TILTING THEORY OF PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRAS AND c-SORTABLE ELEMENTS

YUTA KIMURA

ABSTRACT. For a finite acyclic quiver Q and the corresponding preprojective algebra Π , we study the factor algebra Π_w associated with an element w in the Coxeter group of Q introduced by Buan-Iyama-Reiten-Scott. The algebra Π_w has a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading. We prove that $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ has a tilting object M if w is c-sortable. Moreover, we show that the endomorphism algebra of M is isomorphic to the stable Auslander algebra of a certain torsion free class of mod kQ.

1. INTRODUCTION

The preprojective algebra Π of a finite acyclic quiver Q plays important roles in representation theory of algebras. One of them is categorifications of cluster algebras introduced by Fomin-Zelevinsky [FZ]. Namely, Π gives 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated categories (2-CY for short) with cluster tilting objects.

For a Dynkin quiver Q, Geiss-Leclerc-Schröer showed that the stable category $\underline{mod} \Pi$ of Π is a 2-CY triangulated category with cluster tilting objects [GLS]. More generally, for a finite acyclic quiver Q and an element w of the Coxeter group of Q, Buan-Iyama-Reiten-Scott constructed an algebra Π_w . They showed that Π_w is an Iwanaga-Gorenstein algebra with injective dimension at most one, and therefore the category Sub Π_w of submodules of free Π_w -modules is a Frobenius category. Moreover they showed that the stable category Sub Π_w is a 2-CY triangulated category and contains a cluster tilting object associated with each reduced expression of w [BIRSc]. When Q is of Dynkin type and w is the longest element of the Coxeter group, one obtains the stable category $\underline{mod} \Pi$.

The cluster category C_Q of finite acyclic quiver Q is another example of a 2-CY triangulated category with cluster tilting objects [BMRRT]. More generally, for a finite dimensional algebra A of global dimension at most two, Amiot's cluster category C_A is a 2-CY triangulated category with a cluster tilting object A if it is Hom-finite [A]. Amiot-Reiten-Todorov [ART] showed that there exists a close connection between these 2-CY categories <u>Sub</u> Π_w and C_A . Namely, for any element w of the Coxeter group of a finite acyclic quiver Q, there exists a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}\,\Pi_w \simeq \mathsf{C}_{\Gamma_w} \tag{1.1}$$

for some finite dimensional algebra Γ_w .

The aim of this paper is to construct a derived category version of the result of Amiot-Reiten-Todorov. More precisely, we regard Π_w as a \mathbb{Z} -graded algebra whose grading is given by the orientation of our quiver Q, and consider the stable category $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ of graded Π_w -submodules of graded free Π_w -modules. We denote by c the Coxeter element corresponding to the orientation of Q. In this setting, the category $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ behaves

Date: July 12, 2021.

nicely if w is a c-sortable element (see Section 2 for Definition), and we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 4.7). If $w = c^{(0)} \cdots c^{(m)}$ is c-sortable, then $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ has a tilting object $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\Pi_{c^{(0)} \cdots c^{(i)}})(i)$.

Our second main result gives a simple description of $A_w := \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$. Let M_0 be the degree zero part of M. By [AIRT, Theorem 3.11], there exists a tilting kQ-module T such that Sub T has an additive generator M_0 (see Theorem 3.9 for details). Using this notation, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (see Theorems 5.2, 5.3, and 6.1). We have the following:

- (a) There exists an isomorphism of algebras $A_w \simeq \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$.
- (b) The global dimension of A_w is at most two.
- (c) We have a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w \simeq \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A_w).$$

In the forthcoming paper, we will study the relationship between Amiot-Reiten-Todorov equivalence (1.1) and our equivalence Theorem 1.2 (c), that is, we have a commutative diagram of functors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some notations used in this paper and some results of [BIRSc] which will be used in the proofs. In Section 3, we prove some basic properties of the grading of Π_w when w is a *c*-sortable element and recall some results of [AIRT]. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we prove (a) and (c) of Theorem 1.2. Theorem 1.2 (b) follows from a general result in Section 6 on the global dimension of relative version of stable Auslander algebras.

In this paper, we denote by k an algebraically closed field. All algebras are k-algebras, and all graded algebras are \mathbb{Z} -graded k-algebras. We always deal with finitely generated left modules. For an algebra A, we denote by $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} A$ the category of finitely generated Amodules. For a graded algebra A, we denote by $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} A$ the category of finitely generated \mathbb{Z} -graded A-modules with degree zero morphisms. For a category $\mathcal{C} = \operatorname{mod} A$ or $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} A$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by add M the additive closure of M in \mathcal{C} . The composition of morphisms $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ is denoted by $fg = g \circ f: X \to Z$. For two arrows α, β of a quiver such that the target point of α is the start point of β , we denote by $\alpha\beta$ the composition of α and β .

2. Preliminaries

We fix a finite acyclic quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$, where $Q_0 = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is the set of vertices, Q_1 is the set of arrows, and an arrow α goes from $s(\alpha)$ to $t(\alpha)$. Let kQ be the path algebra of Q over k, and for a vertex u of Q, we denote by e_u the corresponding idempotent of kQ. The double quiver $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, s, t)$ of a quiver Q is defined by $\overline{Q}_0 = Q_0, \overline{Q}_1 = Q_1 \sqcup \{\alpha^* : t(\alpha) \to s(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in Q_1\}$. Then we define the preprojective algebra Π of Q by

$$\Pi := k\overline{Q} / \langle \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} \alpha \alpha^* - \alpha^* \alpha \rangle.$$

The Coxeter group $W = W_Q$ of Q is the group generated by the set $\{s_u \mid u \in Q_0\}$ with relations $s_u^2 = 1$, $s_u s_v = s_v s_u$ if there exist no arrows between u and v, and $s_u s_v s_u = s_v s_u s_v$ if there exists exactly one arrow between u and v. An expression $w = s_{u_1} s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l}$ is

reduced if for any other expression $w = s_{v_1} s_{v_2} \cdots s_{v_m}$, we have $l \leq m$. For an element w in W with a reduced expression $w = s_{u_1} s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l}$, let $\text{Supp}(w) := \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\} \subset Q_0$, which is independent of the choice of a reduced expression of w (see [BB, Corollary 1.4.8 (ii)]).

Definition 2.1. An element $c \in W$ is called a *Coxeter element* if there is an expression $c = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_n}$, where u_1,\ldots,u_n is a permutation of $1,\ldots,n$. In this paper, we only consider a Coxeter element c satisfying $e_{u_j}(kQ)e_{u_i} = 0$ for i < j which is uniquely determined by the orientation of Q.

Definition 2.2. [R] Let c be a Coxeter element of W. An element $w \in W$ is called a c-sortable element if there exists a reduced expression $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ of the form $s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$, where each $c^{(i)}$ is subsequence of c and

$$\operatorname{Supp}(c^{(m)}) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(m-1)}) \subset \cdots \subset \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(0)}) \subset Q_0$$

Let u be a vertex of Q. We define the two-sided ideal I_u of Π by

$$I_u := \Pi (1 - e_u) \Pi$$

Let $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ be a reduced expression of $w \in W$. We define a two-sided ideal I_w of Π by

$$I_w := I_{u_1} I_{u_2} \cdots I_{u_l}.$$

Note that I_w is independent of the choice of a reduced expression of w by [BIRSc, Theorem III. 1.9]. We define the algebra Π_w by

$$\Pi_w := \Pi/I_w.$$

We denote by $\operatorname{Sub} \Pi_w$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{mod} \Pi_w$ of submodules of finitely generated free Π_w -modules.

We recall the following properties:

Proposition 2.3. [BIRSc] For an element w of the Coxeter group, we have the following results.

- (a) If Q is non-Dynkin, then there exists an isomorphism of algebras $\Pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(I_w)$, where this isomorphism is given by $x \mapsto (\cdot x)$.
- (b) The algebra Π_w is finite dimensional and Iwanaga-Gorenstein of dimension at most one, that is, inj. dim _{Πw}(Π_w) ≤ 1 and inj. dim(Π_w)_{Πw} ≤ 1.
- (c) The category $\mathsf{Sub}\,\Pi_w$ is a Frobenius category.
- (d) The stable category $\underline{\operatorname{Sub}} \Pi_w$ of $\operatorname{Sub} \Pi_w$ is 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated category, that is, for any objects $X, Y \in \underline{\operatorname{Sub}} \Pi_w$ there is a functorial isomorphism $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Pi_w}(X,Y) \simeq$ $D \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Pi_w}(Y, X[2])$, where $D = \operatorname{Hom}_k(-, k)$.
- (e) For any reduced expression $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$, the object $T = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l \prod_{u_1u_2\cdots u_i} is$ in Sub \prod_w .
- (f) For any reduced expression $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$, the object T of (e) is a cluster tilting object of Sub Π_w , that is, add $T = \{X \in \mathsf{mod} \Pi_w \mid \mathsf{Ext}_{\Pi_w}^1(X,T) = 0\}.$

Next we introduce the grading of a preprojective algebra. We regard the path algebra $k\overline{Q}$ as a graded algebra by the following grading:

$$\deg \beta = \begin{cases} 1 & \beta = \alpha^*, \alpha \in Q_1 \\ 0 & \beta = \alpha, \alpha \in Q_1. \end{cases}$$

Since the element $\sum_{\alpha \in Q_1} (\alpha \alpha^* - \alpha^* \alpha)$ in $k\overline{Q}$ is homogeneous of degree 1, the grading of $k\overline{Q}$ naturally gives a grading on the preprojective algebra $\Pi = \bigoplus_{i \ge 0} \Pi_i$. A \mathbb{Z} -algebra A is said to be *positively graded* if $A_i = 0$ for any i < 0. Preprojective algebras are positively graded with respect to the above grading.

Remark 2.4. (a) We have $\Pi_0 = kQ$, since Π_0 is spanned by all paths of degree 0.

- (b) For any $w \in W$, the ideal I_w of Π is a homogeneous ideal of Π since so is each I_u .
- (c) In particular, the factor algebra Π_w is a graded algebra.

Let $X = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_i$ be a graded module over a positively graded algebra. For any integer j, we define the shifted graded module X(j) by $(X(j))_i = X_{i+j}$. Moreover, for any integer j, we define a graded submodule $X_{\geq j}$ of X by

$$(X_{\geq j})_i = \begin{cases} X_i & i \geq j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and define a graded factor module $X_{\leq j}$ of X by $X_{\leq j} = X/(X_{\geq j+1})$. For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $X_{[i,j]} = (X_{\leq j})_{\geq i}$.

