

# EXTENSION OF CHRONOLOGICAL CALCULUS FOR DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS ON MANIFOLDS

ROBERT J. KIPKA AND YURI S. LEDYAEV

ABSTRACT. We propose an extension of the Chronological Calculus, developed by Agrachev and Gamkrelidze for the case of  $C^\infty$ -smooth dynamical systems on finite-dimensional  $C^\infty$ -smooth manifolds, to the case of  $C^m$ -smooth dynamical systems and infinite-dimensional  $C^m$ -manifolds. Due to a relaxation in the underlying structure of the calculus, this extension provides a powerful computational tool without recourse to the theory of calculus in Fréchet spaces required by the classical Chronological Calculus. In addition, this extension accounts for flows of vector fields which are merely measurable in time. To demonstrate the utility of this extension, we prove a variant of Chow-Rashevskii theorem for infinite-dimensional manifolds.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Background	2
1.1. Calculus in a Banach Space	3
1.2. Differential Equations and Flows in Banach Space	5
1.3. Smooth Manifolds	6
1.4. Vector Fields and Flows on Manifolds	7
2. Extension of Chronological Calculus	8
2.1. Chronological Calculus Formalism: flows as linear operators	8
2.2. Differentiation and integration of operator-valued functions	10
2.3. Product Rules	12
2.4. Operators Ad and ad.	16
3. Operator Differential Equations and Their Applications	17
3.1. Differential and integral operator equations	17
3.2. Derivatives of Flows with Respect to a Parameter	21

3.3. Finite sums of Volterra series and a remainder term estimate	22
4. Calculus of little $o$ 's	23
5. Commutators of flows and vector fields	26
6. Chow-Rashevskii theorem for infinite-dimensional manifolds	28
6.1. Nonsmooth analysis on smooth manifolds and strong invariance of sets	31
6.2. Proof of an infinite-dimensional variant of Chow-Rashevskii theorem	34
References	34

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

In the 1970s, Agrachev and Gamkrelidze suggested in [1, 2] the Chronological Calculus for the analysis of  $C^\infty$ -smooth dynamical systems on finite-dimensional manifolds (for a textbook exposition see [3]). The central idea of this calculus is to consider flows of dynamical systems as linear operators on the space of  $C^\infty$ -smooth scalar functions. Such “linearization” of flows on manifolds presents significant advantages from the point of view of defining derivatives of flows, developing a calculus of such derivatives, and effective computations of formal power series representing flows.

But in addition to these desirable properties, the Chronological Calculus poses some interesting problems. The space of  $C^\infty$ -smooth scalar functions is a Fréchet space with topology given by a countable family of seminorms and this complicates the proofs of the calculus rules given in [2, 3]. The approach also requires the strong assumption of  $C^\infty$ -smoothness of dynamical systems and manifolds, even if for many applications only finite sums of Volterra-like series representing flows are enough [4].

Another restriction of the classical Chronological calculus (which is important from the point of view of applications to control systems on manifolds) is its treatment of nonautonomous vector fields which depend on  $t$  in measurable way. In

particular, there is no variant of product rule in the classical Chronological Calculus which can be used for such flows.

In this paper we extend the Chronological Calculus so as to require only  $C^m$ -smoothness of dynamical systems and manifolds. The result is a computationally effective version of the Chronological Calculus without recourse to Fréchet spaces. Moreover, in the framework of this extension we provide a “distributional” version of product rule which can be applied for nonautonomous flows with only measurable in  $t$  vector fields. Thus we give details for a rule which are lacking in the description of the classical Chronological Calculus [1, 2, 3, 5], even for finite-dimensional manifolds.

Further, this extension allows analysis of dynamical systems on infinite-dimensional manifolds, which are interesting from the point of view of applications to the theory of partial differential equations. We also develop a calculus of remainder terms (calculus of “little  $o$ ’s”) which is used for the effective calculation of representations of brackets of flows in terms of respective brackets of vector fields on infinite-dimensional manifolds and which provides an algorithm for the computation of remainder terms in such representations. Finally, we use these results for proving a generalization of Chow-Rashevskii theorem for infinite-dimensional manifolds.

In order to take a comprehensive approach to the problem, we begin by recalling some facts of calculus and differential geometry in Banach spaces.

**1.1. Calculus in a Banach Space.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Banach spaces. A map  $f : E \rightarrow F$  is said to be *differentiable* at  $x_0$  if there exists a bounded linear operator  $f'(x_0) : E \rightarrow F$  such that for all  $x \in E$  we have  $f(x) = f(x_0) + f'(x_0)(x - x_0) + o(\|x - x_0\|)$ . If  $f$  is differentiable on all of  $E$ , then we have  $f' : E \rightarrow L(E, F)$ , where  $L(E, F)$  is the Banach space of bounded linear operators from  $E$  to  $F$ . When  $f'$  is continuous, we say that  $f$  is of class  $C^1$ . As a map between Banach spaces, we may then ask if  $f'$  is differentiable and so on. If  $f$  has  $m$  continuous derivatives, then we say that  $f$  is of class  $C^m$ . The  $m^{\text{th}}$  derivative at a point  $x_0$  may be identified

with an  $m$ -multilinear map  $\underbrace{E \times \cdots \times E}_{m \text{ copies}} \rightarrow F$  and the space of such maps is again a Banach space with norm

$$\|A\| = \sup \{ \|A(x_1, \dots, x_m)\| : \|x_1\| = \cdots = \|x_m\| = 1 \}.$$

Functions which take values in a Banach space can also be integrated. For a rigorous introduction to the integration of vector-valued functions, we recommend [6]. We briefly describe here the *Bochner integral* for functions  $f : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow E$ , where  $E$  is a Banach space. As one might expect, a function  $f : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow E$  is said to be *simple* if it takes on only finitely many values, say  $[t_0, t_1] = \cup_{i=1}^k A_i$ , with  $A_i$  disjoint measurable sets and  $f|_{A_i} = f_i \in E$ . For simple functions one then defines

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f dt = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \mu(A_i),$$

where  $\mu$  is Lebesgue measure. If  $E$  is a Banach space, a function  $f : [t_0, t_1] \rightarrow E$  is said to be *measurable* if it is a pointwise limit of a sequence of simple functions, say  $f_n \rightarrow f$ . Measurable function  $f$  is said to be *Bochner integrable* if  $\lim_n \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|f - f_n\| dt = 0$  for some sequence of simple functions  $f_n$ . In this case the *Bochner integral* of  $f$  is defined as

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(t) dt = \lim_n \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f_n(t) dt.$$

It is worth noting that when  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ , the Bochner integral is the same as the Lebesgue integral. In general Banach spaces, the Bochner integral retains many desirable properties of the Lebesgue integral. In particular, one has

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) d\tau = f(t)$$

for almost all  $t$  in  $[t_0, t_1]$ . Function  $F(t)$  is called *absolutely continuous* if  $F(t) = F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau) d\tau$  for some integrable  $f$ . This and other properties of Bochner integral are given a clear treatment in [6].

