

WEAKLY COMPACT COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON SPACES OF LIPSCHITZ FUNCTIONS

A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS

ABSTRACT. Let X be a pointed compact metric space. Assuming that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ has the uniform separation property, we prove that every weakly compact composition operator on spaces of Lipschitz functions $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ and $\text{lip}_0(X)$ is compact.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *composition operator* C_ϕ on a function space $F(X)$ over a set X is a linear operator from $F(X)$ into itself defined by $C_\phi(f) = f \circ \phi$, where ϕ is a map from X into X called the *symbol* of C_ϕ . Boundedness and compactness of operators C_ϕ have been intensively studied in terms of the properties of ϕ for different function spaces. See the monograph by Singh and Manhas [7] and the references therein for a comprehensive treatment of this subject.

Our aim in this paper is to study weakly compact composition operators on spaces of Lipschitz functions. Let X and Y be metric spaces. We use the letter d to denote the distance in any metric space. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *Lipschitz* if

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\} < \infty;$$

and *supercontractive* if

$$\lim_{d(x, y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)} = 0,$$

meaning that the following property holds:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0: x, y \in X, 0 < d(x, y) < \delta \Rightarrow \frac{d(f(x), f(y))}{d(x, y)} < \varepsilon.$$

Constant maps are Lipschitz and supercontractive but, for example, the identity function on \mathbb{R} is Lipschitz, but not supercontractive; whereas the function $n \mapsto n^2$ on \mathbb{N} is supercontractive, but not Lipschitz. A supercontractive Lipschitz function is often called a *little Lipschitz function*.

Let X be a pointed compact metric space with a base point which we always will denote by 0 , and let \mathbb{K} be the field of real or complex numbers. The *Lipschitz space* $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ is the Banach space of all Lipschitz functions $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ for which $f(0) = 0$, endowed with the Lipschitz norm

$$\text{Lip}_d(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\},$$

and the *little Lipschitz space* $\text{lip}_0(X)$ is the closed subspace of $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ formed by all little Lipschitz functions. These spaces have been largely investigated along the time. We refer the reader to Weaver's book [9] for a complete study on them.

There are lip_0 spaces containing only the zero function as, for instance, $\text{lip}_0[0, 1]$ with the usual metric, but there exist also some large classes of lip_0 spaces which separate points, even uniformly, in the sense

Date: March 23, 2018.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B33; 47B07; 26A16.

Key words and phrases. Composition operator; weakly compact operator; Lipschitz function.

introduced by Weaver in [9, Definition 3.2.1] as follows. Given a pointed compact metric space X , it is said that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ *separates points uniformly* if there exists a constant $a > 1$ such that, for every $x, y \in X$, there exists $f \in \text{lip}_0(X)$ with $\text{Lip}_d(f) \leq a$ such that $f(x) = d(x, y)$ and $f(y) = 0$. This happens, for example, when X is *uniformly discrete*, meaning that $d(x, y) \geq \delta$ for all $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, for some $\delta > 0$; or when X is the middle-thirds Cantor set with the metric inherited from $[0, 1]$. Also, $\text{lip}_0(X^\alpha)$ has the uniform separation property, where $X^\alpha = (X, d^\alpha)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$ (see [9, Proposition 3.2.2]). Lipschitz functions on X^α are called *Hölder functions of exponent α* . It is worth to point out that for any pointed compact metric space X , there exists a pointed compact metric space Y such that $\text{lip}_0(Y)$ has the uniform separation property and $\text{lip}_0(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{lip}_0(Y)$ (see [9, Corollary 4.4.9]).