We denote by $\operatorname{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ of graded projective Π_w modules. Let $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ be the full subcategory of $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ of submodules of graded free Π_w -modules, that is,

$$\mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w = \bigg\{ X \in \mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w \mid X \text{ is a submodule of } \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \Pi_w(j_i), \ m, j_i \in \mathbb{Z}, m \ge 0 \bigg\}.$$

We have the degree forgetful functor $\rho : \operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w \to \operatorname{mod} \Pi_w$. Then we have the following equalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{w} &= \left\{ X \in \operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{w} \mid \rho(X) \in \operatorname{Sub} \Pi_{w} \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1} \\ &= \left\{ X \in \operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{w} \mid \operatorname{Ext}_{\Pi_{w}}^{>0}(\rho(X), \Pi_{w}) = 0, \forall i > 0 \right\}, \\ &= \left\{ X \in \operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{w} \mid \operatorname{Ext}_{\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{w}}^{>0}(X, \Pi_{w}(i)) = 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ has enough projectives and is closed under direct summands. By (2.2), $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ is closed under extensions. For any $X \in \operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$, there exists an injective left (proj^{$\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$)-approximations of X. Thus $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ has enough injectives by (2.2). It is easy to see that the projective objects and the injective objects of $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ coincide and equals to $\operatorname{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$. Therefore $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ is a Frobenius category. We have a triangulated category $\operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$. In this paper, we get a tilting object in this category.</sup>

We give one example which illustrates grading on the algebra Π_w when w is c-sortable.

Example 2.5. Let Q be a quiver $2 \xrightarrow{1}$. Then we have a graded algebra $\Pi = 1 \oplus \Pi e_2 \oplus \Pi e_3$, and these are represented by their radical filtrations, which correspond

to the horizontal layers of simples, as follows:

where numbers connected by solid lines are in the same degree, the tops of the Πe_i are concentrated in degree 0, and the degree zero parts are denoted by bold numbers.

Let $w = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$. This is a *c*-sortable element, where $c = c^{(0)} = s_1 s_2 s_3$, $c^{(1)} = s_1 s_2$, and $c^{(3)} = s_1$. Then we have a graded algebra, $\Pi_w = \Pi_w e_1 \oplus \Pi_w e_2 \oplus \Pi_w e_3$, where

$$\Pi_{w}e_{1} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & & & \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & & 1 \end{array}}_{1}, \qquad \Pi_{w}e_{2} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{cccc} 3 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & & 2 \end{array}}_{1}, \qquad \Pi_{w}e_{3} = \underbrace{\begin{array}{cccc} 3 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{array}}_{1}.$$

3. Graded structure of I_w and Π_w

In this section, we prove some basic properties of gradings of I_w and Π_w . The main result in this section is Proposition 3.5. We also recall some results from [AIRT] which will be used later. Let $c = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_n}$ be a Coxeter element in $W = W_Q$ satisfying $e_{u_i}(kQ)e_{u_i} = 0$ for i < j.

Lemma 3.1. [AIRT, Lemma 2.1] Let Q' be a full subquiver of Q and w an element in $W_{Q'} \subset W$. Then we have $\Pi/I_w = \Pi'/I'_w$ as graded algebras, where Π' is a preprojective algebra of Q' and I'_w is the ideal of Π' associated with w.

We first calculate the ideal I_w and the algebra Π_w when w is a subsequence of a Coxeter element.

Lemma 3.2. Let $w \in W$ be a subsequence of a Coxeter element and Q' the full subquiver of Q whose set of vertices is $\operatorname{Supp}(w)$. We denote by Π' the preprojective algebra of Q'and I'_w the ideal of Π' associated with w. Then the following holds.

(a) We have
$$\Pi/I_w = \Pi'/I'_w = kQ'$$
.

(b)
$$(I_w)_{\geq 1} = \prod_{\geq 1}$$
.

(c) $(I_w)_0$ is the ideal of kQ generated by idempotents $\{e_u \mid u \in Q_0 \setminus \text{Supp}(w)\}$.

Proof. (a) By Lemma 3.1, we have $\Pi/I_w = \Pi'/I'_w$. Since w is a subsequence of a Coxeter element, w is a Coxeter element of $W_{Q'}$. Then, by [BIRSc, Proposition III. 3.2], we have $\Pi'/I'_w = kQ'$.

(b) By (a), we have $(\Pi/I_w)_0 = (\Pi'/I'_w)_0 = kQ'$. This means that $(I_w)_{\geq 1} = \Pi_{\geq 1}$.

(c) Since $kQ' = (\Pi/I_w)_0 = \Pi_0/(I_w)_0 = kQ/(I_w)_0$ holds, $(I_w)_0$ the ideal generated by the vertices in $Q_0 \setminus \text{Supp}(w)$.

Then we describe the grading of I_w for a *c*-sortable element w.

Lemma 3.3. Let $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)} \in W$ be a *c*-sortable element. Then we have $(I_{c^{(i)}}I_{c^{(i+1)}})_0 = (I_{c^{(i)}})_0$ for all $0 \le i \le m - 1$.

Proof. Since Π_w is positively graded, we have $(I_{c^{(i)}}I_{c^{(i+1)}})_0 = (I_{c^{(i)}})_0(I_{c^{(i+1)}})_0$. By Lemma 3.2, $(I_{c^{(i)}})_0$ and $(I_{c^{(i+1)}})_0$ are generated by idempotents $\{e_v \mid v \in Q_0 \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(i)})\}$ and $\{e_v \mid v \in Q_0 \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(i+1)})\}$, respectively. Since w is a c-sortable element, we have $\operatorname{Supp}(c^{(i+1)}) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(i)})$. Therefore we have $(I_{c^{(i)}})_0(I_{c^{(i+1)}})_0 = (I_{c^{(i)}})_0$.

Lemma 3.4. For any c-sortable element $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)} \in W$, we have

$$(I_w)_i = \begin{cases} (I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}})_i & 0 \le i \le m. \\ \Pi_i & m+1 \le i. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we have $(\Pi/I_w)_{\geq m+1} = 0$.

Proof. We first show that $(I_w)_{\geq m+1} = \prod_{\geq m+1}$. Since Π is generated by Π_1 as a Π_0 -algebra, we have $\Pi_{\geq m+1} = \prod_{j=0}^m (\Pi_{\geq 1})$. By Lemma 3.2 (b), the equation $\Pi_{\geq 1} = (I_{c^{(j)}})_{\geq 1}$ holds for any $0 \leq j \leq m$. Thus we have

$$(I_w)_{\geq m+1} \subset \Pi_{\geq m+1} = \prod_{j=0}^m \Pi_{\geq 1} = \prod_{j=0}^m (I_{c^{(j)}})_{\geq 1} \subset (I_w)_{\geq m+1}$$

Therefore we have $(I_w)_{\geq m+1} = \prod_{\geq m+1}$.

Assume that $0 \le i \le m-1$. We show that $(I_w)_i = (I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}...c^{(m-1)}})_i$. Since $I_w \subset I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}...c^{(m-1)}}$, we have $(I_w)_i \subset (I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}...c^{(m-1)}})_i$. Conversely, we show that

$$(I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m-1)}})_i \subset (I_w)_i.$$

In general, we have

$$(I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m-1)}})_{i} = \sum_{b_{0}+b_{1}+\cdots+b_{m-1}=i} (I_{c^{(0)}})_{b_{0}} (I_{c^{(1)}})_{b_{1}}\cdots (I_{c^{(m-1)}})_{b_{m-1}}.$$
(3.1)

Since $(I_w)_i = 0$ for any i < 0 and (3.1), it is enough to show that

$$(I_{c^{(0)}})_{a_0}(I_{c^{(1)}})_{a_1}\cdots(I_{c^{(m-1)}})_{a_{m-1}}\subset (I_w)_i,$$

for any non-negative integers $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_j = i$. Since a_0, \ldots, a_{m-1} are non-negative and $i \leq m-1$, at least one of them must be zero. Let j be the largest integer satisfying $a_j = 0$. Then we have

$$(I_{c^{(0)}})_{a_{0}} \cdots (I_{c^{(j)}})_{a_{j}} (I_{c^{(j+1)}})_{a_{j+1}} \cdots (I_{c^{(m-1)}})_{a_{m-1}} = (I_{c^{(0)}})_{a_{0}} \cdots (I_{c^{(j)}})_{a_{j}} (\Pi_{a_{j+1}}) \cdots (\Pi_{a_{m-1}}) = (I_{c^{(0)}})_{a_{0}} \cdots (I_{c^{(j)}})_{a_{j}} (I_{c^{(j+1)}})_{0} (\Pi_{a_{j+1}}) \cdots (\Pi_{a_{m-1}}) = (I_{c^{(0)}})_{a_{0}} \cdots (I_{c^{(j)}})_{a_{j}} (I_{c^{(j+1)}})_{0} (I_{c^{(j+2)}})_{a_{j+1}} \cdots (I_{c^{(m)}})_{a_{m-1}} \subset (I_{w})_{i},$$

where the first and the third equations come form Lemma 3.2 (b), and the second equation comes from Lemma 3.3. Therefore we have $(I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m-1)}})_i \subset (I_w)_i$ for $0 \le i \le m-1$. By using this equation repeatedly, we have the assertion.

Now we describe the grading of Π_w for a *c*-sortable element *w*. For an element *w* in *W*, let $Q^{(1)}$ be the full subquiver of *Q* whose set of vertices is Supp(w).

Proposition 3.5. Let $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)} \in W$ be a *c*-sortable element and $i \leq m$. Then we have $(\Pi_w)_{\leq i} = (\Pi_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}})_{\leq i} = \Pi_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}}$. In particular, we have $(\Pi_w)_0 = \Pi_{c^{(0)}} = kQ^{(1)}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, we have the following commutative diagram.



Therefore we have an equality $(\Pi_w)_{\leq i} = (\Pi_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}})_{\leq i}$. The equality $(\Pi_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}})_{\leq i} = \Pi_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}}$ comes from Lemma 3.4. If i = 0, then we have $(\Pi_w)_0 = \Pi_{c^{(0)}} = kQ^{(1)}$, where the second equality comes from Lemma 3.2 (a).

The following proposition is important to show Theorem 4.7.

Proposition 3.6. Let $w = s_{u_1} \cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)}c^{(1)} \cdots c^{(m)}$ be a *c*-sortable element. For any integer *i* and $X \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$, we have $X_{\geq i}, X_{\leq i}, X_i \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$.

Proof. Since $X_{\geq i}$ is a submodule of X, we have $X_{\geq i} \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$.

By Proposition 2.3 (e), we have $\Pi/I_{u_1\cdots u_j} \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ for any $1 \leq j \leq l$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.5, we have $(\Pi_w)_{\leq i} \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ for any integer *i*. Clearly, the functor $X \mapsto X_{\leq i}$ preserves injective morphisms. Therefore we have $X_{\leq i} \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$. Since $X_{\geq i} \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w, X_i = (X_{\geq i})_{\leq i} \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ holds. \Box

Next we recall the result of [AIRT]. For a reduced expression $w = s_{u_1} \cdots s_{u_l}$ and $1 \le i \le l$, we define a \prod_w -module L_w^i by $L_w^1 := \prod/I_{u_1}$ and

$$L_w^i := \frac{I_{u_1 \cdots u_{i-1}}}{I_{u_1 \cdots u_i}},$$

for $i \geq 2$.

Proposition 3.7. [AIRT, Proposition 1.3] We have equalities

$$L_w^i = L_w^i e_{u_i} = \frac{I_{u_1 \cdots u_j}}{I_{u_1 \cdots u_i}} e_{u_i},$$

where j is the largest integer satisfying j < i and $u_j = u_i$. If such an integer j does not appear in u_1, \dots, u_{i-1} , then $L_w^i = (\Pi/I_{u_1\cdots u_i})e_{u_i}$.

