertices v , an incoming edge being attached to T_v at the prescribed attaching point. The reader is referred to [16, Proposition 3.1] for a precise description of the tree $T(\mathcal{G})$. We say that an F_N -tree T splits as a graph of actions if there exists a graph of actions \mathcal{G} such that $T = T(\mathcal{G})$. An F_N -tree T has dense orbits if the F_N -orbit of one (and hence every) point of T is dense in T .

Theorem 1.4. (Levitt [23, Theorem 5]) *Every $T \in \overline{cv}_N$ splits uniquely as a graph of actions with vertex trees having dense orbits (possibly reduced to a point).*

We denote by T^{simpl} the corresponding simplicial tree, obtained by collapsing all the vertex trees to points. An edge in T is a segment in the simplicial part of T that projects to an edge in T^{simpl} .

1.4 Trees with dense orbits

In this head, we collect a few facts about F_N -trees with dense orbits.

Lemma 1.5. (Bestvina-Feighn [3, Remark 1.9], Gaboriau-Levitt [13, Proposition I.10], Sela [32, Proposition 1.4], Levitt-Lustig [24, Lemma 4.2]) *Every very small F_N -tree with dense orbits has trivial arc stabilizers.*

Given a tree $T \in \overline{cv}_N$, a subset $X \subseteq T$, and $M \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}_M(X)$ the M -neighborhood of X in T . The bridge between two closed subtrees $X, Y \subseteq T$ which do not intersect is the unique segment in T which meets $X \cup Y$ only at its endpoints. Given a closed subtree $X \subseteq T$ and $x \in T$, we denote by $\pi_X(x)$ the closest point projection of x to the subtree X .

Lemma 1.6. *Let T be an \mathbb{R} -tree, let $X, Y \subseteq T$ be closed subtrees, and let $M \in \mathbb{R}$. If $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{N}_M(X) \cap \mathcal{N}_M(Y) = \mathcal{N}_M(X \cap Y)$. If $X \cap Y = \emptyset$, then for any point y in the bridge between X and Y in T , we have $\mathcal{N}_M(X) \cap \mathcal{N}_M(Y) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_M(\{y\})$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{N}_M(X) \cap \mathcal{N}_M(Y)$. Let J denote the subtree $X \cap Y$, or the bridge between X and Y in case $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Assume that $\pi_X(x) \notin J$. Then one checks that x and $\pi_X(x)$ must belong to the same component of $T \setminus J$, and hence that $\pi_Y(x) \in J$. So either $\pi_X(x) \in J$, or $\pi_Y(x) \in J$, and the claim follows. \square

In the following statement, notice that whenever $T \in \overline{\text{cv}}_N$ is a tree with dense orbits, then the F_N -action on T uniquely extends to an isometric action on its metric completion \overline{T} , and \overline{T} again has dense orbits. Recall that an F_N -tree is *minimal* if it contains no proper F_N -invariant subtree. An F_N -tree T which is not minimal has a unique minimal proper F_N -invariant subtree T^{\min} , which is also the union of all axes of hyperbolic elements in T . In particular, for all $g \in F_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T^{\min}}$. When T has dense orbits, we have $T^{\min} \subseteq T \subseteq \overline{T^{\min}}$, since the orbit of any point $x \in T^{\min}$ is dense in T and contained in T^{\min} . For all F_N -trees T and all $g \in F_N$, either $\|g\|_T = 0$ (we say that g is *elliptic* in T), and in this case g has a fixed point in T , or $\|g\|_T > 0$ (then g is said to be *hyperbolic* in T), and in this case g has an axis in T , i.e. there exists a subspace of T homeomorphic to the real line on which g acts by translation, with translation length $\|g\|_T$. In both cases, we define the *characteristic set* of g to be $C_T(g) := \{x \in T \mid d(x, gx) = \|g\|_T\}$ (see [9, 1.3] for a description of the action of elements of F_N on F_N -trees).

Proposition 1.7. *Let T, T' be very small F_N -trees with dense orbits. Then there exists at most one Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T to $\overline{T'}$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that for all $M \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists at most one M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T to $\overline{T'}$, so we fix $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x \in T$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. We claim that we can find a subset $X_\epsilon \subset \overline{T'}$ whose diameter is bounded above by $3M\epsilon$, with the property that $f(x) \in X_\epsilon$ for all M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$. Indeed, let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be M -Lipschitz and F_N -equivariant. As T has dense orbits, there exists $g \in F_N$ such that $d_T(x, gx) \leq \epsilon$, and the set of all such elements of F_N is not contained in any cyclic subgroup of F_N . As f is M -Lipschitz and F_N -equivariant, any such $g \in F_N$ satisfies $d_{\overline{T'}}(f(x), gf(x)) \leq M\epsilon$. We also have $d_{\overline{T'}}(f(x), gf(x)) = \|g\|_{\overline{T'}} + 2d_{\overline{T'}}(f(x), C_{\overline{T'}}(g))$ (see [9, 1.3]), so $f(x) \in \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g))$ and $\|g\|_{\overline{T'}} \leq M\epsilon$. Let $g, g' \in F_N$ be two elements satisfying $d_T(x, gx) \leq \epsilon$ and $d_T(x, g'x) \leq \epsilon$, which do not generate a cyclic subgroup of F_N (in particular, the commutator $[g, g']$ is nontrivial). We have $f(x) \in \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g'))$. As $\overline{T'}$ is very small and has dense orbits, it follows from Lemma 1.5 that the commutator $[g, g']$ does not fix any arc in $\overline{T'}$, so $C_{\overline{T'}}(g) \cap C_{\overline{T'}}(g')$ is a (possibly empty) segment of length at most $2M\epsilon$ (see [9, 1.10]). By Lemma 1.6, the set $\mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g')) = \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g) \cap C_{\overline{T'}}(g'))$ has diameter at most $3M\epsilon$. We set $X_\epsilon := \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{M\epsilon}(C_{\overline{T'}}(g'))$.

If $f, f' : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ are two M -Lipschitz, F_N -equivariant maps, then for all $\epsilon > 0$, we have $f(x), f'(x) \in X_\epsilon$, hence $d_{\overline{T'}}(f(x), f'(x)) \leq 3M\epsilon$. This implies that $f(x) = f'(x)$. As this is true for all $x \in T$, we get that $f = f'$. \square

1.5 Morphisms between F_N -trees

A *morphism* between two \mathbb{R} -trees T and T' is a map $f : T \rightarrow T'$ such that every segment $J \subset T$ can be subdivided into finitely many subsegments, in restriction to which f is an isometry (in particular, any morphism between two \mathbb{R} -trees is 1-Lipschitz). We say that two arcs in T are *folded* by f if they have initial subsegments whose f -images are equal.

Let T be an F_N -tree containing an edge e with trivial stabilizer. A U -turn over e is a pair of distinct adjacent edges in T of the form (e, ge) , where g belongs to the stabilizer of one of the extremities v of e and is not a proper power, such that either the stabilizer of the image of v in T^{simpl} has rank at least 2, or v does not project to a valence one vertex of the quotient graph of actions. In the following lemmas, we collect a few facts about F_N -equivariant morphisms between F_N -trees.

Lemma 1.8. *Let T and T' be two very small F_N -trees. If T' has trivial arc stabilizers, then an F_N -equivariant morphism from T to T' cannot fold any U -turn in T .*

Proof. Assume that an F_N -equivariant morphism $f : T \rightarrow T'$ folds a U -turn (e, e') in T . Then there exists an initial segment I of e such that $f(I)$ has nontrivial stabilizer. The hypothesis made on T' implies that $f(I)$ is a point, contradicting the definition of a morphism. \square

Lemma 1.9. *Let T and T' be two very small F_N -trees. An F_N -equivariant morphism from T to T' cannot identify nontrivial initial segments of edges in T having distinct nontrivial stabilizers. It cannot either identify a nontrivial initial segment of an edge in T with nontrivial stabilizer with one of its translates.*

Proof. Otherwise, as edge stabilizers in T are maximally cyclic, the stabilizer of the image of these segments would have rank at least 2. As T' is very small, this image would be a point, contradicting the definition of a morphism. \square

Lemma 1.10. *Let T and T' be two very small F_N -trees. An F_N -equivariant morphism from T to T' cannot identify a nontrivial initial segment of an edge in T with nontrivial stabilizer with an arc lying in a vertex tree of T with dense orbits.*

Proof. Otherwise, the image of this segment would be an arc with nontrivial stabilizer lying in a vertex tree of T' with dense orbits. By Lemma 1.5, it would thus be reduced to a point, contradicting the definition of a morphism. \square

1.6 The quotient volume of F_N -trees

Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$. The *volume* of a finite subtree $K \subset T$ (i.e. the convex hull of a finite number of points, which is a finite union of segments) is the sum of the lengths of the segments in K . The *quotient volume* of T is defined to be the infimal volume of a finite subtree of T whose F_N -translates cover T . We collect a few facts which were observed by Algom-Kfir in [2, Section 3.3].

Proposition 1.11. *(Algom-Kfir [2])*

- For all F_N -trees T , all minimal F_N -trees T' and all $L \in \mathbb{R}$, if there exists an L -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T to T' , then $qvol(T') \leq Lqvol(T)$.
- Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, and let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of trees in cv_N converging to T . Then $qvol(T) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} qvol(T_n)$. If in addition T^{simpl} contains an orbit of edges with nontrivial stabilizers, then $qvol(T) > \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} qvol(T_n)$.

1.7 Finding primitive elements in graphs of groups decompositions of F_N

We now state a lemma that will be useful for detecting primitive elements in graphs of groups decompositions of F_N .

Lemma 1.12. *Let X be a minimal graph of groups decomposition of F_N containing an edge e with trivial stabilizer. Let $g \in F_N$. If g is elliptic in the Bass-Serre tree of X , or if any fundamental domain of its axis crosses the orbit of a lift of e at most once, then g is simple. For all vertex groups G in X , there exists a proper free factor of F_N that contains G .*

Proof. Let e be an edge in X with trivial stabilizer. Collapsing each component of the complement of e in X to a point yields a free splitting of F_N either of the form $F_N = F_{N-1} * t$ if e is nonseparating (in which case we denote by t a stable letter), or of the form $F_N = F_k * F_{N-k}$ if e is separating. All vertex groups in X are contained in a free factor provided by the splitting. If the axis of a hyperbolic element $g \in F_N$ does not cross any lift of e , then g belongs to a proper free factor provided by the splitting. If any fundamental domain of the axis of g in the Bass-Serre tree T of X crosses the orbit of a lift of e exactly once, then e is nonseparating and g is conjugated to an element of the form tg' with $g' \in F_{N-1}$, so g is primitive (because if $\{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$ is a free basis of F_{N-1} , then $\{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, tg'\}$ is a free basis of F_N). \square

2 Some equivalence relations on $\overline{cv_N}$

2.1 Primitive-equivalence versus simple-equivalence

Recall that an element $g \in F_N$ is *primitive* if it belongs to some free basis of F_N (we denote by \mathcal{P}_N the set of primitive elements of F_N). It is *simple* if it belongs to some proper free factor of F_N . Two trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ are *primitive-equivalent* if for all $g \in \mathcal{P}_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$. They are *simple-equivalent* if for all simple elements $g \in F_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Two elements $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ are primitive-equivalent if and only if they are simple-equivalent.*

Proof. Simple-equivalent trees are obviously primitive-equivalent, as primitive elements are simple. Assume that $\|g\|_{T'} = \|g\|_T$ for all $g \in \mathcal{P}_N$. Let $w \in F_N$ be simple, i.e there exists a free basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ of F_N such that w belongs to the free factor of F_N generated by a_1, \dots, a_{N-1} . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a_N w^k \in \mathcal{P}_N$, because $\{a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}, a_N w^k\}$ is again a free basis of F_N . So

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{T'} &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|a_N w^k\|_{T'}}{k} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|a_N w^k\|_T}{k} \\ &= \|w\|_T. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that T and T' are simple-equivalent. \square




Figure 2: The tree T is obtained by pulling \widehat{T} .

2.2 Special-pull-equivalent trees

The following notion is illustrated in Figure 2. The *corank* of a free factor F of F_N is the rank of any complementary free factor of F_N , i.e. it is equal to N minus the rank of F .

Definition 2.2. Let $T, \widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$. The tree T is a pull of \widehat{T} if there exist

- an edge e in \widehat{T} with trivial stabilizer, and extremal vertices v_1 and v_2 , and
- for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, a (possibly degenerate) subsegment $J_i \subseteq e$ that contains v_i , such that $J_1 \cap J_2$ contains at most one point, and
- for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that J_i is nondegenerate, an element g_i in the stabilizer of v_i , which is not a proper power,

so that T is obtained as a quotient of \widehat{T} by equivariantly identifying J_i with $g_i J_i$ for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

We will also say that T is obtained from \widehat{T} by pulling the edge e , with pulling elements g_1 and g_2 .

Definition 2.3. Let $T, \widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$. The tree T is a special pull of \widehat{T} if T is a pull of \widehat{T} and, with the above notations, the edge e projects to a nonseparating edge in the underlying graph of the decomposition of \widehat{T} as a graph of actions with dense orbits, and neither g_1 nor g_2 belongs to a corank 2 free factor of F_N .

Definition 2.4. Two trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ are special-pull-equivalent if either $T = T'$, or there exists a tree $\widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$ such that T and T' are special pulls of \widehat{T} .




Figure 3: Pulls in $\overline{cv_2}$.

In the second case of Definition 2.4, the tree \widehat{T} has a single orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer, otherwise the pulling element would be contained in a corank 2 free factor of F_N . That special-pull-equivalence is indeed an equivalence relation will be proved in Lemma 2.5.

Description of special-pull-equivalence in the case $N = 2$. If $N = 2$, then any pull is special. Let $T, \widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_2}$ be such that T is a special pull of \widehat{T} . We assume that there does not exist any tree $\widetilde{T} \neq \widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_2}$ such that both T and \widehat{T} are special pulls of \widetilde{T} with same pulling elements. As displayed on Figure 3, the tree \widehat{T} is then the Bass-Serre tree of a splitting of the form $F_2 = \langle a \rangle *$, where a is a primitive element of F_2 , and T is obtained by (partially or totally) pulling the edge of \widehat{T} , with a as a pulling element.

An exhaustive description of the boundary of CV_2 was given by Culler and Vogtmann in [11]. With their terminology, the quotient $\overline{CV_2}/\sim$ is given by collapsing all spikes in $\overline{CV_2}$, and is thus homeomorphic to a disk with "fins" attached on top, see Figure 4.

Description of special-pull-equivalence in the case $N \geq 3$. We now assume that $N \geq 3$, and we give a description of special-pull-equivalence in terms of graphs of actions. The discussion below is illustrated in Figures 5 to 7. Let $\widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$ be a tree with exactly one orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer e , and let $T \neq \widehat{T}$ be a special pull of \widehat{T} . We assume that there does not exist any tree $\widetilde{T} \neq \widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$ such that both T and \widehat{T} are special pulls of \widetilde{T} with same pulling elements. The tree \widehat{T} splits as a graph of actions having

- a single vertex, whose corresponding vertex tree is a (non necessarily minimal) A -tree T_0 , where A is a corank one free factor of F_N , and
- a single loop-edge with trivial stabilizer.

As $N \geq 3$, the group A is not cyclic, so it has at most one fixed point in \widehat{T} , and the A -minimal subtree T_0^{min} of T_0 is well-defined. Minimality of T implies that T_0 is obtained from T_0^{min} by possibly adding some completion points, and attaching at most two A -orbits of edges (the discussion below will show that we can actually attach at most one A -orbit of edges when passing from T_0^{min} to T_0). The valence one extremities of these edges are attaching points for e in \widehat{T} . One of the following situations occurs.

Case 1 (see Figure 5): The tree T_0 is minimal (or more generally, we have T_0 is the closure of T_0^{min} , i.e. T_0 is obtained from T_0^{min} by adding completion points, or in other




Figure 4: The quotient space \overline{CV}_2 / \sim is obtained by collapsing all peaks in bold dotted lines to points, and hence is homeomorphic to a disk with "fins" attached on top.




Figure 5: The situation in Case 1.




Figure 6: The situation in Case 2.

words $T_0 \setminus T_0^{min}$ does not contain any simplicial edge).

Then T is obtained from \hat{T} by pulling e , either at one of its extremities or at both of its extremities. (Notice that we cannot perform any pull from a completion point).

Case 2 (see Figure 6): The tree T_0 is not minimal, and $T_0 \setminus T_0^{min}$ contains a simplicial edge e' whose stabilizer $\langle w \rangle$ is not contained in any proper free factor of A .