The composition operators on spaces of Lipschitz functions have been studied by some authors. Weaver characterized in [9, Proposition 1.8.2] the boundedness of composition operators C_ϕ on $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ by means of the Lipschitz condition of their symbols, when X is a pointed complete metric space. The completeness on X is not restrictive in view of [9, Proposition 1.2.3]. Assuming that X is a compact metric space, Kamowitz and Scheinberg [6] gave a complete description of compact composition operators C_ϕ on both Banach spaces $\text{Lip}(X)$ of scalar-valued Lipschitz functions on X with the norm $\max\{\text{Lip}_d, \|\cdot\|_\infty\}$ and Banach spaces $\text{lip}(X, d^\alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) of scalar-valued little Lipschitz functions on X^α with the norm $\max\{\text{Lip}_{d^\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_\infty\}$, in terms of the supercontractive property of their symbols. For pointed metric spaces X , not necessarily compact, this characterization was extended in [5] to both spaces $\text{lip}_0(X)$ satisfying the uniform separation property on bounded subsets of X and spaces $\text{Lip}_0(X)$. In [3], Botelho and Jamison provided a characterization of compact weighted composition operators on spaces of vector valued Lipschitz functions.

Our aim in this paper is to prove that every weakly compact composition operator C_ϕ on both $\text{lip}_0(X)$ and $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ is compact provided that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ has the uniform separation property. The key tool to prove this result is the fact, stated in [9, Corollary 3.3.5], that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ has the uniform separation property if and only if $\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Lip}_0(X)$.

2. THE RESULTS

We prepare the proof of our main result by stating first a new characterization of supercontractive functions. Given a metric space X , for $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball in X of center x and radius r . See [4] for an analogous characterization of Lipschitz functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a pointed compact metric space and let $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous map. If ϕ is not supercontractive, then there exist a real number $\varepsilon > 0$, two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X converging to a point $x_0 \in X$ such that $0 < d(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$ and $\varepsilon < d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))/d(x_n, y_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a function $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ such that $f(\phi(x_n)) = d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))$ and $f(\phi(y_n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Since ϕ is not supercontractive, we can take a real number $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ in X such that $0 < d(p_n, q_n) < 1/n$ and $\varepsilon < d(\phi(p_n), \phi(q_n))/d(p_n, q_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that $\phi(p_n) \neq \phi(q_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X is compact, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may suppose that $\{p_n\}$ converges to $x_0 \in X$. Since $d(q_n, x_0) \leq d(q_n, p_n) + d(p_n, x_0) \leq 1/n + d(p_n, x_0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{q_n\}$ also converges to x_0 .

We will construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X converging to x_0 such that

$$0 < d(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad \varepsilon < \frac{d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d(x_n, y_n)}, \quad d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0))$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition, we will prove that there exists a sequence of pairwise disjoint open balls $\{B(\phi(x_n), r_n)\}$ such that $\phi(y_n) \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\phi(x_j), r_j)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. To this end, we consider the sets

$$A = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: \phi(p_n) = \phi(x_0)\}, \quad B = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: \phi(q_n) = \phi(x_0)\}$$

and distinguish two cases.

Case 1. Suppose that A or B are infinite. If A is infinite, then there exists a strictly increasing map $\sigma: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi(p_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that $\phi(q_{\sigma(n)}) \neq \phi(p_{\sigma(n)}) = \phi(x_0)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi(q_{\sigma(n)}) \rightarrow \phi(x_0)$. Hence there is a subsequence $\{q_{\sigma(v(n))}\}$ such that $d(\phi(q_{\sigma(v(n+1))}), \phi(x_0)) < (1/3)d(\phi(q_{\sigma(v(n))}), \phi(x_0))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $x_n = q_{\sigma(v(n))}$ and $y_n = p_{\sigma(v(n))}$. Then we have

$$0 < d(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{\sigma(v(n))} \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad \varepsilon < \frac{d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d(x_n, y_n)}, \quad d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0)) = 0 < d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0)).$$

Moreover, $d(\phi(x_{n+1}), \phi(x_0)) < (1/3)d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set

$$r_n = \frac{1}{2} \min \{d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0)), d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\phi(y_n) = \phi(x_0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $r_n = d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0))/2$. Note that if $n < m$, then $r_m < r_n/3$ and $d(x, \phi(x_0)) < 3r_m < r_n$ for any $x \in B(\phi(x_m), r_m)$. This implies that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $m > n$, we have $B(\phi(x_m), r_m) \subset B(\phi(x_0), r_n)$. As $B(\phi(x_n), r_n) \cap B(\phi(x_0), r_n) = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that the balls $B(\phi(x_n), r_n)$ are pairwise disjoint and $\phi(y_n) = \phi(x_0) \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\phi(x_j), r_j)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ satisfy the required conditions. The same argument works if B is infinite.