We use the following notation. Let $w = s_{u_1} \cdots s_{u_l}$ be a reduced expression. For any $u \in \text{Supp}(w)$, let

$$p_u = \max\{1 \le j \le l \mid u_j = u\}.$$

For $1 \leq i \leq l$, let

$$m_i = \#\{1 \le j \le i - 1 \mid u_j = u_i\}.$$

Note that, if $w = s_{u_1} \cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)} c^{(1)} \cdots c^{(m)}$ is a *c*-sortable element, then we have $m_{p_u} = \max\{j \mid u \in \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(j)})\}$ for any $u \in \operatorname{Supp}(w)$. Using L_w^i , we have the following information on $\prod_w e_u$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $w = s_{u_1} \cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)} c^{(1)} \cdots c^{(m)}$ be a *c*-sortable element. Then, for any $u \in \text{Supp}(w)$ and any integer $i \ge m_{p_u}$, we have

$$(\Pi_w e_u)_i = \begin{cases} L_w^{p_u} & i = m_{p_u}, \\ 0 & m_{p_u} + 1 \le i \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $I_w e_u = (I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m_{p_u})}})e_u$, we have $\Pi_w e_u = (\Pi_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m_{p_u})}})e_u$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, we have $(\Pi_w e_u)_i = 0$ for $m_{p_u} + 1 \le i$.

If $i = m_{p_u}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi_w e_u)_i &= \operatorname{Ker} \left((\Pi_w e_u)_{\leq i} \to (\Pi_w e_u)_{\leq i-1} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Ker} \left(\frac{\Pi}{I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}}} e_u \to \frac{\Pi}{I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i-1)}}} e_u \right) \\ &= \frac{I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}}}{I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}}} e_u, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality comes from Proposition 3.5. Since $I_{c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(i)}}e_u = I_{u_1\cdots u_{p_u}}e_u$, we have the desired equality.

The next theorem is one of the main results in [AIRT], and important in this paper. We use Theorem 3.9 to prove Proposition 4.6. For an element w in W, let $Q^{(1)}$ be the full subquiver of Q whose set of vertices is Supp(w).

Theorem 3.9. Let $w = s_{u_1} \cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)} c^{(1)} \cdots c^{(m)}$ be a c-sortable element. Then

$$T = \bigoplus_{u \in Q_0^{(1)}} L_w^{p_u} = \bigoplus_{u \in Q_0^{(1)}} (\Pi_w e_u(m_{p_u}))_0$$

is a tilting $kQ^{(1)}$ -module.

Proof. $T = \bigoplus_{u \in Q_0^{(1)}} L_w^{p_u}$ is a tilting $kQ^{(1)}$ -module by [AIRT, Theorem 3.11]. Moreover $T = \bigoplus_{u \in Q_0^{(1)}} (\prod_w e_u(m_{p_u}))_0$ holds by Lemma 3.8.

We give one example which illustrates the tilting module of Theorem 3.9.

Example 3.10. Let Q be a quiver 2 and $w = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$. This is a c-sortable element. Then we have a graded algebra $\Pi_w = \Pi_w e_1 \oplus \Pi_w e_2 \oplus \Pi_w e_3$,



We have

$$L_{w}^{1} = 1, \qquad L_{w}^{2} = \frac{2}{1}, \qquad L_{w}^{3} = \frac{3}{12},$$
$$L_{w}^{4} = \frac{2}{12}, \qquad L_{w}^{5} = \frac{3}{12}, \qquad L_{w}^{5} = \frac{3}{12}, \qquad L_{w}^{6} = \frac{3}{12}.$$

By Theorem 3.9, $L^3_w \oplus L^5_w \oplus L^6_w$ is a tilting kQ-module.

4. A TILTING OBJECT IN $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$

In this section, we construct a tilting object in $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ when w is a c-sortable element. A triangle equivalence induced from tilting objects is given in Section 5. We first recall the definition of tilting objects in triangulated categories (e.g. [IT, Y]).

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category. An object U in \mathcal{T} is called a *tilting* object if the following holds.

- Hom_{\mathcal{T}}(U, U[j]) = 0 for any $j \neq 0$.
- thick $U = \mathcal{T}$, where thick U is the smallest triangulated full subcategory of \mathcal{T} containing U and closed under direct summands.

Definition 4.2. For a *c*-sortable element $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$, put

$$M:=\bigoplus_{i=0}^m (\Pi_{c^{(0)}\cdots c^{(i)}})(i)$$

Throughout this section, let $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$ be a *c*-sortable element and let *M* as in Definition 4.2. This *M* belongs to $\mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ by Proposition 2.3 (e) and (2.1).

Example 4.3. Let Q be a quiver 2 3. Let $w = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$. This is a c-sortable element. Then we have a graded algebra $\Pi_w = \Pi_w e_1 \oplus \Pi_w e_2 \oplus \Pi_w e_3$,

and

$$M = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2} \bigoplus \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$, where the graded projective Π_w -modules are removed, and the degree zero parts are denoted by bold numbers.

The following proposition follows from Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 4.4. $M = M_{\leq 0}$.

Proof. We have $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\prod_{c^{(0)} \dots c^{(i)}})_{\leq i}(i) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\prod_{c^{(0)} \dots c^{(i)}})(i)_{\leq 0} = M_{\leq 0}.$

By the following two propositions, we show that this M satisfies the axioms of tilting objects. Note that, by Lemma 3.4, $(\Pi_w)_{\leq i} = \Pi_w$ holds for $i \geq m$, and therefore, we have

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\Pi_{c^{(0)}\dots c^{(i)}})_{\leq i}(i) = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} (\Pi_{w})_{\leq i}(i) = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} (\Pi_{w}(i))_{\leq 0}$$

in $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ by Proposition 3.5.

Proposition 4.5. We have $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Pi_{w}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M[j]) = 0$ for any $j \neq 0$.

Proof. For any $0 \leq i$, we have a short exact sequence,

$$0 \to (\Pi_w)(i)_{\geq 1} \to (\Pi_w)(i) \to (\Pi_w)(i)_{\leq 0} \to 0.$$

Since $((\Pi_w)(i)_{\geq 1})_{\leq 0} = 0$, we have

$$(\Omega M)_{\leq 0} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \left(\Omega \left(\Pi_w(i)_{\leq 0} \right) \right)_{\leq 0} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} \left(\Pi_w(i)_{\geq 1} \right)_{\leq 0} = 0.$$

Since Π_w is positively graded, we have $(\Omega^j(M))_{\leq 0} = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. Therefore

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M,\Omega^j(M)) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\Omega^j(M),M) = 0$$

hold for any $j \ge 1$ by Proposition 4.4. The first equality implies $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M[-j]) = 0$ for $j \ge 1$, and the second equality implies $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M[j]) = 0$ for $j \ge 1$.

Next we prove that M satisfies the second axiom of tilting objects. Since $(\Pi_w)_0 = kQ^{(1)}$ by Proposition 3.5, we regard a $kQ^{(1)}$ -module X as a graded Π_w -module concentrated in degree 0. For an integer i, let $\mathsf{mod}^{\leq i} \Pi_w$ be the full subcategory of $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ of modules X satisfying $X = X_{\leq i}$.

Proposition 4.6. We have $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w = \mathsf{thick}\,M$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$. We show that $X \in \mathsf{thick} M$. By Proposition 3.6, we have $X_i \in \mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since X has a finite filtration $\{X_{\geq j} \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, it is enough to show that $X_i \in \mathsf{thick} M$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since each X_i is a $kQ^{(1)}$ -module and the global dimension of $kQ^{(1)}$ is at most one, it is enough to show that $kQ^{(1)}(i) \in \mathsf{thick} M$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Firstly, we show $kQ^{(1)}(i) \in \text{thick } M$ for any $i \geq 0$ by induction on i. Since M has a direct summand $(\Pi_w)_0 = kQ^{(1)}$, we have $kQ^{(1)} \in \text{thick } M$. Assume $kQ^{(1)}(j) \in \text{thick } M$ for $0 \leq j \leq i-1$. Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \to (\Pi_w)_{[1,i]}(i) \to (\Pi_w)_{\le i}(i) \to (\Pi_w)_0(i) \to 0.$$
(4.1)

By taking a finite filtration of $(\Pi_w)_{[1,i]}(i)$ and the inductive hypothesis, we conclude that $(\Pi_w)_{[1,i]}(i) \in \operatorname{thick} M$. Since $(\Pi_w)_{\leq i}(i)$ is a direct summand of M or a graded projective Π_w -module, we have $kQ^{(1)}(i) = (\Pi_w)_0(i) \in \operatorname{thick} M$ by (4.1). Consequently, we have that $X \in \operatorname{thick} M$ for any $X \in \operatorname{mod}^{\leq 0} \Pi_w \cap \operatorname{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$.

Secondly, we show that $kQ^{(1)}(-i) \in \text{thick } M$ for any $i \geq 0$ by induction on i. Assume $kQ^{(1)}(-j) \in \text{thick } M$ for $0 \leq j \leq i-1$. Thus we have $X \in \text{thick } M$ for any $X \in \text{mod}^{\leq i-1} \prod_w \cap \text{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \prod_w$. By Theorem 3.9, $T = \bigoplus_{u \in Q_0^{(1)}} (\prod_w e_u(m_{p_u}))_0$ is a tilting $kQ^{(1)}$ -module. There exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \to kQ^{(1)} \to T_0 \to T_1 \to 0,$$

where $T_0, T_1 \in \operatorname{add} T$. Therefore it is enough to show that $T(-i) \in \operatorname{thick} M$. For each $u \in Q_0^{(1)}$, take a short exact sequence

$$0 \to Te_u(-i) \to \Pi_w e_u(m_{p_u})(-i) \to \Pi_w e_u(m_{p_u})_{\le -1}(-i) \to 0.$$
(4.2)

The second term is a graded projective Π_w -module. The third term belongs to thick M since $\Pi_w e_u(m_{p_u})_{\leq -1}(-i)$ is in $\mathsf{mod}^{\leq i-1} \Pi_w$. Consequently, we have $T(-i) \in \mathsf{thick} M$ by (4.2).

Then we have the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.7. For a c-sortable element $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$, let $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\Pi_{c^{(0)}\cdots c^{(i)}})(i)$. Then M is a tilting object in $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_{w}$.

Proof. By Propositions 4.5, and 4.6, M is a tilting object in $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$.

Remark 4.8. It was shown by Yamaura [Y] that, for a finite dimensional self-injective positively graded algebra A, the stable category $\underline{\text{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}}A$ has a tilting object $\bigoplus_{i\geq 0}(A(i))_{\leq 0}$ if A_0 has finite global dimension. Our tilting object M in $\underline{\text{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ is an analog of this since $M = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}(\Pi_w)_{\leq i}(i) = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0}(\Pi_w(i))_{\leq 0}$ holds.

5. The endomorphism algebra of the tilting object

In this section, we calculate the endomorphism algebra of the tilting object which was constructed in Definition 4.2. The aim of this section is to prove Theorems 5.2 and 5.3. Throughout this section, let Q be a finite acyclic quiver.

5.1. A morphism from $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ to $\operatorname{End}_{kQ^{(1)}}(M_0)$. Firstly, we give another description of the tilting object which was constructed in Definition 4.2. Throughout this section, we use the following notation.