**1.2. Differential Equations and Flows in Banach Space.** We recall some results from the theory of differential equations in Banach spaces. In particular, we are interested in equations of the form

$$(1.2) \quad \dot{x} = f(t, x) \quad x(t_0) = x_0$$

where  $f : J \times E \rightarrow E$  and  $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is an interval containing  $t_0$ . An excellent resource for this material is [7]. There it is demonstrated that in a Banach space, continuity of  $f$  is not enough to ensure a solution. We introduce the following definitions for vector fields on  $E$ :

**Definition 1.1.** A nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector field on  $E$  is a function  $f : J \times E \rightarrow E$  which is measurable in  $t$  for each fixed  $x$  and  $C^m$  in  $x$  for almost all  $t$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector field on  $E$  is said to be *locally integrable bounded* if for any  $x_0 \in E$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and  $k \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$  such that for all  $x \in U$ , for all  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , we have  $\|f^{(i)}(t, x)\| \leq k(t)$  for almost all  $t$ , where  $f^{(i)}$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  derivative of  $f$  with respect to  $x$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector field on  $E$  is said to be *locally bounded* if for any  $x_0 \in E$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and  $K \geq 0$  such that for all  $x \in U$ , for all  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , we have  $\|f^{(i)}(t, x)\| \leq K$  for almost all  $t$ .

Notice that any autonomous  $C^m$  vector field is locally bounded. It can be shown that if  $f : J \times E \rightarrow E$  is a nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector field that is locally integrable bounded, then for any  $(t_0, x_0)$  there exists an open interval  $J_0 \subset J$  containing  $t_0$  and depending on  $(t_0, x_0)$  as well as a unique, absolutely continuous function  $x : J_0 \rightarrow E$  which satisfies (1.2) for almost all  $t \in J_0$ . This type of solution is called a *Carathéodory* solution. In addition, the dependence of this solution upon the initial condition  $x_0$  is  $C^m$ -smooth. More precisely, if  $x(t; t_0, x_0)$  denotes the solution to (1.2), then  $x_0 \mapsto x(t; t_0, x_0)$  is  $m$  times continuously differentiable for appropriate values of  $t$  and  $x_0$ .

We will write  $P_{t_0,t}$  for the *local flow*  $x_0 \mapsto x(t; t_0, x_0)$ . Uniqueness of solutions gives us the following properties for the flow:

$$(1.3) \quad P_{s,t} \circ P_{t_0,s}(x) = P_{t_0,t}(x)$$

$$(1.4) \quad P_{t_0,t}^{-1}(x) = P_{t,t_0}(x)$$

When the underlying vector field is autonomous, we will write  $P_t$  for  $P_{0,t}$ . One may then obtain the following local semigroup properties for the flow:

$$P_s \circ P_t(x) = P_{s+t}(x)$$

$$P_t^{-1}(x) = P_{-t}(x),$$

provided that  $t, s, t + s$ , and  $-t$  lie in  $J_0$ , an interval which in general will depend on  $x$ .

**1.3. Smooth Manifolds.** In defining dynamical systems, it is enough for the underlying space to have the structure of a Banach space only locally. In this section we remind the reader of some definitions and basic results from the theory of smooth manifolds. For a greater level of detail, we suggest [8].

A *Banach manifold* of class  $C^m$  over a Banach space  $E$  is a paracompact Hausdorff space  $M$  along with a collection of coordinate charts  $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ , where  $A$  is an indexing set. This collection of charts should be such that the collection  $\{U_\alpha\}$  is a cover for  $M$ ; each  $\varphi_\alpha$  is a bijection of  $U_\alpha$  with an open subset of  $E$ ; and the transition maps  $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} : \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$  are of class  $C^m$ .

If  $M$  and  $N$  are Banach manifolds, a function  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is said to be  $C^m$ -*smooth* (or  $C^m$  for brevity) if for any coordinate charts  $\varphi : U \subseteq M \rightarrow E$  and  $\psi : V \subseteq N \rightarrow F$  the map  $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is a  $C^m$ -smooth mapping of Banach spaces. Analogously, a function  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is *differentiable* at a point  $q_0$  if  $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is differentiable at  $\varphi(q_0)$ .

The *tangent space* to  $M$  at  $q$  is defined as follows. Consider the collection of differentiable curves  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  with  $\gamma(0) = q$  and define an equivalence relation

on this collection by  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$  if and only if  $(\varphi \circ \gamma_1)'(0) = (\varphi \circ \gamma_2)'(0)$  for some coordinate chart  $\varphi$ . One can check that if this relationship holds for one coordinate chart, it will hold for all coordinate charts. We write  $[\gamma]$  for the equivalence class of a curve  $\gamma$ . The collection of these equivalence classes forms the tangent space  $T_q M$  and there is a natural isomorphism  $T_q M \leftrightarrow E$ .

Every  $C^m$  map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  induces a map from  $T_q M$  to  $T_{f(q)} N$  by  $[\gamma] \mapsto [f \circ \gamma]$  and we denote this mapping by  $f_*(q)$ . The tangent bundle  $TM$  is the union of the tangent spaces with a topology given locally by the charts  $(q, v) \mapsto (\varphi(q), \varphi_*(q)v)$ , where  $\varphi$  is a coordinate chart for  $M$ . When  $f$  is a map between linear spaces  $E$  and  $F$  we will write  $f'$  for its derivative. When  $f$  is a map between Banach manifolds, we will write  $f_*$  for the corresponding map from  $TM$  to  $TN$ . We emphasize that in local coordinates,  $f_*(q) : T_q M \rightarrow T_{f(q)} N$  is the map given by  $v \mapsto f'(q)v$ . In contrast, the map  $f_* : TM \rightarrow TN$  sends a pair  $(q, v)$  to the pair  $(f(q), f_*(q)v)$ .

**1.4. Vector Fields and Flows on Manifolds.** Let  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  be the projection  $(q, v) \mapsto q$ . A *nonautonomous vector field* is a mapping  $V : \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow TM$  which satisfies  $\pi \circ V_t(q) = q$ . Given  $q_0 \in M$  and a coordinate chart  $(\varphi, U)$  at  $q_0$ , the function  $J \times E \rightarrow E$  given by

$$(1.5) \quad (\varphi_* V_t)(x) := \varphi_*(\varphi^{-1}(x)) V_t(\varphi^{-1}(x))$$

is the *local coordinate representation* for  $V_t$ . Recalling definition 1.2 we introduce

**Definition 1.4.** A nonautonomous vector field on  $M$  is said to be a *locally integrable bounded  $C^k$  vector field* if it is  $C^k$ -smooth in  $q$  for almost all  $t$ , is measurable in  $t$ , and in some neighborhood of each  $q \in M$  there is a coordinate representation (1.5) which is locally integrable bounded.

Similarly, recalling definition 1.3, we introduce

**Definition 1.5.** A nonautonomous vector field on  $M$  is said to be a *locally bounded  $C^k$  vector field* if it is  $C^k$ -smooth in  $q$  for almost all  $t$ , is measurable in  $t$ , and in

some neighborhood of each  $q \in M$  there is a coordinate representation (1.5) which is locally bounded.

