

Characterizations of all-derivable points in $B(H)^1$

Jun Zhu², Changping Xiong and Pan Li

Institute of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, PR China

Abstract

Let \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{H} be two Hilbert space, and let $B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{K} into \mathcal{H} . We say that an element $G \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is an all-derivable point in $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ if every derivable linear mapping φ at G (i.e. $\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)T + S\varphi(T)$ for any $S, T \in B(H)$ with $ST = G$) is a derivation. Let both $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\psi : B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ be two linear mappings. In this paper, the following results will be proved : if $Y\varphi(W) = \psi(Y)W$ for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then $\varphi(W) = DW$ and $\psi(Y) = YD$ for some $D \in B(\mathcal{K})$. As an important application, we will show that an operator G is an all-derivable point in $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ if and only if $G \neq 0$.

AMS Classification: 47L30 15A30 47B47

Keywords : Hilbert space; derivation; all-derivable point; derivable mapping.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, and let φ be a linear mappings on \mathcal{A} . We say that φ is a derivation if $\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)T + S\varphi(T)$ for any $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$. Fix an operator $G \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that φ is a derivable mapping at G if $\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)T + S\varphi(T)$ for any $S, T \in \mathcal{A}$ with $ST = G$. An element $G \in \mathcal{A}$ is called an all-derivable point in \mathcal{A} if every derivable mapping at G is a derivation.

We describe some of the results related to ours. Jin, Lu and Li [2] show that every derivable mapping φ at 0 with $\varphi(I) = 0$ on nest algebras is a derivation. Hou and Qi [3] prove that every derivable mapping at the unit operator on J-subspace lattice algebras is a derivation. Zhu and Zhao [8] give the characterizations of all-derivable points in nest algebras $alg\mathcal{N}$ with nontrivial nest \mathcal{N} (on Hilbert spaces), i.e. $G \in alg\mathcal{N}$ is an all-derivable point if and only if $G \neq 0$. But the condition whether the assumption of "nontrivial" may be omitted, remains open. It is obvious to see that a nontrivial nest algebra is essentially a triangular algebra, but trivial nest algebras $B(\mathcal{H})$ is not triangular algebra, this case is more challenging than of triangular algebras.

Recently, Zhang, Hou and Qi [7] have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1 [7] *Let \mathcal{N} be a complete nest on a complex Banach space \mathcal{X} with $\dim\mathcal{X} \geq 2$, and $\delta : alg\mathcal{N} \rightarrow alg\mathcal{N}$ be a linear mapping. Let $Z \in alg\mathcal{N}$ be an injective operator or an operator with dense range in $alg\mathcal{N}$. Then δ is derivable at the operator Z if and only if δ is a derivation. That is, every injective operator and every operator with dense range are all-derivable points of any nest algebra.*

The above result implies the following corollary and it provides a basis for us to solve the above problem.

Corollary 1.2 *Every injective operator and every operator with dense range are all-derivable points of a nest algebra(on Hilbert spaces).*

The purpose of the present paper is to solve the above problem and prove that $G \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is an all-derivable point if and only if $G \neq 0$. Furthermore, we obtain that $G \in alg\mathcal{N}$ is an all-derivable point if and only if $G \neq 0$ in any nest algebra. For other results, see [1,4,5].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the major Theorem 2.1 in this paper, and give a preliminary Theorem 2.2 and its proof. Using the results, we give the proof of our main Theorem 2.1 in Section 3, that is G is an all-derivable point if and only if $G \neq 0$ in any nest algebras.

¹This work is supported by the Foundation of Hangzhou dianzi University

²E-mail address: jzhu@hdu.edu.cn

2. The main theorem and a lemma

In this section we fix some notations. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be two Hilbert spaces. We use the symbols $B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $F(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ denote the set of all linear bounded operators from \mathcal{K} into \mathcal{H} and the set of all finite rank operators from \mathcal{K} into \mathcal{H} , respectively. The symbols $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ and $F(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ is abbreviated to $B(\mathcal{H})$ and $F(\mathcal{H})$, respectively. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in \mathcal{K}$, we use the symbols $x \otimes y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $I_{\mathcal{H}} \in B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the rank one operator $\langle \cdot, y \rangle x$ and the unit operator on \mathcal{H} , respectively. Assume that $Y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$, we always denote the range and kernel of Y by the symbols $\text{ran}Y$ and $\text{ker}Y$, respectively. we write \mathbf{C} for the complex number field.