Definition 5.1. Let $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ be a reduced expression of an element w in the Coxeter group of Q. We use the same notation as after Proposition 3.7, that is,

$$p_u = \max\{1 \le j \le l \mid u_j = u\}, \quad \text{for } u \in \text{Supp}(w),$$
$$m_i = \sharp\{1 \le j \le i - 1 \mid u_j = u_i\}, \quad \text{for } 1 \le i \le l.$$

Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, put

$$M^{i} = (\Pi/I_{u_{1}\cdots u_{i}})e_{u_{i}}(m_{i}), \qquad M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} M^{i},$$
$$P = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Supp}(w)} M^{p_{u}}, \qquad T = P_{0}.$$

Note that $P \in \operatorname{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ holds since $\Pi_w = \bigoplus_{u \in \operatorname{Supp}(w)} M^{p_u}(-m_{p_u})$. If $w = s_{u_1} s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)} c^{(1)} \cdots c^{(m)}$ is a *c*-sortable element, then we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} M^{i} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m} (\Pi_{c^{(0)} \dots c^{(i)}})(i)$$

$$(5.1)$$

in $\underline{\operatorname{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$. In fact, for any $1 \leq i \leq l$, $M^i = (\Pi/I_{c^{(0)}\cdots c^{(m_i)}})e_{u_i}(m_i)$ holds by Proposition 3.7, and for any $0 \leq j \leq m$, if $u \in Q_0 \setminus \operatorname{Supp}(c^{(j)})$, then $(\Pi/I_{c^{(0)}\cdots c^{(j)}})e_u = \Pi_w e_u$ holds, which is projective. Therefore we have an isomorphism (5.1). As we have shown in Theorem 4.7, $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l M^i$ is a tilting object in $\underline{\operatorname{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$.

Before starting the calculating of the endomorphism algebra $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$, we state a triangle equivalence induced from a tilting object. Let \mathcal{T} be the stable category of a Frobenius category, and assume that \mathcal{T} is Krull-Schmidt. If there exists a tilting object U in \mathcal{T} , then it follows from [K, (4.3)] that there exists a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{T} \simeq \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{b}}(\operatorname{proj}\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(U)),$$
(5.2)

where $\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{b}}(\operatorname{proj}\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(U))$ is the homotopy category of bounded complexes of projective $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(U)$ -modules. In this subsection, we show that the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is finite and we have the following theorem.

11

Theorem 5.2. Let $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$ be a c-sortable element and $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} M^i$ be a tilting object in $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$. Then the global dimension of $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is finite and we have a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\operatorname{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w \simeq \operatorname{D^b}(\underline{\operatorname{End}}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\Pi_w}(M)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.13, the global dimension of $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is finite. By Theorem 4.7 and a triangle equivalence (5.2), we have the assertion.

We state another theorem of this section. Looking at the degree zero part of graded modules, we have the following functor

$$\mathbb{F} := (-)_0 : \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi \to \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}} kQ.$$

The functor $\mathbb F$ induces the following morphism of algebras

$$F := \mathbb{F}_{M,M} : \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)$$

given by $F(f) = f|_{M_0}$. Then we claim the following.

Theorem 5.3. Let w be a c-sortable element. The morphism F induces an isomorphism of algebras $\underline{F} : \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$, which makes the following diagram commutative

where [T] is an ideal of $\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)$ consisting of morphisms factoring through objects in add T, and vertical morphisms are canonical surjections.

Proof. In Proposition 5.14, we show that F actually induces a morphism \underline{F} . \underline{F} is surjective by Proposition 5.28. In Proposition 5.30, we show that \underline{F} is injective.

In Subsection 5.2, we show one theorem which we will use to prove Proposition 5.28.

Example 5.4. Let Q be a quiver $2 \xrightarrow{1}$. Let $w = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$. This is a c-sortable element. In Example 4.3, we have

 $M = M^1 \oplus M^2 \oplus M^3 \oplus M^4 \oplus M^5 \oplus M^6$

$$= \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2} \oplus \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{2} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 & \mathbf{3} \\ \mathbf{3} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\mathsf{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$, where the degree zero parts are denoted by bold numbers. Therefore, we have $P = M^3 \oplus M^5 \oplus M^6$ and

$$T = P_0 = M_0^3 \oplus M_0^5 \oplus M_0^6 = 1 2 \oplus 1 2 \oplus 1 2 \oplus 1 3 \oplus$$

13

$$M_0 = M_0^1 \oplus M_0^2 \oplus M_0^4 \oplus T = 1 \oplus 2 \times 3 \times 7 \oplus 1 \times 2 \times 3 \oplus T.$$

It is easy to see that the algebra $\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$ is given by the following quiver with relations

$$\Delta = \left[\bullet \xrightarrow{a} \bullet \xrightarrow{b} \bullet \right], \quad ab = 0.$$

By Theorem 5.3 or a direct calculation, we can see that the algebra $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is also given by the same quiver with relations.

We can describe the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$. Let K be the kernel of the canonical epimorphism $\Pi_w e_2 \to S_2$, where S_2 is a simple module associated with the vertex 2, and let N be the cokernel of an inclusion $(\Pi_w e_1)_1 \to \Pi_w e_2$:

$$K = \underbrace{\begin{matrix} 3 & \mathbf{1} \\ 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{matrix}, \qquad N = \underbrace{\begin{matrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{matrix}}_{1}^{2}.$$

Then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ is the following one:



where $M = (\Pi_w e_1)_0 \oplus N_0 \oplus (\Pi_w e_1)_{[0,1]}(1)$. We see that the shape of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ is actually the same as that of $\mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\underline{\mathrm{End}}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{\Pi_w}(M))$.

We first describe the quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$. We recall the following definition of a quiver Q_w associated with a reduced expression $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$. This Q_w was denoted by $Q(u_1,\ldots,u_l)$ in [BIRSc, Subsection III. 4].

Definition 5.5. [BIRSc] We define a quiver Q_w associated with a reduced expression $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ as follows:

- vertices: $(Q_w)_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}.$
 - A vertex $1 \le i \le l$ in Q_w is said to be type $u \in Q_0$ if $u_i = u$.
- arrows:
 - (a1) For each $u \in \text{Supp}(w)$, draw an arrow from j to i, where i, j are vertices of type u, i < j, and there is no vertex of type u between i and j (we call these arrows going to the left).
 - (a2) For each arrow $\alpha : u \to v \in Q_1$, draw an arrow α_i from *i* to *j*, where i < j, *i* is a vertex of type *u*, *j* is a vertex of type *v*, there is no vertex of type *u* between *i* and *j*, and *j* is the biggest vertex of type *v* before the next vertex of type *u* (we call these arrows *Q*-arrows).
 - (a3) For each arrow $\alpha : u \to v \in Q_1$, draw an arrow α_i^* from *i* to *j*, where i < j, *i* is a vertex of type *v*, *j* is a vertex of type *u*, there is no vertex of type *v* between *i* and *j*, and *j* is the biggest vertex of type *u* before the next vertex of type *v* (we call these arrows Q^* -arrows).

Note that the quiver Q_w depends on the choice of a reduced expression of w.

Example 5.6. (a) Let Q be the quiver $2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 3^{\gamma}$, and $w = s_{u_1} s_{u_2} s_{u_3} s_{u_4} s_{u_5} s_{u_6} = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_1 s_2 s_1$.

Then we have the quiver Q_w as follows:



(b) Let Q be the same quiver in (a), and $w' = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}s_{u_3}s_{u_4}s_{u_5}s_{u_6} = s_1s_2s_3s_2s_1s_2$. This is another reduced expression of w in (a). Then we have the quiver $Q_{w'}$ as follows:



It is shown that Q_w gives a quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ as we see in Theorem 5.7. We define a morphism of algebras $\phi: kQ_w \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ by

- (a1) For an arrow $\beta : j \to i$ going to the left, $\phi(\beta)$ is the canonical surjection $M^j \to M^i$.
- (a2) For a *Q*-arrow $\alpha_i : i \to j$ of the arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, $\phi(\alpha_i)$ is a morphism of Π_w -modules from M^i to M^j given by multiplying α from the right.
- (a3) For a Q^* -arrow $\alpha_i^* : i \to j$ of the arrow $\alpha \in Q_1$, $\phi(\alpha_i^*)$ is a morphism of Π_w -modules from M^i to M^j given by multiplying α^* from the right.

In Theorem 5.7, we do not consider gradings of Π_w and M^i .

Theorem 5.7. [BIRSc, Theorem III. 4.1] Let $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ be a reduced expression. Then the morphism of algebras $\phi: kQ_w \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ induces an isomorphism of algebras

$$\phi: kQ_w/I \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$$

for an ideal I of kQ.

Since $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M(n))$, we regard $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ as a graded algebra by $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)_n = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M(n))$. In particular, we have $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)_0$. We introduce a grading on Q_w .

Definition 5.8. Assume that $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ is a reduced expression. Let Q_w be the quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ and $(Q_w)_0 = \{1, \ldots, l\}$. We define a grading on Q_w as follows:

- (1) All arrows going to the left are of degree one.
- (2) Let $\beta : i \to j$ be a Q-arrow in Q_w . Then the degree of β is $m_i m_j$.
- (3) Let $\beta : i \to j$ be a Q^* -arrow in Q_w . Then the degree of β is $m_i m_j + 1$.

Example 5.9. (a) In the quiver of Example 5.6 (a), we have the grading of Q_w as follows:



where non numbered arrows have degree zero.

(b) In the quiver of Example 5.6 (b), we have the grading of Q_w as follows:



where non numbered arrows have degree zero.

We regard kQ_w as a graded algebra by the grading of Definition 5.8. Then the isomorphism in Theorem 5.7 holds as graded algebras.

Proposition 5.10. The morphism of algebras

$$\phi: kQ_w \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$$

is a surjective morphism of graded algebras.

Proof. It is enough to show that the morphism $\phi : kQ_w \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ preserves gradings. Since kQ_w is generated by arrows, it is enough to show that ϕ preserves gradings of arrows. (a1) Let $\beta : j \to i$ be an arrow going to the left. Then $\phi(\beta)$ is given by a surjection

at) Let $p: j \to i$ be an arrow going to the left. Then $\phi(p)$ is given by a surjection

$$(\Pi/I_{u_1u_2\cdots u_j})e_{u_j}(m_j) \to (\Pi/I_{u_1u_2\cdots u_i})e_{u_i}(m_i).$$

Since there exists no vertex of type $u_i = u_j$ between *i* and *j*, we have $m_i + 1 = m_j$. Since top (M^j) is concentrated in $-m_j$ and top (M^i) is concentrated in $-m_i$, this surjection is degree one.

(a2) Let $\beta = \alpha_i : i \to j$ be a *Q*-arrow in Q_w , where $\alpha \in Q_1$. Then $\phi(\beta)$ is a morphism multiplying α from the right:

$$\phi(\beta) = (\cdot \alpha) : (\Pi/I_{u_1u_2\cdots u_i})e_{u_i}(m_i) \to (\Pi/I_{u_1u_2\cdots u_j})e_{u_j}(m_j).$$

This means $\phi(\beta)$ is degree $m_i - m_j$.