If  $x(t)$  is a solution for the differential equation  $\dot{x} = (\varphi_* V_t)(x)$  on  $E$  with initial condition  $x(t_0) = \varphi(q_0)$ , then  $q(t) = \varphi^{-1} \circ x(t)$  is a solution to the differential equation on  $M$

$$(1.6) \quad \dot{q} = V_t(q), \quad q(t_0) = q_0.$$

For any  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  we have the following integral representation

$$(1.7) \quad \varphi(q(t)) = \varphi(q_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_*(q(\tau)) V_\tau(q(\tau)) d\tau.$$

With each nonautonomous vector field  $V_t$  on  $M$ , we associate a local flow  $P_{t_0, t}$  given by  $q_0 \mapsto q(t; t_0, q_0)$ , the solution to (1.6) with initial condition  $q(t_0) = q_0$ . In the case of autonomous vector fields  $V$  we consider a local flow  $P_t : q_0 \mapsto q(t; 0, q_0)$ . These flows are  $C^m$  diffeomorphisms of  $M$  and are of central importance in the development of our extension of the Chronological Calculus, which we now turn to.

## 2. EXTENSION OF CHRONOLOGICAL CALCULUS

The main observation behind the Chronological Calculus [1, 2, 3] is that one may trade analytic objects such as diffeomorphisms and vector fields for algebraic objects such as automorphisms and derivations of the algebra  $C^\infty(M)$ , which is the collection of  $C^\infty$  mappings  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This correspondence is developed in [1, 2, 3], where  $C^\infty(M)$  is given the structure of a Fréchet space. Below we develop a streamlined version of the theory which is effective for computations with infinite-dimensional  $C^m$ -manifolds and dynamical systems. In order to include Banach spaces in the theory, we consider the vector space  $C^m(M, E)$  of  $C^m$  functions  $f : M \rightarrow E$  rather than the algebra of scalar functions  $C^\infty(M)$ .

**2.1. Chronological Calculus Formalism: flows as linear operators.** We begin by defining the following operators:



- i. The *identity* operator  $\widehat{Id}_M$  is defined as follows  $\widehat{Id}_M(\varphi) = \varphi$  for any  $\varphi \in C(M, E)$ .
- ii. Given any point  $q \in M$ , let  $\widehat{q} : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow E$  be the linear map given by  $\widehat{q}(\varphi) := \varphi(q)$ .
- iii. Given  $C^m$ -manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  over a Banach space  $E$  and a  $C^m$  map  $P : M \rightarrow N$ , let  $\widehat{P} : C^m(N, E) \rightarrow C^r(M, E)$  ( $0 \leq r \leq m$ ) be the linear map given by  $\widehat{P}(\varphi) := \varphi \circ P$ . Note that if  $P$  is a diffeomorphism of  $M$ ,  $\widehat{P}$  gives us an isomorphism of  $C^m(M, E)$ .
- iv. Given a tangent vector  $v \in T_q M$ , let  $\widehat{v} : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow E$  be the linear map given by  $\widehat{v}(\varphi) := \varphi_*(q)v$ .
- v. Given any  $C^m$  vector field  $V$  on  $M$ , we define a linear map  $\widehat{V} : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^{m-1}(M, E)$  by  $\widehat{V}(\varphi) : q \mapsto \varphi_*(q)V(q)$ .
- vi. Denote by  $\widehat{o}(t) : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^r(M, E)$  ( $0 \leq r \leq m$ ) a linear operator which has the following property: for any  $\varphi \in C^m(N, E)$  and  $q_0 \in M$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{\|\widehat{o}(t)(\varphi)(q)\|}{t} = 0$$

uniformly with respect to  $q \in U$ . Later we will develop a more detailed definition of such operators, as well as several useful examples.

Of course, we can consider linear combinations of such linear operators.

When  $\varphi$  is a local diffeomorphism, these operators simply give local coordinate expressions. We need not restrict ourselves to the space  $C^m(M, E)$ . Indeed, given any open set  $U \subseteq M$ , we may view  $U$  as a Banach manifold in its own right and therefore consider the space  $C^m(U, E)$ . For example, the local flow  $P_{t_0, t} : J_0 \times U \rightarrow U$  of a vector field  $V_t$  gives rise to a family of linear mappings  $\widehat{P}_{t_0, t} : C^m(U, E) \rightarrow C^m(U, E)$ .

Note that for operators  $\widehat{P}$  the semigroup property (1.3) for flow of diffeomorphism  $P_{t_0,t}$  becomes

$$(2.2) \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,s} \circ \widehat{P}_{s,t} = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}.$$

An operator  $\widehat{\partial}(t)$  (2.1) will play an important role in the calculus of remainder terms which will be developed later. For an example of such operator  $\widehat{\partial}(t)$  we consider a flow operator  $\widehat{P}_t$  for an autonomous vector field  $V$ . It follows from (1.7) that for the following operator

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\partial}(t) := \widehat{P}_t - \widehat{Id}_M - t\widehat{V}.$$

and a function  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  we have that for any  $q_0 \in M$

$$(2.4) \quad \widehat{\partial}(t)(\varphi)(q) = \int_0^t (\varphi_*(P_s(q))V(P_s(q)) - \varphi_*(q)V(q)) ds$$

for all  $q$  in a neighborhood of  $q_0$ . This representation implies that the operator (2.3) satisfies (2.1).

**2.2. Differentiation and integration of operator-valued functions.** Consider an operator-valued function  $t \rightarrow A_t$  whose values are linear mappings  $A_t : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^p(M, E)$ . This function is called *integrable* if for any  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and  $q \in M$  the function  $t \rightarrow A_t(\varphi)(q)$  is integrable. Then the linear operator  $\left( \int_{t_0}^{t_1} A_\tau d\tau \right) : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^p(M, E)$  is defined as follows

$$\left( \int_{t_0}^{t_1} A_\tau d\tau \right) (\varphi)(q) := \int_{t_0}^{t_1} A_\tau(\varphi)(q) d\tau$$

It follows immediately from (1.6) and (1.7) that the flow operator  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  representing flow of diffeomorphisms for a nonautonomous vector field  $V_t$  satisfies the integral equation

$$(2.5) \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{Id}_M + \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau d\tau.$$

Moreover, we have that the unique operator valued solution of the integral equation (2.5) is the function  $t \rightarrow \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$ .

Now we introduce a concept of *differentiability* of an operator-valued function  $A_t$ . The operator-valued function  $A_t : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^r(M, E)$  is called *differentiable* at  $t$  if there exists a linear operator  $B_t : C^r(M, E) \rightarrow C^s(M, E)$

$$(2.6) \quad A_{t+h} = A_t + h B_t + \widehat{o}(h).$$

The operator  $\frac{dA_t}{dt} := B_t$  is the *derivative* of  $A_t$ .

This definition is well-suited for an operator  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  arising from flow diffeomorphisms representing differential equation (1.6) in the case of the nonautonomous vector field  $V_t$  which is continuous in  $t$ . Namely, we have from the semigroup property (2.2) that

$$\widehat{P}_{t_0,t+h} - \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} - h\widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{V}_t = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ (\widehat{P}_{t,t+h} - \widehat{Id}_M - h\widehat{V}_t).$$

The last expression can be represented as

$$(2.7) \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \int_t^{t+h} (\widehat{P}_{t,s} \circ \widehat{V}_s - \widehat{V}_t) ds.$$

Using a representation for (2.7) similar to the one from (2.4) and continuity  $V_t$  in  $t$ , we obtain that (2.7) is  $\widehat{o}(h)$ .

