

Drinfel'd double for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras

Yan Ning^{1,2}, Daowei Lu^{1,2*}, Xiaohui Zhang¹

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University
Qufu, Shandong 273165, P. R. China

²Department of Mathematics, Jining University
Qufu, Shandong 273155, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper we mainly construct bicrossproduct for finite-dimensional monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (H, α) , generalizing the Majid's bicrossproduct. Naturally the Hom-type bicrossproduct leads to Drinfel'd double $(H^{op} \bowtie H^*, \alpha \otimes (\alpha^{-1})^*)$ with a quasitriangular structure R satisfying the quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equations.

Keywords: Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra; Drinfel'd double; Majid's bicrossproduct.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16T05.

INTRODUCTION

Algebraic deformation has been well developed recently into a new broad class of non-associative algebras, and its theory has been applied in modules of quantum phenomena, as well as in analysis of complex systems. In [6] by the help of general σ -derivations, Hartwig, Larsson and Silvestrov developed a general quasi-deformation scheme for Lie algebras of vector fields. Then the deformation has been investigated by Makhlouf and Silvestrov in [11]. In their construction of Hom-Lie algebra, the Jacobi identity is replaced by the so-called Hom-Jacobi identity, namely

$$[\alpha(x), [y, z]] + [\alpha(y), [z, x]] + [\alpha(z), [x, y]] = 0,$$

where α is an endomorphism of the Lie algebra. The main initial goal of this investigation was to create a unified general approach to examples of q -deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras. Particularly it was observed that in these examples some q -deformations of

*Corresponding author: Email: ludaowei620@126.com

ordinary Lie algebra Jacobi identities hold. Motivated by these new interesting examples, quasi-Hom-Lie algebra and Hom-Lie algebra were introduced in [6].

The idea of construction by adapting associativity-like conditions via endomorphisms was applied to other algebraic structures. For example, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebra was introduced for the first time in [11] and [12], respectively. Here the Hom-associativity and Hom-coassociativity were obtained by twisting the original associativity and coassociativity by endomorphisms. Hom-module and Hom-comodule were naturally developed in the same way. Then Hom-bialgebras were defined in a rational way. These objects are slightly different from what we will study (called monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra) in this paper, as introduced in [1].

In [7] Liu and Shen constructed Radford's biproduct on monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. In [15] D. Yau introduced the quasitriangular Hom-bialgebras(not monoidal Hom-bialgebras), as a generalization of the ordinary quasitriangular bialgebras and the quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation(QHYBE) of the form

$$\begin{aligned} R^{12}(R^{13}R^{23}) &= (R^{13}R^{23})R^{12} \\ (R^{12}R^{13})R^{23} &= R^{23}(R^{13}R^{12}). \end{aligned}$$

The construction of Majid's bicrossproduct Hopf algebras was motivated by the search for examples of self-dual algebraic structures, which means in the first place to find a category with a dualising endofunctor such that some kind of Pontryagin duality theorem holds. The bicrossproduct Hopf algebras provide numerous examples of non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras, and moreover they turn out be closely related to the Drinfel'd double.

Motivated by these ideas, in this paper as a continuation of [7], we will construct the Majid's bicrossproduct for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras and then in the framework of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, we will consider the Drinfel'd double which could be deduced from the Majid's bicrossproduct Hopf algebra and the its quasitriangular structure.

This paper is organized as follows.

In Section 1, we will recall the definitions and results of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, such as a monoidal Hom-algebra, a monoidal Hom-coalgebra, a monoidal Hom-module, a monoidal Hom-comodule and the Hom-smash products.

In Section 2, we will introduce the notion of bicrossproduct $(B\#H, \beta\#\alpha)$, and give the necessary and sufficient conditions for $(B\#H, \beta\#\alpha)$ to form a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (see Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3), generalizing the Majid's bicrossproduct defined in [9]. An example will be given at the end of this section.

In Section 3, we will construct a class of bicrossproduct monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras (see Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4, we will construct the generalized Drinfel'd double from the Majid's bi-

crossproduct for monoidal Hopf algebras (see Theorem 4.2) and obtain its quasitriangular structure (see Proposition 4.10), providing a solution of quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation.

Throughout this article, all the vector spaces, tensor product and homomorphisms are over a fixed field k . We use the Sweedler's notation for the terminologies on coalgebras. For a coalgebra C , we write comultiplication $\Delta(c) = \sum c_1 \otimes c_2$ for any $c \in C$.

1 PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathcal{M}_k = (\mathcal{M}_k, \otimes, k, a, l, r)$ be the category of k -modules. Now from this category, we could construct a new monoidal category $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. The objects of $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ are pairs (M, μ) , where $M \in \mathcal{M}_k$ and $\mu \in \text{Aut}_k(M)$. Any morphism $f : (M, \mu) \rightarrow (N, \nu)$ in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is a k -linear map from M to N such that $\nu \circ f = f \circ \mu$. For any objects (M, μ) and (N, ν) in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$, the monoidal structure is given by

$$(M, \mu) \otimes (N, \nu) = (M \otimes N, \mu \otimes \nu),$$

and the unit is (k, id_k) .

Generally speaking, all Hom-structure are objects in the monoidal category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k) = (\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k), \otimes, (k, id_k), \tilde{a}, \tilde{l}, \tilde{r})$ as introduced in [1], where the associativity constraint \tilde{a} is given by the formula

$$\tilde{a}_{M,N,L} = a_{M,N,L} \circ ((\mu \otimes id) \otimes \lambda^{-1}) = (\mu \otimes (id \otimes \lambda^{-1})) \circ a_{M,N,L}$$

for any objects (M, μ) , (N, ν) , (L, λ) in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{M}_k)$. And the unit constraints \tilde{l} and \tilde{r} are defined by

$$\tilde{l}_M = \mu \circ l_M = l_M \circ (id \otimes \mu), \quad \tilde{r}_M = \mu \circ r_M = r_M \circ (\mu \otimes id).$$

The category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ is called the Hom-category associated to the monoidal category \mathcal{M}_k .

In what follows, we will recall the definitions in [1] on the monoidal Hom-associative algebras, monoidal Hom-coassociative coalgebras, monoidal Hom-modules and monoidal Hom-comodules.

A unital monoidal Hom-associative algebra is an object (A, α) in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with an element $1_A \in A$ and a linear map $m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(a)(bc) &= (ab)\alpha(c), \quad a1_A = \alpha(a) = 1_A a, \\ \alpha(ab) &= \alpha(a)\alpha(b), \quad \alpha(1_A) = 1_A, \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$.

In the setting of Hopf algebras, m is called the Hom-multiplication, α is the twisting automorphism, and 1_A is the unit. Let (A, α) and (A', α') be two monoidal Hom-algebras.

A Hom-algebra map $f : (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (A', \alpha')$ is a linear map such that $f \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ f$, $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$ and $f(1_A) = 1_{A'}$. Obviously (A^{op}, α) is also a Hom-algebra.

A counital monoidal Hom-coassociative coalgebra is an object (C, γ) in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with linear maps $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$, $c \mapsto c_1 \otimes c_2$ and $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow k$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \gamma^{-1}(c_1) \otimes \Delta(c_2) &= \sum \Delta(c_1) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(c_2), \\ \sum c_1 \varepsilon(c_2) &= \sum \varepsilon(c_1) c_2 = \lambda^{-1}(c), \\ \Delta(\gamma(c)) &= \sum \gamma(c_1) \otimes \gamma(c_2), \quad \varepsilon\gamma(c) = \varepsilon(c), \end{aligned}$$

for all $c \in C$.

Let (C, γ) and (C', γ') be two monoidal Hom-coalgebras. A Hom-coalgebra map $f : (C, \gamma) \rightarrow (C', \gamma')$ is a linear map such that $f \circ \gamma = \gamma' \circ f$, $\Delta \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta$ and $\varepsilon \circ f = \varepsilon$.