(a3) Let $\beta = \alpha_i^* : i \to j$ be a Q^* -arrow in Q_w , where $\alpha \in Q_1$. Then $\phi(\beta)$ is a morphism multiplying α^* from the right:

$$\phi(\beta) = (\cdot \alpha^*) : (\Pi/I_{u_1 u_2 \cdots u_i}) e_{u_i}(m_i) \to (\Pi/I_{u_1 u_2 \cdots u_j}) e_{u_j}(m_j).$$

This means $\phi(\beta)$ is degree $m_i - m_j + 1$.

The following lemma is important to show Propositions 5.13 and 5.14.

Lemma 5.11. Assume that $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ is a c-sortable element. Let $\beta: i \to j$ be an arrow in Q_w which is a Q-arrow or a Q^{*}-arrow. Then the following holds.

- (a) If β has a negative degree, then we have $i = p_{u_i}$ and $j = p_{u_j}$.
- (b) If β satisfies $i \neq p_{u_i}$ or $j \neq p_{u_j}$, then β has degree zero.

Proof. Assume that β is a Q-arrow and i is a vertex of type u and j is a vertex of type v. Then, by the definition of Q_w , there exists an arrow $\alpha : u \to v$ in Q which satisfies $\alpha_i = \beta$. Pick up vertices of type u and v from $(Q_w)_0 = \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$, then we have the following two cases:

$$1 \le a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_s < b_s < b_{s+1} < \dots < b_t \le l, \tag{5.3}$$

$$1 \le a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < b_t < a_{t+1} < a_{t+2} < \dots < a_s \le l, \tag{5.4}$$

15

where a_{\bullet} are vertices of type u and b_{\bullet} are vertices of type v. By the definition of m_{i} , we have $m_{a_k} = k - 1$ and $m_{b_k} = k - 1$. Moreover, by the definition of p_u and p_v , we have $p_u = a_s$ and $p_v = b_t$. Let $Q_w(i, j, \alpha)$ be a subquiver of Q_w such that $(Q_w(i, j, \alpha))_0 = 0$ $\{a_1, \cdots, a_s, b_1, \cdots, b_t\}$ and $(Q_w(i, j, \alpha))_1$ is the set of all arrows of the form α_k or α_k^* for some $1 \le k \le l$ or arrows going to the left.

In the case (5.3), the quiver $Q_w(i, j, \alpha)$ is the following:



Since β is a Q-arrow, β is one of the arrows of $a_k \to b_k$ for $1 \le k \le s - 1$ or $a_s \to b_t$. For $1 \le k \le s-1$, we have $m_{a_k} - m_{b_k} = 0$. Therefore, in the case (5.3), (a) and (b) hold. In the case (5.4), the quiver $Q_w(i, j, \alpha)$ is the following:



Since β is a Q-arrow, β is one of the arrows of $a_k \to b_k$ for $1 \le k \le t$. For $1 \le k \le t$, we have $m_{a_k} - m_{b_k} = 0$. Therefore, in the case (5.4), (a) and (b) hold.

By the same argument, we can show in the case when β is a Q^* -arrow.

Lemma 5.12. Assume that $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ is a c-sortable element, then any $f \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M, M(a))$ with a < 0 factors through $\operatorname{add} P = \operatorname{add}(\bigoplus_{u \in \operatorname{Supp}(w)} M^{p_u}).$

Proof. We identify $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ with kQ_w/I as graded algebras by Theorem 5.7 and Proposition 5.10. Since f is written as a liner combination of paths in Q_w , we can assume that f = p for some path p in Q_w . Since f has a negative degree, the degree of p is negative. Thus p contains an arrow of negative degree. By Lemma 5.11, p factors through a vertex p_u for some $u \in \text{Supp}(w)$. Therefore, f factors through $\operatorname{add} P = \operatorname{add}(\bigoplus_{u \in \text{Supp}(w)} M^{p_u})$.

Now we are ready to show the finiteness of the global dimension of $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_m}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$.

Proposition 5.13. Let $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$ be a c-sortable element and $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l} M^{i}$ be a tilting object in <u>Sub</u>^Z Π_{w} . Then the global dimension of <u>End</u>^Z $_{\Pi_{w}}(M)$ is finite.

Proof. We denote by \underline{Q}_w the full subquiver of Q_w such that $(\underline{Q}_w)_0 = (Q_w)_0 \setminus \{p_u \mid w\}$ $u \in \text{Supp}(w)$. Then ϕ induces a surjective morphism of graded algebras $\phi : k\underline{Q}_w \to \mathbb{Q}_w$ $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}(M)$ by [BIRSm, Theorem 6.6]. By Lemma 5.11 (a), $k\underline{Q}_w$ is positively graded and therefore $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_{\mathrm{er}}}(M)$ is also positively graded. By taking degree zero part of these algebras, we have the following commutative diagram

where we denote by \underline{Q}_{w0} a subquiver of \underline{Q}_{w} such that vertices are same as \underline{Q}_{w} and arrows are all degree zero arrows of Q_w . We have a surjection $\overline{\phi}$, since $\widetilde{\phi}$ and vertical morphisms are surjections. Because $\underline{Q}_{w,0}$ does not contains arrows going to the left, $\underline{Q}_{w,0}$ is acyclic. Therefore the global dimension of $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is finite.

In Section 6, we show that the global dimension of $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is at most two. We show that the morphism F actually induces a morphism \underline{F} .

Proposition 5.14. The morphism F induces a morphism of algebras:

$$\underline{F}: \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \mathrm{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T].$$

Proof. We show that if a morphism $f : M \to M$ in $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ factors through graded projective Π_w -modules, then f factors through $\operatorname{add} P = \operatorname{add}(\bigoplus_{u \in \operatorname{Supp}(w)} M^{p_u})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f = h \circ g$ for $g : M \to M^{p_u}(a)$ and $h : M^{p_u}(a) \to M$, where $u \in \operatorname{Supp}(w)$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. We divide into three cases:

- If a > 0, then $M^{p_u}(a)_0 = M^{p_u}_a = 0$, since $M^{p_u} = M^{p_u}_{\leq 0}$ by Proposition 3.5. Thus we have $f|_0 = 0$.
- If a = 0, then f actually factors through $M^{p_u} \in \operatorname{\mathsf{add}} P$.
- If *a* < 0, then *g* factors through add *P* by Lemma 5.12. Thus *f* also factors through add *P*. □

In the rest of this subsection, we give some examples of tilting objects M and its endomorphism algebras.

Example 5.15. If Q is not Dynkin, then $w = c^2 = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_n}s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_n}$ is a reduced expression by [BIRSc, Proposition III. 3.1]. Thus we have $\Pi_w = \Pi_{\leq 1}$ by Proposition 3.5. Since $M = \Pi_c \oplus \Pi_{c^2}(1) \simeq kQ$ in $\underline{Sub}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$ and kQ is concentrated in degree 0, we have

$$\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}(kQ)$$

By [BIRSc, Proposition III. 3.2], we have an isomorphism $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}(kQ) \simeq kQ$. Therefore, we have $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \simeq kQ$, and a triangulated equivalence

$$\underline{\mathsf{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w \simeq \mathsf{K}^{\mathrm{b}}(\operatorname{proj} kQ) \simeq \mathsf{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(kQ).$$

Example 5.16. Let Q be a quiver $1 \implies 2$. Then we have a graded algebra $\Pi = \Pi e_1 \oplus \Pi e_2$, and these are represented by their radical filtrations as follows:



where the degree zero parts are denoted by bold numbers. Let $c = s_1 s_2$. This is a Coxeter element. Let $w = c^{n+1} = s_1 s_2 s_1 \cdots s_1 s_2$. This is a *c*-sortable element. We have $(\Pi/I_{c^i})e_1 = (\Pi/J^{2i-1})e_1$, and $(\Pi/I_{c^i})e_2 = (\Pi/J^{2i})e_2$, where *J* is the Jacobson radical of Π . By Theorem 4.7, $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\Pi/I_{c^i})(i-1)$ is a tilting object in $\underline{\operatorname{Sub}}^{\mathbb{Z}}\Pi_w$, where graded projective Π_w -modules are removed. The endomorphism algebra $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$ is given by the following quiver with relations

$$\Delta = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \xrightarrow{a} & 2 & \xrightarrow{a} & 3 & \xrightarrow{a} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{a} & 2n & -1 & \xrightarrow{a} & 2n \end{array} \right], \quad aa = bb.$$

The algebra $k\Delta/\langle aa - bb \rangle$ has global dimension two.

5.2. Relationship between endomorphism algebras associated with w and w'. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 5.24 which is used to prove Proposition 5.28. Throughout this subsection, we use the notation in Definition 5.1.

Assume that v is a source in Q. Let $Q' = \mu_v(Q)$ be the quiver obtained by reversing all arrows starting at v. Although the preprojective algebras Π and Π' of Q and Q', respectively, are the same as ungraded algebras, they have different gradings.

We first construct a functor from $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi$ to $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$. Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r$ be the arrows in Q starting at v, and

$$Q'_1 = (Q_1 \setminus \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_r\}) \sqcup \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\},$$

where $t(\gamma_i) = v$, $t(\beta_i) = s(\gamma_i)$. We have an isomorphism of algebras $\rho : k\overline{Q} \to k\overline{Q'}$ given by $\rho(\beta_i) = \gamma_i^*, \rho(\beta_i^*) = -\gamma_i$, and $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha$ for other arrows. Then ρ induces an isomorphism of the preprojective algebras, we also denote it by ρ :

$$\rho: \Pi \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi'. \tag{5.5}$$

By calculating the grading of paths of $k\overline{Q}$ and $k\overline{Q'}$, we have the following lemma, where $\delta_{u,v} = 1$ if u = v and 0 otherwise for $u, v \in Q_0$.

Lemma 5.17. For $u, u' \in Q_0$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, by identifying kQ with kQ' by ρ , we have

$$e_u(k\overline{Q})_i e_{u'} = e_u(k\overline{Q'})_{i+\delta_{u,v}-\delta_{u',v}} e_{u'}.$$

Moreover, the equation also holds for Π and Π' , that is,

$$e_u \Pi_i e_{u'} = e_u \Pi'_{i+\delta_{u,v}-\delta_{u',v}} e_{u'}.$$

For a finitely generated graded Π' -module N, we regard $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(N)$ as a graded algebra by $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(N)_i = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(N, N(i))$. The graded preprojective algebras Π and Π' are related as follows.

Lemma 5.18. We have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$\Pi' \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(\Pi e_v(1) \oplus \Pi(1 - e_v)), \quad x \mapsto (\cdot \rho^{-1}(x)).$$

Proof. It is enough to show that the morphism preserves gradings. This follows from Lemma 5.17. $\hfill \Box$

Then we construct a functor \mathbb{G} from $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi$ to $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$. We need the following Lemma.

Lemma 5.19. We have a surjective morphism of algebras $\Pi \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(I_v), x \mapsto (\cdot x)$.

Proof. If Q is a non-Dynkin quiver, then the assertion follows from Proposition 2.3 (a). If Q is a Dynkin quiver, then the assertion follows from [M, Lemma 2.7].