Thus, we have derived the representation

$$(2.8) \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,t+h} = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} + h\widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{V}_t + \widehat{o}(h).$$

This means that  $t \rightarrow \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  is differentiable and for every  $t$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{V}_t.$$

We see that in this continuous in time  $V_t$  case, the operator-valued function  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  satisfies the differential equation

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}}{dt} = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{V}_t, \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,t_0} = \widehat{Id}_M.$$

It is easy to check that  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  is the unique solution of this operator differential equation and also of the operator integral equation (2.5).

However, in the case when the vector field  $V_t$  is only integrable in  $t$  then a Carathéodory solution  $q(t)$  of the differential equation (1.6) is an absolutely continuous function and we cannot guarantee that  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  is differentiable for every  $t$ .

An operator-valued function  $\widehat{A}_t$  is called *absolutely continuous* on  $[a, b]$  if  $\widehat{A}_t = \widehat{A}_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{B}_\tau d\tau$  for any  $t \in [a, b]$  for some integrable operator-valued function  $\widehat{B}_t$ . We denote  $\widehat{B}_t$  as  $\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{A}_t$  and understand this derivative in the sense of distributions<sup>1</sup>: for any  $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$ , for any  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and  $q \in M$

$$\widehat{A}_{t_2}(\varphi)(q) - \widehat{A}_{t_1}(\varphi)(q) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{A}_t(\varphi)(q) dt.$$

*Remark 2.1.* Let  $W$  be a  $C^m$  vector field and  $\widehat{A}_t$  is absolutely continuous then  $\widehat{A}_t \circ \widehat{W}$  is also absolutely continuous and  $\frac{d}{dt}(\widehat{A}_t \circ W) = \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{A}_t \circ W$ .

Note that in the case when absolutely continuous operator-valued function  $\widehat{A}_t$  is defined by a flow of diffeomorphisms  $P_t : M \rightarrow M$  then for any  $q \in M$  the derivative  $\frac{d}{dt}P_t(q)$  exists for a.a.  $t \in [a, b]$ .

As we demonstrated before, for measurable in  $t$  vector fields  $V_t$  the flow operator  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  is the unique absolutely continuous solution of the integral operator equation (2.5). In view of the definition of the derivative of absolutely continuous operator-valued function,  $\widehat{P}_t$  is also unique solution of the operator differential equation (2.9) in the sense of distributions.

**2.3. Product Rules.** Here we discuss product rules for operator-valued functions  $\widehat{P}_t$  and  $\widehat{Q}_t$ . We first establish such product rule for the case when these functions are differentiable at  $t$  in the sense of (2.6), namely

$$(2.10) \quad \widehat{P}_{t+h} = \widehat{P}_t + h \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{P}_t + \widehat{o}_1(h), \quad \widehat{Q}_{t+h} = \widehat{Q}_t + h \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{Q}_t + \widehat{o}_2(h)$$

for some operators  $\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{P}_t$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{Q}_t$ .

<sup>1</sup>We use a term *distribution* by analogy with a concept of a generalized derivative as a distribution in the theory of linear partial differential operators (see [9]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let operator-valued function  $\widehat{P}_t$  and  $\widehat{Q}_t$  be differentiable at  $t$  and remainder terms  $\widehat{o}_1$  and  $\widehat{o}_2$  have the property*

$$(2.11) \quad \widehat{o}_1(h) \circ \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{Q}_t + \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{o}_2(h) + \widehat{o}_1(h) \circ \widehat{o}_2(h) = \widehat{o}(h).$$

*Then operator-valued function  $\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t$  is differentiable at  $t$  and*

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t) = \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t + \widehat{P}_t \circ \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{Q}_t$$

*Proof.* It follows from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\widehat{P}_{t+h} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t+h} = \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t + h\left(\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t + \widehat{P}_t \circ \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{Q}_t\right) + \widehat{o}(h) + \widehat{o}_1(h) \circ \widehat{Q}_t + \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{o}_2(h)$$

But it is easy to see that the sum of last three terms is again operator  $\widehat{o}(h)$  (see (2.1)). This implies the differentiability of the product  $\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t$  and the product rule (2.12).  $\square$

Thus, validity of a product rule in the form (2.12) is reduced to the verification of the condition (2.11). We can verify directly that (2.11) holds for flow operators  $\widehat{P}_t$  and  $\widehat{Q}_t$  which are operator solutions of the operator equation (2.9) or equation

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{Q}_t = \widehat{W}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t$$

for continuous in  $t$  vector fields  $V_t$  and  $W_t$ .

Now we consider a product rule in the sense of distributions for absolutely continuous operator-valued functions  $\widehat{P}_t$  and  $\widehat{Q}_t$  which are represented for any  $t \in (a, b)$  as

$$(2.14) \quad \widehat{P}_t = \widehat{P}_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{d\tau}\widehat{P}_\tau d\tau, \quad \widehat{Q}_t = \widehat{Q}_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{d\tau}\widehat{Q}_\tau d\tau,$$

**Assumption 2.3.** *Let  $\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t$  be absolutely continuous operator-valued functions such that for any  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and  $q \in M$*

*(i) Function  $t \rightarrow \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q)$  is continuous on  $(a, b)$ .*

(ii) *Functions*

$$(t, \tau) \rightarrow \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q), \quad (t, \tau) \rightarrow \widehat{P}_t \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q)$$

are integrable on  $(a, b) \times (a, b)$ .

(iii) For any  $t, t_1, t_2 \in (a, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau d\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) d\tau, \\ \widehat{P}_t \circ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau d\tau(\varphi)(q) &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \widehat{P}_t \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

(iv) There exists an integrable function  $k_1(\tau)$  such that for all small  $h$ , all  $t \in [\tau - h, \tau]$  and a.a.  $\tau \in (a, b)$

$$(2.15) \quad \left\| \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) \right\| \leq k_1(\tau) \quad \left\| \widehat{P}_{t+h} \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q) \right\| \leq k_1(\tau)$$

Note that if  $\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t$  are absolutely continuous solutions of (2.9) or (2.13), or they are of the type presented in Remark 2.1 with  $\widehat{A}_t$  being a solution of (2.9) or (2.13) then conditions (i)-(iv) are satisfied when  $V_t$  and  $W_t$  are locally integrable bounded.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let absolutely continuous operator-valued function  $\widehat{P}_t$  and  $\widehat{Q}_t$  satisfy Assumption 2.3. Then  $\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t$  is absolutely continuous and for any  $t_1, t_2$  in  $(a, b)$*

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{dt} (\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t) dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t + \widehat{P}_t \circ \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{Q}_t \right) dt.$$

*Proof.* Let us fix  $t_1, t_2 \in (a, b)$ ,  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and  $q \in M$  then

$$(2.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{h} (\widehat{P}_{t+h} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t+h} - \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t)(\varphi)(q) dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_2}^{t_2+h} \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) dt - \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) dt \end{aligned}$$

Due to (iii) of Assumption 2.3 we have

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{1}{h} (\widehat{P}_{t+h} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t+h} - \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t)(\varphi)(q) dt = \\ & = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) d\tau + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \widehat{P}_{t+h} \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

By using Fubini theorem, we obtain the following

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $g : (a, b) \times (a, b) \rightarrow E$  be integrable function then for any  $t_1, t_2 \in (a, b)$  and sufficiently small  $h$*