The following theorem is our main result:

Theorem 2.1 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $G \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then G is an all-derivable point in $B(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $G \neq 0$.*

The following theorem will play an important role for our purposes.

Theorem 2.2 *Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be two Hilbert spaces, and let $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\psi : B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ be two linear mappings. If the following equation holds*

$$Y\varphi(W) = \psi(Y)W \quad (1)$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then there exists an operator $D \in B(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$\varphi(W) = DW \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(Y) = YD$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

Proof. Step 1. Fix an operator $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ with $\text{ran}W = \overline{\text{ran}W}^{\|\cdot\|} = \mathcal{K}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. By the equation (1), we have

$$\psi(x \otimes y)\mathcal{K}_1 = \psi(x \otimes y)\text{ran}(W) \subseteq \text{ran}(x \otimes y) = \mathbf{C}x$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus there exists a continuous linear functional $\lambda_{y,W}$ on \mathcal{K}_1 such that

$$\psi(x \otimes y)(u) = \lambda_{y,W}(u)x$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{K}_1$. By Riesz representation theorem, there exists a vector $A_W(y) \in \mathcal{K}_1$ such that $\lambda_{y,W}(u) = \langle u, A_W(y) \rangle$ and

$$\psi(x \otimes y)(u) = \langle u, A_W(y) \rangle x = x \otimes A_W(y)(u)$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{K}_1$, i.e. $\psi(x \otimes y) = x \otimes A_W(y)$. By equation (1) and the above equation, we have

$$(x \otimes y)\varphi(W) = \psi(x \otimes y)W = x \otimes A_W(y)W. \quad (2)$$

It is easy to verify from the linear of ψ that $A_W : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$ is a linear operator, and

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle Wv, A_W(y) \rangle| \|x\| &= \| (x \otimes A_W(y))Wv \| = \| \psi(x \otimes y)Wv \| \\ &= \| x \otimes y\varphi(W)v \| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \|\varphi(W)v\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $y, v \in \mathcal{K}$. Fix a vector $u \in \mathcal{K}_1 = \text{ran}W$, then the set $\{|\langle A_W(y), u \rangle| : y \in \mathcal{K}_1, \|y\| \leq 1\}$ is a bounded set. By the uniform boundedness principle, $\{\|A_W(y)\| : y \in \mathcal{K}_1, \|y\| \leq 1\}$ is a bounded set, i.e. $A_W \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}_1)$. It follows from the equation (2) that $(x \otimes y)\varphi(W) = x \otimes A_W(y)W = x \otimes yA_W^*W$, or

$$\varphi(W) = A_W^*W. \quad (3)$$

Step 2. For any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and $y \in \mathcal{K}$, then $y \otimes x \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ and $\text{ran}(y \otimes x) = \overline{\text{ran}(y \otimes x)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \mathbf{C}y$. It follows from equation (3) that $\varphi(y \otimes x) = A_{y \otimes x}(y \otimes x)$. Define a mapping $B_y : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ as follows:

$$B_xy = A_{y \otimes x}^*y$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$. Then we have

$$\varphi(y \otimes x) = B_x y \otimes x$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$. It is easy to verify from the above equation that B_x is a linear mapping on \mathcal{K} . We claim that B_x is independent of x . In fact, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\varphi(y \otimes x_i) = B_{x_i} y \otimes x_i \quad (i = 1, 2);$$

and

$$\varphi(y \otimes (x_1 + x_2)) = B_{x_1+x_2} y \otimes (x_1 + x_2).$$

Combining the above three equations, we obtain

$$(B_{x_1} - B_{x_1+x_2})y \otimes x_1 + (B_{x_2} - B_{x_1+x_2})y \otimes x_2 = 0$$

If x_1 and x_2 are linearly independent, then $B_{x_1}y = B_{x_1+x_2}y = B_{x_2}y$ for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$, i.e. $B_{x_1} = B_{x_2}$. If $x_2 = \alpha x_1$, then

$$B_{x_2}y \otimes x_2 = \varphi(y \otimes x_2) = \varphi(y \otimes \alpha x_1) = \bar{\alpha} \varphi(y \otimes x_1) = \bar{\alpha} B_{x_1} y \otimes x_1 = B_{x_1} y \otimes \alpha x_1 = B_{x_1} y \otimes x_2$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus $B_{x_2} = B_{x_1}$. This implies that B_x is independent of x . So we may write $D = B_x$ and get

