

# SELF-SHRINKERS WITH SECOND FUNDAMENTAL FORM OF CONSTANT LENGTH

QIANG GUANG

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give a new and simple proof of a result in [DX11] which states that any smooth complete self-shrinker in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with second fundamental form of constant length must be a generalized cylinder  $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{2-k}$  for some  $k \leq 2$ . Moreover, we prove a gap theorem for smooth self-shrinkers in all dimensions.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

A one-parameter family of hypersurfaces  $M_t \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  flows by mean curvature if

$$(0.1) \quad \partial_t x = -H\mathbf{n},$$

where  $H$  is the mean curvature,  $\mathbf{n}$  is the outward pointing unit normal and  $x$  is the position vector.

We call a hypersurface  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  a *self-shrinker* if it satisfies

$$(0.2) \quad H = \frac{\langle x, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{2}.$$

Under the mean curvature flow (“MCF”),  $\Sigma$  is just moving by rescalings, i.e.,  $\Sigma_t \equiv \sqrt{-t}\Sigma$  gives a MCF.

Self-shrinkers play a key role in the study of MCF. By Huisken’s monotonicity formula [Hui90] and an argument of Ilmanen [Ilm97] and White, self-shrinkers provide all singularity models of the MCF. Although there are infinitely many of them, we only know few embedded complete examples, see [Ang92], [Cho94], [KM10], [Mø11] and [Ngu09]. Moreover, numerical results showed that it is impossible to give a complete classification of self-shrinkers in higher dimensions. However, under certain conditions, there are many classification results of self-shrinkers. In [CM12], Colding and Minicozzi proved that the only smooth complete embedded self-shrinkers with polynomial volume growth and  $H \geq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  are generalized cylinders  $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  (where the  $\mathbb{S}^k$  has radius  $\sqrt{2k}$ ). A natural question is under what other conditions can we conclude that a self-shrinker is a generalized cylinder. We are interested in those conditions involving the squared norm of the second fundamental form, i.e.,  $|A|^2$ . First, we consider the following question.

**Conjecture 0.1.**  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth complete embedded self-shrinker with polynomial volume growth. If  $|A|^2 = \text{constant}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a generalized cylinder.

The case  $n = 1$  follows from a more general result by Abresch and Langer [AL86] stating that the only smooth complete and embedded self-shrinkers in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are the lines and a round circle. In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , i.e.,  $n = 2$ , the above conjecture was proved by Ding and Xin [DX11] using the following identity

$$(0.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}|\nabla A|^2 = |\nabla^2 A|^2 + (1 - |A|^2)|\nabla A|^2 - 3\Xi - \frac{3}{2}|\nabla|A|^2|^2$$

where the operator  $\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \langle \frac{x}{2}, \nabla \cdot \rangle$ ,  $h_{ij}$  is the second fundamental form and  $\Xi = \sum_{i,j,k,l,m} h_{ijk}h_{ijl}h_{km}h_{ml} - 2\sum_{i,j,k,l,m} h_{ijk}h_{klm}h_{im}h_{jl}$ .

In this note, we give a new and simple proof of the above result without heavy computation, more precisely, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.2.** Let  $\Sigma^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth complete embedded self-shrinker with polynomial volume growth. If the second fundamental form of  $\Sigma^2$  is of constant length, i.e.,  $|A|^2 = \text{constant}$ , then  $\Sigma^2$  is a generalized cylinder  $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{2-k}$  for  $k \leq 2$ .

The key idea in the proof is to analyze the point where  $|x|$  achieves its minimum. We mention that our method does not apply to higher dimensions to prove the Conjecture 0.1.

For self-shrinkers, there exists some gap phenomenon for the squared norm of the second fundamental form. Cao and Li [CL13] proved that any smooth complete self-shrinker with polynomial volume growth and  $|A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$  in arbitrary codimension is a generalized cylinder. Colding, Ilmanen and Minicozzi [CIM13] showed that generalized cylinders are rigid in a strong sense that any self-shrinker which is sufficiently close to one of generalized cylinders on a large and compact set must itself be a generalized cylinder. Using this result we prove that any self-shrinker with  $|A|^2$  sufficiently close to  $\frac{1}{2}$  must also be a generalized cylinder.

**Theorem 0.3.** Given  $n$  and  $\lambda_0$ , there exists  $\delta = \delta(n, \lambda_0) > 0$  so that if  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth embedded self-shrinker with entropy  $\lambda(\Sigma) \leq \lambda_0$  and

- $|A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ ,

then  $\Sigma^n$  is a generalized cylinder  $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for some  $k \leq n$ .

**Remark 0.4.** It is expected that we could remove the entropy bound in Theorem 0.3 in dimensional two case. In other words, the bound for  $|A|^2$  may imply the entropy bound in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Note that in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , if  $\Sigma^2$  is a closed self-shrinker with  $|A|^2 \leq C$ , where  $C$  is a constant less than 1. Then by *Gauss-Bonnet Formula*, one can easily get that the genus of  $\Sigma^2$  is 0 and therefore obtain an entropy bound for  $\Sigma^2$ .

