THE LOEWY STRUCTURE OF THE PROJECTIVE INDECOMPOSABLE MODULES FOR A_{10} IN CHARACTERISTIC 3

STUART MARTIN AND HA THU NGUYEN¹

ABSTRACT. We compute the Loewy structure of the indecomposable projective modules for the group algebra FG, where G is the alternating group on 10 letters and F is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, F is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3. We denote each simple module for a group by its dimension, together with a subscript if there is more than one simple module of that dimension. Thus, the simple FA_{10} modules are denoted 1, 34, 41, 84, 224 (lying in the principal block B_0 of defect 4), 9, 36, 90, 126, 279 (in a block B_1 of defect 2), and 567 (a projective simple in the unique block B_2 of defect 0). Since blocks of defect 0 are easy to describe, we shall only be interested in the blocks of nonzero defect. We denote the central idempotents for the principal block and the block of defect 2 by e_0 and e_1 respectively. The central idempotent for the principal block of FA_9 is denoted f_0 .

Our methodology employs standard techniques such as Frobenius Reciprocity together with computation using the MeatAxe. The MeatAxe is used to find full or partial submodule lattices of certain induced modules, and we thus obtain sufficient information to determine the Loewy structures of the indecomposable projectives for FA_{10} . As a byproduct of this process, we also obtain information about all the spaces $\operatorname{Ext}_{A_{10}}^1(S,T)$. This can be viewed as the first step in constructing the Ext-quiver for this group. To our knowledge, no "reasonably nice" Ext-quivers of the alternating groups are in the published literature - one reason for this may be the difficulty of generating a consistent labelling for the simple modules.

The main results are now stated.

Theorem 1. The Loewy structure of the projective indecomposable modules of B_0 are as follows:

1 41 84 22434 $34 \ 41$ 41 84 1 2242241 1 1 1 1 1 34 $34 \ 34 \ 41 \ 41$ 41 84 84 2242242241 1 1 $1 \quad 34 \quad 41 \quad 41 \quad 84$ 22441 84 342241

DPMMS, CMS, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WB, England UK. $^{1}\mathrm{Corresponding}$ author

E-mail address: S. Martin@dpmms.cam.ac.uk, H.T.Nguyen@dpmms.cam.ac.uk. Keywords: alternating groups, modular representation theory.

Mathematics subject classification(2010): Primary 20C20; Secondary 20E48, 20G40.

34	41									
1 41 224	1 34 84 224									
1 34 34 41 84 224	1 1 34 41 41 41 84 224									
1 1 1 34 41 41 84 224	1 1 1 34 34 41 84 84 224 224									
1 34 34 41 84 224	1 1 34 41 41 41 84 224									
1 41 224	1 34 84 224									
34	41									
84	224									
1 41 84	1 34 41 224									
1 34 41 84 84 224	1 1 34 41 84 224 224									
$1 \ 1 \ 34 \ 41 \ 41 \ 84 \ 224$	1 1 34 41 41 84 224 22									
1 34 41 84 84 224	1 1 34 41 84 224 224									
1 41 84	1 34 41 224									
84	224									

Theorem 2. The Loewy structure of the principal indecomposable modules of B_1 are as follows:

	9	36		90	
	126 279	126 279		126	
9	$9 \ 36 \ 90$	9 36 36 90	9	36	90
	126 279	126 279		126	
	9	36		90	
		126 279			
	9	36 90 9 36			
	126	126 279 126 279	9		
	9	36 90 9 36			
		126 279			

We note that the Loewy and socle series for the principal indecomposable modules (PIMs) over F are the same, which is also the case for A_6, A_7, A_8 and A_9 .

The Loewy structure of the PIMs for FA_6 is well-known and appears in (Benson, 1984); their module diagrams can be found in (Benson and Carlson, 1987). The structures for FA_7 and FA_8 have been calculated in (Scopes, 1988), while that for FA_9 has been done in (Siegel, 1991). The structures for FA_8 and FA_9 have also been done in characteristic two in (Benson, 1983a) and (Benson, 1983b) respectively. The results for FA_{10} in characteristic 3 have not been published to our knowledge.

If A is a group algebra over F and M a finitely generated A-module, write $L_i(M)$ and $S_i(M)$ for the i^{th} Loewy layer of M and the i^{th} socle layer of M, respectively. We shall write $(M, N)_A$ for dim_FHom_A(M, N), M^* for Hom_F(M, F) regarded as an A-module, $(M, N)_A^1$ for dim_FExt¹_A(M, N), and P_M for the projective cover of M. We also denote a uniserial module of Loewy length 3 with head S, heart T and socle U by $\mathfrak{U}(S; T; U)$, and a module M with $L_1(M) = S_1, L_2(M) = S_2 \oplus S_3$ and $L_3(M) = S_4$ by $\mathfrak{D}(S_1; S_2, S_3; S_4)$ (here all the S_i are simple).