Then the valence one extremity of e' in the decomposition of T_0 as a graph of actions has valence at least 3 in the decomposition of \hat{T} as a graph of actions. Otherwise, the tree \hat{T} would be obtained from a tree \tilde{T} by pulling this edge, contradicting the assumption made on \hat{T} . This implies in particular that T_0 is obtained from T_0^{min} by attaching a single orbit of edges. When passing from \hat{T} to T , the edge e is pulled at only one of its extremity, otherwise this would create a tripod stabilizer.

Case 3 (see Figure 7): The tree T_0 is not minimal, and $T_0 \setminus T_0^{min}$ contains a simplicial edge whose stabilizer is nontrivial, and contained in some proper free factor of A .

If there were two such edges, or if e projected to a loop-edge in the decomposition of \hat{T} as a graph of action, then no special pulling operation could be performed on \hat{T} , so we are in the situation displayed on Figure 7.




Figure 7: The situation in Case 3.

Case 4 : The tree T_0 is not minimal, and $T_0 \setminus T_0^{min}$ contains a simplicial edge with trivial stabilizer.

We will show that this case never happens. Indeed, the valence one extremity of this edge in the decomposition of T_0 as a graph of actions has valence at least 3 in the decomposition of \widehat{T} as a graph of actions, and it has trivial stabilizer. In this situation, no special pulling operation can be performed on \widehat{T} , a contradiction.

Notice that in all cases, the simplicial part of $T_0 \setminus T_0^{min}$ contains at most one orbit of edges.

Special-pull-equivalence is an equivalence relation.

Lemma 2.5. *Special-pull-equivalence is an equivalence relation on $\overline{cv_N}$.*

Proof. Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, and assume that there exists a tree $\widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$ so that T is a special pull of \widehat{T} . Then the corank one free factor A (with the notations from the above paragraph) is uniquely determined as being the smallest free factor of F_N containing all arc stabilizers in T , and the minimal A -tree T_0^{min} is determined by the restriction to A of the translation length function of T . It then follows from the description given in the previous paragraph of the relationship between T_0^{min} and \widehat{T} that \widehat{T} is uniquely determined. Lemma 2.5 follows from this observation. \square

We denote by \sim the special-pull-equivalence relation on $\overline{cv_N}$. The *standard element* of a nontrivial class of special-pull-equivalence is the unique element of the class in which the length of the edge with trivial stabilizer (if any) is maximal. Each equivalence class is star-shaped and contractible, and consists of a union of simplices of dimension at most 2.

A few examples. The simplest examples of special-pull-equivalent trees arise by pulling the Bass-Serre tree of a splitting of F_N of the form $F_N = A*$ (where A is a corank one free factor of F_N), with any nonsimple elements of F_{N-1} as pulling elements, see Figure 8.

A more elaborated class of examples arises by letting T_{N-1} be any geometric F_{N-1} -tree dual to a foliation on a surface S with a single boundary component, and forming a graph of actions whose underlying graph of groups represents the splitting $F_N = F_{N-1}$, with




Figure 8: Examples of NS-pull-equivalent trees.

attaching point x given by the boundary curve of S , and pulling elements stabilizing x in T_{N-1} (see Figure 8, see also Section 3 for a more detailed account of this construction).

There is a way of building new examples by an iterative process. Start from a minimal F_{N-1} -tree Y that contains a point x whose stabilizer is not contained in any proper free factor of F_{N-1} , form an HNN-extension $F_{N-1}*$, and the corresponding graph of actions with attaching point x , and fold the corresponding edge e totally over a translate ge , where g does not belong to any proper free factor of F_{N-1} . This gives a tree T' having a point stabilizer which is not contained in any proper free factor of F_N . Hence it can serve as the vertex tree of an F_{N+1} -tree whose NS-pull-equivalence class is nontrivial. Iterating this process creates a class of NS-pull-equivalent trees.

2.3 Special-pull-equivalent trees are simple-equivalent.

This section is devoted to the proof of the following implication.

Proposition 2.6. *Any two special-pull-equivalent trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ are simple-equivalent.*

In order to prove Proposition 2.6, we start by checking that a certain class of elements of F_N are nonsimple, using methods due to Whitehead [38] and further developed by Stallings [36]. The *Whitehead graph* of an element $w \in F_N$ with respect to a free basis B of F_N , denoted by $Wh_B(w)$, is the graph whose vertices are the elements of $B^{\pm 1}$, two vertices a and b being joined by an edge if ab^{-1} occurs as a subword of the cyclic word that represents w in the basis B . A *cutpoint* in a connected graph X is a point $p \in X$ such that $X \setminus \{p\}$ is disconnected.

Proposition 2.7. *(Whitehead [38], Stallings [36]) An element $w \in F_N$ is simple if and only if its Whitehead graph with respect to any free basis of F_N is either disconnected or*

contains a cutpoint.

Proposition 2.8. *Let A be a corank 1 free factor of F_N . For all $w \in A$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- *The element w is contained in some proper free factor of A .*
- *There exist a basis $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ of F_N , such that A is the subgroup generated by x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} , and an element $v \in F_N$, such that $vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ is primitive, and the product $vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ is cyclically reduced when v and w are written as reduced words in the basis B .*

Proof. First assume that w is contained in a proper free factor of A , and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ denote a basis of this free factor. Let $\{x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$ be a basis of a complementary free factor of A , let $x_N \in F_N$ be such that $F_N = A * \langle x_N \rangle$, and let $v := x_{k+1}$. Then $\{vx_Nwx_N^{-1}, x_1, \dots, x_k, x_{k+2}, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N\}$ is a free basis of F_N , so $vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ is primitive. In addition, the product $vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ is cyclically reduced when written as a reduced word in the basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ of F_N .

Assume now that w is not contained in any proper free factor of A . Assume by contradiction that there exists a basis $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ of F_N such that $A = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} \rangle$, and an element $v \in F_N$ such that the product $vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ is cyclically reduced when v and w are written as reduced words in the basis B , and $vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ is primitive. By Proposition 2.7, we can choose x_1, \dots, x_{N-1} such that the Whitehead graph of w is connected without cutpoint in the basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}\}$ of A . We denote by a the first letter of w in B , by b its last letter, and by c_1 the last letter of v . The Whitehead graph of $W := vx_Nwx_N^{-1}$ in B contains $Wh_B(w)$, in which an edge joining b to a^{-1} is replaced by an edge joining b to x_N and an edge joining a^{-1} to x_N , and $Wh_B(W)$ also contains an edge joining x_N^{-1} to c_1 , see Figure 9. In particular, it is connected, and its only possible cutpoint is c_1 , provided there is no edge joining x_N^{-1} to a vertex different from c_1 . This implies that c_1^{-1} (resp. c_1) is the first (resp. last) letter of the reduced word that represents v in the basis B , i.e. there exists a subword \tilde{v} of v so that $vx_Nwx_N^{-1} = (c_1^{-1}\tilde{v}c_1)x_Nwx_N^{-1}$.

First observe that $c_1 \neq x_N$, otherwise all occurrences of x_N^{-1} in the cyclic word that represents W in the basis B should be followed by another occurrence of x_N^{-1} , and W would be a power of x_N , a contradiction. As c_1 is a cutpoint of $Wh_B(w)$, all occurrences of the letter x_N in the reduced word representing v in B are preceded by an occurrence of c_1 , and all occurrences of x_N^{-1} are followed by an occurrence of c_1^{-1} . Let $x_N^{(1)} := c_1x_N$. In the basis $B_1 := \{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N^{(1)}\}$, the element W is represented by a reduced cyclic word of the form $v_1x_N^{(1)}wx_N^{(1)-1}$, and the length of v_1 in B_1 is strictly smaller than the length of v in B . In addition, the element $w \in F_N$ is represented by the same reduced word in B and in B' . Repeating the above argument shows that there exists $c_2 \in B_1$ such that the first letter of v_1 is c_2^{-1} and its last letter is c_2 . Letting $x_N^{(2)} := c_2x_N^{(1)}$, the element W is represented by a reduced cyclic word of the form $v_2x_N^{(2)}wx_N^{(2)-1}$ in the basis $B_2 := \{x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N^{(2)}\}$, and the length of v_2 in B_2 is strictly smaller than the length of v_1 in B_1 . One can then repeat this process infinitely often, contradicting the fact that the lengths of the words representing W in the bases we get along the process form a strictly decreasing sequence of positive integers. \square




Figure 9: The Whitehead graphs $Wh_B(w)$ and $Wh_B(W)$ in the proof of Proposition 2.8.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ be special-pull-equivalent. Assume that $T \neq T'$, and let $\widehat{T} \in \overline{cv_N}$ be a tree with a single orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer e , such that T and T' are both pulls of \widehat{T} , with pulling elements g_1, g_2, g'_1 and g'_2 . Equivariantly collapsing the complement of e to a point in \widehat{T} yields a splitting $F_N = A*$ (we denote by t a stable letter). Any element $w \in F_N$ either belongs to a conjugate of A , or of the cyclic subgroup of F_N generated by t , or is conjugated to an element of the form $w_1 t^{\alpha_1} w_2 t^{\alpha_2} \dots w_k t^{\alpha_k}$, with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $w_i \in A \setminus \{e\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Such an element has the same translation length in T and T' , unless it is of the form $t^\epsilon g_i^k t^{-\epsilon} w$ or $t^\epsilon g'_i{}^k t^{-\epsilon} w$ for some $\epsilon = \pm 1$, some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and some $i \in \{1, 2\}$ with g_i (or g'_i) nonsimple in $A \setminus \{e\}$. As any element of F_N of this form is nonsimple by Proposition 2.8, all simple elements of F_N have the same translation length in T and T' . \square

2.4 The primitive compactification of outer space

Our main result gives a description of a new compactification of outer space, which we call the *primitive compactification*, defined by restricting translation lengths functions to the set \mathcal{P}_N of primitive elements of F_N in Culler and Morgan's construction. Our motivation for introducing this compactification comes from our description of the compactification of outer space by horofunctions, which is itself motivated by the desire to study random walks on $\text{Out}(F_N)$. In [18], we will prove that the compactification of outer space by horofunctions is isomorphic to the primitive compactification. Let

$$i_{\text{prim}} : CV_N \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{P}_N}$$

be the map obtained from the map i defined in Section 1.1 by only considering translation lengths of primitive elements of F_N . The relation \sim again denotes the primitive-equivalence relation defined above.

Theorem 2.9. *The map i_{prim} is a homeomorphism onto its image. The closure $\overline{i_{\text{prim}}(CV_N)}$ is compact, and homeomorphic to $\overline{CV_N}/\sim$.*

This means that $\overline{i_{\text{prim}}(CV_N)}$ is indeed a compactification of CV_N .

Proof. Continuity of i_{prim} follows from the continuity of i , and injectivity of i_{prim} was proved in [6, Theorem 3.4] as a consequence of White's theorem (this is a particular case of our main result). To show that i_{prim} is an embedding, we let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in CV_N^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence that leaves every compact subspace of CV_N , and let $T \in CV_N$. By compactness of $\overline{CV_N}$, some subsequence of $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a tree $T_\infty \in \overline{CV_N} \setminus CV_N$, and Theorem 7.1 implies that T_∞ is not primitive-equivalent to T (this actually only uses the particular case of Theorem 7.1 where one of the trees belongs to CV_N , which can easily be deduced from Theorem 1.3). Therefore, the sequence $(i_{\text{prim}}(T_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge to $i_{\text{prim}}(T)$, showing that i_{prim} is an embedding. Compactness of $\overline{i_{\text{prim}}(CV_N)}$ follows from compactness of $\overline{i(CV_N)}$. By definition, the map i_{prim} extends to a bijective continuous map, and hence a homeomorphism, from the compact space $\overline{CV_N}/\sim$ to the Hausdorff space $\overline{i_{\text{prim}}(CV_N)}$. \square

3 Approximations of trees

The next two sections aim at developing techniques that will turn out to be useful for extending White's theorem to trees in the boundary of outer space, and describing the lack of spectral rigidity of the set \mathcal{P}_N of primitive elements of F_N in $\overline{cv_N}$. In the present section, building on ideas of Bestvina and Feighn [3] and Guirardel [16], we provide nice approximations for a wide class of trees in $\overline{cv_N}$ by "nicer" trees, see Theorems 3.6 and 3.11.

3.1 Geometric trees

Of particular interest are trees in $\overline{cv_N}$ which are dual to measured foliations on some 2-complexes, which are called *geometric trees*. Geometric trees can be decomposed in a nice and controlled way, and can be used to approximate every tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$. We recall a few facts about this class of trees, and refer the reader to [4] or [14] for details.

A *system of partial isometries* X of a finite tree or multi-interval K is a finite collection of isometries $\phi_j : A_j \rightarrow B_j$ between nonempty finite subtrees of K . The subtrees A_j and B_j are called the *bases* of X , and ϕ_j is called a *singleton* if its bases are reduced to points. The *suspension* of X is the foliated 2-complex Σ built in the following way. Start with the union of K (foliated by points) and *bands* $A_j \times [0, 1]$ (foliated by $\{*\} \times [0, 1]$). For all $t \in A_j$, glue $(t, 0) \in A_j \times \{0\}$ with $t \in A_j$ and $(t, 1) \in A_j \times \{1\}$ with $\phi_j(t) \in B_j$. There is a natural transverse measure on each band given by the metric on the base A_j . This induces a transverse measure on Σ . We will denote by $(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ (or simply by Σ if the context is clear) the band complex Σ equipped with its foliation \mathcal{F} and its transverse measure μ .

Associated to a system of k partial isometries X (or its corresponding measured foliated band complex $(\Sigma, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$), together with a set \mathcal{C} of curves contained in leaves of Σ , is a dual \mathbb{R} -tree, constructed as follows. Choose a basepoint $*$ on Σ . When K is a finite tree (or a multi-interval in which the extremities of the intervals are joined by singletons, in such a way that collapsing the subsegments of the leaves determined by these singletons to points yields a finite tree), the fundamental group of Σ is naturally identified with the free group F_k having one generator for each partial isometry in X . Let N denote the

subgroup of F_k normally generated by the free homotopy classes of the curves in \mathcal{C} , and let $G(X) := F_k/N$. There is a canonical epimorphism $\rho : F_k \rightarrow G(X)$. We denote by $\bar{\Sigma}$ the covering space of Σ corresponding to ρ . The measured foliation on Σ lifts to a measured foliation on $\bar{\Sigma}$, we denote by $\bar{\mu}$ the transverse measure on $\bar{\Sigma}$. Define a pseudo-metric on $\bar{\Sigma}$ by $\delta(x, y) := \inf_{\gamma} \bar{\mu}(\gamma)$, where the infimum is taken over all paths joining x to y in $\bar{\Sigma}$ (and $\bar{\mu}(\gamma)$ is obtained by integrating the measure $\bar{\mu}$ along the path γ). The metric space obtained by making this pseudo-distance Hausdorff (sometimes called the *leaf space made Hausdorff*) is an \mathbb{R} -tree [25, Proposition 1.7], which we denote by $T(X, \mathcal{C})$ (or equivalently $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{C})$). It is naturally equipped with an isometric action of $G(X)$. An \mathbb{R} -tree equipped with an action of a finitely presented group G is called *geometric* if there exists a system of partial isometries X , and a set of curves \mathcal{C} contained in leaves of the associated measured foliated band complex, such that $G = G(X)$ and $T = T(X, \mathcal{C})$. Otherwise it is called *nongeometric*. Let Σ, Σ' be two measured foliated band complexes, together with sets of curves \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' . We call (Σ, \mathcal{C}) and (Σ', \mathcal{C}') *equivalent* if $T(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}) = T(\Sigma', \mathcal{C}')$. Let Σ^* denote Σ minus its singletons. We say that Σ has *pure components* if K is a multi-interval, and in each component of Σ^* , each finite singular \dot{X} -orbit (i.e. the orbit of each point under the restrictions of the partial isometries in X , or their inverses, to the interior of their bases) is reduced to one point in ∂K (an orbit is *singular* if it contains a point in the boundary of some base).

Given a geometric F_N -tree T , there is a way of producing a system of isometries X on a finite tree K , so that $T = T(X, \emptyset)$. Fix a free basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_N\}$ of F_N , and let K be a finite subtree of T . For all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the generator g_i defines a partial isometry of K , with domain $g_i^{-1}(K) \cap K$ and image $K \cap g_i(K)$, and we may assume K to be sufficiently big, so that these bases are nondegenerate. If T is geometric, then K can be chosen so that the associated geometric tree is equal to T [13, Proposition II.1]. The following theorem provides a "normal form" for systems of partial isometries dual to a given geometric F_N -tree.

