

Inequalities for semi-stable surface fibrations, and their relation to the Coleman-Oort conjecture

Chris Peters

Introduction

Background

Arakelov showed [Ar] that over a fixed curve there are at most finitely many non-constant fibrations in curves of genus ≥ 2 for which its singular fibers appear over a fixed set in the base. To prove this he first showed that these fibrations appear in a finite number of families (boundedness) and secondly that these are all rigid. Boundedness follows from a bound involving the relative canonical bundle. This bound is the "classical" Arakelov inequality.

The moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of genus g curves is quasi-projective and admits a natural compactification, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, the Deligne-Mumford compactification. See e.g. [A-C-G, Chapter X]. It is constructed by adding points to the boundary which correspond to (isomorphism classes of) *stable curves*. These are (in general reducible) non-reduced curves having ordinary double points and each smooth rational component meets the remaining components in at least 3 points. Equivalently: the fibration should first of all be relatively minimal, i.e. no fiber contains a (-1) -curve¹, and secondly, no fiber should contain an ADE-configuration.² So, in order to have a natural morphism of B into $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ all fibers should be stable. Clearly, this is not always the case, but there is a procedure ("semi-stable reduction") explained e.g. in [B-P-H-V, III.10], which produces a fibration over a finite (ramified) covering of B whose fibers in general not yet stable but *semi-stable*: the fibers are reduced and have only double points and do not contain (-1) -curves but might contain (-2) -curves. The latter can be blown down in the surface which produces rational double points and the resulting family has stable fibers and hence its base maps to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. In view of these considerations, one may often reduce the study of curve fibrations over curves to semi-stable fibrations. I shall assume this for the remainder of this introduction.

Since the Arakelov bound is essentially Hodge theoretic in nature, it is more natural to formulate it in terms of variations of Hodge structure. The weight one case correspond to families of curves through their first cohomology groups. This

¹I.e., a smooth rational curve of self-intersection (-1)

²I.e. a tree of smooth rational curves of self-intersection -2 resolving a rational double point.

link leads to an important observation: the cohomology of a singular semi-stable fiber C may have the Hodge structure of a smooth fiber; this corresponds to its Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)$ being a polarized abelian variety. In our setting (of semi-stable fibrations) this happens precisely when C is a tree of smooth curves, say C_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ and then $\text{Jac}(C) = \prod_{j=1}^N \text{Jac}(C_j)$. Such a curve as well as its Jacobian is called *of compact type*. This shows that it is natural to separate the critical locus Δ of f in two: the set of points Σ_c over which the fiber is of compact type, and the remainder Σ . Whereas Arakelov's original bound is expressed in terms of Δ , the Hodge theoretic bound uses Σ . It involves the relative canonical sheaf³ $\omega_{X/B}$ and can be expressed as follows.

$$\deg(f_*\omega_{X/B}) \leq \frac{1}{2}g \cdot (2g(B) - 2 + \#\Sigma), \quad g = \text{fiber genus}, \quad g(B) = \text{base genus.} \quad (1)$$

In this guise it has been shown by Faltings. Hodge theoretic generalizations to higher weights can be found in [Pe00, Jost-Zuo]. It has become common practice to call this inequality also an "Arakelov inequality".

Special curve fibrations over a curve

An interesting special case arises when *the Arakelov bound is attained*, i.e. when equality holds in (1). Rather surprisingly, one has [L-Z]:

Theorem (A). *For a non-isotrivial genus $g \geq 5$ fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$ of semi-stable curves the Arakelov bound (1) can never be attained.*

The aim of this note is first of all to give a simplified and self contained proof of Theorem (A). By using refined Chern class inequalities for non-compact surfaces obtained in [Ko, Ch-Y] instead of those from [Miy], the proof given here avoids the elaborate covering tricks employed in [L-Z]. This not only simplifies their proof but also makes the basic idea of their proof more transparent. This is the content of Sects. 1–2.2.

The main idea of the proof is as follows. For surface fibrations in semi-stable curves Moriwaki obtained an inequality (3) for the so called slope. The Chern class inequality cited above is a refinement (=Theorem 1.5) of the classical Bogomolov-Miyaoka-Yau inequality⁴. If the Arakelov bound is attained one can rewrite this as an inequality for the slope in the reverse direction. Note that this inequality depends on the boundary of the open surface. One has to choose this boundary carefully and then the combined inequalities are seen to be only possible when the fibering curves have genus at most 4.

At this point I should mention that ideas inspired by the cited work [L-Z, L-Z2] of X. Lu and K. Zuo have been used in the reverse direction to obtain more refined slope inequalities, a result that should be of direct interest to surface geometers. See [L-T-Y-Z, L-Z3, L-Z4, L-Z5].

³See Section 1.1 where the definition and basic properties are given.

⁴In what follows this will be abbreviated as *BM-Y-inequality*.

Moduli aspects

A further aim of this note is to elucidate the *moduli aspects*⁵ of Theorem (A), especially its relation with the so-called Coleman-Oort conjecture [Co, O] about the Torelli locus. Let me explain this very briefly. As noticed before, the Arakelov inequality (1) admits a purely Hodge theoretical proof which holds for a polarized weight one variation of Hodge structure⁶ over a curve, or, equivalently, for a family of principally polarized Abelian varieties of dimension g over a curve.

The corresponding moduli space \mathcal{A}_g contains many obvious subvarieties coming from other Abelian varieties with extra structure, for instance those coming from products of lower dimensional Abelian varieties. Such subvarieties belong to the class of what nowadays is called the class of *special subvarieties*, by definition images in \mathcal{A}_g of *Shimura varieties*. See § 3.1 for a brief introduction to the subject of Shimura varieties. Shimura varieties of dimension 1 are called *Shimura curves*. Note that contrary to some practices, I do not consider them as embedded in \mathcal{A}_g , but as coming from a Shimura datum. In Section 3.2 I explain Satake's classification of Shimura curves: the non-compact Shimura curves are precisely the *modular curves* parametrizing elliptic curves with a fixed level structure and the compact ones come from the quotient of the upper half plane by an arithmetic subgroup of the group of units of certain quaternion algebras.

These curves come up naturally because of the following basic result, essentially due to E. Viehweg and K. Zuo (see [Vie-Z]):

Proposition (=Prop. 3.1). *If equality holds in the Hodge theoretic version (18) of the Arakelov inequality, the punctured curve $B - \Sigma$ is a rigidly embedded Shimura curve. The converse also holds.*

It is well known that \mathcal{A}_g is quasi projective and contains many special subvarieties. For instance special points are dense. The many types of special subvarieties of \mathcal{A}_g all have been classified [Ad]. It follows from this that the rigidly embedded special curves form a relatively small list:

1. fibered self-products of the modular family of elliptic curves. The corresponding embedded curves are called *of Satake type*;
2. fibered self-products of modular families of Abelian varieties over compact Shimura curves. The corresponding embedded curves are called *of Mumford type* after [Mum69].

Another interesting sublocus of \mathcal{A}_g comes from the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of (smooth projective) genus g curves: the Torelli theorem states that the period map, which associates to a smooth genus g curve C its Jacobian $\text{Jac}(C)$, defines an injective morphism

$$p : \mathcal{M}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g.$$

⁵A modern and self-contained reference for moduli spaces of curves is [A-C-G].

⁶The proof of the resulting inequality (18) is reproduced below in Section 2.1.

For $g \geq 2$ the image of p is not closed in \mathcal{A}_g . As is well known [Mum75, p. 74] the limits come from curves of compact type encountered before: their (generalized) Jacobian is a product of lower dimensional Abelian varieties. This non-closedness explains why, traditionally, the *Torelli locus* \mathcal{T}_g is defined to be the *closure* inside \mathcal{A}_g of the image of the period map.

For $g \geq 4$ the locus \mathcal{T}_g is not special in the above sense. Indeed, it is believed that positive dimensional special subvarieties $S \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ cannot generically be contained in the Torelli locus⁷ unless g is "small". This belief goes under the name of the *Coleman-Oort conjecture*, see [Co, O]. In [M-O] background for this conjecture is given as well as examples why this fails for low g .