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_t^{t+h} g(t, \tau) d\tau = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau \int_{\tau-h}^\tau g(t, \tau) dt - \\ & - \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} d\tau \int_{\tau-h}^{t_1} g(t, \tau) d\tau + \int_{t_2}^{t_2+h} d\tau \int_{\tau-h}^{t_2} g(t, \tau) dt \end{aligned}$$

We use this Lemma to evaluate the first term in the right-hand side of (2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) d\tau = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau \frac{1}{h} \int_{\tau-h}^\tau \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) dt - \\ & - \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_1}^{t_1+h} d\tau \int_{\tau-h}^{t_1} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) d\tau + \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_2}^{t_2+h} d\tau \int_{\tau-h}^{t_2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) dt \end{aligned}$$

It follows from conditions (ii), (iv) of Assumptions 2.3, from Fubini theorem and Lebesgue convergence theorem that

$$(2.20) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q) d\tau = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q) d\tau$$

By similar argument we prove the following limit

$$(2.21) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \widehat{P}_{t+h} \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q) d\tau = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \widehat{P}_\tau \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_\tau(\varphi)(q) d\tau$$

By using these limits and continuity of  $t \rightarrow \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t(\varphi)(q)$  (condition (i)), we derive from (2.17) and (2.18) that

$$(2.22) \quad (\widehat{P}_{t_2} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_2} - \widehat{P}_{t_1} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_1})(\varphi)(q) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\widehat{P}_t \circ \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{Q}_t + \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t) dt(\varphi)(q)$$

This implies that  $\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t$  is absolutely continuous and its derivative satisfies the product rule (2.16) in the sense of distributions.  $\square$

**2.4. Operators Ad and ad.** Let  $V$  be a vector field and  $F : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^m$  diffeomorphism. For a solution  $q(t)$  of the equation  $\dot{q}(t) = V(q(t))$  the function  $r(t) = F(q(t))$  is also a solution of the differential equation

$$(2.23) \quad \dot{r}(t) = F_*(q(t))V(q(t)) = F_*V(r(t))$$

where the vector field  $F_*V$  is defined by  $F_*V(r) := F_*(F^{-1}(r))V(F^{-1}(r))$ .

To obtain the representation for the operator  $\widehat{F_*V}$  corresponding the vector field  $F_*V$  we consider the diffeomorphism flow  $R_t$  corresponding to the differential equation (2.23). Then

$$(2.24) \quad \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{R}_t = \widehat{R}_t \circ \widehat{F_*V}$$

But  $\widehat{R}_t = \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{F}$  where  $P_t$  is the diffeomorphism flow corresponding to the vector-field  $V$ . By using the product rule and (2.24) we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widehat{R}_t = \frac{d}{dt}\widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{F} = \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{V} \circ \widehat{F} = \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{F} \circ \widehat{F_*V}$$

This implies that

$$(2.25) \quad \widehat{F_*V} = \widehat{F^{-1}} \circ \widehat{V} \circ \widehat{F}$$

Following [3] we define the operator  $\text{Ad } \widehat{F} : \widehat{V} \mapsto \widehat{F} \circ \widehat{V} \circ \widehat{F^{-1}}$ .

Recall that the Lie bracket  $[V, W]$  of vector fields  $V$  and  $W$  is the vector field<sup>2</sup> whose operator representation has form  $\widehat{[V, W]} = \widehat{V} \circ \widehat{W} - \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{V}$ . Let us prove that

---

<sup>2</sup>To show that this is a vector-field we can use the relation (5.1) for vector fields  $V, W$ .



the Lie bracket is invariant under diffeomorphism. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F_*[\widehat{V}, \widehat{W}] &= \widehat{F^{-1}} \circ (\widehat{V} \circ \widehat{W} - \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{V}) \circ \widehat{F} \\ &= \widehat{F^{-1}} \circ \widehat{V} \circ \widehat{F} \circ \widehat{F^{-1}} \circ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{F} - \widehat{F^{-1}} \circ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{F} \circ \widehat{F^{-1}} \circ \widehat{V} \circ \widehat{F} \\ &= \widehat{F_*V} \circ \widehat{F_*W} - \widehat{F_*W} \circ \widehat{F_*V} = [\widehat{F_*V}, \widehat{F_*W}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the assignment  $V \mapsto \widehat{V}$  is an injection, this proves the vector field equality  $F_*[V, W] = [F_*V, F_*W]$ .

It makes sense (as in [3]) to define an operator  $\text{ad } \widehat{V}_t$  by

$$(2.26) \quad (\text{ad } \widehat{V}_t) \circ \widehat{W}_t = [\widehat{V}_t, \widehat{W}_t].$$

Finally, let  $v \in T_qM$  and  $F : M \rightarrow M$  a diffeomorphism of class  $C^m$ . Then  $F_*(q)v$  is a tangent vector in  $T_{F(q)}M$  and it is natural to ask for  $\widehat{F_*v}$  in terms of  $\widehat{v}$  and  $\widehat{F}$ . We claim that, as in [3], one obtains

$$(2.27) \quad \widehat{F_*(q)v} = \widehat{v} \circ \widehat{F}.$$

To see this, let  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$ . Then, applying the chain rule we have  $\widehat{F_*v}(\varphi) = \varphi_*(F(q))F_*(q)v = (\varphi \circ F)_*(q)v = \widehat{v}(\varphi \circ F) = \widehat{v} \circ \widehat{F}(\varphi)$ .

### 3. OPERATOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

In this section we further develop our extension in the direction of applications to flows of vector fields.

**3.1. Differential and integral operator equations.** Earlier, following [1, 2, 3], we have introduced the operator differential equation

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} = \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \widehat{V}_t, \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0, t_0} = \widehat{Id}$$

which has unique solution  $\widehat{P}_{t_0, t}$  representing flow of diffeomorphisms for a nonautonomous vector field  $V_t$  which is continuous in  $t$ .

In more general case of measurable in  $t$  vector-field  $V_t$  we have that  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  satisfies the integral operator equation

$$(3.2) \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{Id}_M + \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau d\tau$$

and it is the unique absolutely continuous solution of this equation or the solution of the differential equation (3.1) in sense of distributions. The justification of this fact is based on the relation of  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  to the Carathéodory solutions of *ordinary* differential equation (1.6).

Now we consider the differential operator equation

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{Q}_{t_0,t} = -\widehat{V}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0,t}, \quad \widehat{Q}_{t_0,t_0} = \widehat{Id}_M.$$

Note that this operator equation, even in the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , is related to some first-order linear *partial* differential equation.

The following result states that for a locally integrable bounded  $C^m$  vector field  $V_t$  there exists a solution  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0,t}$  of (3.3) in the sense of distributions. Moreover we have a representation of  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0,t}$  in terms of a solution of the equation of the type (3.2).

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $V_t$  be a locally integrable bounded  $C^m$  vector field. Then absolutely continuous operator-valued solutions  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  and  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0,t}$  of differential equations (3.1) and (3.3) exist, are unique and*

$$(3.4) \quad \widehat{Q}_{t_0,t} = (\widehat{P}_{t_0,t})^{-1}$$

*Proof.* Let  $P_{t_0,t}$  be the flow of  $V_t$ , so that (3.2) holds. It is enough to prove the existence and uniqueness of (3.3).

Denote flow of diffeomorphisms  $Q_{t_0,t} := P_{t,t_0}$  then the operator-valued function  $t \rightarrow \widehat{Q}_{t_0,t}$  is absolutely continuous and together with  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  satisfies Assumption (2.3) for the product rule (Theorem (2.4)).

Fix  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and  $q_0 \in M$ . Then there exists an interval  $(a, b)$  such that  $\widehat{P}_{t_0, t}(q)$  exists for any  $t_0, t$  in  $(a, b)$  and any  $q$  in some neighborhood of  $q_0$ . Due to the product rule we have for any  $t \in (a, b)$

$$\int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_{t_0, \tau} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, \tau} + \widehat{P}_{t_0, \tau} \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_{t_0, \tau} \right) dt(\varphi)(q_0) = 0$$

This implies that for a.a.  $t \in (a, b)$

$$\left( \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \widehat{V}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, t} + \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{Q}_{t_0, t} \right) (\varphi)(q_0) = 0$$

and  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0, t}$  satisfies (3.3) in the sense of distributions.

To prove uniqueness of such solution  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0, t}$  we use the product rule (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, t} - \widehat{Id}_M &= \int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \widehat{P}_{t_0, \tau} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, \tau} \right) d\tau \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \left( \widehat{P}_{t_0, \tau} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, \tau} - \widehat{P}_{t_0, \tau} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, \tau} \right) d\tau = 0 \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have  $\widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0, t} = \widehat{Id}_M$  for all  $t$ , hence  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0, t} = \widehat{P}_{t, t_0}$  which proves also (3.4).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $V_t$  be locally integrable bounded  $C^m$  smooth vector field and  $\widehat{P}_{t_0, t}$  be an absolutely continuous solution of (3.2). Then for any  $C^m$  smooth vector field  $W$  the operator-valued function  $t \rightarrow \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \widehat{W}$  is absolutely continuous and satisfies the following equation in the sense of distributions*

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \widehat{W} = \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0, t} \circ \text{ad } \widehat{V}_t \circ \widehat{W}$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^{-1}$  exists and due to the assertion of Proposition 3.1 satisfies the differential equation (3.3). Then for any smooth vector field  $W$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{W} &= \widehat{W} + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{d}{d\tau} \left( \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W} \circ \left( \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \right)^{-1} \right) d\tau \\ &= \widehat{Id}_M + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau \circ \widehat{W} \circ \left( \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \right)^{-1} - \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}^{-1} \right) d\tau \\ &= \widehat{Id}_M + \int_{t_0}^t \left( \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_t \right) \circ [\widehat{V}_t, \widehat{W}] d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition (2.26) of the operator  $\text{ad } \widehat{V}_t$  to conclude the proof.  $\square$

Method of variation of parameters can also be easily applied to the operator differential equation

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{S}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{S}_{t_0,t} \circ (\widehat{V}_t + \widehat{W}_t), \quad \widehat{S}_{t_0,t_0} = \widehat{Id}$$

Namely, we have the following

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $V_t, W_t$  be locally integrable bounded  $C^m$  smooth vector fields. Then a solution of (3.6) can be represented in the form*

$$(3.7) \quad \widehat{S}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{C}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$$

where  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  is the solution of the differential equation (3.1) and  $\widehat{C}_{t_0,t}$  is a solution of the differential equation

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{C}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{C}_{t_0,t} \circ \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{W}_t, \quad \widehat{C}_{t_0,t_0} = \widehat{Id}_M$$

*Proof.* It follows from (3.7) and Proposition 3.1 that  $\widehat{C}_{t_0,t}$  is absolutely continuous and by the product rule

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{C}_{t_0,t} - \widehat{Id}_M &= \int_{t_0}^t \left( \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{S}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}^{-1} + \widehat{S}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \frac{d}{d\tau} \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}^{-1} \right) d\tau = \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \left( \widehat{C}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ (\widehat{V}_\tau + \widehat{W}_\tau) \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}^{-1} - \widehat{C}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{V}_\tau \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}^{-1} \right) d\tau = \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{C}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}^{-1} d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{C}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau d\tau \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.8).  $\square$

**3.2. Derivatives of Flows with Respect to a Parameter.** Consider a family of nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector field  $V_t^\alpha$  which depends upon scalar parameter  $\alpha$  and corresponding flow  $P_{t_0,t}^\alpha$ . Let us assume that  $V_t^\alpha$  is differentiable in  $\alpha$  in the following sense

$$V_t^\alpha = V_t + \alpha W_t + o_t(\alpha),$$

where  $V_t, W_t$  are nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector fields which are locally bounded (see Definition 1.5).

Let  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$  and  $\widehat{Q}_{t_0,t}$  be absolutely continuous solutions of (3.1) and (3.3). We assume that the operator  $\widehat{o}_t(\alpha)$  is similar to the operator "little  $o$ " in (2.1) and satisfies the following conditions

$$\widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \widehat{o}_t(\alpha) \circ \widehat{Q}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{o}(\alpha), \quad \widehat{V}_t \circ \widehat{o}_t(\alpha) \circ \widehat{W}_t = \widehat{o}(\alpha)$$

uniformly with respect to  $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ .

We use these assumptions and (3.8) from Proposition 3.3 to obtain  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^\alpha = \widehat{C}_{t_0,t}^\alpha \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}$ , where  $\widehat{C}_{t_0,t}^\alpha = \widehat{Id}_M + \alpha \int_{t_0}^t \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau d\tau + \widehat{o}(\alpha)$ . Hence  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^\alpha = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} + \alpha \int_{t_0}^t \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau d\tau \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} + \widehat{o}(\alpha)$ .

This implies that  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^\alpha$  is differentiable at  $\alpha = 0$  and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^\alpha = \int_{t_0}^t \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau d\tau \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}.$$

This same formula is given in the context of the classical Chronological Calculus [3]. We invite the reader to check that the second representation found in [3], given below

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^\alpha = \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \int_{t_0}^t \text{Ad } \widehat{P}_{t,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau d\tau$$

is easily obtained from the first.

Operator formulas (3.9) and (3.10) can be used in order to obtain the following representations for derivative of the flow  $P_{t_0,t}^\alpha$  at  $\alpha = 0$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} P_{t_0,t}^\alpha(q) = P_{t_0,t*}(q) \int_{t_0}^t P_{\tau,t_0*}(P_{t_0,\tau}(q)) W_\tau(P_{t_0,\tau}(q)) d\tau,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} P_{t_0,t}^\alpha(q) = \int_{t_0}^t P_{\tau,t*}(P_{t_0,\tau}(q)) W_\tau(P_{t_0,\tau}(q)) d\tau.$$

Here we prove (3.12), the proof of (3.11) is similar. By using (3.10) and (2.27), we obtain for any  $\varphi \in C^M(M, E)$ , the following obvious relations

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*(P_{t_0,t}(q)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} P_{t_0,t}^\alpha(q) &= \widehat{q} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}^\alpha(\varphi) = \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{q} \circ \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} \circ \text{Ad} \widehat{P}_{t,\tau} \circ \widehat{W}_\tau d\tau(\varphi) = \\ &= \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{P}_{t_0,t}(\widehat{q}) \circ \widehat{P}_{\tau,t*} \widehat{W}_\tau(\varphi) d\tau = \int_{t_0}^t \varphi_*(P_{t_0,t}(q)) P_{\tau,t*}(P_{t_0,\tau}(q)) W_\tau(P_{t_0,\tau}(q)) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

These relations imply (3.12).

**3.3. Finite sums of Volterra series and a remainder term estimate.** Let  $V_t$  be a nonautonomous  $C^m$  vector field on  $M$  and let  $P_{t_0,t} : J_0 \times U_0 \rightarrow U$  be the local flow of of this field. Consider the operator integral equation (3.2). Replacing  $\widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau}$  in (3.2) with its integral form, we obtain

$$\widehat{P}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{Id}_M + \int_{t_0}^t \widehat{V}_\tau d\tau + \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^\tau \widehat{P}_{t_0,\sigma} \circ \widehat{V}_\sigma \circ \widehat{V}_\tau d\sigma d\tau.$$

Provided that  $k \leq m$ , we may continue to obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \widehat{P}_{t_0,t} = \widehat{Id}_M + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \int_{\Delta_i(t)} \widehat{V}_{\tau_i} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{V}_{\tau_1} d\tau_i \dots d\tau_1 + \widehat{R}_k(t)$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \widehat{R}_k(t) := \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \widehat{P}_{t_0,\tau_k} \circ \widehat{V}_{\tau_k} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{V}_{\tau_1} d\tau_k \dots d\tau_1,$$

is the remainder term and  $\Delta_k(t)$  is the simplex  $\{t_0 \leq \tau_k \leq \tau_{k-1} \leq \cdots \leq \tau_1 \leq t\}$ .

Suppose that for any  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and  $q_0 \in M$  there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $q_0$ ,  $\delta > 0$  and a constant  $C$  such that for all  $q \in U$ , for any  $t_0 \leq \tau_k \leq \cdots \leq \tau_1 \leq$

$t \leq t_0 + \delta$ , we have

$$(3.15) \quad \left\| \widehat{V}_{\tau_k} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{V}_{\tau_1}(\varphi)(q) \right\|_E \leq C$$

This is true, for example, when  $V_t$  is locally bounded or autonomous. Then

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{R}_k(t)(\varphi)(q) \right\|_E &\leq \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \left\| \widehat{V}_{\tau_k} \circ \cdots \circ \widehat{V}_{\tau_1}(\varphi)(P_{t_0, \tau_k}(q)) \right\|_E d\tau_k \cdots d\tau_1 \\ &\leq \int_{\Delta_k(t)} C d\tau_k \cdots d\tau_1 = \frac{Ct^k}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows for any  $\varphi \in C^m(M, E)$  and any  $q_0 \in M$ , there is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $q_0$  on which the function  $\frac{1}{t^{k-1}} R_k(t)(\varphi)$  converges uniformly to zero. The family  $\widehat{R}_k(t)$  is an important example of a  $\widehat{o}(t^{k-1})$  family of operators. In the following section, we rigorously define such families, establish their properties, and prove that  $\widehat{R}_k(t) = \widehat{o}(t^{k-1})$ .

#### 4. CALCULUS OF LITTLE $o$ 'S

We develop in this section the theory of operators of type  $\widehat{o}(t^\ell)$  for  $C^m$  smooth manifolds  $M$ . We need the following definition

**Definition 4.1.** A set  $\mathcal{F} \subset C^m(M, E)$  is called *locally bounded* at  $q_0 \in M$  if there exists a coordinate chart  $(\mathcal{O}, \psi)$  with  $q_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  and a constant  $C$  such that for any  $i = 0, \dots, m$

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{x \in \psi(\mathcal{O})} \left\| (\varphi \circ \psi^{-1})^{(i)}(x) \right\| \leq C$$

We say that a family of operators  $\widehat{A}_t : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^{m'}(M, E)$ ,  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$  has a defect  $k_1 := \text{def } \widehat{A}_t$  if for any  $n$ ,  $k_1 \leq n \leq m$  and any  $\varphi \in C^n(M, E)$  we have  $\widehat{A}_t \varphi \in C^{n-k_1}(M, E)$ . A smooth vector field  $V_t$  gives an example of the operator  $\widehat{V}_t$  which has defect 1.

**Definition 4.2.** A family of operators  $\widehat{A}_t : C^m(M, E) \rightarrow C^{m'}(M, E)$ ,  $0 < |t| < \delta$  with defect  $k_1$  is called  $\widehat{o}(t^k)$  if for any  $q_0 \in M$  and locally bounded at  $q_0$  set

$\mathcal{F} \subset C^m(M, E)$  there exists a coordinate chart  $(\mathcal{O}, \psi)$  with  $q_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  such that for any  $i = 0, \dots, m - k_1$

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t^k} \left\| (\widehat{A}_t(\varphi) \circ \psi^{-1}(x))^{(i)} \right\| = 0$$

uniformly with respect to all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x \in \psi(\mathcal{O})$ .

The following proposition gives an important example of  $\widehat{o}(t^k)$  operator.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $C^m$  smooth vector field  $V_t$  be locally bounded. Then the remainder term operator  $\widehat{R}_k(t)$  (3.14) is  $\widehat{o}(t^{k-1})$  operator with the defect at most  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $q_0 \in M$  and a locally bounded at  $q_0$  family of functions  $\mathcal{F} \subset C^m(M, E)$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that (3.15) holds for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ . It implies that (3.16) holds for any  $q \in \mathcal{O}$  where  $\mathcal{O}$  is some neighbourhood of  $q_0$ . This proves uniform convergence (4.2) for  $i = 0$ . Similar argument demonstrates uniform convergence (4.2) for any  $i = 0, \dots, m - k$ .  $\square$

Later we'll use the next properties of operators  $\widehat{o}(t^k)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $\widehat{o}(t^k)$  and  $\widehat{o}(t^\ell)$  be families of operators with defects  $k_1$  and  $\ell_1$  respectively. Then*

- (i)  $\widehat{o}(t^k) + \widehat{o}(t^\ell) = \widehat{o}(t^{\min(k, \ell)})$  if  $\max\{k_1, \ell_1\} \leq m$ ;
- (ii)  $\widehat{o}(t^k) \circ \widehat{o}(t^\ell) = \widehat{o}(t^{k+\ell})$  if  $k_1 + \ell_1 \leq m$ ;
- (iii)  $\widehat{X}_t \circ \widehat{o}(t^k) \circ \widehat{Y}_t = \widehat{o}(t^k)$  with a defect at most  $k_1 + 2$  if  $k_1 \leq m - 2$  and  $C^m$  smooth vector fields  $X_t, Y_t$  are locally bounded;
- (iv)  $\widehat{P}_{0,t} \circ \widehat{o}(t^k) \circ \widehat{Q}_{0,t} = \widehat{o}(t^k)$  with a defect at most  $k_1$  if  $P_{0,t}$  and  $Q_{0,t}$  are flows of locally bounded  $C^m$  smooth vector fields;
- (v)  $\widehat{P}_{0,t} = \widehat{Id}_E + \widehat{o}(1)$  with the defect 0 if  $\widehat{P}_{0,t}$  is the flow of a locally bounded  $C^m$  smooth vector field.

