

# Proper $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions on homogeneous spaces

Maciej Bocheński, Piotr Jastrzębski, Takayuki Okuda and Aleksy Tralle

October 23, 2018

## Abstract

We study the existence problem of proper actions of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  on homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  of reductive type. Based on Kobayashi's properness criterion [Math. Ann. (1989)], we show that  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$  if a maximally split abelian subspace of Lie  $H$  is included in the wall defined by a restricted root of Lie  $G$ . We also give a number of examples of such  $G/H$ .

**Keywords:** proper actions, homogeneous spaces, Lie groups

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 57S30, 22E40

## 1 Introduction and main results

In the present paper, we find a number of examples of homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  of reductive type which admit proper actions of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Our method is based on the criterion of proper actions established by Kobayashi [9] (see Theorem 2.1 below).

To begin with, let us recall the definition of proper action. Let  $L$  be a locally compact topological group acting continuously on a locally compact Hausdorff topological space  $X$ . This action is said to be *proper* if for every compact subset  $S \subset X$  the set

$$L_S := \{g \in L \mid g \cdot S \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$$

is compact. The action is called *properly discontinuous* if it is proper and the topology of  $L$  is discrete.

A general study of discontinuous groups for homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  with  $H$  non-compact was initiated by Kobayashi [9] in full generality. Following the survey [14], we recall some of the general problems and perspectives as below. Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$ . A discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  is said to be a

*discontinuous group* for the homogeneous space  $G/H$  if the natural  $\Gamma$ -action on  $G/H$  is properly discontinuous and fixed-point free. For such  $\Gamma$ , the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash G/H$  is a smooth manifold to be referred to as a Clifford–Klein form (see [9]). In more detail  $\Gamma \backslash G/H$  has a unique smooth manifold structure such that the quotient map  $G/H \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash G/H$  is a  $C^\infty$ -covering. Thus any  $G$ -invariant local geometric structure on  $G/H$  descends onto  $\Gamma \backslash G/H$ . This opens a perspective of applying results on the existence of such groups in geometry. If  $\Gamma \backslash G/H$  is compact, we say that  $G/H$  admits a compact Clifford–Klein form.

The problem of finding discontinuous groups for  $G/H$  is straightforward when  $H$  is compact. In such cases any discrete subgroup of  $G$  acts properly discontinuously on  $G/H$ . So the interesting case is when  $H$  is non-compact. For instance, if  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} H$  then only finite groups can act properly discontinuously on  $G/H$  (it is the Calabi–Markus phenomenon, see [3] and [9]).

One of effective ways of constructing properly discontinuous groups for  $G/H$  is to find closed Lie subgroups  $L$  of  $G$  acting properly on  $G/H$ . Then any torsion-free discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $L$  is a discontinuous group for  $G/H$ . For homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  of reductive type, many results for closed Lie subgroups  $L$  of  $G$  acting properly on  $G/H$  can be found in [1], [7], [8], [12], [13], [15], [17], [18], [22]. One of the most important results in this area is Kobayashi’s criterion [9] of the properness of the  $L$ -action on  $G/H$  in terms of Lie algebras of  $G$ ,  $H$  and  $L$  (see Section 2 for more details).

We are interested in homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  of reductive type which admits proper actions of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Throughout this paper, we use the following terminology:

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that a homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$  if there exists a Lie group homomorphism  $\rho : SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G$  such that the action of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  on  $G/H$  induced by  $\rho$  is proper.*

In Definition 1.1, the image  $\rho(SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$  in  $G$  of  $\rho$  is isomorphic to  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  or  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Note that by the lifting theorem of surface groups (cf. [16]), discontinuous groups  $\Gamma$  for  $G/H$  which is isomorphic to the surface group  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  for some  $g \geq 2$  can be constructed as discrete subgroups of  $\rho(SL(2, \mathbb{R}))$ .

Kulkarni [17] studies parameters  $(p, q)$  such that  $S^{p,q} = O(p+1, q)/O(p, q)$  admitting proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions via  $O(p+1, q)$ . In general, semisimple symmetric spaces  $G/H$  admitting proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions via  $G$  are classified by Teduka [22] and the third author [18]. Continuing this line of research, in [2], the first and the fourth authors give a sufficient condition on the Lie algebra data of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  which implies the existence of non virtually-abelian discontinuous groups for  $G/H$ .