Case 2. Suppose that A and B are both finite. Let $r = \max(A \cup B)$. Note that $\phi(p_{n+r}) \neq \phi(x_0)$ and $\phi(q_{n+r}) \neq \phi(x_0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the sequences $\{t_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ by

$$t_n = \begin{cases} p_{n+r} & \text{if } d(\phi(q_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(p_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)), \\ q_{n+r} & \text{if } d(\phi(p_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)) < d(\phi(q_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)), \end{cases}$$

$$s_n = \begin{cases} q_{n+r} & \text{if } d(\phi(q_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(p_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)), \\ p_{n+r} & \text{if } d(\phi(p_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)) < d(\phi(q_{n+r}), \phi(x_0)). \end{cases}$$

Note that $d(\phi(s_n), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(t_n), \phi(x_0))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\{t_n\}$ converges to x_0 , take a subsequence $\{t_{\sigma(n)}\}$ for which

$$d(\phi(t_{\sigma(n+1)}), \phi(x_0)) < \frac{1}{3} \min \{d(\phi(s_{\sigma(n)}), \phi(x_0)), d(\phi(t_{\sigma(n)}), \phi(s_{\sigma(n)}))\}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_n = t_{\sigma(n)}$ and $y_n = s_{\sigma(n)}$. Then

$$0 < d(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{\sigma(n) + r} < \frac{1}{\sigma(n)} \leq \frac{1}{n}, \quad \varepsilon < \frac{d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d(x_n, y_n)}, \quad d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0))$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, a straightforward induction yields that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $m > n$,

$$d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0)) < \frac{1}{3} \min \{d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0)), d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))\}.$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, take

$$r_n = \frac{1}{2} \min \{d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0)), d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))\}.$$

Fix $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$. As $d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0)) < d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0))/3 \leq d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x_0))/3$ and $d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0)) < d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))/3$, we have $d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0)) < 2r_n/3$. Also, $r_m \leq d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0))/2 < r_n/3$ and it is easy to check that $B(\phi(x_m), r_m) \subset B(\phi(x_0), r_n)$. Since $B(\phi(x_n), r_n) \cap B(\phi(x_0), r_n) = \emptyset$, it follows that $B(\phi(x_n), r_n) \cap B(\phi(x_m), r_m) = \emptyset$. Moreover, as $d(\phi(y_m), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0)) < 2r_n/3$, it is clear that $\phi(y_m) \notin B(\phi(x_n), r_n)$. Finally, from the inequalities

$$r_m \leq \frac{d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0))}{2} < \frac{d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0))}{6} < d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0)) - \frac{d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0))}{3}$$

$$< d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_0)) - d(\phi(x_m), \phi(x_0)) \leq d(\phi(y_n), \phi(x_m)),$$

we infer that $\phi(y_n) \notin B(\phi(x_m), r_m)$. Then we can conclude that the balls $B(\phi(x_n), r_n)$ are pairwise disjoint and $\phi(y_n) \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\phi(x_j), r_j)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally, we prove that there exists a function $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ such that $f(\phi(x_n)) = d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))$ and $f(\phi(y_n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, for each n , let

$$h_n(x) = \max \left\{ 0, 1 - \frac{d(x, \phi(x_n))}{r_n} \right\}.$$

Notice that h_n is Lipschitz with $\text{Lip}_d(h_n) \leq 1/r_n$, $h_n(\phi(x_n)) = 1$ and $h_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X \setminus B(\phi(x_n), r_n)$ (see [8, Lemma 2.1]). Define $g: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n)) h_n(x).$$

Observe that $g(x) = 0$ for any $x \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\phi(x_j), r_j)$ and so $g(\phi(y_n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As the balls $B(\phi(x_n), r_n)$ are pairwise disjoint, if $x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B(\phi(x_j), r_j)$, then $g(x) = d(\phi(x_m), \phi(y_m)) h_m(x)$ for some fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending only on x) and, in particular, $g(\phi(x_n)) = d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, as $d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n)) \leq 2d(\phi(x_n), \phi(x))$, we have that $d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n)) \leq 4r_n$, hence it must be that $\text{Lip}_d(d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n)) h_n) \leq 4$ and so g is Lipschitz.

