

ZEROS OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF GAUSS HYPERGEOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

ADDISALEM ABATHUN AND RIKARD BØGVAD

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give results that partially prove a conjecture which was discussed in our previous work [1]. More precisely, we prove that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the zeros of the polynomial

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha n + 1 \\ \alpha n + 2 \end{matrix} ; z \right]$$

cluster on a certain curve defined as a part of a level curve of an explicit harmonic function. This generalizes work by Boggs, Driver, Duren et. al [4–6], to a complex parameter α .

1. INTRODUCTION

The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_A F_B$ with A numerator and B denominator parameters is defined by

$${}_A F_B \left[\begin{matrix} (a)_A \\ (b)_B \end{matrix} ; z \right] = {}_A F_B \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_A \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_B \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{j=A} (a_j)_k z^k}{\prod_{j=1}^{j=B} (b_j)_k k!} \quad (1.1)$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $1 \leq i \leq A$, $1 \leq j \leq B$ and

$(\alpha)_k = \alpha(\alpha + 1) \dots (\alpha + k - 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + k)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$ is the Pochhammer symbol. If any of the numerator parameters is a negative integer, say $a_1 = -n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the series terminates and reduces to a polynomial of degree n , called a *generalized hypergeometric polynomial*. We are, in this note, interested in the asymptotic zero distribution of the polynomial coming from an ordinary hypergeometric polynomial

$$p_n(z) = {}_2F_1(-n, a_2(n); b_1(n); z) \quad (1.2)$$

with complex parameters, dependent linearly on n , as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Based on experimental evidence and results by previous authors, we made in [1] a conjectures on the asymptotic behaviour of zeros of a certain class of such hypergeometric polynomials. The purpose of this note is to partially prove this conjecture.

Our result extends results by earlier authors. We will describe them.

In 1999, K.Driver and P.Duren studied the zeros of the hypergeometric polynomial $F(-n, kn + 1; kn + 2; z)$ for integers $k, n > 0$. They used the Euler integral representation together with a general theorem of Borwein and Chen and showed the following result.

Date: September 7, 2018.

Theorem 1.1. *Let k and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the zeros of the hypergeometric polynomial*

$$F(-n, kn + 1; kn + 2; z)$$

cluster on the loop of the lemniscate

$$\left\{ z : |z^k(z - 1)| = \frac{k^k}{(k + 1)^{k+1}}; \operatorname{Re}(z) > \frac{k}{k + 1} \right\}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. See, [5, Theorem 1]

□

In 2001, K.Boggs and P.Duren gave the following extension of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.2. *For arbitrary $k > 0$ and $l > 0$ the zeros of the hypergeometric polynomial*

$$F(-n, kn + l + 1; kn + l + 2; z)$$

cluster on the loop of lemniscate

$$|z^k(z - 1)| = \frac{k^k}{(k + 1)^{k+1}} \text{ with } \operatorname{Re}(z) > \frac{k}{k + 1}$$

Proof. See, [5, Theorem 2]

□

In this paper, we continue to study the asymptotic properties of zeros of hypergeometric function $F(-n, kn + 1; kn + 2; z)$ to allow k to be any complex number with non negative real part. We have the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let $\alpha = \eta + i\zeta$ where $\eta > 0$ and $\zeta \neq 0$. The zeros of the hypergeometric polynomials*

$$p_n(z) = {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha n + 1 \\ \alpha n + 2 \end{matrix} ; z \right],$$

asymptotically cluster on the level curve

$$|z^\alpha(1 - z)| = \left| \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \right)^\alpha (\alpha + 1)^{-1} \right|.$$

In [1] it was proved, using indirect methods, that a convergent sequence of zeroes (described as a limit of corresponding measures) has to cluster along a level curve of the function $|z^\alpha(1 - z)|$. This result identifies which level curve. As we will see, it is the unique level curve through the saddle point of the function. As seen from the results quoted, the zeroes will not cluster on the whole level curve. We prove in Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 that there is a certain region, containing the left half-plane that is zero-free. In [2] we also obtain the

density of the zeros. Some pictures of the accumulation of the zeroes may also be found there.