Theorem 3.1. (*Imanishi [19], Gaboriau-Levitt-Paulin [14]*) *Let T be a geometric F_N -tree. Then there exist a system of partial isometries X having pure components, and a set of curves \mathcal{C} contained in leaves of Σ , such that $T = T(X, \mathcal{C})$. The subcomplex Σ^* is a disjoint union of finitely many open \dot{X} -invariant sets, and if U is one of these sets, then either every leaf contained in U is compact (in which case U is called a family of finite orbits), or else every leaf contained in U is dense in U (in which case U is called minimal). Furthermore, the system X may be chosen in such a way that all families of finite orbits are orientable (i.e. no \dot{X} -word fixes a point in an orbit and reverses orientation).*

One can give the following classification of minimal components. Starting from a foliated band complex Σ_0 associated to a minimal system of partial isometries X_0 on a finite tree or multi-interval K_0 , we define a new band complex Σ_1 in the following way. Let K_1 denote the set of points in K_0 which belong to at least two bases of Σ_0 . Let X_1 be the system of partial isometries of K_1 obtained by restricting the elements of X_0 to K_1 . We define Σ_1 to be the suspension of X_1 . Starting from Σ_0 and iterating this process, we build a sequence of foliated band complexes Σ_i . If for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\Sigma_{i+1} \neq \Sigma_i$, we say that Σ_0 is *exotic* (or *Levitt*, or *thin*), otherwise Σ_0 is a measured foliation on a compact surface [14]. (In the case of F_N -trees, the homogeneous case described in [14, Section 4] cannot occur, see [3, Proposition 1.8]). A band $B = b \times [-1, 1]$ of a band complex is *very naked* if $b \times (-1, 1)$

does not meet the curves in \mathcal{C} . Exotic components have the following property.

Proposition 3.2. (*Bestvina-Feighn [4], Gaboriau-Levitt-Paulin [14], see also [16, Section 7.1]*) *If $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ contains an exotic minimal component, then there exist a band complex X satisfying the conclusions of Theorem 3.1 and a collection of curves \mathcal{C} in X such that $T = T(X, \mathcal{C})$, and X contains a very naked band (contained in an exotic component of X).*

The structure of band complexes which only have simplicial and surface components is also well-understood, thanks to the following results of Bestvina and Feighn.

Proposition 3.3. (*Bestvina-Feighn [3, Proposition 5.1]*) *Let X be a band complex with only simplicial and surface components dual to an F_N -tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$. Then there exists another band complex X' dual to T of the form $X' = (S \cup A \cup \Gamma) \cup_f G$ such that*

- S is a (possibly disconnected) compact surface, none of whose components is homeomorphic to an annulus or a Möbius band, and each connected component of S is equipped with a minimal foliation, and
- Γ is a finite metric graph, and
- G is a finite graph with no valence 1 vertices and empty foliation, and
- A is a finite disjoint union of annuli foliated by essential loops, and
- $f : \partial S \cup \partial A \cup F \rightarrow G$, where F is a finite subset of $S \cup A \cup \Gamma$ and f is essential on each component of $\partial S \cup \partial A$.

Proposition 3.4. (*Bestvina-Feighn [3, Lemma 4.1]*) *Let Y be a finite graph, and S a compact (possibly disconnected) surface. Let $f : \partial S \rightarrow Y$ be a map that is essential on each boundary component. Assume that $X := S \cup_f Y$ has free fundamental group. Then there exist a finite graph Y' and a homotopy equivalence $\psi : Y \rightarrow S^1 \vee Y'$ such that $\psi \circ f : \partial S \rightarrow S^1 \vee Y'$ is homotopic to a map that sends one boundary component of S homeomorphically onto S^1 , and sends all other boundary components of S into Y' .*

We call the boundary component of S that is sent homeomorphically to S^1 a *distinguished circle*.

We finish this section by explaining how geometric trees can be used to approximate all actions in $\overline{cv_N}$, and give a characterization of geometric trees due to Levitt and Paulin [25]. Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$. Following [15], we say that a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of trees in $\overline{cv_N}$ converges strongly to T if there exist surjective F_N -equivariant morphisms $f_{np} : T_n \rightarrow T_p$ for all $n < p$, and $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- for all $n < p$, we have $f_p \circ f_{np} = f_n$, and
- for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x, y \in T_n$, there exists $p \geq n$ such that $d_{T_p}(f_{np}(x), f_{np}(y)) = d_T(f_n(x), f_n(y))$.

The following result is due to Levitt and Paulin [25, Theorem 2.6], see also [13, Proposition II.1] where the minimality statement appears. The fact that the trees T_n can be chosen to belong to $\overline{cv_N}$ follows from [3, Proposition 1.8] and [13, Corollary I.6].

Proposition 3.5. (*Levitt-Paulin [25, Theorem 2.6], Gaboriau-Levitt [13, Proposition II.1]*) For all $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, there exists a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{cv_N}$ of minimal geometric F_N -trees which converges strongly to T . A tree is geometric if and only if it cannot occur as such a strong limit in a nonstationary way.

The trees T_n can be constructed from T by applying the construction preceding Theorem 3.1 to a well-chosen exhaustion of T by finite trees K_n . In particular, the morphisms f_{np} and f_n can be chosen to be injective in restriction to every segment of K_n which has a translate in K_n . By choosing K_n to contain an edge in each orbit of edges in T , we can thus assume f_{np} and f_n to be injective on segments with nontrivial stabilizers of T_n .

3.2 Approximations of F_N -trees with dense orbits by free and simplicial actions

A Lipschitz approximation of a tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ is a sequence of trees $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{cv_N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ converging (non-projectively) to T , together with 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We give a characterization of trees in $\overline{cv_N}$ that admit a Lipschitz approximation by free, simplicial actions.

Theorem 3.6. *A tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ admits a Lipschitz approximation by elements of cv_N if and only if all arc stabilizers in T are trivial.*

Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ be a geometric tree, and let X be a system of partial isometries associated to T given by Theorem 3.1, together with a set of curves \mathcal{C} contained in the leaves of Σ . Assume that some band B of Σ is very naked. For small $\delta > 0$, let Σ_δ be a band complex obtained by narrowing B of width δ from one of its boundary leaves, see Figure 10. The inclusion $\Sigma_\delta \subset \Sigma$ is a homotopy equivalence, so there is an epimorphism $\rho_\delta : \pi_1(\Sigma_\delta) \rightarrow F_N$, whose kernel is normally generated by the free homotopy classes of the curves in \mathcal{C} , which are still contained in leaves of Σ_δ . Denote by $\overline{\Sigma}$ and $\overline{\Sigma}_\delta$ the covering spaces corresponding to ρ and ρ_δ , respectively. Let T_δ be the minimal subtree of the F_N -tree obtained by making the leaf space of $\overline{\Sigma}_\delta$ Hausdorff. There is a natural F_N -equivariant morphism of \mathbb{R} -trees from T_δ to T induced by the inclusion $\overline{\Sigma}_\delta \subset \overline{\Sigma}$.

Lemma 3.7. (*Guirardel [16, Section 7.2]*) *The trees T_δ converge to T as δ goes to 0.*

Proof of Theorem 3.6. First assume that T admits a Lipschitz approximation by a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of trees in cv_N . As there exist 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T$, by Proposition 1.11, we have $qvol(T) \leq qvol(T_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. However, if T has a nontrivial arc stabilizer, then $qvol(T) > \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} qvol(T_n)$. Hence T has trivial arc stabilizers.

Conversely, let T be a tree in $\overline{cv_N}$ with trivial arc stabilizers. First assume that T contains an exotic component. Then Proposition 3.2 yields an equivalent band complex which contains a very naked band B , to which we can apply the narrowing process. Guirardel shows in [16, Section 7] that we can choose $\delta > 0$ arbitrarily small and get a tree T_δ , in which the exotic component of T has been replaced by new simplicial and exotic components, and the number $E(\Sigma_\delta)$ of ends of singular leaves satisfies $E(\Sigma_\delta) < E(\Sigma)$. Iterating the construction a finite number of times yields an approximation of T in which the minimal component T_v has been replaced by a simplicial part with trivial edge stabilizers. Iterating




Figure 10: Narrowing a band.

this process, we can approximate all exotic components in T without creating arc stabilizers. Hence we are left with a band complex which can be assumed to have the form prescribed by Proposition 3.3.

As T has trivial arc stabilizers, this band complex contains no annulus. Assume that it contains some surface component, and let C be a distinguished circle provided by Proposition 3.4. One can "narrow" the surface that contains C from its boundary along width $\delta > 0$ to either create compact leaves, or leaves having a single end (except for at most finitely many of them), see Figure 11. However, in a minimal surface component, all half-leaves are dense, so in the new band complex Σ_δ created in this way, the surface containing C has been replaced by a simplicial component, with trivial arc stabilizers. As in Lemma 3.7, the trees T_δ dual to the band complex Σ_δ converge to T as δ tends to 0, and they come with F_N -equivariant morphisms from T_δ to T . Iterating this process, we successively approximate all the surface components by simplicial components with trivial edge stabilizers. Finally, we can approximate all vertices with nontrivial stabilizer in the quotient graph by roses having arbitrarily small petals to get a Lipschitz approximation of T by elements of cv_N . \square

As a consequence of Theorem 3.6, we show that any Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map between F_N -trees with dense orbits preserves alignment. In particular, any F_N -equivariant morphism between minimal F_N -trees with dense orbits is an isometry. Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, and $f : T \rightarrow T'$ be an F_N -equivariant map. The *bounded cancellation constant* of f , denoted by $BCC(f)$, is defined to be the supremum of all real numbers B with the property that there exist $a, b, c \in T$ with $b \in [a, c]$, such that $d_{T'}(f(b), [f(a), f(c)]) = B$. Notice that an F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow T'$ preserves alignment if and only if $BCC(f) = 0$. We denote by $Lip(f)$ the Lipschitz constant of f .

Proposition 3.8. (*Bestvina-Feighn-Handel [5, Lemma 3.1]*) *Let $T \in cv_N$ and $T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, and let $f : T \rightarrow T'$ be an F_N -equivariant map. Then $BCC(f) \leq Lip(f)qvol(T)$.*




Figure 11: Narrowing a surface component creates compact leaves.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ have dense orbits, and let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map. Then f preserves alignment.*

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in T$ with $b \in [a, c]$, and let $C := d_{\overline{T'}}(f(b), [f(a), f(c)])$. Assume by contradiction that $C > 0$. As T has dense orbits, all arc stabilizers in T are trivial (Lemma 1.5), so Theorem 3.6 provides a Lipschitz approximation $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of T by free and simplicial F_N -trees. By Proposition 1.11, the quotient volume of T_n converges to 0 as n goes to infinity. By definition of a Lipschitz approximation, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T$. Minimality of T implies that f_n is surjective for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Composing f_n with f yields a $\text{Lip}(f)$ -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f'_n : T_n \rightarrow \overline{T'}$. Tightening f'_n on edges if necessary (which does not increase its Lipschitz constant), we can assume that f'_n is linear on edges. Slightly perturbing f'_n on the vertices of T_n , and extending it linearly on the edges of T_n again if necessary, we get the existence of a $\text{Lip}(f)$ -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f''_n : T_n \rightarrow T'$, with $d_{\overline{T'}}(f'_n(x), f''_n(x)) \leq \frac{C}{4}$ for all $x \in T_n$. By Proposition 3.8, the bounded cancellation constant $BCC(f''_n)$ tends to 0 as n goes to infinity. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let a_n (resp. c_n) be a preimage of a (resp. c) by f_n in T_n . Then there exists $b_n \in [a_n, c_n]$ such that $f_n(b_n) = b$. We have $d_{\overline{T'}}(f'_n(b_n), [f'_n(a_n), f'_n(c_n)]) = C$, so $d_{T'}(f''_n(b_n), [f''_n(a_n), f''_n(c_n)]) \geq \frac{C}{2}$. This implies that $BCC(f''_n) \geq \frac{C}{2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a contradiction. Hence f preserves alignment. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ have dense orbits. Then any F_N -equivariant morphism from T to T' is an isometry.* \square

3.3 Approximations by trees having two edges with trivial stabilizers

An F_N -tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ is *good* if there exists a Lipschitz approximation $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{cv_N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ of T such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the tree T_n^{simpl} contains at least two F_N -orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers. The following statement will be used in Section 7 to describe the lack of rigidity of the set \mathcal{P}_N in $\overline{cv_N}$. We recall the definition of a pull from Section 2.2.

Theorem 3.11. *Every tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ is a pull of a good tree. More precisely, for all $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, either T is good, or there exists a good tree $T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ which has exactly one orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer, such that T is a pull of T' .*

Proof. We argue differently depending on whether T is geometric or not.

Case 1 : The tree T is geometric.

Case 1.1 : The tree T contains an exotic component.

Applying the same narrowing process as in the proof of Theorem 3.6 to this exotic component yields a Lipschitz approximation $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of T , in which the exotic component – dual to some subtree T_v of T – is replaced by a family of finite orbits, dual to some tree T^1 with trivial edge stabilizers. If for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the tree T_n^{simpl} contains at most one orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer, then T^1 is the Bass-Serre tree of a one-edge free splitting, and Lemmas 1.5 and 1.8 imply that a morphism $f : T^1 \rightarrow T_v$ cannot fold any U-turn. If T^1 is the Bass-Serre tree of a splitting of the form $F_i * F_{k-i}$, then f might only reduce the length of the unique orbit of edges in T^1 , and T_n cannot converge to T . If T^1 the Bass-Serre tree of a splitting of the form $F_{k-1}*$, then f can either reduce the length of the unique orbit of edges in T^1 , or create a second orbit of edges with trivial stabilizers, in which case we can assume T_n^{simpl} to contain two F_N -orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers.

Case 1.2 : The tree T is dual to a band complex Σ which has the structure prescribed by Proposition 3.3.

If Σ contains no surface component and no annulus, then T is simplicial and has trivial edge stabilizers. So either T contains two F_N -orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers, or T is the Bass-Serre tree of a one-edge free splitting of F_N , in which case T can be approximated by blowing up its vertex groups, adding a small loop with trivial stabilizer of length going to 0. Otherwise, let C be a distinguished circle provided by Proposition 3.4. If C belongs to a surface component, then as in Case 1.1 we get a Lipschitz approximation of T by trees having at least two orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers. We now assume that C belongs to an annulus A . In this case, narrowing a band corresponds to unfolding an edge in the dual tree, see Figure 12, and this operation creates an orbit of edges e with trivial stabilizer. This operation does not affect minimality of the dual tree. If there is another simplicial orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer in the tree dual to Σ , then T is good. It may happen that some extremity of e has cyclic stabilizer, and is such that there are exactly two F_N -orbits of edges coming out of it, one of which has nontrivial stabilizer. We let T' be the tree obtained from T by totally unfolding the edges with nontrivial stabilizers coming out of such extremities of e . This operation does not create obtrusive powers or tripod stabilizers, so the tree T' is again very small, and by definition T is a pull of T' . In addition, if we equivariantly remove the edge with trivial stabilizer of T' we have just constructed, we get (at least) one tree, to which we can apply the above argument (this tree might not be minimal for the action of its stabilizer, if e projects to a loop-edge in the associated graph of actions, but the above argument still works in this case). If all distinguished circles of T' are contained in surface components, then the above argument shows that T' is good. Otherwise, one can again unfold an annulus. This operation creates a second edge with trivial stabilizer, again showing that T' is good.

Case 2 : The tree T is nongeometric.

Let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \overline{cv_N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of minimal geometric F_N -trees converging strongly

to T , given by Proposition 3.5. Denote by $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T$ and $f_{n,p} : T_n \rightarrow T_p$ the corresponding morphisms, which might be assumed to be injective on the edges in T_n with nontrivial stabilizers. In particular, the sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Lipschitz approximation of T , so it is enough to show that T_n can be assumed to contain two F_N -orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume by contradiction that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the tree T_n contains at most one edge e_n with trivial stabilizer in its simplicial part. The morphism $f_{n,n+1}$ cannot identify

- two initial subsegments of edges in the simplicial part of T_n with distinct nontrivial stabilizer (Lemma 1.9), nor
- a nontrivial subsegment of an edge with nontrivial stabilizer with a path lying in a vertex tree of T_n with dense orbits (Lemma 1.10), nor
- two arcs lying in a vertex tree of T_n with dense orbits (Corollary 3.10).

If $f_{n,n+1}$ identifies a subsegment J of e_n with a subsegment J' of one of the translates of e_n , such that J' meets the F_N -orbit of J in a single point (this might happen if e_n projects to a loop-edge in the associated graph of actions), then we can replace T_n by a tree with two F_N -orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers. So up to reducing the length of e_n in T_n , we might assume that to pass from T_n to T_{n+1} , we need only fold a subsegment of the edge e_n along some path in T_n , at each of its extremities, and iterating the same argument shows that for all $k \geq n$, the tree T_k has a unique edge with trivial stabilizer, and in order to pass from T_k to T_{k+1} , one has to fold a subsegment of e_k equivariantly along some path in T_k . Assume that the sequence $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonstationary. Then we can find $x \in e_n$ and a nonstationary sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of e_n converging to x such that the subsegment $[a, x_k]$ of e_n is folded when passing from T_n to T_k (where a denotes one of the extremities of e_n). For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let y_k be a point in T_n that is identified with x_k during the folding process. In particular, the sequence $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, and the segments $[x, y_k]$ form an increasing sequence of segments in T_n . So $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some point $y \in T_n$, and $f_n(x) = f_n(y)$. However, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $d(f_{n,k}(x), f_{n,k}(y)) > 0$, contradicting strong convergence of the sequence $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to T . \square

Remark 3.12. The proof of Theorem 3.11 shows that if T is either nongeometric, or contains an exotic component, then T is good. The constructions made in Section 2.2 provide examples of trees with simplicial and surface components which are obtained as pulls of good trees but are not good, as will follow from Proposition 6.22.