Finally, if $\phi(x_0) = 0$, then $g(\phi(y_n)) \rightarrow g(0)$ by the continuity of ϕ and g , but since $g(\phi(y_n)) = 0$ for all n , it follows that $g(0) = 0$ and so $g \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$. Hence we can take $f = g$ and the lemma follows. Otherwise, if $\phi(x_0) \neq 0$, take $\varepsilon = d(\phi(x_0), 0)/2 > 0$. Since $\{\phi(x_n)\}$ converges to $\phi(x_0)$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon \leq d(\phi(x_{n+m}), 0)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the sequences $\{x_{n+m}\}$ and $\{y_{n+m}\}$ and the function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$f(x) = \left(1 - \max \left\{ 0, 1 - \frac{d(x, 0)}{\varepsilon} \right\} \right) g(x),$$

satisfy the required conditions in the lemma. \square

A second tool that we will use to prove the main result is the fact that the Arens product on $\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ coincides with the pointwise product on $\text{lip}_0(X)^*$ whenever $\text{lip}_0(X)$ separates points uniformly (see [2, Theorem 3.8] for the case $\text{lip}(X^\alpha)$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$).

Let A be a commutative Banach algebra. The Arens product on A^{**} is defined in stages as follows (see [1]). For any $a, b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $F, G \in A^{**}$, define

$$(f \diamond a)(b) = f(ab), \quad (F \diamond f)(a) = F(f \diamond a), \quad (F \diamond G)(f) = F(G \diamond f).$$

Then A^{**} is a Banach algebra under this product and it is denoted by (A^{**}, \diamond) . The algebra A is said to be Arens regular if the algebra (A^{**}, \diamond) is commutative.

By [9, Theorems 3.3.3 and 2.2.2], the space $\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ provided that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ separates points uniformly, under the isometric isomorphism $\Phi: \text{lip}_0(X)^{**} \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$ defined by

$$(1) \quad \Phi(F)(x) = F(\delta_x) \quad (F \in \text{lip}_0(X)^{**}, x \in X).$$

In fact, this identification is the most natural since

$$(2) \quad \Phi(Q_X(f))(x) = (Q_X(f))(\delta_x) = \delta_x(f) = f(x) \quad (f \in \text{lip}_0(X), x \in X),$$

where Q_X denotes the canonical injection from $\text{lip}_0(X)$ into $\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$. We now can prove the following.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a pointed compact metric space and assume that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ separates points uniformly. Then the Arens product on $\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ coincides with the pointwise product on $\text{lip}_0(X)^*$, and so $\text{lip}_0(X)$ is Arens regular.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$, $f, g \in \text{lip}_0(X)$ and $F, G \in \text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$. First, we have

$$(\delta_x \diamond f)(g) = \delta_x(fg) = f(x)g(x) = f(x)\delta_x(g),$$

and so $\delta_x \diamond f = f(x)\delta_x$. Now, using (1), we compute

$$(G \diamond \delta_x)(f) = G(\delta_x \diamond f) = G(f(x)\delta_x) = f(x)G(\delta_x) = G(\delta_x)\delta_x(f),$$

and so $G \diamond \delta_x = G(\delta_x)\delta_x$. Finally, we have

$$(F \diamond G)(\delta_x) = F(G \diamond \delta_x) = F(G(\delta_x)\delta_x) = F(\delta_x)G(\delta_x) = (FG)(\delta_x).$$