More precisely, we have the following surjective morphism of graded algebras.

Lemma 5.20. Let $v \in Q_0$ be a source and $U := I_v e_v(1) \oplus \Pi(1 - e_v) \in \mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi$. Then we have a surjective morphism of graded algebras

$$\Pi' \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(U), \quad x \mapsto (\cdot \rho^{-1}(x)).$$

Moreover, we have the following surjective morphism of graded algebras

$$\Pi' \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(\Pi/I_v), \quad x \mapsto (\cdot \pi(\rho^{-1}(x))),$$

where $\pi: \Pi \to \Pi/I_v$ is the canonical surjection.

Proof. The morphism is surjective since ρ is an isomorphism and by Lemma 5.19. We have to show that the composite is a morphism of graded algebras.

By Lemma 5.17, for $u, u' \in Q_0$, we have

$$e_u(\Pi'_i)e_{u'} = e_u(\Pi_{i+\delta_{u',v}-\delta_{u,v}})e_{u'}$$

Moreover, for $u, u' \in Q_0$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$U_{j}e_{u} \cdot e_{u}(\Pi_{i+\delta_{u',v}-\delta_{u,v}})e_{u'} = \begin{cases} (I_{v})_{j+1}e_{u} \cdot e_{u}\Pi_{i}e_{u'} & u = u' = v \\ (I_{v})_{j+1}e_{u} \cdot e_{u}\Pi_{i-1}e_{u'} & u = v, u' \neq v \\ \Pi_{j}e_{u} \cdot e_{u}\Pi_{i+1}e_{u'} & u \neq v, u' = v \\ \Pi_{j}e_{u} \cdot e_{u}\Pi_{i}e_{u'} & u \neq v, u' \neq v \\ \subset U(i)_{j}e_{u'}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, the morphism $\Pi' \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}(U)$ is a morphism of graded algebras. The other follows from a similar calculation.

By Lemma 5.20, we have a functor

$$\mathbb{G} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}(U, -) : \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi \to \operatorname{\mathsf{mod}}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'.$$

where the grading on the Π' -module $\mathbb{G}(X)$ is given by $\mathbb{G}(X)_i := \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(U, X(i))$. This functor satisfies $\mathbb{G} \circ (i) \simeq (i) \circ \mathbb{G}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To show Proposition 5.22, we recall the following proposition. For a reduced expression $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$, let $I_{k,m} = I_{u_k\cdots u_m}$ if $k \leq m$ and $I_{k,m} = \Pi$ if m < k.

Proposition 5.21. [BIRSc, Lemma III. 1.14] Assume that $s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ is a reduced expression and $l \geq 2$. Then we have $I_{k+1,m}/I_{1,m} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}(\Pi/I_{u_1\cdots u_k}, \Pi/I_{u_1\cdots u_m})$ by $x \mapsto (\cdot x)$.

We apply the same construction as (??) to the reduced expression $w' := s_{u_2} s_{u_3} \cdots s_{u_l}$. Put

$$p'_{u} = \max\{2 \le j \le l \mid u_{j} = u\} - 1, \quad \text{for } u \in \text{Supp}(w'), \\ m'_{i} = \sharp\{2 \le j \le i - 1 \mid u_{j} = u_{i}\}, \quad \text{for } 2 \le i \le l.$$

Moreover, for $2 \leq i \leq l$, put

$$M'^{i-1} := \left((\Pi'/I'_{u_2\cdots u_i})e_{u_i} \right)(m'_i), \quad P' = \bigoplus_{u \in \operatorname{Supp}(w')} M'^{p'_u}$$

We have $\Pi'_{w'} = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Supp}(w')} M'^{p'_u}(-m'_{p'_u})$. Put $M' = \bigoplus_{i=2}^l M'^{i-1}$.

Proposition 5.22. Assume that $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ is a reduced expression of an element of W_Q and $u_1 = v$ is a source of Q, $l \ge 2$. Let $w' = s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l}$. Then

- (a) $\mathbb{G}(M^1) = 0.$
- (b) For $2 \leq j \leq l$, we have an isomorphism $\psi_j : \mathbb{G}(M^j) \xrightarrow{\sim} M'^{j-1}$ in $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$, that is,

$$\psi_j : \mathbb{G}\left((\Pi/I_{1,j})e_{u_j}\right)(m_j) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left((\Pi'/I'_{2,j})e_{u_j}\right)(m'_j).$$

(c) We have
$$\psi = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} \psi_j : \mathbb{G}(M) = \mathbb{G}(M/M^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} M' \text{ in } \mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$$

Proof. (a) Since a simple module associated with $u_1 = v$ does not appear in top(U), we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}(U, M^1) = 0$.

(b) Since $m'_j = m_j - \delta_{v,u_j}$ holds, we show that

$$\mathbb{G}\left((\Pi/I_{1,j})e_{u_j}\right) \simeq \left((\Pi'/I'_{2,j})e_{u_j}\right)(-\delta_{v,u_j}).$$

By a similar calculation of the proof of Lemma 5.20, we have the following morphism of graded Π' -modules

$$(I'_{2,j}/I'_{1,j})(-\delta_{v,u_j}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}((\Pi/I_v)(1), \Pi/I_{1,j}), (\Pi'/I'_{1,j})(-\delta_{v,u_j}) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}(\Pi e_v(1) \oplus \Pi(1-e_v), \Pi/I_{1,j}),$$

where both of them are defined by $x \mapsto (\cdot \rho^{-1}(x))$. These morphisms are isomorphisms by Proposition 5.21. By Proposition 2.3 (e), $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Pi}^{1}(\Pi/I_{v}, \Pi/I_{1,j}) = 0$ holds. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}(-, \Pi/I_{1,j})$ to the exact sequence

$$0 \to U \to \Pi e_v(1) \oplus \Pi(1 - e_v) \to (\Pi/I_v)(1) \to 0,$$

we have the following commutative diagram of exact sequence in $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$;

The following lemma is used later.

Lemma 5.23. Under the setting in Proposition 5.22, for the functor $\mathbb{G} : \mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi \to \mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$, we have

- (a) \mathbb{G} restricts to a dense functor $\operatorname{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$ to $\operatorname{proj}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'_{w'}$.
- (b) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $\mathbb{G}_{M,M(i)}$ is surjective.

Proof. (a) This comes from $\Pi_w = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Supp}(w)} M^{p_u}(-m_{p_u}), \Pi'_{w'} = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Supp}(w')} M'^{p'_u}(-m'_{p'_u}),$ and Proposition 5.22.

(b) It is enough to show that the map $\mathbb{G}_{M^{j},M^{k}(i)}$ is surjective for $2 \leq j,k \leq l$. By Lemma 5.21 (b), we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M^{j}, M^{k}(i)) = \left(e_{u_{j}}\frac{I_{j+1,k}}{I_{1,k}}e_{u_{k}}\right)_{m_{k}-m_{j}+i},$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi'}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M'^{j-1}, M'^{k-1}(i)) = \left(e_{u_{j}}\frac{I'_{j+1,k}}{I'_{2,k}}e_{u_{k}}\right)_{m'_{k}-m'_{j}+i}.$$

For $2 \leq j,k \leq l$, an equation $m'_k - m'_j + i = m_k - m_j + \delta_{u_j,v} - \delta_{u_k,v} + i$ holds. Thus $\rho: \Pi \to \Pi' \operatorname{maps} \left(e_{u_j}(I_{j+1,k}/I_{1,k})e_{u_k} \right)_{m_k - m_j + i}$ to $\left(e_{u_j}(I'_{j+1,k}/I'_{2,k})e_{u_k} \right)_{m'_k - m'_j + i}$ by Lemma 5.17. We have the following commutative diagram

21

where the lower map is induced by $\rho: \Pi \to \Pi'$, and α is defined by $\alpha(f) = \psi_k(i) \circ f \circ \psi_j^{-1}$. Since the lower map is surjective and α is an isomorphism by Proposition 5.22 (b), we have that $\mathbb{G}_{M^j,M^k(i)}$ is surjective.

The following theorem is a graded version of [IR, Theorem 3.1, (ii)] and the main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 5.24. Under the setting in Proposition 5.22, we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\underline{G}: \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) / [M^1(i) \mid 0 \le i \le p_{u_1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'_{w'}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M'),$$

where $G(-) = \psi \circ \mathbb{G}_{M,M}(-) \circ \psi^{-1}$ and $[M^1(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p_{u_1}]$ is an ideal of $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ consisting of morphisms factoring through objects in $\operatorname{add}\{M^1(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p_{u_1}\}$.

Proof. We show that G is surjective and $\text{Ker}(G) = [M^1(i) \mid 0 \le i \le p_{u_1}].$

(i) By Lemma 5.23 (b), G is surjective.

(ii) Since ψ is an isomorphism, we have $\operatorname{Ker}(G) = \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbb{G}_{M,M})$. We show that $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbb{G}_{M,M}) = [M^1(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p_{u_1}]$. By Proposition 5.22 (a), we have $[M^1(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p_{u_1}] \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\mathbb{G}_{M^j,M^k})$. Conversely, we show that $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathbb{G}_{M^j,M^k}) \subset [M^1(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p_{u_1}]$ for $2 \leq j, k \leq l$. By the commutative diagram (5.6), we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbb{G}_{M^{j},M^{k}}\right) = \left(e_{u_{j}}\frac{I_{2,k}}{I_{1,k}}e_{u_{k}}\right)_{m_{k}-m_{j}}$$

If $u_j \neq u_1$, then $e_{u_j}I_{1,k} = e_{u_j}I_{2,k}$ and we have Ker $(\mathbb{G}_{M^j,M^k}) = 0$. If $u_j = u_1$, then we have

$$\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathbb{G}_{M^{j},M^{k}}\right) = \left(e_{u_{j}}\frac{I_{2,k}}{I_{1,k}}e_{u_{k}}\right)_{m_{k}-m_{j}}$$
$$= \left(e_{u_{j}}\frac{\Pi}{I_{u_{1}}}e_{u_{1}}\right)\left(e_{u_{1}}\frac{I_{2,k}}{I_{1,k}}e_{u_{k}}\right)_{m_{k}-m_{j}}$$
$$= \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M^{1}(m_{j}),M^{k}) \circ \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M^{j},M^{1}(m_{j})).$$

In particular, we have Ker $(\mathbb{G}_{M^j,M^k}) \subset [M^1(i) \mid 0 \le i \le p_{u_1}].$

We end this subsection by showing the following lemma which is used later to show Lemma 5.27. For a source $v \in Q_0$ and $Q' = \mu_v(Q)$, we have the reflection functor

$$\mod kQ \xrightarrow{R_v^+} \mod kQ'.$$

Note that U is generated by U_0 as a left Π -module. In fact, $I_v e_u = \Pi e_u$ is generated by e_u for $u \neq v$ and $I_v e_v$ is generated by all arrows in \overline{Q} starting at v. We denote by \mathbb{F}' the degree zero functor on $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi'$:

$$\mathbb{F}' = (-)_0 : \operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi' \to \operatorname{mod} kQ'.$$

Lemma 5.25. Let v be a source of Q and $Q' = \mu_v(Q)$.

(a) We have a morphism of functors $\phi : \mathbb{F}' \circ \mathbb{G} \to R_{u_1}^+ \circ \mathbb{F}$.