The proof uses, following the references above, Euler integral representation of these hypergeometric polynomials and the saddle point method. For convenience we will give a short description below of the saddle point method and the Euler integral representation of Gauss hypergeometric functions.

2. INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION OF HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION AND THE SADDLE POINT METHOD

2.1. Integral Representation of Hypergeometric function. The Gauss hypergeometric series ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ has the following integral representation due to Euler See [2, Theorem 2.2.1]. If $Re(c) > Re(b) > 0$, then

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-tz)^{-a} dt, \quad (2.1)$$

in the z plane cut along the real axis from 1 to ∞ . Here it is understood that $\arg t = \arg(1-t) = 0$ and $(1-tz)^{-a}$ has its principal value.

Choosing $a = -n$ and $c = b + 1$ and $b = \alpha n + 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for an arbitrary complex number α , $Re(\alpha) > 0$, we get

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha n + 1 \\ \alpha n + 2 \end{matrix} ; z \right] = (\alpha n + 1) \int_0^1 t^{\alpha n} (1-tz)^n dt.$$

2.2. The Saddle Point Method. We use the saddle point method [3, 8] to get an asymptotic expansion for the Euler integral of the hypergeometric polynomial $F(-n, \alpha n + 1; \alpha n + 2; z)$, which will help us to understand the asymptotic distribution of the zeros.

Let γ be a contour in the complex plane and the function ϕ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of this contour. We want to understand the asymptotics, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, of an integral:

$$I_n(z) = \int_{\gamma} e^{n\phi(z)} dz.$$

The idea of the saddle point method is to deform the contour in such a way that the main contribution to the integral comes from a neighborhood of a single point. Consider the derivative $\phi'(z)$ of an analytic function $\phi(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$. It is an immediate consequence of the Cauchy-Riemann equations that the gradient of u is given (as a complex vector) by $\overline{\phi'(z)}$. It is orthogonal to the gradient of v , and hence if $\phi'(z_0) \neq 0$, there is a unique curve through z_0 characterized by both the property that $Im\phi(z) = Im\phi(z_0)$ and that along this curve $Re\phi(z)$ grows fastest. This curve is called a curve of *steepest ascent* (or *descent*, if we reverse the direction).

A saddle point is a point t_0 where $\phi'(t_0) = 0$, and if furthermore $\phi''(t_0) \neq 0$, then it is called a simple saddle point. Then in a small neighborhood of a simple saddle point t_0 the level curve $\text{Im}\phi(z) = \text{Im}\phi(t_0)$ consists of two analytic curves that intersect orthogonally at the point t_0 and separate the neighborhood of t_0 in four sectors. Seen from t_0 there are four curve segments starting at t_0 , and along two of them $\text{Re}\phi(z)$ decreases from $\text{Re}\phi(t_0)$. Call these curves γ_1, γ_2 . We will use a simple form of the saddle point method, which can be found in [3, 7]

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose there is only one simple saddle point t_0 and γ_1 contains the point a and γ_2 contains the point b . Furthermore, we assume that the curves from a to t_0 along γ_1 and from t_0 to b along γ_2 are finite. Then*

$$I_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{-\phi''(t_0)}} n^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{n\phi(t_0)} [1 + O(n^{-1})]$$

3. PROOF THE THEOREM

We are (following [6]) going to prove the theorem by applying the saddle point method to evaluate the asymptotic expansion of the Euler integral of the polynomial

$${}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha n + 1 \\ \alpha n + 2 \end{matrix} ; z \right] = (\alpha n + 1) \int_0^1 t^{\alpha n} (1 - zt)^n dt,$$

where $\alpha = \eta + i\zeta$, $\eta > 0$ and $\zeta \neq 0$. We let

$$p_n = \int_0^1 [g(t)]^n dt,$$

where $g(t) = t^\alpha(1 - zt)$ is a function of the complex variable t , and we have chosen the ordinary branch of the complex logarithm (which specializes to the real logarithm on $[0, 1]$). The multivalued function $g(t)$ vanishes at 0 and $1/z$, and has $t = 0$ as the only branch point.