A monoidal Hom-bialgebra $H = (H, \alpha, m, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bialgebra in the category $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ if $(H, \alpha, m, 1_H)$ is a monoidal Hom-algebra and $(H, \alpha, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra such that Δ and ε are Hom-algebra maps, that is, for any $g, h \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(gh) &= \Delta(g)\Delta(h), \quad \Delta(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_H, \\ \varepsilon(gh) &= \varepsilon(g)\varepsilon(h), \quad \varepsilon(1_H) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

A monoidal Hom-bialgebra (H, α) is called a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra if there exists a linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ (the antipode) such that

$$S \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ S, \quad \sum S(h_1)h_2 = \varepsilon(h)1_H = \sum h_1S(h_2).$$

Just as in the case of Hopf algebras, the antipode of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras is a morphism of Hom-anti-algebras and Hom-anti-coalgebras.

When (H, α) is a finite-dimensional monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, then $(H^*, (\alpha^{-1})^*)$ is also a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, where $(\alpha^{-1})^* : H^* \rightarrow H^*$, $f \mapsto f \circ \alpha^{-1}$, and the antipode is S_H^* defined similarly as $(\alpha^{-1})^*$.

Let (A, α) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. A left (A, α) -Hom-module is an object (M, μ) in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a linear map $\varphi : A \otimes M \rightarrow M$, $a \otimes m \mapsto am$ such that

$$\alpha(a)(bm) = (ab)\mu(m), \quad 1_A m = \mu(m), \quad \mu(am) = \alpha(a)\mu(m),$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$.

Similarly we can define the right (A, α) -Hom-modules. Let (M, μ) and (N, ν) be two left (A, α) -Hom-modules, then a linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called left A -module map if $f(am) = af(m)$ for any $a \in A$, $m \in M$ and $f \circ \mu = \nu \circ f$.

Let (C, γ) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. A right (C, γ) -Hom-comodule is an object (M, μ) in $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{M}_k)$ together with a linear map $\rho_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$, $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ such that

$$\sum \mu^{-1}(m_{(0)}) \otimes \Delta(m_{(1)}) = \sum \rho_M(m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma^{-1}(m_{(1)}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \varepsilon(m_{(1)})m_{(0)} &= \mu^{-1}(m), \\ \rho_M(\mu(m)) &= \sum \mu(m_{(0)}) \otimes \gamma(m_{(1)}),\end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M$.

Let (M, μ) and (N, ν) be two right (C, γ) -Hom-comodules, then a linear map $g : M \rightarrow N$ is called right C -comodule map if $g \circ \mu = \nu \circ g$ and $\rho_N(g(m)) = (g \otimes id)\rho_M(m)$ for any $m \in M$.

Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-bialgebra, and (B, β) be a monoidal Hom-algebra. We say (B, β) is a left (H, α) -Hom-module algebra if (B, β) is a left (H, α) -Hom-module with the action \cdot and satisfies

$$h \cdot (ab) = \sum (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b), \quad h \cdot 1_B = \varepsilon(h)1_B,$$

for any $a, b \in B$ and $h \in H$.

Dually, let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-bialgebra, and (C, γ) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. We say (C, γ) is a right (H, α) -Hom-comodule coalgebra, if (C, γ) is a right (H, α) -Hom-comodule and with the coaction $\rho(c) = \sum c_{(0)} \otimes c_{(1)}$ and

- (1) $\sum c_{(0)1} \otimes c_{(0)2} \otimes c_{(1)} = \sum c_{1(0)} \otimes c_{2(0)} \otimes c_{1(1)}c_{2(1)}$,
- (2) $\sum \varepsilon(c_{(0)})c_1 = \varepsilon(c)1_H$.

Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-bialgebra, and (B, β) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. We say (B, β) is a left (H, α) -Hom-module coalgebra if (B, β) is a left (H, α) -Hom-module with the action \cdot and satisfies

$$\Delta(h \cdot a) = \sum (h_1 \cdot a_1)(h_2 \cdot a_2), \quad \varepsilon_B(h \cdot a) = \varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_B(a),$$

for any $a \in B$ and $h \in H$.

Let (B, β) be a left (H, α) -Hom-module algebra. The Hom-smash product $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ of (B, β) and (H, α) is defined as follows:

- (1) $B \# H = B \otimes H$ as a vector space,
- (2) For any $a, b \in B$ and $h, k \in H$

$$(a \# h)(b \# k) = \sum a(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b)) \# \alpha(h_2)k.$$

Then $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ is a monoidal Hom-associative algebra with the unit $1_B \# 1_H$ (see [7]).

2 The bicrossproduct construction

In this section, we will construct the bicrossproduct of two monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. First, we give a slightly different version from the one in [7] for our purpose containing a simple sketch.

Proposition 2.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-coalgebra and (B, β) be a monoidal Hom-bialgebra. Assume that (H, α) is a right monoidal (B, β) -Hom comodule coalgebra, then $(B\#H, \beta\#\alpha)$ is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra with the comultiplication and counit defined as follows:

$$\Delta(a\#h) = \sum a_1\#\alpha(h_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)}\#h_2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\varepsilon(a\#h) = \varepsilon_B(a)\varepsilon_H(h), \quad (2.2)$$

where $B\#H = B \otimes H$ as a vector space, and we use the same notion as in the Hom-smash product.

Proof Firstly, for any $a\#h \in B\#H$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \Delta((a\#h)_1) \otimes (\beta^{-1}\#\alpha^{-1})((a\#h)_2) \\ = & \sum a_{11}\#\alpha(\alpha(h_{1(0)})_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{12})\alpha(h_{1(0)})_{1(1)}\#\alpha(h_{1(0)})_2 \\ & \otimes \beta^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)})\#\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \\ = & \sum \beta^{-1}(a_1)\#\alpha(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)_{(0)})_{(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{21})\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)_{(0)})_{(1)} \\ & \#\alpha(h_{21(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{22})\beta^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)_{(1)})h_{21(1)}\#h_{22} \text{ (by coassociativity)} \\ = & \sum \beta^{-1}(a_1)\#\alpha(\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(h_1(0)))_{(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{21})\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(h_1(0)))_{(1)} \\ & \#\alpha(h_{21(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{22})\beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)})h_{21(1)})\#h_{22} \\ = & \sum \beta^{-1}(a_1)\#\alpha(h_{1(0)(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{21})h_{1(0)(1)} \\ & \#\alpha(h_{21(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{22})\beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)})h_{21(1)})\#h_{22} \\ = & \sum \beta^{-1}(a_1)\#h_{1(0)} \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{21})h_{1(1)1} \\ & \#\alpha(h_{21(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{22})\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)2}h_{21(1)})\#h_{22}, \text{ (by comodule condition)} \\ = & \sum \beta^{-1}(a_1)\#h_{1(0)} \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_{21})h_{1(1)1}\#\alpha(h_{21(0)}) \\ & \otimes (\beta^{-2}(a_{22})\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)2}))h_{21(1)}\#h_{22} \\ = & \sum (\beta^{-1}\#\alpha^{-1})(a_1\#\alpha(h_{1(0)})) \otimes \Delta(\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)}\#h_2) \\ = & \sum (\beta^{-1}\#\alpha^{-1})((a\#h)_1) \otimes \Delta((a\#h)_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the Hom-coassociativity. It is straightforward to check that

$$\sum (\varepsilon_B \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes id \otimes id)(a_1\#\alpha(h_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)} \otimes h_2)$$

$$= \sum (id \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon_B \otimes \varepsilon_H)(a_1 \# \alpha(h_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)} \otimes h_2).$$

This shows that $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ is a monoidal Hom-coalgebra. This completes the proof. \square

Here we will call $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ a right Hom-smash coproduct as in [7].