Facts about modular representation theory can be found in (Landrock, 1983). We use Brauer characters and GAP (GAP, 2013) to find the composition factors of the A_{10} -modules we study. We will occasionally use a (Benson-Carlson) module diagram to describe an A-module M. This is a finite directed graph with vertices labelled by simple modules, and with an edge from a vertex S to a vertex T corresponding to a non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(S,T)$. The graph must satisfy other additional properties to represent M as described in (Benson and Carlson, 1987).

In the appendix, we give the decomposition matrix, the Cartan matrix of $A_{10} \mod 3$ (see (James and Kerber, 1981) or use (GAP, 2013)) and $\dim_F \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{A_{10}}(S,T)$.

2. Preliminary results on A_8 and A_9

2.1. Results on A_8 . See (Scopes, 1988).

Theorem 3. The structures of the PIMs for A_8 are

1	7	13	28	35
$13 \ 35$ 1 1 7 28	$13 \ 35$ 1 7 7 28	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 7 \\ 12 & 25 \end{array} $	35	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1 1 7 20 13 35	1 1 7 1 20 13 35	13 55 1 7	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 7 & 28 \\ & 35 \end{array}$	$13 \ 35 \ 35$
1	7	13	28	1720 35

together with $\mathfrak{U}(21; 21; 21)$, 45_1 and 45_2 .

2.2. Results on A_9 . Siegel's results (Siegel, 1991) recast in our notation are

Theorem 4.

$$1_{A_9} \downarrow = 1_{A_8} , \ 7_{A_9} \downarrow = 7_{A_8} , \ 27_{A_9} \downarrow = \mathfrak{U}(7; 13; 7) , \ 21_{A_9} \downarrow = 21_{A_8} , \ 35_{A_9} \downarrow = 35_{A_8} ,$$

$$41_{A_9} \downarrow = 28_{A_8} \oplus 13_{A_8} , \ 189_{A_9} \downarrow = 45_1 \oplus 45_2 \oplus \mathfrak{D}(35; 1, 28; 35).$$

Theorem 5.

35

$$1_{A_8} \uparrow = \mathfrak{U}(1;7;1) , \ 7_{A_8} \uparrow = 27 \oplus \mathfrak{D}(7;1,21;7) , \ 13_{A_8} \uparrow = \mathfrak{U}(41;35;41) ,$$

$$21 \\ 7 \quad 35 \\ 41 \quad 21 \\ 7 \quad 35 \\ 21 \end{cases}$$

$$A_8 \uparrow = 189 \oplus \mathfrak{D}(35;21,35;35) , \ 28_{A_8} \uparrow = 182 \oplus \mathfrak{D}(41;1,7;41) , \ 21_{A_8} \uparrow = 1 \quad 41 \quad 21 \\ 7 \quad 35 \\ 21 \end{cases}$$

Theorem 6. The structures of the PIMs for A_9 are

i) The principal 3-block:

ii) Non-principal blocks:

 $\mathfrak{U}(27;189;27)$, $\mathfrak{U}(189;27;189)$, 162.

3. Induction and restriction between A_9 and A_{10}

3.1. Restriction from A_{10} to A_9 .

Theorem 7.

$$\begin{split} 1_{A_{10}} \downarrow = 1_{A_9} , \ 9_{A_{10}} \downarrow = \mathfrak{U}(1;7;1) , \ 34_{A_{10}} \downarrow = 7_{A_9} \oplus 27_{A_9} , \ 36_{A_{10}} \downarrow = \mathfrak{D}(7;1,21;7) , \\ 41_{A_{10}} \downarrow = 41_{A_9} , \ 84_{A_{10}} \downarrow = \mathfrak{D}(21;7,35;21) , \ 90_{A_{10}} \downarrow = \mathfrak{D}(41;1,7;41) , \\ 126_{A_{10}} \downarrow = \mathfrak{D}(35;21,35;35) , \ 224_{A_{10}} \downarrow = 35_{A_9} \oplus 189_{A_9} , \\ 279_{A_{10}} \downarrow = 13_{A_8} \uparrow^{A_9} \oplus 162_{A_9} = \mathfrak{U}(41;35;41) , \ 567_{A_{10}} \downarrow = 162_{A_9} \oplus \mathfrak{U}(189;27;189). \end{split}$$

Proof. This follows easily from Frobenius Reciprocity, block theory and self-duality of all the modules involved. For example, using Brauer characters, $36_{A_{10}} \downarrow$ has composition factors $1_{A_9} + 2(7_{A_9}) + 21_{A_9}$. By Frobenius Reciprocity, $L_1(36 \downarrow) = S_1(36 \downarrow) = 7$. Hence, self-duality of $36 \downarrow$ gives the result.