$$\varphi(y \otimes x) = D(y \otimes x)$$

for any $y \in \mathcal{K}$ and $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence

$$\varphi(F) = DF \tag{4}$$

for any $F \in F(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

Step 3. Define a mapping $\Phi : B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ as follows:

$$\Phi(W) = \varphi(W) - DW$$

for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. We claim that $\Phi \equiv 0$. Otherwise, there exists $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ such that $\Phi(W) \neq 0$. Furthermore we can find a vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $y = \Phi(W)x \neq 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x \otimes y)\Phi(W(I - x \otimes x))(x \otimes x) \\ &= (x \otimes y)[\varphi(W(I - x \otimes x)) - DW(I - x \otimes x)](x \otimes x) \\ &= \psi(x \otimes y)W(I - x \otimes x)(x \otimes x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Phi(F) = 0$ for any $F \in F(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (x \otimes y)\Phi(W(I - x \otimes x))(x \otimes x) = (x \otimes y)[\Phi(W) - \Phi(Wx \otimes x)](x \otimes x) \\ &= (x \otimes y)\Phi(W)(x \otimes x) = \langle \Phi(W)x, y \rangle x \otimes x = \|y\|^2 x \otimes x \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction with $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$. Hence $\Phi \equiv 0$, i.e. $\varphi(W) = DW$ for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. Furthermore, by the equation (1), we obtain $\psi(Y)W = Y\varphi(W) = YDW$ for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. Hence

$$\psi(Y) = YD$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{A} be an operator subalgebra with unit operator I in $B(H)$, and let φ is a linear mapping from \mathcal{A} into $B(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$. If $\varphi(X) = 0$ for any invertible operator $X \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\varphi \equiv 0$.*

Proof. For arbitrary operator $X \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists a real number $\lambda > \|X\|$. Then both $\lambda I - X$ and $2\lambda I - X$ are two invertible operators. So $\varphi(\lambda I - X) = 0$ and $\varphi(2\lambda I - X) = 0$. It follows from the linearity of φ that $\varphi(X) = 0$. Hence $\varphi \equiv 0$. This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

3. The proof of Theorem 2.1

Now we will prove our main Theorem 2.1.

The proof of Theorem 2.1. Necessary. Suppose that G is an all-derivable point in $B(\mathcal{H})$. We claim that $G \neq 0$. In fact, the identity mapping φ on $B(\mathcal{H})$ is a derivable mapping at 0, but φ is not derivation.

Sufficiency. Fix an operator $0 \neq G \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ be a derivable mapping at G . We only need to prove that φ is a derivation. If $\overline{\text{ran}G} = \mathcal{H}$, then φ is a derivation by Corollary 1.2. If $\overline{\text{ran}G} \neq \mathcal{H}$, then we take $\mathcal{H}_1 = \overline{\text{ran}G}$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 = (\text{ran}G)^\perp$. Obviously $\dim \mathcal{H}_i \geq 1 (i = 1, 2)$. Then G can be represented as a 2×2 operator matrix relative to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ as follows:

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $E \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $F \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ with $E \neq 0$ or $F \neq 0$. In the rest part of this paper, all 2×2 operator matrixes are always represented as relative to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$. For arbitrary operator $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$, S can be expressed as the following operator matrix in the orthogonal decomposition of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ as follows

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix},$$

where $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, $Z \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $Q \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Since φ is a linear mapping, we can write

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(X) & A_{12}(X) \\ A_{21}(X) & A_{22}(X) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11}(Y) & B_{12}(Y) \\ B_{21}(Y) & B_{22}(Y) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11}(Z) & C_{12}(Z) \\ C_{21}(Z) & C_{22}(Z) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} D_{11}(Q) & D_{12}(Q) \\ D_{21}(Q) & D_{22}(Q) \end{bmatrix}, \end{array} \right.$$

where A_{ij}, B_{ij}, C_{ij} and D_{ij} are linear mappings from \mathcal{H}_j into \mathcal{H}_i . For arbitrary $S, T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with $ST = G$, we may write