## 1. BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some background and preliminaries for self-shrinkers from [CM12]. Throughout this note, we always assume self-shrinkers to be smooth complete embedded, without boundary and with polynomial volume growth.

Let  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a hypersurface, then  $\Delta$ ,  $\text{div}$ , and  $\nabla$  are the Laplacian, divergence, and gradient, respectively, on  $\Sigma$ .  $\mathbf{n}$  is the outward unit normal,  $H = \text{div}_\Sigma \mathbf{n}$  is the mean curvature,  $A$  is the second fundamental form, and  $x$  is the position vector. With this convention, the mean curvature  $H$  is  $n/r$  on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  of radius  $r$ .

First, recall the operators  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $L$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L} = \Delta - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla \cdot \rangle,$$

$$(1.2) \quad L = \Delta - \frac{1}{2}\langle x, \nabla \cdot \rangle + |A|^2 + \frac{1}{2}.$$

**Lemma 1.1** ([CM12]). If  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a smooth self-shrinker, then

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{L}|x|^2 = 2n - |x|^2,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{L}H^2 = 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - |A|^2\right)H^2 + 2|\nabla H|^2,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{L}|A|^2 = 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - |A|^2\right)|A|^2 + 2|\nabla A|^2.$$

A direct consequence of Lemma 1.1 is the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a smooth self-shrinker. If  $|A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a generalized cylinder  $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Moreover, if  $|A|^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a hyperplane.

Next, we introduce the concepts of the  $F$ -functional and the entropy of a hypersurface.

**Definition 1.3.** For  $t_0 > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , the  $F$ -functional  $F_{x_0, t_0}$  of a hypersurface  $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is defined as

$$(1.6) \quad F_{x_0, t_0}(M) = (4\pi t_0)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_M e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t_0}},$$

and the entropy of  $M$  is given by

$$(1.7) \quad \lambda(M) = \sup_{x_0, t_0} F_{x_0, t_0}(M),$$

here the supremum is taking over all  $t_0 > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.2

*Proof.* By Corollary 1.2, if  $|A|^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\Sigma$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Therefore, in the following we only consider the case when  $|A|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

For any point  $p \in \Sigma$ , we can choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, e_2\}$  such that the coefficients of the second fundamental form  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad |\nabla A|^2 = h_{111}^2 + h_{222}^2 + 3h_{112}^2 + 3h_{221}^2 = |A|^2(|A|^2 - \frac{1}{2}),$$

$$(2.2) \quad |\nabla H|^2 = (h_{111} + h_{221})^2 + (h_{112} + h_{222})^2.$$

Since  $|A|^2 = \text{constant}$ , we have

$$(2.3) \quad h_{11}h_{111} + h_{22}h_{221} = h_{11}h_{112} + h_{22}h_{222} = 0.$$

First, we prove  $|x| > 0$  on  $\Sigma$ . We argue by contraction. Suppose  $\Sigma$  goes through the origin, then at the origin, we have  $H = |\nabla H| = 0$ , therefore

$$h_{11} + h_{22} = h_{111} + h_{221} = h_{112} + h_{222} = 0.$$

Combining this with (2.3), we get

$$h_{111} = h_{222} = h_{112} = h_{221} = 0.$$

This implies that  $|\nabla A|^2 = |A|^2(|A|^2 - \frac{1}{2}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $|A|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ . By Corollary 1.2, we conclude that  $\Sigma$  is  $\mathbb{S}^2$  or  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ . However, this contradicts the assumption that  $\Sigma$  goes through the origin.

Note that  $\Sigma$  has polynomial volume growth implies that  $\Sigma$  is proper (see Theorem 4.1 in [CZ13]) and by the maximum principle  $\Sigma$  intersects  $\mathbb{S}^2(2)$ , so there exists a point  $p \in \Sigma$  minimize  $|x|$ .

Now, at point  $p$ , we have  $|x| > 0$  and  $x^T = 0$ , where  $x^T$  is the tangential projection of  $x$ . This implies that  $4H^2(p) = |x|^2(p)$ ,  $\nabla H(p) = 0$ , and thus

$$h_{111} + h_{221} = h_{112} + h_{222} = 0,$$

By (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad h_{111}(h_{11} - h_{22}) = h_{222}(h_{11} - h_{22}) = 0.$$

If  $h_{111} = h_{222} = 0$ , then  $|\nabla A|^2 = 0$  and therefore  $|A|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ . By Corollary 1.2, we conclude that  $\Sigma$  is a generalized cylinder.