This enabled them to extend results of [1] to homogeneous spaces generated by automorphisms of order 3.

In this paper, based on Kobayashi's properness criterion [9], we show a sufficient condition on homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  for the existence of proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions via  $G$ . Our main theorems are stated in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 below.

Let  $G$  be a connected non-compact real semisimple linear Lie group,  $\theta$  a Cartan involution on  $G$  and  $H$  a  $\theta$ -stable closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G/H$  is of reductive type. We take maximally split abelian subspaces  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie } G$ ,  $\mathfrak{h} := \text{Lie } H$  respectively, with  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  (see Section 2 for the notation). The restricted root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is denoted by  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that there exists  $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $\alpha(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}) = \{0\}$ . Then the homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$ .*

We remark that the assumption of Theorem 1.2 depends only on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  but not on the choice of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

The next result yields a class of homogeneous spaces for which the condition of Theorem 1.2 is easy to check.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we take a closed Lie subgroup  $H = H^{\alpha}$  of  $G$  such that  $\text{Lie } H = [Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_{\alpha}), Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_{\alpha})]$ , where  $A_{\alpha}$  is the coroot of  $\alpha$ . Then the homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$ .*

Note that in the setting of Theorem 1.3,  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } H$  is the semisimple part of the Levi subalgebra  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_{\alpha})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Families of examples of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Section 4. In greater detail, let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a split real form of a complex semisimple Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{h}$  a regular semisimple subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie } G$ . Using the classification results of Oshima [19] on  $S$ -closed subsystems of root systems, we can always check whether or not  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1.2. Then, as in Tables 1 and 2 below, we obtain a number of examples  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  satisfying the assumption in Theorem 1.2. Furthermore, for non-split  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we also obtain some examples of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  in Theorem 1.3 as in Table 3 below.

We also study homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  of reductive type admitting proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions via  $G$  in the cases where the center of  $H$  is compact and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$  (see Section 4.3).

**Acknowledgment.** The third author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K17594 in this work.

## 2 Kobayashi's properness criterion

In this section, we recall Kobayashi's properness criterion [9, Theorem 4.1] in a form that we shall need.

Let  $G$  be a connected real semisimple Lie group with its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We fix a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  and a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Such subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unique up to the adjoint action of  $G$  and called a *maximally split abelian subspace* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let us denote by  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$  the restricted root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  and the Weyl group of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  acting on  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , respectively.

Throughout this paper, a closed Lie subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is said to be *reductive in  $G$*  if there exists a Cartan involution  $\theta$  on  $G$  such that  $H$  is  $\theta$ -stable. Then  $H$  is reductive as a Lie group (the converse is not true in general). For such a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  denotes a subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  which is conjugate to a maximally split abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . That is, there exists  $g \in G$  and a Cartan involution  $\theta$  on  $G$  with  $\theta(H) = H$  such that  $\text{Ad}(g)\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  denotes the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } H$  by  $\theta$ . Such a subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is unique up to the conjugations by the Weyl group  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Let us fix closed subgroups  $H$  and  $L$  which are both reductive in  $G$ . The Lie algebras of  $H$  and  $L$  are denoted by  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{l}$ , respectively. We fix subspaces  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{l}}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  as above.

The following simple criterion by Kobayashi [9] for the properness of the action of  $L$  on  $G/H$  is the crucial tool of this paper.

**Theorem 2.1** ([9, Theorem 4.1]). *The following three conditions on  $(G, H, L)$  are equivalent:*

- (i) *The  $L$ -action on  $G/H$  is proper.*
- (ii) *The  $H$ -action on  $G/L$  is proper.*
- (iii)  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}} \cap W_{\mathfrak{g}}\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{l}} = \{0\}$  in  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Let us consider the cases where  $\mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . A triple  $(A, X, Y)$  of vectors in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called the  *$\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple* if  $[A, X] = 2X$ ,  $[A, Y] = -2Y$  and  $[X, Y] = A$ . Then there exists a Lie group homomorphism  $\Phi : SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G$  with

$$d\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = A, \quad d\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = X, \quad d\Phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = Y.$$

Indeed, the Lie algebra homomorphism  $d\Phi : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  defined by the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $(A, X, Y)$  can be lifted to  $\Phi : SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G$  since the complexification  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is simply-connected.