4 Limits of Lipschitz maps between very small F_N -trees

The goal of this section is to explain how to construct Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps between very small F_N -trees, by using a limiting process (Theorem 4.3). We start by recalling some facts about the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology on the space of F_N -trees.




Figure 12: Narrowing a band in a simplicial component.

4.1 Equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology

In [28], Paulin introduced yet another topology on $\overline{cv_N}$. Let T and T' be two F_N -trees, let $K \subset T$ and $K' \subset T'$ be finite subsets, let $P \subset F_N$ be a finite subset of F_N , and let $\epsilon > 0$. A P -equivariant ϵ -relation between K and K' is a subset $R \subseteq K \times K'$ whose projection to each factor is surjective, such that for all $(x, x'), (y, y') \in R$ and all $g, h \in P$, we have $|d_T(gx, hy) - d_{T'}(gx', hy')| < \epsilon$. We denote by $O(T, K, P, \epsilon)$ the set of F_N -trees T' for which there exists a finite subset $K' \subset T'$ and a P -equivariant ϵ -relation $R \subseteq K \times K'$. Paulin showed that these sets define a basis of open sets for a topology on the set of F_N -trees, called the *equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology* [28]. This topology is equivalent to the axes topology on $\overline{cv_N}$ [29].

Let T be an F_N -tree, and let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of F_N -trees that converges to T in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Let $x \in T$. Let $(K^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of T containing x , such that the finite trees spanned by the subsets K^k yield an exhaustion of T , and let $F_N = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P^k$ be an exhaustion of F_N by finite subsets. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let n_k be the smallest integer such that $T_{n_k} \in O(T, K^k, P^k, \frac{1}{k})$. For all $n \in \{n_k, \dots, n_{k+1} - 1\}$, we can find a finite subset $K_n \subset T_n$ and a P^k -equivariant $\frac{1}{k}$ -relation $R_n \subseteq K^k \times K_n$. Choose $x_n \in K_n$ such that $(x, x_n) \in R_n$. We say that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ is an *approximation* of x in the trees T_n , relative to the exhaustions determined by K^k and P^k .

Lemma 4.1. *Let T be an F_N -tree, and let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of F_N -trees that converges to T in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology. Let $x, y \in T$, let $g \in F_N$, let $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ be approximations of x, y and gx relative to the same exhaustions. Then*

- the distance $d_{T_n}(x_n, y_n)$ converges to $d_T(x, y)$, and
- the distance $d_{T_n}(gx_n, z_n)$ converges to 0, and
- if $x \in \mathcal{N}_M(C_T(g))$, then for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x_n \in \mathcal{N}_{M+1}(C_{T_n}(g))$.

Proof. The first two assertions follow from the definition of the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology. To prove the third assertion, one uses the fact that in an F_N -tree T , we have $d_T(x, gx) = 2d_T(x, C_T(g)) + \|g\|_T$ (see [9, 1.3]), and the continuity of translation lengths in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology. \square

Let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of F_N -trees. A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ is *bounded* if for all $g \in F_N$, the distance $d_{T_n}(x_n, gx_n)$ is bounded.

Proposition 4.2. *Let T be a very small F_N -tree, and let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of F_N -trees that converges to T in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology. A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ is bounded if and only if there exist $x \in T$, exhaustions of T and F_N , and an approximation $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ of x relative to these exhaustions, such that $d_{T_n}(x_n, x'_n)$ is bounded.*

In particular, Proposition 4.2 shows the existence of bounded sequences in any converging sequence of very small F_N -trees. Note that its proof is not specific to the case of F_N -trees, and only requires the tree T to be *irreducible*, i.e. there exist two hyperbolic isometries in T whose commutator is also hyperbolic in T .

Proof. First assume that there exists $x \in T$, exhaustions of T and F_N , and an approximation $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ of x relative to these exhaustions, such that $d_{T_n}(x_n, x'_n)$ is bounded. It follows from the first two assertions of Lemma 4.1 that for all $g \in F_N$, the distance $d_{T_n}(x'_n, gx'_n)$ is bounded. The triangular inequality, together with the fact that the F_N -action on T_n is isometric for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, implies that $d_{T_n}(x_n, gx_n)$ is bounded.

Conversely, assume that for all $g \in F_N$, the distance $d_{T_n}(x_n, gx_n)$ is bounded. Let $x \in T$, and let $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ be an approximation of x in the trees T_n relative to some exhaustions (without loss of generality, we can assume that for all $g \in F_N$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $gx \in K^k$). Let $a, b \in F_N$ be such that the commutator $[a, b]$ is hyperbolic in T . Using [9, 1.3], we can find $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x_n \in \mathcal{N}_M(C_{T_n}(a)) \cap \mathcal{N}_M(C_{T_n}(b))$. Let $M' \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $x \in \mathcal{N}_{M'}(C_T(a)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{M'}(C_T(b))$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large, we have $x'_n \in \mathcal{N}_{M'+1}(C_{T_n}(a)) \cap \mathcal{N}_{M'+1}(C_{T_n}(b))$ (Lemma 4.1). As $[a, b]$ is hyperbolic in T , it is also hyperbolic in T_n for all n sufficiently large, and as $\|a\|_{T_n}$ and $\|b\|_{T_n}$ are bounded, this implies that the intersection $C_{T_n}(a) \cap C_{T_n}(b)$ has bounded length. By Proposition 1.6, both x_n and x'_n lie in a neighborhood of $C_{T_n}(a) \cap C_{T_n}(b)$ (or of any point in the bridge between $C_{T_n}(a)$ and $C_{T_n}(b)$) in T_n of bounded diameter, so $d_{T_n}(x_n, x'_n)$ is bounded. \square

4.2 Limits of Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps between very small F_N -trees

Given an \mathbb{R} -tree T , recall that \overline{T} denotes the metric completion of T . We aim at showing the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let T and T' be two very small F_N -trees, let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $(T'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) be a sequence of F_N -trees converging to T (resp. T') in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology, and let $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers, satisfying $M := \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M_n < +\infty$. Assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an M_n -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f_n : T_n \rightarrow T'_n$. Then there exists an M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$.*

Again, Theorem 4.3 can be generalized to more general contexts. We only need to require the existence of hyperbolic isometries whose commutator is again hyperbolic in the trees T and T' .

Remark 4.4. It is not true in general that we can find an M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow T'$ without passing to the completion, see Example 6.3. However, this is possible in some particular cases, for example if the tree T is simplicial. Indeed, in this case, one can always slightly move the f -image of a vertex in T to make it lie in T' without increasing the Lipschitz constant of f (no element of F_N fixes a point in $\overline{T'} \setminus T'$), and tighten f on the edges of T to make the image $f(T)$ entirely lie in T' (which again does not increase the Lipschitz constant of f).

Our proof of Theorem 4.3 uses the theory of ultralimits of metric spaces. Given a non-principal ultrafilter ω on \mathbb{N} , we first show that if $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of very small F_N -trees converging to T , and $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ is a bounded sequence, then the ω -limit of the pointed metric spaces (T_n, p_n) is a complete F_N -tree T_ω , which contains an isometrically embedded copy of T (hence of \overline{T}) as an F_N -invariant subtree (Proposition 4.5). Taking the ultralimit of the maps f_n provides a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f_\omega : T_\omega \rightarrow T'_\omega$. We get the desired map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ by precomposing f_ω with the embedding $T \hookrightarrow T_\omega$, and postcomposing it with the projection $T'_\omega \rightarrow \overline{T'}$.

We start by recalling the construction of ultralimits of metric spaces and maps between them. We refer the reader to [22, Chapter 9] for an introduction to this topic. A *nonprincipal ultrafilter* on the set \mathbb{N} of natural numbers is a map $\omega : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that

- for all $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we have $\omega(A \cup B) = \omega(A) + \omega(B) - \omega(A \cap B)$, and
- we have $\omega(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\omega(\mathbb{N}) = 1$, and
- for all finite sets $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we have $\omega(A) = 0$.

The existence of nonprincipal ultrafilters follows from the axiom of choice. We fix once and for all such a nonprincipal ultrafilter ω on \mathbb{N} . Given a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists a unique $x_\omega \in \overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ such that for every neighborhood U of x_ω in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $\omega(\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x_n \in U\}) = 1$. We call x_ω the ω -*limit* of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and denote it by $\lim_\omega x_n$.

Let $((X_n, d_n, p_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed metric spaces, and let

$$X := \{(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n \mid \lim_\omega d_n(x_n, p_n) < +\infty\}.$$

Define a pseudo-metric on X by $d_\omega((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) := \lim_\omega d_n(x_n, y_n) \in [0, +\infty)$. The ω -*limit* of the pointed metric spaces (X_n, p_n) , denoted by $\lim_\omega (X_n, p_n)$, is defined to be the Hausdorff quotient of X for this pseudo-metric. It is a well-known fact that the ω -limit of any sequence of pointed metric spaces is complete.

The class of \mathbb{R} -trees is closed under taking ultralimits (see [35, Lemma 4.6], for instance). Let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of F_N -trees converging to a very small F_N -tree T in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology, and let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence. Whenever a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ is such that the distance $d_{T_n}(x_n, p_n)$ is bounded, then the distance $d_{T_n}(g x_n, p_n) \leq d_{T_n}(g x_n, g p_n) + d_{T_n}(g p_n, p_n)$ is also bounded. Hence there is a

natural isometric F_N -action on T_ω defined by $g(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (gx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. From now on, whenever an \mathbb{R} -tree T_ω is obtained as an ultralimit of a converging sequence of F_N -trees (in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology) with respect to a bounded sequence, we will equip it with the F_N -action described above.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of F_N -trees, converging in the equivariant Gromov-Hausdorff topology to a very small F_N -tree T . Let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ be a bounded sequence, and denote by T_ω the ω -limit of $(T_n, p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then \overline{T} isometrically embeds into T_ω as a closed F_N -invariant subtree.*

Proof. Using Proposition 4.2, we can find an approximation $(p'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ of some point $p \in T$ such that $d_{T_n}(p_n, p'_n)$ is bounded. For $x \in T$, let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ be an approximation of x with respect to the same exhaustions as those used to define the approximation $(p'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of p (we can assume that $x \in K^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$). By Lemma 4.1, the distance $d_{T_n}(x_n, p'_n)$ is bounded. The triangle inequality then implies that $d_{T_n}(x_n, p_n)$ is bounded, so we get a map

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : T &\rightarrow T_\omega \\ x &\mapsto (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \end{aligned} .$$

The first assertion of Lemma 4.1 shows the map ψ is an isometric embedding, and the second shows that ψ is F_N -equivariant. In particular, the tree T isometrically embeds as an F_N -invariant subtree in T_ω . The \mathbb{R} -tree T_ω is complete, so the completion \overline{T} also isometrically embeds as a (closed) F_N -invariant subtree of T_ω . \square

Let $M \in \mathbb{R}$, and let (X_n, d_n, p_n) and (X'_n, d'_n, p'_n) be two sequences of pointed metric spaces, together with M -Lipschitz maps $f_n : X_n \rightarrow X'_n$. Assume that for all sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ such that $d_n(p_n, x_n)$ is bounded, we have $\lim_\omega d'_n(p'_n, f_n(x_n)) < +\infty$. Then we can define a map $f_\omega : X_\omega \rightarrow X'_\omega$ by setting $f_\omega((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) := (f_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. This applies for example to the case where $p'_n = f_n(p_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The map f_ω is also M -Lipschitz (this applies more generally to the case where the maps f_n are M_n -Lipschitz with $\lim_\omega M_n = M$).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Up to passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the sequence $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to M . Let $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ be a bounded sequence, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $q_n := f_n(p_n)$, then $(q_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Letting $T_\omega := \lim_\omega(T_n, p_n)$ and $T'_\omega := \lim_\omega(T'_n, q_n)$, we thus get an F_N -equivariant M -Lipschitz map $f_\omega : T_\omega \rightarrow T'_\omega$ by setting $f_\omega((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) := (f_n(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for all $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in T_\omega$. By Proposition 4.5, the tree T (resp. \overline{T}) isometrically embeds in T_ω (resp. T'_ω) as an F_N -invariant subtree. Denote by $i : T \hookrightarrow T_\omega$ the inclusion map (which is obviously F_N -equivariant and 1-Lipschitz), and by $\pi : T'_\omega \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ the closest point projection, which is also easily seen to be F_N -equivariant and 1-Lipschitz. The map $\pi \circ f_\omega \circ i : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ is the desired M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T to $\overline{T'}$. \square

5 The case of trees with dense orbits

In this section, we prove our two main results (Theorems 0.1 and 0.2) in the case of trees with dense orbits.

5.1 An easy inequality in the extension of White's theorem

Given $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we define $\text{Lip}(T, T')$ to be the infimum of a Lipschitz constant of an F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ if such a map exists, and $\text{Lip}(T, T') = +\infty$ otherwise. We define $\Lambda(T, T') := \sup_{g \in F_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}$ (where we take the conventions $\frac{0}{0} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{0} = +\infty$). Given a subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq F_N$, we define $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') := \sup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}$ (in particular, we have $\Lambda_{F_N}(T, T') = \Lambda(T, T')$). Given a map f between \mathbb{R} -trees, we denote by $\text{Lip}(f)$ the Lipschitz constant of f . We start by recalling the proof of the following inequality, which shows in particular that strong domination implies weak domination.

Proposition 5.1. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we have $\Lambda(T, T') \leq \text{Lip}(T, T')$. In particular, for all $\mathcal{C} \subseteq F_N$, we have $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') \leq \text{Lip}(T, T')$.*

Proof. Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. Assume that $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$ (otherwise the claim is obvious), and let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map. Let $g \in F_N$, and let $x \in C_T(g)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{T'} &\leq d_{\overline{T'}}(f(x), gf(x)) \\ &\leq \text{Lip}(f)d_T(x, gx) \\ &= \text{Lip}(f)\|g\|_T, \end{aligned}$$

so for all $g \in F_N$, we have $\frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T} \leq \text{Lip}(f)$. The claim follows. \square

5.2 Extending White's theorem to trees with dense orbits

Given $\mathcal{C} \subseteq F_N$, we say that a tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ satisfies White's theorem relatively to \mathcal{C} if for all $T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we have $\text{Lip}(T, T') = \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T')$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq F_N$, and $T \in \overline{cv_N}$. If T admits a Lipschitz approximation by a sequence of trees $T_n \in \overline{cv_N}$ which all satisfy White's theorem relatively to \mathcal{C} , then T satisfies White's theorem relatively to \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Proposition 5.1 shows that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') \leq \text{Lip}(T, T')$, and if $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') = +\infty$, then the reverse inequality is obvious. We can thus assume that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') < +\infty$. As the trees T_n satisfy White's theorem relatively to \mathcal{C} , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(T_n, T') &= \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T_n, T') \\ &\leq \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T_n, T)\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') \\ &\leq \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T'), \end{aligned}$$

since it follows from Proposition 5.1 and the definition of a Lipschitz approximation that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T_n, T) \leq 1$. As $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T') < +\infty$, Theorem 4.3 thus shows the existence of a $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T')$ -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T to $\overline{T'}$, hence $\text{Lip}(T, T') \leq \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}(T, T')$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, and assume that T has dense orbits. Then*

$$\text{Lip}(T, T') = \Lambda(T, T') = \Lambda_{\mathcal{P}_N}(T, T').$$

Proof. Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 1.5 show that T admits a Lipschitz approximation by trees in cv_N , and Theorem 1.3 shows that trees in cv_N satisfy White's theorem relatively to \mathcal{P}_N (this actually only uses the particular case of Theorem 1.3 where the simplicial tree belongs to CV_N). Corollary 5.3 thus follows from Proposition 5.2. \square

5.3 Simple-equivalent trees with dense orbits are equal.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be two trees that both satisfy White's theorem relatively to \mathcal{P}_N . If T and T' are simple-equivalent, then $T = T'$.*

Proof. The hypotheses ensure the existence of 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant maps from T to $\overline{T'}$ and from T' to \overline{T} , so Proposition 5.1 implies that $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$ for all $g \in F_N$. Theorem 1.1 thus implies that $T = T'$. \square

From Corollary 5.3 and Proposition 5.4, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be two trees with dense orbits. If T and T' are simple-equivalent, then $T = T'$.* \square

5.4 Computing stretching factors between trees with dense orbits

We give a formula for $\text{Lip}(T, T')$ for trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ having dense orbits, in terms of length measures on T and T' . This notion was introduced by Paulin in [30], and developed by Guirardel in [17, Section 5]. An *invariant length measure* μ on T is a collection of finite Borel measures μ_I for all segments $I \subseteq T$ such that

- for all segments $J \subseteq I$, we have $\mu_J = (\mu_I)|_J$, and
- for all segments $I \subseteq T$ and all $g \in F_N$, we have $\mu_{gI} = (g|_I)_* \mu_I$.