Before the next proposition, we have the following identities by the coassociativity:

$$\sum h_{11} \otimes h_{12} \otimes h_{211} \otimes h_{212} \otimes h_{22} = \sum \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \otimes \alpha^2(h_{2111}) \otimes \alpha(h_{2112}) \otimes h_{212} \otimes h_{22}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\sum h_1 \otimes h_{211} \otimes h_{212} \otimes h_{22} = \sum \alpha(h_{11}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{12}) \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{21}) \otimes h_{22}. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.2. Let (B, β) and (H, α) be two monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. Let $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ be a Hom-smash product with a Hom-smash coproduct defined as above. Then $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ is a Hom-bialgebra if and only if

- (1) $\Delta(h \cdot b) = \sum \alpha(h_{1(0)}) \cdot b_1 \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_2)),$
- (2) $\varepsilon_B(h \cdot b) = \varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_B(b),$
- (3) $\rho(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_B,$
- (4) $\sum h_{2(0)} \otimes (h_1 \cdot b)\beta^2(h_{2(1)}) = \sum h_{1(0)} \otimes \beta^2(h_{1(1)})(h_2 \cdot b),$
- (5) $\sum (hk)_{(0)} \otimes (hk)_{(1)} = \sum \alpha(h_{1(0)})k_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{(1)})).$

Proof If $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra, by $\Delta(1 \# 1) = 1 \# 1 \otimes 1 \# 1$, we have

$$\sum 1 \# \alpha(1_0) \otimes \beta(1_{(1)}) \# 1 = 1 \# 1 \otimes 1 \# 1.$$

Then

$$\sum 1 \# \alpha(1)_0 \otimes \alpha(1)_{(1)} \# 1 = 1 \# 1 \otimes 1 \# 1,$$

hence

$$\sum 1_{(0)} \otimes 1_{(1)} = 1_H \otimes 1_B.$$

And by $\varepsilon((a \# h)(b \# k)) = \varepsilon(a \# h)\varepsilon(b \# k)$ we have

$$\sum \varepsilon(a(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b)) \# \alpha(h_2)k) = \varepsilon_B(a)\varepsilon_B(b)\varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_H(k).$$

Then

$$\sum \varepsilon_B(a)\varepsilon_B(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))\varepsilon_H(h_2k) = \varepsilon_B(a)\varepsilon_B(b)\varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_H(k).$$

Hence

$$\varepsilon_B(h \cdot b) = \varepsilon_H(h)\varepsilon_B(b).$$

By a calculation as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta((a\#h)(b\#k)) \\
&= \sum \Delta(a(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))\#\alpha(h_2)k) \\
&= \sum a_1(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_1\#\alpha((\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_2)(\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(1)}\#\alpha(h_{22})k_2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(a\#h)\Delta(b\#k) \\
&= \sum [a_1\#\alpha(h_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)}\#h_2][b_1\#\alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(b_2)k_{1(1)}\#k_2] \\
&= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{1(0)})_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1))\#\alpha(\alpha(h_{1(0)})_2)\alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(b_2)k_{1(1)}))\#\alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\
&= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{1(0)})_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1))\#\alpha^2(h_{1(0)2})\alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b_2)\beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))\#\alpha(h_{22})k_2,
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum a_1(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_1\#\alpha((\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_2)(\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(1)}\#\alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\
&= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{1(0)1}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1))\#\alpha^2(h_{1(0)2})\alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b_2)\beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))\#\alpha(h_{22})k_2. \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Let $a = 1$ and $k = 1$ in (2.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum \beta((h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_1)\#\alpha((\alpha^2(h_{21}))_{(0)}) \otimes (h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_2\alpha^2(h_{21})_{(1)}\#\alpha^2(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{1(0)1}) \cdot b_1\#\alpha^3(h_{1(0)2}) \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_2))\#\alpha^2(h_{22}). \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $id \otimes \varepsilon_H \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to both sides of (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum \beta((h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_1)\varepsilon_H(h_{21}) \otimes (h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_2 1_{H \varepsilon_H}(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{1(0)1}) \cdot b_1 \varepsilon_H(h_{1(0)2}) \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_2))\varepsilon_H(h_{22}),
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\sum (h \cdot b)_1 \otimes (h \cdot b)_2 = \sum \alpha(h_{1(0)} \cdot b_1) \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_2)).$$

Applying $\varepsilon_B \otimes id \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to both sides of (2.6), we have

$$\sum \alpha^2(h_{2(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))\beta(h_{2(1)}) = \sum \alpha^2(h_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})\beta^{-1}(h_2 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b)),$$

so

$$\sum h_{2(0)} \otimes (h_1 \cdot b)\beta^2(h_{2(1)}) = \sum h_{1(0)} \otimes \beta^2(h_{1(1)})(h_2 \cdot b).$$

Let $a = b = 1$ in (2.5). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum 1 \# \varepsilon_H(h_1) \alpha((\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(0)}) \otimes \beta(\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(1)} \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\ &= \sum 1 \# \varepsilon_H(h_{1(0)1}) \alpha^2(h_{1(0)2}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot k_{1(1)}) \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2, \end{aligned}$$

and more,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum 1 \# \alpha((h_1 k_1)_{(0)}) \otimes \beta((h_1 k_1)_{(1)}) \# \alpha(h_2)k_2 \\ &= \sum 1 \# \alpha(h_{1(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot k_{1(1)}) \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Applying $\varepsilon_B \otimes id \otimes id \otimes \varepsilon_H$ to both sides of the above equation, we have

$$\sum (hk)_{(0)} \otimes (hk)_{(1)} = \sum \alpha(h_{1(0)})k_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{(1)})).$$

Conversely if the condition (1), (2), (3) (4) and (5) are satisfied,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta((a \# h)(b \# k)) \\ &= \sum \Delta(a(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b)) \# \alpha(h_2)k) \\ &= \sum a_1(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_1 \# \alpha((\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(0)}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2(h_1 \cdot \beta^{-1}(b))_2) (\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(1)} \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\ &= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{11(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha((\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(0)}) \\ & \quad \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2(\beta(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_{12}) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b_2)))) (\alpha(h_{21})k_1)_{(1)} \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by (1)} \\ &= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{11(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha(\alpha(h_{211(0)}))k_{1(0)} \otimes [\beta^{-1}(a_2)(h_{11(1)}(\alpha^{-2}(h_{12}) \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)))] \\ & \quad [\beta(\beta(h_{211(1)}))(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(h_{212})) \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\ &= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{11(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(h_{211(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes [\beta^{-1}(a_2)(h_{11(1)}(\alpha^{-2}(h_{12}) \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)))] \\ & \quad [\beta^2(h_{211(1)})(h_{212} \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by (5)} \\ &= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{11(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(h_{212(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes [\beta^{-1}(a_2)(h_{11(1)}(\alpha^{-2}(h_{12}) \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)))] \\ & \quad [(h_{211} \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))\beta^2(h_{212(1)})] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by (4)} \\ &= \sum a_1(h_{1(0)} \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(h_{212(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes [\beta^{-1}(a_2)(\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)})(h_{2111} \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)))] \\ & \quad [(\alpha(h_{2112}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))\beta^2(h_{212(1)})] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by (2.3)} \\ &= \sum a_1(h_{1(0)} \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(h_{212(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes [(\beta^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)}))(\alpha(h_{2111}) \cdot \beta^{-2}(b_2)))] \\ & \quad [(\alpha(h_{2112}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)}))\beta^2(h_{212(1)})] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\ &= \sum a_1(h_{1(0)} \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(h_{212(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\ & \quad \otimes [(\beta^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)}))(h_{211} \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)\beta^{-2}(k_{1(1)}))] \beta^3(h_{212(1)}) \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\ &= \sum a_1(h_{1(0)} \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(h_{212(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\ & \quad \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)})[(h_{211} \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)\beta^{-2}(k_{1(1)}))\beta^2(h_{212(1)})] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum a_1(h_{1(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1) \# \alpha^3(h_{211(0)}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)}) [\beta^2(h_{211(1)})(h_{212} \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)\beta^{-2}(k_{1(1)}))] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by (4)} \\
&= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{11(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(\alpha^{-1}(h_{12(0)})) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)\beta(h_{11(1)})) [\beta(h_{12(1)}) \\
&\quad (\alpha^{-1}(h_{21}) \cdot \beta^{-3}(b_2)\beta^{-2}(k_{1(1)}))] \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by (2.4)} \\
&= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{11(0)}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^3(\alpha^{-1}(h_{12(0)})) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes [\beta^{-1}(a_2)(h_{11(1)}h_{12(1)})] (h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b_2)\beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)})) \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \\
&= \sum a_1(\alpha(h_{1(0)1}) \cdot \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \# \alpha^2(h_{1(0)2}) \alpha(k_{1(0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)})(h_{21} \cdot \beta^{-2}(b_2)\beta^{-1}(k_{1(1)})) \# \alpha(h_{22})k_2 \text{ by comodule coalgebra} \\
&= \Delta(a \# h) \Delta(b \# k)
\end{aligned}$$