As a corollary to Theorem 2.1 for  $\mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ , we have the following claim:

**Corollary 2.2** ([18, Corollary 5.5]). *Let  $G$  be a connected semisimple linear Lie group and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  which is reductive in  $G$ . For a given  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $(A, X, Y)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we denote by  $\rho : SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G$  the corresponding Lie group homomorphism. Then the following two conditions on  $(G, H, \rho)$  are equivalent:*

(i) *The  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action on  $G/H$  induced by  $\rho$  is proper.*

(ii) *The adjoint orbit through  $A$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  does not meet  $\mathfrak{h}$ .*

It should be remarked that in the case where  $A \in \mathfrak{a}$ , the second condition in Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to the condition that  $A \notin W \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

### 3 Proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a non-compact real semisimple Lie algebra. We take a maximally split abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and denote by  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  the restricted root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  as before.

We show the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *There exists an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple  $(A, X, Y)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\alpha(A) \neq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .*

It should be noted that the claim of the lemma above can be found in [5, Section 4] without proofs. For the convenience of the readers, we give a proof as follows.

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* The proof is similar to that of in the complex case (see [4, Theorem 4.1.6]). Let us fix a simple system  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of the restricted root system  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . We denote by  $A \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  the unique element in  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $\alpha(A) = 2$  for all  $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . It is enough to show that there exists  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $(A, X, Y)$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

For each  $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  we denote by  $A_{\alpha}$  the coroot of  $\alpha$ . Then it is well-known that there exist  $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  and  $Y_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$  such that  $(A_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha})$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Here  $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$  is the root space of  $\pm\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  (not in  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ ). Since  $\{A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  the element  $A \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  can be written as  $A = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\alpha} A_{\alpha}$  for some  $a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ ). Let

us put

$$X := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} X_{\alpha} \text{ and } Y := \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}.$$

We shall prove that  $(A, X, Y)$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. We see that

$$[A, X] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} \alpha(A) X_{\alpha} = 2X,$$

$$[A, Y] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\alpha} (-\alpha(A)) Y_{\alpha} = -2Y.$$

Recall that since  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a simple system,  $\alpha - \beta$  is not a root in  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Therefore  $[X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}] = 0$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$  and hence we have

$$[X, Y] = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\beta} [X_{\alpha}, Y_{\beta}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\alpha} [X_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}] = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}} a_{\alpha} A_{\alpha} = A.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* There exists  $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $\alpha(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}) = \{0\}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is contained in the wall  $C_{\alpha} := \{V \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mid \alpha(V) = 0\}$  defined by  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -triple  $(A, X, Y)$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\beta(A) \neq 0$  for any  $\beta \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Therefore  $A$  does not lie in the walls in  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Hence we see that the orbit of the Weyl group through  $A$  does not meet  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . The proof is completed by Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

Using Theorem 1.2, we prove Theorem 1.3 as follows:

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Recall that  $A_{\alpha}$  is a coroot of  $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Lie } H = [Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_{\alpha}), Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_{\alpha})]$ . Therefore  $\{V \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{g}} \mid \alpha(V) = 0\}$  is a maximally split abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Thus Theorem 1.3 follows from Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## 4 Examples

### 4.1 Examples from Theorem 1.2, the split case

Let  $\Sigma$  be a reduced root system. As in [19], we say that a subset  $\Xi$  of  $\Sigma$  is an *S-closed subsystem* if  $\alpha + \beta \in \Xi$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \Xi$  with  $\alpha + \beta \in \Sigma$ . Note that any *S-closed*

subsystem  $\Xi$  of  $\Sigma$  is a subsystem of  $\Sigma$  in the sense of [19], that is,  $s_\alpha(\Xi) = \Xi$  for any  $\alpha \in \Xi$ , where  $s_\alpha$  is the reflection on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  through the hyperplane perpendicular to  $\alpha$ .

Let us fix a reduced root system  $\Sigma$  and an  $S$ -closed subsystem  $\Xi$  of  $\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma$  a split real form of a complex semisimple Lie algebra corresponding to  $\Sigma$ . We fix a maximally split abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma$  and then  $\Sigma$  is realized as the restricted root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma, \mathfrak{a})$ . The root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma$  by  $\mathfrak{a}$  can be written as

$$\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma = \mathfrak{a} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Sigma} (\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma)_\lambda.$$

For the  $S$ -closed subsystem  $\Xi$  of  $\Sigma$ , we define a semisimple subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}_\Xi$  by

$$\mathfrak{h}_\Xi := \mathfrak{a}_\Xi + \sum_{\alpha \in \Xi} (\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma)_\alpha,$$

where  $\mathfrak{a}_\Xi$  is the subspace of  $\mathfrak{a}$  spanned by the set of all coroots of  $\alpha \in \Xi$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}_\Xi$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -regular semisimple subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma$  in the sense of [6], that is,  $\mathfrak{h}_\Xi$  is semisimple and normalized by  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Conversely, any  $\mathfrak{a}$ -regular semisimple subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma$  can be obtained as  $\mathfrak{h}_\Xi$  for an  $S$ -closed subsystem  $\Xi$  of  $\Sigma$ .

Let us take a connected semisimple linear Lie group  $G_\Sigma$  and its closed subgroup  $H_\Xi$  with  $\text{Lie } G_\Sigma = \mathfrak{g}_\Sigma$  and  $\text{Lie } H_\Xi = \mathfrak{h}_\Xi$ . Then by Theorem 1.2,  $G_\Sigma/H_\Xi$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G_\Sigma$  if

$$\Xi^\perp := \{\alpha \in \Sigma \mid \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } \beta \in \Xi\} \neq \emptyset.$$

In [19], the classification of  $S$ -closed subsystems  $\Xi$  of irreducible reduced root systems  $\Sigma$  with  $\Xi^\perp \neq \emptyset$  can be found in Tables 10.1 and 10.2. Using this classification, we obtain a number of examples of homogeneous spaces  $G_\Sigma/H_\Xi$  admitting proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions via  $G_\Sigma$ . In Tables 1 and 2, we give a complete list of pairs of irreducible reduced root systems  $(\Sigma, \Xi)$  such that  $\Xi$  can be realized as an  $S$ -closed subsystem of  $\Sigma$  with  $\Xi^\perp \neq \emptyset$ .


## 4.2 Examples from Theorem 1.3

We describe a procedure to construct examples of homogeneous spaces  $G/H$  of reductive type admitting proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -actions via  $G$  by Theorem 1.3. Every step will be illustrated for an example  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}^*(10)$  as below.

| $\Sigma$  | $\Xi$     | $\Xi^\perp$ | $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}_\Xi$   |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|---|
| $A_{n-1}$ | $A_{k-1}$ | $A_{n-k-1}$ | $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(k, \mathbb{R}), 2 \leq k \leq n-2$           |
| $D_n$     | $A_{k-1}$ | $D_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{so}(n, n), \mathfrak{sl}(k, \mathbb{R}), 2 \leq k \leq n-2, n \geq 5$          |
| $D_n$     | $D_k$     | $D_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{so}(n, n), \mathfrak{so}(k, k), 4 < k \leq n-2, n \geq 6$                      |
| $B_n$     | $A_{k-1}$ | $B_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{so}(n, n+1), \mathfrak{sl}(k, \mathbb{R}), 2 \leq k \leq n-1, n \geq 5$        |
| $B_n$     | $D_k$     | $B_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{so}(n, n+1), \mathfrak{so}(k, k), 4 \leq k \leq n-1, n \geq 5$                 |
| $B_n$     | $B_k$     | $B_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{so}(n, n+1), \mathfrak{so}(k, k+1), 2 \leq k \leq n-1, n \geq 3$               |
| $C_n$     | $C_k$     | $C_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sp}(k, \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq k \leq n-1, n \geq 2$ |
| $C_n$     | $D_k$     | $C_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{so}(k, k), 3 \leq k \leq n-1, n \geq 5$          |
| $C_n$     | $A_{k-1}$ | $C_{n-k}$   | $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R}), \mathfrak{sl}(k, \mathbb{R}), 1 \leq k \leq n-2, n \geq 3$ |


Table 1: List of pairs of irreducible reduced root systems  $(\Sigma, \Xi)$  with  $\Sigma$  of classical type such that  $\Xi$  can be realized as an  $S$ -closed subsystem of  $\Sigma$  with  $\Xi^\perp \neq \emptyset$ .

**Step 1.** Draw the Satake diagram  $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :



Here  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_9\}$  is a simple system of the reduced root system  $\Delta$  of the complexification  $\mathfrak{sl}(10, \mathbb{C})$  of  $\mathfrak{su}^*(10)$ .

**Step 2.** Draw the extended Dynkin diagram  $\text{EDD}_\Sigma$  of the restricted root system  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ :



Here  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{g}} := \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\}$  is a simple system of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $r(\alpha_{2k}) = \lambda_k$  for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$  by the restriction map  $r : \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\lambda_0$  is the lowest root of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{g}}$  with respect to the simple system  $\Pi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . For each simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  one can consult such data of Satake diagrams in [20, Table 9 (pp. 312–316)].


**Step 3.** Let us fix a vertex  $\gamma$  in  $\text{EDD}_\Sigma$ . For example we take  $\gamma = \lambda_0$ . We denote by  $C$  the set of all vertices  $\lambda$  ( $\neq \gamma$ ) in  $\text{EDD}_\Sigma$  which are not connected to  $\gamma$  in  $\text{EDD}_\Sigma$ . In our situation,  $C = \{\lambda_2, \lambda_3\}$ .

**Step 4.** Let us define  $\mathfrak{h} := [Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_\gamma), Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(A_\gamma)]$ . Then the Satake diagram  $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is obtained by removing every white root  $\alpha_i$  such that  $r(\alpha_i) \notin C$  from  $S_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Thus in our example  $S_{\mathfrak{h}}$  is of the following form



| $\Sigma$ | $\Xi$ | $\Xi^\perp$ | $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}_\Xi$                      | $\Sigma$ | $\Xi$ | $\Xi^\perp$ | $\mathfrak{g}_\Sigma, \mathfrak{h}_\Xi$                      |
|----------|-------|-------------|--|----------|-------|-------------|--|
| $E_6$    | $A_1$ | $A_5$       | $\mathfrak{e}_6^I, \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $A_3$ | $D_5$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{R})$ |
| $E_6$    | $A_2$ | $2A_2$      | $\mathfrak{e}_6^I, \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $A_4$ | $A_4$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(5, \mathbb{R})$ |
| $E_6$    | $A_3$ | $2A_1$      | $\mathfrak{e}_6^I, \mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $A_5$ | $A_2 + A_1$ | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(6, \mathbb{R})$ |
| $E_6$    | $A_4$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_6^I, \mathfrak{sl}(5, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $A_6$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(7, \mathbb{R})$ |
| $E_6$    | $A_5$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_6^I, \mathfrak{sl}(6, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $A_7$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(8, \mathbb{R})$ |
| $E_7$    | $A_1$ | $D_6$       | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $D_4$ | $D_4$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$          |
| $E_7$    | $A_2$ | $A_5$       | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $D_5$ | $A_3$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{so}(5, 5)$          |
| $E_7$    | $A_3$ | $A_3 + A_1$ | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $D_6$ | $2A_1$      | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{so}(6, 6)$          |
| $E_7$    | $A_4$ | $A_2$       | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{sl}(5, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $E_6$ | $A_2$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{e}_6^I$             |
| $E_7$    | $A_5$ | $A_2$       | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{sl}(6, \mathbb{R})$             | $E_8$    | $E_7$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{e}_7^V$             |
| $E_7$    | $D_4$ | $3A_1$      | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{so}(4, 4)$                      | $F_4$    | $A_1$ | $C_3$       | $\mathfrak{f}_4^I, \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$             |
| $E_7$    | $D_5$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{so}(5, 5)$                      | $F_4$    | $A_2$ | $A_2$       | $\mathfrak{f}_4^I, \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$             |
| $E_7$    | $D_6$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{e}_7^V, \mathfrak{so}(6, 6)$                      | $F_4$    | $B_2$ | $B_2$       | $\mathfrak{f}_4^I, \mathfrak{so}(2, 3)$                      |
| $E_8$    | $A_1$ | $E_7$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ | $F_4$    | $B_3$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{f}_4^I, \mathfrak{so}(3, 4)$                      |
| $E_8$    | $A_2$ | $E_6$       | $\mathfrak{e}_8^{\text{VIII}}, \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ | $G_2$    | $A_1$ | $A_1$       | $\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$               |

Table 2: List of pairs of irreducible reduced root systems  $(\Sigma, \Xi)$  with  $\Sigma$  of exceptional type such that  $\Xi$  can be realized as an  $S$ -closed subsystem of  $\Sigma$  with  $\Xi^\perp \neq \emptyset$ .



Therefore,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}^*(6)$ .

**Step 5.** For a closed subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  with  $\text{Lie } H = \mathfrak{h}$ , the homogeneous space  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$  by Theorem 1.3.

As in Table 3, we give some examples of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  obtained by this algorithm.

### 4.3 Homogeneous spaces with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} H = 1$

In this subsection, we study the cases where  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} H = 1$ .

Let  $G$  be a connected real semisimple linear Lie group and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  which is reductive in  $G$  (see Section 2 for the notation). We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively as before. Suppose that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{h}) = 1$  and the center of  $H$  is compact. This means that  $\theta$  is identity on the center of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

| $\mathfrak{g}$                   | $\mathfrak{h}$                 | $\text{EDD}_\Sigma$  | $\gamma$    |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------|
| $\mathfrak{su}(p, q), 4 < p < q$ | $\mathfrak{su}(p-1, q-1)$      | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_{p-1} \quad \lambda_p$<br>$\odot \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ \cdots \circ \Rightarrow \circ$                         | $\lambda_0$ |
| $\mathfrak{su}(p, p), 4 < p$     | $\mathfrak{su}(p-1, p-1)$      | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_{p-1} \quad \lambda_p$<br>$\odot \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ \cdots \circ \Leftarrow \circ$                          | $\lambda_0$ |
| $\mathfrak{so}(p, q), 4 < p$     | $\mathfrak{so}(p-2, q-2)$      | $\lambda_0$<br>$\odot$<br>$\lambda_1 \quad \lambda_3 \quad \lambda_{p-1} \quad \lambda_p$<br>$\circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \cdots \circ \Rightarrow \circ$<br>$\lambda_2$ | $\lambda_0$ |
| $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q), 4 < p < q$ | $\mathfrak{sp}(p-1, q-1)$      | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_{p-1} \quad \lambda_p$<br>$\odot \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ \cdots \circ \Rightarrow \circ$                         | $\lambda_0$ |
| $\mathfrak{sp}(p, p), 4 < p$     | $\mathfrak{sp}(p-1, p-1)$      | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_{p-1} \quad \lambda_p$<br>$\odot \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ \cdots \circ \Leftarrow \circ$                          | $\lambda_0$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\text{II}}$     | $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_3 \quad \lambda_4$<br>$\odot \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ$                          | $\lambda_1$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_7^{\text{VI}}$     | $\mathfrak{so}(3, 7)$          | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_3 \quad \lambda_4$<br>$\odot \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ$                          | $\lambda_0$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_7^{\text{VI}}$     | $\mathfrak{su}^*(6)$           | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_3 \quad \lambda_4$<br>$\odot \text{---} \circ \text{---} \circ \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ$                          | $\lambda_4$ |
| $\mathfrak{e}_7^{\text{VII}}$    | $\mathfrak{so}(2, 10)$         | $\lambda_0 \quad \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_3$<br>$\odot \Rightarrow \circ \text{---} \circ \Leftarrow \circ$   | $\lambda_0$ |

Table 3: Examples of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  obtained by the algorithm described in Section 4.2.

**Proposition 4.1.** *In the setting above,  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$  if and only if  $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{g} \geq 2$  (see [2] for the definition of the  $a$ -hyperbolic rank “ $\text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} \mathfrak{g}$ ” of a semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). In particular, the first condition for  $G/H$  does not depend on the choice of  $H(\subset G)$  in our setting.*

*Proof.* The proof is just a corollary to [2] and [18]. Indeed, the “only if” part follows from an observation that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} H = \text{rank}_{a\text{-hyp}} H = 1$  and [2, Theorem 8], the “if part” follows from the definition of the  $a$ -hyperbolic rank and [18, Proposition 4.8 (i) and Corollary 5.5].  $\square$

If  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} = 1$ , then the Calabi–Markus phenomenon occurs on  $G/H$  (see [9]). Thus our interesting is in the cases where  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{g} > 1$ . By the computation of  $a$ -hyperbolic ranks of real simple Lie algebras in [2], we have the following classification result.

**Corollary 4.2.** *In the setting above, assume that  $G$  is real simple and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{g}) > 1$ . Then  $G/H$  admits a proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -action via  $G$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not isomorphic to either  $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}^*(6)$  or  $\mathfrak{e}_6^{\text{IV}}$ .*

## References

- [1] Y. Benoist, *Actions propres sur les espaces homogènes réductifs*, Ann. of Math. **144** (1996), 315–347.
- [2] M. Bocheński and A. Tralle, *Clifford-Klein forms and  $a$ -hyperbolic rank*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 2015, No. 15, 6267–6285
- [3] E. Calabi and L. Markus, *Relativistic space forms*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 63–76.
- [4] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.
- [5] D. Djoković, *Classification of nilpotent elements in simple exceptional real Lie algebras of inner type and description of their centralizers*, J. Algebra, **112** (1988), 503–524.
- [6] H. Dietrich, P. Faccin and W. A. de Graaf, *Regular subalgebras and nilpotent orbits of real graded Lie algebras*, J. Algebra, **423** (2015), 1044–1079.
- [7] F. Kassel, *Proper actions of corank-one reductive homogeneous spaces*, J. Lie Theory **18** (2008), 961–978.
- [8] F. Kassel and T. Kobayashi, *Poincaré series for non-Riemannian locally symmetric spaces*, Adv. Math. **287** (2016), 123–236.
- [9] T. Kobayashi, *Proper action on a homogeneous space of reductive type*, Math. Ann. **285** (1989), 249–263.
- [10] T. Kobayashi, *Discontinuous groups acting on homogeneous spaces of reductive type*, Representation theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras (Fuji-Kawaguchiko, 1990), 59–75, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, 1992.
- [11] T. Kobayashi, *On discontinuous groups acting on homogeneous spaces with non-compact isotropy subgroups*, J. Geom. Phys. **12** (1993), 133–144.
- [12] T. Kobayashi, *Criterion for proper actions of homogeneous spaces of reductive groups*, J. Lie Theory **6** (1996), 147–163.
- [13] T. Kobayashi, *Discontinuous groups and Clifford-Klein forms of pseudo-Riemannian homogeneous manifolds*, Perspectives in Mathematics, **17** (1996), 99–165.

- [14] T. Kobayashi, *Discontinuous groups for non-Riemannian homogeneous spaces*, Mathematics unlimited 2001 and beyond, 723–747, Springer, Berlin, 2001.
- [15] T. Kobayashi and T. Yoshino, *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces revisited*, Pure Appl. Math. Quart **1** (2005), 603–684.
- [16] I. Kra, *On lifting Kleinian groups to  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$* , Differential geometry and complex analysis, Springer, Berlin, 1985, 181–193
- [17] R. S. Kulkarni, *Proper actions and pseudo-Riemannian space forms*, Adv. Math. **40** (1981), 10–51.
- [18] T. Okuda, *Classification of Semisimple Symmetric Spaces with Proper  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -Actions*, J. Differential Geometry **94** (2013), 301–342.
- [19] T. Oshima, *A classification of subsystems of a root system*, arXiv:math/0611904 (2006).
- [20] A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [21] A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Lie algebras III*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [22] K. Teduka, *Proper actions of  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  on irreducible complex symmetric spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **84** (2008), 107–111.

MB, PJ, AT:

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury,  
 Słoneczna 54, 10-710, Olsztyn, Poland  
 mabo@matman.uwm.edu.pl (MB),  
 piojas@matman.uwm.edu.pl (PJ),  
 tralle@matman.uwm.edu.pl (AT).

TO:

Department of Mathematics, Hiroshima University,  
 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8526 Japan,  
 okudatak@hiroshima-u.ac.jp (TO).