3.2. Induction from A_9 to A_{10} .

Theorem 8.

$$\begin{split} 1_{A_9} \uparrow = 1_{A_{10}} \oplus 9_{A_{10}} , \ 7_{A_9} \uparrow = 34_{A_{10}} \oplus 36_{A_{10}} , \ 21_{A_9} \uparrow = \mathfrak{D}(84; 1, 41; 84) , \\ 35_{A_9} \uparrow = 126_{A_{10}} \oplus 224_{A_{10}} , \ 41_{A_9} \uparrow = 41_{A_{10}} \oplus 90_{A_{10}} \oplus 279_{A_{10}} , \\ 162_{A_9} \uparrow = P_{162_{A_9}} \uparrow = P_{162_{A_{10}}} \oplus P_{567_{A_{10}}} , \end{split}$$

34		224
1 41		$1 \ 41 \ 224$
$27_{A_9} \uparrow = 34 84 ,$	$189_{A_9} \uparrow = 567_{A_{10}} \oplus$	1 34 84 224
1 41		$1 \ 41 \ 224$
34		224

Proof. With the exception of $27 \uparrow$ and $189 \uparrow$, all structures follow from block theory, Frobenius Reciprocity, Brauer characters and self-duality.

For 27 \uparrow and 189 \uparrow , the MeatAxe gives the claimed Loewy layers. Furthermore, the submodule lattice for 27 \uparrow implies that every simple module in $L_i(27 \uparrow)$ extends every simple module in $L_{i+1}(27 \uparrow)$.

For 189 \uparrow , we only obtain partial information regarding extensions between modules in $L_3(189\uparrow)$ and modules in both $L_2(189\uparrow)$ and $L_4(189\uparrow)$, namely,

- 34 extends 1s, 41s and 224s;
- 84 extends only 1s and 41s;
- 224 extends 1s and 41s (and may or may not extend 224s),

• 1 in $L_3(189 \uparrow)$ extends 41s in $L_2(189 \uparrow)$ and $L_4(189 \uparrow)$ (and possibly other simple modules).

A partial diagram for $189 \uparrow$ is as follows:



4. Calculation of $(S,T)^1_{A_{10}}$ for simple FA_{10} -modules S and T

4.1. Non-principal block.

Lemma 1.

$$(9,S)^{1}_{A_{10}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{126,279\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have

$$(9, S)^{1}_{A_{10}} = (1 \oplus 9, S)^{1}_{A_{10}}$$
 by block theory,
= $(1 \uparrow^{A_{10}}_{A_{9}}, S)^{1}_{A_{10}}$ by Theorem 8,
= $(1_{A_{9}}, S \downarrow^{A_{10}}_{A_{9}})^{1}_{A_{9}}$ by Ext Reciprocity.

By Theorem 3, Theorem 5 and Theorem 7,

 $(1_{A_9}, 9\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, \mathfrak{U}(1; 7; 1))_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 1\uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_8}, 1_{A_8})_{A_8}^1 = 0.$ By Theorem 3, Theorem 5, Theorem 7 and Theorem 8,

$$(1_{A_9}, 36\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 36\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \oplus 27_{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 7\uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_8}, 7_{A_8})_{A_8}^1 = 0.$$

$$(1_{A_9}, 90\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 90\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \oplus 162_{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 28\uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_8}, 28_{A_8})_{A_8}^1 = 0$$

$$(1_{A_9}, 126\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 126\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \oplus 189_{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 35\uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_8}, 35_{A_8})_{A_8}^1 = 1.$$
$$(1_{A_9}, 279\downarrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_9}, 13\uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9})_{A_9}^1 = (1_{A_8}, 13_{A_8})_{A_8}^1 = 1.$$

A similar proof gives

Lemma 2.

$$(36, S)^{1}_{A_{10}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{126, 279\}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$(90, S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S = 126\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(126, S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{9, 36, 90\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(279, S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{9, 36\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

4.2. Principal block.

Lemma 3.

$$(1,S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{34,41,84,224\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(34,S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{1,41,224\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(41,S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{1,34,84,224\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(84,S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{1,41,84\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(224,S)_{A_{10}}^{1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } S \in \{1,34,41,224\};\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The difficulty arises only when computing $(84, S)^1_{A_{10}}$ (noting that, since all the simple FA_{10} -modules are self-dual, $(S, T)^1_{A_{10}} = (T, S)^1_{A_{10}}$). In all other cases, a similar proof to that in the case of non-principal blocks will suffice.