Given a segment $I \subseteq T$, we will simply write $\mu(I)$ to denote $\mu_I(I)$. We denote by μ^T the *Lebesgue measure* on T given by $\mu^T([x, y]) := d_T(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in T$. A subset $E \subseteq T$ is *measurable* if each intersection of E with an arc of T is measurable. A measurable subset E has μ -*measure* 0 if for every arc $I \subseteq T$, we have $\mu_I(E \cap I) = 0$. It has *full μ -measure* if $T \setminus E$ has μ -measure 0. A measure μ on an F_N -tree T is *ergodic* if every F_N -invariant measurable subset of T has either zero or full μ -measure. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_0(T)$ the space of nonatomic measures on T . The following theorem, due to Guirardel, states that any tree in \overline{cv}_N with dense orbits is finite-dimensional from the measure-theoretic viewpoint.

Theorem 5.6. *(Guirardel [17, Corollary 5.4]) For all very small F_N -trees T with dense orbits, the set $\mathcal{M}_0(T)$ is a finite-dimensional convex set. Furthermore, the tree T has at most $3N - 4$ nonatomic ergodic measures up to homothety, and every measure in $\mathcal{M}_0(T)$ is a sum of these ergodic measures.*

Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be two trees with dense orbits. Denote by k the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_0(T)$, and let $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$ be a collection of ergodic measures on T given by Theorem 5.6. The measures μ_i are pairwise mutually singular, and there exist disjoint measurable sets E_1, \dots, E_k that cover T such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, the set E_i has full μ_i -measure. As the Lebesgue measure μ^T is nonatomic, it decomposes as $\mu^T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mu_i$, with $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. The measures μ_i for which $\lambda_i > 0$ are absolutely continuous with respect to μ^T . In particular, they are *regular*, i.e. for all Borel subsets $X \subseteq T$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open subset $U \subseteq T$ containing X such that for all segments $I \subseteq T$, we have $\mu_i(X \cap I) \geq \mu_i(U \cap I) - \epsilon$. If there exists a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant, alignment-preserving map $f : T \rightarrow T'$, then the measure μ^f defined on T by $\mu^f([x, y]) := d_{T'}(f(x), f(y))$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ^T . In particular, it decomposes as $\mu^f = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda'_i \mu_i$,

where $\lambda'_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $\lambda'_i = 0$ whenever $\lambda_i = 0$. It follows from Proposition 1.7 that there exists at most one Lipschitz, F_N -equivariant, alignment-preserving map $f : T \rightarrow T'$. If such a map exists, and if μ^T denotes the Lebesgue measure on T , we denote by $\mu^{T \rightarrow T'}$ the measure μ^f on T .

Proposition 5.7. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be two F_N -trees with dense orbits. Then $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$ if and only if there exists a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant, alignment-preserving map from T to T' . In this case, denote by k the dimension of $\mathcal{M}_0(T)$, let $\mu^T = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \mu_i$ be the decomposition of the Lebesgue measure on T on its ergodic components, and let $\mu^{T \rightarrow T'} = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda'_i \mu_i$ be the decomposition of $\mu^{T \rightarrow T'}$. Then*

$$\text{Lip}(T, T') = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \frac{\lambda'_i}{\lambda_i}.$$

Proof. If $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$, then there exists a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$, which is unique by Proposition 1.7, and preserves alignment by Proposition 3.9. This implies that $f(T) \subseteq T'$, otherwise we would find $x \in T$ with $f(x) \in \overline{T'} \setminus T'$, and some component of $T \setminus \{x\}$ would be entirely mapped to $f(x)$. However, as T has dense orbits, this would imply that $f(T) \subseteq \overline{T'} \setminus T'$, a contradiction. Let $C := \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \frac{\lambda'_i}{\lambda_i}$. By definition of $\mu^{T \rightarrow T'}$, for all $x, y \in T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{T'}(f(x), f(y)) &= \mu^{T \rightarrow T'}([x, y]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda'_i \mu_i([x, y]) \\ &\leq C \mu^T([x, y]) \\ &= C d_T(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

so $\text{Lip}(f) \leq C$. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ be such that $\lambda_i > 0$, and let $I \subseteq T$ be an arc such that $\mu_i(I) > 0$. We denote by μ_i^ϵ the measure $\mu^T - \lambda_i \mu_i$. The measures μ_1, \dots, μ_k are pairwise mutually singular, so there exists a Borel subset X of I such that $\mu_i(X) = \mu_i(I)$ and $\mu_j(X) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$. As μ_i is regular, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $U \subseteq I$ that contains X , such that $\mu_i^\epsilon(U) < \epsilon \mu_i(I)$. Since $U \subseteq I$ is open, it is the disjoint union of a countable collection of open intervals. At least one of these intervals I' must satisfy $\mu_i^\epsilon(I') < \epsilon \mu_i(I')$. By definition of $\mu^{T \rightarrow T'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lip}(f) &\geq \frac{\mu^{T \rightarrow T'}(I')}{\mu^T(I')} \\ &= \frac{\lambda'_i \mu_i(I') + \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda'_j \mu_j(I')}{\lambda_i \mu_i(I') + \mu_i^\epsilon(I')} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda'_i \mu_i(I')}{\lambda_i \mu_i(I') + \mu_i^\epsilon(I')} \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda'_i}{\lambda_i + \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small, we thus get that $\frac{\lambda'_i}{\lambda_i} \leq \text{Lip}(f)$. This holds for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ for which $\lambda_i > 0$, and in addition we have $\lambda'_i = 0$ whenever $\lambda_i = 0$. This shows that $\text{Lip}(f) \geq C$, and hence $\text{Lip}(f) = \text{Lip}(T, T') = C$. \square




Figure 13: The trees T_i in Example 6.2.

6 Generalizing White's theorem to arbitrary trees in the boundary of outer space

We now generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 to arbitrary actions in the boundary of outer space. This answers a question by Algom-Kfir [2, Question 4.6].

Theorem 6.1. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we have $Lip(T, T') = \Lambda(T, T')$.*

The proof of Theorem 6.1 will be carried out in Sections 6.2 to 6.4. White's theorem for trees in cv_N is actually a bit stronger, as it provides a finite set (depending on T but not on T') of (conjugacy classes of) primitive elements of F_N called candidates, represented by loops in T/F_N having a particular shape, on which the supremum in the definition of $\Lambda(T, T')$ is achieved for all $T' \in cv_N$. In particular, this gives an explicit procedure for computing $Lip(T, T')$ for all $T, T' \in cv_N$. In Section 6.5, we will give a generalization of the notion of candidates in a tree $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, and show that for all $T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, the supremum in the definition of $\Lambda(T, T')$ can be taken over the set of candidates in T (Theorem 6.17). In Section 6.6, we show that in the case of good trees, this supremum can be taken over the set of primitive elements of F_N . This will turn out to be a crucial tool for tackling the problem of spectral rigidity of the set of primitive elements of F_N in $\overline{cv_N}$.

6.1 A few examples

When $T, T' \in cv_N$, both the infimum in the definition of $Lip(T, T')$ and the supremum in the definition of $\Lambda(T, T')$ are achieved. This remains true more generally when T is simplicial, and in this case we can replace $\overline{T'}$ by T' in the definition of $Lip(T, T')$, see [2, Proposition 4.5] and Remark 4.4 of the present paper. When $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ are arbitrary trees, the infimum in the definition of $Lip(T, T')$ is still realized as long as there exists a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ (Proposition 6.4). However the supremum in the definition of $\Lambda(T, T')$ may not be realized (even if it is finite), as shown in the following example.

Example 6.2. (see Figure 13). We provide an example of a pair of trees $T_1, T_2 \in \overline{cv_N}$ for which the supremum in the definition of $\Lambda(T_1, T_2)$ is not achieved. For all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let T_i be the tree associated to a graph of actions with a single edge of length i having trivial stabilizer, and two vertices, one having cyclic stabilizer generated by an element $t \in F_N$, and the other being a nontrivial G -tree T_0 with dense orbits (where G is a complementary free factor in F_N of the cyclic group generated by t), whose attaching point p is not fixed by any element of F_N (the existence of such a point p follows from [20]).



Figure 14: The trees T and T' in Example 6.3.

There is an obvious 2-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T_1 to T_2 which stretches the edges in the simplicial part of T by a factor of 2, hence $\text{Lip}(T_1, T_2) \leq 2$. As T_0 has dense orbits, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in G$ such that $d_{T_0}(p, gp) < \epsilon$. So for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $2i < \|tg\|_{T_i} < 2i + \epsilon$, hence $\frac{\|tg\|_{T_2}}{\|tg\|_{T_1}} \geq \frac{4}{2+\epsilon}$, which becomes arbitrary close to 2 as ϵ goes to 0. So $\Lambda(T_1, T_2) \geq 2$, and hence by Proposition 5.1 we have $\text{Lip}(T_1, T_2) = \Lambda(T_1, T_2) = 2$. However, any element $g \in F_N$ either belongs to a conjugate of G , or of the cyclic group generated by t (in which case $\|g\|_{T_1} = \|g\|_{T_2}$), or is conjugated to an element represented by a reduced word of the form $t^{\alpha_1} g_1 t^{\alpha_2} \dots t^{\alpha_k} g_k$, with $\alpha_i \neq 0$ and $g_i \in G \setminus \{e\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. In this last case, we have $l_i := d_{T_0}(p, g_i p) > 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, because p is not fixed by any element of G , and

$$\|g\|_{T_1} = 2k + \sum_{i=1}^k l_i,$$

and similarly

$$\|g\|_{T_2} = 4k + \sum_{i=1}^k l_i < 2\|g\|_{T_1}.$$

So no element in F_N is stretched exactly by an amount of 2 from T_1 to T_2 .

Example 6.3. (see Figure 14). We give an example of a pair of trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ for which $\overline{T'}$ cannot be replaced by T' in the definition of $\text{Lip}(T, T')$. More precisely, we give an example of a pair of trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ for which there exists an F_N -equivariant Lipschitz map from T to $\overline{T'}$, but no such map from T to T' .

Let T_0 be a minimal nontrivial F_{N-1} -tree with dense orbits, then T_0 is strictly contained in its metric completion (see [13, Example II.6]). Let $p \in \overline{T_0} \setminus T_0$, and let T be the tree associated to a graph of actions having

- two vertices v_1 and v_2 , with v_1 having nontrivial cyclic vertex group, and v_2 having $\overline{T_0}$ as its vertex tree, and
- one single edge e of length 2 with trivial edge group, whose origin is v_1 , and whose terminal vertex is v_2 , with attaching point p .

Let $p' \in T_0$ be such that $d_T(p, p') = 1$, and let T' be the F_N -tree obtained by equivariantly folding half of the edge e along the segment $[p, p']$, and passing to a minimal subtree.

The definition of T' provides a 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant morphism from T to $\overline{T'}$. However, we claim that for all $M \in \mathbb{R}$, there is no M -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from

T to T' . Indeed, suppose $f : T \rightarrow T'$ is F_N -equivariant and Lipschitz. Then $f(T_0)$ is an F_{N-1} -tree with dense orbits contained in T' , so $f(T_0) \subseteq T_0$, and by Proposition 1.7, the map f restricts to the identity on T_0 . As p is the limit of a sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements in T_0 , its image $f(p)$ should be the limit of $f(p_n) = p_n$ in T' . However, the sequence $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not converge in T' .

6.2 Optimal maps and legal turns

Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. A map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ is *piecewise-linear* if it is Lipschitz, and linear in restriction to the edges in the simplicial part of T . Let X denote the underlying graph of the canonical decomposition of T as a graph of actions with vertex trees having dense orbits (Proposition 1.4). The length of a segment $\gamma \subset T$ is denoted by $l_T(\gamma)$, and similarly the length of a path γ in X is denoted by $l_X(\gamma)$. We define T_f to be the (possibly empty) subset of T consisting of the edges e in the simplicial part of T for which $\frac{l_{\overline{T'}}(f(e))}{l_T(e)} = \text{Lip}(f)$. We denote by T_f^{simpl} the projection of T_f to T^{simpl} , and by X_f its projection to X . An *optimal map* $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ is an F_N -equivariant, piecewise-linear map such that $\text{Lip}(f) = \text{Lip}(T, T')$, and X_f is minimal for the inclusion among all F_N -equivariant, piecewise-linear $\text{Lip}(f)$ -Lipschitz maps. Note that in the case where T has dense orbits, this last condition is empty.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. If $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$, then there exists an optimal map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$.*

Remark 6.5. Again, this extends to more general contexts than F_N -actions. For example, this is true if T and T' are two trees belonging to an irreducible deformation space, see [26, Theorem 20].

Proof. Applying Theorem 4.3 to a sequence of F_N -equivariant maps $f_n : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ with $\text{Lip}(f_n) \leq \text{Lip}(T, T') + \frac{1}{n}$ gives the existence of a $\text{Lip}(T, T')$ -Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$. Tightening f on the edges in the simplicial part of T cannot increase its Lipschitz constant, hence we may choose $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ to be piecewise-linear. As X is a finite graph, we can also choose f so that X_f is minimal. \square

We fix once and for all two trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ such that $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$, together with an optimal map $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$. A *turn* at a vertex v of T^{simpl} is a pair $(e = [a, b], e' = [c, d])$ of distinct edges in the simplicial part of T such that $[b, c]$ projects to v (in other terms, the projections of e and e' to T^{simpl} share a common vertex). Let v be a vertex of T_f^{simpl} such that T_v is reduced to a point. A turn (e, e') at v is *legal* for f if $e, e' \subseteq T_f$, and $f(e) \cap f(e') = \{f(b)\}$, and *illegal* otherwise. It is *legal up to G_v* for f if there exists $g \in G_v$ such that (e, ge') is legal for f . The following proposition, already used by Algom-Kfir in her proof of [2, Proposition 4.5], gives control over legal turns at a vertex v of T_f^{simpl} for which T_v is reduced to a point. We provide a proof for completeness.

Proposition 6.6. *Let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map, and assume that $T_f \neq \emptyset$. Let v be a vertex in T_f^{simpl} such that T_v is reduced to a point. Then there exists a turn at v which is legal for f , and if G_v has rank at least 2, then all turns at v are legal up to G_v for f . In addition, for all edges e, e', e'' in T_f adjacent to v , if (e, e') and (e', e'') are both illegal for f , then (e, e'') is also illegal for f .*

Proof. If the f -images of all edges in T_f adjacent to v have a common initial germ, and have a common initial segment with their g -translate for all $g \in G_v$, then all f -images of edges in T_f adjacent to v in a single F_N -orbit have a common initial segment, which is an arc fixed by G_v in $\overline{T'}$. As there are finitely many such orbits, this implies that all f -images of edges in T_f adjacent to v have a common initial segment, which is an arc fixed by G_v in $\overline{T'}$. One can then slightly homotope f to either decrease $\text{Lip}(f)$ or X_f , contradicting optimality of f (see the proof of [12, Proposition 3.15] or [1, Proposition 2.3] for details). So we can find a turn at v which is legal for f . Assume in addition that G_v has rank at least 2. For all edges e, e' in T_f adjacent to v (possibly with $e = e'$), the f -images of e and e' are not reduced to points. As T' is very small, the subgroup G'_v of elements $g \in G_v$ such that $f(e)$ and $gf(e')$ share a nondegenerate initial segment is at most cyclic, and for all $g \in G_v \setminus G'_v$, the turn (e, ge') is legal for f . The assertion stating that illegality at v is a transitive relation follows from the definition of illegal turns. \square

One has to be slightly more careful when defining legality of turns at vertices v of T_f^{simple} for which T_v is not reduced to a point. Let v be such a vertex. For $\epsilon > 0$, a turn $(e = [a, b], e' = [c, d])$ at v is said to be ϵ -legal for f if $e, e' \subseteq T_f$, and $d_T(b, c) < \epsilon$, and $l_{\overline{T'}}(f(e) \cap f(e')) < \epsilon$. It is *legal up to G_v* for f if for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in G_v$ such that (e, ge') is ϵ -legal for f . We aim at giving an analogue of Proposition 6.6 in this situation. The following lemma, illustrated in Figure 15, will turn out to be useful.