An important conclusion of the previous discussion is that Shimura curves which are rigidly embedded in \mathcal{A}_g are precisely the ones for which the corresponding Hodge bundle attains the Arakelov bound. Hence, Theorem (A) clearly is related to the Coleman-Oort conjecture. Unfortunately, \mathcal{A}_g is not a fine moduli space and so one cannot assume that there is a (tautological) family of genus g curves over an embedded special curve. This explains why further work needs to be done. In [L-Z2] the authors show that one in fact has:

Theorem (B). *Suppose $g \geq 12$. Then no rigidly embedded special curve (necessarily of Satake or of Mumford type) can generically be contained in \mathcal{T}_g .*

In Section 3.3 I shall briefly outline how this can be shown by suitably modifying the proof of Theorem (A) as given in this note. These modifications are however not straightforward and require new ideas which I don't elaborate on.

Finally, I also want to remark that similar ideas enabled X. Lu and K. Zuo [C-L-Z2] to verify the Coleman-Oort conjecture for certain higher dimensional Shimura varieties.

Acknowledgements: I thank Kang Zuo for spotting a gap in a preliminary version of my proof of Theorem A and his hints as how to fill it. Furthermore, I want to express thanks to the anonymous referee(s) for spotting several inaccuracies and unclear passages in this modified version.

1 Background from surface theory

1.1 Proportionality deviation for semi-stable fibrations

Let X be a minimal compact complex algebraic surface and suppose that

$$f : X \rightarrow B, \quad \text{genus } B = b, \quad (2)$$

is a fibration in genus $g \geq 2$ semi-stable curves. Denote the fibre over s by X_s ; $\text{Jac}(X_s)$ denotes the generalized Jacobian of X_s . As usual, put

$$\omega_{X/B} = \mathcal{O}_X(K_X \otimes f^*K_B^{-1}), \quad \text{the relative dualizing sheaf.}$$

⁷ $S \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ is *generically contained* in \mathcal{T}_g if it is contained in \mathcal{T}_g but also meets $p(\mathcal{M}_g)$ in a Zariski open subset of S .

Recall [B-P-H-V, Theorem III, 18.2] that a relatively minimal fibration⁸ in genus ≥ 2 curves $f : X \rightarrow B$ is isotrivial⁹ if and only if $\deg f_*\omega_{X/S} = 0$. So, if f is not isotrivial, one can introduce the *slope*

$$\lambda(f) := \omega_{X/B}^2 / \deg(f_*\omega_{X/B}). \quad (3)$$

Recall also that f is called a *Kodaira fibration* if f is smooth. In that case, the period map for f sends B to a compact curve in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of genus g curves. For any Kodaira fibration the base genus g has to be at least 2. See [B-P-H-V, V. 14].

Relations between the various numerical invariants of a surface fibration are gathered in the next Lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *For a relatively minimal genus g surface fibration¹⁰ $f : X \rightarrow B$, $g(B) = b$, one has¹¹:*

(i) $\deg(f_*\omega_{X/B}) = \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2(X) + e(X)) - (b-1)(g-1).$

(ii) $c_1^2(X) = \omega_{X/B}^2 + 8(g-1)(b-1).$

(iii) $e(X) = 4(g-1)(b-1) + \sum_{s \in B} \delta_s$, where

$$\delta_s := e(X_s) - e(X_{\text{gen}}) \geq 0, \quad e(X_{\text{gen}}) = 2(1-g). \quad (4)$$

(iv) $12 \deg f_*\omega_{X/B} = \omega_{X/B}^2 + \sum_s \delta_s.$

(v) *Suppose, moreover, that f is non-isotrivial and $g \geq 2$. Then*

$$4 - \frac{4}{g} \leq \lambda(f) \leq 12. \quad (5)$$

The leftmost inequality is Xiao's slope inequality. The right hand inequality becomes an equality, $\lambda(f) = 12$ if and only if f is a Kodaira fibration.

Proof: (i) is a direct consequence of Riemann-Roch and the Leray spectral sequence.

(ii) follows from the definition of $\omega_{X/B}$.

(iii) See [B-P-H-V, Prop. III, 11.4].

(iv) Follows directly from (i),(ii), (iii).

(v) See [Xiao]. The remaining assertion about the upper bound of $\lambda(f)$ follows immediately from (iv). \square

Motivated by the BMY-inequality $c_1^2(X) \leq 3c_2(X)$ (see [B-P-H-V, Theorem VII, 4.1]), one introduces the *proportionality deviation*

$$\beta(X) := 3c_2(X) - c_1^2(X). \quad (6)$$

The BMY-inequality then becomes $\beta(X) \geq 0$ with equality if and only if X is a ball quotient.

⁸I.e., there are no (-1) -curves in the fibres.

⁹I.e., locally constant over the non-critical locus of f .

¹⁰The fibres need not be semi-stable.

¹¹Standard notation is used here: e denotes the topological Euler number and $c_1^2(X) = K_X^2$

Corollary 1.2. *In the situation of (2), one has*

$$\beta(X) = 4(b-1)(g-1) - \omega_{X/B}^2 + 3 \sum_{s \in B} \delta_s.$$

The invariants δ_s are just the number of double points of the fiber X_s . To show this, one uses expressions for the Euler number and the arithmetic genus of a semi-stable curve:

Lemma 1.3. *Let C be a semi-stable curve on a compact complex surface X and let the irreducible components be C_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$; the genus of the normalization of C_α is denoted g_α and δ_C is the number of double points of C . Then one has:*

$$\begin{aligned} e(C) &= \sum_{\alpha} (2 - 2g_\alpha) - \delta_C. \\ 2p_a(C) - 2 &= K_X \cdot C + C^2 = \sum_{\alpha} (2g_\alpha - 2) + 2\delta_C. \end{aligned}$$

Proof: 1) follows from the additive property of the Euler number. 2) can be seen as follows. For an irreducible component C_α of X_s one has $p_a(C_\alpha) = g_\alpha + \delta_\alpha$ with δ_α the number of double points of C_α ; the number $\sum_{\alpha < \beta} C_\alpha C_\beta$ is the number δ'_s of those double points of X_s that are intersections of two components. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} K_X \cdot C + C^2 &= \sum_{\alpha} (K_X \cdot C_\alpha + C_\alpha^2) + 2 \sum_{\alpha < \beta} C_\alpha \cdot C_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} (2p_a(C_\alpha) - 2) + 2 \sum_{\alpha < \beta} C_\alpha \cdot C_\beta \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} (2g_\alpha - 2) + 2 \underbrace{\left(\sum \delta_\alpha + \delta'_s \right)}_{\delta_C}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow B$ is a semi-stable genus g fibration. Then*

$$\delta_s = \delta_{X_s} = \#(\text{double points of } X_s).$$

Proof: The previous lemma gives

$$e(X_s) = \sum (2 - 2g_\alpha) - \delta_{X_s} \tag{7}$$

On the other hand, the arithmetic genus $p_a(X_s)$ is independent of $s \in B$ since by the adjunction formula $2p_a(X_s) - 2 = K_X \cdot X_s + X_s^2 = K_X \cdot X_s$ which does not depend on s since all fibers are numerically equivalent. So, applying the previous lemma once again, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} e(X_{\text{gen}}) = 2 - 2g &= -(K_X \cdot X_s + X_s^2) \\ &= \sum (2 - 2g_\alpha) - 2\delta_{X_s}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Comparing this with (7) and using (4), shows that

$$\delta_s := e(X_s) - e(X_{\text{gen}}) = \delta_{X_s} \quad \square.$$

1.2 A refined BMY–inequality

There is a refinement of the BMY–inequality due to R. Kobayashi [Ko], and Cheng-Yau [Ch-Y]. An algebraic proof has been given by Miyaoka [Miy], but that proof gives no information in case of equality, information which is crucial for the proof I give of Theorem (A).

To state it, some preparations are needed. First, recall that a divisor D on a surface is *big* if for $m \gg 0$ the linear system $|mD|$ maps X birationally onto its image. This is equivalent to $\kappa(D) = 2$, where κ denotes the Kodaira-dimension. If some multiple of D is effective, D is big precisely if $D^2 > 0$ [B-P-H-V, IV Prop. 7.4]. The divisor D is *nef*, if $D \cdot C \geq 0$ for all curves C .

A surface X by definition is of general type if the canonical divisor K_X is big, or, equivalently, if $\kappa(X) = \kappa(K_X) = 2$. A suitable multiple $|mK_X|$ thus maps X birationally onto its image. In this situation K_X is nef precisely when X is minimal. Even then, the bundle K_X need not be ample: $|mK_X|$ contracts all ADE–curve configurations. Such a configuration of curves contracts to a rational double point under $|mK_X|$, $m \gg 0$. Any such rational double point p is a quotient singularity: its germ is of the form U_p/G_p where U_p is smooth and G_p a finite group.