(b) For any $X \in \mathsf{mod}^{\leq 0} \Pi$, $\phi_X : \mathbb{G}(X)_0 \to R_v^+(X_0)$ is an isomorphism of kQ'-modules, that is, the following diagram of functors is commutative on $\mathsf{mod}^{\leq 0} \Pi$:



Proof. By the definition of the functor \mathbb{G} , we have $\mathbb{G}(X)_0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(U, X)$. Since $\Pi_i \simeq \tau^{-i}(kQ)$ as kQ-modules, $U_0 = \tau^{-}(kQe_v) \oplus kQ(1-e_v)$ holds and this is an APR-tilting kQ-module associated with v. Therefore we have a morphism of kQ'-modules

$$\phi_X : \mathbb{G}(X)_0 = \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(U, X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{kQ}(U_0, X_0) = R_v^+(X_0),$$

given by $\phi_X(f) = f|_{U_0}$. Clearly this gives a morphism $\phi : \mathbb{F}' \circ \mathbb{G} \to R^+_{u_1} \circ \mathbb{F}$ of functors. Since U is generated by U_0 as a graded Π -module, a morphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(U, X)$ is determined by $\phi_X(f)$. This implies that ϕ_X is injective.

We show that ϕ_X is surjective when X is in $\operatorname{mod}^{\leq 0} \Pi$. Let $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{kQ}(U_0, X_0)$. We define a morphism $f: U \to X$ of kQ-modules by $f|_{U_0} = g$ and $f|_{U_{\geq 1}} = 0$. Then f gives a morphism in $\operatorname{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi$, since $X \in \operatorname{mod}^{\leq 0} \Pi$ and Π is positively graded. \Box

5.3. <u>**F**</u> is surjective. We use the notation in Subsection 5.1 and 5.2. For a quiver Q, we denote by W_Q the Coxeter group of Q. Assume that $w = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)} = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ is a *c*-sortable element of W_Q . Without loss of generality by Lemma 3.1, we assume that $Q_0 = \text{Supp}(w)$. Let $Q' = \mu_{u_1}(Q)$. We show that the morphism $\underline{F} : \underline{\text{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \underline{\text{End}}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$ is surjective. We first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.26. An element $w' = s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l}$ is a $(s_{u_1} c s_{u_1})$ -sortable element in $W_{Q'}$.

Proof. It is clear that $s_{u_1}cs_{u_1}$ is a Coxeter element of $W_{Q'}$ admissible with respect to the orientation of Q'. Let $a = \max\{k \mid u_1 \in \text{Supp}(c^{(k)})\}$. Put

$$c'^{(k)} = \begin{cases} s_{u_1} c^{(k)} s_{u_1} & 0 \le k \le a - 1\\ s_{u_1} c^{(k)} & k = a\\ c^{(k)} & a + 1 \le k \le m \end{cases}$$

Then we have a reduced expression $w' = c'^{(0)}c'^{(1)}\cdots c'^{(m')}$, where m' = m-1 if $\operatorname{Supp}(c^{(m)}) = \{u_1\}$, and m' = m if otherwise. Since each $c'^{(k)}$ is a subword of $s_{u_1}cs_{u_1}$, w' is a $(s_{u_1}cs_{u_1})$ -sortable element.

Let $w' = s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l}$. By Proposition 5.22 (c), there exists the isomorphism of graded Π' -modules

$$\psi : \mathbb{G}(M/M^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} M'.$$

By using ψ , we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\alpha: \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{G}(M/M^1)) \to \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M')$$

defined by $\alpha(f) = \psi \circ f \circ \psi^{-1}$. Moreover we have an isomorphism of algebras

$$\alpha_0 : \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(\mathbb{G}(M/M^1)_0) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(M'_0)$$

defined by $\alpha_0(f) = \psi_0 \circ f \circ \psi_0^{-1}$, where $\psi_0 = \psi|_{\mathbb{G}(M/M^1)_0}$. Let

$$F_{>1} := \mathbb{F}_{M/M^1, M/M^1} : \operatorname{End}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M/M^1) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ}\left((M/M^1)_0\right).$$

Lemma 5.27. The following diagram is commutative:

$$\operatorname{End}_{\Pi}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M/M^{1}) \xrightarrow{G_{\geq 1}} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{G}(M/M^{1})) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M')$$

$$\downarrow^{F_{\geq 1}} \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F'} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F'}$$

$$\operatorname{End}_{kQ}((M/M^{1})_{0}) \xrightarrow{R} \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(\mathbb{G}(M/M^{1})_{0}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{0}} \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(M'_{0}),$$

$$(5.7)$$

where $G_{>1} = \mathbb{G}_{M/M^1, M/M^1}$, $\overline{F}' = \mathbb{F}'_{\mathbb{G}(M/M^1), \mathbb{G}(M/M^1)}$, and R is defined by $R(f) = (\phi_{M/M^1})^{-1} \circ R^+_{u_1}(f) \circ \phi_{M/M^1}$.

Proof. The commutativity of the left square comes from the functoriality of ϕ of Lemma 5.25. The commutativity of the right square is clear.

Proposition 5.28. Assume that $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l} = c^{(0)}c^{(1)}\cdots c^{(m)}$ is a c-sortable element of W_Q . Then we have

- (a) The morphism $F : \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0), f \mapsto f|_{M_0}$ is surjective.
- (b) The morphism $\underline{F} : \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_{W}}^{\mathbb{Z}^{-}}(M) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_{0})/[T]$ is surjective.

Proof. (a) We show the assertion by induction on l. Assume that l = 1. Then we have $M = M^1 = M_0^1$ and $\Pi_w = kQ$. Thus we have $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)$. The assertion holds. Assume that $l \geq 2$. We show that two maps

$$F_1 := \mathbb{F}_{M^1,M} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M^1, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{kQ}(M_0^1, M_0),$$
$$\mathbb{F}_{M/M^1,M} : \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M/M^1, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{kQ}\left((M/M^1)_0, M_0\right)$$

are surjective. Since $M^1 = M_0^1$, M is in $\mathsf{mod}^{\leq 0} \Pi_w$, and Π_w is positively graded, we can regard any $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{kQ}(M_0^1, M_0)$ as a morphism in $\mathsf{mod}^{\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_w$. Therefore, F_1 is surjective.

By [AIRT, Corollary 3.10], we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{kQ}(M_0^j, M_0^i) = 0$ for i < j. Thus we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{kQ}((M/M^1)_0, M_0) = \operatorname{End}_{kQ}((M/M^1)_0)$. Therefore it is enough to show that the map

$$F_{>1} := \mathbb{F}_{M/M^1, M/M^1} : \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M/M^1) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ}\left((M/M^1)_0\right)$$

is surjective. We show that $F_{>1}$ is surjective by using the diagram (5.7). Let $w' = s_{u_2} \cdots s_{u_l}$. By Lemma 5.26 (c), w' is a $(s_{u_1}cs_{u_1})$ -sortable element in $W_{Q'}$. Thus, by the inductive hypothesis, F' in the diagram (5.7) is surjective. By Theorem 5.24, $G_{>1}$ is surjective. Since α , α_0 , and R are isomorphism, $F_{>1}$ is surjective.

(b) We have the following commutative diagram

$$\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_{w}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_{w}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \qquad (5.8)$$

$$\downarrow^{F} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F}$$

$$\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_{0}) \xrightarrow{\pi'} \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_{0})/[T].$$

Since the bottom and the left morphisms are surjective, the right morphism is surjective. $\hfill\square$

5.4. <u>**F**</u> is injective. We show that the morphism <u>*F*</u> is injective. Let $w = s_{u_1}s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$ be a *c*-sortable element and $w' = s_{u_2}\cdots s_{u_l}$. Without loss of generality by Lemma 3.1, we

assume that $Q_0 = \text{Supp}(w)$. Since $\mathbb{G}(M^1) = 0$ and by Lemma 5.27, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_{w}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \xrightarrow{G} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'_{w'}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M') \tag{5.9}$$

$$\downarrow^{F} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F'}$$

$$\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_{0}) \xrightarrow{\overline{R}} \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(M'_{0}),$$

where $\overline{R} = \alpha_0 \circ R$.

Lemma 5.29. Let $f \in \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$. Assume that G(f) factors through add P'. Then we have

- (a) f factors through $\operatorname{add}(P \oplus M^1)$.
- (b) If F(f) = 0, then f factors through add(P).

Proof. (a) By Proposition 5.22 (d), we have $\mathbb{G}(P) = P'$. Since G(f) factors through add P' and by Theorem 5.24 and Lemma 5.23, there exist morphisms $f_1, g \in \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ such that $f = f_1 + g$, f_1 factors through add P, and g factors through add $\{M^1(i) \mid i \geq 0\}$. Thus g is the sum of morphisms $g_1, g_2 \in \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ such that g_1 factors through add M^1 and g_2 factors through add $\{M^1(i) \mid i \geq 1\}$. By Lemma 5.12, g_2 factors through add P.

(b) By (a), there exists $g \in \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ such that g factors through $\operatorname{add} M^1$ and f-g factors through $\operatorname{add} P$. We show that g factors through $\operatorname{add} P$. Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M/M^1, M^1) = 0$, we have $g|_{M/M^1} = 0$. Therefore we may regard g as a morphism from M^1 to M. Since $F(f) = 0, F(g-f) = F(g) : M_0^1 \to M_0$ factors through $\operatorname{add} P_0$. By Proposition 5.28 (a), there exists $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M^1, M)$ such that h factors through $\operatorname{add} P$ and F(g) = F(h). Because $M^1 = M_0^1$, we have g = h.

Proposition 5.30. The morphism $\underline{F} : \underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \to \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$ is injective.

Proof. We show the assertion by induction on l. If l = 1, then we have $\underline{\operatorname{End}}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) = \operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T] = 0$. Thus the claim is clear.

Assume that $l \geq 2$. Let f be a morphism in $\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ satisfying $\underline{F}(\pi(f)) = 0$. We show $\pi(f) = 0$. By the commutative diagram (5.8), we have $\pi'(F(f)) = 0$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \pi' = [T], F(f)$ factors through $\operatorname{add} T$. By Proposition 5.28 (a) and $\mathbb{F}(P) = T$, there exists $g \in \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ such that g factors through $\operatorname{add} P$ and F(f) = F(g). Put $h := f - g \in \operatorname{End}_{\Pi_w}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$. We have $\pi(f) = \pi(h)$. Therefore it is enough to show $\pi(h) = 0$.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\operatorname{End}_{\Pi_{w}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \xrightarrow{G} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'_{w'}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M') \xrightarrow{\eta} \operatorname{End}_{\Pi'_{w'}}^{\mathbb{Z}}(M')$$

$$\downarrow^{F} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F'} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{F'}$$

$$\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_{0}) \xrightarrow{\overline{R}} \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(M'_{0}) \xrightarrow{\eta'} \operatorname{End}_{kQ'}(M'_{0})/[T'],$$

where η and η' are canonical surjections. We have

$$\underline{F'}(\eta(G(h))) = \eta'(F'(G(h))) = \eta'(R(F(h))) = 0,$$

since F(h) = F(f) - F(g) = 0. By the inductive hypothesis, $\underline{F'}$ is injective. Thus $\eta(G(h)) = 0$ and G(h) factors through a graded projective $\Pi'_{w'}$ -module. By the proof of Proposition 5.14, G(h) factors through add P'. Thus, by Lemma 5.29 (b), h factors through add P. Therefore, we have $\pi(f) = \pi(h) = 0$.