Lemma 6.7. *Let T be an \mathbb{R} -tree, let $l, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\epsilon < \frac{l}{10}$, and let $a, a', a'', b, b', b'' \in T$. Assume that $l_T([a, b] \cap [a', b']), l_T([a, b] \cap [a'', b'']) \geq l$ and $l_T([a, a']), l_T([a, a'']) \leq \epsilon$. Then $l_T([a', b'] \cap [a'', b'']) \geq l - \epsilon$.*

Proof. As $\epsilon < \frac{l}{10}$, one can check that the tripods $\{a, a', a''\}$ and $\{b, b', b''\}$ do not intersect. One then argue depending on whether the intersection of the bridge between them with the tripod $\{a, a', a''\}$ (resp. $\{b, b', b''\}$) is in the direction of a, a' or a'' (resp. b, b' or b''). The various possibilities are displayed on Figure 15. \square

Proposition 6.8. *Let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map, and assume that $T_f \neq \emptyset$. Let v be a vertex in T_f^{simple} such that T_v is not reduced to a point. Then all turns (e, e') at v with $e, e' \subseteq T_f$ are legal up to G_v for f .*

Proof. We denote by b (resp. c) the attaching point of e (resp. e') to T_v . Assume towards a contradiction that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $g \in G_v$, the turn (e, ge') is not ϵ -legal. Let $\epsilon' := \frac{\epsilon}{100 \max\{M, 1\}}$, where $M := \text{Lip}(f)$. Let $g \in G_v$ be a hyperbolic element in T_v such that $d_T(b, gb) < \epsilon'$. As T_v has dense orbits, there exists $g_0 \in G_v$ such that $d_T(b, g_0c) < \epsilon'$, and hyperbolic elements $g_1, g_2 \in G_v$ which generate a rank 2 subgroup of G_v , such that for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $d_T(c, g_i c) < \epsilon'$ (in particular $\|g_i\|_T < \epsilon'$ and $\|g_i\|_{T'} < \frac{\epsilon}{100}$). By the triangle inequality and the fact that the F_N -action is isometric, we also have $d_T(b, g_0 g_i c) < 2\epsilon'$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. The hypothesis thus implies that $l_{\overline{T'}}(f(e) \cap g_0 f(e')) \geq \epsilon$ and $l_{\overline{T'}}(f(e) \cap g_0 g_i f(e')) \geq \epsilon$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$. In addition, as f is M -Lipschitz, we have $d_{\overline{T'}}(f(b), f(g_0 c)) < \frac{\epsilon}{100}$, and $d_{\overline{T'}}(f(b), f(g_0 g_i c)) < \frac{\epsilon}{50}$, so Lemma 6.7 implies that the segments $f(e'), f(g_1 e')$ and $f(g_2 e')$ pairwise intersect along a subsegment of length greater than $\frac{49\epsilon}{50}$. However, as $\|g_i\|_{T'} < \frac{\epsilon}{100}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, this implies that the axes of g_1 and g_2 in T' have a nontrivial overlap, of length greater than $\|g_1\|_{T'} + \|g_2\|_{T'}$. Hence some




Figure 15: The situation in Lemma 6.7.

nontrivial element in the rank 2 subgroup generated by g_1 and g_2 fixes a nondegenerate subsegment of these axes, contradicting Lemma 1.5. \square

6.3 Case where $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$

We first prove Theorem 6.1 in the case where $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$.

Proposition 6.9. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. If $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$, then $\text{Lip}(T, T') \leq \Lambda(T, T')$.*

Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$ and $g \in F_N$. The *combinatorial length* of g in T , denoted by $l_T^{\text{comb}}(g)$, is defined as the length of g in the simplicial tree obtained from T^{simpl} by making all edge lengths equal to 1. As there are finitely many orbits of branch points in T by [13, Corollary III.3], the number of orbits of edges in T^{simpl} is finite. An element $g \in F_N$ is ϵ -legal for f if

- its axis $C_T(g)$ crosses an edge in the simplicial part of T , and
- whenever $C_T(g)$ crosses a turn at a vertex v of T^{simpl} whose corresponding vertex tree in T is reduced to a point, then this turn is legal, and
- whenever $C_T(g)$ crosses a turn at a vertex v of T^{simpl} whose corresponding vertex tree in T is not reduced to a point, then this turn is ϵ -legal.

Lemma 6.10. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ be such that $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$, and let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map. If $T_f \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an element $g \in F_N$ with $l_T^{\text{comb}}(g) \leq K$, which is ϵ -legal for f .*

Proof. Let K be the (finite) number of orbits of oriented edges in T^{simpl} , let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $x \in T_f$. Starting from x and using Propositions 6.6 and 6.8, we construct a path in T by only crossing ϵ -legal turns for f (legal turns at vertices whose corresponding vertex tree is reduced to a point). After crossing at most K turns, we have necessarily crossed the same orbit of oriented edges twice, so we have constructed a segment of the form $[v, gv]$ for some $g \in F_N$. In particular, we have $l_T^{\text{comb}}(g) \leq K$, and g is ϵ -legal for f . \square

An element $g \in F_N$ is called an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') if $\frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T} \geq \text{Lip}(T, T') - \epsilon$.

Lemma 6.11. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ be such that $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$, and let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map. Assume that $T_f \neq \emptyset$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ and all $K \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ such that any element $g \in F_N$ with $l_T^{\text{comb}}(g) \leq K$ and which is ϵ' -legal for f , is an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') .*

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ be the smallest length of an edge in T^{simpl} , and let $\epsilon' > 0$ be smaller than $\frac{M}{3}\lambda$, where $M := \text{Lip}(f)$. Let $g \in F_N$ be ϵ' -legal for f and such that $l_T^{\text{comb}}(g) \leq K$. Let $v \in C_T(g)$, and let γ be the projection of $[v, gv]$ to X . Then $\|g\|_T \leq l_X(\gamma) + K\epsilon'$. In addition, every edge in T_f is mapped by f to a segment of length at least $M\lambda$. As $\epsilon' \leq \frac{M}{3}\lambda$, the control we have over cancellation for ϵ' -legal turns ensures that after tightening, the length of any fundamental domain of the f -image of $C_T(g)$ is at least $\text{Lip}(T, T')l_X(\gamma) - 2K\epsilon'$, and we have $\|g\|_{T'} \geq \text{Lip}(T, T')l_X(\gamma) - 2K\epsilon'$, see Figure 16. Hence

$$\frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T} \geq \frac{\text{Lip}(T, T')l_X(\gamma) - 2K\epsilon'}{l_X(\gamma) + K\epsilon'}.$$




Figure 16: The control over cancellation in an ϵ' -legal path.

By making ϵ' arbitrarily small, we can make $\frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}$ arbitrarily close to $\text{Lip}(T, T')$ (we can assume that $l_X(\gamma)$ is bounded below because X is a finite graph). \square

Proposition 6.12. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ be such that $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an ϵ -witness g for the pair (T, T') . If $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ is an optimal map, and $T_f = \emptyset$, then we can choose g to be contained in a vertex stabilizer of T^{simpl} .*

Proof. Let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map (whose existence is provided by Proposition 6.4). If $T_f \neq \emptyset$, the claim follows from Lemmas 6.10 and 6.11. Otherwise, as X is a finite graph, there exists a vertex v in T^{simpl} corresponding to a tree T_v with dense orbits such that $f|_{T_v} : T_v \rightarrow f(T_v)$ has Lipschitz constant $\text{Lip}(T, T')$. Denoting by T_v^{min} the minimal G_v -subtree of T_v , we get a G_v -equivariant map $f|_{T_v^{\text{min}}} : T_v^{\text{min}} \rightarrow f(T_v^{\text{min}})$, and $\text{Lip}(f|_{T_v^{\text{min}}}) = \text{Lip}(f|_{T_v})$. But T_v^{min} is a tree with dense orbits, and so is $f(T_v^{\text{min}})$. Hence by Proposition 1.7, there exists a unique $\text{Lip}(T, T')$ -Lipschitz G_v -equivariant map from T_v^{min} to $f(T_v^{\text{min}})$, and this map is equal to $f|_{T_v^{\text{min}}}$. Hence $\text{Lip}(T_v^{\text{min}}, f(T_v^{\text{min}})) = \text{Lip}(f|_{T_v}) = \text{Lip}(T, T')$. By Corollary 5.3, we have $\text{Lip}(T_v^{\text{min}}, f(T_v^{\text{min}})) = \sup_{g \in G_v} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T} \leq \sup_{g \in F_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}$, whence $\text{Lip}(T, T') \leq \Lambda(T, T')$, and the claim follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.9. Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ be such that $\text{Lip}(T, T') < +\infty$. By Proposition 6.12, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') , so $\Lambda(T, T') \geq \text{Lip}(T, T')$. The reverse inequality follows from Proposition 5.1. \square

6.4 End of the proof of Theorem 6.1

In this section, we finish the proof of Theorem 6.1. Proposition 6.15 will be used in the following sections in various contexts to get refinements of Theorem 6.1 (see Theorem 6.17 and Corollaries 6.19 and 6.21).

Proposition 6.13. *Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$, and let $\mathcal{C}(T) \subseteq F_N$ be a subset that contains all vertex stabilizers of T^{simpl} . For all $T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, if $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(T, T') < +\infty$, then $Lip(T, T') < +\infty$.*

Proof. Let T_v be a vertex tree of T^{simpl} whose stabilizer G_v is nontrivial, and let T_v^{\min} be the minimal G_v -invariant subtree of T_v . Let T'_v be the minimal G_v -invariant subtree of T' . As $G_v \subseteq \mathcal{C}(T)$, we have $\Lambda_{G_v}(T_v^{\min}, T'_v) < +\infty$, so by Corollary 5.3, there exists a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T_v^{\min} to $\overline{T'_v}$, and hence from T_v to $\overline{T'_v}$. Notice that if an attaching point $p \in T_v$ is fixed by $g \in G_v$, then $f(p)$ is also fixed by g . Hence we can define a Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map from T to $\overline{T'}$ by sending every vertex tree T_v with dense orbits into the corresponding tree $\overline{T'_v} \subseteq \overline{T'}$ (in particular, every vertex tree which is reduced to a point with nontrivial vertex group G_v is sent to a point fixed by G_v), sending the points in T projecting to vertices in T^{simpl} with trivial stabilizer arbitrarily in an F_N -equivariant way, and extending linearly on edges. The map we get is Lipschitz because there is a finite number of orbits of vertices and of orbits of edges in T^{simpl} . \square

In particular, Proposition 6.13 applied to $\mathcal{C}(T) := F_N$, together with Proposition 5.1, implies the following corollary.

Corollary 6.14. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we have $Lip(T, T') < +\infty$ if and only if $\Lambda(T, T') < +\infty$.* \square

The following proposition will be applied in the sequel to various choices of the set $\mathcal{C}(T)$ to get refinements of Theorem 6.1.

Proposition 6.15. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(T)$ be a subset of F_N that contains all vertex stabilizers of T^{simpl} . Assume in addition that either $Lip(T, T') = +\infty$, or that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}(T)$ which is an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') . Then $Lip(T, T') = \Lambda_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(T, T')$.*

Proof. Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. Proposition 5.1 shows that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(T, T') \leq Lip(T, T')$, and if $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(T, T') = +\infty$, then the reverse inequality is obvious. So we may assume that $\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}(T)}(T, T') < +\infty$. Proposition 6.13 then shows that $Lip(T, T') < +\infty$, and the conclusion follows from the assumption made on $\mathcal{C}(T)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Theorem 6.1 follows from Proposition 6.15 applied to $\mathcal{C}(T) := F_N$ and Proposition 6.12. \square

6.5 Candidates

We extend the notion of candidates from Section 1.2 to arbitrary trees in $\overline{cv_N}$, compare with [2, Definition 4.4]. An element $g \in F_N$ is a *candidate* in T if there exists $v \in C_T(g)$ such that the segment $[v, gv]$ projects to a loop γ in X which is either

- an embedded loop, or
- an embedded bouquet of two circles, or
- a barbell graph, or




Figure 17: The shape of loops in X that represent candidates in T .

- a *simply-degenerate barbell*, i.e. γ is of the form $u\eta\bar{\eta}$, where u is an embedded loop in X and η is an embedded path in X with two distinct endpoints which meets u only at its origin, and whose terminal endpoint is a vertex in X with nontrivial stabilizer, or
- a *doubly-degenerate barbell*, i.e. γ is of the form $\eta\bar{\eta}$, where η is an embedded path in X whose two distinct endpoints have nontrivial stabilizers, or
- a vertex in X .

We display the possible shapes of the loop γ on Figure 17. In the case of (possibly simply- or doubly-degenerate) barbells, we call η the *central path* of γ . By a more careful analysis of the path built in the proof of Lemma 6.10, we show the following result, which was already noticed by Algom-Kfir [2, Proposition 4.5]. Our strategy of proof follows [1, Proposition 2.3].

Proposition 6.16. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be such that $Lip(T, T') < +\infty$. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an element $g \in F_N$ which is a candidate in T and is an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') . More precisely, let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map.*

- *If $X_f = \emptyset$, then there exists $g \in F_N$ whose characteristic set in T projects to a point in X , and which is an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') .*
- *If $X_f \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $g \in F_N$ which is a candidate in T , and which is ϵ -legal for f .*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. If $X_f = \emptyset$, the claim follows from Proposition 6.12, so we assume that $X_f \neq \emptyset$. Choose a vertex $v_0 \in T_f^{simpl}$, and an edge e_0 in T_f whose projection to T^{simpl} is




Figure 18: The projection to X of the path $e_0\gamma_1e_1 \dots e_{k-1}$.

adjacent to v_0 . Propositions 6.6 and 6.8 enable us to construct a path in T of the form $e_0\gamma_0e_1\gamma_1e_2 \dots$, where for all integers i ,

- the subpath e_i is an edge in T_f , with origin x_i and terminal endpoint x'_i , and
- the subpath $\gamma_i = [x'_i, x_{i+1}]$ lies in a vertex tree of T (it projects to a vertex $v_{i+1} \in T^{simpl}$), and
- the turn (e_i, e_{i+1}) is ϵ -legal for f (and legal for f when $T_{v_{i+1}}$ is reduced to a point).

As the number of orbits of vertices in the simplicial part of T is finite, there exist integers $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an element $g \in F_N$, such that $v_{i+k} = gv_i$. After possibly renumbering the edges, we get a path in T of the form $e_0\gamma_0e_1 \dots e_{k-1}$, such that all the turns (e_i, e_{i+1}) are ϵ -legal for f (legal at vertices whose vertex tree is reduced to a point), and $v_i \neq v_j$ for all $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, but (e_{k-1}, ge_0) might not be ϵ -legal (or legal) for f . This path projects to a loop γ in X_f which is either embedded, or consists of a single edge crossed successively in both directions, in which case we say it is *degenerate*, see Figure 18 (the degenerate case occurs when $k = 2$ and the edges e_0 and e_1 belong to the same orbit of edges).

If there exists $g_k \in G_{v_k}$ so that the turn $(e_{k-1}, g_k ge_0)$ is ϵ -legal (or legal) for f (which happens for instance as soon as G_{v_k} has rank at least 2 by Propositions 6.6 and 6.8), then $g_k g$ is a candidate in T which is ϵ -legal for f . From now on, we assume that for all $g_k \in G_{v_k}$, the turn $(e_{k-1}, g_k ge_0)$ is not ϵ -legal for f , so in particular the vertex group G_{v_k} is at most cyclic. Proposition 6.6 shows that for all $g_k \in G_{v_k}$, the turn $(e_{k-1}, g_k e_{k-1})$ is not legal for f , but ensures the existence of an edge e_k in T_f adjacent to v_k (not in the same F_N -orbit as e_{k-1}), such that the turn (e_{k-1}, e_k) is legal for f . Take this direction, and continue crossing turns which are ϵ -legal for f (legal for f at vertices of T^{simpl} with trivial vertex trees) till you reach a vertex v_l whose orbit has already been visited (i.e. $v_l = g'v_j$ for some $j \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}$ and some $g' \in F_N$). Discussing on the rank of G_{v_l} , Propositions 6.6 and 6.8 ensure the existence of $g_l \in G_{v_l}$ such that one of the turns $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ or $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_j)$ is ϵ -legal for f (and legal for f if T_{v_l} is reduced to a point). As above, the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to a loop in X_f which is either embedded or degenerate. Also notice that for all $g \in G_{v_k}$, the turn (e_0, ge_k) is legal for f , otherwise Proposition 6.6 would imply that (e_{k-1}, e_k) is not legal for f , a contradiction. We give a description of all possible situations,

see Figure 19 where we display the projection to X of the path we have constructed. For simplicity of notations, we will denote a path in T by the sequence of the simplicial edges it crosses.

Case 1 : The turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_j)$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$, and the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to an embedded loop.

Then the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to an embedded loop.

Case 2 : The turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_j)$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$, and the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to a degenerate loop.

Then the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to a doubly-degenerate barbell.

Case 3 : We have $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$, and the turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$.