The refinement gives an inequality, valid for the so-called *logarithmic Chern classes* for a Zariski-open surface $X - C$ where $C \subset X$ is a normal crossing curve. These are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(X, C) &:= c_2(X) - e(C); \\ c_1^2(X, C) &:= c_1^2(\Omega_X^1(\log C)) = c_1^2(X) + 2K_X \cdot C + C^2. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the *logarithmic proportionality deviation*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(X, C) &:= 3c_2(X, C) - c_1^2(X, C) \\ &= \underbrace{3c_2(X) - c_1^2(X)}_{\beta(X)} - \underbrace{(3e(C) + 2K_X \cdot C + C^2)}_{\beta(C)}. \end{aligned}$$

For every A-D-E configuration R_p , contracting to a singular point p , one introduces also the following punctual proportionality deviations:

$$\beta(p) = 3 \left(e(R_p) - \frac{1}{|G_p|} \right) > 0. \quad (9)$$

Now I can state the promised strengthening of the BMY–inequality which results from Yau’s techniques:

Theorem 1.5 ([Ko, Thm 2], [Miy, Ch-Y]). *Let X be a compact complex surface, $C \subset X$ a normal crossing divisor such that $K_X + C$ is nef and big. Let R_{p_j} , $j = 1, \dots, k$ be the A-D-E configurations in $X - C$ and let X' be the normal surface obtained after contracting the R_{p_j} to a singularity p_j . Then*

$$\beta(X, C) - \sum_j \beta(p_j) \geq 0 \quad (10)$$

and equality holds if and only if $X' - C = \Gamma \backslash B^2$, the quotient of the 2-ball B^2 by a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \subset \text{PSU}(2, 1)$ acting freely except over the singularities of X' where Γ acts with isolated fixed points.

Remark 1.6. If K_X itself is nef, the result applies to the following two sorts of curves C that are relevant here:

1. any semi-stable curve on X of arithmetic genus ≥ 2 ;
2. an elliptic curve with negative self intersection.
3. disjoint unions of curves of the above sort.

To see that the condition of the theorem holds for such curves, argue as follows. Let $C = F + R$ with F a disjoint union of curves as in 1, and R a disjoint union of curves as in 2. If $F \neq \emptyset$, then by nefness of K_X , $(K_X + C)^2 \geq (K_X + C)C = 2p_a(C) - 2 > 0$. Otherwise, $(K_X + R)^2 = K_X^2 - R^2 > 0$.

Next, again using nefness of K_X , one has $(K_X + C)D \geq 0$ for all irreducible curves D that are not components of C and thirdly, for all components C_i of C with $p_a(C_i) > 0$, one has $(K_X + C) \cdot C_i \geq K_X C_i + C_i^2 = 2p_a(C_i) - 2 \geq 0$. Finally, a smooth rational component C_i of C must meet the union D_i of the other components in at least 2 points (this is a consequence of the definition of semi-stability) so that

$$(K_X + C) \cdot C_i = K_X \cdot C_i + C_i^2 + D_i \cdot C_i \geq -2 + 2 \geq 0.$$

So $K_X + C$ is big indeed. See also [Sak, Theorem 7.6.].

1.3 Properties of excess invariants for curves

Lemma 1.7. (1) The excess invariant $\beta(C)$ is additive: for disjoint curves C and D one has $\beta(C \amalg D) = \beta(C) + \beta(D)$.

(2) Let $C = \sum C_\alpha$ be a semi-stable curve with irreducible components C_α and total number δ_C of double points. Then, recalling that g_α is the genus of the normalization of C_α , one has:

$$\beta(C) = \sum_{\alpha} (2 - 2g_{\alpha}) + \delta_C - C^2.$$

Proof: 1) is an easy verification and 2) follows immediately from Lemma 1.3 since

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(C) &= 3e(C) + 2K_X C + C^2 \\ &= 3e(C) + 2(K_X C + C^2) - C^2 \\ &= 3e(C) + 4 \sum_{\alpha} (g_{\alpha} - 1) + 4\delta_C - C^2 \\ &= 6 \sum_{\alpha} (1 - g_{\alpha}) - 3\delta_C + 4 \sum_{\alpha} (g_{\alpha} - 1) + 4\delta_C - C^2. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Examples 1.8. 1) Let $C = X_s$ a possibly singular fibre of a semi-stable fibration in curves of genus g . Since $X_s^2 = 0$, using (8) in the formula for $\delta_s = \delta_{X_s}$ gives:

$$\beta(X_s) = 3\delta_s + 2(1 - g). \quad (11)$$

This can indeed be positive and in that case gives an amelioration of the BMY-inequality.

(2) Consider a fibre X_s as in (2) of the form $X_s = E_s + F_s + R$ with $p_a(E_s) = 1$, F_s a tree of smooth rational curves meeting E_s and R transversally in one point and R , the union of the remaining components. Note that if X_s is of compact type, E_s is necessarily a smooth elliptic curve and one can take for F_s the tree of all rational curves (necessarily smooth) connecting E_s with other curves of genus > 0 . While the curve E_s is called an *elliptic tail*, the divisor F_s is the corresponding *connecting rational tail* of X_s . By Remark 1.6 the semi-stable divisor E_s can play the role of C in Theorem 1.5, but this is not the case for $E_s + F_s$ since $K_X + E_s + F_s$ not nef: the last component of F_s has negative intersection with $K_X + E_s + F_s$. Fortunately, the divisor F_s forms a chain of (-2) -curves and hence can be contracted to a rational double point, say f_s , of type A_{ℓ_s} . Make now note of the following inequalities

$$\begin{cases} \beta(E_s) = -E_s^2 \geq 1 = 3\ell_s + 1 & \text{if } F_s = \emptyset, \\ \beta(f_s) = 3(\ell_s + 1 - \frac{1}{\ell_s + 1}) \geq 3\ell_s + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where Lemma 1.7 and (9) have been used.

The preceding remarks have the following useful consequence. Recall that a fiber X_s is a singular curve of compact type if and only if its dual graph is a tree and all components of X_s are smooth.

Corollary 1.9 (of Theorem 1.5). *Let X be a compact complex surface,*

$$f : X \rightarrow B$$

a semi-stable fibration of genus $g \geq 2$ curves. Let $\Sigma \subset B$ be the set of critical points of f over which the fiber is not of compact type. Let R be the disjoint union of the elliptic tails in fibers of compact type that have no connecting rational tails and let f_j , $j \in J$ be the rational double points obtained by contracting the connecting rational tails. Setting $C = R + \coprod_{s \in \Sigma} X_s$, assume that $K_X + C$ is nef and big. Then one has

$$2(g - 1) \deg \Omega_B^1(\log(\Sigma)) - \omega_{X/B}^2 + 3 \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} \delta_s - \beta(R) - \sum_{j \in J} \beta(f_j) \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Proof: Let me calculate $\beta(X, C)$. Additivity of β and (11) give

$$\beta(C) = 3 \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \delta_s + \beta(R) + 2(1 - g) \cdot \#\Sigma.$$

On the other hand, by Cor. 1.2, setting $b = \text{genus}(B)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(X) &= 4(b-1)(g-1) - \omega_{X/B}^2 + 3 \sum_{s \in B} \delta_s \\ &= 2(g-1) \deg \Omega_B^1(\log(\Sigma)) - \omega_{X/B}^2 + 2(1-g) \cdot \#\Sigma + 3 \sum_{s \in B} \delta_s\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(X, C) &= \beta(X) - \beta(C) \\ &= 2(g-1) \deg \Omega_B^1(\log(\Sigma)) - \omega_{X/B}^2 + 3 \sum_{s \in B} \delta_s - 3 \sum_{s \in \Sigma} \delta_s - \beta(R) \\ &= 2(g-1) \deg \Omega_B^1(\log(\Sigma)) - \omega_{X/B}^2 + 3 \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} \delta_s - \beta(R).\end{aligned}$$

So the desired inequality follows from Theorem 1.5. \square

Remark 1.10. The inequality (13) will be used in the following form:

$$\left. \begin{aligned}4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{g}\right) + \frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot \left(\sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} 3\delta_s - \beta(R) - \sum_{j \in J} \beta(f_j) \right) &\geq \frac{\omega_{X/B}^2}{d(f)} \\ d(f) &:= \frac{g}{2} \deg \Omega_B^1(\log(\Sigma)).\end{aligned} \right\} \quad (14)$$

1.4 On Moriwaki's slope inequality

Xiao's slope inequality (5) admits a refined version which is due to Moriwaki. It is a consequence of certain enumerative properties of cycles on the compactified moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. To explain it, let me introduce some more notation. The moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ has as boundary the irreducible divisors Δ_j , $j = 0, \dots, [\frac{g}{2}]$ where the stable genus g curve C belongs to Δ_0 if C is irreducible and to Δ_j , $j > 0$, if it is of the form $C = C_1 + C_2$ where $p_a(C_1) = j$, $p_a(C_2) = g - j$. The double point $C_1 \cap C_2$ is then called a double point of type j . The double points of an irreducible curve are called of type 0. This terminology extends to the semi-stable situation: if there is a tree R of rational curves connecting C_1 and C_2 , all of the double points on R are of type j .

If $p : B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ is the period map for f , the curve $p(B)$ meets the divisor Δ_j exactly in the points $s \in B$ over which there is a singular fibre with a double point of type j . Let $\delta_j(f)$ be the total number of such points. Moriwaki's inequality [Mor, Theorem D] reads:

$$(8g+4) \deg f_* \omega_{X/B} \geq g \cdot \delta_0(f) + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{[\frac{g}{2}]} j(g-j) \cdot \delta_j(f). \quad (15)$$

To see that this is a refinement of the slope inequality (5), observe that by Lemma 1.4 $\sum_{s \in B} \delta_s$ is the total number of double points $= \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{g}{2}]} \delta_j(f)$ and so

the right hand side of (15) reads

$$\begin{aligned} g(\sum_{s \in B} \delta_s) &+ \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor} (4j(g-j) - g) \cdot \delta_j(f) \\ &= g(12 \deg f_* \omega_{X/B} - \omega_{X/B}^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor} (4j(g-j) - g) \cdot \delta_j(f). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the second line uses Lemma 1.1.(iv). Dividing the inequality (15) by $g \cdot \deg f_* \omega_{X/B}$ then indeed leads to the sharpening of (5):

$$\lambda(f) \geq 4 - \frac{4}{g} + \frac{1}{\deg f_* \omega_{X/B}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor} \left(4 \frac{j(g-j)}{g} - 1 \right) \cdot \delta_j(f) \right] \quad (16)$$

2 Background from Hodge theory

2.1 Higgs bundles and the Arakelov inequality

For background on Hodge theory used in this section see for example [Ca-MS-P, Chapter 13.1,13.2] and [PeSt, Chapter 11].

The central concept in this section is that of a Higgs bundle:

Definition 2.1. A (logarithmic) *Higgs bundle* on a curve B with poles in a finite set $\Sigma \subset B$ is a vector bundle \mathcal{H} on B with a sheaf morphism $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \Omega_B^1(\log \Sigma)$, the *Higgs field*.¹² A *graded Higgs bundle* $\mathcal{H} = \oplus \mathcal{H}^j$ is a Higgs bundle verifying verify $\sigma|_{\mathcal{H}^j} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{j-1} \otimes \Omega_B^1(\log \Sigma)$.

A variation of Hodge structure naturally gives a graded Higgs bundle with Higgs field induced by the Gauss-Manin connection. Let me explain this in the current situation. So assume that one has a polarized variation of Hodge structure of weight 1 over $B_0 = B - \Sigma$ with underlying local system \mathbf{H} . The local monodromy of \mathbf{H} around points of Σ is assumed to be unipotent.¹³ The vector bundle $\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{B_0}$ together with the Gauss-Manin connection then turns out to have a canonical extension as a vector bundle \mathcal{H} on all of B equipped with a connection with logarithmic poles in points of Σ , say

$$\nabla : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \Omega_B^1(\log \Sigma).$$

The Hodge bundle $\mathcal{F}^1 \subset \mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{B_0}$ admits a unique extension

$$\mathcal{H}^{1,0} \subset \mathcal{H}$$

and hence a two-step filtration $\mathcal{H}^{1,0} \subset \mathcal{H}$. On the associated graded bundle $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}} = \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \oplus \mathcal{H}^{0,1}$ the logarithmic connection ∇ defines the structure of a Higgs bundle with (logarithmic) Higgs field

$$\sigma : \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{0,1} \otimes \Omega_B^1(\log \Sigma).$$

¹²Higgs fields σ over a higher dimensional base also have to satisfy $\sigma \wedge \sigma = 0$

¹³In the geometric situation this is always the case since the degenerating fibers are semi-stable.

A graded Higgs subbundle of \mathcal{H}_{Hgs} consists of a graded holomorphic subbundle preserved by σ . If such a subbundle comes from a local subsystem of \mathbf{C} -vector spaces, and the Higgs field vanishes on it, the polarization makes the local system into a *unitary local system*.¹⁴ On the other side of the spectrum we may have Higgs subbundles for which the Higgs field is an isomorphism.

A central property is the semi-stability of Higgs bundles:

Proposition 2.2. *The first Chern form of a graded Higgs subbundle \mathcal{G} of a Higgs bundle \mathcal{H} coming from a variation of Hodge structure on $B - \Sigma$ (with respect to the Hodge metric) is negative semidefinite, and it is 0 everywhere if and only if \mathcal{G}^\perp is a (holomorphic) graded Higgs subbundle as well. In this case the variation splits as an orthogonal direct sum of Higgs bundles $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G} \oplus \mathcal{G}^\perp$.*

For an elementary proof see [Ca-MS-P, Chapter 13.1]. For the weight one case, the above corollary applies in particular to the maximal unitary subsystem \mathcal{H}_{un} on which $\sigma = 0$. Its Chern form is identically zero and hence by Prop. 2.2 there is an orthogonal sum decomposition

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}}, \sigma) = (\mathcal{H}_{\text{max}}, \sigma) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_{\text{un}}, 0). \quad (17)$$

where the first Higgs bundle has injective Higgs field.

Semi-stability implies the following Arakelov type inequality:

Theorem 2.3 ([Fal]). *Let $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}}, \sigma)$ be a graded Higgs bundle over the curve B with poles in Σ which induced by a polarized weight one variation of Hodge structure as sketched above. The following inequalities for the Higgs component $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$ hold:*

$$0 \leq \deg \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \cdot \deg \Omega_{X/B}^1(\log \Sigma). \quad (18)$$

Moreover, if the Arakelov bound for $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$ is attained, then \mathcal{H}_{Hgs} has no unitary subsystem, i.e. the splitting (17) just reads $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{max}}$, and, moreover, the Higgs field is an isomorphism.

Conversely, if the Higgs field for \mathcal{H}_{Hgs} is an isomorphism, the Arakelov bound for $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$ is attained.

Proof: The first inequality is easy: $(\mathcal{H}^{1,0}, 0)$ is a quotient Higgs bundle of $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}}, \sigma)$ and hence, by semi-stability (Theorem 2.2) has degree ≥ 0 .

For the second inequality, note that the degree of the components of the Higgs bundle \mathcal{H}_{Hgs} and \mathcal{H}_{max} are the same while the Arakelov inequality also holds for \mathcal{H}_{max} . So, if the Arakelov inequality is attained for \mathcal{H}_{Hgs} , one must have $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{max}}$. I shall assume this from here on. Consider the Higgs bundle saturation $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \oplus \mathcal{G}^{0,1}$ for $\mathcal{H}^{1,0}$, i.e. we consider

$$\sigma : \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}^{0,1} \otimes \Omega_B^1(\log \Sigma),$$

where by definition of the saturation the right hand side is the image of the Higgs field. The right side has degree $(\text{rank } \mathcal{G}^{0,1}) \cdot \deg \Omega_{X/B}^1(\log \Sigma)$ and since

¹⁴If this system would be defined over \mathbf{Q} its monodromy would be finite and the Higgs subbundle corresponds to an isotrivial subsystem.

the pair $(\ker \sigma, 0)$ is obviously a Higgs subbundle of $(\mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}}, \sigma)$, by Cor. 2.2, its degree is non-positive which implies

$$\deg \mathcal{H}^{1,0} \leq \deg \mathcal{G}^{0,1} + (\text{rank } \mathcal{G}^{0,1}) \cdot \deg \Omega_{X/B}^1(\log \Sigma). \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, by stability $\deg \mathcal{G} = \deg \mathcal{H}^{1,0} + \deg \mathcal{G}^{0,1} \leq 0$ and hence, adding these two inequalities, the second inequality follows. Moreover, if equality holds, $\deg(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Hgs}}$ so that σ is surjective. Since $\mathcal{H}_{\text{un}} = 0$, the Higgs field has no kernel and hence σ is an isomorphism. The proof of the converse statement is left to the reader. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a family of semi-stable curves of genus g over a curve B with $\Sigma \subset B$ the subset of those critical points $s \in B$ of f for which $\text{Jac}(X_s)$ is not compact. For the associated logarithmic Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{H}_f, \sigma_f)$ let $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_{f,\text{max}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{f,\text{un}}$ be the splitting (17). With g_0 the rank of the bundle $\mathcal{H}_{f,\text{un}}^{1,0}$, the Arakelov inequality refines to*

$$\deg \mathcal{H}_f^{1,0} = \deg \mathcal{H}_{f,\text{max}}^{1,0} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot (g - g_0) \cdot \deg \Omega_{X/B}^1(\log \Sigma). \quad (20)$$

The Arakelov bound for the full Hodge bundle $\mathcal{H}_f^{1,0}$ is attained, i.e.,

$$\deg f_* \omega_{X/B} = \frac{1}{2} g \cdot \deg \Omega_B^1(\log \Sigma) \quad (21)$$

if and only if $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_{f,\text{max}}$ and the Higgs field σ_f is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.5. The proof shows that the Arakelov bound for $\mathcal{H}_{f,\text{max}}^{1,0}$ is attained if and only if its own Higgs field is an isomorphism.

Below I also need a rigidity result for Higgs bundles. Recall that a deformation of a family $f : Y \rightarrow B$, smooth over $B_0 = B - \Sigma$ and fixing (B, Σ) , is a morphism $F : (Y, E) \rightarrow (B, \Sigma) \times T$ with $T = (T, o)$ a germ of a complex manifold, such that $F|_{(B, \Sigma) \times \{o\}} = f$. The family f is called *rigid* if every deformation F of f is induced from f by pullback along the projection $(B, \Sigma) \times T \rightarrow (B, \Sigma)$. Since for a family Y of Abelian varieties the infinitesimal Torelli theorem holds, assuming f is not locally trivial over B_0 , rigidity for f is equivalent to rigidity for the associated period map $p(f) : B_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$.

Proposition 2.6 ([Pe10, Prop. 3.7.]). *Assume that f is not locally trivial over B_0 or, equivalently, that the period map $p(f)$ is not constant. If the associated Higgs field for \mathcal{H}_f is an isomorphism, then $p(f)$ is rigid. In particular, if f reaches the Arakelov bound for $\mathcal{H}_f^{1,0}$, the curve B_0 is rigidly embedded in \mathcal{A}_g .*

2.2 Application of the slope inequality to fibrations attaining the Arakelov bound

Theorem 2.7. *For a non-isotrivial genus $g \geq 2$ fibration $f : X \rightarrow B$ of semi-stable curves attaining the Arakelov bound, one has $g = 2, 3, 4$.*

Proof: Step 1. One first has to make sure that the condition of Theorem 1.5 and Corollary 1.9 are verified, i.e., that $K_X + C$ is nef and big for $C = R + \coprod_{s \in \Sigma} X_s$, R the union of certain elliptic tails. If B is not rational, the surface X is minimal: no multisection of f can be rational and f may be assumed to be relatively minimal. Remark 1.6 shows that it suffices to prove that K_X is nef, or equivalently [B-P-H-V, VI. Theorem 2.1] that the Kodaira dimension of X is ≥ 0 . Since $g \geq 2$, if $b \geq 1$ the Iitaka-inequality [B-P-H-V, III, Theorem 18.4] shows that in fact $\kappa(X) \geq 1$.

The case $b = 0$ remains. Since f is not isotrivial, by [B-P-H-V, III, Theorem 18.2], one has $\deg(f_*\omega_{X/B}) > 0$. Since one may also assume that $g \geq 5$, the Arakelov equality then gives $\deg(f_*\omega_{X/B}) = \frac{1}{2}g(-2 + \#\Sigma) \geq 3$. Riemann-Roch for $f_*\omega_X^{\otimes n}$ thus yields the following estimate for the plurigenera

$$P_n(X) \geq H^0(B, f_*\omega_{X/B}^{\otimes n}) \geq n \deg(f_*\omega_{X/B}) - 2n + 1 \geq n + 1$$

and hence $\kappa(X) \geq 1$. If X is minimal, this finishes the proof. However, X could fail to be minimal. This happens if there exists exceptional curves E which figures as a (multi)-section.

Write $K_X = K_{\min} + \sum E$, where $K_{\min} = \sigma^*K_Y$, $\sigma : X \rightarrow Y$ the contraction of all the minimal curves E to a minimal model Y . Then K_{\min} is nef on X and so, by the argument of Remark 1.6, $(K_X + C) \cdot D \geq 0$ for all curves D that are not exceptional. Furthermore, since $|\Sigma| \geq 3$, E being a (multi)section, one has $C \cdot E \geq 3$ and since $K_X \cdot E = -1$, it follows that $(K_X + C) \cdot E \geq 2$. Hence $K_X + C$ is nef. To show that it is also big, one estimates its self-intersection as follows. Using nefness of $K_X + C$ and the previous estimate $(K_X + C) \cdot E \geq 2$, one finds

$$(K_X + C)^2 \geq (K_X + C)K_X = (K_X + C) \cdot (K_{\min} + \sum E) \geq 2\#(\text{exc. curves } E) > 0,$$

since X is not minimal.

Step 2: *Kodaira fibrations cannot attain the Arakelov bound.* Indeed, $\omega_{X/B}^2 = 12 \deg f_*\omega_{X/B} = 12g(b-1)$ while, by Cor. 1.9 $\omega_{X/B}^2 \leq 4(g-1)(b-1) < 12g(b-1)$. It follows that at least one $\delta_i(f)$ is non-zero.

Step 3: *The case where $\delta_j(f) > 0$ for some $j > 0$.* As remarked in Step 1, $\deg f_*\omega_{X/B} > 0$ since f is not locally trivial and so the Moriwaki slope inequality (16) applies. On the other hand, in the inequality (14), by the assumption on the Arakelov bound, one has $d(f) = \deg(f_*\omega_{X/B})$, and so this inequality combined with Moriwaki's slope inequality gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 4 - \frac{4}{g} + \frac{1}{\deg f_*\omega_{X/B}} \left[\sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} 3\delta_s - \beta(R) - \sum \beta(f_j) \right] \\ \geq \lambda(f) = \frac{\omega_{X/B}^2}{d(f)} \\ \geq 4 - \frac{4}{g} + \frac{1}{\deg f_*\omega_{X/B}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor} \left(4 \frac{j(g-j)}{g} - 1 \right) \cdot \delta_j(f) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

One gets

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{4j(g-j)}{g} - 1 \right) \delta_j(f) - 3 \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} \delta_s + \beta(R) + \sum_{j \in J} \beta(f_j) \leq 0. \quad (22)$$

Moreover, if the left hand side is zero, then $\beta(X, C) + \sum_{j \in J} \beta(f_j) = 0$ where $C = R + \coprod_{s \in \Sigma} X_s$.

Rewrite (22) as a sum of terms each involving double points of fixed type. First consider the contribution of double points of type 1. If such a double point belongs to a fiber of non-compact type, it contributes $4(1 - \frac{1}{g}) - 1 = 3 - \frac{4}{g}$. On a fiber X_s of compact type these are the double points on the semi-stable elliptic tail $E_s + F_s$ plus one further point where the tail connects to the remaining components of the fiber, and so there are $\ell_s + 1$ double points of type 1 in X_s . Now recall inequality (12): whether or not a connecting rational tail exists or not, the contribution of such an elliptic tail E_s to the third and fourth term in (22) is at least $3\ell_s + 1$. It follows that the total contribution to (22) is at least

$$\underbrace{(\ell_s + 1)(3 - \frac{4}{g})}_{\text{1st term}} \underbrace{- 3(\ell_s + 1) + 3\ell_s + 1}_{\text{2nd term}} \geq (\ell_s + 1) \left(1 - \frac{4}{g} \right).$$

So for both situations the "average" contribution from each double point of type 1 is at least $1 - \frac{4}{g}$.