Since the closed linear hull of the set $\{\delta_x : x \in X\}$ in $\text{Lip}_0(X)^*$ coincides with $\text{lip}_0(X)^*$ by [9, Theorem 3.3.3], we infer that $(F \diamond G)(\gamma) = (FG)(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \text{lip}_0(X)^*$, and so $F \diamond G = FG$, as desired. \square

We now are ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a pointed compact metric space and let $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ be a base point-preserving Lipschitz map. Suppose that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ separates points uniformly. Then every weakly compact operator $C_\phi : \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$ is compact.*

Proof. By Gantmacher's Theorem, the operator $C_\phi : \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$ is weakly compact if and only if $C_\phi^{**}(\text{lip}_0(X)^{**})$ is contained in $Q_X(\text{lip}_0(X))$.

We claim that this inclusion means that $C_\phi(\text{Lip}_0(X))$ is contained in $\text{lip}_0(X)$. To prove this, consider $\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ as a Banach algebra with the Arens product \diamond . Since C_ϕ is an algebra endomorphism of $\text{lip}_0(X)$, then C_ϕ^{**} is an algebra endomorphism of $(\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}, \diamond)$. Indeed, for any $F, G \in \text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ and $\gamma \in \text{lip}_0(X)^*$, it is clear that $C_\phi^{**}(F)(\gamma) = (F \circ C_\phi^*)(\gamma) = F(\gamma \circ C_\phi)$, and, by applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_\phi^{**}(F \diamond G)(\gamma) &= (F \diamond G)(\gamma \circ C_\phi) = (FG)(\gamma \circ C_\phi) = F(\gamma \circ C_\phi)G(\gamma \circ C_\phi) \\ &= C_\phi^{**}(F)(\gamma)C_\phi^{**}(G)(\gamma) = (C_\phi^{**}(F)C_\phi^{**}(G))(\gamma) = (C_\phi^{**}(F) \diamond C_\phi^{**}(G))(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

Note also that Φ is an algebra homomorphism from $(\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}, \diamond)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ since

$$\Phi(F \diamond G)(x) = (F \diamond G)(\delta_x) = (FG)(\delta_x) = F(\delta_x)G(\delta_x) = \Phi(F)(x)\Phi(G)(x) = (\Phi(F)\Phi(G))(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. It follows that $\Phi C_\phi^{**} \Phi^{-1}$ is an algebra endomorphism of $\text{lip}_0(X)$. By [9, Corollary 4.5.6], $\Phi C_\phi^{**} \Phi^{-1}$ is of the form C_φ for some base point-preserving Lipschitz map $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$. Using the equalities (2) and $Q_X C_\phi = C_\phi^{**} Q_X$, we infer that

$$C_\phi(f) = \Phi(Q_X(C_\phi(f))) = \Phi(C_\phi^{**}(Q_X(f))) = C_\varphi(\Phi(Q_X(f))) = C_\varphi(f)$$

for all $f \in \text{lip}_0(X)$. Hence $C_\phi = C_\varphi$ on $\text{lip}_0(X)$, which implies $\phi = \varphi$ since $\text{lip}_0(X)$ separates points. It follows that

$$C_\phi^{**}(\text{lip}_0(X)^{**}) \subset Q_X(\text{lip}_0(X)) \Leftrightarrow \Phi C_\phi^{**} \Phi^{-1}(\text{Lip}_0(X)) \subset \text{lip}_0(X) \Leftrightarrow C_\phi(\text{Lip}_0(X)) \subset \text{lip}_0(X),$$

and this proves our claim. Hence $C_\phi : \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$ is weakly compact if and only if $f \circ \phi \in \text{lip}_0(X)$ for all $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$.

Assume now that $C_\phi : \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$ is not compact. Then ϕ is not supercontractive by the version of [5, Theorem 1.3] for lip_0 spaces (see [6] for the case $\text{lip}(X^\alpha)$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$). By applying Lemma 2.1, there exist a real number $\varepsilon > 0$, two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $0 < d(x_n, y_n) < 1/n$ and $\varepsilon < d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))/d(x_n, y_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ such that $f(\phi(x_n)) = d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))$ and $f(\phi(y_n)) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\frac{|(f \circ \phi)(x_n) - (f \circ \phi)(y_n)|}{d(x_n, y_n)} = \frac{d(\phi(x_n), \phi(y_n))}{d(x_n, y_n)} > \varepsilon$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we infer that $f \circ \phi$ is not in $\text{lip}_0(X)$ and thus $C_\phi : \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$ is not weakly compact. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

From Theorem 2.3 we derive the following.