Then the path $e_0 \dots e_{j-1} (g_l g')^{-1} (\overline{e_{l-1}} \dots \overline{e_k})$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to an embedded loop.

Case 4 : We have $j = k$, the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1}$ projects to an embedded loop, the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to an embedded loop, and the turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$.

Then the path $e_0 \dots e_{j-1} (g_l g')^{-1} (\overline{e_{l-1}} \dots \overline{e_k})$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to a bouquet of two circles.

Case 5 : We have $j \in \{k, \dots, l-1\}$, the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1}$ projects to a degenerate loop, the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to an embedded loop, and the turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$.

Then the path $e_0 \dots e_{j-1} (g_l g')^{-1} (\overline{e_{l-1}} \dots \overline{e_k})$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to a simply-degenerate barbell.

Case 6 : We have $j \in \{k+1, \dots, l-1\}$, the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1}$ projects to an embedded loop, the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to an embedded loop, and the turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$.

Then the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1} e_k \dots e_{j-1} e_j \dots e_{l-1} g_l g' (\overline{e_{j-1}} \dots \overline{e_k})$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to a barbell.

Case 7 : We have $j \in \{k, \dots, l-1\}$, the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1}$ projects to an embedded loop, the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to a degenerate loop, and the turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ is ϵ -legal for some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$.

Then the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1} e_k \dots e_{j-1} e_j \dots e_{l-1} g_l g' (\overline{e_{j-1}} \dots \overline{e_k})$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to a simply-degenerate barbell.

Case 8 : We have $j \in \{k, \dots, l-1\}$, the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1}$ projects to a degenerate loop, the path $e_j \dots e_{l-1}$ projects to a degenerate loop, and the turn $(e_{l-1}, g_l g' e_{j-1})$ is ϵ -legal for

some $g_l \in G_{v_l}$.

Then the path $e_0 \dots e_{k-1} e_k \dots e_{j-1} e_j \dots e_{l-1} g_l g'(\overline{e_{j-1}} \dots \overline{e_k})$ is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is ϵ -legal in T , and it projects to a doubly-degenerate barbell.

In all cases, we have found an element $g \in F_N$ which is a candidate in T , and which is ϵ -legal for f . In addition, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $g \in F_N$, if g is a candidate in T , then $l_T^{comb}(g) \leq K$ (we recall the notation l_T^{comb} from Section 6.3). The conclusion thus follows from Lemma 6.11. \square

Theorem 6.17. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, we have*

$$Lip(T, T') = \sup_{g \text{ candidate in } T} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

Proof. Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$, and let $\mathcal{C}(T)$ be the set of elements of F_N which are candidates in T . By definition, the set $\mathcal{C}(T)$ contains all vertex groups of T^{simpl} , and Proposition 6.16 shows that $\mathcal{C}(T)$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 6.15. The conclusion thus follows from Proposition 6.15. \square

6.6 The case of good trees

Let $T \in \overline{cv_N}$. We now carry on some further analysis on the set of candidates to show that when T^{simpl} contains at least two F_N -orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers, for all $\epsilon > 0$, we can find an element of F_N which is simple, is a candidate in T , and is an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') .

Proposition 6.18. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$ be such that $Lip(T, T') < +\infty$. Assume that T^{simpl} contains at least two orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in F_N$ which is simple, is a candidate in T , and is an ϵ -witness for the pair (T, T') .*

Proof. Let $f : T \rightarrow \overline{T'}$ be an optimal map (which exists by Proposition 6.4), and let $\epsilon > 0$. If $X_f = \emptyset$, the claim follows from Proposition 6.16 and Lemma 1.12, so we assume that $X_f \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 6.16, there exists $g \in F_N$ which is a candidate in T and is ϵ -legal for f . Let $v \in C_T(g)$ be such that the projection γ of $[v, gv]$ to X has one of the forms prescribed by the definition of a candidate. If γ is either an embedded loop or an embedded bouquet of two circles, then γ crosses each edge of X at most once. As T contains an edge with trivial stabilizer in its simplicial part, Lemma 1.12 ensures that g is simple. The same argument also shows that g is simple in the case where γ does not cross some edge with trivial stabilizer of X , or when γ is a (possibly simply-degenerate) barbell, one of whose loops crosses an edge of X with trivial stabilizer. Hence we can assume that γ is a (possibly simply- or doubly-degenerate) barbell, and that all edges in X with trivial stabilizer belong to the central path γ' of γ .

Assume that γ' contains a vertex v whose stabilizer has rank at least 2, and such that if v is an endpoint of γ' , then v is adjacent to a loop of the barbell. Then v separates γ into two shorter simply- or doubly-degenerate barbells or embedded loops, at least one of which, which we denote by γ'' , avoids an edge of X with trivial stabilizer. Propositions 6.6




Figure 19: The projection to X of the path constructed in the different cases of the proof of Proposition 6.16.




Figure 20: Sliding the vertex v to detect simple elements.

and 6.8 show that there exists $g \in F_N$ whose axis in T projects to γ'' and which is ϵ -legal for f , and g is simple by Lemma 1.12.

We now restrict to the case where all nonextremal vertices of γ' have vertex group at most cyclic. Assume that two edges in γ' with nontrivial cyclic stabilizers have a common vertex v (whose vertex group is cyclic). Then there exists an edge e' in $X \setminus \gamma$ adjacent to v , and e' has trivial stabilizer because T is very small, and the vertex group G_v is cyclic. Lemma 1.12 ensures that g is simple. From now on, we assume that γ does not contain two consecutive edges with nontrivial cyclic stabilizers.

Now assume that γ' contains two edges with trivial edge groups having a common vertex v (whose vertex group is at most cyclic). If G_v is trivial, then any third edge coming out of v has trivial stabilizer, and Lemma 1.12 shows that g is simple. We now assume that G_v is infinite cyclic. Let e, e' be two consecutive edges in $C_T(g)$ (not in the same F_N -orbit) adjacent to a vertex $\tilde{v} \in T$ that projects to v . Denote by t a generator of the cyclic group $G_{\tilde{v}}$. If (e, te) is legal for f , then again we can replace g by another candidate g' which is ϵ -legal for f , and is represented by a loop which does not cross the orbit of e' . We now assume that for all $t \in G_{\tilde{v}}$, the turn (e, te) is not legal for f . If for some $t' \in G_{\tilde{v}}$, the turn $(e, t'e')$ were not legal for f , then by Proposition 6.6, the turn $(t'e, t'e')$ would not be legal for f , contradicting the fact that g is ϵ -legal for f . So for all $t \in G_{\tilde{v}}$, the turn (e, te') is legal for f . Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a fundamental domain of the axis of g that projects to γ and crosses twice a turn at a vertex in the orbit of \tilde{v} . The previous argument shows that up to replacing g by another candidate g' which is also ϵ -legal for f , we can assume that these two turns belong to the same F_N -orbit (of the form $(e, t^k e')$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$). We claim that g' is simple. Indeed, by equivariantly folding small initial segments of the edges e and $t^k e'$, one constructs a new F_N -tree that projects to a graph of groups in which g' is represented by a loop that avoids an edge with trivial stabilizer, see Figure 20. By Lemma 1.12, this shows that g' is simple.

We are thus left with the case where γ' contains an edge e with nontrivial cyclic edge

group, which is surrounded in γ' by two edges with trivial edge groups. Denote by e_1 and e_2 the two edges in a lift of γ to T that are adjacent to a lift of e . By the same argument as above, we can assume that for all $t \in G_e$, the turns (e_1, te_1) and (e_2, te_2) are not legal for f . So for all $t \in G_e$, the turns (te_1, e) and (e, te_2) are legal for f , otherwise Proposition 6.6 would imply that (e, e_1) or (e, e_2) is not legal for f , contradicting the fact that g is ϵ -legal for f . As above we can construct a candidate g' which is ϵ -legal for f , and is simple. Indeed, we can choose g' such that when equivariantly collapsing e to a vertex, and applying the same folding argument as above, we get a new graph of groups in which g' is represented by a loop that avoids an edge with trivial edge group. This again shows that g' is simple.

Hence we have found an element $g \in F_N$ which is simple, is a candidate in T , and is ϵ -legal for f . The conclusion thus follows from Lemma 6.11 since there is a bound on the combinatorial length of a candidate in T . \square

Corollary 6.19. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. If T^{simpl} contains two distinct orbits of edges with trivial stabilizers, then*

$$Lip(T, T') = \sup_{g \text{ simple}} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of simple elements of F_N . Lemma 1.12 shows that \mathcal{C} contains all the vertex groups of T^{simpl} , and Proposition 6.18 shows that \mathcal{C} satisfies the assumption of Proposition 6.15. Hence Corollary 6.19 follows from Proposition 6.15. \square

Corollary 6.20. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. If T is good, then*

$$Lip(T, T') = \sup_{g \text{ simple}} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 6.19 and Proposition 5.2 applied to the set of simple elements of F_N . \square

Corollary 6.21. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv_N}$. If T is good, then*

$$Lip(T, T') = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{P}_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

Proof. Let $w \in F_N$ be a simple element of F_N (contained in some proper free factor of F_N), and let w' be a primitive element contained in a complementary free factor. Then $w'w^k$ is primitive for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\|w\|_T = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|w'w^k\|_T}{k}$. If $\|w\|_T \neq 0$, we thus get that

$$\frac{\|w\|_{T'}}{\|w\|_T} = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\|w'w^k\|_{T'}}{\|w'w^k\|_T},$$

so

$$\frac{\|w\|_{T'}}{\|w\|_T} \leq \sup_{g \in \mathcal{P}_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T}.$$

If $\|w\|_T = 0$ and $\|w\|_{T'} > 0$, then $\frac{\|w'w^k\|_{T'}}{\|w'w^k\|_T}$ tends to $+\infty$ as k tends to $+\infty$, so the above inequality still holds. It also holds when $\|w\|_T = \|w\|_{T'} = 0$, because in this case we have $\frac{\|w\|_{T'}}{\|w\|_T} = 0$ by convention. Hence

$$\sup_{w \text{ simple}} \frac{\|w\|_{T'}}{\|w\|_T} = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{P}_N} \frac{\|g\|_{T'}}{\|g\|_T},$$

and the claim follows from Corollary 6.20. \square

From Proposition 5.4 and Corollary 6.21, we deduce the following statement.

Proposition 6.22. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be two good F_N -trees. If T and T' are primitive-equivalent, then $T = T'$. \square*

Remark 6.23. The condition on T cannot be removed in Corollary 6.21, otherwise the simple-equivalence relation would be trivial on \overline{cv}_N , contradicting our analysis in Section 2. If the translation length functions of two distinct trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ are equal in restriction to \mathcal{P}_N , then either T or T' is not good. Applying Theorem 3.11 to the F_{N-1} -tree appearing in the definition of pull-equivalence classes, we see that the standard element of the class is thus the only good tree in its class. It follows from Remark 3.12 that trees whose pull-equivalence class is nontrivial are geometric and contain no exotic components.

7 End of the proof of the main theorem

We now finish the proof of the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 7.1. *For all $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- *For all $g \in \mathcal{P}_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$.*
- *For all simple elements $g \in F_N$, we have $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$.*
- *The trees T and T' are special-pull-equivalent.*

In view of Propositions 2.1 and 2.6, we are left showing that simple-equivalent trees are special-pull-equivalent. Given an \mathbb{R} -tree T and $x \in T$, a *direction* based at x is a germ of nondegenerate segments $[x, y]$ with $y \neq x$. In particular, any U-turn in an F_N -tree T is defined by a pair of directions based at some point in T . Any direction based at x defines an open half-tree of T , which is the set of all $y \in T \setminus \{x\}$ such that $[x, y]$ contains d . The axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which is hyperbolic in T *crosses* a direction d based at a point $x \in T$ if $x \in C_T(g)$ and there exists $y \in C_T(g)$ such that d is the germ of $[x, y]$ (we also say that $C_T(g)$ crosses a pair of distinct directions $\{d, d'\}$ based at a point in T if it crosses both d and d'). A *full family of U-turns* over e is the collection of all turns of the form $(e, g^k e)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, where (e, ge) is some given U-turn over e (in particular g fixes an endpoint of e and is not a proper power). A *compatible set of U-turns* over e is a set consisting of at most one full family of U-turns at each extremity of e .

Proposition 7.2. *Let T be an F_N -tree which contains an orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer e^0 . Let Y be a compatible set of U-turns over e^0 . Let $\{d, d'\}$ be a pair of distinct directions based at a point $x_0 \in T$, which do not define a U-turn over e^0 , and do not define a U-turn over an edge in T with nontrivial stabilizer. Then there exists a simple element $g \in F_N$ which is hyperbolic in T and whose axis in T crosses $\{d, d'\}$ but does not cross any of the orbits of the turns in Y .*

Proof. Let v_1 and v_2 denote the extremities of e^0 . We think of e^0 as an open subset of T .

Case 1 : The edge e^0 projects to a nonseparating edge in the quotient graph of actions. Let T' be a connected component of $T \setminus F_N.e^0$. One can always find a path γ in T' which joins a point in the orbit of v_1 to a point in the orbit of v_2 , and let γ' be the concatenation of γ and of an edge in the orbit of e^0 . We will show that if $x_0 \in T'$, then γ can be chosen so that γ' crosses a turn in the orbit of $\{d, d'\}$ (this property is automatic if x_0 belongs to the interior of e^0). Then γ' is a fundamental domain for the axis of an element $g \in F_N$ which has the desired properties (in particular, it is primitive because γ' crosses the orbit of e^0 exactly once).

Assume that $x_0 \in T'$. Let A be the stabilizer of T' . If A stabilizes an edge in T , then A is cyclic, and T' consists of a single edge e with cyclic stabilizer. In this case, we choose γ to be equal to this edge. Otherwise, the A -minimal subtree of T' is well-defined, we denote it by T'_{min} .

If $T' \setminus T'_{min}$ contains a simplicial edge with trivial stabilizer, then e^0 projects to a loop-edge in the quotient graph of actions, which has a valence 3 vertex with trivial stabilizer, attached to a separating edge. In this case, each of the directions d and d' is either contained in e^0 , or determines a half-tree of T' that contains a point in the orbit of v_1 , which is equal to the orbit of v_2 . Therefore, we can find a path γ in T' which joins two points in the orbit of v_1 , so that the concatenation γ' of γ and an edge in the orbit of e^0 crosses a turn in the orbit of $\{d, d'\}$.

Otherwise, all edges in $T' \setminus T'_{min}$ (if any) have nontrivial stabilizer, equal to the stabilizer of the extremity of the edge in the orbit of e^0 to which they are attached. If none of the open half-trees of T determined by the directions d and d' intersects T'_{min} , then the assumption made on the turn $\{d, d'\}$ implies that either

- the vertices v_1 and v_2 belong to the same F_N -orbit, and d and d' are contained in translates of e^0 , in which case we can choose γ to be reduced to a point, or
- one of the directions, say d , is contained in an edge e with nontrivial stabilizer, and d' is contained in e^0 , in which case we choose γ to be equal to e , or
- the directions d and d' belong to two distinct orbits of edges with nontrivial stabilizer whose concatenation forms the desired path γ .

Otherwise, up to exchanging d and d' , the open half-tree of T' determined by d contains both a point in the orbit of v_1 and a point in the orbit of v_2 . In addition, either x_0 belongs to the orbit of v_1 or v_2 , or the open half-tree determined by d' contains a point in the orbit of v_1 or v_2 . So we can find a path γ in T' joining a point in the orbit of v_1 to a point in the orbit of v_2 , so that γ' crosses a turn in the orbit of $\{d, d'\}$.

Case 2 : The edge e^0 projects to a separating edge in the quotient graph of actions. Let T_1 (resp. T_2) be the connected component of $T \setminus F_N.e^0$ that contains v_1 (resp. v_2). For all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let A_i be the stabilizer of T_i , and let T_i^{min} be the A_i -minimal subtree of T_i . Up to interchanging the roles of T_1 and T_2 , we can assume that $x_0 \in T_1$, or $x_0 \in e^0$.

If $x_0 \in T_1$, then the open half-tree determined by one of the directions d or d' intersects T_1^{min} , and in both cases we can choose a reduced path γ_1 in T_1 that crosses a turn in the

orbit of $\{d, d'\}$ and joins v_1 to a translate $g_1 v_1$. If A_2 is not elliptic in T_2 , then we can choose a primitive element $g_2 \in A_2$ that is hyperbolic in T_2 . If A_2 is elliptic in T_2 and has rank at least 2, then we can choose a primitive element $g_2 \in A_2$ such that $(e^0, g_2 e^0) \notin Y$. If A_2 is cyclic and elliptic in T_2 , generated by an element g_2 , then our definition of U-turns implies that $(e^0, g_2 e^0) \notin Y$. Then $g_1^{-1} g_2$ satisfies the required conditions (in particular it is primitive, because if $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ is a free basis of A_1 , and $\{a_{k+1}, \dots, a_{N-1}, g_2\}$ is a free basis of A_2 , then $\{a_1, \dots, a_{N-1}, g_1^{-1} g_2\}$ is a free basis of F_N).

If $x_0 \in e^0$, then we can find two primitive elements $g_1 \in A_1$ and $g_2 \in A_2$ as above and let $g := g_1^{-1} g_2$. \square

Proposition 7.2 can also be restated in the following way.

Corollary 7.3. *Let $T, \hat{T} \in \overline{cv}_N$. Assume that \hat{T} contains exactly one orbit of edges e^0 with trivial stabilizer, and that T is a pull of \hat{T} . Let $\{d, d'\}$ be a pair of distinct directions based at the same point in \hat{T} , which does not define a U-turn over e^0 , and does not define a U-turn over an edge with nontrivial stabilizer. Then there exists a simple element $g \in F_N$, which is hyperbolic in \hat{T} , whose axis in \hat{T} crosses $\{d, d'\}$, and such that $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{\hat{T}}$.*

Proof. As T is obtained from \hat{T} by equivariantly folding a collection Y of U-turns, we have $\|g\|_T \leq \|g\|_{\hat{T}}$ for all $g \in F_N$, with equality as long as $C_{\hat{T}}(g)$ does not cross any turn in the orbit of a turn in Y . Corollary 7.3 thus follows from Proposition 7.2. \square

Proposition 7.4. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$. If T and T' are simple-equivalent, then there exists a good tree $\hat{T} \in \overline{cv}_N$ such that either $T = T' = \hat{T}$, or there exists an edge e^0 in \hat{T} with trivial stabilizer such that T and T' are both obtained from \hat{T} by pulling e^0 .*

Proof. If both trees T and T' are good, then by Proposition 6.22 we have $T = T'$. We can thus assume that T is not good, hence there exists a good tree \hat{T} having exactly one orbit of edges with trivial stabilizer such that T is a pull of \hat{T} (Theorem 3.11).

We first show the existence of an F_N -equivariant morphism from \hat{T} to $\overline{T'}$, which is isometric on edges. As T is a pull of \hat{T} , we have $\|g\|_{\hat{T}} \geq \|g\|_T$ for all $g \in F_N$. As T and T' are simple-equivalent, we thus have $\|g\|_{\hat{T}} \geq \|g\|_{T'}$ for all simple elements $g \in F_N$. As \hat{T} is good, Corollary 6.20 provides a 1-Lipschitz F_N -equivariant map $f : \hat{T} \rightarrow \overline{T'}$, which we may choose to be linear on edges in the simplicial part of \hat{T} . As \hat{T} contains an edge with trivial stabilizer, Lemma 1.12 ensures that all vertex stabilizers of \hat{T}^{simpl} lie in some proper free factor of F_N , so for all $g \in F_N$ belonging to one of these vertex stabilizers, we have $\|g\|_{\hat{T}} = \|g\|_T = \|g\|_{T'}$. Let v be a vertex in \hat{T}^{simpl} whose stabilizer G_v has rank at least 2. Then the G_v -minimal subtree of T' has the same translation length function as the G_v -minimal subtree T_v^{min} of \hat{T} , so by Theorem 1.1, these two trees are F_N -equivariantly isometric. So T_v^{min} isometrically embeds as an F_N -invariant subtree in T' , and by Proposition 1.7, the map f restricts to a G_v -equivariant isometry on T_v^{min} , and hence on T_v . Hence we can write $f = f_2 \circ f_1$, where f_1 reduces the length of some edges in the simplicial part of \hat{T} , and f_2 is a morphism which is isometric on edges. If f_1 is not equal to the identity map, then f_1 strictly reduces the length of an edge e' in the simplicial part of \hat{T} . Corollary 7.3, applied to a pair of opposite directions in the edge e' , gives the existence of a simple element $g \in F_N$, whose axis in T crosses e' (so that $\|g\|_{T'} < \|g\|_{\hat{T}}$), and such that $\|g\|_T = \|g\|_{\hat{T}}$. This is impossible as T and T' are simple-equivalent. So f_1 is equal to the identity map, and hence f is a morphism.




Figure 21: The trees T and T' in Case 1 of the proof of Proposition 7.5.

Assume that f identifies a pair $\{d, d'\}$ of directions in T . Since U-turns over edges with nontrivial stabilizers cannot be folded by f (Lemma 1.9), Corollary 7.3 ensures that the pair $\{d, d'\}$ defines a U-turn over e^0 , otherwise we would find a simple element $g \in F_N$ with $\|g\|_{T'} < \|g\|_T$. In other words, all turns in T , except possibly U-turns over e^0 , are legal for the morphism f . So f factors through a tree T_1 obtained by equivariantly identifying maximal subsegments of the unique edge of \widehat{T} with trivial stabilizer along some translate at each of its extremities. If T_1 also contains an edge with trivial stabilizer, then the maximality condition in the definition of T_1 ensures that all turns in T_1 are legal, so $T' = T_1$. If all edges in the simplicial part of the tree T_1 have nontrivial stabilizer, then no more folding can occur (Lemmas 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10), so again $T' = T_1$. The claim follows. \square

In order to complete the proof of Theorem 7.1, we are thus left showing the following.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $T, T' \in \overline{cv}_N$ be simple-equivalent. Assume that there exists a good tree \widehat{T} and an edge e^0 in \widehat{T} with trivial stabilizer, such that T and T' are obtained from \widehat{T} by pulling e^0 . Then T and T' are special-pull-equivalent.*

Remark 7.6. The content of Proposition 7.5 is to show that the pull operation performed when passing from T to T' is of the particular form prescribed by the definition of special-pull-equivalent trees (Definition 2.3).

Proof. Let X denote the underlying graph of the canonical graph of actions associated to \widehat{T} provided by Proposition 1.4. We again denote by e^0 the image of e^0 in X .

Case 1 : The edge e^0 is separating in X .

Then there exists a free splitting of F_N of the form $F_N = A_1 * A_2$ (for which we denote by k_i the rank of A_i for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, with $k_1 + k_2 = N$), an A_i -tree $T_i \in \overline{cv}_{k_i}$ together with an attaching point $p_i \in \overline{T_i}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, nonnegative real numbers $l, l_1, l_2, l'_1, l'_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $l_1 + l_2 \leq l$ and $l'_1 + l'_2 \leq l$, and elements $g_i, g'_i \in A_i$ which are elliptic in T_i and fix p_i for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that T and T' are the trees dual to the graphs of actions displayed on Figure 21. (Notice that up to changing the values of l_i and l'_i , we can always assume the trees T_i to be minimal). If $N = 2$, then \widehat{T} is dual to a (possibly simply- or doubly-degenerate) barbell graph, and no pull can be performed on \widehat{T} , whence $T = T'$.

We now assume that $N \geq 3$. Up to interchanging the roles of A_1 and A_2 , we may assume that $k_2 \geq 2$, so that there exists a primitive element $g_2'' \in A_2 \setminus (\langle g_2 \rangle \cup \langle g_2' \rangle)$. The element $g_1 g_2''$ is primitive in F_N , because if $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k_1}\}$ is a free basis of A_1 , and $\{g_2'', a_2', \dots, a_{k_2}'\}$ is a free basis of A_2 , then $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k_1}, g_1 g_2'', a_2', \dots, a_{k_2}'\}$ is a free basis of F_N . We have

$$\|g_1 g_2''\|_T = 2(l - l_1) + d_{\overline{T_2}}(p_2, g_2'' p_2),$$

and as $d_{\overline{T_1}}(p_1, g_1 p_1) = 0$, we have

$$\|g_1 g_2''\|_{T'} = \begin{cases} 2(l - l_1') + d_{\overline{T_2}}(p_2, g_2'' p_2) & \text{if } g_1' = g_1^{\pm 1} \\ 2l + d_{\overline{T_2}}(p_2, g_2'' p_2) & \text{if } g_1' \neq g_1^{\pm 1} \end{cases}.$$

As T_1 and T_2 are simple-equivalent, as soon as $l_1 > 0$, we have $l_1 = l_1'$ and $g_1 = g_1^{\pm 1}$. The same argument shows that as soon as $l_1' > 0$, we have $l_1 = l_1'$ and $g_1 = g_1^{\pm 1}$. If $k_1 \geq 2$, we argue similarly to show that either $l_2 = l_2' = 0$, or $l_2 = l_2'$ and $g_2 = g_2^{\pm 1}$, hence $T = T'$. If $k_1 = 1$, then $l_1 = l_1' = 0$. Let $g_1'' \in A_1$ be a generator of A_1 . Comparing the translation lengths of $g_1'' g_2$ in T and in T' also shows that either $l_2 = l_2' = 0$, or $l_2 = l_2'$ and $g_2 = g_2^{\pm 1}$, whence $T = T'$.

Case 2 : The edge e^0 is nonseparating in X .

Then there exists a corank one free factor A of F_N , with a choice of a stable letter t , a (not necessarily minimal) very small A -tree T_{N-1} , attaching points $p_1, p_2 \in \overline{T_{N-1}}$, nonnegative real numbers $l, l_1, l_2, l_1', l_2' \in \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $l_1 + l_2 \leq l$ and $l_1' + l_2' \leq l$, and elements $g_i, g_i' \in A$ which are elliptic in T_{N-1} and fix p_i for all $i \in \{1, 2\}$, such that T and T' are the trees dual to the graphs of actions displayed on Figure 22. If $N = 2$, then A contains no proper free factor, so T and T' are special-pull-equivalent. We now assume that $N \geq 3$. Assume that $l_1 > 0$ and that g_1 is contained in a corank one free factor B of A . As $N \geq 3$, we can find $g' \in A \setminus (\langle g_2 \rangle \cup \langle g_2' \rangle)$ such that $A = B * \langle g' \rangle$. Then $g' t^{-1} g_1 t$ is primitive in F_N (because if $\{b_1, \dots, b_{N-2}\}$ is a free basis of B , then $\{b_1, \dots, b_{N-2}, t, g' t^{-1} g_1 t\}$ is a free basis of F_N). We have

$$\|g' t^{-1} g_1 t\|_T = 2(l - l_1) + d_{\overline{T_{N-1}}}(p_2, g' p_2),$$

and as $d_{\overline{T_{N-1}}}(p_1, g_1 p_1) = 0$, we also have

$$\|g' t^{-1} g_1 t\|_{T'} = \begin{cases} 2(l - l_1') + d_{\overline{T_{N-1}}}(p_2, g' p_2) & \text{if } g_1' = g_1^{\pm 1} \\ 2l + d_{\overline{T_{N-1}}}(p_2, g' p_2) & \text{if } g_1' \neq g_1^{\pm 1} \end{cases}.$$

As T and T' are simple-equivalent, as soon as $l_1 > 0$, we have $g_1 = g_1^{\pm 1}$ and $l_1 = l_1'$. Similarly, as soon as $l_1' > 0$ and g_1' is contained in a proper free factor of A , we have $g_1 = g_1^{\pm 1}$ and $l_1 = l_1'$. A similar argument also shows that if $l_2 > 0$ (resp. $l_2' > 0$) and if g_2 (resp. g_2') is contained in a proper free factor of A , then $g_2 = g_2^{\pm 1}$ and $l_2 = l_2'$. Hence T and T' are NS-pull-equivalent. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Theorem 7.1 follows from Propositions 2.1, 2.6, 7.4 and 7.5. \square




Figure 22: The trees T and T' in Case 2 of the proof of Proposition 7.5.

References

- [1] Y. Algom-Kfir, *Strongly contracting geodesics in Outer Space*, *Geom. Topol.* **15** (2011), no. 4, 2181–2233.
- [2] ———, *The Metric Completion of Outer Space*, arXiv:1202.6392v4 (2013).
- [3] M. Bestvina and M. Feighn, *Outer limits*, preprint, 1994.
- [4] ———, *Stable actions of groups on real trees*, *Invent. math.* **121** (1995), no. 2, 287–321.
- [5] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, and M. Handel, *Laminations, trees, and irreducible automorphisms of free groups*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **7** (1997), 215–244.
- [6] M. Carlette, S. Francaviglia, I. Kapovich, and A. Martino, *Spectral rigidity of automorphic orbits in free groups*, *Alg. Geom. Top.* **12** (2012), no. 3, 1457–1486.
- [7] M.M. Cohen and M. Lustig, *Very small group actions on \mathbb{R} -trees and Dehn twist automorphisms*, *Topology* **34** (1995), no. 3, 575–617.
- [8] C.B. Croke, *Rigidity for surfaces of non-positive curvature*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **65** (1990), no. 1, 150–169.
- [9] M. Culler and J.W. Morgan, *Group actions on \mathbb{R} -trees*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **55** (1987), no. 3, 571–604.
- [10] M. Culler and K. Vogtmann, *Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups*, *Invent. math.* **84** (1986), no. 1, 91–119.
- [11] ———, *The boundary of outer space in rank two*, *Arboreal group theory* (Berkeley, CA, 1988) (Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., ed.), vol. 19, Springer, New York, 1991, pp. 189–230.
- [12] S. Francaviglia and A. Martino, *Metric Properties of Outer Space*, *Publ. Mat.* **55** (2011), no. 2, 433–473.

- [13] D. Gaboriau and G. Levitt, *The rank of actions on \mathbb{R} -trees*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **28** (1995), no. 5, 549–570.
- [14] D. Gaboriau, G. Levitt, and F. Paulin, *Pseudogroups of isometries of \mathbb{R} and Rips’ theorem on free actions on \mathbb{R} -trees*, Israel J. Math. **87** (1994), no. 3, 403–428.
- [15] H. Gillet and P.B. Shalen, *Dendrology of groups in low \mathbb{Q} -ranks*, J. Diff. Geom. **32** (1990), no. 3, 605–712.
- [16] V. Guirardel, *Approximations of stable actions on \mathbb{R} -trees*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 89–121.
- [17] ———, *Dynamics of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ on the boundary of outer space*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **33** (2000), no. 4, 433–465.
- [18] C. Horbez, *The horoboundary of outer space*, in preparation.
- [19] H. Imanishi, *On codimension one foliations defined by closed one forms with singularities*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **19** (1979), no. 2, 285–291.
- [20] R. Jiang, *Arboreal Group Theory*, vol. 19, ch. Branch Points and Free Actions on \mathbb{R} -Trees, pp. 251–293, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, 1991.
- [21] I. Kapovich, *Random length-spectrum rigidity for free groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), no. 5, 1549–1560.
- [22] M. Kapovich, *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Discrete Groups*, Birkhäuser, 2009.
- [23] G. Levitt, *Graphs of actions on \mathbb{R} -trees*, Comment. Math. Helv. **69** (1994), no. 1, 28–38.
- [24] G. Levitt and M. Lustig, *Irreducible automorphisms of F_n have north-south dynamics on compactified outer space*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **2** (2003), no. 1, 59–72.
- [25] G. Levitt and F. Paulin, *Geometric group actions on trees*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), no. 1, 83–102.
- [26] S. Meinert, *The Lipschitz metric on deformation spaces of G -trees*, arXiv:1312.1829v2 (2014).
- [27] J.-P. Otal, *Le spectre marqué des longueurs des surfaces à courbure négative*, Ann. Math. **131** (1990), no. 1, 151–162.
- [28] F. Paulin, *Topologie de Gromov équivariante, structures hyperboliques et arbres réels*, Invent. math. **94** (1988), no. 1, 53–80.
- [29] ———, *The Gromov topology on \mathbb{R} -trees*, Topol. Appl. **32** (1989), no. 3, 197–221.
- [30] ———, *De la géométrie et de la dynamique des groupes discrets*, Mémoire d’Habilitation à diriger les recherches - ENS Lyon (1995).
- [31] B. Ray, *Non-rigidity of cyclic automorphic orbits in free groups*, IJAC **22** (2012), no. 3.

- [32] Z. Sela, *The Nielsen-Thurston classification and automorphisms of a free group I*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 2, 379–397.
- [33] J.-P. Serre, *Arbres, amalgames, sl_2* , Astérisque **46** (1977).
- [34] J. Smillie and K. Vogtmann, *Length functions and outer space*, Michigan Math. J. **39** (1992), no. 3, 485–493.
- [35] Y. Stalder, *Fixed point properties in the space of marked groups*, Limits of graphs in group theory and computer science (G. Arzhantseva and A. Valette, eds.), Proc. Special semester at Center Bernoulli (Lausanne), Presses Polytechniques et Universitaires Romandes, Jan-June 2007.
- [36] J.R. Stallings, *Whitehead graphs on handlebodies*, Geometric group theory down under (Canberra, 1996) (Berlin de Gruyter, ed.), 1999, pp. 317–330.
- [37] K. Vogtmann, *Automorphisms of Free Groups and Outer Space*, Geom. Dedic. **94** (2002).
- [38] J.H.C. Whitehead, *On certain sets of elements in a free group*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (1936), 48–56.