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ W & R \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows from $ST = G$ that $XU + YW = E$, $XV + YR = F$, $ZU + QW = 0$ and $ZV + QR = 0$. Since φ is a derivable mapping at G , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(E) + B_{11}(F) & A_{12}(E) + B_{12}(F) \\ A_{21}(E) + A_{21}(F) & A_{22}(E) + B_{22}(F) \end{bmatrix} = \varphi(G) = \varphi(S)T + S\varphi(T) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(X) + B_{11}(Y) + C_{11}(Z) + D_{11}(Q) & A_{12}(X) + B_{12}(Y) + C_{12}(Z) + D_{12}(Q) \\ A_{21}(X) + B_{21}(Y) + C_{21}(Z) + D_{21}(Q) & A_{22}(X) + B_{22}(Y) + C_{22}(Z) + D_{22}(Q) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U & V \\ W & R \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}(U) + B_{11}(V) + C_{11}(W) + D_{11}(R) & A_{12}(U) + B_{12}(V) + C_{12}(W) + D_{12}(R) \\ A_{21}(U) + B_{21}(V) + C_{21}(W) + D_{21}(R) & A_{22}(U) + B_{22}(V) + C_{22}(W) + D_{22}(R) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The above equation implies the following four operator equations

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{11}(E) + B_{11}(F) \\
= & A_{11}(X)U + B_{11}(Y)U + C_{11}(Z)U + D_{11}(Q)U \\
& + A_{12}(X)W + B_{12}(Y)W + C_{12}(Z)W + D_{12}(Q)W \\
& + XA_{11}(U) + XB_{11}(V) + XC_{11}(W) + XD_{11}(R) \\
& + YA_{21}(U) + YB_{21}(V) + YC_{21}(W) + YD_{21}(R); \tag{5}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{12}(E) + B_{12}(F) \\
= & A_{11}(X)V + B_{11}(Y)V + C_{11}(Z)V + D_{11}(Q)V \\
& + A_{12}(X)R + B_{12}(Y)R + C_{12}(Z)R + D_{12}(Q)R \\
& + XA_{12}(U) + XB_{12}(V) + XC_{12}(W) + XD_{12}(R) \\
& + YA_{22}(U) + YB_{22}(V) + YC_{22}(W) + YD_{22}(R); \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{21}(E) + B_{21}(F) \\
= & A_{21}(X)U + B_{21}(Y)U + C_{21}(Z)U + D_{21}(Q)U \\
& + A_{22}(X)W + B_{22}(Y)W + C_{22}(Z)W + D_{22}(Q)W \\
& + ZA_{11}(U) + ZB_{11}(V) + ZC_{11}(W) + ZD_{11}(R) \\
& + QA_{21}(U) + QB_{21}(V) + QC_{21}(W) + QD_{21}(R); \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_{22}(E) + B_{22}(F) \\
= & A_{21}(X)V + B_{21}(Y)V + C_{21}(Z)V + D_{21}(Q)V \\
& + A_{22}(X)R + B_{22}(Y)R + C_{22}(Z)R + D_{22}(Q)R \\
& + ZA_{12}(U) + ZB_{12}(V) + ZC_{12}(W) + ZD_{12}(R) \\
& + QA_{22}(U) + QB_{22}(V) + QC_{22}(W) + QD_{22}(R); \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the equations (5)-(8) always hold when $XU + YW = E$, $XV + YR = F$, $ZU + QW = 0$ and $ZV + QR = 0$. Now we divide the proof of the theorem into the following eight Steps.

Step 1. We claim that $A_{22} \equiv 0$, $B_{21} \equiv 0$, $C_{12} \equiv 0$, $D_{22} \equiv 0$, $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})F = 0$ and $A_{12}(YW) = YC_{22}(W)$ for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

1) For any invertible operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and any $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$, taking $Y = \lambda F$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = X^{-1}E$, $V = 0$, $W = 0$ and $R = \lambda^{-1}I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ in the equation (8), then we have

$$A_{22}(E) + B_{22}(F) = \lambda^{-1}A_{22}(X) + B_{22}(F).$$

So $A_{22}(X) = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, we obtain $A_{22} \equiv 0$.

2) For any operator $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E$, $V = F$, $W = 0$ and $R = 0$ in the equation (7) and (8) respectively, then we have

$$A_{21}(E) + B_{21}(F) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})E + B_{21}(Y)E$$

and

$$A_{22}(E) + B_{22}(F) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})F + B_{21}(Y)F.$$

In the rest part of the proof, we always assume that λ and μ are two arbitrary nonzero real number. Replacing Y by λY in the above two equations, we have

$$A_{21}(E) + B_{21}(F) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})E + \lambda B_{21}(Y)E$$

and

$$A_{22}(E) + B_{22}(F) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})F + \lambda B_{21}(Y)F.$$

The above two equations implies that $B_{21}(Y)E = 0$ and $B_{21}(Y)F = 0$. It follows from $G = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ that $B_{21}(Y) = B_{21}(Y)|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = B_{21}(Y)|_{\text{ran}G} = 0$. Hence $B_{21} \equiv 0$.