And it is easy to check that $\varepsilon((a \# h)(b \# k)) = \varepsilon(a \# h)\varepsilon(b \# k)$. Therefore $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 2.3. Let (B, β) and (H, α) be monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, and we have the bicrossproduct monoidal Hom-bialgebra $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ defined as above. Define the antipode by

$$S(a \# h) = \sum (1_B \# S_H(h_{(0)}))(S_B(\beta^{-2}(a)\beta^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \# 1_H), \quad (2.8)$$

then $(B \# H, \beta \# \alpha)$ is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (we will call this a Majid's bicrossproduct).

Proof For any $a \# h \in B \# H$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum S(a_1 \# \alpha(h_{1(0)})) (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)} \# h_2) \\
&= \sum [(1_B \# S_H \alpha(h_{1(0)(0)}))(S_B(\beta^{-2}(a_1)\beta^{-1}\beta(h_{1(0)(1)})) \# 1_H)] (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)} \# h_2) \\
&= \sum [(1_B \# S_H \alpha(h_{1(0)(0)}))(S_B(\beta^{-2}(a_1)h_{1(0)(1)}) \# 1_H)] (\beta^{-1}(a_2)h_{1(1)} \otimes h_2) \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha^2(h_{1(0)(0)})) [(S_B(\beta^{-2}(a_1)h_{1(0)(1)}) \# 1_H) (\beta^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)}) \# \alpha^{-1}(h_2))] \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha^2(h_{1(0)(0)})) [(S_B(\beta^{-2}(a_1)h_{1(0)(1)})) (\beta^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)}) \# h_2)] \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha^2(h_{1(0)(0)})) [(S_B(h_{1(0)(1)}) S_B \beta^{-2}(a_1)) (\beta^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-1}(h_{1(1)}) \# h_2)] \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha^2(h_{1(0)(0)})) [(S_B(h_{1(0)(1)}) (S_B \beta^{-3}(a_1)\beta^{-3}(a_2))) h_{1(1)} \# h_2] \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha^2(h_{1(0)(0)})) [(S_B \beta(h_{1(0)(1)}) h_{1(1)} \# h_2]_{\varepsilon_B(a)} \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha(h_{1(0)})) [(S_B \beta(h_{1(1)1}) \beta(h_{1(1)2}) \# h_2]_{\varepsilon_B(a)} \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H \alpha(h_{1(0)})) (\varepsilon_B(h_{1(1)})) 1 \# h_2]_{\varepsilon_B(a)} \\
&= \sum (1_B \# S_H(h_1)h_2)_{\varepsilon_B(a)} \\
&= \sum (1_B \# 1_H)_{\varepsilon_B(a)} \varepsilon(h).
\end{aligned}$$

That is $S * id = \varepsilon$. Similarly we have $id * S = \varepsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.4. In Theorem 2.2, if the module action is trivial, that is $h \cdot a = \varepsilon_H(h)\alpha(a)$, we have a bicrossproduct with monoidal Hom-smash coproduct and tensor product. If the comodule is trivial, that is $\rho(h) = \alpha^{-1}(h) \otimes 1$, we have a bicrossproduct with monoidal Hom-smash product and tensor coproduct.

Example 2.5. Let $B = span\{1, x\}$ over a fixed field k with $char k \neq 2$. Then define β as a k -linear automorphism of B by

$$\beta(1_B) = 1_B, \quad \beta(x) = -x.$$

Define the multiplication on B by

$$1_B 1_B = 1_B, \quad 1_B x = -x, \quad x^2 = 0,$$

then it is not hard to check that (B, β) is a Hom-associative algebra.

For B , define the comultiplication and the counit by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_B) &= 1_B \otimes 1_B, \quad \Delta(x) = (-x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (-x), \\ \varepsilon(1_B) &= 1, \quad \varepsilon(x) = 0, \\ S_B(1_B) &= 1_B, \quad S_B(x) = -x, \end{aligned}$$

then it is easy to check that (B, β) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra.

Let $H = span\{1, g | g^2 = 1\}$ be the group algebra. Obviously (H, id) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra.

Define the left action of H on B by $\cdot : H \otimes B \rightarrow B$ by

$$1_H \cdot 1_B = 1_B, \quad 1_H \cdot x = -x, \quad g \cdot 1_B = 1_B, \quad g \cdot x = x.$$

It is easy to check that (B, β) is a left (H, id) -module algebra.

Define the right coaction of B on H $\rho : H \rightarrow H \otimes B$ by

$$\rho(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_B, \quad \rho(g) = g \otimes 1_B,$$

We could verify that (H, id) is a right (B, β) -comodule coalgebra, and the conditions in the above proposition are satisfied. Hence we have a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra $(B \# H, \beta \# id)$ (the Majid's bicrossproduct), where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_B \# 1_H) &= 1_B \# 1_H \otimes 1_B \# 1_H, \quad \varepsilon(1_B \# 1_H) = 1, \\ \Delta(1_B \# g) &= 1_B \# g \otimes 1_B \# g, \quad \varepsilon(1_B \# g) = 1, \\ \Delta(x \# 1_H) &= (-x) \# 1_H \otimes 1_B \# 1_H, \quad \varepsilon(x \# 1_H) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta(x\#g) = (-x)\#g \otimes 1_B\#g + 1_B\#g \otimes (-x)\#g, \quad \varepsilon(x\#g) = 0.$$

$$S(1_B\#1_H) = 1_B\#1_H, \quad S(1_B\#g) = 1_B\#g, \quad S(x\#1_H) = x \otimes 1_H, \quad S(x\#g) = (-x)\#g.$$

As to the multiplication, it is the same as in the Example 3.7 in [7].

3 A class of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras

In this subsection, we will construct a class of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras as an application of the theory constructed in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. Define the left action of (H^{op}, α) on (H, α) by

$$\cdot : H^{op} \otimes H \rightarrow H, \quad h \cdot a = \sum (S(h_1)\alpha^{-1}(a))\alpha(h_2),$$

for any $h, a \in H$. Then (H, α) is a left (H^{op}, α) -module algebra.