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a pointed compact metric space and let $\phi : X \rightarrow X$ be a base point-preserving Lipschitz map. Suppose that $\text{lip}_0(X)$ separates points uniformly. Then every weakly compact operator $C_\phi : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$ is compact.*

Proof. Assume that $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$ is weakly compact. Hence $\Phi^{-1}C_\phi\Phi: \text{lip}_0(X)^{**} \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ is weakly compact. Given $F \in \text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi^{-1}C_\phi\Phi)(F)(\delta_x) &= ((C_\phi\Phi)(F))(x) = C_\phi(\Phi(F))(x) = \Phi(F)(\phi(x)) \\ &= F(\delta_{\phi(x)}) = F(\delta_x \circ C_\phi) = (F \circ C_\phi^*)(\delta_x) = C_\phi^{**}(F)(\delta_x). \end{aligned}$$

Since linear combinations of elements of the form δ_x are dense in $\text{lip}_0(X)^*$, it follows that $\Phi^{-1}C_\phi\Phi = C_\phi^{**}$. Hence $C_\phi^{**}: \text{lip}_0(X)^{**} \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)^{**}$ is weakly compact and so also is $C_\phi: \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$. Then, by Theorem 2.3, $C_\phi: \text{lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{lip}_0(X)$ is compact. Hence ϕ is supercontractive by the version of [5, Theorem 1.3] for lip_0 spaces, and finally $C_\phi: \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$ is compact by [5, Theorem 1.2]. \square

Remark 2.1. *We must point out that analogous versions of the preceding results can be established for composition operators C_ϕ from $\text{lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{lip}_0(Y)$ and from $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ to $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$. Moreover, every space $\text{Lip}(X)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a certain space $\text{Lip}_0(X_0)$ by [9, Theorem 1.7.2], and the same isometric isomorphism identifies also the spaces $\text{lip}(X)$ and $\text{lip}_0(X_0)$ (see [9, p. 74]). Using these identifications, it is easy to show that Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.4 hold also for composition operators from $\text{lip}(X)$ to $\text{lip}(Y)$ and from $\text{Lip}(X)$ to $\text{Lip}(Y)$.*

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Nik Weaver for his valuable help in a first draft of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. R. F. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1951), 839–848.
2. W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis, Jr. and H. G. Dales, Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc., **55** (3) (1987), 359–377.
3. F. Botelho and J. Jamison, Composition operators on spaces of Lipschitz functions, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **77**, no. 3-4, (2011), 621–632.
4. A. Jiménez-Vargas, Kristopher Lee, Aaron Luttmann and Moiss Villegas-Vallecillos, Generalized weak peripheral multiplicativity in algebras of Lipschitz functions, Cent. Eur. J. Math., **11**, (7) (2013), 1197–1211.
5. A. Jiménez-Vargas and Moisés Villegas-Vallecillos, Compact composition operators on noncompact Lipschitz spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **398**, no. 1, (2013), 221–229.
6. H. Kamowitz and S. Scheinberg, Some properties of endomorphisms of Lipschitz algebras, Studia Math., **96** (1990), 61–67.
7. R. K. Singh and J. S. Manhas, Composition operators on spaces of functions, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, vol. 179, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993.
8. Jiménez-Vargas A., Luttmann A., Villegas-Vallecillos M., Weakly peripherally multiplicative surjections of pointed Lipschitz algebras, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **40** (2010), 1903–1922
9. N. Weaver, Lipschitz algebras, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, NJ, 1999.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA Y ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE ALMERÍA, 04120 ALMERÍA, SPAIN
E-mail address: ajimenez@ual.es