3) For any invertible operator $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Y = FR^{-1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E$, $V = 0$ and $W = 0$ in the equation (5), then we have

$$B_{11}(F) = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})E + B_{11}(FR^{-1})E + D_{11}(R) + FR^{-1}A_{21}(E) + FR^{-1}D_{21}(R).$$

Replacing R by λR in the above equation, we have

$$B_{11}(F) = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})E + FR^{-1}D_{21}(R) + \lambda^{-1}[B_{11}(FR^{-1})E + FR^{-1}A_{21}(E)] + \lambda D_{11}(R).$$

Hence we have

$$B_{11}(F) = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})E + FR^{-1}D_{21}(R) \quad (9)$$

and $D_{11}(R) = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $D_{11} \equiv 0$.

4) For any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E - YW$, $V = F$ and $R = 0$ in the equation (6), and noting that $A_{22} \equiv 0$, then we have

$$0 = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})F + B_{11}(Y)F - A_{12}(YW) + C_{12}(W) + YB_{22}(F) + YC_{22}(W). \quad (10)$$

Replacing W by λW and taking $Y = 0$ in the above equation (10), we have

$$0 = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})F + \lambda C_{12}(W).$$

So $C_{12}(W) = 0$ for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, i.e. $C_{12} \equiv 0$. On the other hand, replacing W and Y by λW and λY in the equation (10), respectively, and Noting that $C_{12} \equiv 0$, then we have

$$0 = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})F + \lambda[B_{11}(Y)F + YB_{22}(F)] + \lambda^2[YC_{22}(W) - A_{12}(YW)].$$

Thus we have

$$A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})F = 0 \quad (11)$$

and

$$A_{12}(YW) = YC_{22}(W) \quad (12)$$

for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

Step 2. We claim that D_{22} is a derivation and $D_{12}(R) = -A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R$ for any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

For any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E$, $V = F - YR$ and $W = 0$ in the equation (6), and using the results in Step 1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12}(E) + B_{12}(F) &= -A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})YR + B_{11}(Y)F - B_{11}(Y)YR \\ &\quad + A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R + B_{12}(Y)R + A_{12}(E) \\ &\quad + B_{12}(F) - B_{12}(YR) + D_{12}(R) \\ &\quad + YB_{22}(F) - YB_{22}(YR) + YD_{22}(R). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y and R by λY and μR in the above equation, respectively, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda[B_{11}(Y)F + YB_{22}(F)] + \lambda\mu[YD_{22}(R) + B_{12}(Y)R - A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})YR \\ &\quad - B_{12}(YR)] - \lambda^2\mu[B_{11}(Y)YR - YB_{22}(YR)] + \mu[A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R + D_{12}(R)]. \end{aligned}$$

The above equation implies that

$$B_{12}(YR) = B_{12}(Y)R + YD_{22}(R) - A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})YR \quad (13)$$

and

$$D_{12}(R) = -A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R \quad (14)$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

We claim that $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = 0$. In fact, for any $V \in Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, taking $X = \lambda I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Y = \mu(F - \lambda V)$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = \lambda^{-1}E$, $V = F - YR$, $W = 0$ and $R = \mu^{-1}I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ in the equation (6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda[A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})V - VD_{22}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})] + \mu[B_{11}(F)V + FB_{22}(V)] \\ &\quad - \lambda\mu[B_{11}(V)V + VB_{22}(V)] + \lambda\mu^{-1}[A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) + D_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})] \\ &\quad + FD_{22}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})V = VD_{22}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$$

for any $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$. The above equation implies that $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})(x \otimes y) = (x \otimes y)D_{22}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ for any $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $y \in \mathcal{H}_2$. It follows that $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})x = \lambda_x x$. Hence $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = \gamma I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$. We only need to prove that $\gamma = 0$. Otherwise, $\gamma \neq 0$. By the equation (9) in Step 1, we have

$$B_{11}(F) = A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})E + FR^{-1}D_{21}(R) = \gamma E + FR^{-1}D_{21}(R).$$

Supposing that $F = 0$, it follows from the above equation that $E = 0$. This is contradiction with $G \neq 0$. Supposing $F \neq 0$. Then $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})F = \gamma F \neq 0$. This is contradiction with the equation (11) in Step 1. Hence $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = 0$.