Proof For any $h, k, a, b \in H$, firstly, $1 \cdot a = \alpha(a)$, and

$$\alpha(h \cdot a) = \sum (S\alpha(h_1)a)\alpha^2(h_2) = \alpha(h) \cdot \alpha(a).$$

Secondly

$$\begin{aligned} (hk) \cdot a &= \sum (S(h_1k_1)\alpha^{-1}(a))\alpha(h_2k_2) \\ &= \sum [(S(k_1)S(h_1))\alpha^{-1}(a)]\alpha(h_2k_2) \\ &= \sum [\alpha S(k_1)(S(h_1)\alpha^{-2}(a))]\alpha(h_2k_2) \\ &= \sum [(S(k_1)(\alpha^{-1}S(h_1)\alpha^{-3}(a)))\alpha(h_2)]\alpha^2(k_2) \\ &= \sum [\alpha S(k_1)((\alpha^{-1}S(h_1)\alpha^{-3}(a))h_2)]\alpha^2(k_2) \\ &= \sum \alpha(k) \cdot [(S(h_1)\alpha^{-2}(a))\alpha(h_2)] \\ &= \alpha(k) \cdot [h \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a)], \end{aligned}$$

Now (H, α) is a left (H^{op}, α) -module. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (h_1 \cdot a)(h_2 \cdot b) &= \sum ((S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(a))\alpha(h_{12}))((S(h_{21})\alpha^{-1}(b))\alpha(h_{22})) \\ &= \sum ((S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(a))\alpha(h_{12}))(S\alpha(h_{21})(\alpha^{-1}(b)h_{22})) \\ &= \sum [(S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(a))(h_{12}S(h_{21}))](b\alpha(h_{22})) \\ &= \sum [(S\alpha^{-1}(h_1)\alpha^{-1}(a))(\alpha(h_{211})S\alpha(h_{212}))](b\alpha(h_{22})) \\ &= \sum [(S(h_1)a)](bh_2) = (S(h_1)\alpha^{-1}(ab))\alpha(h_2) \\ &= h \cdot (ab), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h \cdot 1 = \sum \alpha(S(h_1))\alpha(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. Define the right coaction of (H, α) on (H^{op}, α) by

$$\rho : H^{op} \rightarrow H^{op} \otimes H, \quad \rho(h) = \sum \alpha(h_{12}) \otimes S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(h_2),$$

for any $h \in H$. Then (H^{op}, α) is a right (H, α) -comodule coalgebra.

Proof For any $h \in H$, firstly

$$\sum \alpha(h_{12})\varepsilon(h_{11})\varepsilon(h_2) = \alpha^{-1}(h),$$

and

$$\rho(\alpha(h)) = \sum \alpha(\alpha(h)_{12}) \otimes S(\alpha(h)_{11})\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(h)_2) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)\rho(h).$$

Secondly

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(h_{12})) \otimes \Delta(S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(h_2)) \\ &= \sum h_{12} \otimes S(h_{112})\alpha^{-1}(h_{21}) \otimes S(h_{111})\alpha^{-1}(h_{22}) \\ &= \sum \alpha^2(h_{1122}) \otimes S\alpha(h_{1121})\alpha^{-1}(h_{12}) \otimes S(h_{111})\alpha^{-2}(h_2) \\ &= \sum \alpha^2(h_{1212}) \otimes S\alpha(h_{1211})h_{122} \otimes S\alpha^{-1}(h_{11})\alpha^{-2}(h_2) \\ &= \sum h_{(0)(0)} \otimes h_{(0)(1)} \otimes \alpha^{-1}(h_{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then (H^{op}, α) is a right (H, α) -comodule. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum h_{1(0)} \otimes h_{2(0)} \otimes h_{1(1)}h_{1(1)} \\ &= \sum \alpha(h_{112}) \otimes \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes [S(h_{111})\alpha^{-1}(h_{12})][S(h_{211})\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})] \\ &= \sum \alpha(h_{112}) \otimes \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes [S(h_{111})(\alpha^{-2}(h_{12})\alpha^{-1}S(h_{211}))]h_{22} \\ &= \sum h_{12} \otimes \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes [S\alpha^{-1}(h_{11})(h_{2111}S(h_{2112}))]h_{22} \\ &= \sum h_{12} \otimes h_{21} \otimes S(h_{11})h_{22} \\ &= \sum \alpha(h_{121}) \otimes \alpha(h_{122}) \otimes S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \\ &= \sum \Delta(\alpha(h_{12})) \otimes S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(h_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum \varepsilon(\alpha(h_{12}))S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. Let (H, α) be a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. The action $\cdot : H^{op} \otimes H \rightarrow H$ and coaction $\rho : H^{op} \rightarrow H^{op} \otimes H$ are defined as above. Then we have Majid's bicrossproduct monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra $(H * H^{op}, \alpha * \alpha)$.

Proof For any $h, k, a, b \in H$, firstly

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(h \cdot a) &= \sum \Delta((S(h_1)\alpha^{-1}(a))\alpha(h_2)) \\
&= \sum (S(h_{12})\alpha^{-1}(a_1))\alpha(h_{21}) \otimes (S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(a_2))\alpha(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{21}) \cdot a_1 \otimes (S\alpha^{-1}(h_1)\alpha^{-1}(a_2))\alpha(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{12}) \cdot a_1 \otimes (S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(a_2))h_2 \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{12}) \cdot a_1 \otimes S\alpha(h_{11})(\alpha^{-1}(a_2)\alpha^{-1}(h_2)) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{12}) \cdot a_1 \otimes S\alpha(h_{11})(\varepsilon(h_{21})\alpha^{-1}(a_2)h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{112}) \cdot a_1 \otimes \alpha^2 S(h_{111})(((\alpha^{-2}(h_{12})S\alpha^{-2}(h_{21}))\alpha^{-2}(a_2))h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{112}) \cdot a_1 \otimes \alpha^2 S(h_{111})((\alpha^{-1}(h_{12})(S\alpha^{-2}(h_{21})\alpha^{-3}(a_2)))h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{112}) \cdot a_1 \otimes \alpha^2 S(h_{111})(h_{12}((S\alpha^{-2}(h_{21})\alpha^{-3}(a_2))\alpha^{-1}(h_{22}))) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{112}) \cdot a_1 \otimes \alpha^2 S(h_{111})(h_{12}(\alpha^{-2}(h_2) \cdot \alpha^{-2}(a_2))) \\
&= \sum \alpha^2(h_{112}) \cdot a_1 \otimes \alpha(S(h_{111})\alpha^{-1}(h_{12}))(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a_2)) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{1(0)}) \cdot a_1 \otimes \alpha(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(a_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(h \cdot a) = \sum \varepsilon(h_1)\varepsilon(h_2)\varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon(h)\varepsilon(a).$$

Secondly

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes (h_1 \cdot b)\alpha^2(S(h_{211})\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes ((S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(b))\alpha(h_{12}))\alpha^2(S(h_{211})\alpha^{-1}(h_{22})) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes [(S(h_{11})\alpha^{-1}(b))(h_{12}S\alpha(h_{211}))]\alpha^2(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{212}) \otimes [(S(h_1)b)\varepsilon(h_{211})]\alpha^2(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum h_{21} \otimes [(S(h_1)b)]\alpha^2(h_{22}) \\
&= \sum h_{12} \otimes S\alpha^2(h_{11})(bh_2) \\
&= \sum h_{12} \otimes S\alpha^2(h_{11})\varepsilon(h_{21})(b\alpha(h_{22})) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{112}) \otimes (S\alpha^2(h_{111})(h_{12}S(h_{21}))) (b\alpha(h_{22})) \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{112}) \otimes [\alpha^2 S(h_{111})\alpha(h_{12})][\alpha S(h_{21})(\alpha^{-1}(b)h_{22})] \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{112}) \otimes \alpha^2(S(h_{111})\alpha^{-1}(h_{12}))(h_2 \cdot b) \\
&= \sum h_{1(0)} \otimes \beta^2(h_{1(1)})(h_2 \cdot b),
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum (h \circ k)_{(0)} \otimes (h \circ k)_{(1)} \\
&= \sum \alpha(k_{12}h_{12}) \otimes [S(h_{11})S(k_{11})][\alpha^{-1}(k_2)\alpha^{-1}(h_2)] \\
&= \sum \alpha(k_{12})\alpha(h_{12}) \otimes S\alpha(h_{11})[(S\alpha^{-1}(k_{11})\alpha^{-2}(k_2))\alpha^{-1}(h_2)] \\
&= \sum \alpha(k_{12})\alpha^2(h_{112}) \otimes [S\alpha(h_{111})(\alpha^{-1}(h_{12})\alpha^{-1}S(h_{21}))][(S\alpha^{-1}(k_{11})\alpha^{-2}(k_2))h_{22}] \\
&= \sum \alpha(k_{12})\alpha^2(h_{112}) \otimes [S\alpha(h_{111})h_{12}][S(h_{21})((S\alpha^{-2}(k_{11})\alpha^{-3}(k_2))\alpha^{-1}(h_{22}))] \\
&= \sum \alpha(k_{12})\alpha^2(h_{112}) \otimes [S\alpha(h_{111})h_{12}][(S\alpha^{-1}(h_{21})(S\alpha^{-2}(k_{11})\alpha^{-3}(k_2)))h_{22}] \\
&= \sum \alpha(k_{12})\alpha^2(h_{112}) \otimes \alpha[S(h_{111})\alpha^{-1}(h_{12})][\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(S(k_{11})\alpha^{-1}(k_2))] \\
&= \sum \alpha(h_{1(0)}) \circ k_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(h_{1(1)})(\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(k_{(1)})).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

By the above proposition, the Hopf algebra structure on $(H * H^{op}, \alpha * \alpha)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(a\#h)(b\#k) &= \sum a[(S(h_{11})\beta^{-2}(b))\alpha(h_{12})]\#k\alpha(h_2), \\
\Delta(a\#h) &= \sum a_1\#\alpha^2(h_{112}) \otimes \beta^{-1}(a_2)(S(h_{111})\alpha^{-1}(h_{12}))\#h_2,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b, h, k \in H$.

4 Drinfel'd Double of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras

In this section, we will introduce Drinfel'd double of monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. The monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras in this section are all assumed to be finite-dimensional. First we have the following definition:

Definition 4.1. Let (B, β) and (H, α) be two monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. Then $((B, \beta), (H, \alpha))$ is called a *matched monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra pair* if H is a right B -Hom-module coalgebra and B is a left H -Hom-module coalgebra via

$$\triangleleft : H \otimes B \rightarrow H, \quad \triangleright : H \otimes B \rightarrow B,$$

with the compatible conditions:

- (1) $(hg) \triangleleft a = \sum (h \triangleleft (g_1 \triangleright \beta^{-1}(a_1)))(\alpha(g_2) \triangleleft a_2)$, $1_H \triangleleft a = \varepsilon_B(a)1_H$,
- (2) $h \triangleright (ab) = \sum (h_1 \triangleright \beta(a_1))((\alpha^{-1}(h_2) \triangleleft a_2) \triangleright b)$, $1_B \triangleleft h = \varepsilon_H(h)1_B$,
- (3) $\sum (h_1 \triangleleft a_1) \otimes (h_2 \triangleright a_2) = (h_2 \triangleleft a_2) \otimes (h_1 \triangleright a_1)$.

By the above definition, we have

Theorem 4.2. Given a matched monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra pair $((B, \beta), (H, \alpha))$, we have a double cross product monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra $(B \bowtie H, \beta \otimes \alpha)$ built on the vector space $B \otimes H$ with the product

$$(a \times h)(b \times g) = \sum a(h_1 \triangleright b_1) \times (h_2 \triangleleft b_2)g$$

and the usual tensor coproduct.

The antipode is defined by

$$S(a \times h) = \sum S_H(h_2) \triangleright S_B(a_2) \times S_H(h_1) \triangleleft S_B(a_1).$$

Proof Firstly the unit and counit are easy to verify. Secondly

$$\begin{aligned} & (\beta(a) \times \alpha(h))((b \times g)(c \times f)) \\ &= \sum (\beta(a) \times \alpha(h))(b(g_1 \triangleright c_1) \times (g_2 \triangleleft c_2)f) \\ &= \sum \beta(a)(\alpha(h_1) \triangleright (b_1(g_{11} \triangleright c_{11}))) \times (\alpha(h_2) \triangleleft (b_2(g_{12} \triangleright c_{12})))((g_2 \triangleleft c_2)f) \\ &= \sum \beta(a)[(\alpha(h_{11}) \triangleright \beta(b_{11}))((h_{12} \triangleleft b_{12}) \triangleright (g_{11} \triangleright c_{11})))] \\ & \quad \times ((h_2 \triangleleft b_2) \triangleleft (\alpha(g_{12}) \triangleright \beta(c_{12})))((g_2 \triangleleft c_2)f) (b) \\ &= \sum \beta(a)[(\alpha(h_{11}) \triangleright \beta(b_{11}))((h_{12} \triangleleft b_{12}) \triangleright (\alpha^{-1}(g_1) \triangleright \beta^{-1}(c_1)))] \\ & \quad \times ((h_2 \triangleleft b_2) \triangleleft (\alpha(g_{21}) \triangleright \beta(c_{21})))((\alpha(g_{22}) \triangleleft \beta(c_{22}))f) \\ &= \sum \beta(a)[(h_1 \triangleright b_1)((\alpha^{-1}(h_{21}) \triangleleft \beta^{-1}(b_{21}))\alpha^{-1}(g_1) \triangleright c_1)] \\ & \quad \times [((h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22}) \triangleleft (g_{21} \triangleright c_{21}))(\alpha(g_{22}) \triangleleft \beta(c_{22}))]\alpha(f) \\ &= \sum \beta(a)[(h_1 \triangleright b_1)((\alpha^{-1}(h_{21}) \triangleleft \beta^{-1}(b_{21}))\alpha^{-1}(g_1) \triangleright c_1)] \\ & \quad \times [(h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22})g_2 \triangleleft \beta(c_2)]\alpha(f) (a) \\ &= \sum (a(h_1 \triangleright b_1))((h_{21} \triangleleft b_{21})g_1 \triangleright \beta(c_1)) \times [(h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22})g_2 \triangleleft \beta(c_2)]\alpha(f) (a) \\ &= \sum (a(h_1 \triangleright b_1) \times (h_2 \triangleleft b_2)g)(\beta(c) \times \alpha(f)) \\ &= ((a \times h)(b \times g))(\beta(c) \times \alpha(f)). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(a \times h)\Delta(b \times g) \\ &= \sum ((a_1 \times h_1) \otimes (a_2 \times h_2))((b_1 \times g_1) \otimes (b_2 \times g_2)) \\ &= \sum a_1(h_{11} \triangleright b_{11}) \times (h_{12} \triangleleft b_{12})g_1 \otimes a_2(h_{21} \triangleright b_{21}) \times (h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22})g_2 \\ &= \sum a_1(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \triangleright \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \times (\alpha(h_{211}) \triangleleft \beta(b_{211}))g_1 \\ & \quad \otimes a_2(\alpha(h_{212}) \triangleright \beta(b_{212})) \times (h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22})g_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum a_1(\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \triangleright \beta^{-1}(b_1)) \times (\alpha(h_{212}) \triangleleft \beta(b_{212}))g_1 \\
&\quad \otimes a_2(\alpha(h_{211}) \triangleright \beta(b_{211})) \times (h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22})g_2 \quad (c) \\
&= \sum a_1(h_{11} \triangleright b_{11}) \times (h_{21} \triangleleft b_{21})g_1 \otimes a_2(h_{12} \triangleright b_{12}) \times (h_{22} \triangleleft b_{22})g_2 \\
&= \Delta((a \times h)(b \times g)).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_B \otimes \varepsilon_H$ is a monoidal Hom-algebra map.

It is now straightforward to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\beta \otimes \alpha)((a \times h)(b \times g)) &= (\beta \otimes \alpha)(a \times h)(\beta \otimes \alpha)(b \times g), \\
\Delta \circ (\beta \otimes \alpha) &= [(\beta \otimes \alpha) \otimes (\beta \otimes \alpha)] \circ \Delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $B \bowtie H$ is a monoidal Hom-bialgebra. Finally, it is easy to verify that $S * id = id * S = (1_B \times 1_H)\varepsilon$.

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.3. Suppose the bicrossproduct $(B \# H, \beta \otimes \alpha)$ are defined as before. If we define $\triangleright : B^* \otimes H \rightarrow H$ by

$$f \triangleright h = \sum \langle f, \beta(h_{(1)}) \rangle \alpha^2(h_{(0)}),$$

and $\triangleleft : B^* \otimes H \rightarrow B^*$ by

$$\langle f \triangleleft h, a \rangle = \langle f, \alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a) \rangle.$$

Then $(B^*, (\beta^{-1})^*)$ is a right (H, α) -Hom module coalgebra, and (H, α) is a left $(B^*, (\beta^{-1})^*)$ -Hom module coalgebra.

Proof The proof is straightforward. \square

Proposition 4.4. Under the action defined in the above proposition, $(H \bowtie B^*, (\alpha \otimes \beta^{-1})^*)$ is a matched monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra pair. The product and antipode are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(h \times f)(k \times g) &= \sum h \alpha^2(k_{1(0)}) \times \langle f, \beta(k_{1(1)}) (\alpha^{-1}(k_2) \cdot \beta^{-2}(?)) \rangle g, \\
S(h \times f) &= \sum S_B^*(f_2) \triangleright S_H(h_2) \times S_B^*(f_1) \triangleleft S_H(h_1),
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h, k \in H$ and $f, g \in B^*$.

Proof We need only to verify the compatible conditions. Firstly for any $a, b \in B$, $h, k \in$

H , and $f, g \in B^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum \langle f \triangleleft (g_1 \triangleright \alpha^{-1}(h_1)), a_1 \rangle \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(g_2) \triangleleft h_2, a_2 \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, \alpha^{-1}((g_1 \triangleright \alpha^{-1}(h_1))) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a_1) \rangle \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(g_2) \triangleleft h_2, a_2 \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, \alpha^{-1}((g_1 \triangleright \alpha^{-1}(h_1))) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a_1) \rangle \langle (\beta^{-1})^*(g_2), \alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a_2) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, (\beta^*(g_1) \triangleright \alpha^{-2}(h_1)) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a_1) \rangle \langle g_2, \alpha^{-2}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-3}(a_2) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, h_{1(0)} \cdot \beta^{-2}(a_1) \rangle \langle g_1, h_{1(1)} \rangle \langle g_2, \alpha^{-2}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-3}(a_2) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, h_{1(0)} \cdot \beta^{-2}(a_1) \rangle \langle g, h_{1(1)}(\alpha^{-2}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-3}(a_2)) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, (\alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a))_1 \rangle \langle g, (\alpha^{-1}(h) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a))_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle (f * g) \triangleleft h, a \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f \triangleright (hk) &= \sum \langle f, \beta((hk)_{(1)}) \rangle \alpha^2((hk)_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \langle f, \beta^2(h_{1(1)})(g_2 \cdot k_{(1)}) \rangle \alpha^3(h_{1(0)}) \alpha^2(k_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum \langle f_1, \beta^2(h_{1(1)}) \rangle \langle f_2, h_2 \cdot k_{(1)} \rangle \alpha^3(h_{1(0)}) \alpha^2(k_{(0)}) \\
&= \sum (f_1 \triangleright \beta(h)) ((\beta^*(f_2) \triangleleft h_2) \triangleright k).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum \langle f_1 \triangleleft h_1, a \rangle \langle g, f_2 \triangleright h_2 \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f_1, \alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a) \rangle \langle f_2, \beta(h_{2(1)}) \rangle \langle g, \alpha^2(h_{2(0)}) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f, (\alpha^{-1}(h_1) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a)) \beta(h_{2(1)}) \rangle \langle g, \alpha^2(h_{2(0)}) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f_2, \alpha^{-1}(h_2) \cdot \beta^{-2}(a) \rangle \langle f_1, \beta(h_{1(1)}) \rangle \langle g, \alpha^2(h_{1(0)}) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle f_2 \triangleleft h_2, a \rangle \langle g, f_1 \triangleright h_1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.5. We have the Drinfel'd double $\mathcal{D}(H) = H^{op} \bowtie H^*$. Moreover the product is given by

$$(h \bowtie f)(k \bowtie g) = \sum \alpha^2(k_{21}) h \bowtie \langle f, S(k_1)(\alpha^{-2}(?)k_{22}) \rangle g,$$

for any $h, k \in H$ and $f, g \in H^*$.

Proof For the bicrossproduct monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra $(H * H^{op}, \alpha * \alpha)$ in Theorem 3.3, by Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, it is straightforward to get this result. \square

Example 4.6. Let G be a finite group and ϕ an automorphism of G . Then (kG, ϕ) with the following structure map is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra:

$$g \cdot h = \phi(gh), \quad \Delta(g) = \phi^{-1}(g) \otimes \phi^{-1}(g), \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1, \quad S(g) = g^{-1}.$$

Let $\{e_g\}_{g \in G}$ be the dual basis of the basis of kG . Then we have the monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra k^G , dual of kG , with the multiplication

$$e_g e_h = \delta_{g,h} e_{\phi(g)},$$

and the comultiplication, counit and antipode

$$\Delta(e_g) = \sum_{uv=\phi^{-1}(g)} e_u \otimes e_v, \quad \varepsilon(e_g) = \delta_{g,1}, \quad S(e_g) = e_{g^{-1}},$$

for any $g, h \in G$.

By Corollary 4.5, the multiplication in $D(kG)$ is given by

$$(g \otimes e_h)(p \otimes e_q) = \phi(pg) \otimes \delta_{php^{-1},q} e_{\phi(q)}.$$

Definition 4.7. A quasitriangular monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra (H, α) with an element $R \in H \otimes H$ satisfying

- (1) $(\varepsilon \otimes id)R = (id \otimes \varepsilon)R = 1$,
- (2) $\Delta^{op}(x)R = R\Delta(x)$ for any $x \in H$,
- (3) $(\Delta \otimes \alpha^{-1})R = R^{13}R^{23}$,
- (4) $(\alpha^{-1} \otimes \Delta)R = R^{13}R^{12}$.

Remark 4.8. Note that the above definition is different from Definition 2.7 in [15].

In the above definition, we will denote $R = \sum R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)}$ throughout this section. Therefore we could rewrite the equalities (3) and (4) as follows:

$$(\Delta \otimes id)R = \sum \alpha(R^{(1)}) \otimes \alpha(r^{(1)}) \otimes \alpha(R^{(2)}r^{(2)}), \quad (4.1)$$

$$(id \otimes \Delta)R = \sum \alpha(R^{(1)}r^{(1)}) \otimes \alpha(r^{(2)}) \otimes \alpha(R^{(2)}). \quad (4.2)$$

Example 4.9. Let H be the monoidal Hom-algebra generated by the elements $1_H, g, x$ satisfying the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_H 1_H &= 1_H, \quad 1_H g = g 1_H = g, \quad 1_H x = x 1_H = -x, \\ g^2 &= 1_H, \quad x^2 = 0, \quad gx = -xg. \end{aligned}$$

The automorphism $\alpha : H \rightarrow H$ is defined by

$$\alpha(1_H) = 1_H, \alpha(g) = g, \alpha(x) = -x, \alpha(gx) = -gx.$$

Then (H, α) is a Hom-associative algebra, and $\alpha^2 = id$.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(1_H) &= 1_H \otimes 1_H, \Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \\ \Delta(x) &= (-x) \otimes g + 1 \otimes (-x), \\ \varepsilon(1_H) &= 1, \varepsilon(g) = 1, \varepsilon(x) = 0, \\ S(1_H) &= 1_H, S(g) = g, S(x) = -gx. \end{aligned}$$

Then (H, α) is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra. Let $R = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g + g \otimes 1 - g \otimes g)$. It is easy to check that (H, α, R) is quasitriangular.

