GRADINGS AND SYMMETRIES ON HEISENBERG TYPE ALGEBRAS

ANTONIO JESÚS CALDERÓN MARTÍN, CRISTINA DRAPER FONTANALS, CÁNDIDO MARTÍN GONZÁLEZ, AND JOSÉ MARÍA SÁNCHEZ DELGADO

ABSTRACT. We describe the fine (group) gradings on the Heisenberg algebras, on the Heisenberg superalgebras and on the twisted Heisenberg algebras. We compute the Weyl groups of these gradings. Also the results obtained respect to Heisenberg superalgebras are applied to the study of Heisenberg Lie color algebras.

2010 MSC: 17B70, 17B75, 17B40, 16W50.

Key words and phrases: Heisenberg algebra, graded algebra, Weyl group.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last years there has been an increasing interest in the study of the group gradings on Lie theoretic structures. In the case of Lie algebras, this study has been focused on the simple ones. A recent exhaustive survey on the matter is [23], here we can briefly mention that the (complex) finite-dimensional simple case has been studied, among other authors, by Bahturin, Elduque, Havlíček, Kochetov, Patera, Pelantová, Shestakov, Zaicev and Zassenhaus [5, 6, 8, 19, 25, 31] in the classical case ([19] encloses \mathfrak{d}_4), while the exceptional cases \mathfrak{g}_2 , \mathfrak{f}_4 and \mathfrak{d}_4 have been studied by Bahturin, Draper, Elduque, Kochetov, Martín, Tvalavadze and Viruel [7, 15, 16, 17, 20]. The fine group gradings on the real forms of \mathfrak{g}_2 and \mathfrak{f}_4 , also simple algebras, have been classified by the three first authors [11]. Gradings have also been considered in certain \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded structures (for instance superalgebras), so the three first authors have studied the case of the Jordan superalgebra K_{10} (see [12]), and the second and third authors together with Elduque have classified the fine gradings on exceptional Lie superalgebras in [18]. In relation with other Lie structures, the Lie triple systems of exceptional type have also been considered from the viewpoint of gradings (see [13]).

There is not much work done in the field of gradings on non-simple Lie algebras. Some relevant references are [3] and [4]. Our work is one step further in this direction. We are interested in studying the gradings on a family of non-simple Lie algebras, superalgebras, color algebras and twisted algebras, namely, the Heisenberg algebras (resp. superalgebras, Lie color algebras and twisted ones). Note that Heisenberg (super) algebras are nilpotent and twisted Heisenberg algebras are solvable.

The Heisenberg family of algebraic structures was introduced by A. Kaplain in [28]. In the simplest case, that of a Heisenberg algebra, the notion is related to Quantum Mechanics. As it is well known, the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty implies the noncompatibility of position and momentum observables acting on fermions. This noncompatibility reduces to noncommutativity of the corresponding operators. If we represent by x the operator associated to position and by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ the one associated to momentum (acting for instance on

The authors are partially supported by the MCYT grant MTM2010-15223 and by the Junta de Andalucía grants FQM-336, FQM-2467, FQM-3737. The first and fourth authors are also supported by the PCI of the UCA 'Teoría de Lie y Teoría de Espacios de Banach' and by the PAI with project number FQM-298.

a space V of differentiable functions of a single variable), then $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x\right] = 1_V$ which is nonzero. Thus we can identify the subalgebra generated by 1, x and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ with the threedimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra whose multiplication table in the basis $\{1, x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\}$ has as unique nonzero product: $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, x\right] = 1_V$.

The literature about Heisenberg structures is ample. First, they have played an important role in Quantum Mechanics, where for instance twisted Heisenberg algebras appear by a quantizing process from the classical Heisenberg algebra H(4). Thus, in [1], coherent states for power-law potentials are constructed by using generalized Heisenberg algebras, being also shown that these coherent states are useful for describing the states of real and ideal lasers ([9]) or where a deformation of a Heisenberg algebra it is used to describe the solutions of the N-particle rational Calogero model and to solve the problem of proving the existence of supertraces [30].

Second, these structures are also important in Differential Geometry. The quotient Lie group $H_3(\mathbb{R})/H_3(\mathbb{Z})$, which is a compact smooth manifold without boundary of dimension 3 is, as mentioned by [37], one of the basic building blocks for 3-manifolds studied in [39]. Our definition of twisted Heisenberg algebra is precisely motivated by this connection with Differential Geometry. In that context, the twisted Heisenberg algebra is the tangent algebra of a twisted Heisenberg group (certain semidirect product of a Heisenberg group with the real numbers). Its importance is due to a series of results (which can be consulted in [2]), that describe the groups which can act by isometries in a Lorentzian manifold. For instance:

Theorem. [2, Theorem 11.7.3] Let M be a compact connected Lorentzian manifold and G a connected Lie group acting isometrically and locally faithfully on M. Then its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ is a direct sum of a compact semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} , an abelian algebra \mathfrak{a} and a Lie algebra \mathfrak{s} , which is either trivial, or isomorphic to $\mathfrak{aff}(\mathbb{R})$, to a Heisenberg algebra H_n , to a twisted Heisenberg algebra H_n^{λ} with $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{(n-1)/2}_+$, or to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Moreover, according to [2, Chapter 8], the converse of this result is also true: if G is a connected simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$ is as above, then there is a locally faithful isometric action of G on a compact connected Lorentz manifold. The physical meaning of this result claims that if you want a Lie group to act on a compact connected Lorentzian manifold, then you must be ready to admit that the group may have a Heisenberg section. More results about the relationship between twisted Heisenberg algebras and Lorentzian manifolds can be found in [24].

Third, there are a variety of algebraic works about these structures. For instance the set of superderivations of a Heisenberg superalgebra is applied to the theory of cohomology, ([14]), the derivation algebra of a Heisenberg Lie color algebra is computed, being a simple complete Lie algebra ([27]). Other works in this line are [29, 43].

The study of Weyl groups of Lie gradings was inaugurated by Patera and Zassenhaus in [31]. Some concrete examples were developed, for instance, in [26]. Recently, Elduque and Kochetov have determined the Weyl groups of the fine gradings on matrix, octonions, Albert and simple Lie algebras of types A, B, C and D (see [21, 22]). The (extended) Weyl group of a simple Lie algebra is the Weyl group of the Cartan grading on that algebra (which is of course fine). Thus the notion of Weyl group of a grading encompasses that of the usual Weyl group with its countless applications. This is one of the reasons motivating the study of Weyl groups on fine Lie gradings. On the other hand, if we consider the category of graded Lie algebras (not in the sense of Lie superalgebras), then the automorphism group G of such an object is defined as the group of automorphisms of the algebra which preserve the grading, and the Weyl group of the grading is an epimorphic image of G. Thus the symmetries of a graded Lie algebra are present in the Weyl group of the grading. Our work includes the description of the Weyl group of the fine gradings on Heisenberg algebras, on Heisenberg superalgebras and on twisted Heisenberg algebras.

Over algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero, the study of gradings is strongly related to that of automorphisms. Although we get our classifications of gradings in Heisenberg algebras and on Heisenberg superalgebras in a more general context, we also compute the corresponding automorphism groups. We would like to mention the work [36] which contains a detailed study of the automorphisms on Heisenberg-type algebras. So it has been illuminating though our study of gradings goes a step further.

Finally, we devote some words to the distribution of results in our work. After a background on gradings in Section 2, we study the group gradings on Heisenberg algebras in Section 3, by showing that all of them are toral and by computing the Weyl group of the only (up to equivalence) fine one. In Section 4 we study the fine group gradings on Heisenberg superalgebras for \mathbb{F} an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from 2, and calculate their Weyl groups. In Section 5 we discuss on the concept of Heisenberg Lie color algebra, give a description of the same and show how the results in the previous section can be applied to classify a certain family of Heisenberg Lie color algebras. Finally, in Section 6, we devote some attention to the concept of twisted Heisenberg algebras and also compute their group gradings for \mathbb{F} algebraically closed of characteristic zero. We classify the fine gradings up to equivalence and find their symmetries, which turn out to be very abundant.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this work the base field will be denoted by \mathbb{F} . Let A be an algebra over \mathbb{F} . A *grading* on A is a decomposition

$$\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{s \in S} A_s$$

of A into direct sum of nonzero subspaces such that for any $s_1, s_2 \in S$ there exists $s_3 \in S$ such that $A_{s_1}A_{s_2} \subset A_{s_3}$. The grading Γ is said to be a *group grading* if there is a group G containing S such that $A_{s_1}A_{s_2} \subset A_{s_1s_2}$ (multiplication of indices in the group G) for any $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Then we can write

$$\Gamma: A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g,$$

by setting $A_g = 0$ if $g \in G \setminus S$. In this paper all the gradings we consider will be group gradings where G is a finitely generated abelian group and G is generated by the set of all the elements $g \in G$ such that $A_g \neq 0$, usually called the *support* of the grading (the above S).

Given two gradings $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} U_g$ and $A = \bigoplus_{h \in H} V_h$, we shall say that they are *isomorphic* if there is a group isomorphism $\sigma \colon G \to H$ and an (algebra) automorphism $\varphi \colon A \to A$ such that $\varphi(U_g) = V_{\sigma(g)}$ for all $g \in G$. The above two gradings are said to be *equivalent* if there are a bijection $\sigma \colon S \to S'$ between the supports of the first and second gradings respectively and an algebra automorphism φ of A such that $\varphi(U_g) = V_{\sigma(g)}$ for any $g \in S$.

Let Γ and Γ' be two gradings on A. The grading Γ is said to be a *refinement* of Γ' (or Γ' a *coarsening* of Γ) if each homogeneous component of Γ' is a (direct) sum of some homogeneous components of Γ . A grading is called *fine* if it admits no proper refinements.

A fundamental concept to obtain the coarsenings of a given grading is the one of universal grading group. Given a grading $\Gamma : A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$, one may consider the abelian group \tilde{G} generated by the support of Γ subject only to the relations $g_1g_2 = g_3$ if $0 \neq [A_{g_1}, A_{g_2}] \subset A_{g_3}$. Then A is graded over \tilde{G} ; that is $\tilde{\Gamma} : A = \bigoplus_{\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}} A_{\tilde{g}}$, where $A_{\tilde{g}}$ is the sum of the homogeneous components A_g of Γ such that the class of g in \tilde{G} is \tilde{g} . Note that there is at most one such homogeneous component and that this \tilde{G} -grading $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is equivalent to Γ , since $G \hookrightarrow \tilde{G}$, $g \mapsto \tilde{g}$ is an injective map (not homomorphism). This group \tilde{G} has the following universal property: given any coarsening $A = \bigoplus_{h \in H} A'_h$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, there exists a unique group epimorphism $\alpha : \tilde{G} \to H$ such that

$$A'_h = \bigoplus_{\tilde{g} \in \alpha^{-1}(h)} A_{\tilde{g}}.$$

The group G is called the *universal grading group* of Γ . Throughout this paper, the gradings will be considered over their universal grading groups.

For a grading $\Gamma : A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$, the *automorphism group* of Γ , denoted Aut(Γ), consists of all self-equivalences of Γ , i.e., automorphisms of A that permute the components of Γ . The *stabilizer* of Γ , denoted Stab(Γ), consists of all automorphisms of the graded algebra A, i.e., automorphisms of A that leave each component of Γ invariant. The quotient group Aut(Γ)/ Stab(Γ) will be called the *Weyl group* of Γ and denoted by $W(\Gamma)$.

It is well-known that any finitely generated abelian subgroup of diagonalizable automorphisms of Aut(A) induces by simultaneous diagonalization a grading on A. When the field \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero, the converse is true: any grading on A (finite dimensional algebra) is induced by a finitely generated abelian subgroup of diagonalizable automorphisms of Aut(A). The set of automorphisms inducing the grading (as simultaneous diagonalization) is contained in the normalizer of some maximal torus of the automorphism group (by [33, Theorem 6 and Theorem 3.15, p. 92]). A special kind of gradings arises when we consider the inducing automorphisms not only in the normalizer of a maximal torus, but in a torus. Indeed, a grading of an algebra A is said to be *toral* if it is produced by automorphisms within a torus of the automorphism group of the algebra. In the case of a fine grading, the grading is toral if and only if its universal grading group is torsion-free, since the universal grading group is always isomorphic to the group of characters of the group of automorphisms inducing the grading.

Next, let us recall the situation for superalgebras. If $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ is a Lie superalgebra over \mathbb{F} and G a finitely generated abelian group, a G-grading on L is a decomposition $\Gamma : L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} ((L_0)_g \oplus (L_1)_g)$ where any $(L_i)_g$ is a linear subspace of L_i and where $[(L_i)_{g_1}, (L_j)_{g_2}] \subset (L_{i+j})_{g_1g_2}$ holds for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and any $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ (sum modulo 2). Here the support of Γ is $\{g \in G : (L_i)_g \neq 0$ for some $i\}$ and everything works analogously to the case of a Lie algebra with a grading. Note only a subtle difference: assuming that L is non-abelian, the trivial grading, $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$, has as universal grading group \mathbb{Z}_2 , while the trivial grading on L as a Lie algebra has the trivial group as the universal grading group.

Finally we give two fundamental lemmas of purely geometrical nature that will be applied in future sections. Recall that a symplectic space V is a linear space provided with an alternate nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and that in the finite-dimensional case a standard result states the existence of a "symplectic basis", that is, a basis: $\{u_1, u'_1, \ldots, u_n, u'_n\}$ such that $\langle u_i, u'_i \rangle = 1$ while any other inner product is zero.

Lemma 1. Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a finite-dimensional symplectic space and assume that V is the direct sum of linear subspaces $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ where for any $i \in I$ there is a unique $j \in I$ such that $\langle V_i, V_j \rangle \neq 0$. Then there is a basis $\{u_1, u'_1, \ldots, u_n, u'_n\}$ of V such that:

- The basis is contained in $\cup_i V_i$.
- For any *i*, *j* we have $\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = \langle u'_i, u'_j \rangle = 0$.
- For each *i* and *j* we have $\langle u_i, u'_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ (Kronecker's delta).

Proof. First we split I into a disjoint union $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ such that I_1 is the set of all $i \in I$ such that $\langle V_i, V_i \rangle \neq 0$ and in I_2 we have all the indices i such that there is $j \neq i$ with $\langle V_i, V_j \rangle \neq 0$. Now for each $i \in I_1$ the space V_i is symplectic with relation to the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to V_i . So we fix in such V_i a symplectic basis. Take now $i \in I_2$ and let $j \in I$ be the unique index such that $\langle V_i, V_j \rangle \neq 0$ (necessarily $j \in I_2$). Consider now the restriction $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon V_i \times V_j \to \mathbb{F}$. This map is nondegenerate in the obvious sense (which implies $\dim(V_i) = \dim(V_j)$). If we fix a basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_q\}$ of V_i , then by standard linear algebra arguments we get that there is basis $\{f_1, \ldots, f_q\}$ of V_j such that $\langle e_i, f_i \rangle = 1$ being the remaining inner products among basic elements zero. Thus, putting together these basis suitable reordered we get the symplectic basis whose existence is claimed in the Lemma.

Since all the elements in the basis constructed above are in some component V_i we will refer to this basis as a "homogeneous basis" of V.

Lemma 2. Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a finite-dimensional linear space V with a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{F}$ where \mathbb{F} is of characteristic other than 2. Assume that $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$ is the direct sum of linear subspaces in such a way that for each $i \in I$ there is a unique $j \in I$ such that $\langle V_i, V_j \rangle \neq 0$. Then there is a basis $B = \{u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_r, v_r, z_1, \ldots, z_q\}$ of V such that

- $B \subset \cup_i V_i$.
- $\langle z_i, z_i \rangle \neq 0$, $\langle u_i, v_i \rangle = 1$.
- Any other inner product of elements in B is zero.

Proof. Let I_1 be the subset of I such that for any $i \in I_1$ we have $\langle V_i, V_i \rangle \neq 0$ and let I_2 be the complementary $I_2 := I \setminus I_1$. On one hand, each V_i with $i \in I_1$ has an orthogonal basis (char $\mathbb{F} \neq 2$). On the other hand, for any $i \in I_2$ consider the unique $j \neq i$ such that $\langle V_i, V_j \rangle \neq 0$. The couple (V_i, V_j) gives a dual pair $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon V_i \times V_j \to \mathbb{F}$ (implying $\dim(V_i) = \dim(V_j)$) and for any basis $\{e_k\}$ of V_i , there is a dual basis $\{f_h\}$ in V_j such that $\langle e_k, f_h \rangle = \delta_{k,h}$ (Kronecker's delta). So putting together all these bases (suitably ordered) the required base on V is got.

Observe that if \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed the inner products $\langle z_i, z_i \rangle$ in Lemma 2 may be chosen to be 1. The basis constructed in Lemma 2 will be also termed a "homogeneous basis".

We will have the occasion to use basic terminology of finite groups: \mathbb{Z}_n for the cyclic group of order n, S_n for the permutation group of n elements and D_n for the dihedral group of order 2n.

3. GRADINGS ON HEISENBERG ALGEBRAS

A Lie algebra H is called a *Heisenberg algebra* if it is nilpotent in two steps (that is, not abelian and [[H, H], H] = 0) with one-dimensional center $\mathcal{Z}(H) := \{x \in H : [x, H] = 0\}$. If $z \neq 0$ is a fixed element in $\mathcal{Z}(H)$ and we take P any complementary subspace of $\mathbb{F}z$,

then the map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon P \times P \to \mathbb{F}$ given by $\langle v, v' \rangle z = [v, v']$ is a nondegenerate skewsymmetric bilinear form, or, in other words, $(P, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a symplectic space. Of course, any Lie algebra constructed from a symplectic space $(P, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ as $H = P \oplus \mathbb{F}z$ with $z \in \mathcal{Z}(H)$ and $[v, v'] = \langle v, v' \rangle z$ for all $v, v' \in P$, is a Heisenberg algebra. Recall that the dimension of P is necessarily even.

In particular, there is one Heisenberg algebra up to isomorphism for each odd dimension n = 2k + 1, which we will denote H_n , characterized by the existence of a basis

(1)
$$B = \{e_1, \hat{e}_1, \dots, e_k, \hat{e}_k, z\}$$

in which the nonzero products are $[e_i, \hat{e}_i] = -[\hat{e}_i, e_i] = z$ for $1 \le i \le k$.

A fine grading on H_n is obviously provided by this basis as:

(2)
$$H_n = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle e_k \rangle \oplus \langle \hat{e}_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \hat{e}_k \rangle \oplus \langle z \rangle$$

where each summand is a homogeneous component. This grading is also a group grading.

For instance, it can be considered as a \mathbb{Z} -grading by letting $H_n = \bigoplus_{i=-k}^{k} (H_n)_i$ for

$$(H_n)_{-i} = \langle \hat{e}_i \rangle, \ (H_n)_0 = \langle z \rangle, \ (H_n)_i = \langle e_i \rangle, \ i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Moreover, this grading on H_n is toral. It is enough to observe that the group of automorphisms \mathcal{T} which are represented by scalar matrices relative to the basis B is a torus. In fact, it is a maximal torus of dimension k + 1. Indeed, an element $f \in \mathcal{T}$ will be determined by the nonzero scalars $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k, \lambda) \in \mathbb{F}^{k+1}$ such that $f(z) = \lambda z$ and $f(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i$, being then $f(\hat{e}_i) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_i} \hat{e}_i$. If we denote such an automorphism by $t_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;\lambda)}$, it is straightforward that $t_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k;\lambda)}t_{(\lambda'_1,\ldots,\lambda'_k;\lambda')} = t_{(\lambda_1\lambda'_1,\ldots,\lambda_k\lambda'_k;\lambda\lambda')}$ and that any automorphism commuting with every element in \mathcal{T} preserves the common diagonalization produced by \mathcal{T} , which is precisely the one given by (2). All this in particular implies that this fine toral grading can be naturally considered as a grading over the group \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} , which is its universal grading group:

(3)
$$\Gamma: \quad (H_n)_{(0,\dots,0;2)} = \langle z \rangle, \\ (H_n)_{(0,\dots,1,\dots,0;1)} = \langle e_i \rangle, \quad (1 \text{ in the } i\text{-th slot}) \\ (H_n)_{(0,\dots,-1,\dots,0;1)} = \langle \hat{e}_i \rangle,$$

if i = 1, ..., k.

Our first aim is to prove that, essentially, this is the unique fine grading.

Theorem 1. For any (group) grading on H_n , there is a basis $B = \{z, u_1, u'_1, \ldots, u_n, u'_n\}$ of homogeneous elements of H_n such that $[u_i, u'_i] = z$ and the remaining possible brackets among elements of B are zero.

In particular, there is only one fine grading up to equivalence on H_n , the \mathbb{Z}^{k+1} -grading given by (3). Thus, any grading on H_n is toral.

Proof. As before we will consider the bilinear alternate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon H_n \times H_n \to \mathbb{F}$. In any (group) graded Lie algebra the center admits a basis of homogeneous elements, so, if H_n is graded by a group G, then there is some $g_0 \in G$ such that $z \in (H_n)_{g_0}$. Thus, denoting by $\mathcal{Z}(H_n) = \mathbb{F}z$ the center of H_n , the (abelian) quotient Lie algebra $P := H_n/\mathcal{Z}(H_n)$ is a symplectic space relative to $\langle x + \mathcal{Z}(H_n), y + \mathcal{Z}(H_n) \rangle := \langle x, y \rangle$. Denote by $\pi \colon H_n \to P$ the canonical projection. By defining for each $g \in G$ the subspace $P_g := \pi((H_n)_g)$, it is easy to check that $P = \bigoplus_{g \in G} P_g$ and that for any $g \in G$ there is a unique h, $(h = -g + g_0)$, such that $\langle P_g, P_h \rangle \neq 0$. Then Lemma 1 provides a symplectic basis of P of homogeneous elements. Let $\{u_1 + \mathcal{Z}(H_n), u'_1 + \mathcal{Z}(H_n), \dots, u_n + \mathcal{Z}(H_n), u'_n + \mathcal{Z}(H_n)\}$ be such basis

(observe that each u_i and u'_j may be chosen in some homogeneous component of H_n being $u_i, u'_j \notin \mathbb{F}z$). Then $B := \{z, u_1, u'_1, \ldots, u_n, u'_n\}$ is a basis of homogeneous elements of H_n such that $[u_i, u'_i] = z$ and the remaining possible brackets among basis elements are zero.

To finish the proof we can consider the maximal torus of $Aut(H_n)$ formed by the automorphisms which are diagonal relative to the basis B. Up to conjugations, this torus is formed by all the automorphisms $t_{(\lambda_1,...,\lambda_k;\lambda)}$ constructed above. Since B are eigenvectors for any of these elements, the initial grading is toral and in fact it is a coarsening of the fine grading described in Equation (3).

In order to work on the group of symmetries of this grading, the Weyl group, we compute next the automorphism group of the Heisenberg algebra, $\operatorname{Aut}(H_n)$. For any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(H_n)$ we have $f(z) \in \mathcal{Z}(H_n)$ and so $f(z) = \lambda_f z$ for some $\lambda_f \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. If we denote by $i: P \to H_n$ the inclusion map, by $\pi: H_n \to P$ the projection map and define $\overline{f} := \pi \circ f \circ i$, we easily get that \overline{f} is a linear automorphism of P satisfying $\langle \overline{f}(x_P), \overline{f}(y_P) \rangle = \lambda_f \langle x_P, y_P \rangle$ for any $x_P, y_P \in P$. Indeed, given any $x = x_P + \lambda z$, and $y = y_P + \mu z$ in $H_n, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$, we have, taking into account $[z, H_n] = 0$, that

$$f([x,y]) = f([x_P, y_P]) = f(\langle x_P, y_P \rangle z) = \langle x_P, y_P \rangle f(z) = \langle x_P, y_P \rangle \lambda_f z$$

and

$$[f(x), f(y)] = [\bar{f}(x_P), \bar{f}(y_P)] = \langle \bar{f}(x_P), \bar{f}(y_P) \rangle z$$

Hence \overline{f} belongs to $\operatorname{GSp}(P) := \{g \in \operatorname{End}(P) : \text{ there is } \lambda_g \in \mathbb{F}^{\times} \text{ with } \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle = \lambda_g \langle x, y \rangle \, \forall x, y \in P \}$, the similitude group of $(P, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

As a consequence, an arbitrary $f \in Aut(H_n)$ has as associated matrix relative to the basis B in Equation (1),

$$M_B(f) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} M_{B_P}(\bar{f}) & 0\\ \hline \underline{\lambda} & \lambda_f \end{array}\right)$$

for $B_P = B \setminus \{z\}$ a basis of P, $\overline{f} = \pi \circ f \circ i \in \operatorname{GSp}(P)$ and for the vector $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{2k}) \in \mathbb{F}^{2k}$ given by $\lambda_{2i-1}z = (f - \overline{f})(e_i)$ and $\lambda_{2i}z = (f - \overline{f})(\hat{e}_i)$ if $i \leq k$. Moreover, if we define in the set $\operatorname{GSp}(P) \times \mathbb{F}^{2k}$ the (semidirect) product

$$(\bar{f},\underline{\lambda})(\bar{g},\underline{\eta}) := (\overline{fg},\underline{\lambda}M_{B_P}(\bar{g}) + \lambda_f \underline{\eta}),$$

it is straightforward to verify that the mapping

$$\Omega: \operatorname{Aut}(H_n) \to \operatorname{GSp}(P) \ltimes \mathbb{F}^{2k}$$

given by $\Omega(f) = (\overline{f}, \underline{\lambda})$ is a group isomorphism.

Take, for each permutation $\sigma \in S_k$, the map $\tilde{\sigma} \colon H_n \to H_n$ given by $\tilde{\sigma}(e_i) = e_{\sigma(i)}$, $\tilde{\sigma}(\hat{e}_i) = \hat{e}_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(z) = z$. It is clear that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an automorphism permuting the homogeneous components of Γ , the grading in (3), that is, $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

Other remarkable elements in the automorphism group of the grading are the following ones: for each index $i \leq k$, take $\mu_i \colon H_n \to H_n$ given by $\mu_i(e_i) = \hat{e}_i, \mu_i(\hat{e}_i) = -e_i, \mu_i(e_j) = e_j, \mu_i(\hat{e}_j) = \hat{e}_j$ (for $j \neq i$) and $\mu_i(z) = z$.

Denote by [f] the class of an automorphism $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ in the quotient $W(\Gamma) = \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)/\operatorname{Stab}(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 1. The Weyl group $W(\Gamma)$ is generated by $\{[\tilde{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_k\}$ and $[\mu_1]$.

Proof. Let f be an arbitrary element in Aut(Γ). The elements in Aut(Γ) permute the homogeneous components of the grading Γ , but $\mathbb{F}z$ remains always invariant. Thus $f(e_1)$ belongs to some homogeneous component different from $\mathbb{F}z$, and there is an index $i \leq k$ such that either $f(e_1) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times} e_i$ or $f(e_1) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times} \hat{e}_i$. We can assume that $f(e_1) \in \mathbb{F}^{\times} e_i$ by replacing, if necessary, f with $\mu_i f$. Now, take the permutation $\sigma = (1, i)$ which interchanges 1 and i, so that $f' = \tilde{\sigma}f$ maps e_1 into αe_1 for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. Note that $\alpha[e_1, f'(\hat{e}_1)] = [f'(e_1), f'(\hat{e}_1)] = f'([e_1, \hat{e}_1]) = f'(z)$ is a nonzero multiple of z, hence $f'(\hat{e}_1) \notin \{x \in H_n : [x, e_1] = 0\} = \langle z, e_1, e_i, \hat{e}_i : 2 \leq i \leq k \rangle$. But $f' \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$, so $f'(\hat{e}_1) \in \langle \hat{e}_1 \rangle$. In a similar manner the automorphism f' sends e_2 to some $\langle e_i \rangle$ or some $\langle \hat{e}_j \rangle$ for $j \neq 1$, hence we can replace f' by $f'' \in \{(2,j)f', (2,j)\mu_j f'\}$ such that f'' preserves the homogeneous components $\langle e_1 \rangle$, $\langle \hat{e}_1 \rangle$, $\langle e_2 \rangle$, $\langle \hat{e}_2 \rangle$ and $\langle z \rangle$. By arguing as above, we can multiply f by an element in the subgroup generated by μ_i and $\tilde{\sigma}$, for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $\sigma \in S_k$, such that the product stabilizes all the components, so that it belongs to $Stab(\Gamma)$. The proof finishes if we observe that $\tilde{\sigma}\mu_i = \mu_{\sigma(i)}\tilde{\sigma}$ for all $i \leq k$, so that all μ_i 's belong to the noncommutative group generated by $\{[\tilde{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_k\}$ and $[\mu_1]$.

Hence $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma) = \{[\mu_{i_1} \dots \mu_{i_s} \tilde{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_k, 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_s \leq k\}$ has $2^k k!$ elements. Observe that, although any μ_i has order 4, its class $[\mu_i]$ has order 2. Besides μ_i and μ_j commute, so we can identify $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma)$ with the group $\mathcal{P}(K) \rtimes S_k$, where the product is given by $(A, \sigma)(B, \eta) = (A \bigtriangleup \sigma(B), \sigma\eta)$ if $A, B \subset K = \{1, \dots, k\}, \sigma, \eta \in S_k$, and where the elements in $\mathcal{P}(K)$ are the subsets of $\{1, \dots, k\}$ and \bigtriangleup denotes the symmetric difference. Thus

$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^k \rtimes S_k.$$

4. GRADINGS ON HEISENBERG SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section we will assume the ground field \mathbb{F} to be algebraically closed and of characteristic other than 2. A Heisenberg superalgebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 \oplus \mathcal{H}_1$ is a nilpotent in two steps Lie superalgebra with one-dimensional center such that $[\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_1] = 0$. In particular this implies that the even part is a Heisenberg algebra, so that it is determined up to isomorphism by its dimension. Note that, if $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_1$, then $[x, y] = [y, x] \in \mathbb{F}z = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{H})$, so there is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathbb{F}$ such that $[x, y] = \langle x, y \rangle z$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Hence there is a basis of \mathcal{H}_1 in which the matrix of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the identity matrix. If $B_1 = \{w_1, ..., w_m\}$ denotes such a basis, and $B_0 = \{z, e_1, \hat{e}_1, ..., e_k, \hat{e}_k\}$ denotes the basis of \mathcal{H}_0 as in Section 3, then the product is given by

$$[e_i, \hat{e}_i] = -[\hat{e}_i, e_i] = z, \quad 1 \le i \le k, \\ [w_i, w_j] = z, \quad 1 \le j \le m,$$

with all other products being zero. As this algebra is completely determined by n = 2k + 1 and m, the dimensions of the even and odd part respectively, we denote it by $H_{n.m.}$

If we denote by

 $\circ \colon (H_{n,m})_1 \times (H_{n,m})_1 \to (H_{n,m})_0$

the bilinear mapping $x_1 \circ y_1 := [x_1, y_1]$, we get that the product in $H_{n,m}$ can be expressed by

$$[(x_0, x_1), (y_0, y_1)] = [x_0, y_0] + x_1 \circ y_1$$

for all $x_i, y_i \in (H_{n,m})_i$.

Assume now that $H_{n,m}$ is a graded superalgebra and G is the grading group. Then the even part $(H_{n,m})_0$ admits a basis $\{z, e_1, \hat{e}_1, \dots, e_k, \hat{e}_k\}$ of homogeneous elements as has been proved in the previous section. On the other hand the product in $(H_{n,m})_1$ is of the

form $x \circ y = \langle x, y \rangle z$ for any $x, y \in (H_{n,m})_1$ and where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon (H_{n,m})_1 \times (H_{n,m})_1 \to \mathbb{F}$ is a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form. Furthermore, for any $g \in G$, denote by \mathcal{L}_g the subspace $\mathcal{L}_g := (H_{n,m})_1 \cap (H_{n,m})_g$ (that is, the odd part of the homogeneous component of degree g of $H_{n,m}$). Then $(H_{n,m})_1 = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}_g$ is a decomposition on linear subspaces and for any $g \in G$ there is a unique $h \in G$ such that $\langle \mathcal{L}_g, \mathcal{L}_h \rangle \neq 0$: indeed, assume $\langle \mathcal{L}_g, \mathcal{L}_h \rangle \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq \mathcal{L}_g \circ \mathcal{L}_h \subset \mathbb{F}z$ and this implies that $g + h = g_0$ where g_0 is the degree of z. Thus $h = g_0 - g$ is unique. Next we apply Lemma 2 to get a basis $\{z, e_1, \hat{e}_1, \ldots e_k, \hat{e}_k, u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_r, v_r, z_1, \ldots, z_q\}$ of $H_{n,m}$ (of homogeneous elements) such that z, e_i, \hat{e}_i generate the even part of $H_{n,m}$ while $u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_r, v_r, z_1, \ldots, z_q$ generate the odd part, and the nonzero products are:

(4)
$$[e_i, \hat{e}_i] = [u_j, v_j] = [z_l, z_l] = z,$$

for $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$, $j \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $l \in \{1, ..., q\}$. This basis provides a $\mathbb{Z}^{1+k+r} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-2r}$ -grading on $H_{n,m}$ given by

(5)

$$\Gamma^{r}: \quad (H_{n,m})_{(2;0,...,0;0,...,0;\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle z \rangle, \\
 (H_{n,m})_{(1;0,...,1,...,0;0,...,0;\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle e_{i} \rangle, \\
 (H_{n,m})_{(1;0,...,-1,...,0;0,...,0;\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle e_{i} \rangle, \\
 (H_{n,m})_{(1;0,...,0;0,...,1,...,0;\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle u_{j} \rangle, \\
 (H_{n,m})_{(1;0,...,0;0,...,-1,...,0;\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle v_{j} \rangle, \\
 (H_{n,m})_{(1;0,...,0;0,...,0;\bar{0},...,\bar{1})} = \langle z_{l} \rangle,$$

if $i \le k, j \le r, l \le q$. This grading is a refinement of the original *G*-grading of the algebra. Observe that for each *r* such that $0 \le 2r \le m$, there exists a basis of $(H_{n,m})_1$ satisfying the relations (4) by taking for $j \le r, l \le m - 2r$,

$$u_{j} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (w_{2j-1} + \mathbf{i} w_{2j}),$$

$$v_{j} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (w_{2j-1} - \mathbf{i} w_{2j}),$$

$$z_{l} := w_{l+2r},$$

if $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{F}$ is a primitive square root of the unit. If the starting *G*-grading is fine, then it is equivalent to the $\mathbb{Z}^{1+k+r} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{m-2r}$ -grading Γ^r provided by the above basis. Therefore we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2. Up to equivalence, there are $\frac{m}{2} + 1$ fine gradings on $H_{n,m}$ if m is even and $\frac{m+1}{2}$ in case m is odd, namely, { $\Gamma^r : 2r \leq m$ }. All of these are inequivalent, and only one is toral, $\Gamma^{m/2}$ when m is even.