Now we show that D_{22} is a derivation. For any $R_1, R_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, It follows from the equation (13) and $A_{11}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = 0$ that

$$B_{12}(YR_1R_2) = B_{12}(Y)R_1R_2 + YD_{22}(R_1R_2)$$

and

$$B_{12}(YR_1R_2) = B_{12}(YR_1)R_2 + YR_1D_{22}(R_2) = B_{12}(Y)R_1R_2 + YD_{22}(R_1)R_2 + YR_1D_{22}(R_2).$$

The above two equations implies that $Y[D_{22}(R_1R_2) - D_{22}(R_1)R_2 - R_1D_{22}(R_2)] = 0$, i.e. $D_{22}(R_1R_2) = D_{22}(R_1)R_2 - R_1D_{22}(R_2)$ for any $R_1, R_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Hence D_{22} is a derivation. Since every derivation is an inner derivation on $B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, there exists an operator $\tilde{D} \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that $D_{22}(R) = R\tilde{D} - \tilde{D}R$ for any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Step 3. We claim that A_{11} is a derivation and $B_{12}(XV) = XB_{12}(V) + A_{11}(X)V$ for any operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

For any invertible operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, taking $Y = F - XV$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = X^{-1}E$, $W = 0$ and $R = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ in the equation (6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12}(E) + B_{12}(F) &= A_{11}(X)V + B_{11}(F)V - B_{11}(XV)V + A_{12}(X) + B_{12}(F) \\ &\quad - B_{12}(XV) + XA_{12}(X^{-1}E) + XB_{12}(V) + XD_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}) \\ &\quad + FB_{22}(V) - XVB_{22}(V) + (F - XV)D_{22}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $D_{22}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}) = 0$ by $D_{22}(R) = R\tilde{D} - \tilde{D}R$. Replacing X and V by λX and μV in the above equation, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12}(E) &= \lambda\mu[A_{11}(X)V + XB_{12}(V) - B_{12}(XV)] + \mu[B_{11}(F)V + FB_{22}(V)] \\ &\quad - \lambda\mu^2[B_{11}(XV)V + XVB_{22}(V)] + \lambda[A_{12}(X) + XD_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})] + XA_{12}(X^{-1}E). \end{aligned}$$

The above equation implies that

$$B_{11}(XV)V + XVB_{22}(V) = 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$B_{12}(XV) = XB_{12}(V) + A_{11}(X)V$$

for any invertible operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$. Fixing an operator $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, and define an linear mapping $\varphi : B(\mathcal{H}_1) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ as follows

$$\varphi(X) = B_{12}(XV) - XB_{12}(V) - A_{11}(X)V$$

for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$. Then $\varphi(X) = 0$ for any invertible operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\varphi \equiv 0$, i.e.

$$B_{12}(XV) = XB_{12}(V) + A_{11}(X)V \quad (16)$$

for any operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

Now we show that A_{11} is a derivation. For any $X_1, X_2 \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, we have

$$B_{12}(X_1X_2V) = X_1X_2B_{12}(V) + A_{11}(X_1X_2)V$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12}(X_1X_2V) &= X_1B_{12}(X_2V) + A_{11}(X_1)X_2V \\ &= X_1X_2B_{12}(V) + X_1A_{11}(X_2)V + A_{11}(X_1)X_2V \end{aligned}$$

The above two equation implies that $[A_{11}(X_1X_2) - X_1A_{11}(X_2) - A_{11}(X_1)X_2]V = 0$. So A_{11} is a derivation. Since every derivation is an inner derivation on $B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, there exists an operator $A \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ such that $A_{11}(X) = XA - AX$ for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

Step 4. We claim that $B_{22}(Y) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})Y$ and $B_{11}(Y) = YD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, and $D_{21}(R) = RD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ for any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

1) For any $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Y = F - V$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E$, $W = 0$ and $R = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ in the equation (8), then we have

$$B_{22}(V) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})V \quad (17)$$

for any $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

2) For any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, taking $X = \lambda I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = \lambda^{-1}E$, $V = \lambda^{-1}(F - YR)$ and $W = 0$ in the equation (6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{12}(E) + B_{12}(F) &= B_{11}(Y)F - B_{11}(Y)YR + \lambda A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R + B_{12}(Y)R \\ &\quad + A_{12}(E) + B_{12}(F) - B_{12}(YR) + \lambda D_{12}(R) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-1}YB_{22}(F) - \lambda^{-1}YB_{22}(YR) + YD_{22}(R) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$0 = \lambda^{-1}[B_{11}(Y)E + YA_{21}(E)] + [YD_{21}(R) - B_{11}(YR)] + \lambda D_{11}(R)$$