If  $h_{11} = h_{22}$ , then we have

$$(2.5) \quad |A|^2 = 2h_{11}^2 = \frac{H^2(p)}{2} = \frac{|x|^2(p)}{8}.$$

Since every smooth complete self-shrinker must intersect the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^2(2)$ , we conclude that  $|x|(p) \leq 2$ . By (2.5), this gives

$$|A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

then the theorem follows immediately from Corollary 1.2. □

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.3

First, we state two key ingredients from [CIM13]. The first one is the rigidity theorem for the generalized cylinders and the second one is the compactness theorem for self-shrinkers.

**Theorem 3.1** ([CIM13]). Given  $n, \lambda_0$  and  $C$ , there exists  $R = R(n, \lambda_0, C)$  so that if  $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a self-shrinker with entropy  $\lambda(\Sigma) \leq \lambda_0$  satisfying

- $\Sigma$  is smooth in  $B_R$  with  $H \geq 0$  and  $|A| \leq C$  on  $B_R \cap \Sigma$ ,

then  $\Sigma$  is a generalized cylinder  $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  for some  $k \leq n$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([CIM13]). Let  $\Sigma_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  be a sequence of  $F$ -stationary varifolds with  $\lambda(\Sigma_i) \leq \lambda_0$  and

$$(3.1) \quad B_{R_i} \cap \Sigma_i \text{ is smooth with } |A| \leq C,$$

where  $R_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a subsequence  $\Sigma'_i$  that converges smoothly and with multiplicity one to a complete embedded self-shrinker  $\Sigma$  with  $|A| \leq C$  and

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(\Sigma'_i) = \lambda(\Sigma).$$

**Remark 3.3.** In the above lemma, the entropy bound is used to guarantee that the convergence is with finite multiplicity. Moreover, if the multiplicity is great than one, then the limit is  $L$ -stable. Using the fact that there are no complete  $L$ -stable self-shrinkers with polynomial volume growth gives the multiplicity of the convergence must be one.

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 0.3.

*Proof of Theorem 0.3.* We will argue by contradiction, so suppose there is a sequence of smooth embedded self-shrinkers  $\Sigma_i \neq \mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  ( $k \leq n$ ) with  $\lambda(\Sigma_i) \leq \lambda_0$  and

$$(3.3) \quad |A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{i}.$$

By Lemma 3.2 there exists a subsequence  $\Sigma_i$  (still denoted by  $\Sigma_i$ ) that converges smoothly and with multiplicity one to a complete embedded self-shrinker  $\Sigma$ .

By (3.3), we can conclude that  $\Sigma$  satisfies  $|A|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , and thus,  $\Sigma$  is a generalized cylinder. Now we choose the  $R$  in Theorem 3.1, and for  $N$  sufficiently large,  $\Sigma_m$  is very close to  $\Sigma$  on  $B_{2R} \cap \Sigma_m$  for  $m \geq N$ , i.e.,

$$\Sigma_m \text{ satisfies } H \geq 0 \text{ and } |A| \leq 1 \text{ on } B_R \cap \Sigma_m,$$

then by the rigidity theorem of self-shrinkers, Theorem 3.1,  $\Sigma_m$  is a generalized cylinder. However, this contradicts our assumption that  $\Sigma_i$  is not a generalized cylinder, completing the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [AL86] U. Abresch and J. Langer. The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions. *JDG*, 23(2):175–196, 1986.
- [Ang92] S.B. Angenent. Shrinking doughnuts. In *Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states, 3 (Gregynog, 1989)*, volume 7 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 21–38. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [Cho94] D. Chopp. Computation of self-similar solutions for mean curvature flow. *Experiment. Math.*, 3(1):1–15, 1994.
- [CIM13] T.H. Colding, T. Ilmanen, and W.P. Minicozzi. Rigidity of generic singularities of mean curvature flow. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1304.6356*, 2013.
- [CL13] H. Cao and H. Li. A gap theorem for self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 46(3-4):879–889, 2013.
- [CM12] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi. Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities. *Ann. of Math.*, 175(2):755–833, 2012.
- [CZ13] X. Cheng and D. Zhou. Volume estimate about shrinkers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(2):687–696, 2013.
- [DX11] Q. Ding and Y.L. Xin. The rigidity theorems of self shrinkers. *Trans. A.M.S.*, to appear, *arXiv:1105.4962*, 2011.
- [Hui90] G. Huisken. Asymptotic behavior for singularities of the mean curvature flow. *JDG*, 31(1):285–299, 1990.
- [Ilm97] T. Ilmanen. Singularities of mean curvature flow of surfaces. *preprint*, 1997.
- [KM10] S. Kleene and N.M. Møller. Self-shrinkers with a rotational symmetry. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1008.1609*, 2010.
- [Mø11] N.M. Møller. Closed self-shrinking surfaces in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  via the torus. *arXiv preprint, arXiv:1111.7318*, 2011.
- [Ngu09] X.H. Nguyen. Construction of complete embedded self-similar surfaces under mean curvature flow. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(4):1683–1701, 2009.

*E-mail address:* qguang@math.mit.edu