The inequivalence follows easily from the fact that the universal grading groups are not isomorphic. Note that Theorem 1 is a particular case of Theorem 2 for m = 0.

Next, let us compute the group $\operatorname{Aut}(H_{n,m})$ of automorphisms of $H_{n,m}$. Recall that $\operatorname{Aut}(H_{n,m})$ is formed by the linear automorphisms $f: H_{n,m} \to H_{n,m}$ such that f([x,y]) = [f(x), f(y)] for all $x, y \in H_{n,m}$ and $f((H_{n,m})_i) = (H_{n,m})_i$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$.

If we identify $(H_{n,m})_1$ with the underlying vector space endowed with the inner product \langle , \rangle , then we can consider the group $\operatorname{GO}((H_{n,m})_1) = \{g \in \operatorname{End}((H_{n,m})_1) : \langle g(x), g(y) \rangle = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle \forall x, y \in (H_{n,m})_1 \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}^{\times} \}$, and the group homomorphism π : Aut $(H_{n,m}) \to \operatorname{GO}((H_{n,m})_1)$ such that $\pi(f) = f|_{(H_{n,m})_1}$. We prove next that π is an epimorphism. Given $f \in \operatorname{GO}((H_{n,m})_1)$, we know that there is $\lambda_f \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ such that $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle = \lambda_f \langle x, y \rangle$. Then we can define the linear map $\hat{f} \colon H_{n,m} \to H_{n,m}$ such that \hat{f} restricted to $(H_{n,m})_1$ is f and $\hat{f}(z) := \lambda_f z$, $\hat{f}(e_i) := \lambda_f e_i$ and $\hat{f}(\hat{e}_i) := \hat{e}_i$ for any i. Then $\hat{f} \in \operatorname{aut}(H_{n,m})$ and $\pi(\hat{f}) = f$. Furthermore $\ker(\pi) \cong \operatorname{Sp}(P) \times \mathbb{F}^{2k}$, taking into account the results in Section 3 and that any $f \in \operatorname{aut}(H_{n,m})$ such that $\pi(f) = f|_{(H_{n,m})_1} = 1$ satisfies that f(z) = z. Therefore we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \operatorname{Sp}(P) \ltimes \mathbb{F}^{2k} \xrightarrow{\imath} \operatorname{Aut}(H_{n,m}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{GO}((H_{n,m})_1) \to 1$$

which is split since $j: \operatorname{GO}((H_{n,m})_1) \to \operatorname{Aut}(H_{n,m})$ defined by $j(f) := \hat{f}$ satisfies $\pi j = 1$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}(H_{n,m})$ is the semidirect product

$$\operatorname{Aut}(H_{n,m}) \cong (\operatorname{Sp}(P) \ltimes \mathbb{F}^{2k}) \rtimes \operatorname{GO}((H_{n,m})_1)$$

Finally, we compute the Weyl groups of the fine gradings on $H_{n,m}$ described in Theorem 2. Consider, as in Section 3, the maps $\tilde{\sigma}, \mu_i \in \operatorname{Aut}((H_{n,m})_0)$ if $\sigma \in S_k, i \leq k$, and extend to automorphisms of $H_{n,m}$ by setting $\tilde{\sigma}|_{(H_{n,m})_1} = \mu_i|_{(H_{n,m})_1} = \operatorname{id}$. Thus, $\tilde{\sigma}, \mu_i \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma^r)$, for Γ^r the grading in (5). Take too, for each permutation $\sigma \in S_r$, the map $\bar{\sigma}: H_{n,m} \to H_{n,m}$ given by $\bar{\sigma}|_{(H_{n,m})_0} = \operatorname{id}, \bar{\sigma}(u_i) = u_{\sigma(i)}, \bar{\sigma}(v_i) = v_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\bar{\sigma}(z_l) = z_l$. Also consider for each permutation $\rho \in S_q$, the map $\hat{\rho}: H_{n,m} \to H_{n,m}$ given by $\hat{\rho}|_{(H_{n,m})_0} = \operatorname{id}, \hat{\rho}(u_i) = u_i, \hat{\rho}(v_i) = v_i$ and $\hat{\rho}(z_l) = z_{\rho(l)}$. Finally consider for each index $i \leq r$, the map $\mu'_i: H_{n,m} \to H_{n,m}$ given by $\mu'_i|_{(H_{n,m})_0} = \operatorname{id},$ $\mu'_i(u_i) = v_i, \mu'_i(v_i) = -u_i, \mu'_i(u_k) = u_k, \mu'_i(v_k) = v_k$ for $k \neq i$ and $\mu'_i(z_l) = z_l$. It is clear that $\bar{\sigma}, \hat{\rho}, \mu'_i \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma^r)$ in any case.

Proposition 2. The Weyl group $W(\Gamma^r)$ is generated by $[\mu_1]$, $[\mu'_1]$, $\{[\tilde{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_k\}$, $\{[\bar{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_r\}$ and $\{[\hat{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_q\}$, with k = (n-1)/2, q = m - 2r.

Proof. We know by Section 3 that the subgroup W of $W(\Gamma^r)$ generated by the classes of the elements fixing all the homogeneous components of $(H_{(n,m)})_1$ is $\{[\mu_{i_1} \dots \mu_{i_s} \tilde{\sigma}] : \sigma \in S_k, 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_s \leq k\}$.

Let f be an arbitrary element in Aut(Γ^r). As $f|_{(H_{(n,m)})_0}$ preserves the grading Γ in Equation (3), then we can compose f with an element in W to assume that f preserves all the homogeneous components of $(H_{(n,m)})_0$.

Then the element $f(u_1)$ belongs to some homogeneous component of $(H_{(n,m)})_1$, but it does not happen that there is $j \leq q$ such that $f(u_1) \in \mathbb{F}z_j$, since then $0 = f([u_1, u_1]) = z_j \circ z_j = z$. So there is an index $i \leq r$ such that either $f(u_1) \in \mathbb{F}u_i$ or $f(u_1) \in \mathbb{F}v_i$. The same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 1 show that we can replace f by $\mu'_{j_1} \dots \mu'_{j_s} \bar{\sigma} f$ for $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_s \leq r$ and $\sigma \in S_r$ to get that $f(u_i) \in \mathbb{F}u_i$ and $f(v_i) \in \mathbb{F}v_i$ for all $i \leq r$.

Now it is clear that $f(z_1) \in \mathbb{F}z_l$ for some $1 \leq l \leq q$. If $l \neq 1$, we can replace f with $\hat{\rho}f$, for $\rho = (1, l)$, so that we can assume $f(z_1) \in \mathbb{F}z_1$. And, in the same way, we can assume that $f(z_l) \in \mathbb{F}z_l$ for $1 \leq l \leq q$. Our new f belongs to $\operatorname{Stab}(\Gamma^r)$.

The proof finishes if we observe that $\bar{\sigma}\mu'_i = \mu'_{\sigma(i)}\bar{\sigma}$ for all $i \leq r$ and $\sigma \in S_r$, and that $\bar{\sigma}$ as well as μ'_i commute with $\hat{\rho}$ for all $\rho \in S_q$.

Hence, an arbitrary element in $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma^r)$ is

$$[\mu_{i_1}\ldots\mu_{i_s}\tilde{\sigma}\mu'_{j_1}\ldots\mu'_{j_t}\bar{\eta}\hat{\rho}]$$

for $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_s \leq k$, $1 \leq j_1 \leq \cdots \leq j_t \leq r$, $\tilde{\sigma} \in S_k$, $\bar{\eta} \in S_r$, $\hat{\rho} \in S_q$, so that $\mathcal{W}(\Gamma^r)$ is isomorphic to

$$(\mathcal{P}(\{1,\ldots,k\}) \rtimes S_k) \times (\mathcal{P}(\{1,\ldots,r\}) \rtimes S_r) \times S_q$$

with the product as in Section 3, and in a more concise form,

$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma^r) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{r+k} \rtimes (S_k \times S_r \times S_q)$$

5. AN APPLICATION TO HEISENBERG LIE COLOR ALGEBRAS

The base field \mathbb{F} will be supposed throughout this section algebraically closed and of characteristic other than 2, as in Section 4. Lie color algebras were introduced in [35] as a generalization of Lie superalgebras and hence of Lie algebras. This kind of algebras has attracted the interest of several authors in the last years, (see [10, 32, 34, 41, 42]), being also remarkable the important role they play in theoretical physic, specially in conformal field theory and supersymmetries ([38, 40]).

Definition 1. Let G be an abelian group. A skew-symmetric bicharacter of G is a map $\epsilon: G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ satisfying

$$\epsilon(g_1, g_2) = \epsilon(g_2, g_1)^{-1},$$

$$\epsilon(g_1, g_2 + g_3) = \epsilon(g_1, g_2)\epsilon(g_1, g_3)$$

for any $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$.

Observe that $\epsilon(g, 0) = 1$ for any $g \in G$, where 0 denotes the identity element of G.

Definition 2. Let $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ be a *G*-graded \mathbb{F} -vector space. For a nonzero homogeneous element $v \in L$, denote by deg v the unique element in *G* such that $v \in L_{\text{deg } v}$. We shall say

that L is a Lie color algebra if it is endowed with an \mathbb{F} -bilinear map (the Lie color bracket)

 $[\cdot,\cdot]\colon L\times L\longrightarrow L$

satisfying $[L_g, L_h] \subset L_{g+h}$ for all $g, h \in G$ and

 $[v, w] = -\epsilon(\deg v, \deg w)[w, v],$ (color skew-symmetry)

 $[v, [w, t]] = [[v, w], t] + \epsilon (\deg v, \deg w)[w, [v, t]],$ (Jacobi color identity)

for all homogeneous elements $v, w, t \in L$ and for some skew-symmetric bicharacter ϵ .

Two Lie color algebras are *isomorphic* if they are isomorphic as graded algebras.

Clearly any Lie algebra is a Lie color algebra and also Lie superalgebras are examples of Lie color algebras (take $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $\epsilon(i, j) = (-1)^{ij}$, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$).

Heisenberg Lie color algebras have been previously considered in the literature (see [27]). Fixed a skew-symmetric bicharacter $\epsilon \colon G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, a Heisenberg Lie color algebra H is defined in [27] as a G-graded vector space, where G is a torsion-free abelian group with a basis $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_n\}$, in the form

$$H = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}p_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{F}q_j \oplus \mathbb{F}c$$

where $p_i \in H_{\epsilon_i}$, $q_j \in H_{-\epsilon_j}$, and $c \in H_0$; and where the Lie color bracket is given by $[p_i, q_i] = \delta_{ij}c$ and $[p_i, p_j] = [q_i, q_j] = [p_i, c] = [c, p_i] = [q_j, c] = [c, q_j] = 0$.

Observe that, following this definition, the class of Heisenberg superalgebras is not contained in the one of Heisenberg Lie color algebras. Hence, this definition seems to us very restrictive. So let us briefly discuss about the concept of Heisenberg Lie color algebras. Any Heisenberg algebra (respectively Heisenberg superalgebra) H is characterized among the Lie algebras (respectively among the Lie superalgebras) for satisfying $[H, H] = \mathcal{Z}(H)$ and dim $(\mathcal{Z}(H)) = 1$. Hence, it is natural to introduce the following definition. **Definition 3.** A Heisenberg Lie color algebra is a Lie color algebra L such that $[L, L] = \mathcal{Z}(L)$ and $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(L)) = 1$.

Examples.

1. The above so called Heisenberg Lie color algebras, given in [27], satisfy these conditions and so can be seen as a particular case of the ones given by Definition 3. As examples of Heisenberg Lie color algebras we also have the Heisenberg algebras ($G = \{0\}$) and the Heisenberg superalgebras ($G = \{\mathbb{Z}_2\}$). We can also consider any Heisenberg *G*-graded algebra as a Heisenberg Lie color algebra for the group *G* and the trivial bicharacter given by $\epsilon(g, h) = 1$ for all $g, h \in G$.

2. Any *G*-graded $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ Heisenberg superalgebra $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ gives rise to a Heisenberg Lie color algebra relative to the group $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and an adequate skew-symmetric bicharacter ϵ . In fact, we just have to $(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$ -graduate L as $L = \bigoplus_{(g,i) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_2} M_{(g,i)}$ where $M_{(g,i)} = M_g$ with $M_{(g,i)} \subset L_i$, and define $\epsilon : (G \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \times (G \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \to \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ as $\epsilon((g,i), (h, j)) := (-1)^{ij}$. Observe that both gradings on L are equivalent.

3. Consider some $g_0 \in G$, a graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$ such that $\dim(V_g) = \bigcup_{g \in G} V_g$ such that $\dim(V_g) = \bigcup_{g \in G} V_g$.

dim (V_{-g+g_0}) for any $g \notin \{g_0, 0\}$ and dim $(V_{g_0}) = \dim(V_0) + 1$ in case $g_0 \neq 0$; and any skew-symmetric bicharacter $\epsilon : G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ satisfying $\epsilon(g,g) = -1$ for any $g \in G$ such that $2g = g_0$ and $V_g \neq 0$. Fix bases $\{z, u_{g_0,1}, ..., u_{g_0,n_{g_0}}\}$ and $\{\hat{u}_{g_0,1}, ..., \hat{u}_{g_0,n_{g_0}}\}$ of V_{g_0} and V_0 respectively when $g_0 \neq 0$ or $\{z, u_{g_0,1}, ..., u_{g_0,n_{g_0}}, \hat{u}_{g_0,1}, ..., \hat{u}_{g_0,n_{g_0}}\}$ when $g_0 = 0$. For any subset $\{g, -g + g_0\} \neq \{g_0, 0\}$ of G, fix also basis $\{u_{g,1}, ..., u_{g,n_g}\}$ and $\{\hat{u}_{g,1}, ..., \hat{u}_{g,n_g}\}$ of V_g and V_{-g+g_0} in case $2g \neq g_0$ and $\{u_{g,1}, ..., u_{g,n_g}\}$ basis of V_g in case $2g = g_0$. Then by defining a product on V given by $[u_{g,i}, \hat{u}_{g,i}] = z$, $[\hat{u}_{g,i}, u_{g,i}] =$ $-\epsilon(-g + g_0, g)z$ in the cases g = 0 or $2g \neq g_0$, $[u_{g,i}, u_{g,i}] = z$ in the cases $2g = g_0$ with $g \neq 0$, and the remaining brackets zero, for any subset $\{g, -g + g_0\}$ of G, we get that Vbecomes a Heisenberg Lie color algebra that we call of type (G, g_0, ϵ) . We note that for an easier notation we allow empty basis in the above definition which correspond to trivial subspaces $\{0\}$.

Lemma 3. A Heisenberg Lie color algebra L of type (G, g_0, ϵ) is a graded Heisenberg superalgebra if and only if $\epsilon(g, -g + g_0) \in \{\pm 1\}$ for any $g \in G$ such that $L_q \neq 0$.

Proof. Suppose L is a grading of a Heisenberg superalgebra $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ and there exists $g \in G$ with $L_g \neq 0$ and such that $\epsilon(g, -g + g_0) \notin \{\pm 1\}$. Since $[L_0, L_1] = 0$, $L_g + L_{-g+g_0} \subset L_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$. By taking either $u_g \in L_g$ and $\hat{u}_g \in L_{-g+g_0}$ in the cases g = 0 or $2g \neq g_0$; or $u_g \in L_g$ in the case $2g = g_0$ with $g \neq 0$, elements of the standard basis of (G, g_0, ϵ) described in Example 3, we have either $0 \neq [u_g, \hat{u}_g] = -\epsilon(g, -g + g_0)[\hat{u}_g, u_g]$ if $2g \neq g_0$ or $0 \neq [u_g, u_g] = -\epsilon(g, -g + g_0)[u_g, u_g]$ if $2g = g_0$, being $\epsilon(g, -g + g_0) \neq \pm 1$, which contradicts the identities of a Lie superalgebra.

Conversely, if $\epsilon(g, -g + g_0) \in \{\pm 1\}$ for any $g \in G$ with $L_g \neq 0$, we can \mathbb{Z}_2 -graduate L as

 $L = \left(\bigoplus_{\{g \in \operatorname{supp}(G): \epsilon(g, -g+g_0)=1\}} L_g\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\{h \in \operatorname{supp}(G): \epsilon(h, -h+g_0)=-1\}} L_h\right),$

this one becoming a Heisenberg Lie superalgebra, graded by the group generated by the support supp $(G) := \{g \in G : L_g \neq 0\}$.

Proposition 3. Any Heisenberg Lie color algebra is isomorphic to a Heisenberg Lie color algebra of type (G, g_0, ϵ) .

Proof. Consider a Heisenberg Lie color algebra $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$. We rule out the trivial

case $G = \{0\}$ which gives the Heisenberg Lie color algebra of type $(\{0\}, 0, 1)$, that is, a Heisenberg algebra with trivial grading. Since $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(L)) = 1$, we can write $\mathcal{Z}(L) = \langle z \rangle$, being $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{g_i}$, with any $0 \neq x_{g_i} \in L_{g_i}$ and $g_i \neq g_j$ if $i \neq j$. If $n \neq 1$, then $[x_{g_i}, L_h] \subset L_{g_i+h} \cap \langle z \rangle = 0$ for any $h \in G$ and $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$. Hence any $x_{g_i} \in \mathcal{Z}(L) = \langle z \rangle$, a contradiction. Thus $z \in L_{g_0}$ for some $g_0 \in G$. Now the fact $[L, L] \subset \mathcal{Z}(L)$ gives us that for any $g \in G$, $[L_g, L_h] = 0$ if $g + h \neq g_0$, and consequently $[L_g, L_{-g+g_0}] \neq 0$ if $g \neq g_0$.

Since for any skew-symmetric bicharacter $\epsilon \colon G \times G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ and $g \in G$ we have $\epsilon(g,g) \in \{\pm 1\}$, we can \mathbb{Z}_2 -graduate L as

$$L = \left(\bigoplus_{\{g \in G: \epsilon(g,g)=1\}} L_g\right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{\{h \in G: \epsilon(h,h)=-1\}} L_h\right)$$

This is a grading on the algebra L since $\epsilon(g+h,g+h) = \epsilon(g,g)\epsilon(g,h)\epsilon(h,g)\epsilon(h,h) = \epsilon(g,g)\epsilon(h,h)$.

Let us distinguish two cases, according to the dichotomy $g_0 = 0$ or $g_0 \neq 0$.

First, assume $g_0 = 0$. For any $g \in G$ it is easy to check that $\epsilon(g, g) = \epsilon(-g, -g) = \epsilon(g, -g) = \epsilon(-g, g) \in \{\pm 1\}$. This fact together with the observations in the previous paragraph tell us that $L = (\bigoplus_{\{g \in G: \epsilon(g,g)=1\}} L_g) \oplus (\bigoplus_{\{h \in G: \epsilon(h,h)=-1\}} L_h)$ is actually a Lie superalgebra, satisfying $[L, L] = \mathcal{Z}(L)$ and dim $(\mathcal{Z}(L)) = 1$, and being the initial Lie color grading a refinement of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading as superalgebra. By arguing as in [14] we easily get that L is of type $(G, 0, \epsilon)$, being also a grading of a Heisenberg superalgebra.

Second, assume that $g_0 \neq 0$. Since $[L, L] \subset \langle z \rangle \subset L_{g_0}$, then $[L_{g_0}, L_{g_0}] \subset L_{2g_0} \cap L_{g_0} =$ 0, so that $L' := L_{g_0} \oplus L_0$ is a Lie algebra (take into consideration $\epsilon(g_0, 0) = \epsilon(0, 0) = 1$). If $L_0 = 0$, then $L' = \langle z \rangle$ and otherwise $[L', L'] = \mathcal{Z}(L')$ with $\dim(\mathcal{Z}(L')) = 1$. In the second situation, we have that $L' = L_{g_0} \oplus L_0$ is a Heisenberg algebra, so that taking into account Section 3, the grading is toral and there exist basis $\{z, u_{g_0,1}, ..., u_{g_0,n_{g_0}}\}$ and $\{\hat{u}_{g_0,1},...,\hat{u}_{g_0,n_{g_0}}\}$ of L_{g_0} and L_0 respectively such that $[u_{g_0,i},\hat{u}_{g_0,i}] = z, [\hat{u}_{g_0,i},u_{g_0,i}] = z$ -z and such that the remaining products in L' are zero. Consider now any subset $\{g, -g+$ $g_0\} \neq \{g_0, 0\}$ of G. In case $L_g \neq 0$, then necessarily $L_{-g+g_0} \neq 0$ and we can distinguish two possibilities. First, if $2g \neq g_0$, the facts $[L_g, L_h] = 0$ if $g + h \neq g_0$ and $[L_g, L_{-g+g_0}] = 0$ $\langle z \rangle$ allow us to apply standard linear algebra arguments to obtain basis $\{u_{q,1}, ..., u_{q,n_q}\}$ and $\{\hat{u}_{g,1}, ..., \hat{u}_{g,n_g}\}$ of L_g and L_{-g+g_0} such that $[u_{g,i}, \hat{u}_{g,i}] = z$, $[\hat{u}_{g,i}, u_{g,i}] = -\epsilon(-g + i)$ $g_0, g_0 z$ and being null the rest of the products among the elements of the basis. Second, in the case $2g = g_0$, a similar argument gives us $\{u_{g,1}, ..., u_{g,n_g}\}$ a basis of L_g such that $[u_{g,i}, u_{g,i}] = z$ with the remaining brackets zero. Also observe that necessarily $\epsilon(g, g) =$ -1 for any $g \in G$ such that $2g = g_0$ and $L_g \neq 0$ because in the opposite case $\epsilon(g,g) = 1$ and then $0 \neq L_g \subset \mathcal{Z}(L) \subset L_{g_0}$, a contradiction. Summarizing, we have showed that L is isomorphic to a Heisenberg Lie color algebra of type (G, g_0, ϵ) with $g_0 \neq 0$.

We finish this section by showing how the results in Section 4 can be applied to the classification of Heisenberg Lie color algebras. Following Lemma 3 and the arguments in the proof of Proposition 3, any Heisenberg Lie color algebra $L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ is isomorphic to a grading of a Heisenberg superalgebra if and only if either $\mathcal{Z}(L) \subset L_0$ or L is of the type (G, g_0, ϵ) with $\epsilon(g, -g + g_0) \in \{\pm 1\}$ for any $g \in G$ such that $L_g \neq 0$. In particular, this is the case of the Heisenberg Lie color algebras considered in [27]. Hence, L is isomorphic to a coarsening of a fine grading $H_{n,m} = \bigoplus_{k \in K} (H_{n,m})_k$ of a Heisenberg

superalgebra. Since it is known the procedure to compute all of the coarsenigs of a given grading when this is given by its universal group grading (see Section 2 and [17]), we can apply Theorem 2 to get the list of all of these Heisenberg Lie color algebras L, in the moment G and K are generated by their supports and K is the universal grading group. Of course this procedure does not hold for a Heisenberg Lie color algebra which is not a grading of a Heisenberg superalgebra.

6. GRADINGS ON TWISTED HEISENBERG ALGEBRAS

In this final section we consider the so called twisted Heisenberg algebras. As mentioned in the introduction, these algebras appear naturally as some of the direct summands of the Lie algebras of connected Lie groups acting isometrically and locally faithfully on compact connected Lorentzian manifolds.

The ground field \mathbb{F} will be assumed to be algebraically closed of characteristic zero.

6.1. Geometric definition of twisted Heisenberg algebra. We follow the approach of [2, Chapter 8], adapted to our context. Take $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in (\mathbb{R}^{\times})^k$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, take $\theta_t : H_n \to H_n$ the automorphism of the Lie algebra given in terms of the basis in Equation (1) by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t(e_j) &= \cos(\lambda_j t) \, e_j + \sin(\lambda_j t) \, \hat{e}_j, \\ \theta_t(\hat{e}_j) &= -\sin(\lambda_j t) \, e_j + \cos(\lambda_j t) \, \hat{e}_j, \\ \theta_t(z) &= z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\{\theta_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ a uniparametric subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(H_n)$. This induces, by monodromy, an action of \mathbb{R} by Lie group automorphisms of \mathfrak{H}_n , the Lie group of H_n . The group $\mathfrak{H}_n^{\lambda} := \mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathfrak{H}_n$ is then called a *twisted Heisenberg group*, and its tangent Lie algebra is called a *twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra*, and it is denoted by H_n^{λ} . According to [2, Lemma 8.2.1], H_n^{λ} has a basis $\{X_1, \ldots, X_k, Y_1, \ldots, Y_k, W, Z\}$ such that $[X_i, Y_i] = Z$ (a central element), $[W, X_i] = \lambda_i Y_i$ and $[W, Y_i] = -\lambda_i X_i$.

6.2. Algebraic definition of twisted Heisenberg algebra. Consider the Heisenberg algebra H_n over our algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} and take d to be any derivation of H_n . Then one can define in $\mathbb{F} \times H_n$ the product

$$[(\lambda, a), (\mu, b)] := (0, \lambda d(b) - \mu d(a) + [a, b]),$$

for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $a, b \in H_n$. This defines a Lie algebra structure in $\mathbb{F} \times H_n$ and we will denote this Lie algebra by H_n^d . If we define u = (1, 0), then $H_n^d = \mathbb{F}u \oplus H_n$ and its product can be rewritten as $[\lambda u + a, \mu u + b] = \lambda [u, b] - \mu [u, a] + [a, b]$. Thus, $d = \mathrm{ad}(u)|_{H_n}$. If d_1 and d_2 are derivations of H_n such that $H_n = \mathrm{Im}(d_i) + Fz$, it is easy to see that $H_n^{d_1} \cong H_n^{d_2}$ if and only if there is some nonzero scalar λ_0 , an element $x_0 \in H_n$, and an automorphism $\theta \in \mathrm{Aut}(H_n)$ such that $\theta(\lambda_0 d_1 + \mathrm{ad}(x_0))\theta^{-1} = d_2$. In particular if the two derivations d_1 and d_2 are in the same orbit on the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(H_n)$ on $\mathrm{Der}(H_n)$ by conjugation, then both algebras $H_n^{d_1}$ and $H_n^{d_2}$ are isomorphic.

There is a more intrinsic definition of this kind of algebras which is equivalent to that of H_n^d . On one hand, the Lie algebra H_n^d fits in a split exact sequence

$$0 \to H_n \xrightarrow{i} H_n^d \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{F} \to 0$$

where *i* is the inclusion map and $p(\lambda, a) = \lambda$ for any $a \in H_n$. The sequence is split because we can define $j: \mathbb{F} \to H_n^d$ by j(1) = (1, 0) and then $pj = 1_{\mathbb{F}}$. On the other hand if we consider any algebra A which is a split extension of the type

$$0 \to H_n \to A \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{F} \to 0$$

then A is isomorphic to some H_n^d for a suitable derivation d of H_n .

Observe that H_n^d is isomorphic to H_n^λ if one takes the particular derivation of H_n given in terms of the basis $\{z, e_1, \hat{e}_1, ..., e_k, \hat{e}_k\}$ of H_n (n = 2k + 1) by d(z) = 0, $d(e_i) = \lambda_i \hat{e}_i$ and $d(\hat{e}_i) = -\lambda_i e_i$ for a fixed k-tuple $\lambda := (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k) \in (\mathbb{Q}^{\times})^k \subset (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^k$, since $[u, e_i] = \lambda_i \hat{e}_i$ while $[u, \hat{e}_i] = -\lambda_i e_i$. This motivates that, for any choice of $\lambda \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^k$, we denote such an algebra by H_n^λ and we call it also a *twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra*, although algebraically it is certain extension of H_n more than a twist. A definition depending on a basis is convenient because of our necessity of making explicit computations when dealing with fine gradings. Besides note that under a suitable change of coordinates, the basis $\{z, u, e_1, \hat{e}_1, ..., e_k, \hat{e}_k\}$ can be chosen to satisfy

(6)
$$[e_i, \hat{e}_i] = \lambda_i z$$
, $[u, e_i] = \lambda_i \hat{e}_i$ and $[u, \hat{e}_i] = \lambda_i e_i$,

where we have used $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{F}$. Thus, to fix the ideas we give the following:

Definition 4. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k) \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^k$, k > 0. The corresponding twisted Heisenberg algebra H_n^{λ} of dimension n = 2k + 2 is the Lie algebra spanned by the elements

(7)
$$\{z, u, e_1, \hat{e}_1, ..., e_k, \hat{e}_k\}$$

and the nonvanishing Lie brackets are given by Equation (6) for any i = 1, ..., k.

As before, $H_n^{\lambda} = \mathbb{F}u \oplus H_n$ where H_n can be identified with the subalgebra spanned by $\{z, e_1, \hat{e}_1, ..., e_k, \hat{e}_k\}$. This algebra is not nilpotent, but it is solvable, since $[H_n^{\lambda}, H_n^{\lambda}] = H_n$.

The isomorphism condition given above in terms of derivations can be applied to H_n^{λ} and so a direct argument proves that given $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^n$ and $\mu = (\mu_i) \in (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^n$, one has $H_n^{\lambda} \cong H_n^{\mu}$ if and only if there is a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ and a scalar $k \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ such that $\mu_i = k \lambda_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \leq k$.

6.3. Torality and basic examples. We are now dealing with two fine gradings on H_n^{λ} which will be relevant to our work. One of them is toral while the other is not.

A fine grading on H_n^{λ} is obviously provided by our basis in Equation (7):

$$H_n^{\lambda} = \langle z \rangle \oplus \langle u \rangle \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^k \langle e_i \rangle) \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^k \langle \hat{e}_i \rangle).$$

Again it is also a group grading. In order to find G_0 the universal grading group, note that necessarily (we denote deg x = g when $x \in (H_n^{\lambda})_g$) the following assertions about the degrees are verified:

$$\deg e_i + \deg \hat{e}_i = \deg z, \deg e_i + \deg u = \deg \hat{e}_i, \deg \hat{e}_i + \deg u = \deg e_i.$$

Hence deg $u \in G_0$ has order 1 or 2 and $2 \deg e_i = \deg z + \deg u$, so that deg z can be chosen with freedom and $2(\deg e_i - \deg \hat{e}_i) = 0$ (providing k - 1 more order two elements). The universal grading group G_0 is the abelian group with generators deg u, deg z, deg e_i , deg \hat{e}_i and relations above. It can be computed to be $G_0 = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{k-1}$ and the grading is given as follows:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_{1}: & (H_{n}^{\lambda})_{(2;\bar{1};\bar{0},...,\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle z \rangle, \\ & (H_{n}^{\lambda})_{(0;\bar{1};\bar{0},...,\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle u \rangle, \\ & (H_{n}^{\lambda})_{(1;\bar{1};\bar{0},...,\bar{1},...,\bar{0})} = \langle e_{i} \rangle \ (\bar{1} \text{ in the } i\text{-th slot when } i \neq k), \\ & (H_{n}^{\lambda})_{(1;\bar{0};\bar{0},...,\bar{1},...,\bar{0})} = \langle \hat{e}_{i} \rangle, \\ & (H_{n}^{\lambda})_{(1;\bar{1};\bar{0},...,\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle e_{k} \rangle, \\ & (H_{n}^{\lambda})_{(1;\bar{0};\bar{0},...,\bar{0},...,\bar{0})} = \langle \hat{e}_{k} \rangle. \end{split}$$

The arguments about torality in Section 2 imply that this fine grading is nontoral. Now we will find a toral fine grading. First note that, for

(8)
$$u_i := e_i + \hat{e}_i, \\ v_i := e_i - \hat{e}_i,$$

the following relations are satisfied:

$$\begin{split} [u, u_i] &= \lambda_i u_i, \\ [u, v_i] &= -\lambda_i v_i, \\ [u_i, v_i] &= -2\lambda_i z \end{split}$$

Proposition 4.

- a) The group of automorphisms of H^λ_n which diagonalize relative to the basis B := {u, z} ∪ {u_i, v_i | i = 1,...,k} is a maximal torus. It is given by the linear group whose elements are the matrices diag(1, γ, ..., α_i, γα⁻¹_i,...).
- b) In any toral grading Γ of H^λ_n the identity element of the grading group is in the support of Γ.

Proof. Denote by T the group of automorphisms of H_n^{λ} which diagonalize relative to the above mentioned basis. Let $f \in T$ and write $f(u) = \eta u$, $f(z) = \gamma z$, $f(u_i) = \alpha_i u_i$ and $f(v_i) = \beta_i v_i$ with $\eta, \gamma, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. Applying f to $[u, u_i] = \lambda_i u_i$ we get $\eta \alpha_i = \alpha_i$, hence $\eta = 1$. Moreover, since $[u_i, v_i] = -2\lambda_i z$, again applying f we get $\alpha_i \beta_i = \gamma$, hence $\beta_i = \gamma \alpha_i^{-1}$. We observe that $T \cong (\mathbb{F}^{\times})^{k+1}$ is a torus. To prove its maximality take an automorphism g commuting with each element in T. Then it must preserve the simultaneous eigenspaces relative to the elements in T. These simultaneous eigenspaces are $\mathbb{F}u$, $\mathbb{F}z$, and all the spaces $\mathbb{F}u_i$ and $\mathbb{F}v_i$. Thus g must diagonalize B and so $g \in T$.

Finally take a toral grading Γ of H_n^{λ} with grading group G. Consider the associated action $\rho: \chi(G) \to \operatorname{Aut}(H_n^{\lambda})$ where $\chi(G) := \hom(G, \mathbb{F}^{\times})$ is the character group. The torality of Γ implies that $\operatorname{Im}(\rho)$ is contained in a maximal torus of $\operatorname{Aut}(H_n^{\lambda})$. Since any two maximal tori are conjugated, we may assume that $\operatorname{Im}(\rho) \subset T$. Hence u is fixed by any element in $\operatorname{Im}(\rho)$ and so it is in the zero homogeneous component $(H_n^{\lambda})_0$.

Thus we obtain a toral fine \mathbb{Z}^{1+k} -grading given by

if i = 1, ..., k.

Observe that the zero homogeneous component of the grading Γ_1 is zero, so applying the last assertion in the previous proposition we recover the result that this grading is nontoral.

Our first aim is to prove that under suitable conditions on the vector λ , Γ_1 and Γ_2 are the unique fine gradings up to equivalence. For that, it is useful to note a more general fact: in any grading the element u in Equation (7) can be taken homogeneous, that is, u can be replaced with a homogeneous element satisfying the same relations.

Lemma 4. For each group grading on H_n^{λ} , there is a basis $\{z, u', u'_1, v'_1, \dots, u'_k, v'_k\}$ of H_n^{λ} with u' a homogeneous element of the grading, such that the only nonzero brackets are

16

given by

$$\begin{aligned} [u', u'_i] &= \lambda_i u'_i, \\ [u', v'_i] &= -\lambda_i v'_i, \\ [u'_i, v'_i] &= -2\lambda_i z. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma: L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ be a group grading on $L = H_n^{\lambda}$. As any automorphism leaves invariant $[L, L] = H_n$ and $\mathcal{Z}(L) = \langle z \rangle$, this implies that z is homogeneous and H_n is a graded subspace (the homogeneous components of any element in H_n are again elements in H_n).

Since not every homogeneous element is contained in H_n there must be someone of the form $a = \lambda u + h$ with λ a nonzero scalar and $h \in H_n$. Then $u' := \lambda^{-1}a$ is a homogeneous element and $u' - u \in H_n$. Hence $u' = u + \alpha z + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i v_i$ for some choice of scalars $\alpha, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{F}$. If we take $u'_i = u_i + 2\beta_i z$ and $v'_i = v_i + 2\alpha_i z$, then the basis $\{z, u', u'_i, v'_1, \dots, u'_k, v'_k\}$ trivially satisfies the required conditions.

Consequently, fixed $\Gamma: L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ a group grading on $L = H_n^{\lambda}$, there is not loss of generality in supposing that u is homogeneous. Let us denote by $h \in G$ the degree of u in Γ . Our next aim is to show that h is necessarily of finite order. From now on we are going to denote by φ be the inner derivation

$$\varphi := \operatorname{ad}(u) : H_n^{\lambda} \to H_n^{\lambda}, \qquad x \mapsto [u, x],$$

which is going to be a key tool in the study of the group gradings on H_n^{λ} . If $0 \neq x \in [u, L]$ is a homogeneous element, then there is $g \in G$ such that $x = \sum_i (c_i u_i + d_i v_i) \in L_g$ for some scalars $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{F}$, so that $\varphi^t(x) = \sum_i (c_i u_i + (-1)^t d_i v_i) \lambda_i^t \in L_{g+th}$ is not zero for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Taking into account that at most there are 2k independent elements in the left set

$$\{\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} (c_i u_i + (-1)^t d_i v_i) \lambda_i^t : t = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \subset \langle \{u_1, v_1, \dots, u_k, v_k\} \rangle,\$$

hence there is a positive integer $r \leq 2k$ with $\varphi^r(x) \in \langle \{\varphi^t(x) \mid 0 \leq t < r\} \rangle$. Since $\varphi^r(x) \in L_{g+rh} \cap (\sum_{t < r} L_{g+th})$, necessarily there exists t < r such that g + rh = g + th, so that (r - t)h = 0, as we wanted to show.

Let us denote by l the order of h in G. Recall that the set of eigenvalues of φ is $\{\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k, -\lambda_k, 0, 0\}$ with respective eigenvectors $\{u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_k, v_k, u, z\}$ (recall Equation (8)), so that the set of eigenvalues of $\varphi|_{[u,L]}$ is

$$\{\lambda_1, -\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, -\lambda_k\} = \operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{ad}(u)|_{[u,L]}) =: \operatorname{Spec}(u)$$

Fix some $\lambda_i \in \text{Spec}(u)$, consider the eigenspace of φ given by $V_{\lambda_i} := \{x \in L : \varphi(x) = \lambda_i x\}$ and denote by

$$V_{\lambda_i}^l := \{ x \in L : \varphi^l(x) = \lambda_i^l x \}.$$

It is obviously nonzero, because $u_i \in V_{\lambda_i} \subset V_{\lambda_i}^l$. Moreover, as $V_{\lambda_i}^l$ is invariant under φ , we have that $\varphi|_{V_{\lambda_i}^l}$ is diagonalizable and

(10)
$$V_{\lambda_i}^l = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{l-1} V_{\xi^j \lambda}$$

for ξ a fixed primitive *l*th root of the unit. Note that if $x \in V_{\lambda_i}^l$, then $\sum_{q=0}^{l-1} (\xi^{-j} \lambda_i^{-1})^q \varphi^q(x) \in V_{\xi^j \lambda_i}$ for any $j = 0, \ldots, l-1$.

Recall that if $f \in \text{End}(L)$ satisfies $f(L_g) \subset L_g$ for all $g \in G$, then for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, the eigenspace $\{x \in L : f(x) = \alpha x\}$ is graded. This can be applied to the endomorphism

 φ^l , since $\varphi^l(L_g) \subset L_{g+lh} = L_g$, so that the eigenspace $V_{\lambda_i}^l$ is a graded subspace of L. Thus we can take $0 \neq x \in V_{\lambda_i}^l \cap L_g$ for some $g \in G$. For each $j = 0, \ldots, l-1$, the element $\sum_{q=0}^{l-1} (\xi^{l-j} \lambda_i^{-1})^q \varphi^q(x) \in \sum_{q=0}^{l-1} L_{g+qh}$ must be nonzero, because the involved homogeneous pieces are different (g+qh=g+ph implies (q-p)h=0) and the projection in the component L_g is $x \neq 0$. Consequently $V_{\xi^j \lambda_i} \neq 0$ for all j, so that

(11)
$$\{\xi^j \lambda_i : j = 0, ..., l-1\} \subset \operatorname{Spec}(u)$$

for any $\lambda_i \in \operatorname{Spec}(u)$, and hence $\operatorname{Spec}(u) = \{\pm \xi^j \lambda_i : 0 \le j < l, 1 \le i \le k\}$.

Proposition 5. Assume that λ_i/λ_j is not a root of unit if $i \neq j$. Then the unique fine (group) gradings on H_n^{λ} (up to equivalence) are Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Moreover, the Weyl groups of these fine gradings are $W(\Gamma_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ and $W(\Gamma_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma: L = \bigoplus_{g \in G} L_g$ be a grading on $L = H_n^{\lambda}$. By the above discussion we can suppose that u is homogeneous with degree $h \in G$ of finite order l. Let us show that either l = 1 or l = 2. Otherwise, take ξ a primitive lth root of unit. As $\xi \lambda_1 \neq \pm \lambda_1$ belongs to Spec(u) according to Equation (11), then there is $1 \neq i \leq k$ such that $\xi \lambda_1 \in \{\pm \lambda_i\}$ and hence either $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^l = 1$ or $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1}\right)^{2l} = 1$, what is a contradiction. Hence, we can distinguish two cases.

First consider $h = 0 \in G$. Thus $\varphi(L_g) \subset L_g$ for any g. Restrict $\varphi : [u, L] \to [u, L]$. We can take a basis of homogeneous elements which are eigenvectors for φ . Recall that the spectrum of $\varphi|_{[u,L]}$ consists of $\{\pm \lambda_1, \ldots, \pm \lambda_k\}$. Take $x_1 \neq 0$ some homogeneous element in V_{λ_1} . As $[x_1, V_{-\lambda_1}] \neq 0$, there is some element $y_1 \in V_{-\lambda_1}$ in the above basis such that $[x_1, y_1] = -2\lambda_1 z$ (by scaling y_1 if necessary). Now $[u, L] = W \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ for $W := \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$, where W as well as its centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ are graded and φ -invariant. We continue by induction until finding a basis of homogeneous elements $\{x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_k, y_k\}$ of [u, L] such that $[x_i, y_i] = -2\lambda_i z$, $[u, x_i] = \varphi(x_i) = \lambda_i x_i$ and $[u, y_i] = \varphi(y_i) = -\lambda_i y_i$. Since

$$L = \langle z \rangle \oplus \langle u \rangle \oplus [u, L].$$

we have that the map $u \mapsto u, z \mapsto z, x_i \mapsto u_i$ and $y_i \mapsto v_i$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism which applies Γ into a coarsening of Γ_2 .

Second consider the case when 2h = 0 but $h \neq 0$. Thus φ^2 preserves the grading Γ and it is diagonalizable with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1^2, \lambda_1^2, \ldots, \lambda_k^2, \lambda_k^2, 0, 0\}$ (counting each one with multiplicity 1). Observe that φ applies $\{x \in L : \varphi^2(x) = \lambda_i^2 x\} = V_{\lambda_i}^2$ into itself. Moreover this set is graded for each *i*, because φ^2 preserves the grading. For any $0 \neq x_1 \in V_{\lambda_1}^2 \cap L_g$ a homogeneous element of the grading, $\varphi(x_1)$ is independent with x_1 (otherwise $\varphi(x_1) \in L_g \cap L_{g+h}$ but $h \neq 0$ and $\varphi(x_1) \neq 0$). Take $y_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_1}\varphi(x_1)$, which verifies $\varphi(y_1) = \lambda_1 x_1$. Since our ground field is algebraically closed, it contains the square roots of all its elements, so that if $[x_1, y_1] \neq 0$, we can scale to get $[x_1, y_1] = \lambda_1 z$, and then we can continue because, as before, $[u, L] = W \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ for $W := \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$, where both W and its centralizer are graded and φ -invariant. The case $[x_1, y_1] = 0$ does not occur under the hypothesis of the theorem, since $\lambda_i^2 \neq \lambda_j^2$ if $i \neq j$, so that dim $V_{\lambda_i}^2 = 2$ for all *i*. As $[V_\alpha, V_\beta] = 0$ if $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, this implies that there is $y \in V_{\lambda_1}^2$ with $[x_1, y] \neq 0$ but $V_{\lambda_1}^2 = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle$. To summarize, if *h* has order 2 and $\lambda_i/\lambda_j \notin \{\pm 1\}$, we find a basis of homogeneous elements $\{x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_k, y_k\}$ of [u, L] such that $[x_i, y_i] = \lambda_i z, [u, x_i] = \lambda_i y_i$ and $[u, y_i] = \lambda_i x_i$, so that the map $u \mapsto u, z \mapsto z, x_i \mapsto e_i$ and $y_i \mapsto \hat{e}_i$ is a Lie algebra isomorphism which applies Γ into a coarsening of Γ_1 .

In order to compute the Weyl groups of these fine gradings, recall that any $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$ verifies $0 \neq f(z) \in \langle z \rangle$. If besides $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$, then $f(u) \in \langle u \rangle$. Otherwise, there would exist some $i \leq k$ such that either $f(e_i) \in \langle u \rangle$ or $f(\hat{e}_i) \in \langle u \rangle$, so that $0 \neq f(\lambda_i z) = [f(e_i), f(\hat{e}_i)] \in [u, L] \cap \langle z \rangle = 0$. Consider for each index $i \leq k$, the element in $\operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_1)$ defined by $\mu_i(e_i) = i\hat{e}_i, \mu_i(\hat{e}_i) = ie_i, \mu_i(e_j) = e_j, \mu_i(\hat{e}_j) = \hat{e}_j$ for each $j \neq i, \mu_i(z) = z$ and $\mu_i(u) = u$. Note that if r = 1, ..., k, there are not any $i, j \leq k$ such that $f(e_i) \in \langle e_r \rangle$ and $f(e_j) \in \langle \hat{e}_r \rangle$. Hence we can compose f with some μ_i 's if necessary to obtain that $f' := \mu_{i_1} \cdots \mu_{i_s} f$ satisfies

$$f'(e_i) \in \langle e_1 \rangle \cup \langle e_2 \rangle \cup \cdots \cup \langle e_k \rangle$$

for each i = 1, ..., k. Thus, there is $\sigma \in S_k$ such that $f'(z) = \mu z$, $f'(u) = \beta u$, $f'(e_i) = \gamma_i e_{\sigma(i)}$ and $f'(\hat{e}_i) = \gamma'_i \hat{e}_{\sigma(i)}$ for any i = 1, ..., k, with $\mu, \beta, \gamma_i, \gamma'_i \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. From here, the equality $f'([u, e_i]) = [f'(u), f'(e_i)]$ implies

(12)
$$\gamma'_i \lambda_i = \beta \gamma_i \lambda_{\sigma(i)},$$

and finally the condition $f'([u, \hat{e}_i]) = [f'(u), f'(\hat{e}_i)]$ allows us to assert

(13)
$$\gamma_i \lambda_i = \beta \gamma'_i \lambda_{\sigma(i)}$$

From Equations (12) and (13) we easily get $\lambda_{\sigma(i)} \in \pm \beta^{-1}\lambda_i$ for any i = 1, ..., k. By multiplying, $\prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_{\sigma(i)} \in \pm \beta^{-k} \prod_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ so that $\beta^{2k} = 1$. As $\lambda_{\sigma(i)}/\lambda_i$ is not a root of unit if $\sigma(i) \neq i$, we conclude that $\sigma = id$, so that $f' \in \text{Stab}(\Gamma_1)$. In other words, since $\mu_i \mu_j = \mu_j \mu_i$,

$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma_1) = \{ [\mu_{i_1} \dots \mu_{i_s}] : 1 \le i_1 \le \dots \le i_s \le k \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^k,$$

where [] is used for denoting the class of an element of $Aut(\Gamma_1)$ modulo $Stab(\Gamma_1)$.

For the other case, define the automorphism $\mu \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_2)$ by means of $\mu(u_i) = \mathbf{i}v_i$ and $\mu(v_i) = \mathbf{i}u_i$ for all $i, \mu(z) = z$ and $\mu(u) = -u$. Consider $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_2)$, and note that again there is $\beta \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ such that $f(u) = \beta u$. If $f(u_i)$ is a multiple of either u_j or v_j for some j, this clearly implies that $f(v_i)$ also is, so that there is $\sigma \in S_k$ such that $f(u_i) \in \langle u_{\sigma(i)} \rangle \cup \langle v_{\sigma(i)} \rangle$ for all $i \leq k$. As $\beta[u, f(u_i)] = \lambda_i f(u_i)$, then $\lambda_i \in \{\pm \beta \lambda_{\sigma(i)}\}$. Multiplying as in the above case, we get that β is a root of unit, and again we conclude that $\sigma = \mathrm{id}$. By composing with μ if necessary, we can assume that $f(u_1) \in \langle u_1 \rangle$, which implies $\beta = 1$. If $f(u_i) \in \langle v_i \rangle$ for some i, then $\beta = -1$, which is a contradiction, so that $f(u_i) \in \langle u_i \rangle$ for all i and f belongs to Stab(Γ_2). We have then proved that

$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma_2) = \langle [\mu] \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

6.4. Fine gradings on twisted Heisenberg algebras. In the general case (possible roots of the unit among the fractions of λ_i 's), the situation is much more involved. On one hand, a lot of different fine gradings arise, and on the other hand even the Weyl groups of Γ_1 and Γ_2 change. There is a lot of symmetry in the related twisted Lie algebra, and its fine gradings are also symmetric. In order to figure out what is happening, we previously need to show a couple of key examples.

First, for ξ a primitive *l*th root of the unit and α a nonzero scalar, we consider the twisted Heisenberg algebra H_{2l+2}^{λ} corresponding to

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) = (\xi \alpha, \xi^2 \alpha, \dots, \xi^{l-1} \alpha, \alpha).$$

Thus $[u, u_i] = \xi^i \alpha u_i, [u, v_i] = -\xi^i \alpha v_i$ and $[u_i, v_i] = -2\xi^i \alpha z$ for i = 1, ..., l, with the definition of u_i 's and v_i 's as in Equation (8). Take now

(14)
$$\begin{aligned} x_j &= \sum_{i=1}^l \xi^{ji} u_i, \\ y_j &= -\frac{1}{2l} \sum_{i=1}^l (-1)^j \xi^{(j-1)i} v_i \end{aligned}$$

if j = 1, ..., l. These elements verify $[u, x_j] = \alpha x_{j+1}$ and $[u, y_j] = \alpha y_{j+1}$ for all $j \le l-1$. Besides $[x_i, y_j] = (-1)^j \frac{\alpha}{l} (\sum_{r=1}^l \xi^{r(i+j)}) z$ is not zero if and only if i + j = l, 2l, and in such a case $[x_l, y_l] = (-1)^l \alpha z$ and $[x_i, y_{l-i}] = (-1)^{l-i} \alpha z$ for i = 1, ..., l-1. Note that obviously $\{x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_l, y_l\}$ is a family of independent vectors such that $[x_i, x_j] = 0 = [y_i, y_j]$ for all i, j.

Therefore we have a fine grading on $L = H_{2l+2}^{\lambda}$ over the group

$$G = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_l,$$

given by

(15)
$$\begin{array}{l} L_{(0,0,\bar{1})} = \langle u \rangle, \\ L_{(1,1,\bar{0})} = \langle z \rangle, \\ L_{(1,0,\bar{i})} = \langle x_i \rangle, \\ L_{(0,1,\bar{i})} = \langle y_i \rangle, \end{array}$$

for all i = 1, ..., l.

Take $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$ such that $\gamma^l = (-1)^l$, and consider the automorphisms $\theta, \vartheta \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$ defined by

(16)
$$\begin{array}{l} \theta(x_i) = x_{i+1}, \quad \theta(y_i) = y_{i-1}, \quad \theta(z) = -z, \quad \theta(u) = u; \\ \vartheta(x_i) = \gamma^i y_i, \quad \vartheta(y_i) = -\gamma^i x_i, \quad \vartheta(z) = z, \quad \vartheta(u) = \gamma u; \end{array}$$

where the indices are taken modulo 2l. It is not difficult to check that the Weyl group of the grading described in Equation (15) is generated by the classes $[\theta]$ and $[\vartheta]$, elements of order l and 2 respectively which do not commute, so that the Weyl group is isomorphic to the Dihedral group D_l .

This example motivates the following definition.

Definition 5. Let *L* be any Lie algebra, $z \in L$ a fixed element, *u* an arbitrary element and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. A set $B_l^I(u, \alpha)$, which will be referred as a block of type *I*, is given by a family of 2*l* independent elements in *L*,

$$B_l^I(u, \alpha) = \{x_1, y_1, \dots, x_l, y_l\},\$$

satisfying that the only non-vanishing products among them are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & [u, x_i] = \alpha x_{i+1} & \forall i = 1, \dots, l-1, \\ & [u, x_l] = \alpha x_1, \\ & [u, y_i] = \alpha y_{i+1} & \forall i = 1, \dots, l-1, \\ & [u, y_l] = (-1)^l \alpha y_1, \\ & [x_i, y_{l-i}] = (-1)^{l-i} \alpha z & \forall i = 1, \dots, l-1, \\ & [x_l, y_l] = (-1)^l \alpha z. \end{aligned}$$

As a second example, fix ζ a primitive 2*l*th root of the unit and α a nonzero scalar. Consider now the twisted Heisenberg algebra H_{2l+2}^{λ} corresponding to

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) = (\zeta \alpha, \zeta^2 \alpha, \dots, \zeta^{l-1} \alpha, -\alpha).$$

Again $[u, u_i] = \zeta^i \alpha u_i, [u, v_i] = -\zeta^i \alpha v_i$ and $[u_i, v_i] = -2\zeta^i \alpha z$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$. Take now

(17)
$$x_j = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\sqrt{l}} \sum_{i=1}^l (u_i + (-1)^{j-1} v_i) \zeta^{(j-1)i}$$

for each integer j. Observe that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{2l}\}$ is a family of linearly independent elements satisfying $[u, x_j] = \alpha x_{j+1}$ for any $j = 1, \ldots, 2l - 1$ and $[u, x_{2l}] = \alpha x_1$. A direct computation gives

(18)
$$[x_i, x_j] = \frac{1}{2l} \alpha((-1)^i + (-1)^{j-1}) (\sum_{k=1}^l \zeta^{(i+j-1)k}) z_{k-1} z$$

for any *i* and *j*. If i + j - 1 = 2l, then *i* and j - 1 are either both odd or both even and $[x_i, x_{2l+1-i}] = (-1)^i \alpha z \neq 0$. Hence,

$$[x_1, x_{2l}] = -[x_2, x_{2l-1}] = \dots = (-1)^{l-1} [x_l, x_{l+1}].$$

Again Equation (18) tells us that the remaining brackets are zero: if r = i + j - 1 is odd, then $(-1)^i + (-1)^{j-1} = 0$, and, if $r = i + j - 1 \neq 2l$ is even, then $\sum_{k=1}^l \zeta^{rk} = 0$ since ζ^2 is a primitive *l*th root of unit ($\zeta^{rl} = 1$ with $\zeta^r \neq 1$).

We note that this provides a fine grading on H_{2l+2}^{λ} over the group

$$G = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2l}$$

given by

$$L_{(0,\overline{1})} = \langle u \rangle, L_{(2,\overline{1})} = \langle z \rangle, L_{(1,\overline{i})} = \langle x_i \rangle,$$

for i = 1, ..., 2l.

Take $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}(L)$ defined by

(19)
$$\rho(x_i) = x_{l+i}, \quad \rho(z) = (-1)^l z, \quad \rho(u) = u_{l+i}$$

for all $i = 1, ..., 2l \pmod{2l}$. This time the Weyl group of the grading is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 , since it is easily proved to be generated by the class $[\rho]$.

This example gives rise to the next concept.

Definition 6. Let L be any Lie algebra, $z \in L$ a fixed element, $u \in L$ an arbitrary element and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$. A set $B_l^{II}(u, \alpha)$, which will be referred as a block of type II, is given by a family of 2l independent elements in L,

$$B_l^{II}(u,\alpha) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{2l}\},\$$

satisfying that the only non-vanishing products among them are the following:

$$[u, x_i] = \alpha x_{i+1} \qquad \forall i = 1, \dots, 2l \ (\text{mod } 2l), \\ [x_i, x_{2l-i+1}] = (-1)^i \alpha z \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 2l.$$

In fact, all of the gradings on a twisted Heisenberg algebra can be described with blocks of types I and II, according to the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let Γ be a *G*-grading on $L = H_n^{\lambda}$. Let $z \in \mathcal{Z}(L)$. Then there exist $u \in L$, positive integers l, s, r such that l(r+2s) = 2k = n-2 (r = 0 when l is odd) and scalars $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \{\pm \lambda_1, \ldots, \pm \lambda_k\}$ such that

(20)
$$\{z, u\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} B_{l}^{I}(u, \beta_{j})\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} B_{\frac{l}{2}}^{II}(u, \alpha_{i})\right)$$

is a basis of homogeneous elements of Γ , being zero the bracket of any two elements belonging to different blocks.

Proof. Recall that z is always a homogeneous element. By Lemma 4 and the arguments below, we can assume that u is also homogeneous of degree $h \in G$, necessarily of finite order. Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the order of h. Take $\varphi = \operatorname{ad}(u)$ and consider again the subspaces V_{λ_i} and $V_{\lambda_i}^l$. Recall that $V_{\lambda_i}^l$ is a φ -invariant graded subspace for all $\lambda_i \in \operatorname{Spec}(u)$.

Let us discuss first the case that l is odd. Fix any $0 \neq x \in V_{\lambda_1}^l \cap L_g$ for some $g \in G$. Since each $\varphi^i(x) \in L_{g+ih}$, we have that

$$\{x,\varphi(x),...,\varphi^{l-1}(x)\}$$

is a family of linearly independent elements of L. Now observe that Equation (10), together with the fact that l is odd, says that $[V_{\lambda_1}^l, V_{\lambda_1}^l] = 0$ and $[V_{\lambda_1}^l, V_{-\lambda_1}^l] \neq 0$. From here,

(21)
$$[\varphi^{i}(x),\varphi^{j}(x)] = 0 \text{ for any } i, j = 0, 1, ..., l-1,$$

and we can take a nonzero homogeneous element $0 \neq y \in V_{-\lambda_1}^l \cap L_p$ such that $[x, y] \neq 0$. By scaling if necessary, we can suppose $[x, y] = \lambda_1 z$, being then deg z = g + p. As above, we also have that

$$\{y,\varphi(y),...,\varphi^{l-1}(y)\}$$

is a family of linearly independent elements of L satisfying

(22)
$$[\varphi^i(y), \varphi^j(y)] = 0 \text{ for any } i, j = 0, 1, ..., l - 1.$$

Taking into account that $\varphi^i(x) \in L_{g+ih}$ and $\varphi^j(y) \in L_{p+jh}$, we get that if $[\varphi^i(x), \varphi^j(y)] \neq 0$, then $g + p + (i+j)h = \deg z = g + p$, which is only possible if i + j is a multiple of l. That is, for each $0 \leq i, j < l$,

(23)
$$[\varphi^i(x),\varphi^j(y)] = 0 \text{ if } i+j \neq 0,l.$$

Also note that

(24)
$$[\varphi^{i}(x),\varphi^{l-i}(y)] = (-1)^{l-i}\lambda_{1}^{l}[x,y] \neq 0$$

Indeed, take ξ a primitive *l*th root of the unit and write $x = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} a_j$ and $y = \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} b_j$ for $a_j \in V_{\xi^j \lambda_1}$ and $b_j \in V_{-\xi^j \lambda_1}$, taking into consideration Equation (10). Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\varphi^{i}(x),\varphi^{l-i}(y)] &= \sum_{j,k} [(\xi)^{ji} \lambda_{1}^{i} a_{j}, (-\xi^{k})^{l-i} \lambda_{1}^{l-i} b_{k}] = \\ &= \lambda_{1}^{l} (-1)^{l-i} \sum_{j} (\xi)^{ji} (\xi)^{j(l-i)} [a_{j}, b_{j}] = (-1)^{l-i} \lambda_{1}^{l} [x, y]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally note that the family $\{x, \varphi(x), ..., \varphi^{l-1}(x), y, \varphi(y), ..., \varphi^{l-1}(y)\}$ is linearly independent. Indeed, in the opposite case some $\varphi^i(y) = \beta \varphi^j(x), \beta \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, because we are dealing with a family of homogeneous elements, and then $[\varphi^i(y), \varphi^{l-j}(y)] = \beta[\varphi^j(x), \varphi^{l-j}(y)] \neq 0$, what contradicts Equation (22).

Taking into account Equations (21), (22), (23) and (24), we have that

$$\{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\lambda_1}, \frac{\varphi(y)}{\lambda_1}, ..., \frac{\varphi^i(x)}{\lambda_1^i}, \frac{\varphi^i(y)}{\lambda_1^i}, ..., \frac{\varphi^l(x)}{\lambda_1^l} = x, \frac{\varphi^l(y)}{\lambda_1^l} = (-1)^l y\}$$

is a block $B_l^{I}(u, \lambda_1)$ of type I.

Now $[u, L] = W \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ for $W := \langle B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u, \lambda_1) \rangle$, where W as well as its centralizer are graded and φ -invariant. We continue by iterating this process on $\mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ until finding a basis of [u, L] formed by $s = \frac{k}{l}$ blocks of type I of homogeneous elements.

Now consider the case with l even. If we think as above of the linear subspace $0 \neq V_{\lambda_1}^l$, we have two different cases to distinguish.

Assume first that for any $g \in G$ and any $x \in V_{\lambda_1}^l \cap L_g$ we have $[x, \varphi(x)] = 0$. Fix $0 \neq x \in V_{\lambda_1}^l \cap L_g$ for some $g \in G$, being then $\{x, \varphi(x), ..., \varphi^{l-1}(x)\}$ a family of linearly independent elements of L. By induction on n it is easy to verify, taking into account that φ is a derivation, that for any i = 0, ..., l-1, we have $[\varphi^i(x), \varphi^{i+n}(x)] = 0$ for any n = 1, ..., l. That is, $[\varphi^i(x), \varphi^j(x)] = 0$ for any i, j = 0, ..., l-1.

Since the fact that l is even implies $V_{\lambda_1}^l = V_{-\lambda_1}^l$, we can choose a homogeneous element $0 \neq y \in V_{\lambda_1}^l \cap L_p$, for some $p \in G$, such that $0 \neq [x, y] = \lambda_1 z$. The same arguments that in the odd case say that again

$$\{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\lambda_1},\frac{\varphi(y)}{\lambda_1},...,\frac{\varphi^i(x)}{\lambda_1^i},\frac{\varphi^i(y)}{\lambda_1^i},...,\frac{\varphi^l(x)}{\lambda_1^l},\frac{\varphi^l(y)}{\lambda_1^l}\}$$

is a block $B_l^{I}(u, \lambda_1)$ of type I. Now we can write

$$[u,L] = W \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$$

for $W := \langle B_l^{I}(u, \lambda_1) \rangle$, where W as well as its centralizer are graded and φ -invariant.

Second, assume that there exist $g \in G$ and $0 \neq x \in V_{\lambda_1}^l \cap L_g$ such that $[x, \varphi(x)] \neq 0$. By scaling if necessary, we can assume $[x, \varphi(x)] = \lambda_1^2 z$. We have as above that $\{x, \varphi(x), ..., \varphi^{l-1}(x)\}$ is a family of homogeneous linearly independent elements of L satisfying $[\varphi^i(x), \varphi^j(x)] = 0$ if $i + j \neq 1, l + 1$ (take into account that deg z = 2g + h). Besides Equation (10) allows us to get in a direct way that

$$[\varphi^i(x),\varphi^{l-i+1}(x)] = (-1)^i \lambda_1^l[x,\varphi(x)] \neq 0$$

for any $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Thus the set

$$\{\frac{\varphi(x)}{\lambda_1},...,\frac{\varphi^i(x)}{\lambda_1^i},...,\frac{\varphi^l(x)}{\lambda_1^l}=x\}$$

is a block $B_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\mathrm{II}}(u,\lambda_1)$ of type II. Now we can write

$$(26) [u,L] = W \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$$

for W the vector space spanned by the above block $B_{\frac{l}{2}}^{\mathrm{II}}(u,\lambda_1)$, where W as well as its centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ are graded and φ -invariant.

Taking into account Equations (25) and (26), we can iterate this process on $\mathcal{Z}_{[u,L]}(W)$ until finding the required basis of [u, L] formed by s blocks of type I and r blocks of type II.

Theorem 3 provides, in particular, a description of all the fine gradings on H_n^{λ} . It is clear that each basis as the one in Equation (20) determines a fine grading, which we will denote by

(27)
$$\Gamma(l, s, r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r).$$

For instance, our well known fine gradings of Subsection 6.3 are

$$\Gamma_1 = \Gamma(2, 0, k; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k), \Gamma_2 = \Gamma(1, k, 0; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k),$$

taking into consideration that $\{u_i, \frac{1}{2}v_i\} = B_1^{I}(u, \lambda_i)$ and that $\{\hat{e}_i, e_i\} = B_1^{II}(u, \lambda_i)$.

The point is that the grading in Equation (27) does not necessarily exist for all choice of integers l, s, r such that l(r+2s) = n-2 and all nonzero scalars $\beta_i, \alpha_i \in \text{Spec}(u)$. If we are in the situation of Theorem 3, and take ξ a primitive *l*th root of the unit, then

(28)
$$\operatorname{Spec}(u) = \{\pm \lambda_i : i = 1, \dots, k\} =$$

$$\{\xi^t \beta_j, -\xi^t \beta_j : j = 1, \dots, s, t = 0, \dots, l-1\} \cup \{\xi^t \alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, r, t = 0, \dots, l-1\}.$$

This condition is not only necessary, but sufficient.

Theorem 4. A grading Γ on H_n^{λ} is fine if and only if Γ is isomorphic to

$$\Gamma(l, s, r; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$$

for some $l, s, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $l(r+2s) = 2k = n - 2 \neq 0$ and some scalars $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \{\pm \lambda_1, \ldots, \pm \lambda_k\}$ such that (28) holds, with l even if $r \neq 0$. The universal grading group in this case is

$$\mathbb{Z}_l \times \mathbb{Z}^{s+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{r-1} \quad if \ r \neq 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}_l \times \mathbb{Z}^{s+1} \quad if \ r = 0.$$

Proof. The fact that any fine grading is like the above has been proved in Theorem 3. For the converse, reorder

$$\lambda = (\xi\beta_1, \dots, \xi^l\beta_1, \dots, \xi\beta_s, \dots, \xi^l\beta_s, \zeta\alpha_1, \dots, \zeta^l\alpha_1, \dots, \zeta\alpha_r, \dots, \zeta^l\alpha_r),$$

(with $\zeta^2 = \xi$), what is possible because of (28). Take $\{z, u, u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ a basis as in Equation (8). Take $\{x_1^j, y_1^j, \dots, x_l^j, y_l^j\}$ a block $B_l^{I}(u, \beta_j)$ for each $j \leq s$, chosen as in Equation (14). Take $\{a_1^t, \ldots, a_l^t\}$ a block $B_{\frac{l}{2}}^{\text{II}}(u, \alpha_t)$ for each $t \leq r$, chosen as in Equation (17). Now the union of these blocks as in Equation (20) provides a basis which determines a fine grading (since the product of two elements in the basis is multiple of another one). This grading has universal group $\mathbb{Z}_l \times \mathbb{Z}^s \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^{r-1}$ and it is given by

$$\begin{split} & \deg(x_i^j) = (\overline{i+1}; \, 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0; \, 1; \, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}) \quad (1 \text{ in the } j \text{th slot}), \\ & \deg(y_i^j) = (\overline{i}; \, 0, \dots, -1, \dots, 0; \, 1; \, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}), \\ & \deg(z) = (\overline{1}; \, 0, \dots, 0; \, 2; \, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}), \\ & \deg(u) = (\overline{1}; \, 0, \dots, 0; \, 0; \, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}), \\ & \deg(a_i^t) = (\overline{i}; \, 0, \dots, 0; \, 1; \, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{1}, \dots, \overline{0}) \quad (\overline{1} \text{ in the } t \text{th slot if } t < r), \\ & \deg(a_i^r) = (\overline{i}; \, 0, \dots, 0; \, 1; \, \overline{0}, \dots, \overline{0}). \end{split}$$

In practice, when one wants to know how many gradings are in a particular twisted Heisenberg algebra H_n^{λ} , it is enough to see how many ways are of splitting $\{\pm \lambda_1, \ldots, \pm \lambda_k\}$ in the way described in Equation (28).

Example 1. Let us compute how many fine gradings are there in $L = H_{10}^{(1,1,i,i)}$. As l must divide 8, the possibilities are l = 1, l = 2 with (r, s) = (4, 0), (2, 1), (0, 2) and l = 4 with (r, s) = (2, 0), (0, 1). But we have seven (not six) fine gradings:

- the \mathbb{Z}^5 -grading $\Gamma(1, 4, 0; 1, 1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$ (the only toral fine grading, Γ_2);
- the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ -grading $\Gamma(2, 0, 4; 1, 1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$ (again Γ_1);
- two $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ -gradings: $\Gamma(2, 1, 2; \mathbf{i}, 1, 1)$ and $\Gamma(2, 1, 2; 1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i})$;
- the $\mathbb{Z}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading $\Gamma(2, 2, 0; 1, \mathbf{i})$;
- the Z × Z₄ × Z₂-grading Γ(4, 0, 2; 1, 1);
 the Z² × Z₄-grading Γ(4, 1, 0; 1).

The possibility l = 8 does not happen taking into account that $\pm \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_j}$ is never a primitive eighth root of the unit when $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in \text{Spec}(u)$.

For boarding the problem of the classification of the fine gradings up to equivalence, we need to make some considerations.

Lemma 5. Let L be a Lie algebra, $z \in \mathcal{Z}(L)$, $u \in L$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ such that L contains blocks of types $B_{I}^{\nu}(u, \alpha)$ and $B_{I}^{\nu}(u, \beta)$ for some $\nu \in \{I, II\}$. Then

i) ⟨B^I_l(u, α)⟩ = ⟨B^I_l(u, β)⟩ if and only if (^α/_β)^l = 1 if l is even and (^α/_β)^{2l} = 1 if l is odd.
ii) ⟨B^{II}_l(u, α)⟩ = ⟨B^{II}_l(u, β)⟩ if and only if (^α/_β)^l = 1.

Proof. As usual, denote $\varphi = \operatorname{ad}(u)$ and ξ a primitive *l*th root of the unit. For i), take $B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u, \alpha) = \{x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_l, y_l\}$ and *V* the vector space spanned by these elements. Note that φ^l diagonalizes *V* with the only eigenvalues α^l and $(-1)^l \alpha^l$ (eigenvectors x_i 's and y_i 's respectively). Thus either $\alpha^l = \beta^l$ or $\alpha^l = (-1)^l \beta^l$.

Conversely, let us see that there is a block of type $B_l^{I}(u, \xi\alpha)$ contained in $\langle B_l^{I}(u, \alpha) \rangle$. Indeed, take ζ such that $\zeta^2 = \xi$. The elements $x'_i := \zeta^{1-2i} x_i$ and $y'_i := \zeta^{1-2i} y_i$ constitute a block of type $B_l^{I}(u, \zeta^2\alpha)$. Moreover, if we take δ such that $\delta^2 = \zeta$, then the elements $x'_i := (-1)^i \delta^{1-2i} y_i$ and $y'_i := (-1)^i \delta^{1-2i} x_i$ constitute a block of type $B_l^{I}(u, \zeta\alpha)$ if l is odd.

The case ii) is proved with similar arguments.

These arguments make convenient to consider the following equivalence relation in \mathbb{F}^{\times} . Two nonzero scalars α and β are *m*-related if and only if $(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^m = 1$. The equivalence classes of the element α for the *l*-relation and the 2*l*-relation will be denoted respectively by

$$\widehat{\alpha} := \{ \alpha \xi^t : t = 0, \dots, l-1 \}, \\ \widetilde{\alpha} := \{ \alpha \zeta^t : t = 0, \dots, 2l-1 \},$$

where $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbb{F}$ will denote from now on some fixed *l*th and 2*l*th primitive roots of the unit, respectively.

Theorem 5. Two fine gradings on H_n^{λ} , $\Gamma = \Gamma(l, s, r; \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ and $\Gamma' = \Gamma(l', s', r'; \beta'_1, \ldots, \beta'_s, \alpha'_1, \ldots, \alpha'_r)$, are equivalent if and only if l = l', s = s', r = r' and there are $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$, $\eta \in S_s$ and $\sigma \in S_r$ such that for all $j = 1, \ldots, s$, $\widehat{\varepsilon\beta}'_j = \widehat{\beta}_{\eta(j)}$ if l is odd and $\widetilde{\varepsilon\beta}'_j = \widetilde{\beta}_{\eta(j)}$ if l is even, and for all $i = 1, \ldots, r$, $\widehat{\varepsilon\alpha}'_i = \widehat{\alpha}_{\sigma(i)}$.

Proof. The grading Γ is given by blocks $B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u, \beta_j) = \{x_1^j, y_1^j, \dots, x_l^j, y_l^j\}$ if $j \leq s$, and blocks $B_{\frac{l}{2}}^{\mathrm{II}}(u, \alpha_i) = \{a_1^i, \dots, a_l^i\}$ if $i \leq r$. Also the grading Γ' is given by blocks $B_{l'}^{\mathrm{II}}(u', \beta'_j)$ if $j \leq s'$, and blocks $B_{\frac{l'}{2}}^{\mathrm{II}}(u', \alpha'_i)$ if $i \leq r'$, for some homogeneous element $u' \in L$ (z is fixed).

Let $f: H_n^{\lambda} \to H_n^{\lambda} = L$ be an automorphism applying any homogeneous component of Γ into a homogeneous component of Γ' (take into account that all of them are onedimensional). Note that f applies $\mathcal{Z}(L) = \langle z \rangle$ into itself. We can assume that f(z) = z, by replacing f with the composition of f with the automorphism given by

(29)
$$z \mapsto \alpha^2 z, u \mapsto u, x_i^j \mapsto \alpha x_i^j, y_i^j \mapsto \alpha y_i^j, a_i^t \mapsto \alpha a_i^t$$

for a convenient $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$.

As f leaves $H_n = [L, L]$ invariant, the homogeneous element $f(u) \notin f([L, L])$, so that there is $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ such that $f(u) = \varepsilon u'$. Fixed $j \leq s$, we have that

$$f(x_1^j) \in f(B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u,\beta_j)) = B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(f(u),\beta_j) = B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u',\beta_j/\varepsilon),$$

but the homogeneous element $f(x_1^j)$ must also belong either to some $\langle B_{l'}^{I}(u',\beta'_q)\rangle$ or to some $\langle B_{\frac{l'}{l'}}^{\text{II}}(u', \alpha'_q) \rangle$, and so it is multiple of one of the independent elements forming such blocks (by homogeneity). Observe that the second possibility can be ruled out, because any element x in a block of type I (related to u') verifies that $[x, \varphi^m(x)] = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (being $\varphi = \mathrm{ad}(u')$), while any element x in a block of type II (related to u') verifies that there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[x, \varphi^m(x)] = 0$.

Necessarily l' = l, since the size m of a concrete block of type I can be computed by taking x any of its elements (obviously no problem if we take a nonzero multiple) and then $m \ge 1$ is the minimum integer such that $\varphi^m(x) \in \langle x \rangle$. As $\varepsilon(\operatorname{ad} u')f = f(\operatorname{ad} u)$, the result follows.

The also homogeneous element $f(y_1^j)$ must belong to the same $\langle B_l^I(u', \beta_q') \rangle$, since $[f(x_1^j), f(y_1^j)] \neq 0$ while the bracket of two different blocks is zero. And $f(x_{i+1}^j) =$ $\frac{1}{\beta_j}\varphi(f(x_i^j))$ belongs to $\langle B_l^{\rm I}(u',\beta_q')\rangle$ too for induction on *i*, since the space spanned by the block is φ -invariant. We have proved that $\langle B_l^{I}(u', \beta_j/\varepsilon) \rangle = \langle \{f(x_i^j), f(y_i^j) : i = 1, \ldots, l\} \rangle \subset \langle B_l^{I}(u', \beta'_q) \rangle$, but both spaces have the same dimension 2l, so they coincide. Now we apply Lemma 5(i) to get that $\widehat{\epsilon\beta}'_q = \widehat{\beta}_j$ if l is odd and $\widetilde{\epsilon\beta}'_q = \widetilde{\beta}_j$ if l is even. We proceed analogously with the blocks of type II.

6.5. Weyl groups. Finally, we would like to compute the Weyl groups of the fine gradings on the twisted Lie algebra $L = H_n^{\lambda}$.

Let Γ be a fine grading on L. Then, taking into account Theorem 5, there are $l, s, r \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with n-2 = l(2s+r) such that $\Gamma = \Gamma(l, s, r; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, where the scalars $\beta_i, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}^{\times}$ can be chosen such that

(30)
$$\begin{cases} \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\} = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{s'}, \dots, \delta_{s'}\} & \text{each } \delta_i \text{ repeated } m_i \text{ times,} \\ \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{r'}, \dots, \gamma_{r'}\} & \text{each } \gamma_i \text{ repeated } n_i \text{ times,} \end{cases}$$

(reordering the blocks) and such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\widehat{\delta}_i \neq \widehat{\delta}_j, \ \widehat{\gamma}_i \neq \widehat{\gamma}_j \quad \forall i \neq j & \text{if } l \text{ is even,} \\ &\widetilde{\delta}_i \neq \widetilde{\delta}_j \quad \forall i \neq j & \text{if } l \text{ is odd (so } r = 0). \end{aligned}$$

(Obviously $m_1 + \cdots + m_{s'} = s$ and $n_1 + \cdots + n_{r'} = r$).

A basis of homogeneous elements of this grading is formed by $z \in \mathcal{Z}(L)$, u, blocks $B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u,\beta_j) = \{x_1^j, y_1^j, \dots, x_l^j, y_l^j\} \text{ if } j \leq s \text{, and blocks } B_{\underline{l}}^{\mathrm{II}}(u,\alpha_i) = \{a_1^i, \dots, a_l^i\} \text{ if } i \leq r.$

First observe that each $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{r'}) \in S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_{r'}}$ (that is, $\sigma(n_1 + \cdots + \sigma_{r'}) \in S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_{r'}}$ $n_j + t) = n_1 + \dots + n_j + \sigma_{j+1}(t)$ if $1 \le t \le n_{j+1}$ and each $\eta \in S_{m_1} \times \dots \times S_{m_{s'}}$ allow to define the automorphism $\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ by

$$z\mapsto z,\, u\mapsto u,\, x_i^j\mapsto x_i^{\eta(j)},\, y_i^j\mapsto y_i^{\eta(j)},\, a_i^t\mapsto a_i^{\sigma(t)},$$

which obviously preserves the grading but interchanges the blocks.

We have besides some remarkable elements in the group of automorphisms of the grading which fix all the spaces spanned by the blocks (generalizing Equations (16) and (19)). For each $j \leq s$, consider $\theta_i \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ leaving invariant $\langle \{z, u, x_i^t, y_i^t, a_i^p : i \leq l, p \leq r, t \leq l \rangle$

 $s, t \neq j$ and such that

$$\theta_j(x_i^j) = \mathbf{i} x_{i+1}^j, \qquad \theta_j(y_i^j) = \mathbf{i} y_{i-1}^j$$

(indices taken modulo l). If l is even, we can also consider $\vartheta_j \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ leaving invariant $\langle \{z, u, x_i^t, y_i^t, a_i^p : i \leq l, p \leq r, t \leq s, t \neq j\} \rangle$ and such that

$$\vartheta_j(x_i^j) = y_i^j, \qquad \vartheta_j(y_i^j) = -x_i^j.$$

Finally consider (also for l even) for each $t \leq r$ the automorphism $\varrho_t \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ leaving invariant the subspace $\langle \{z, u, x_i^j, y_i^j, a_i^p : i \leq l, p \leq r, j \leq s, p \neq t\} \rangle$ and such that

$$\varrho_t(a_i^t) = a_{\frac{l}{2}+i}^t$$

for all $i \leq l$ (sum modulo l).

Lemma 6. If l is even and the automorphism $f \in Aut(\Gamma)$ is such that f(z) = z and f(u) = u, then [f] belongs to the group generated by

(31)
$$\{[\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}], [\theta_j], [\vartheta_j], [\varrho_t] : j \le s, t \le r\}$$

with $\eta \in S_{m_1} \times \cdots \times S_{m_{n'}}$ and $\sigma \in S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_{n'}}$ permutations as above.

Proof. Fixed j = 1, ..., r, there is p = 1, ..., s' such that $\delta_p = \beta_j (j = m_1 + \dots + m_{p-1} + b$ for some $1 \le b \le m_p$). The image of this *j*th block is $f(B_l^I(u, \delta_p)) = B_l^I(f(u), \delta_p) = B_l^I(u, \delta_p)$, so it must generate the subspace spanned by the *q*th block for some *q* such that $\delta_p = \beta_q$ (taking into account Lemma 5 and the conditions required after Equation (30)). In other words, there is $\eta \in S_s$ such that *f* applies the *j*th block of type I into the span of the $\eta(j) = q$ th block of type I, with this $\eta \in S_{m_1} \times \dots \times S_{m_s'}$. In the same way, there is $\sigma \in S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_{r'}}$ such that any *i*th block of type II is applied into the span of the $\sigma(i)$ th block of type II. Hence, the automorphism $\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}^{-1}f$ applies each block into the subspace spanned by itself. Now take into account that *inside each block*, we had computed the Weyl groups in Equations (16) and (19).

Moreover, note that $\langle [\theta_j], [\vartheta_j] \rangle \cong D_l$ for each fixed j, and these elements commute with all $[\theta_i], [\vartheta_i], [\varrho_t]$ if $i \neq j$ (any t). The other elements multiply as follows:

$$\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}\theta_{j}\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}^{-1} = \theta_{\eta(j)}, \quad \Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}\vartheta_{j}\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}^{-1} = \vartheta_{j}, \quad \Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}\rho_{t}\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}^{-1} = \rho_{\sigma(t)}$$

so that their classes do not commute in general. Thus, the group W' generated by the set in Equation (31) is isomorphic to

$$\mathcal{W}' \cong (S_{n_1} \times \cdots \times S_{n_{-l}} \times S_{m_1} \times \cdots \times S_{m_{-l}}) \ltimes (D_l^s \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r).$$

The following technical lemma will be useful, too.

Lemma 7. Under the hypothesis above,

a) If $f \in Aut(L)$ verifies that f(z) = z and $f(u) = \varepsilon u$, then ε is a primitive pth root of the unit and the spectrum of ad(u) can be splitted as

(32)
$$\{\widehat{\alpha}_1,\ldots,\widehat{\alpha}_r\} = \bigcup_{t=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^t X, \quad (disjoint union) \quad \{\widehat{\beta}_1,\ldots,\widehat{\beta}_s\} = \bigcup_{t=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^t Y,$$

for some sets X and Y of classes such that $X \cap \varepsilon^j X$ is either \emptyset or X for any j, and in the same way $Y \cap \varepsilon^j Y$ is either \emptyset or Y. b) If there is p a divisor of r and s such that $\beta_j = \beta_{j+\frac{s}{p}}$ for all $1 \le j \le \frac{s}{p}$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+\frac{r}{p}}$ for all $1 \le i \le \frac{r}{p}$, then the map g_p given by

(33)
$$z \mapsto z, u \mapsto \epsilon^{-1}u, x_i^j \mapsto x_i^{j+\frac{s}{p}}, y_i^j \mapsto y_i^{j+\frac{s}{p}}, a_i^t \mapsto a_i^{t+\frac{r}{p}},$$

if $t \leq r$, $i \leq l$, $j \leq s$, for ϵ a primitive pth root of the unit, is an order p automorphism.

Proof. As $f(B_l^{I}(u,\beta_j)) = B_l^{I}(f(u),\beta_j) = B_l^{I}(u,\beta_j/\varepsilon)$ for all j, by Lemma 5 there is $k \leq r$ such that $\hat{\beta}_j/\varepsilon = \hat{\beta}_k$. Thus there are $\sigma \in S_r$ and $\eta \in S_s$ such that

$$\varepsilon \widehat{\alpha}_i = \widehat{\alpha}_{\sigma(i)}, \ \varepsilon \widehat{\beta}_j = \widehat{\beta}_{\eta(j)}$$

for all $i \leq r$ and $j \leq s$. In particular (multiplying for all the indices), we get that $\hat{\varepsilon}^r = \hat{1} = \hat{\varepsilon}^s$ and hence $\varepsilon^{rl} = 1$. Take q, p the minimum integers such that $\hat{\varepsilon}^q = \hat{1}$ and $\varepsilon^p = 1$ (so q divides p). Thus, for all j, the set $\{\hat{\beta}_j, \varepsilon\hat{\beta}_j, \ldots, \varepsilon^{p-1}\hat{\beta}_j\}$ has q different classes repeated p/q times. Now we take $j_1 = 1$, and by induction $j_i \notin \{j_d, \eta(j_d), \ldots, \eta^{p-1}(j_d) : d \leq i-1\}$ but such that $\hat{\beta}_{j_i} = \hat{\beta}_{j_d}$ if there is d < i such that $\hat{\beta}_{j_i} \in \{\varepsilon^q \hat{\beta}_{j_d} : g \leq q\}$. Hence there is a set $Y = \{\hat{\beta}_{j_1}, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_{j_s/p}\}$ of classes such that $\{\hat{\beta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_s\} = \bigcup_{t=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^t Y$ (disjoint union) which verifies that $Y \cap \varepsilon^j Y = \emptyset$ if j is not a multiple of q and $Y \cap \varepsilon^j Y = Y$ otherwise. We can deal with X in the same way.

The second item b) is clear. Also it is clear that given any decomposition as in Equation (32), we can reorder the blocks to are in the situation of item b) precisely for p and consider g_p correspondingly.

Proposition 6. Take $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the greatest integer such that, for ϵ a primitive pth root of the unit, there are X and Y sets of classes such that Equation (32) holds. Let q be the minimum positive integer such that $\hat{\epsilon}^q = \hat{1}$.

The Weyl group of Γ , if l is even, is isomorphic to

(34)
$$\frac{\left((S_{n_1} \times \dots \times S_{n_{r'}} \times S_{m_1} \times \dots \times S_{m_{s'}}) \ltimes (D_l^s \times \mathbb{Z}_2^r) \right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p}{\mathbb{Z}_{p/q}}$$

Proof. Take $f \in Aut(\Gamma)$, so that $f(z) \in \langle z \rangle$ and $f(u) \in \langle u \rangle$. Since we can compose f with an automorphism in the stabilizer as the given one in Equation (29), then we can assume f(z) = z.

Consider g_p the automorphism described in Lemma 7b). Note that if we apply Lemma 7a) to f, there is ε a primitive p'th root of the unit such that $f(u) = \varepsilon u$. So $fg_p^{-1}(u) = \varepsilon \epsilon u$, being $\varepsilon \epsilon$ a root lcm(p,p')-primitive. Again by Lemma 7a), we have the corresponding splitting, so that, by maximality of p, we conclude that lcm(p,p') = p and ε is a power of ϵ . Thus there is $c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $fg_p^c(u) = u$ and thus Lemma 6 is applied and $[f] \in \langle [g_p], W' \rangle$. Moreover, $W(\Gamma) = W' \rtimes \langle [g_p] \rangle$, since $g_p fg_p^{-1}$ fixes u for any $f \in W'$.

In order to make precise the composition of g_p with $\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}$, we need to choose the order of the scalars β_j 's and α_i 's adapted to both automorphisms, changing slightly the order taken in Equation (30). We mean: order the set $Y = {\hat{\delta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\delta}_1, \ldots, \hat{\delta}_a, \ldots, \hat{\delta}_a}$ where $\hat{\delta}_i$ is repeated l_i times and $\hat{\delta}_i \notin \langle \epsilon \rangle \hat{\delta}_j$ if $i \neq j$. So the set of different representatives for the blocks of type I are ${\epsilon^t \delta_b : 1 \leq b \leq a, 0 \leq t \leq q-1}$, where $\epsilon^t \delta_b$ appears $l_b \frac{p}{q}$ times in the *positions*

(35)
$$\{l_1 + \dots + l_{b-1} + i + \frac{s}{p}t + \frac{sq}{p}c : i = 1, \dots, l_b; c = 0, \dots, \frac{p}{q} - 1\}.$$

28

(With our notation $\{m_1, \ldots, m_{s'}\} = \bigcup_{t=0}^{q-1} \{l_b \frac{p}{q} : 1 \le b \le a\}$.) We denote by S'_s the set of permutations which fix the sets in Equation (35) for all $1 \le b \le a, 0 \le t \le q-1$, which is of course isomorphic to $S_{m_1} \times \cdots \times S_{m_{s'}}$. Proceed in the same way with the set X and denote by \widetilde{S}_r the set of suitable permutations (interchanging the positions corresponding to the same scalars). Therefore,

$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma) = \langle \{ [g_p], [\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}], [\theta_j], [\vartheta_j], [\varrho_t] : j \le s, t \le r, \eta \in S'_s, \sigma \in S_r \} \rangle,$$

where we can observe that if $\eta'(j) := \eta(j + \frac{s}{p}) - \frac{s}{p}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}(t) := \sigma(t + \frac{r}{p}) - \frac{r}{p}$, then $\eta' \in S'_s, \tilde{\sigma} \in \widetilde{S}_r$ and

$$g_p^{-1}\Upsilon_{(\eta,\sigma)}g_p=\Upsilon_{(\eta',\tilde{\sigma})}.$$

The remaining elements multiply as follows:

$$g_p^{-1}\theta_j g_p = \theta_{j-\frac{s}{p}}, \quad g_p^{-1}\vartheta_j g_p = \vartheta_{j-\frac{s}{p}}, \quad g_p^{-1}\rho_t g_p = \rho_{t-\frac{r}{p}}.$$

This explains how the actions in the semidirect products are defined.

Finally, the new generator $[g_p]$ has order p. Besides $g_p^q(u) = \epsilon^q u \in \langle \xi \rangle u$, so its composition with some power of the following element in the stabilizer

$$z\mapsto \xi z,\, u\mapsto \xi u,\, x_i^j\mapsto \xi^i x_i^j,\, y_i^j\mapsto \xi^{i+1}y_i^j,\, a_i^t\mapsto \xi^i a_i^t,$$

(followed by a convenient element as the one in Equation (29)) fixes u (and z). Thus $[g_p]^q \in \mathcal{W}'$. Moreover, q is the least number with this property (a power of less order does not stabilize the blocks).

We aboard now the case l odd. Recall that there are no blocks of type II. As above, if $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ verifies $f(u) = \varepsilon u$, then $f(B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u, \beta_j)) = B_l^{\mathrm{I}}(u, \beta_j/\varepsilon)$. Thus there is $\eta \in S_s$ such that

$$\varepsilon \widetilde{\beta}_j = \widetilde{\beta}_{\eta(j)}$$

for all j = 1, ..., s, and ε turns out to be a *p*th root of the unit for some *p*. So we can divide $\{\tilde{\beta}_1, ..., \tilde{\beta}_s\} = \bigcup_{t=0}^{p-1} \varepsilon^t Y$, with two different pieces disjoint and *p* maximum such that this decomposition exists. Take g_p as in the even case.

The maps ϑ_j are not longer automorphisms, but we can consider $\vartheta' \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$ given by

$$\vartheta'(z) = z, \ \vartheta'(u) = -u, \ \vartheta'(x_i^j) = (-1)^i y_i^j, \ \vartheta'(y_i^j) = (-1)^{i+1} x_i^j$$

If $f \in Aut(\Gamma)$ fixes the subspaces spanned by the blocks, it is not difficult to check that f belongs to the subgroup generated by

$$\{[\theta_j]: j \le s\} \cup \{[\vartheta']\},\$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{l}^{s} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and hence

Proposition 7. The Weyl group of $\Gamma = \Gamma(l, s, 0; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$, if l is odd, is isomorphic to

(36)
$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma) \cong \frac{\left(S_{m_1} \times \dots \times S_{m_{s'}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_l^s\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p}{\mathbb{Z}_{p/q}}$$

with $m_1 + \cdots + m_{s'} = s = \frac{n-2}{2l}$, for m_j 's defined as in Equation (30), $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the greatest integer such that, for ε a primitive pth root of the unit, there is Y a set of classes such that Equation (32) holds, and q minimum such that $\tilde{\varepsilon}^q = \tilde{1}$.

Example 2. We now describe the Weyl groups of the fine gradings on $L = H_{10}^{(1,1,i,i)}$ computed in Example 1. Observe first that the results for $W(\Gamma_1)$ and $W(\Gamma_2)$ are quite different than those ones in Proposition 5, since

$$\mathcal{W}(\Gamma_2) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4}{\mathbb{Z}_2 = \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{1}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}) \rangle}, \qquad \mathcal{W}(\Gamma_1) \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_2^6 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4}{\mathbb{Z}_2}$$

Indeed, $W(\Gamma_2)$ has 4 generators: $[g] \equiv [g_4]$ (the only element with order 4), $[\vartheta']$ and the classes of the two automorphisms f_1 and f_2 coming from permutations, such that the three latter ones commute, [g] commute with $[\vartheta']$, $[gf_1g^{-1}] = [f_2]$ and $[g]^2 = [\vartheta'f_1f_2]$. And for Γ_1 ((l, r, s) = (2, 4, 0)), the generators of the Weyl group are $\{[\varrho_i] : i = 1, \ldots, 4\}$, $[g] \equiv [g_4]$, the automorphism interchanging e_1 with e_2 and \hat{e}_1 with \hat{e}_2 and the one interchanging e_3 with \hat{e}_4 .

The remaining cases of Example 1 correspond, respectively, to Weyl groups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^3 \ltimes \mathbb{Z}_2$, \mathbb{Z}_2^4 , \mathbb{Z}_2^2 and D_4 .

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank the referee for his exhaustive review of the paper as well as for many suggestions which have helped to improve the work.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Abdesselam. The twisted Heisenberg algebra $U_{h,w}(H(4))$. J. Math. Phys. 38, no. 12, (1997), 6045–6060.
- [2] S. Adams. Dynamics on Lorentz Manifolds. World Scientific, Singapure, 2001.
- [3] Y.A. Bahturin. Group gradings on free algebras of nilpotent varieties of algebras. Serdica Math. J. 38(1–3) (2011), 1–6.
- [4] Y.A. Bahturin, M. Goze and E. Remm. Group gradings on filiform Lie algebras. arXiv:1308.2396.
- [5] Y.A. Bahturin and M. Kochetov. Classification of group gradings on simple Lie algebras of type A, B, C and D. J. Algebra 324(11) (2011), 2971–2989.
- [6] Y.A. Bahturin, I.P. Shestakov and M.V. Zaicev. Gradings on simple Jordan and Lie algebras. J. Algebra 283 (2005), 849–868.
- [7] Y.A. Bahturin and M. Tvalavadze. Group gradings on G₂. Commun. Algebra 37(3), (2009), 885–893.
- [8] Y.A. Bahturin and M.V. Zaicev. Group gradings on simple Lie algebras of type A. J. Lie Theory 16, (2006), 719–742.
- [9] K. Berrada, M. El Baz and Y. Hassouni. Generalized Heisenberg algebra coherent states for power-law potentials. Phys. Lett. A 375, no. 3, (2011), 298–302.
- [10] A.J. Calderón and J.M. Sánchez. On the structure of split Lie color algebras. Linear Algebra Appl. 436, no. 2, (2012), 307–315.
- [11] A.J. Calderón, C. Draper and C. Martín. Gradings on the real forms of g_2 and f_4 . J. Math. Phys. 51(5), (2010), 053516, 21 pp.
- [12] A.J. Calderón, C. Draper and C. Martín. Gradings on the Kac superalgebra. J. Algebra 324(12), (2010), 3249–3261.
- [13] A. J. Calderón, C. Draper and C. Martín. Gradings on Lie triple systems related to exceptional Lie algebras. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 217(4), (2013), 672–688.
- [14] L.M. Camacho, J.R: Gómez and R.M. Navarro. *Heisenberg superalgebras*. Inter. J. of Computer Math. 86(1), (2009), 67–78.
- [15] C. Draper and C. Martín. Gradings on the Albert algebra and on f_4 . Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 25(3), (2009), 841–908.
- [16] C. Draper, C. Martín and A. Viruel. Gradings on d₄. Forum Math. 22(5), (2010), 863-877.
- [17] C. Draper and C. Martín. Gradings on g2. Linear Algebra Appl. 418(1), (2006), 85-111.
- [18] C. Draper, C. Martín and A. Elduque. Fine gradings on exceptional simple Lie superalgebras. Internat. J. Math. 22(12), (2011), 1823–1855.
- [19] A. Elduque. Fine gradings on simple classical Lie algebras. J. Algebra 324(12), (2010), 3532–3571.
- [20] A. Elduque and M. Kochetov. Gradings on the exceptional Lie algebras F_4 and G_2 revisited. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 28(3), (2012), 775–815.
- [21] A. Elduque and M. Kochetov. Weyl groups of fine gradings on matrix algebras, octonions and the Albert algebra. J. Algebra 366, (2012), 165–186.

- [22] A. Elduque and M. Kochetov. Weyl groups of simple Lie algebras of types A, B, C and D. Serdica Math. J. 38 (2012), 7–36.
- [23] A. Elduque and M. Kochetov. Gradings on Simple Lie Algebras. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol 189. Amer. Math. Soc. 2013.
- [24] F. Günter. Isometry groups of Lorentzian manifolds of finite volume and The local geometry of compact homogeneous Lorentz spaces. Preprint arXiv:1106.5539v2.
- [25] M. Havlíček, J. Patera and E. Pelantová. On Lie gradings II. Linear Algebra Appl. 277, (1998), 97–125.
- [26] M. Havlíček, J. Patera, E. Pelantová and J. Tolar. Automorphisms of the fine grading of sl(n,C) associated with the generalized Pauli matrices. J. Math. Phys. 43(2), (2002), 1083–1094.
- [27] Y. Hengyun, H. Naihong. Heisenberg Lie color algebras. Comm. Algebra 39(5), (2011), 1782–1795.
- [28] A. Kaplain. Fundamental solutions for a class of hipoelliptic PDE generated by compositions of quadratic forms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 259, (1980), 145–153.
- [29] C. Konstantina. Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine Lie algebras. J. Algebra 320, no. 7, (2008), 2871–2890.
- [30] S.E. Konstein. Supertraces on some deformations of Heisenberg superalgebra. Supersymmetry and quantum field theory, 305–311, Lecture Notes in Phys., 509, Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [31] J. Patera and H. Zassenhaus. On Lie gradings. I. Linear Algebra Appl. 112, (1989), 87–159.
- [32] D. Piontkovski and S.D. Silvestrov. Cohomology of 3-dimensional color Lie algebras. J. Algebra 316, no. 2, (2007), 499–513.
- [33] V.P. Platonov. The theory of algebraic linear groups and periodic groups. American Society Translations. Series 2 (69), (1968), 61–110.
- [34] K.L. Price. A domain test for Lie color algebras. J. Algebra Appl. 7, no. 1, (2008), 81–90.
- [35] V.D. Rottenberg. Generalized superalgebras. Nucl. Phys. B. 139, (1978), 189-202.
- [36] L. Saal. The automorphism group of a Lie algebra of Heisenberg type. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, 54, no. 2, (1996), 101–113.
- [37] S. Semmes. An Introduction to Heisenberg Groups in Analysis and Geometry. Notices of the AMS. Vol. 50(6), (2003), 640–646
- [38] Y. Su, K. Zhao and L. Zhu. Classification of derivation-simple color algebras related to locally finite derivations. J. Math. Phys. 45, no. 1, (2004), 525–536.
- [39] W. Thurston. Three-dimensional Geometry and Topology. S. Levy, ed., Princeton University Press, 1997
- [40] Y. Wei and T. Wettig. Bosonic color-flavor transformation for the special unitary group. J. Math. Phys. 46, no. 7, 072306, 25 pp. (2005).
- [41] Q. Zhang and Y. Zhang. Derivations and extensions of Lie color algebra. Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 28, no. 4, (2008), 933–948.
- [42] X. Zhang and J. Zhou. Derivation simple color algebras and semisimple Lie color algebras. Comm. Algebra 37, no. 1, (2009), 242–257.
- [43] J. Zhou and L.Y. Chen. Derivation algebra of the Heisenberg superalgebra. J. Jilin Univ. Sci. 49, no. 3, (2011), 419–423.

E-mail address: ajesus.calderon@uca.es

DPTO. MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CÁDIZ, CAMPUS DE PUERTO REAL, 11510, CÁDIZ, SPAIN

E-mail address: cdf@uma.es

DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA, ESCUELA DE LAS INGENIERÍAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, CAM-PUS DE TEATINOS (AMPLIACIÓN), 29071, MÁLAGA, SPAIN

E-mail address: candido@apncs.cie.uma.es

E-mail address: txema.sanchez@uma.es

DPTO. ÁLGEBRA, GEOMETRÍA Y TOPOLOGÍA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MÁLAGA, CAMPUS DE TEATINOS, 29080, MÁLAGA, SPAIN