By block theory, Theorem 3, Theorem 5, Theorem 7 and Theorem 8,

$$(84,1)^{1}_{A_{10}} = (84,1\uparrow^{A_{10}}_{A_{9}})^{1}_{A_{10}} = (84\downarrow_{A_{9}},1_{A_{9}})^{1}_{A_{9}} = (\mathfrak{D}(21;7,35;21),1)^{1}_{A_{9}}.$$

Furthermore, Theorem 6 implies that there are only three possibilities:

However, there is a unique copy of 1 in $L_3(P_{21_{A_9}})$ and the first structure exists by the submodule lattice of 21 $\uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9}$. f_0 obtained by the MeatAxe. Therefore, we see that the other two cases cannot occur (see 6.2.2).

The same proof gives $(84, 41)^1_{A_{10}} = 1$. Now using appropriate long exact sequences of cohomology, we have

$$(84, 84)^{1}_{A_{10}} \ge 1; \quad (84, 34)^{1}_{A_{10}} \le 1; \quad (84, 224)^{1}_{A_{10}} \le 1;$$

We will finish determining the dimensions of the above Ext-spaces in Section 6.2.1 and Section 6.2.2.

5. Induction of 2-step FA_9 - modules

In this section we shall induce to A_{10} each of the non-trivial extensions of a simple module by a simple module for A_9 .

By Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1\\7 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{1}{34} , \begin{pmatrix} 1\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{1}{41} , \begin{pmatrix} 1\\35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{1}{224} , \begin{pmatrix} 7\\35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{34}{224} , \begin{pmatrix} 7\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{34}{41} , \begin{pmatrix} 35\\35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{224}{224} , \begin{pmatrix} 35\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{224}{41} , \begin{pmatrix} 21\\7 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{84}{141} , \\ \begin{pmatrix} 21\\35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \frac{84}{141} . \\ \begin{pmatrix} 27\\41 \end{pmatrix} \cdot e_0 = \frac{84}{141} .$$

We shall determine the structure of $\begin{pmatrix} 27\\189 \end{pmatrix}$ $\uparrow^{A_{10}}_{A_9}$ $\cdot e_0$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 189\\27 \end{pmatrix}$ $\uparrow^{A_{10}}_{A_9}$ $\cdot e_0$ in Section 6.2.

6. Structure of projective modules for FA_{10}

6.1. The non-principal block. We will use Section 4.1 and self-duality to find the structure of P_{90} , P_{279} , P_9 and P_{36} . For P_{126} , we will additionally need Landrock's lemma.

The Cartan matrix shows that $P_{90_{A_{10}}}$ has composition factors 9 + 36 + 3(90) + 2(126). Also, it has both head and socle isomorphic to 90 i.e. $P_{90_{A_{10}}} = X$ where X has composition factors 9 + 36 + 90 + 2(126). Section 4.1 and self-duality shows that $L_1(X) =$ 126 $S_1(X) = 126$. Hence, X = 9 36 90, and $P_{90_{A_{10}}}$ has Loewy structure as claimed in 126Theorem 2.

279• Similarly, $P_{279_{A_{10}}} = \begin{array}{c} Y \\ 270 \end{array}$ where Y has composition factors 2(9) + 2(36) + 126 + 279,

and $L_1(Y) = S_1(Y) = 9 \oplus 36$. Therefore, the structure of Y can only be one of the following:

Here $\{S,T\} = \{9,36\}$. But the first case cannot happen since $(S,T)^1_{A_{10}} = 0$ by Section 4.1. Thus, the structure of $P_{279_{A_{10}}}$ is as claimed in Theorem 2.

• We will work out in details the structure of $P_{9_{A_{10}}}$. The structure of $P_{36_{A_{10}}}$ can be found in a similar way.

The Cartan matrix shows that $P_{9_{A_{10}}} = Z$ where Z has composition factors 2(9) + Q

36+90+2(126)+2(279). It is easy to see that $L_1(Z) = S_1(Z) = 126 \oplus 279$. Consequently, the structure of Z can only be one of the following:

Here $\{R, Q\} = \{126, 279\}$. But the first case cannot happen since $(R, Q)_{A_{10}}^1 = 0$, while the second and the fourth are impossible since $(9, 36)_{A_{10}}^1 = 0$ by Section 4.1. Hence, the structure of $P_{9_{A_{10}}}$ is as claimed in Theorem 2.

• By Landrock's lemma and the structure of the PIMs obtained so far, $P_{126_{A_{10}}}$ has one copy of 9, one copy of 36 and one copy of 90 in $L_2(P_{126_{A_{10}}})$ and $L_4(P_{126_{A_{10}}})$ each. It also has one copy of 279 in $L_3(P_{126_{A_{10}}})$. Now self-duality forces $P_{126_{A_{10}}}$ to has the claimed structure in Theorem 2.