The above equation implies that

$$B_{11}(YR) = YD_{21}(R).$$

In particular, the following equation holds.

$$B_{11}(Y) = YD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}) \quad (18)$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$. It follows that $YD_{21}(R) = B_{11}(YR) = YRD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$. Thus we have

$$D_{21}(R) = RD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}) \quad (19)$$

for any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Step 5. We claim that $C_{11}(W) = -A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})W$ and $C_{22}(W) = WA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})$ for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, and $A_{12}(X) = XA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})$ for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

For any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, taking $Y = 0$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = X^{-1}E$, $V = X^{-1}F$ and $R = 0$ in the equation (5), then we have

$$A_{11}(E) + B_{11}(F) = A_{11}(X)X^{-1}E + A_{12}(X)W + XA_{11}(X^{-1}E) + XB_{11}(X^{-1}F) + XC_{11}(W).$$

Replacing X by λX in the above equation, then we have

$$A_{11}(E) + B_{11}(F) = A_{11}(X)X^{-1}E + XA_{11}(X^{-1}E) + XB_{11}(X^{-1}F) + \lambda[A_{12}(X)W + XC_{11}(W)].$$

The above equation implies that $A_{12}(X)W = -XC_{11}(W)$. In particular,

$$C_{11}(W) = -A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})W \quad (20)$$

for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. Furthermore $A_{12}(X)W = -XC_{11}(W) = XA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})W$. Hence

$$A_{12}(X) = XA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) \quad (21)$$

for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$. By the equation (12), we have

$$YC_{22}(W) = A_{12}(YW) = YWA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})$$

The above equation implies that

$$C_{22}(W) = WA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) \quad (22)$$

for any $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$.

Step 6. We claim that $A_{21}(X) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})X$ for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

For any invertible operator $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, taking $Y = F - XV$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = X^{-1}E$, $W = 0$ and $R = I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ in the equation (8), then we have

$$B_{22}(XV) = A_{21}(X)V$$

for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $V \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$. It follows from the equation (17) that $A_{21}(X)V = B_{22}(XV) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})XV$. Thus we have

$$A_{21}(X) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})X \quad (23)$$

for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

Step 7. We claim that $B_{12}(Y) = YD - AY$ and $C_{21}(W) = WA - DW$ for some $D \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$.

For any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E - YW$, $V = F$ and $R = 0$ in the equation (5), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B_{11}(Y)E - B_{11}(Y)YW + A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})W + B_{12}(Y)W - A_{11}(YW) \\ &\quad + C_{11}(W) + YA_{21}(E) - YA_{21}(YW) + YB_{21}(F) + YC_{21}(W) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y and W by λY and λW in the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda[B_{11}(Y)E + A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})W + C_{11}(W) + YA_{21}(E) + YB_{21}(F)] \\ &\quad + \lambda^2[B_{12}(Y)W - A_{11}(YW) + YC_{21}(W)] - \lambda^3[YA_{21}(YW) + B_{11}(Y)YW]. \end{aligned}$$

The above equation implies that

$$B_{12}(Y)W - A_{11}(YW) + YC_{21}(W) = 0.$$

Since A_{11} is a derivation and $A_{11}(X) = XA - AX$, the above equation implies that

$$Y[WA - C_{21}(W)] = [B_{12}(Y) + AY]W$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. By Theorem 2.2, there exists $D \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$ such that

$$C_{21}(W) = WA - DW \quad (24)$$

and

$$B_{12}(Y) = YD - AY \quad (25)$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $W \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$. On the other hand, for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, taking $X = I_{\mathcal{H}_1}$, $Z = 0$, $Q = 0$, $U = E$, $V = F - YR$ and $W = 0$ in the equation (6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B_{11}(Y)F - B_{11}(Y)YR + A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R + B_{12}(Y)R \\ &\quad - B_{12}(YR) + D_{12}(R) + YB_{22}(F) - YB_{22}(YR) + YD_{22}(R) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y and R by λY and λR in the above equation, we have

$$0 = \lambda[B_{11}(Y)F + A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})R + D_{12}(R) + YB_{22}(F)] \\ \lambda^2[B_{12}(Y)R - B_{12}(YR) + YD_{22}(R)] - \lambda^3[B_{11}(Y)YR + YB_{22}(YR)]$$