For double points of type $j \geq 2$, the first term contributes at least $7 - \frac{16}{g}$ and the second term contributes 0 or -3 and so their contribution is at least $4 - \frac{16}{g}$. Hence, in total, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor} \left(\frac{4j(g-j)}{g} - 1 \right) \delta_j(f) - 3 \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} \delta_s + \beta(R) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{4}{g} \right) \cdot \delta_1(f) + \left[4 - \frac{16}{g} \right] \cdot \sum_{j \geq 2} \delta_j(f) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $g \leq 4$.

Step 4: *The case $\delta_j(f) = 0$ for all $j > 0$.* I shall argue, following a suggestion of Kang Zuo, that this case does not occur, thereby completing the proof. So suppose it does occur. Note that in that case there are only double points of type 0. These might include the double points in a string of (-2) curves. However, (22) is an equality and since there are no elliptic tails since $\delta_1(f) = 0$, the above argument implies that $\beta(X, C) = 0$. So, by Theorem 1.5 the open surface $X^0 = X - C$ does not contain (-2) curves. Furthermore, X^0 is a locally symmetric space for the group $\text{PSU}(2, 1)$ which is of rank 1. So its Baily-Borel compactification X^* consists of $X - C$ together with some zero-dimensional boundary components. Since X^* is the minimal compactification, there is a holomorphic map $X \rightarrow X^*$ which necessarily must contract the curves from

C , by assumption a non-empty union of semi-stable fibers X_s , each consisting of an irreducible curve of arithmetic genus g plus, possibly some rational (-2) -curves. As for any fiber configuration, the intersection matrix of the components of X_s is negative semi-definite with one dimensional kernel. This is impossible by Mumford's contraction criterion [Mu61] which states that the intersection matrix of a blown down configuration of curves is strictly negative definite. This contradiction shows that this case does not occur. \square

3 On the Coleman-Oort conjecture

3.1 Special subvarieties of Shimura varieties

For this subsection the reader is advised to consult [M-O].

To start with, it is helpful to consider bounded symmetric domains $D = G(\mathbf{R})/K$ from the perspective of Shimura domains as follows. Assume for simplicity that $G(\mathbf{R})$ is the group of holomorphic automorphisms of D . This is the group of real points of a connected semi-simple \mathbf{Q} -algebraic group G of adjoint type. It acts transitively on D and $K = K_x$ is the isotropy group of some point $x \in D$. One shows that it comes with a homomorphism

$$h_x : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{R}), \quad \mathbf{S} = \text{Res}_{\mathbf{C}/\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{C}^\times, \quad (23)$$

where "Res" stands for the *Weil restriction*; here this simply means that \mathbf{S} is the group \mathbf{C}^\times considered as a real algebraic group. The group G acts on h_x by conjugation and one has a natural identification

$$D = \{\text{conjugacy class of } h_x\}; \quad g \cdot x \iff h_{g \cdot x} = gh_x g^{-1}.$$

This is the case, because the centralizer of h_x is the center of K_x so that $h_{g \cdot x} = h_{\tilde{g} \cdot x}$ if and only if $g\tilde{g}^{-1}$ belongs to this center and hence the conjugate by g of h_x only depends on the class of g modulo K_x .

This description of D is now indeed essentially the description of a *Shimura domain* or a *Shimura datum*: a pair (G, D) with G a reductive \mathbf{Q} -algebraic group and D a conjugacy class of morphisms (23) satisfying some extra technical conditions [Del79, (2.1.1.-3)], which are not important here. For any arithmetic subgroup Γ of G , the quotient $\Gamma \backslash D$ is called a *Shimura variety*.

Let (D', G') be another Shimura domain and let there be given a homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$ of \mathbf{Q} -algebraic groups. Then $f \circ h_x$ defines a point $\bar{f}(x) \in D'$ and $(f, \bar{f}) : (G, D) \rightarrow (G', D')$ is an equivariant holomorphic map between bounded symmetric domains. For simplicity, let me assume that f and hence \bar{f} are injective; we thus may identify D with its image in D' . One then says that D is a *Shimura subdomain* of D' and if $f(\Gamma) \subset \Gamma'$ for some arithmetic subgroups $\Gamma \subset G, \Gamma' \subset G'$ respectively, one says that $\Gamma \backslash D$ is a *special subvariety* of the Shimura variety $\Gamma' \backslash D'$.

3.2 Special curves in \mathcal{A}_g

By Satake's classification [Sat], there are two essentially different classes of Shimura varieties of dimension 1, also called *Shimura curves*:

- Non-compact Shimura curves. These are precisely the *modular curves*: quotients of a Shimura domain $(\mathrm{SL}(2), \mathfrak{h})$ by a modular subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbf{Q})$. After replacing Γ by a suitable finite index subgroup, these carry a universal family $E_\Gamma \rightarrow C_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{h}$ of elliptic curves. Here \mathfrak{h} denotes the upper half plane.
- Compact Shimura curves which all arise as follows. Let F be a totally real number field and \mathbf{D} a quaternion algebra over F which splits at exactly one place. Consider

$$\mathrm{SU}(1, \mathbf{D}) = \{x \in \mathbf{D}^\times \mid \mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathbf{D}}(x) = 1\}$$

and the arithmetic subgroup

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}} = \{x \in \text{some fixed maximal order of } \mathbf{D} \mid \mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathbf{D}}(x) = 1\}.$$

Then, $(\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathrm{SU}(1, \mathbf{D}), \mathfrak{h})$ is a Shimura datum¹⁵ and

$$C_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}} := \Gamma_{\mathbf{D}} \backslash \mathfrak{h}$$

is a compact Shimura curve. Note that with \mathbf{H} the algebra of the quaternions, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{R}) &\simeq M(2; \mathbf{R}) \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \underbrace{\mathbf{H} \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{H}}_{m-1}, \quad m = [F : \mathbf{Q}] \\ G(\mathbf{R}) &\simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{R}) \times \underbrace{\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{SU}(2)}_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

which shows that indeed, the upper half plane is the associated bounded domain. Again, after possibly replacing $\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$ by a subgroup of finite index, there is a universal family of abelian varieties $A_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}} \rightarrow C_{\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}}$ over this Shimura curve. The fibers of this family turn out to have dimension $g = 2^{m-1}$ or $g = 2^m$.

In order to classify special curves in \mathcal{A}_g , one needs to understand the embeddings of these curves in \mathcal{A}_g as special subvarieties. There are many non-rigidly embedded Shimura curves; this depends on the arithmetic of the corresponding embedding of \mathbf{Q} -algebraic groups $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(g)$, where G is the \mathbf{Q} -simple group of the type introduced above (i.e., $G(\mathbf{R})$ has precisely one non-compact factor, $\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbf{R})$). In view of Prop. 2.6, I shall only consider rigidly embedded Shimura curves. The result is as follows:

¹⁵As before "Res" stands for the Weil restriction; here it means that the F -group in question is to be considered as a group over the field \mathbf{Q} .

Proposition 3.1. *Each of the two types of Shimura curves C_Γ , respectively C_{Γ_D} can be rigidly embedded in \mathcal{A}_g for some g . For k large enough, one also gets a rigid embedding of C_Γ , respectively C_{Γ_D} in the fine moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{g,k}$ of polarized Abelian varieties of dimension g with level k -structure.*

1. *For the first type, g can be arbitrary and the modular family of Abelian varieties is isogeneous to a fibered product*

$$\underbrace{E_\Gamma \times_{C_\Gamma} \cdots \times_{C_\Gamma} E_\Gamma}_{g \text{ copies}}.$$

2. *For the second type, g is a multiple of $g = 2^m$ or 2^{m-1} , $m = [F : \mathbf{Q}]$ as before and the modular family is isogenous to a fibered product*

$$A_{\Gamma_D} \times_{C_{\Gamma_D}} \cdots \times_{C_{\Gamma_D}} A_{\Gamma_D}$$

of the modular family over a Mumford curve.

Sketch of proof. It follows from [Sai93, §6] that rigid representations in the sense of [Ad] give precisely the rigid embeddings we are classifying.