6.2. The principal block.

6.2.1. The Loewy structure of P_{34} and P_{224} . Using Theorem 8, we have the following filtrations:

$$P_{34_{A_{10}}} = P_{27_{A_9}} \uparrow^{A_{10}} .e_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 27\\189\\27 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow .e_0$$

$$34 \\ 1 \\ 41 \\ 34 \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 224^*\\ 1_a \\ 41_a \\ 224 \\ 224 \\ 224 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 34a\\ 1_f \\ 41_f \\ 34f \\ 34f$$

Figure 2.

Figures 1 and 2 give $(34, 84)^1_{A_{10}} = (224, 84)^1_{A_{10}} = 0$ as claimed in Lemma 3.

Section 4.2 implies $224^* \in L_2(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Figure 2 and Section 4.2 show that there is exactly one copy of 34 in $L_3(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$. Hence, in Figure 1, $224_a \in L_3(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ by Landrock's lemma. Moreover, 34_b extends 224_a by the structure of $189 \uparrow$, and hence it can only be in $L_4(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$, $L_5(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ or $L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. $(34, S)^1_{A_{10}}$ implies it can only be in $L_4(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$.

This, in turn, forces $1_a, 41_a \in L_3(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ and

(*)
$$1_c, 41_c, 224_c \notin L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$$

by the structure of $189 \uparrow$ and Section 4.2 respectively.

Now 84_b is not in either $L_5(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ or $L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ by Section 4.2 and the Benson-Carlson diagram of 189 \uparrow , so it has to be in $L_4(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Moreover, Section 4.2 and 189 \uparrow also imply 224_b $\notin L_5(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Also, 224_b $\notin L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$: otherwise, there exists a submodule U of $\begin{pmatrix} 27\\189 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}}$ of Loewy length 4 with simple head 34 and simple socle 224. Hence, by duality, there exists a subquotient U^* of $\begin{pmatrix} 189\\27 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}}$ with simple head 224, simple socle 34 and Loewy length 4. This means that, in Figure 2, $34_d \in L_4(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$, since Section 4.2 forces $224_d \in L_i(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$ for $i \geq 3$ so the diagram of 189 \uparrow implies $34_g \notin L_4(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$. This in turn forces $1_e, 41_e \in L_3(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$. Hence, $84_d \in L_4(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$ since $(224, 84)_{A_{10}}^1 = 0$. Therefore, we have

Loewy length of $\binom{189}{27}\uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}}.e_0 \le 7 < 8 \le$ Loewy length of $\binom{27}{189}\uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}}.e_0$,

a contradiction. Therefore, $224_b \in L_4(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$.

Next, Landrock's Lemma implies that $34_d \in L_4(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$. The same argument as above shows that Loewy length of $\binom{189}{27} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} .e_0$ is at most 7, hence $1_b \notin L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Moreover, $1_b \notin L_5(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ by the Benson-Carlson diagram of $189 \uparrow .e_0$ and Section 4.2. Therefore, $1_b \in L_4(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Now (*) gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} 34\\ 1 & 41 & 224\\ 189 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow^{A_{10}}_{A_9} .e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 34 & 84 & 1 & 41 & 224\\ 1 & 41 & 1 & 224 & 34 & 84\\ 34 & 1 & 41 & 224\\ 224 \end{array}$$

This has Loewy length 6, so Loewy length of $\binom{189}{27}\uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}}$. $e_0 = 6$. This implies $34_e \in L_6(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$. The diagram of $27\uparrow$ now gives

Now, in Figure 1, $34_a \notin L_2(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ by Section 4.2. In fact, it is in $L_3(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$, otherwise, it must extend (and indeed lie below) some composition factors in the submodule $\frac{1}{34}$ of 27 \uparrow , which is impossible.

Also, Section 4.2 implies that $34_f, 84_f \notin L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$; and they are not in $L_7(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$ either, because the diagram of $27 \uparrow$ and Section 4.2 imply $1_f, 41_f \notin L_6(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Thus, $34_f, 84_f \in L_5(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. This, in turns, forces $1_f, 41_f \in L_4(P_{34_{A_{10}}})$. Therefore, we obtain the structure of $P_{34_{A_{10}}}$ as claimed in Theorem 1.

Finally we compute the structure of $P_{224_{A_{10}}}$. By Landrock's lemma, there is one copy of 34 in $L_5(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$, so $34_g \in L_5(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$. Thus, $224_d \in L_3(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$ by the diagram of 189 \uparrow . Also, since 84 does not extend 224, $84_h \in L_4(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$.

We now have $1_h \in L_5(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$ since, otherwise, the structure of $\begin{pmatrix} 27\\ 189 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} .e_0$ implies that it must extend 224_f . This means there exists a module V with Loewy length 4 with unique head 224 and unique socle 224 and whose head 224 extends 1 in $L_2(V)$. By duality again, 1_h extends 224_e , which implies that 224_g is extended by 1_h . Thus, 1_h extends both 224_f and 224_g , contradicting Section 4.2. A similar argument shows that $224_h \in L_5(P_{224_{A_{10}}})$ and finally the structure of $P_{224_{A_{10}}}$ is as claimed in Theorem 1.