The above equation implies that

$$B_{12}(YR) = B_{12}(Y)R + YD_{22}(R)$$

for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ and $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$. It follows from the above equation and the equation (25) and $D_{22}(R) = R\tilde{D} - \tilde{D}R$ that

$$YRD - AYR = B_{12}(YR) = B_{12}(Y)R + YD_{22}(R) = YDR - AYR + YR\tilde{D} - Y\tilde{D}R,$$

i.e. $YR[D - \tilde{D}] = Y[D - \tilde{D}]R$. Furthermore $R[D - \tilde{D}] = [D - \tilde{D}]R$ for any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Hence $D - \tilde{D} = \alpha I_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$. It is easy to verify that

$$D_{22}(R) = R\tilde{D} - \tilde{D}R = RD - DR \quad (26)$$

for any $R \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Step 8. In summary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11}(X) &= XA - AX, & B_{11}(y) &= YD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}), \\ A_{12}(X) &= XA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}), & B_{12}(y) &= YD - AY, \\ A_{21}(X) &= A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})X, & B_{21}(y) &= 0, \\ A_{22}(X) &= 0, & B_{22}(y) &= A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})Y, \\ \\ C_{11}(Z) &= -A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})Z, & D_{11} &= 0, \\ C_{12}(Z) &= 0, & D_{12}(Q) &= -A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})Q, \\ C_{21}(Z) &= ZA - DZ, & D_{21}(Q) &= QD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}), \\ C_{22}(Z) &= ZA_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}), & D_{22} &= QD - DQ. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the equation (15) with $B_{11}(Y) = YD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})$ and $B_{22}(Y) = A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})Y$, we have

$$0 = B_{11}(XY)Y + XYB_{22}(Y) = XYD_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2})Y + XYA_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1})Y$$

for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$. Hence we have

$$D_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}) = -A_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}).$$

For the convenience, we write $A_{12}(I_{\mathcal{H}_1}) = B$ and $D_{21}(I_{\mathcal{H}_2}) = C$. Then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \begin{bmatrix} XA - AX & XB \\ -CX & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \begin{bmatrix} YC & YD - AY \\ 0 & -CY \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \begin{bmatrix} -BZ & 0 \\ ZA - DZ & ZB \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ Z & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix}\right) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -BQ \\ QC & QD - DQ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \right.$$

for any $X \in B(\mathcal{H}_1)$, $Y \in B(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$, $Z \in B(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ and $Q \in B(\mathcal{H}_2)$, i.e.

$$\varphi\left(\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

for any $\begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & Q \end{bmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Hence φ is an inner derivation. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.1 *Let \mathcal{N} be a complete nest on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then $G \in \text{alg}\mathcal{N}$ is an all-derivable point if and only if $G \neq 0$.*

Proof. Supposing that \mathcal{N} is a nontrivial complete nest, this is directly the conclusion of Theorem 2.3 in [7]. Supposing that \mathcal{N} is a trivial complete nest, then $\text{alg}\mathcal{N} = B(\mathcal{H})$. This is directly the conclusion of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof. \square

Reference

- 1 R.L. Crist, Local derivations on operator algebras, J. Funct. Anal. 135 (1996) 76-92.
- 2 W. Jing, S.J. Lu, P.T. Li, Characterisations of derivations on some operator algebras, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 66 (2002) 227-232.
- 3 J.C. Hou, X.F. Qi, Additive maps derivable at some points on J-subspace lattice algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 429 (2008) 1851-1863.
- 4 D.R. Larson, A.R. Sourour, Local derivations and local automorphisms of $\mathcal{B}(X)$, Operator Algebras and Applications, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 51 (1990) 187-194.
- 5 J.K. Li, Z.D. Pan, H. Xu, Characterizations of isomorphisms and derivations of some algebras. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007) 1314-1322.
- 6 A.E. Taylor, D.C. Lay, Introduction to functional analysis(Second Edition), John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York, 1980.
- 7 Y. F. Zhang, J.C. Hou, X.F. Qi, Characterizing derivations for any nest algebras on Banach space by their behaviors at an injective operator, Linear Algebra Appl., 449 (15) (2014) 312-333.
- 8 J. Zhu, S. Zhao, Characterizations all-derivable points in nest algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 141(7) (2013) 2343-2350.