In loc. cit. is explained that symplectic representations are all constructed as follows. As before, let F be a totally real number field and \mathbf{D} a quaternion algebra over F which splits at exactly one place. For simplicity, assume that F/\mathbf{Q} is Galois with Galois group \mathcal{G} . The set of real embeddings $i_\alpha : F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ is identified with $S = \{1, \dots, m\}$, the unique non-compact place being the first. We have

$$G^\alpha = \mathrm{SU}(1, \mathbf{D}) \otimes_{i_\alpha} \mathbf{R}, \quad G^\alpha(\mathbf{C}) = \mathrm{SL}(V^\alpha, \mathbf{C}), \quad V^\alpha = \mathbf{C}^2.$$

The representations V^α are called atoms; molecules are the tensor representation $V^{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V^{\alpha_s}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in S$ and a direct sum of tensor representations is called a polymer. The Galois group \mathcal{G} acts on polymers. Polymers giving rigid representations are \mathcal{G} -stable polymers consisting of a special type of molecules: If $m = 1$ only one atom per molecule is allowed and if $m > 1$ each molecule contains exactly one "non-compact" atom, i.e., V^1 . Note that if $m = 1$ the only such polymers are direct sums of the atom V^1 and in the second case one can only have direct sums of the molecule $V^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V^m$. If $m = 1$ these representations are defined over \mathbf{Q} and below a symplectic embedding is exhibited. For $m > 1$ some more work is need.

Case 1. Non-compact embedded curves. Satake in [Sat] classifies embeddings of algebraic groups $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(g)$ for G a \mathbf{Q} -simple algebraic group and $G(\mathbf{R})$ without compact factors. For $G = \mathrm{SL}(2)$ such a Satake embedding is induced by the following symplectic embedding. Set $V_k = (\mathbf{R}^{2k}, J_k)$, $J_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_k \\ -\mathbf{1}_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The direct sum $\oplus_k V_1$ is isomorphic to the symplectic space V_k . Whence a faithful representation ρ_k of $\mathrm{SL}(2)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\rho_k} \begin{pmatrix} a\mathbf{1}_k & b\mathbf{1}_k \\ c\mathbf{1}_k & d\mathbf{1}_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $k = 1, \dots, g$ the direct sum representation $\rho_k \oplus$ (rank $(g-k)$ trivial representation) induces a holomorphic embedding $\mathfrak{h} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_g$. It gives the non-compact embedded Shimura curves starting from the Shimura datum $(\mathrm{SL}(2), \mathfrak{h})$. There is no locally constant factor if and only if $k = g$ and then the embedding is rigid. The non-compact rigid curves are often called *rigid curves of Satake type*. From its description one sees that the universal family is the fibered self product of the universal family of elliptic curves over the upper half plane.

Case 2. Symplectic embeddings when compact factors are present. Let \mathbf{D}^α be the twisted F -algebra D obtained via the embedding i_α and form the "corestriction from F to \mathbf{Q} of the algebra \mathbf{D} ":

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathrm{Cor}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{D} := \mathbf{D}^1 \otimes_F \cdots \otimes_F \mathbf{D}^m.$$

There are two possibilities for this algebra:

$$\mathbf{E} = \begin{cases} M(2^m, \mathbf{Q}) & \text{(split case)} \\ M(2^{m-1}, H), H \text{ a quaternion algebra}/\mathbf{Q} & \text{(non-split case).} \end{cases}$$

The G -representation space

$$W = V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_m, \quad V_\alpha = \mathbf{C}^2 \text{ with } G^\alpha(\mathbf{C}) \text{ acting}$$

turns out to be a symplectic representation over \mathbf{Q} in the split case ; in the non-split case this is true for $W \oplus W$. See [Ad, Sect. 4] or [Vie-Z, Section 5]. The corresponding embedding

$$\mathfrak{h}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}_g, \quad g = 2^{m-1}, \text{ resp. } g = 2^m$$

is then a rigid embedding, as explained above. It is called an embedded curve of *Mumford type*. All other embeddings come from direct sums of such representations. \square

Remark. A different proof of this classification can be found in [Vie-Z].

3.3 Relation with the Arakelov bounds

Let me summarize the pertinent results so far. First of all, the base curve of any family of g -dimensional polarized Abelian varieties over a curve attaining the Arakelov bound must be a Shimura curve embedded in \mathcal{A}_g as a rigid curve of Satake type or of Mumford type. If such a curve is also the base curve of a family of curves of genus g , then $g \leq 4$.

Conversely, an embedded Shimura curve $C_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_g$ of the above type and which is generically contained in Torelli locus need not carry a family of semi-stable curves over it, since \mathcal{A}_g is not a fine moduli space. Passing to a suitable fine moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{g,m}$ destroys the injectivity of the period map: it becomes a branched double cover which is precisely branched in the intersection of the locus of the hyperelliptic curves and the Torelli locus. For curves not meeting

this locus, it of course still follows that $g \leq 4$. However, one cannot a priori exclude special curves $C_0 \subset \mathcal{A}_{g,m}$ that meet the hyperelliptic locus.

Let me give a brief *outline the proof of Theorem (B)* as stated in the Introduction. Let C be the Zariski closure of C_0 in the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}$ of semi-stable curves and set

$$\mathcal{H}_g = \text{the closure of the hyperelliptic locus in } \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,m}.$$

Now either $C \subset \mathcal{H}_g$ or C meets the hyperelliptic locus in a finite set of points.

For the first case, see [L-Z]. In the second case, form the double cover $\pi : B \rightarrow C$ branched in the points of $\mathcal{H}_g \cap C$. This produces a surface $f : X \rightarrow B$ fibered in genus g curves. A little care is needed here: the fiber over a point in the ramification locus might be *singular*, but at most of compact type. As we have seen, this is because the closure of the Torelli locus in $\mathcal{A}_{g,m}$ might contain singular curves of compact type.

On B the Arakelov bound is then no longer valid: by [L-Z2, Proposition 1.9], one has to replace it by

$$d(f) = \deg \mathcal{H}_f^{1,0} = \deg f_* \omega_{S/B} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot g \cdot (\deg \Omega_B^1(\log(\Sigma)) - h_0), \quad h_0 = |\mathcal{H}_g \cap C_0|.$$

This follows directly from the Hurwitz formula for the double cover π . One can use this to rewrite (14) as

$$4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{g} \right) + \frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot \left(2(g-1)h_0 + \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c} 3\delta_s - \beta(R) - \sum_{j \in J} \beta(f_j) \right) \geq \lambda(f) = \frac{\omega_{X/B}^2}{d(f)}.$$

However, one needs a better inequality. To state it conveniently, some further notation is used:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{j,s} &= \# \text{ of components of genus } j \text{ in } X_s, \\ \epsilon_s &= \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} n_{j,s} \right) - 1 \\ \theta_s &= \left(\sum_{j \geq 2} 3n_{j,s} + 2n_{1,s} \right) - 3 \\ \Lambda_0 &= \mathcal{H}_g \cap C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Then by [L-Z2, Theorem 1.13] one has:

$$4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{g} \right) + \frac{2(g-1)h_0}{d(f)} + \frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot \left(\frac{3}{2} \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c \cap \Lambda_0} \epsilon_s + \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c - \Lambda_0} \theta_s \right) \geq \lambda(f) = \frac{\omega_{X/B}^2}{d(f)}. \quad (24)$$

This inequality turns out to be a consequence of the refined BMY-inequality by pulling back the family along a carefully chosen ramified cover of the base curve B and replacing R by another curve.

In order to estimate h_0 , the idea is to replace the Moriwaki inequality by a different slope inequality in which h_0 also appears. This refined slope inequality from [L-Z2, Theorem 1.14] is a highly non-trivial result which depends on an analysis of a morphism between auxiliary Higgs bundles and a fine analysis of the singularities of the hyperelliptic singular fibers. It is valid as soon as $g \geq 7$ and reads as follows:

$$\lambda(f) \geq \frac{5g-6}{g} + \frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot [2(g-2)h_0] + \frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot \left[\sum_{s \in \Sigma_c \cap \Lambda_0} 2\epsilon_s + \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c - \Lambda_0} \theta_s \right]. \quad (25)$$

Comparing with (24), one gets a bound for h_0 :

$$\frac{2h_0}{d(f)} \geq \frac{g-2}{g} + \frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot \left[\sum_{s \in \Sigma_c \cap \Lambda_0} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_s \right] \geq \frac{g-2}{g}.$$

Here one uses that $\epsilon_s = (\sum_{j \geq 1} n_{j,s}) - 1 \geq 0$ since there must be non-rational components in the fiber X_s .