3.1. The saddlepoint. To find the saddle points consider $\phi(t) = \alpha \log t + \log(1 - zt)$, for the principal branch determination of the logarithm. For each fixed $z \neq 0$, the function ϕ has two branch points, at $t = 0$ and $t = \frac{1}{z}$. Since

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{\alpha - zt(\alpha + 1)}{t(1 - zt)} \quad (3.1)$$

we see that $\phi'(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = t_0 := \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)z}$, this is the only saddle point of ϕ . Since $\phi''(t) = -(1 + \alpha)^2 z^2 / \alpha$, it is a simple saddle point if $\alpha \neq -1$ and $z \neq 0$. (The first condition is true by assumption, and it is easy to see from the description of the hypergeometric polynomials

that $z = 0$ is not a zero of any p_n .) The fact that there is only one saddle point, makes it easy to exploit the local description for some global information. Note that the fact that the derivative ϕ' is defined in the whole \mathbb{C} by (3.1) shows that paths of steepest ascent/descent are unambiguously defined, irrespective of choices of branches of logarithms. This follows since the gradient (considered as a complex number) at t of $Re\phi$ by the Cauchy-Riemann equations is just $\overline{\phi'(t)}$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $t_0 = \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)z}$ as above be the saddle point of $g(t)$, where $\alpha \neq -1$ and $z \neq 0$.*

- i) *There are exactly two paths, of steepest descent, starting at the saddle point t_0 along which $Re\phi(t)$ decreases, one, say γ_1 goes to 0 and the other, say γ_2 , to $\frac{1}{z}$.*
- ii) *There are exactly two paths δ_1 and δ_2 , of steepest ascent, starting at the saddle point t_0 along which $Re\phi(t)$ increases, both going to infinity. Together they split the plane into two (closed) simply-connected regions D_0 and $D_{1/z}$, with the property that if $t \in D_0$, there is a path of steepest descent from t to 0, and correspondingly for $D_{1/z}$.*

Proof. i) By the fact that $\phi''(t_0) = \frac{z^2(\alpha+1)^3}{\alpha} = |\phi''(t_0)|e^{i\beta} \neq 0$, we know the local behavior of $\phi(t)$ at $t = t_0$ (it will behave like βt^2 , $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ around the origin.) We see in particular that there are indeed locally two paths with $Im\phi(t) = Im\phi(z_0)$ along which $Re\phi(t)$ decreases. By the fact that t_0 is the only saddle point, these paths have to end up in either of the two points $0, 1/z$, where $Re\phi(t) = -\infty$ (the paths cannot vanish to infinity since we have that $\alpha = \eta + \zeta i$, with $\eta > 0$, so that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Re\phi(t) = \infty$.) It remains to check that they do not end up in the same point. They cannot intersect, again since there is only one saddle point. By calculating the imaginary part $Im\phi(t)$ around each branch point we find that there is a most one path *starting* at the branch point with constant $Im\phi(t)$. Around $t = 1/z$ the function will behave as $\log w + \delta$, for som $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, and so there will at most be one ray corresponding to constant imaginary value. Around $t = 0$, the function will behave as t^α , with imaginary part $\zeta \log|t| + \eta Arg t$, and this will be constant along a spiral approaching $t = 0$, (since $\zeta \neq 0$ by assumption) with a unique spiral corresponding to a fixed imaginary value. Hence the two paths have to end up at different points.

ii) The second part follows similarly since the two paths cannot intersect themselves or each other, and since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Re\phi(t) = \infty$. \square

There is given $z \in \mathbb{C}$ two cases that may occur: either $1 \in D_0$ or $1 \in D_{1/z}$. Define $E = \{z : 1 \in D_{1/z}\}$. In the case that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in E$ is described by the condition $Rez \geq \alpha/(\alpha + 1)$ (see [6]), but we do not have a good description of it for general α . However we have an indirect description of it in terms of the descent picture of $w^\alpha(1-w)$. As above

this function has a unique simple saddle point at $\alpha/(\alpha + 1)$, and the paths of steepest ascent split the complex plane into two regions \tilde{D}_0 and \tilde{D}_1 , containing the two branch points of the logarithm 0 and 1 respectively.

Lemma 3.2. $E = \tilde{D}_1$.

Proof. We use the notation of the preceding lemma. Now $z^\alpha g(t) = z^\alpha t^\alpha (1 - tz) = w^\alpha (1 - w)$, with $w = zt$ (using a suitable determination of \log). There is then a path of steepest descent from $t = 1$ to $t = 0$, with respect to $g(t)$, if and only if there is a path of steepest descent from $w = z$ to $w = 0$, with respect to $w^\alpha (1 - w)$. In more detail, putting $\psi(w) = \log w^\alpha (1 - w)$, we see that $z\psi'(zt) = \phi'(t)$, so that the tangent vectors of steepest descent paths are related to each other by multiplication by \bar{z} (compare the note just before the previous lemma). So if $\gamma(s)$, $s \in [0, 1]$ is a path from $z = \gamma(1)$ to $\gamma(0) = 0$ such that the tangent $\gamma'(s) = \overline{\psi'(\gamma(s))}$, then $\delta(s) = z^{-1}\gamma(s)$ is a path from 1 to 0, such that $\delta'(s) = z^{-1}\overline{\psi'(z^{-1}\gamma(s))} = |z|^{-2}\overline{\phi'(\delta(s))}$. Clearly δ will then be a parametrization of the path of steepest descent for $g(t)$. Hence $1 \in D_0 \iff z \in \tilde{D}_0$, which proves the lemma. \square

We can see that E^c contains the left half plane, using that $\eta > 0$, where $\alpha = \eta + i\zeta$.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $z = x + iy$ with $x \leq 0$. Then $z \in E^c$.

Proof. It suffices to show that the segment $\gamma(s) = sz$, $s \in [0, 1]$ is an ascending curve relative to the function $\psi(w) = w^\alpha (1 - w)$. For γ to be ascending from 0, it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Re}(\overline{\psi'(\gamma(s))}\gamma'(s)) > 0$, which after some simplifications is equivalent to $E(s) := \eta - 2\eta xs + (-x + \eta(x^2 + y^2))s^2 + (x^2 + y^2)s^3 \geq 0$. This is a degree three curve in the plane and clearly $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} E(s) = \infty$, and it will have at most two stationary points, and between them an inflection point. Now $E''(s) = 0$ has the solution $s = \frac{x - \eta(x^2 + y^2)}{3(x^2 + y^2)} \leq 0$, by assumption. Since furthermore $E'(0) = -2\eta x \geq 0$ and $E(0) = \eta > 0$, $E(s)$ will be strictly increasing with $s \geq 0$. \square

3.2. Zero-free region. We sketch a proof that the complement to E describes a zero-free region.

Proposition 3.4. If $z \notin E$, then z is not the limit of zeroes of $p_n(z)$.

Proof. (sketch) Assume that $z_n \rightarrow z$, is a sequence of zeros $p_n(z) = 0$, where $z \notin E$. Hence $z_n \notin E$ for large enough n , and so there will be paths γ_n of steepest ascent from 0 to 1, and this means, using standard Laplace-type techniques, that the integral

$$0 = \left(\left| \int_0^1 [t^\alpha (1 - tz_n)]^n dt \right| \right)^{1/n},$$

will have limit $|1 - z|$ (compare the proof of Lemma 3.7) which will be non-zero. This is a contradiction. \square

3.3. The first integral. Now assume that $z \in E$. Then there is a path of steepest ascent from $1/z$ to 1, and a path from 0 to $1/z$, first as a path of steepest ascent to the saddle point, and then as a path of steepest descent to $1/z$. We deform the integral accordingly to

$$\int_0^1 [g(t)]^n dt = \int_0^{\frac{1}{z}} [g(t)]^n dt + \int_{\frac{1}{z}}^1 [g(t)]^n dt = I_1 + I_2 \quad (3.2)$$

We will now detail the path from 0 to $1/z$ in the integral. Note that the spiral γ_1 around 0 will intersect the line L between 0 and $1/z$ in an infinite set of points clustering towards 0. Choose an arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$. Start in 0, walk along L a distance at most ϵ , until one of the intersection points w_ϵ with γ_1 is reached. Call this part L_ϵ , and continue along the part γ_ϵ of γ_1 that remains to z_0 and then to $1/z$ along γ_2 . Starting with the principal branch of the logarithm that is defined $]0, 1]$, at $z_0 = \alpha/(\alpha + 1)1/z$ and at $1/z$ (by lemma 3.3), we may analytically continue this one along γ_1 to w_ϵ ; we interpret $t^\alpha := e^{\alpha \log t}$ in this sense. Now if ϵ is small enough, $|1 - zt| < 2$, for $t \in L_\epsilon$, and so there exists $M > 0$ such that $|t^{\alpha n}(1 - zt)^n| < Mt^\eta$, for $t \in L_\epsilon$ (M will depend on which branch of the logarithm we use). As a consequence, using that $\alpha = \eta + \zeta i$, with $\eta > 0$, the integral

$$\left| \int_0^{w_\epsilon} t^{\alpha n} (1 - zt)^n dt \right| \leq \frac{M\epsilon^{\eta+1}}{\eta + 1}. \quad (3.3)$$

The integral between w_ϵ and $1/z$ is the one we will estimate with the saddle point method. Call it I_1 . Note that the paths γ_ϵ and γ_2 are finite.

Now we rewrite the integral as

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{z}} [g(t)]^n dt = \int_0^{\frac{1}{z}} e^{n\phi(t)} dt,$$

where $\phi(z) = \log(t^\alpha(1 - zt))$. Recall that the saddle point was $z_0 = \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha+1)z}$. As a consequence of the description of the paths of steepest descent, and standard techniques we may now deduce the value of the integral from Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $z \neq 0$, and use the notation above. For any $\epsilon > 0$,*

$$\left| \int_0^{\frac{1}{z}} [g(t)]^n dt \right| = g(z_0)^n \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{n|\phi''(t_0)|}} + O(1/n) + \epsilon. \quad (3.4)$$

3.4. **The Second integral.** Now we turn to the second integral

$$I_2(z) = \int_{\frac{1}{z}}^1 [g(t)]^n dt,$$

where $g(t) = t^\alpha(1 - zt)$, and we assume that $z \in E$, so that we have a path of steepest ascent from $1/z$ to 1. In particular, the path will never wind around 0, so we may use the principal value determination of \log for the definition of t^α . We heuristically see that then the value $(1 - z)^n$ at $t = 1$ should dominate the integral asymptotically, and we will also provide details of a proof of this. We start with the following change of variables idea that we have taken from [5].

Letting

$$g(t) = t^\alpha(1 - zt) = s(1 - z), 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

we have that $s = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = \frac{1}{z}$ and $s = 1 \Leftrightarrow t = 1$ and that this definition implicitly defines a path, say Δ , by $t = t(s)$, between $\frac{1}{z}$ and 1.

Lemma 3.6. $\int_{\frac{1}{z}}^1 [g(t)]^n dt = \int_{\Delta} [g(t)]^n dt$

Proof. Since

$$|g(t)| = O(|t|^\eta) \text{ and } \eta > 0,$$

the integral on a circle around 0 goes to 0 as the radius of the circle decreases. Hence we may move the path of integration freely in the complex plane. \square

We have that

$$t^{\alpha-1}(\alpha - (\alpha + 1)zt)dt = (1 - z)ds.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= (1 - z)^n \int_0^1 \frac{t(1 - zt)s^{n-1}}{\alpha - (\alpha + 1)zt} ds = (1 - z)^n K(z) \\ (I_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} &= (1 - z)(K(z))^{\frac{1}{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The calculation of the limit of $(I_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is completed by showing

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |K(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Set

$$f(s) = \frac{t(1 - zt)}{\alpha - (\alpha + 1)zt}$$

so that

$$k(z) = \int_0^1 f(s)s^{n-1} ds.$$

Then (3.6) is a consequence of the following general lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that $f(z)$ is a non zero function analytic in a domain D , containing the unit interval $[0, 1]$ on the real axis. Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^1 f(s) s^{n-1} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1$$

Proof. Let $I(n) = \int_0^1 f(s) s^{n-1} ds$. Since there is a positive number M , $0 < M$ such that $|f(s)| \leq M$ if $s \in [0, 1]$, we have that

$$|I(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq M^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

and so

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq 1.$$

We may assume that $Re f(z)$ is not identically zero on $[0, 1]$, since not both $Re f(z)$ and $Im f(z)$ can be identically zero on $[0, 1]$ and multiplication by i exchanges $Re f(z)$ and $Im f(z)$ and does not change $|I(n)|$. We may also assume that $f(z)$ is not constant, since otherwise the result is immediate. Then $\{z : Re f(z) = 0\}$ has a finite intersection with $[0, 1]$. Let δ be the largest element in this intersection which is strictly less than 1, so that $h(z) := Re f(z)$ is of constant sign in $[\delta, 1)$.

We may assume that $h(s) > 0$ in $[\delta, 1]$, if necessary, by multiplying $f(z)$ with -1 .

Now, $h(s)$ is bounded on $[0, 1]$ so there is $M > 0$ such that $-M \leq h(s) \leq M$. Furthermore, there is to each C :

$$0 < C < \max\{h(s), s \in [\delta, 1]\},$$

an interval $[\delta_2, \delta_1] \subset [\delta, 1)$ such that $\delta_2 \leq s \leq \delta_1 \implies h(s) \geq C$. We may take δ_1 maximal with this properties (if $h(1) \neq 0$, then $\delta_1 = 1$ if C is small enough.)

Now estimate

$$\begin{aligned} Re(I(n)) &\geq \int_0^\delta h(s) s^{n-1} ds + \int_\delta^1 h(s) s^{n-1} ds \geq \int_0^\delta -M s^{n-1} ds + \int_{\delta_2}^{\delta_1} C s^{n-1} ds \\ &= -M \frac{\delta^n}{n} + C \frac{\delta_1^n}{n} - C \frac{\delta_2^n}{n} = \frac{\delta_1^n}{n} \left(-\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta_1}\right)^n M - \left(\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1}\right)^n C + C \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\delta}{\delta_1} < 1$ and $\frac{\delta_2}{\delta_1} < 1$, there exists n_0 such that $n > n_0$

$$Re I(n) \geq \frac{\delta_1^n C}{n} \implies \liminf |I(n)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \delta_1$$

Now, δ_1 depends on the choice of C and clearly $\lim_{C \rightarrow 0} \delta_1 = 1$. Hence the lemma is proved. \square

3.5. Proof of the theorem. A zero z_n of the polynomial $p_n(z)$ in (1.2) satisfies, by (3.2), the equation $I_1 = -I_2$. Supposing that we have a sequence of zeros $z_n \rightarrow z \in E$, we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_2|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |1 - z|,$$

by (3.6) and (3.5). It follows from formula (2.1), that $z = 0$ is not a zero of p_n . Hence Lemma 3.1 and 3.5 apply, and we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_1|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |t_0^\alpha (1 - zt_0)|.$$

Hence

$$\left| \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1} \right)^\alpha (\alpha + 1)^{-1} \right| = |z^\alpha| |1 - z|.$$

(the form of the left hand side is chosen to avoid problems with changing the branch of the logarithm, note that $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}$ is in the right half-plane, as well as z , by Lemma 3.3.) This finishes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] Addisalem Abathun and Rikard Bøgvad, *Asymptotic distribution of zeros of certain class of hypergeometric polynomials*.
- [2] George E. Andrews, Richard Askey, and Ranjan Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR1688958 (2000g:33001)
- [3] M. Carl Andrews Bender and A. Steven Orszag, *Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers i*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 71, Mc Graw-Hill, USA, 1999. MR1688958 (2000g:33001)
- [4] Kathryn Boggs and Peter Duren, *Zeros of hypergeometric functions*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **1** (2001), no. 1, 275–287. MR1931616 (2003k:33003)
- [5] Kathy Driver and Peter Duren, *Asymptotic zero distribution of hypergeometric polynomials*, Numer. Algorithms **21** (1999), no. 1-4, 147–156. Numerical methods for partial differential equations (Marrakech, 1998). MR1725722 (2000k:33015)
- [6] Peter L. Duren and Bertrand J. Guillou, *Asymptotic properties of zeros of hypergeometric polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory **111** (2001), no. 2, 329–343. MR1849553 (2002f:33011)
- [7] Avramidi Ivan, *Lecture notes on asymptotic expansion*, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology.
- [8] N.G.De.Bruijn, *Asymptotic methods in analysis*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1961.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY AND STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: addisaa@math.su.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STOCKHOLM UNIVERSITY

E-mail address: rikard@math.su.se