6.2.2. The Loewy structure of P_{84} . We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 7\\1 & 21\\7 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow^{A_{10}}_{A_9} .e_0 \sim \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 34\\84\\1 \oplus 1 & 41\\84\\84\\34 \end{array}}_{34} = \begin{array}{c} 34 & 84\\1 & 1 \\ 1 & 41\\34 & 84 \end{array}$$

by Frobenius Reciprocity, Section 4 and Section 5. Similarly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 41\\35\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\224\\41 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 35\\21\\35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 224\\24\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\224\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\224\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\1\\1\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\1\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\34\\41 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{array}{c} 41\\1\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\34\\41 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{array}{c} 41\\1\\41 \end{pmatrix} +$$

Furthermore, we have the following filtration ((3) in (Siegel, 1991)):

$$P_{7_{A_9}} = P_{28_{A_8}} \uparrow^{A_9} .f_0$$

Finally, the structure of $P_{34_{A_{10}}}$ together with the above data gives the following Loewy structure:

$$P_{34_{A_{10}}} \oplus P_{84_{A_{10}}} = P_{7_{A_9}} \uparrow^{A_{10}} .e_0$$

$$34 \ 84$$

$$1 \ 41 \ 1 \ 41 \ 224 \ 84$$

$$34 \ 84 \ 224 \ 224 \ 1 \ 41 \ 34 \ 84 \ 34 \ 84 \ 1 \ 41$$

$$= 41 \ 224 \ 84 \ 1 \ 41 \ 1 \ 41 \ 1 \ 224 \ 224 \ 1 \ 41 \ 34 \ 84$$

$$41 \ 224 \ 84 \ 1 \ 41 \ 1$$

$$34 \ 84$$

Л

Thus, the structure of $P_{84_{A_{10}}}$ is as claimed in Theorem 1 and $(84, 84)^1_{A_{10}} = 1$ as claimed in Section 4.

6.2.3. The Loewy structure of P_{41} . Landrock's Lemma, Section 6.2.1, Section 6.2.2 and the filtration of $P_{41_{A_9}} \uparrow^{A_{10}} .e_0$ give the positions of the unlabelled simple modules and 1_a in the Loewy structure for $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$ as follows:

Since we have accounted for all the 84s by Landrock's Lemma and the 84s all come from $\mathfrak{D}(84; 1; 41; 84), 1_A, 41_A, 1_B, 41_B, 1_C, 41_C$ are in the respective Loewy layers as above.

Next, from (Siegel, 1991), we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 13\\1 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9} \cdot f_0 = \begin{matrix} 41\\35&1\\41&7\\1 \end{matrix}$$

Thus, $M := \left(\begin{pmatrix} 13 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9} \cdot f_0 \right) \uparrow^{A_{10}} = \frac{224}{41_a} \frac{1}{34_a}$, since Frobenius Reciprocity and Lemma 3 1_B

implies $S_1(M) = 1$ and $41_a, 34_a \notin L_1(M), L_2(M)$. Therefore, we get a copy of 41 and a copy of 1 in $L_3(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ that do not come from $21 \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} = \mathfrak{D}(84; 1; 41; 84)$, say 41_D and 1_D respectively.

Next, Frobenius Reciprocity gives

41

$$\begin{pmatrix} 41\\1&7\\41 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} .e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 41\\34\\41 \end{array}$$

so 1_a extends a 41_E in $L_3(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$, and $41_E \neq 41_A, 41_D$ since $13 \uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9} = \begin{array}{c} 41\\ 35\\ 41_1 \end{array}$ and there

exists an FA_9 -module 1 7. Hence, $41_1 \neq 41_2$ because there is only one copy of 35 41_2

in $L_2(P_{41_{A_9}})$. Thus, 41_E comes from $41_2 \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_10}$, 41_D comes from $41_1 \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_10}$ and 41_A comes from $\mathfrak{D}(84; 1; 41; 84)$.

Note that all the factors in $L_2(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ extend some factors in $L_3(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ so self-duality implies that the layer immediately above the unique bottom 41 in $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$ is

$$1 \quad 34 \quad 84 \quad 224$$

Therefore, the Loewy length of $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$ is 7 and there is exactly one copy of 1 in $L_6(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$, say 1_E . We can also fill in the bottom 41, say 41_F .

Now 41_D extends 1_D so by self-duality and the submodule lattice of $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$, we must have another copy of 41 in $L_5(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$, say 41_G .

Furthermore, since

for any module M with Loewy length n and there is no other copy of 1 in $L_2(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$, no other copy of 1 appears in $L_5(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$. By the Cartan's matrix, we need to account for another copy of 41 and three other copies of 1.

Now inducing the first three Loewy layers of $P_{41_{A_0}}$ to A_{10} , we get the following filtration:

11

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 41 \\ 1 & 7 & 35 \\ 1 & 7 & 21 & 41 & 41 & 35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} .e_0 \sim \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} & 1 & 34 & 224 \\ 84 \\ 1 \oplus 34 \oplus 1 & 41 \oplus 41 \oplus 41 \oplus 224 \\ 84 \\ \end{array}}_{R_1 M_2}$$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} & 41 \\ 1 & 34 & 224 & 84 \\ 1_F & 34 & 1 & 41 & 41 & 224 \\ \end{array}}_{R_1 M_2} .e_0 \sim \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} & -1 \\ 84 \\ 84 \\ \end{array}}_{R_2 M_2}$$

by the first three layers of $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$ obtained so far and that 1_F does not extend any modules in $L_3(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ or $\mathfrak{D}(84; 1; 41; 84)$ in W.

Next, by Section 2, Theorem 8 and Frobenius Reciprocity, we have

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 28\\35\\1 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9} . f_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 41\\35&1&7\\21&35&41&1\\35&7\\1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X \uparrow_{A_{10}}^{A_{10}} . e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 224&1&34&84\\224&1&34&84\\34_a&224_a&84\\1 \end{pmatrix}$$

since $34_a, 224_a \in L_4$. Note that all the 34s and 224s in $L_2(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ and $L_3(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ have now been accounted for. Therefore, there is a copy of 1 in $L_5(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$, say 1_G .

Similarly,
$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 28\\35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_8}^{A_9} \cdot f_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 41\\35&1&7\\21&35&41\\35 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $Y \uparrow_{A_{10}} \cdot e_0 = \begin{array}{c} 224&1&34&84\\41_E&224&1&41\\224&84 \end{array}$

Therefore, by self-duality, there is another copy of 41 in $L_5(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$, say 41_H .

The remaining copy of 1 must be in $L_3(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ or $L_4(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ by (*) and Section 4. Using the filtration (6) in (Siegel, 1991) below and the fact that $P_{41_{A_{10}}} = P_{41_{A_9}} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} .e_0$, we see that the remaining 1 must be in $L_4(P_{41_{A_{10}}})$ and the structure of $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$ is as claimed in Theorem 1.

$$P_{41_{A_9}} = P_{13_{A_8}} \uparrow^{A_9} .f_0$$

I

6.2.4. The Loewy structure of P_1 . Landrock's lemma and the structures of $P_{34_{A_{10}}}$, $P_{41_{A_{10}}}$, $P_{84_{A_{10}}}$ and $P_{224_{A_{10}}}$ give the positions of the unlabelled simple modules in the Loewy layers of $P_{1_{A_{10}}}$ as follows:

Since $(1,1)_{A_{10}}^1 = 0$, $P_{1_{A_{10}}}$ has Loewy length 7 and the unique bottom 1 in $P_{1_{A_{10}}}$, say 1_A is in the position as claimed above.

Besides, as we have accounted for all the 84s by Landrock's lemma and 84 only comes from $21 \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} = \mathfrak{D}(84; 1; 41; 84), 1_B, 1_C$ and 1_D are as claimed.

Now, induce the first three Loewy layers of $P_{1_{A_0}}$ to A_{10} to get the following filtration:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 34 & 41 & 224 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 & 21 & 41 & 35 & 35 \end{pmatrix} \uparrow_{A_9}^{A_{10}} .e_0 \sim \frac{34 & 41 & 224}{1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 \oplus 41 \oplus 224 \oplus 224}$$
$$= \begin{array}{c} 41 \\ 84 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 41 & 41 & 224 \oplus 224 \\ 84 \end{array}$$

so there are three other copies of 1 in $L_3(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$, say $1_E, 1_F$ and 1_G . We observe that all $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{A_{10}}(S, 1)$ between $L_2(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$ and $L_3(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$ have been accounted for (here $S \in \{34, 41, 224, 84\}$) by Lemma 3. Hence, no other copies of 1 are in $L_3(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$.

Next, induce the first four Loewy layers of $P_{1_{A_9}}$ to A_{10} , call this induced module W. Then we have a filtration for W:

$$W \sim \frac{1}{34 \ 41 \ 224 \ 84} \\ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 41 \ 41 \ 224 \ 224} \\ W \sim \frac{84}{1_H \oplus 1_I \oplus 34 \oplus 34 \oplus 34 \oplus 1 \ 41} \\ 1 \ 41 \ \oplus 41 \oplus 41 \oplus 224 \oplus 224 \oplus 224 \\ 84 \\ 1 \ 34 \ 41 \ 224 \ 84 \\ 1 \ 41 \ 224 \ 224 \ 84 \\ 1_H \ 34 \ 34 \ 34 \ 84 \ 1 \ 41 \ 224 \ 224 \ 84 \\ 1_H \ 34 \ 34 \ 84 \ 1 \ 41 \ 224 \ 224 \ 41 \ 41 \\ 1_I \ 84 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Indeed, since 1_H and 1_I cannot extend any module in $L_4(W)$ other than 84_b and $(84, 1)^1_{A_{10}} = 1$, at most one of 1_H and 1_I is in $L_5(W)$. In other words, at least one of 1_H and 1_I is in $L_4(W)$ (since it cannot appear in $L_1(W), L_2(W)$ or $L_3(W)$). So without loss of generality, we assume $1_H \in L_4(W)$.

Finally, inducing the first five Loewy layers of $P_{1_{A_9}}$ to A_{10} , we obtain another three copies of 1 that must be in $L_4(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$ or $L_5(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$. Now we have to account for the

positions of the four 1^{*}. By (*) in Section 6.2.3, at least one of the 1^{*} must appear in $L_4(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$. Using the filtration (2) in Siegel (1991) below, and that $P_{1_{A_{10}}} = P_{1_{A_9}} \uparrow^{A_{10}} .e_0$, the remaining three1^{*} must be in $L_5(P_{1_{A_{10}}})$ and the structure of $P_{1_{A_{10}}}$ is as claimed in Theorem 1.

7. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Richard Parker for explaining the use of the MeatAxe and thank Juergen Manfred Muller for pointing out the inaccuracies in a previous version of this paper.

Appendix

	1	34	41	84	224	9	36	90	126	279	567
$1 = \chi_1$	1								•	•	•
$35 = \chi_3$	1	1									
$42 = \chi_5$	1	•	1								
$75 = \chi_6$		1	1								
$84 = \chi_7$		•		1							
$160 = \chi_{10}$	1	1	1	1							
$210 = \chi_{11}$	1		1	2							
$224_1 = \chi_{12}$					1						
$224_2 = \chi_{13}$					1						
$300 = \chi_{17}$	1	1	1		1						
$350\chi_{19}$	1	•	1	1	1						
$384_1\chi_{20}$	1	1	1	1	1						
$384_2\chi_{21}$	1	1	1	1	1						
$525 = \chi_{23}$	2	1	1		2						
$9 = \chi_2$		•				1					
$36 = \chi_4$		•					1				
$90 = \chi_8$								1			
$126 = \chi_9$									1		
$225 = \chi_{14}$						1		1	1		
$252 = \chi_{15}$							1	1	1		
$288 = \chi_{16}$		•				1				1	
$315 = \chi_{18}$							1			1	
$450 = \chi_{22}$						1	1		1	1	
$567 = \chi_{24}$		•									1

Decomposition matrix of $A_{10} \mod 3$

Cartan matrix

	1	34	41	84	224	9	36	90	126	279	567
1	13	7	9	6	8						
34	7	7	6	3	5						
41	9	6	9	6	6						
84	6	3	6	9	3						
224	8	5	6	3	10						
9						4	1	1	2	2	
36						1	4	1	2	2	
90						1	1	3	2		
126						2	2	2	4	1	
279						2	2	1	3		
567						•		•		•	1

	1	34	41	84	224	9	36	90	126	279	567
1	•	1	1	1	1	•					
34	1		1		1						
41	1	1		1	1						
84	1		1	1							
224	1	1	1		1						
9									1	1	
36									1	1	
90									1		
126						1	1	1			
279						1	1				
567	•				•	•			•	•	•

 $\dim_F \operatorname{Ext}^1_{A_{10}}(S,T)$ for S,T simple

References

- (2013). GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.2,. The GAP Group.
 Benson, D. (1983a). The Loewy structure of the projective indecomposable modules for A₈ in characteristic 2. Comm. Algebra, 11:1395–1432.
- Benson, D. (1983b). The Loewy structure of the projective indecomposable modules for A_9 in characteristic 2. Comm. Algebra, 11:1433–1453.
- Benson, D. (1984). *Modular Representation Theory: New Trends and Methods*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag.
- Benson, D. and Carlson, J. (1987). Diagram methods for modular representations and cohomology. *Comm. Algebra*, 15:53–121.
- James, G. and Kerber, A. (1981). The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group, volume 16 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Landrock, P. (1983). Finite group algebras and their modules, volume 84 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes. Cambridge University Press.
- Scopes, J. (1988). The Loewy structure of the projective indecomposable modules of A_6, A_7 and A_8 in characteristic three. Dissertation (Oxford University).
- Siegel, S. (1991). Projective modules for A_9 in characteristic three. Comm. Algebra, 19:3099–3117.