Now use this bound together with the primitive upperbound (5), together with (25) as follows:

$$12 \geq \lambda(f) \geq \frac{5g-6}{g} + \frac{(g-2)^2}{g} + \text{remaining term.}$$

where the remaining term is the *non-negative* expression

$$\frac{1}{d(f)} \cdot \left[\sum_{s \in \Sigma_c \cap \Lambda_0} 2\epsilon_s + \sum_{s \in \Sigma_c - \Lambda_0} \theta_s \right].$$

It follows that

$$0 \geq \frac{5g-6}{g} + \frac{(g-2)^2}{g} - 12 = \frac{g^2 - 11g - 2}{g} \implies g \leq 11. \quad \square$$

Remarks 3.2. 1) Non-rigidly embedded curves also have been classified; in fact in [Ad, Sai93] one finds a classification scheme for all special subvarieties of \mathcal{A}_g . The variation of Hodge structures corresponding to non-rigid curve embeddings might be decomposable over \mathbf{Q} and the corresponding Higgs bundles may contain unitary subsystems. The number of possibilities depend on g and the field F over which the quaternion algebra is defined. As g grows and the index $[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ grows, the number of possibilities grows astronomically.

2) Let $C_0 = C - \Delta$ be a curve contained in the Torelli locus. By the original Arakelov result, any such curve is rigidly embedded in \mathcal{M}_g and there are only finitely many of these due to the boundedness statement in Arakelov's theorem. So, fixing (C, Δ) with C_0 a Shimura curve, there are only finitely many embeddings of C_0 whose image is generically contained in the Torelli locus. In particular, among the infinitely many possible embeddings $C_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_g$, only finitely many can be generically contained in the Torelli locus. In particular, if

$[F : \mathbf{Q}]$ is large, such a curve is not contained in it.

3) Jacobians of smooth curves have an irreducible polarization which give \mathbf{Q} -irreducible variations of Hodge structures. However, the corresponding Higgs bundles (which only "see" the \mathbf{R} -structure) can decompose and hence could in particular contain unitary Higgs subbundles defined over some real number field $\neq \mathbf{Q}$. Note that for such a subbundle the monodromy need no longer be finite. Indeed, this can be seen to happen for the examples in [M-O]. See also [Cat-De].

References

- [Ad] Addington, S.: Equivariant holomorphic maps of symmetric domains, *Duke Math. Journal* **55** (1987), 65-88.
- [Ar] Arakelov, A.: Families of algebraic curves with fixed degeneracies, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Math.* **35** (1971), 1277-1302.
- [A-C-G] ARBARELLO, E., M. CORNALBA AND PH. GRIFFITHS: *The geometry of algebraic curves, II* Grundlehren de Math. Wissensch. **268** Springer Verlag (2010).
- [B-P-H-V] BARTH, W., C. PETERS, K. HULEK AND A. VAN DE VEN: *Compact Complex Surfaces* (second enlarged edition) Springer Verlag (2004)
- [Fal] FALTINGS, G.: Arakelov's theorem for abelian varieties, *Inv. Math.* **73** (1983), 337-348.
- [Ca-MS-P] CARLSON, J., S. MÜLLER-STACH AND C. PETERS: *Period Mappings and Period Domains*, Cambridge Studies in advanced math. **85** Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2003).
- [Cat-De] CATANESE, F. AND M. DETTWIELER: Vector bundles on curves coming from Variation of Hodge Structures. [arXiv:1505.05064](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.05064) [math.AG].
- [Ch-Y] CHENG, S. Y. AND S.-T. YAU: Inequality between Chern numbers of singular Kähler surfaces and characterizations of orbit space of discrete group of $SU(2, 1)$, *Contemporary Math.*, **49**, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, (1986), 31-43.
- [C-L-Z2] CHEN, K., X. LU AND K. ZUO: On the Oort conjecture for Shimura varieties of unitary and orthogonal types. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1410.5739>.
- [Co] COLEMAN, R.: Torsion points on curves, in *Galois representations and arithmetic algebraic geometry (Kyoto 1985/Tokyo 1986)* 235-247, *Adv. Math. Stud. Pure Math.* **12** North-Holland, Amsterdam 1987
- [Del79] DELIGNE, P.: Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques in *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State*

Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2 247–289, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **XXXIII**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1979).

- [Jost-Zuo] Jost, J., and K. Zuo: Arakelov type inequalities for Hodge bundles over algebraic varieties, Part I: Hodge bundles over algebraic curves with unipotent monodromies around singularities, *J. Alg. Geom.* **11** (2002), 535–546.
- [Ko] KOBAYASHI, R.: Einstein-Kaehler metrics on open algebraic surfaces of general type, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **37** (1985), 43–77.
- [L-T-Y-Z] LU, J., S-LI TAN, F. YU AND K. ZUO: A new inequality on the Hodge number $h^{1,1}$ of algebraic surfaces <http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.2749>.
- [L-Z] LU, X. AND K. ZUO: On Shimura curves in the Torelli locus of curves. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.5858>.
- [L-Z2] LU, X. AND K. ZUO: The Oort conjecture on Shimura curves in the Torelli locus of curves. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4751>
- [L-Z3] LU, X. AND K. ZUO: On the slope of hyperelliptic fibrations with positive relative irregularity <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.727>.
- [L-Z4] LU, X. AND K. ZUO: On the slope conjecture of Barja and Stoppino for fibred surfaces <http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.06276>.
- [L-Z5] LU, X. AND K. ZUO: On the Severi type inequalities for irregular surfaces <http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.06569>.
- [Miy] MIYAOKA, M.: The maximal number of quotient singularities on surfaces with given numerical invariants, *Math. Ann.* **268** (1984), 159–171.
- [M] MOONEN, B.: Linearity properties of Shimura varieties, I. *J. Alg. Geom.* **7** (1998), 539–567.
- [M-O] MOONEN, B. AND F. OORT: *The Torelli locus and special subvarieties*, Handbook of moduli. Vol. II, 549–594, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), **25**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Mor] MORIWAKI, : Relative Bogomolov’s inequality and the cone of positive divisors on the moduli space of stable curves, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **11** (1989), 569–600.
- [Mu61] MUMFORD, D.: Topology of normal singularities and a criterion for simplicity, *Publ. Math. IHES* **36** (1961), 229–246.
- [Mum69] MUMFORD, D.: A note on Shimura’s paper ”Discontinuous groups and abelian varieties”, *Math. Annalen* **181** (1969), 345–351.

- [Mum75] MUMFORD, D.: *Curves and Their Jacobians*, Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor (1975).
- [O] OORT, F.: Canonical liftings and dense sets of CM-points, in: *Arithmetic geometry (Cortona, 1994)*, 228–234, Sympos. Math., **XXXVII**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1997).
- [Pe00] PETERS, C.: Arakelov inequalities for Hodge bundles, *Prépub. de l'Inst. Fourier* **511** (2000).
- [Pe10] PETERS, C.: Rigidity, Past and Present, *Proceedings of Teichmueller Theory and Moduli Problems (held at HRI, India in January 2006)*, Ramanujan Mathematical Society's Lecture Notes Series **10** (2010), 529–548.
- [PeSt] PETERS, C. AND J. STEENBRINK: *Mixed Hodge Structures*, Springer Verlag, Berlin etc. (2008).
- [Sai93] SAITO, Ma.: Classification of non-rigid families of abelian varieties, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **45** (1993), 159–189.
- [Sak] SAKAI, F.: Semistable curves on algebraic surfaces and logarithmic pluricanonical maps, *Math. Ann.* **254** (1980), 89–120.
- [Sat] SATAKE, I.: Symplectic representations of algebraic groups satisfying a certain analyticity condition, *Acta Math.* **117** (1967), 215–279.
- [Vie-Z] VIEHWEG, E AND K. ZUO: A characterization of certain Shimura curves in the moduli stack of abelian varieties, *J. Differential Geom.* **66** (2004), 233–287.
- [Xiao] XIAO, G.: Fibered algebraic surfaces with low slope, *Math. Ann.* **276** (1987), 125–148.