6. The global dimension of the endomorphism algebra

Throughout this section, let A be a finite dimensional algebra and T a cotilting A-module of finite injective dimension, that is, T satisfies inj. dim $T < \infty$, $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(T,T) = 0$ for any i > 0, and there exists an exact sequence $0 \to T_r \to \cdots \to T_1 \to T_0 \to D A \to 0$ where $T_i \in \operatorname{add} T$. We denote by ${}^{\perp}{}_{>0}T$ the full subcategory consisting of mod A of modules X satisfying $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{i}(X,T) = 0$ for any i > 0. The aim of this section is to show the following theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Assume that the global dimension of A is at most n and that $^{\perp>0}T$ has an additive generator M. Then the global dimension of $\text{End}_A(M)/[T]$ is at most 3n - 1.

Note that $\operatorname{End}_A(M)$ and $\operatorname{End}_A(M)/[T]$ are relative version of Auslander algebras and stable Auslander algebras. It is known that Auslander algebras have global dimension at most two [ARS], and that stable Auslander algebras have global dimension at most $3(\operatorname{gl.dim} A) - 1$ [AR74, Proposition 10.2]. We apply Theorem 6.1 to our endomorphism algebra in Theorem 5.3. We denote by $\operatorname{Sub} T$ the full subcategory of mod A consisting of submodules of finite direct sums of T.

Corollary 6.2. Under the setting in Theorem 5.3, the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T]$ is at most two.

Proof. Let $Q^{(1)}$ be the full subquiver of Q whose the set of vertices is $\operatorname{Supp}(w)$. We have $\operatorname{End}_{kQ}(M_0)/[T] = \operatorname{End}_{kQ^{(1)}}(M_0)/[T]$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.9, T is a tilting $kQ^{(1)}$ -module. By [AIRT, Theorem 3.11], we have $\operatorname{Sub} T = \operatorname{add}\{M_0^1, M_0^2, \ldots, M_0^l\}$. By Bongartz's lemma [ASS, Chapter VI, 2.4. Lemma], tilting modules over a hereditary algebra coincide with cotilting modules. Since $kQ^{(1)}$ is hereditary, $\operatorname{Sub} T = \frac{\bot_{>0}T}{1}$ holds. Therefore, by applying Theorem 6.1, the global dimension of $\operatorname{End}_{kQ^{(1)}}(M_0)/[T]$ is at most two.

To show Theorem 6.1, we use cotilting theory. We recall some properties of cotilting modules.

Proposition 6.3. [AR91, Theorem 5.4, Proposition 5.11] Let T be a cotilting A-module. Then

- (a) For any $X \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$, there exists an injective left (add T)-approximation of X.
- (b) Let $X \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. Then $X \in \operatorname{\mathsf{add}} T$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(Y, X) = 0$ for any $Y \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$.

In the following lemma and proposition, we construct an important long exact sequence. For $X, Y \in \text{mod } A$, we denote by $\overline{\text{Hom}}_A^T(X, Y)$ the quotient of $\text{Hom}_A(X, Y)$ by the subspace consisting of morphisms factoring through add T, that is, $\overline{\text{Hom}}_A^T(X, Y) =$ $\text{Hom}_A(X, Y)/[T]$.

Lemma 6.4. For an exact sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \to 0$ in $^{\perp_{>0}}T$ and any A-module N, we have the following exact sequence

$$\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Z,M) \xrightarrow{-\circ g} \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Y,M) \xrightarrow{-\circ f} \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(X,M).$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $\operatorname{Ker}(-\circ f) \subset \operatorname{Im}(-\circ g)$. Assume that $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(Y, N)$ satisfies $f\alpha = 0 \in \operatorname{Hom}_A(X, N)/[T]$. There exists a module $T' \in \operatorname{\mathsf{add}} T$ and morphisms $h_1: X \to T', h_2: T' \to N$ such that $f\alpha = h_1h_2$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}^1_A(Z,T) = 0$, there exists a

morphism $\beta: Y \to T'$ such that $f\beta = h_1$. Since $f(\alpha - \beta h_2) = f\alpha - f\beta h_2 = f\alpha - h_1h_2 = 0$, there exists a morphism $\gamma: Z \to M$ such that $g\gamma = \alpha - \beta h_2$.



Let $X \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. By Proposition 6.3 (b), there exists an injective left (add T)-approximation $f: X \to T'$. We have $\operatorname{Cok} f \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. We denote by $\Omega_T^-(X)$ a cokernel of f. Note that $\Omega_T^-(X)$ is uniquely determined by X up to direct summands in add T. Let $\Omega_T^{-n}(X) = \Omega_T^-(\Omega_T^{-(n-1)}(X))$ for n > 1.

Proposition 6.5. Let $0 \to X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \to 0$ be an exact sequence in $\perp_{>0} T$. Then

- (a) We have an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to Z \oplus T' \to \Omega_T^-(X) \to 0$, where $T' \in \operatorname{\mathsf{add}} T$.
- (b) For any A-module N, we have the following long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-n}(Z), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-n}(Y), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-n}(X), N) \to \cdots$$
$$\cdots \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-}(X), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Z, N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Y, N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(X, N).$$

Proof. (a) Let $h : X \to T'$ be an injective left (add T)-approximation of X. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Z,T) = 0$, h factors through f, and therefore we have the following commutative diagram



Thus we have an exact sequence $0 \to Y \to Z \oplus T' \to \Omega^-_T(X) \to 0$.

(b) By applying (a) and Lemma 6.4 inductively, we have the assertion.

In the following two propositions, we assume that the global dimension of A is at most n.

Proposition 6.6. Let $X \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. If the global dimension of A is at most n, then we have $\Omega_T^{-n}(X) \in \operatorname{add} T$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.3 (b), it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Ext}_A^1(Y, \Omega_T^{-n}(X)) = 0$ for any $Y \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. Let $Y \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. By using Proposition 6.3 (a), we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to T_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} T_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \to T_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \Omega_T^{-n}(X) \to 0,$$

where $T_i \in \operatorname{\mathsf{add}} T$ and $\operatorname{Im} f_i = \Omega_T^{-(i+1)}(X)$. By applying $\operatorname{Hom}_A(Y, -)$ to this exact sequence, we have the following isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1}(Y, \Omega_{T}^{-n}(X)) \simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2}(Y, \Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(X))$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3}(Y, \Omega_{T}^{-(n-2)}(X))$$
$$\cdots$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{n+1}(Y, X) = 0,$$

where the last equation follows from gl. dim $A \leq n$.

Proposition 6.7. Assume that the global dimension of A is at most n. For an exact sequence $0 \to X \to Y \to Z \to 0$ in $^{\perp_{>0}}T$ and any A-module N, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(Z), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(Y), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(X), N) \to \cdots$$
$$\cdots \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-}(X), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Z, N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Y, N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(X, N).$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.6, we have $\overline{\text{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-n}(X), N) = 0$. Therefore, we have a desired exact sequence by Proposition 6.5 (b).

Then we prove Theorem 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We show that projective dimensions of all right $\operatorname{End}_A(M)/[T]$ modules are at most 3n - 1. Let N be a right $\operatorname{End}_A(M)/[T]$ -module. There exist $X, Y \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$ and a homomorphism of A-modules $f : X \to Y$ which induce a minimal projective presentation of N,

$$\overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Y,M) \xrightarrow{-\circ f} \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(X,M) \to N \to 0.$$

Let $g: X \to T'$ be an injective left (add T)-approximation of X. We have an injective morphism $h = f \oplus g: X \to Y \oplus T'$. Since g is a left (add T)-approximation of X, we have $\operatorname{Cok} h \in {}^{\perp_{>0}}T$. Let $Z = \operatorname{Cok} h$. We have an exact sequence $0 \to X \xrightarrow{h} Y \oplus T' \to Z \to 0$. By Proposition 6.7, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(Z), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(Y), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-(n-1)}(X), N) \to \cdots$$
$$\cdots \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(\Omega_{T}^{-}(X), N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Z, N) \to \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(Y, N) \xrightarrow{-\circ f} \overline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}^{T}(X, N).$$

Therefore the projective dimension of N is at most 3n - 1.

Acknowledgements

The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellowships 15J02465. He would like to thank my supervisor Osamu Iyama for his support and many helpful comments. He is grateful to Kota Yamaura for helpful comments and discussions. The author thanks Yuya Mizuno, Gustavo Jasso, and Takahide Adachi for taking care of me.

References

- [A] C. Amiot, Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (2009), no. 6, 2525-2590.
- [AIRT] C. Amiot, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, Preprojective algebras and c-sortable words, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 104 (2012), no. 3, 513-539.

27

- [ART] C. Amiot, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, The ubiquity of the generalized cluster categories, Adv. Math. 226 (2011), no. 4, 3813-3849.
- [AR74] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Stable equivalence of dualizing R-varieties, Adv. Math. 12 (1974), 306-366.
- [AR91] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86 (1991), no. 1, 111-152.
- [ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, Representation theory of Artin algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 36. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [ASS] I. Assem, D. Simson, A. Skowroński, Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1. Techniques of representation theory, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [BB] A. Björner, F. Brenti, Combinatorics of Coxeter groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 231. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [BIRSc] A. Buan, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, J. Scott, Cluster structures for 2-Calabi-Yau categories and unipotent groups, Compos. Math. 145 (2009), no. 4, 1035-1079.
- [BIRSm] A. Buan, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, D. Smith, Mutation of cluster-tilting objects and potentials, Amer. J. Math. 133 (2011), no. 4, 835-887.
- [BMRRT] A. Buan, R. Marsh, I. Reiten, M. Reineke, G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, Adv. Math. 204 (2006), no. 2, 572-618.
- [FZ] S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, Cluster Algebras I: Foundations, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 15, no. 2 (2002), 497-529.
- [GLS] C. Geiss, B. Leclerc, J. Schröer, Rigid modules over preprojective algebras, Invent. Math. 165 (2006), no. 3, 589-632.
- [H] D. Happel, Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [IR] O. Iyama, I. Reiten, 2-Auslander algebras associated with reduced words in Coxeter groups, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2011, no. 8, 1782-1803.
- [IT] O. Iyama, R. Takahashi, Tilting and cluster tilting for quotient singularities, Math. Ann. 356 (2013), no. 3, 1065-1105.
- [K] B. Keller, Deriving DG categories, Ann. Sci. Ècole Norm. Sup. (4) 27 (1994), no. 1, 63-102.
- [M] Y. Mizuno, Classifying τ -tilting modules over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type, Math. Z. 277 (2014), no. 3-4, 665-690.
- [R] N. Reading, Clusters, Coxeter-sortable elements and noncrossing partitions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), no. 12, 5931-5958.
- [Y] K. Yamaura, Realizing stable categories as derived categories, Adv. Math. 248 (2013), 784-819.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Frocho, Chikusaku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan

E-mail address: m13025a@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp