

# FUSION PROCEDURE FOR YOKONUMA-HECKE ALGEBRAS

WEIDENG CUI

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the work [PA1], in this note, we prove that a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of Yokonuma-Hecke algebras can be obtained by consecutive evaluations of a certain rational function.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Yokonuma-Hecke algebras were introduced by Yokonuma [Yo] as a centralizer algebra associated to the permutation representation of a finite Chevalley group  $G$  with respect to a maximal unipotent subgroup of  $G$ . The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  (of type  $A$ ) is a quotient of the group algebra of the modular framed braid group  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr B_n$ , where  $B_n$  is the braid group on  $n$  strands (of type  $A$ ). By the presentation given by Juyumaya and Kannan [Ju1, Ju2, JuK], the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  can also be regraded as a deformation of the group algebra of the complex reflection group  $G(r, 1, n)$ , which is isomorphic to the wreath product  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  is the symmetric group. It is well-known that there exists another deformation of the group algebra of  $G(r, 1, n)$ , namely the Ariki-Koike algebra [AK]. The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  is quite different from the Ariki-Koike algebra. For example, the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of type  $A$  is canonically a subalgebra of the Ariki-Koike algebra, whereas it is an obvious quotient of  $Y_{r,n}(q)$ , but not an obvious subalgebra of it.

Recently, by generalizing the approach of Okounkov-Vershik [OV] on the representation theory of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , Chlouveraki and Poulain d'Andecy [ChPA1] introduced the notion of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and gave explicit formulas for all irreducible representations of  $Y_{r,n}(q)$  over  $\mathbb{C}(q)$ , and obtained a semisimplicity criterion for it. In their subsequent paper [ChPA2], they studied the representation theory of the affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$  and the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}^d(q)$ . In particular, they gave the classification of irreducible representations of  $Y_{r,n}^d(q)$  in the generic semisimple case. In [CW], we gave the classification of the simple  $\widehat{Y}_{r,n}(q)$ -modules as well as the classification of the simple modules of the cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic  $p$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $r$ . In the past several years, the study of affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras has made substantial progress; see [ChPA1, ChPA2, ChS, C1, C2, CW, ER, JaPA, Lu, PA2, Ro].

1.2. Jucys [Juc] claimed that the primitive idempotents of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  indexed by standard Young tableaux can be obtained by taking a certain limiting process on a rational function, which is now commonly referred to as the fusion procedure. It has been developed in the

situation of Hecke algebras [Ch], see also [Na1-3]. Molev [Mo] has proposed an alternative approach of the fusion procedure for the symmetric group, which relies on the existence of a maximal commutative subalgebra generated by the Jucys-Murphy elements. Here the idempotents are derived by consecutive evaluations of a certain rational function. The simple version of the fusion procedure has been generalized to the Hecke algebras of type  $A$  [IMO], to the Brauer algebras [IM, IMOg1], to the Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras [IMOg2], to the complex reflection groups of type  $G(d, 1, n)$  [OgPA1], to the Ariki-Koike algebras [OgPA2], to the wreath products of finite groups by the symmetric group [PA1], to the degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras [ZL].

1.3. Inspired by [PA1], in this note we prove that a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents for Yokonuma-Hecke algebras can be constructed by consecutive evaluations of a certain rational function.

Assume that  $Y_{r,n}$  is the split semisimple Yokonuma-Hecke algebra defined over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{r,n}$  the set of all  $r$ -partitions of  $n$  and  $\text{STab}_r(n)$  the set of all standard  $r$ -tableaux of size  $n$  (of any shape). Then a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $Y_{r,n}$  is parameterized by  $\text{STab}_r(n)$ . For each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$  and a standard  $r$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  of shape  $\lambda$ , let  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  be the primitive idempotent of  $Y_{r,n}$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{T}$ .

We now state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem.** The idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $Y_{r,n}$  can be obtained by the following consecutive evaluations

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{1}{F_{\lambda}^T F_{\lambda}} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) \Big|_{v_1=\zeta_{p_1}} \cdots \Big|_{v_n=\zeta_{p_n}} \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \cdots \Big|_{u_n=c_n}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the Jucys-Murphy elements of  $Y_{r,n}$  and recall some combinatorial notions. In Section 3, we recall the construction of the primitive idempotents  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $Y_{r,n}$  following [ChPA1], and then establish the fusion formula for  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we first introduce the Jucys-Murphy elements of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra, and then recall some combinatorial notions.

**2.1. Jucys-Murphy elements.** Let  $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , and let  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/r}$ . Let  $q$  be an indeterminate.

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{r}][q, q^{-1}]$ . The Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n} = Y_{r,n}(q)$  is an  $\mathcal{R}$ -associative algebra generated by the elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n, g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  satisfying the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} g_i g_j &= g_j g_i && \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ such that } |i-j| \geq 2; \\ g_i g_{i+1} g_i &= g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-2; \\ t_i t_j &= t_j t_i && \text{for all } i, j = 1, \dots, n; \\ g_i t_j &= t_{s_i(j)} g_i && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, n; \\ t_i^r &= 1 && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n; \\ g_i^2 &= 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_i g_i && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where  $s_i$  is the transposition  $(i, i + 1)$ , and for each  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,

$$e_i := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s}.$$

Note that the elements  $e_i$  are idempotents in  $Y_{r,n}$ . The elements  $g_i$  are invertible, with the inverse given by

$$g_i^{-1} = g_i - (q - q^{-1})e_i \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n - 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , and let  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_r}$  be a reduced expression of  $w$ . By Matsumoto's lemma, the element  $g_w := g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_r}$  does not depend on the choice of the reduced expression of  $w$ , that is, it is well-defined.

Let  $i, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and set

$$e_{i,k} := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} t_i^s t_k^{-s}. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that  $e_{i,k}^2 = e_{i,k} = e_{k,i}$ , and that  $e_{i,i+1} = e_i$ . It can be easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned} t_i e_{j,k} &= e_{j,k} t_i && \text{for all } i, j, k = 1, \dots, n, \\ e_{i,j} e_{k,l} &= e_{k,l} e_{i,j} && \text{for all } i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n, \\ e_i e_{k,l} &= e_{s_i(k), s_i(l)} e_i && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \text{ and } k, l = 1, \dots, n, \\ e_{j,k} g_i &= g_i e_{s_i(j), s_i(k)} && \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n - 1 \text{ and } j, k = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, we have  $e_i g_i = g_i e_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .

We define inductively the following elements in  $Y_{r,n}$ :

$$J_1 := 1 \text{ and } J_{i+1} := g_i J_i g_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n - 1. \quad (2.5)$$

By [ChPA1, Corollary 1] we have, for any  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,

$$g_i J_j = J_j g_i \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ such that } j \neq i, i + 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  such that  $p$  does not divide  $r$ . In the rest of this paper, we shall work with a specialised split semisimple Yokonuma-Hecke algebra  $Y_{r,n}$  defined over  $\mathbb{K}$ , that is,  $q \in \mathbb{K}^*$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + q^2 + \cdots + q^{2(k-2)} + q^{2(k-1)}) \neq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The elements  $J_1, \dots, J_n$ , together with the elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n$ , are called the Jucy-Murphy elements of  $Y_{r,n}$ , which generate a maximal commutative subalgebra of  $Y_{r,n}$ .

**2.2.  $r$ -partitions.**  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  is called a partition of  $n$  if it is a finite sequence of non-increasing nonnegative integers whose sum is  $n$ . We write  $\lambda \vdash n$  if  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$ , and we set  $|\lambda| := n$ . We associate a Young diagram to a partition  $\lambda$ , which is the set

$$[\lambda] := \{(i, j) \mid i \geq 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\}.$$

We will regard  $[\lambda]$  as a left-justified array of rows containing  $\lambda_j$  nodes in the  $j$ -th row for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . We write  $\theta = (x, y)$  for the node in row  $x$  and column  $y$ .

For a partition  $\lambda$ , a node  $\theta \in [\lambda]$  is called removable from  $\lambda$  if the set of nodes obtained from  $[\lambda]$  by removing  $\theta$  is still a Young diagram of a partition. A node  $\theta' \notin [\lambda]$  is called addable to  $\lambda$  if the set of nodes obtained from  $[\lambda]$  by adding  $\theta'$  is still a Young diagram of a partition. The conjugate of  $\lambda$  is the partition  $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_l)$ , which is given by

$$\lambda'_j := \#\{i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ such that } \lambda_i \geq j\}.$$

An  $r$ -partition of  $n$  is an ordered  $r$ -tuple  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$  of partitions  $\lambda^{(k)}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^r |\lambda^{(k)}| = n$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{r,n}$  the set of  $r$ -partitions of  $n$ . A pair  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta, k)$  consisting of a node  $\theta$  and an integer  $k \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  is called an  $r$ -node, and the integer  $k$  is called the position of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ . The Young diagram  $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$  of an  $r$ -partition  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  is the ordered  $r$ -tuple of the Young diagram of its components.

Let  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$  be an  $r$ -partition. An  $r$ -node  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta, k) \in [\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$  is called removable from  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  if the node  $\theta$  is removable from  $\lambda^{(k)}$ . An  $r$ -node  $\boldsymbol{\theta}' = (\theta', k') \notin [\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$  is called removable to  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  if the node  $\theta'$  is addable to  $\lambda^{(k')}$ .

For an  $r$ -node  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = ((x, y), k)$ , we define  $\text{cc}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := y - x$ ,  $\text{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := k$  and the quantum content  $\text{c}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := q^{2(y-x)}$ .

**2.3. Hook length.** Let  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$  be an  $r$ -partition and  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta, k) = ((x, y), k)$  an  $r$ -node of  $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ . We define the hook length  $h_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  of  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  in  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  to be the hook length of the node  $\theta$  in  $\lambda^{(k)}$ , that is,

$$h_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) := h_{\lambda^{(k)}}(\theta) = \lambda_x^{(k)} + \lambda_y^{(k)'} - x - y + 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Set  $\zeta_k := \zeta^{k-1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq r$ , and let  $S := \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_r\}$ . For an  $r$ -partition  $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(r)})$ , we define

$$\text{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^T := \prod_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \left( \prod_{\substack{\xi \in S \\ \xi \neq \zeta_{\text{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}}} (\zeta_{\text{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta})} - \xi) \right), \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\text{F}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} := \prod_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}} \frac{[h_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_q}{q^{\text{cc}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}} = \prod_{k=1}^r \prod_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in [\lambda^{(k)}]} \frac{[h_{\lambda^{(k)}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})]_q}{q^{\text{cc}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $[a]_q = q^{a-1} + q^{a-3} + \dots + q^{-a+1}$  for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

**2.4. Standard  $r$ -tableaux.** Let  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  be an  $r$ -partition of  $n$ . An  $r$ -tableau of shape  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  is a bijection between the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and the set of  $r$ -nodes in  $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ , and the number  $n$  is called the size of the  $r$ -tableau. An  $r$ -tableau is called standard if the numbers increase along any row (from left to right) and down any column (from top to bottom) of each diagram in  $[\boldsymbol{\lambda}]$ . Denote by  $\text{Std}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$  the set of standard  $r$ -tableaux of shape  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ .

Let  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$  be an  $r$ -partition of  $n$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  a standard  $r$ -tableau of shape  $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ . We denote by  $\text{c}(\mathcal{T}|i)$  and  $\text{p}(\mathcal{T}|i)$  the quantum content and the position of the  $r$ -node containing the integer  $i$ , respectively. For brevity, we set

$$c_i := \text{c}(\mathcal{T}|i) \quad \text{and} \quad p_i := \text{p}(\mathcal{T}|i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.11)$$

We then define

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}^T(v) := \prod_{\substack{\xi \in S \\ \xi \neq \zeta_{p_n}}} \frac{1}{v - \xi}, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) := \frac{u - c_n}{u - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(u - c_i)^2}{(u - c_i)^2 - (q - q^{-1})^2 u c_i \delta_{p_i, p_n}}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\delta_{p_i, p_n}$  is the Kronecker delta.

Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  be the shape of the standard  $r$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by removing the  $r$ -node containing the number  $n$ . Recall that for any fixed  $r$ -th root of unity  $\xi$ , we have

$$\prod_{\xi \neq \alpha \in S} (\xi - \alpha) = r \xi^{-1}. \quad (2.14)$$

Thus,  $F_{\mathcal{T}}^T(v)$  is non-singular at  $v = \zeta_{p_n}$ . Moreover, from (2.9) we have

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}^T(v) \Big|_{v=\zeta_{p_n}} = \frac{\zeta_{p_n}}{r} = (F_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^T)^{-1} F_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}^T. \quad (2.15)$$

The following proposition can be proved in exactly the same way as in [OgPA1, Propositions 3.4 and 4.4].

**Proposition 2.1.** *The rational function  $F_{\mathcal{T}}(u)$  is non-singular at  $u = c_n$ , and moreover, we have*

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \Big|_{u=c_n} = F_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{-1} F_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}. \quad (2.16)$$

**2.5. Baxterized elements.** We define the following rational functions in variables  $a, b$  with values in  $Y_{r,n}$ :

$$g_i(a, b) := g_i + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{b e_i}{a - b} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.17)$$

The functions  $g_i(a, b)$  are called Baxterized elements. We then have following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The Baxterized elements  $g_i(a, b)$  satisfy the following relations:*

$$g_i(a, b) g_{i+1}(a, c) g_i(b, c) = g_{i+1}(b, c) g_i(a, c) g_{i+1}(a, b) \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (2.18)$$

$$g_i(a, b) g_i(b, a) = 1 - (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{a b e_i}{(a - b)^2} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.19)$$

*Proof.* We first prove (2.19). By (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(a, b) g_i(b, a) &= g_i^2 + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{a g_i e_i}{b - a} + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{b e_i g_i}{a - b} + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{a b e_i^2}{(a - b)(b - a)} \\ &= 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_i g_i - (q - q^{-1}) g_i e_i - (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{a b e_i}{(a - b)^2} \\ &= 1 - (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{a b e_i}{(a - b)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove (2.18). By definition, we see that the left-hand side of (2.18) is equal to

$$(g_i + (q - q^{-1})\frac{be_i}{a-b})(g_{i+1} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{ce_{i+1}}{a-c})(g_i + (q - q^{-1})\frac{ce_i}{b-c}).$$

By expanding the expression above, we get that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & g_i g_{i+1} g_i + (q - q^{-1})\frac{cg_i g_{i+1} e_i}{b-c} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{cg_i e_{i+1} g_i}{a-c} \\ & + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{c^2 g_i e_{i+1} e_i}{(a-c)(b-c)} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{be_i g_{i+1} g_i}{a-b} + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{bce_i g_{i+1} e_i}{(a-b)(b-c)} \\ & + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{bce_i e_{i+1} g_i}{(a-b)(a-c)} + (q - q^{-1})^3 \frac{bc^2 e_i e_{i+1} e_i}{(a-b)(a-c)(b-c)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Similarly, we see that the right-hand side of (2.18) is equal to

$$(g_{i+1} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{ce_{i+1}}{b-c})(g_i + (q - q^{-1})\frac{ce_i}{a-c})(g_{i+1} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{be_{i+1}}{a-b}).$$

By expanding the expression above, we get that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{bg_{i+1} g_i e_{i+1}}{a-b} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{cg_{i+1} e_i g_{i+1}}{a-c} \\ & + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{bcg_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1}}{(a-c)(a-b)} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{ce_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1}}{b-c} + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{bce_{i+1} g_i e_{i+1}}{(b-c)(a-b)} \\ & + (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{c^2 e_{i+1} e_i g_{i+1}}{(b-c)(a-c)} + (q - q^{-1})^3 \frac{bc^2 e_{i+1} e_i e_{i+1}}{(b-c)(a-c)(a-b)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

By (2.4), it is easy to see that (2.20) is equal to (2.21). Thus, (2.18) holds.  $\square$

### 3. FUSION FORMULA FOR $Y_{r,n}$

Largely inspired by [PA1, Section 7], we establish the fusion formula for the idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  in this section.

**3.1. Idempotents of  $Y_{r,n}$ .** We first recall the construction of the primitive idempotents  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $Y_{r,n}$  following [ChPA1], and then introduce the inductive formulae for  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

For each  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ , let  $S^\nu$  be the Specht module of  $Y_{r,n}$  corresponding to  $\nu$ . Then the set  $\{S^\nu \mid \nu \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}\}$  forms a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations of the split semisimple algebra  $Y_{r,n}$ . Assume that  $m_\nu = \dim S^\nu$ , and  $S^\nu$  has a basis  $\{v_{\mathcal{T}}\}$  indexed by  $\text{Std}(\nu)$ . Thus, we can identify  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{K}}(S^\nu)$  with the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}_{m_\nu}(\mathbb{K})$ , where each row and column of one matrix are labelled by the set  $\{v_{\mathcal{T}}\}$ . Since  $Y_{r,n}$  is split semisimple over  $\mathbb{K}$ , by the Wedderburn-Artin theorem we get an isomorphism:

$$I : Y_{r,n} \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}} \text{Mat}_{m_\nu}(\mathbb{K}). \quad (3.1)$$

For each  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$ , let  $I_\nu$  denote the projection  $I_\nu : Y_{r,n} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{m_\nu}(\mathbb{K})$ . For each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_{r,n}$  and each  $\mathcal{T} \in \text{Std}(\lambda)$ , we continue to use the notations in (2.11) in this section. Since  $I$

is an isomorphism, we get a unique element  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $Y_{r,n}$  such that

$$I_{\nu}(E_{\mathcal{T}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu \neq \lambda; \\ P_{\nu_{\mathcal{T}}} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $P_{\nu_{\mathcal{T}}}$  is the diagonal  $m_{\lambda} \times m_{\lambda}$  matrix with coefficient 1 in the row labelled by  $\nu_{\mathcal{T}}$ , and 0 elsewhere. It follows from the definition of  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  and [ChPA1, Proposition 6] that we have

$$J_k E_{\mathcal{T}} = E_{\mathcal{T}} J_k = c_k E_{\mathcal{T}} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, it follows from [ChPA1, (5.2)] or [ChPA1, (7.5)-(7.6)] that we have

$$t_k E_{\mathcal{T}} = E_{\mathcal{T}} t_k = \zeta_{p_k} E_{\mathcal{T}} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.3)$$

Denote by  $\theta$  the  $r$ -node of  $\mathcal{T}$  containing the number  $n$ . Since the  $r$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  is standard, the  $r$ -node  $\theta$  is removable. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the standard  $r$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{T}$  by removing  $\theta$  and let  $\mu$  be the shape of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{E}_+(\mu)$  the set of  $r$ -nodes addable to  $\mu$ . We have the following inductive formula for  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  in terms of the elements  $t_1, \dots, t_n, J_1, \dots, J_n$ :

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = E_{\mathcal{U}} \prod_{\substack{\theta' \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) \\ c(\theta') \neq c(\theta)}} \frac{J_n - c(\theta')}{c(\theta) - c(\theta')} \prod_{\substack{\theta' \in \mathcal{E}_+(\mu) \\ p(\theta') \neq p(\theta)}} \frac{t_n - \zeta_{p(\theta')}}{\zeta_{p(\theta)} - \zeta_{p(\theta')}} \quad (3.4)$$

with  $E_{\mathcal{T}_0} = 1$  for the unique standard  $r$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}_0$  of size 0.

**3.2. Fusion formulae of  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$ .** Recall that  $S = \{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r\}$  is the set of all  $r$ -th roots of unity. We set

$$\Gamma(v_1, \dots, v_n) := \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\prod_{\xi \in S} (v_i - \xi)}{v_i - t_i} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Let  $\phi_1(u) := 1$  and, for  $k = 2, \dots, n$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u) &:= g_{k-1}(u, u_{k-1}) \phi_{k-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{k-2}, u) g_{k-1}^{-1} \\ &= g_{k-1}(u, u_{k-1}) g_{k-2}(u, u_{k-2}) \cdots g_1(u, u_1) \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdots g_{k-1}^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

We then define the following rational function:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) &:= \phi_n(u_1, \dots, u_n) \phi_{n-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}) \\ &\quad \cdots \phi_1(u_1) \Gamma(v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Now we can state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  of  $Y_{r,n}$  corresponding to the standard  $r$ -tableau  $\mathcal{T}$  can be obtained by the following consecutive evaluations:*

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{1}{F_{\lambda}^T F_{\lambda}} \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) \Big|_{v_1 = \zeta_{p_1}} \cdots \Big|_{v_n = \zeta_{p_n}} \Big|_{u_1 = c_1} \cdots \Big|_{u_n = c_n}. \quad (3.8)$$

We first prove two necessary lemmas. We define the following element:

$$E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} := \frac{v - \zeta_{p_n}}{v - t_n} E_{\mathcal{U}} \Big|_{v=\zeta_{p_n}}. \quad (3.9)$$

By definition, the element  $E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}$  is an idempotent which is equal to the sum of the idempotents  $E_{\mathcal{S}}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  runs through the set of standard  $r$ -tableaux obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by adding an  $r$ -node  $\theta$  with the number  $n$  in it such that  $p(\theta) = p_n$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that  $n \geq 1$ . We have*

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \phi_n(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, u) E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = \frac{u - c_n}{u - J_n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \quad (3.10)$$

*Proof.* We prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ .

When  $n = 1$ , the left-hand side of (3.10) is equal to  $\frac{u-c_1}{u-1} \phi_1(u) E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = \frac{u-c_1}{u-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}$  by noting that  $J_1 = \phi_1(u) = 1$ .

When  $n > 1$ , we note that the left-hand side of (3.10) is equal to

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \left( g_{n-1} + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_{n-1} e_{n-1}}{u - c_{n-1}} \right) \cdots \left( g_1 + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_1 e_1}{u - c_1} \right) \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}.$$

For  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e_k \left( g_{k-1} + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_{k-1} e_{k-1}}{u - c_{k-1}} \right) \cdots \left( g_1 + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_1 e_1}{u - c_1} \right) \\ &= \left( g_{k-1} + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_{k-1} e_{k-1}}{u - c_{k-1}} \right) \cdots \left( g_1 + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_1 e_1}{u - c_1} \right) \cdot e_{1, k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $e_{1, k+1} \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} = g_1^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} \cdot e_{k, n}$ .

Moreover,  $e_{k, n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = 0$  if  $p_k \neq p_n$ . Thus the left-hand side of (3.10) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) \left( g_{n-1} + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{\delta_{p_{n-1}, p_n} c_{n-1} e_{n-1}}{u - c_{n-1}} \right) \cdots \\ & \times \left( g_1 + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{\delta_{p_1, p_n} c_1 e_1}{u - c_1} \right) \cdot g_1^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Suppose first that  $p_i \neq p_n$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . In this situation, we have  $E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = E_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $c_n = 1$ . Thus, we have  $F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) = \frac{u-c_n}{u-1} = 1$ . Due to (3.11), we have the left-hand side of (3.10) is equal to  $F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = E_{\mathcal{T}}$ ; while the right-hand side of (3.10) is also equal to  $\frac{u-c_n}{u-c_n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = E_{\mathcal{T}}$ .

Next assume that there exists some  $l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $p_l = p_n$ . Fix  $l$  such that  $p_l = p_n$  and  $p_i \neq p_n$  for  $i = l+1, \dots, n-1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the standard  $r$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by removing the  $r$ -nodes with numbers  $l+1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  be the standard  $r$ -tableau obtained from  $\mathcal{V}$  by removing the  $r$ -node with the number  $l$ . We define

$$E_{\mathcal{W}, p_l} := \frac{v - \zeta_{p_l}}{v - t_l} E_{\mathcal{W}} \Big|_{v=\zeta_{p_l}}.$$

Since  $E_{\mathcal{W}}$  can be expressed in terms of  $J_1, \dots, J_{l-1}$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_{l-1}$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{W}}$  commutes with  $g_l^{-1} g_{l+1}^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1}$ . Note that  $E_{\mathcal{W}} E_{\mathcal{U}} = E_{\mathcal{U}} = E_{\mathcal{U}}^2$ ,  $E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}^2 = E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}$ ,  $p_l = p_n$  and



$t_l g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} = g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} t_n$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{W}, p_l} g_l^{-1} g_{l+1}^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} &= g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} \frac{v - \zeta_{p_l}}{v - t_n} E_{\mathcal{W}} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} \Big|_{v=\zeta_{p_l}} \\ &= g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.12), we rewrite (3.11) as follows:

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) g_{n-1} \cdots g_{l+1} \left( g_l + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_l e_l}{u - c_l} \right) \phi_l(c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}, u) E_{\mathcal{W}, p_l} g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\phi_l(c_1, \dots, c_{l-1}, u) E_{\mathcal{W}, p_l} = F_{\mathcal{V}}(u)^{-1} \frac{u - c_l}{u - J_l} E_{\mathcal{W}, p_l},$$

and we use (3.12) again to obtain that the left-hand side of (3.10) is equal to

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) F_{\mathcal{V}}(u)^{-1} g_{n-1} \cdots g_{l+1} \left( g_l + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{c_l e_l}{u - c_l} \right) \frac{u - c_l}{u - J_l} g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \quad (3.13)$$

Noting that  $J_n$  commutes with  $E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}$ , we can move  $(u - J_n)^{-1}$  from the right-hand side of (3.10) to the left-hand side. Since  $g_k^{-1} = g_k - (q - q^{-1})e_k$ ,  $e_k g_{k+1} \cdots g_{n-1} = g_{k+1} \cdots g_{n-1} e_{k,n}$  and  $e_{k,n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = 0$  for  $k = l+1, \dots, n-1$ , we can move  $g_{n-1} \cdots g_{l+1}$  to the right-hand side. By (2.19),  $g_l(u, c_l)$  is invertible. We finally get that (3.10) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) F_{\mathcal{V}}(u)^{-1} (u - c_l) g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} (u - J_n) E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} &= (u - c_n) (u - J_l) \\ &\times \left( g_l + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{u e_l}{c_l - u} \right) \left( 1 - (q - q^{-1})^2 \frac{u c_l e_l}{(u - c_l)^2} \right)^{-1} g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Since  $p_l = p_n$  and  $p_i \neq p_n$  for  $i = l+1, \dots, n-1$ , we have, by the definition (2.13), that

$$F_{\mathcal{T}}(u) F_{\mathcal{V}}(u)^{-1} = \frac{u - c_n}{u - c_l} \frac{(u - c_l)^2}{(u - c_l)^2 - (q - q^{-1})^2 u c_l}.$$

Notice that  $e_l g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} = g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} e_{l,n}$  and that  $e_{l,n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}$  since  $p_l = p_n$ . Therefore, to verify (3.14), it suffices to show that

$$g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} (u - J_n) E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = (u - J_l) \left( g_l + (q - q^{-1}) \frac{u e_l}{c_l - u} \right) g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \quad (3.15)$$

By (2.5), we have  $g_l^{-1} g_{l+1}^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} J_n = J_l g_l g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1}$ . Thus, the left-hand side of (3.15) is equal to

$$u g_l^{-1} \cdots g_{n-1}^{-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} - J_l g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \quad (3.16)$$

By the fact that  $e_{l,n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}$  and  $e_{k,n} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} = 0$  for  $k = l+1, \dots, n-1$ , we get that (3.16) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &u g_l^{-1} g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} - J_l g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} \\ &= u (g_l - (q - q^{-1}) e_l) g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} - J_l g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} \\ &= (u - J_l) g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n} - (q - q^{-1}) u g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, p_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By (2.6) we see that  $J_l$  commutes with  $g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1}$ . Thus, by  $J_l E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} = c_l E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n}$ , we see that the right-hand side of (3.15) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& (u - J_l)g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} + (q - q^{-1})(u - J_l) \frac{ue_l}{c_l - u} g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} \\
&= (u - J_l)g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} + (q - q^{-1})(u - J_l) \frac{u}{c_l - u} g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} \\
&= (u - J_l)g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} + (q - q^{-1}) \cdot \frac{u}{c_l - u} g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} (u - J_l) E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} \\
&= (u - J_l)g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} + (q - q^{-1}) \cdot \frac{u}{c_l - u} g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} (u - c_l) E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} \\
&= (u - J_l)g_l \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n} - (q - q^{-1})u g_{l+1} \cdots g_{n-1} E_{\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P}_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Comparing (3.18) with (3.17), we see that (3.15) holds.  $\square$

Recall the definition of  $\phi_k(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u)$  in (3.6). For  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , we define

$$\tilde{\phi}_k(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u, v) := \phi_k(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, u) \cdot \left( \frac{\prod_{\xi \in S}(v - \xi)}{v - t_k} \right). \tag{3.19}$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that  $n \geq 1$ . We have*

$$F_{\mathcal{J}}^T(v) F_{\mathcal{J}}(u) \tilde{\phi}_n(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, u, v) E_{\mathcal{U}} \Big|_{v=\zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}} = \frac{u - c_n}{u - J_n} \frac{v - \zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}}{v - t_n} E_{\mathcal{U}} \Big|_{v=\zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}}. \tag{3.20}$$

*Proof.* By (2.12), we have

$$F_{\mathcal{J}}^T(v) \cdot \left( \frac{\prod_{\xi \in S}(v - \xi)}{v - t_n} \right) = \frac{v - \zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}}{v - t_n}. \tag{3.21}$$

By (3.9), (3.19) and (3.21), we see that (3.20) is a direct consequence of (3.10).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.1* Since  $g_i$  commutes with  $t_k$  if  $i < k - 1$ , we can rewrite the function  $\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n) \\
&= \tilde{\phi}_n(u_1, \dots, u_n, v_n) \tilde{\phi}_{n-1}(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v_{n-1}) \cdots \tilde{\phi}_1(u_1, v_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

We prove this theorem by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$ , the situation is trivial.

For  $n > 0$ , by (3.22) and the induction hypothesis we can rewrite the right-hand side of (3.8) as follows:

$$(F_{\lambda}^T F_{\lambda})^{-1} F_{\mu}^T F_{\mu} \tilde{\phi}_n(c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, u_n, v_n) E_{\mathcal{U}} \Big|_{v_n=\zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \Big|_{u_n=c_n}.$$

By (3.20) we can rewrite the expression above as

$$(F_{\lambda}^T F_{\lambda})^{-1} F_{\mu}^T F_{\mu} (F_{\mathcal{J}}^T(v_n) F_{\mathcal{J}}(u_n))^{-1} \frac{u_n - c_n}{u_n - J_n} \frac{v_n - \zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}}{v_n - t_n} E_{\mathcal{U}} \Big|_{v_n=\zeta_{\mathcal{P}_n}} \Big|_{u_n=c_n}. \tag{3.23}$$

Assume that  $\{\mathcal{J}_1, \dots, \mathcal{J}_k\}$  is the set of pairwise different standard  $r$ -tableaux obtained from  $\mathcal{U}$  by adding an  $r$ -node containing the number  $n$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{J} \in \{\mathcal{J}_1, \dots, \mathcal{J}_k\}$ .

Moreover, we have

$$E_{\mathcal{U}} = \sum_{i=1}^k E_{\mathcal{T}_i}. \quad (3.24)$$

Consider the following rational function in  $u$  and  $v$ :

$$\frac{u - c_n}{u - J_n} \frac{v - \zeta_{p_n}}{v - t_n} E_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (3.25)$$

The formulae (3.2) and (3.3) imply that (3.25) is non-singular at  $u = c_n$  and  $v = \zeta_{p_n}$ , and moreover, by replacing  $E_{\mathcal{U}}$  with the right-hand side of (3.24), we get

$$\frac{u - c_n}{u - J_n} \frac{v - \zeta_{p_n}}{v - t_n} E_{\mathcal{U}} \Big|_{v=\zeta_{p_n}} \Big|_{u=c_n} = E_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (3.26)$$

By (2.15) and (2.16), together with (3.23) and (3.26), we see that the right-hand side of (3.8) is equal to  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$ .  $\square$

Finally, let us look at an example.

**Example 3.4.** Consider the situation that  $r = n = 3$  and the 3-partition  $\lambda = ((2), (0), (1))$  of 3. We shall consider the following standard 3-tableau of shape  $\lambda$ :

$$\mathcal{T} = \left( \boxed{1 \ 3}, \emptyset, \boxed{2} \right).$$

Note that

$$\frac{\prod_{\zeta \in S} (v_i - \zeta)}{v_i - t_i} = \frac{v_i^r - 1}{v_i - t_i} = \frac{v_i^r - t_i^r}{v_i - t_i} = \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} v_i^s t_i^{r-1-s}. \quad (3.27)$$

Theorem 3.1 implies that the idempotent  $E_{\mathcal{T}}$  can be expressed as

$$E_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{q\zeta_1^2\zeta_3}{27(q+q^{-1})} g_2(q^2, 1) g_1(q^2, 1) g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1} \times g_1(1, 1) g_1^{-1} \\ \times (\zeta_1^2 + \zeta_1 t_1 + t_1^2) (\zeta_3^2 + \zeta_3 t_2 + t_2^2) (\zeta_1^2 + \zeta_1 t_3 + t_3^2).$$

**Acknowledgements.** The author is deeply indebted to Dr. Shoumin Liu for posing this question to him and for helpful comments. Many ideas of this paper originate from the reference [PA1].

## REFERENCES

- [AK] S. Ariki and K. Koike, *A Hecke algebra of  $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$  and construction of its irreducible representations*, Adv. Math. **106** (1994) 216-243.
- [Ch] I. Cherednik, *Special bases of irreducible representations of a degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **20** (1986) 76-78.
- [ChPA1] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *Representation theory of the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, Adv. Math. **259** (2014) 134-172.
- [ChPA2] M. Chlouveraki and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *Markov traces on affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2015) rnv257, 62 pp.
- [ChS] M. Chlouveraki and V. Sécherre, *The affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the pro- $p$ -Iwahori-Hecke algebra*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear, arXiv: 1504.04557.
- [C1] W. Cui, *Affine cellularity of affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras*, arXiv: 1510.02647.
- [C2] W. Cui, *A categorical equivalence between affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras and some quiver Hecke algebras*, arXiv: 1405.6705.

- [CW] W. Cui and J. Wan, Modular representations and branching rules for affine and cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, submitted, arXiv: 1506.06570.
- [ER] J. Espinoza and S. Ryom-Hansen, *Cell structures for the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra and the algebra of braids and ties*, arXiv: 1506.00715.
- [IM] A. Isaev and A. Molev, Fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra, *Algebra Anal.* **22** (2010) 142-154.
- [IMO] A. Isaev, A. Molev and A. Os'kin, On the idempotents of Hecke Algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **85** (2008) 79-90.
- [IMOG1] A. Isaev, A. Molev and O. Ogievetsky, A new fusion procedure for the Brauer algebra and evaluation homomorphisms, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **11** (2012) 2571-2606.
- [IMOG2] A. Isaev, A. Molev and O. Ogievetsky, Idempotents for Birman-Murakami-Wenzl algebras and reflection equation, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **18** (2014) 1-25.
- [JaPA] N. Jacou and L. Poulain d'Andecy, *An isomorphism theorem for Yokonuma-Hecke algebras and applications to link invariants*, *Math. Z.* **283** (2016) 301-338.
- [Juc] A. Jucys, On the Young operators of symmetric groups, *Litovsk. Fiz. Sb.* **6** (1966) 163-180.
- [Jul] J. Juyumaya, *Sur les nouveaux générateurs de l'algèbre de Hecke  $\mathcal{H}(G, U, 1)$* . (French) On new generators of the Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}(G, U, 1)$ , *J. Algebra* **204** (1998) 49-68.
- [Ju2] J. Juyumaya, *Markov trace on the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **13** (2004) 25-39.
- [JuK] J. Juyumaya and S. Kannan, *Braid relations in the Yokonuma-Hecke algebra*, *J. Algebra* **239** (2001) 272-297.
- [Lu] G. Lusztig, *Character sheaves on disconnected groups. VII*, *Represent. Theory (electronic)* **9** (2005) 209-266.
- [Mo] A. Molev, On the fusion procedure for the symmetric group, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **61** (2008) 181-188.
- [Na1] M. Nazarov, Yangians and Capelli identities, In: Olshanski, G.I. (ed.) *Kirillov's seminar on representation theory*, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, vol. 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1998) pp. 139-163.
- [Na2] M. Nazarov, Mixed hook-length formula for degenerate affine Hecke algebras, *Lect. Notes Math.* **1815** (2003) 223-236.
- [Na3] M. Nazarov, A mixed hook-length formula for affine Hecke algebras, *European J. Combin.* **25** (2004) 1345-1376.
- [OGPA1] O. Ogievetsky and L. Poulain d'Andecy, Fusion procedure for Coxeter groups of type  $B$  and complex reflection groups  $G(m, 1, n)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** (2014) 2929-2941.
- [OGPA2] O. Ogievetsky and L. Poulain d'Andecy, Fusion procedure for cyclotomic Hecke algebras, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **10** (2014) 13 pp.
- [OV] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, *A new approach to representation theory of symmetric groups*, *Selecta Math. (N.S)* **2** (1996) 581-605.
- [PA1] L. Poulain d'Andecy, Fusion procedure for wreath products of finite groups by the symmetric group, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **17** (2014) 809-830.
- [PA2] L. Poulain d'Andecy, Invariants for links from classical and affine Yokonuma-Hecke algebras, arXiv:1602.05429.
- [Ro] S. Rostam, *Cyclotomic Yokonuma-Hecke algebras are cyclotomic quiver Hecke algebras*, 45 pages (2016), arXiv:1603.03901v2.
- [Yo] T. Yokonuma, *Sur la structure des anneaux de Hecke d'un groupe de Chevalley fini*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B* **264** (1967) 344-347.
- [ZL] D. Zhao and Y. Li, Fusion procedure for degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **18** (2015) 449-461.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN, SHANDONG 250100, P.R. CHINA.  
*E-mail address:* `cwdeng@amss.ac.cn`