Proposition 4.10. Let (H, α) be a quasitriangular monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra with the quasitriangular structure R . Then R satisfies the QHYBEs

$$R^{12}(R^{13}R^{23}) = (R^{13}R^{23})R^{12} \quad (4.3)$$

$$(R^{12}R^{13})R^{23} = R^{23}(R^{13}R^{12}). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof The proof is essentially the same as in the Hopf algebra setting. \square

Proposition 4.11. The Drinfel'd double $(H^{op} \bowtie H^*, \alpha \otimes (\alpha^{-1})^*)$ has the quasitriangular structure

$$R = \sum_i 1 \bowtie h_i^* \otimes S^{-1}(h_i) \bowtie \varepsilon,$$

where $\{h_i\}$ and $\{h_i^*\}$ are a base of H and its dual base in H^* respectively.

Proof Firstly, obviously $(\varepsilon \otimes id)R = (id \otimes \varepsilon)R = 1$.

Then for any $h \bowtie f \in H^{op} \bowtie H^*$, and $l, g \in H^*$, $a, b \in H$, on one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Delta^{op}(h \bowtie f)R, g \otimes a \otimes l \otimes b \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle (h_2 \bowtie f_2 \otimes h_1 \bowtie f_1)R, g \otimes a \otimes l \otimes b \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle \alpha(h_2) \bowtie f_2 h_i^* \otimes \alpha^2(S^{-1}(h_i)_{21})h_1 \bowtie f_1(S(S^{-1}(h_i)_1)(\alpha^{-3}(?)S^{-1}(h_i)_{22})), \\ & \quad g \otimes a \otimes l \otimes b \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle g, \alpha(h_2) \rangle \langle f_2 h_i^*, a \rangle \langle l, \alpha^2(S^{-1}(h_i)_{21})h_1 \rangle \langle f_1, S(S^{-1}(h_i)_1)(\alpha^{-3}(b)S^{-1}(h_i)_{22}) \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle g, \alpha(h_2) \rangle \langle f_2, a_1 \rangle \langle h_i^*, a_2 \rangle \langle l, \alpha^2(S^{-1}(h_i)_{21})h_1 \rangle \langle f_1, S(S^{-1}(h_i)_1)(\alpha^{-3}(b)S^{-1}(h_i)_{22}) \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle g, \alpha(h_2) \rangle \langle l, \alpha^2(S^{-1}(a_{212}))h_1 \rangle \langle f, [a_{22}(\alpha^{-3}(b)S^{-1}(a_{211}))]a_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle g, \alpha(h_2) \rangle \langle l, \alpha^2(S^{-1}(a_{212}))h_1 \rangle \langle f, [a_{22}\alpha^{-2}(b)][S^{-1}\alpha(a_{211})\alpha^{-1}(a_1)] \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle g, \alpha(h_2) \rangle \langle l, S^{-1}(a_1)h_1 \rangle \langle f, a_2\alpha^{-1}(b) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle R\Delta(h \times f), g \otimes a \otimes l \otimes b \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle (1 \times h_i^*)(h_1 \times f_1) \otimes (S^{-1}(h_i) \times \varepsilon_H)(h_2 \times f_2), g \otimes a \otimes l \otimes b \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle \alpha^3(h_{121}) \times h_i^*(S(h_{11})(\alpha^{-2}(?)h_{122}))f_1 \otimes h_2 S^{-1}(h_i) \times f_2 \circ \alpha^{-1}, g \otimes a \otimes l \otimes b \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle g, \alpha^3(h_{121}) \rangle \langle h_i^*, S(h_{11})(\alpha^{-2}(a_1)h_{122}) \rangle \langle f_1, a_2 \rangle \langle l, h_2 S^{-1}(h_i) \rangle \langle f_2, \alpha^{-1}(b) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle g, \alpha^3(h_{121}) \rangle \langle f, a_2 \alpha^{-1}(b) \rangle \langle l, h_2 [(S^{-1}(h_{122})S^{-1}(\alpha^{-2}(a_1)))h_{11}] \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle g, \alpha^2(h_{21}) \rangle \langle f, a_2 \alpha^{-1}(b) \rangle \langle l, (\alpha(h_{222})S^{-1}\alpha(h_{221}))(S^{-1}\alpha^{-1}(a_1)\alpha^{-1}(h_1)) \rangle \\
&= \sum \langle g, \alpha(h_2) \rangle \langle f, a_2 \alpha^{-1}(b) \rangle \langle l, (S^{-1}(a_1)h_1) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $R\Delta(h \times f) = \Delta^{op}(h \times f)R$.

Similarly we have $(\Delta \otimes \alpha^{-1})R = R^{13}R^{23}$, $(\alpha^{-1} \otimes \Delta)R = R^{13}R^{12}$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 4.12. In the Example 4.6, the Drinfel'd double of (kG, ϕ) is given where G is a finite group and ϕ is a group automorphism of G . Then by the above proposition, the quasitriangular structure of $D(kG)$ is

$$R = \sum_{g \in G} 1_G \times e_g \otimes g^{-1} \times 1_{kG}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the NSF of China (No. 11901240, 11801304) and the NSF of Shandong Province (No. ZR2018PA006).

References

- [1] S. Caenepeel, I. Goyvaerts. Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, 39(2011), 2216–2240.
- [2] Y. Y. Chen, L. Y. Zhang. The category of Yetter-Drinfel'd Hom-modules and the quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 55, (2014), 031702.
- [3] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu, S. Raianu. "Hopf Algebras. An Introduction", *Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, No. 235, Marcel-Dekker, New-York, 2001.
- [4] S. J. Guo, X. H. Zhang. Separable functors for the category of Doi Hom-Hopf modules. *Colloquium Mathematicum* 143(2016), 23–37.

- [5] S. J. Guo, X. H. Zhang, S. X. Wang. Braided monoidal categories and Doi-Hopf modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *Colloquium Mathematicum* 143(2016), 79–103.
- [6] J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov. Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations. *J. Algebra* 295(2006), 314–361.
- [7] L. Liu, B. Shen. Radford’s biproducts and Yetter-Drinfel’d modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 55(2014), 031701.
- [8] L. Liu, A. Makhlouf, C. Menini and F. Panaite. Rota-Baxter operators on BiHom-associative algebras and related structures, to appear in *Colloquium Mathematicum* 2020.
- [9] S. Majid. Physics for Algebraists: Non-commutative and Non-cocommutative Hopf Algebras by a Bicrossproduct construction, *J. Algebra* 130(1990), 17–64.
- [10] S. Majid. Foundations of quantum group theory, Cambridge university press, 1995.
- [11] A. Makhlouf, S. D. Silvestrov. Hom-algebra structure, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* 2(2008), 52–64.
- [12] A. Makhlouf, S. D. Silvestrov. Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, *J. Alg. Appl.* 9(2010), 553–589.
- [13] D. E. Radford. Hopf algebras, Series on Knots and Everything, 49. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.
- [14] S. X. Wang, S. J. Guo. Symmetries and the u-condition in Hom-Yetter-Drinfel’d categories, *J. Math. Phys.* 55(2014), 081708.
- [15] D. Yau. Hom-quantum groups: I. Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* 45(2012), 065203.
- [16] M. M. You, S. H. Wang. Constructing new braided T-categories over monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* 55(2014), 111701.
- [17] X. F. Zhao, X. H. Zhang. Lazy 2-cocycles over monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 142(2016), 61-81.