*Proof.* Let us fix  $q_0 \in M$ , a locally bounded at  $q_0$  family  $\mathcal{F} \subset C^m(M, E)$  and a coordinate chart  $(\mathcal{O}, \psi)$ . We observe that for any  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x \in \psi(\mathcal{O})$  and



$$i = 0, \dots, m - \max\{k_1, \ell_1\}$$

$$\left\| ((\widehat{\partial}(t^k) + \widehat{\partial}(t^\ell))(\varphi) \circ \psi^{-1}(x))^{(i)} \right\| \leq \left\| (\widehat{\partial}(t^k)(\varphi) \circ \psi^{-1}(x))^{(i)} \right\| + \left\| (\widehat{\partial}(t^\ell)(\varphi) \circ \psi^{-1}(x))^{(i)} \right\|$$

This inequality implies (i).

Note that the family of functions  $\mathcal{B} := \left\{ \frac{1}{t^\ell} \widehat{\partial}(t^\ell)(\varphi) : \varphi \in \mathcal{F}, 0 < |t| < \delta \right\}$  is locally bounded at  $q_0$ . Then (ii) follows immediately from the Definition 4.2.

To prove (iii) we note that  $\widehat{\partial}(t^k) \circ \widehat{Y}_t$  is  $\widehat{\partial}(t^k)$  with the defect  $k_1 + 1$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\widehat{X}_t \circ \widehat{\partial}(t^k) \circ \widehat{Y}_t$  is  $\widehat{\partial}(t^k)$  with the defect  $k_1 + 2$ . The assertion (iv) follows from the obvious observation that  $\widehat{\partial}(t^k) \circ \widehat{Q}_{0,t} = \widehat{\partial}(t^k)$  with the defect  $k_1$  and from the uniform convergence in (4.2).

The last assertion (v) follows from the integral representation (3.2) for  $\widehat{P}_{0,t}$  and boundedness assumptions.  $\square$

In the case of  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  and vector fields on it we don't need to use the concept of defect of operators which map  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M, E)$  into  $C^\infty(M, E)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** For  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  a set  $\mathcal{F} \subset C^\infty(M, E)$  is called *locally bounded* at  $q_0 \in M$  if for any natural  $m$  there exists a coordinate chart  $(\mathcal{O}, \psi)$  with  $q_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  and a constant  $C$  such that (4.1) holds for any  $i = 0, \dots, m$ .

**Definition 4.4.** For  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  a family of operators  $\widehat{A}_t : C^\infty(M, E) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E)$ ,  $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , is called  $\widehat{\partial}(t^k)$  if for any  $q_0 \in M$ , for any locally bounded at  $q_0$  set  $\mathcal{F} \subset C^\infty(M, E)$  and for any integer  $m$  there exists a coordinate chart  $(\mathcal{O}, \psi)$  with  $q_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  such that for any  $i = 0, \dots, m$  the limit (4.2) takes place uniformly with respect to all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x \in \psi(\mathcal{O})$ .

Then we have

**Proposition 4.3.** For  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$  and locally bounded  $C^\infty$  vector fields  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  assertions (i)-(v) of Proposition 4.2 hold with  $k_1 = 0$ ,  $\ell_1 = 0$  and  $m = \infty$ .

## 5. COMMUTATORS OF FLOWS AND VECTOR FIELDS

Let  $P_t$  and  $Q_t$  be flows on a  $C^m$  manifold  $M$ , generated by  $C^m$  vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ , so that  $\widehat{P}_0 = \widehat{Id}$ ,  $\widehat{Q}_0 = \widehat{Id}$ ,

$$\frac{d\widehat{P}_t}{dt} = \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{X}, \quad \frac{d\widehat{Q}_t}{dt} = \widehat{Q}_t \circ \widehat{Y}.$$

Following [10], we define a *bracket of flows*  $[P_t, Q_t] = Q_t^{-1} \circ P_t^{-1} \circ Q_t \circ P_t$  and we note that

$$[\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] = \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t \circ \widehat{P}_t^{-1} \circ \widehat{Q}_t^{-1}.$$

In the case of finite dimensional manifolds, it follows from the classical result that

$$(5.1) \quad [\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] = \widehat{Id} + t^2 [\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}] + \widehat{o}(t^2).$$

In the case of infinite dimensional manifolds and flows  $P_t^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , generated by vector fields  $X_i$ , the general formula for an arbitrary bracket expression  $B(P_t^1, \dots, P_t^k)$  was proved by Mauhart and Michor in [10]. In operator notation, the general formula is

$$(5.2) \quad B(\widehat{P}_t^1, \dots, \widehat{P}_t^k) = \widehat{Id} + t^k B(\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_k) + \widehat{o}(t^k).$$

Here we use the Chronological Calculus to prove this formula. In particular, we will establish the following:

**Theorem 5.1** (Mauhart and Michor). *Let  $M$  be an  $C^m$  Banach manifold and  $X_1, \dots, X_k$ ,  $k \leq m$ , be  $C^m$  smooth vector fields. Then for any bracket expression  $B(P_t^k, \dots, P_t^1)$  we have the presentation (5.2) where  $\widehat{o}(t^k)$  has defect at most  $k-1$ .*

The advantage of our approach follows from the fact that the main part of the proof is reduced to algebraic computations. Moreover, an algorithm for deriving a representation for remainder term in (5.2) is given.

We will need the following results for families of local diffeomorphisms of the form

$$(5.3) \quad \widehat{P}_t = \widehat{Id} + t^m \widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t^m) \quad \widehat{Q}_t = \widehat{Id} + t^n \widehat{Y} + \widehat{o}(t^n).$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $C^m$  vector field. Then*

$$(5.4) \quad \widehat{P}_t^{-1} = \widehat{Id} - t^m \widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t^m).$$

*Proof.* Consider flows  $S_t$  and  $T_t$  defined by  $\frac{d\widehat{S}_t}{dt} = \widehat{S}_t \circ \widehat{X}$ ,  $\widehat{S}_0 = \widehat{Id}$ , and  $\frac{d\widehat{T}_t}{dt} = -\widehat{X} \circ \widehat{T}_t$ ,  $\widehat{T}_0 = \widehat{Id}$ . Then  $\widehat{T}_t = \widehat{S}_t^{-1}$ ,  $\widehat{S}_t = \widehat{Id} + t\widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t)$ , and  $\widehat{T}_t = \widehat{Id} - t\widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t)$ . In particular,  $\widehat{P}_t = \widehat{S}_{t^m} + \widehat{o}(t^m)$ .

By applying  $\widehat{P}_t^{-1}$  from the right, we get  $\widehat{Id} = \widehat{S}_{t^m} \circ \widehat{P}_t^{-1} + \widehat{o}(t^m)$ . Then by applying  $\widehat{T}_{t^m}$  from the left, we get  $\widehat{T}_{t^m} = \widehat{P}_t^{-1} + \widehat{o}(t^m)$ , and so  $\widehat{P}_t^{-1} = \widehat{Id} - t^m \widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t^m)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let families of local diffeomorphisms  $P_t$  and  $Q_t$  satisfy (5.3).*

*Then*

$$(5.5) \quad [\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] = \widehat{Id} + t^{m+n} [\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}] + \widehat{o}(t^{m+n}).$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $[\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] := \widehat{P}_t \circ \widehat{Q}_t \circ \widehat{P}_t^{-1} \circ \widehat{Q}_t^{-1}$ . Write

$$\widehat{P}_t^{-1} = \widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{V}_1, \quad \widehat{P}_t = \widehat{Id}_M + \widehat{V}_2, \quad \widehat{Q}_t^{-1} = \widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{W}_1, \quad \widehat{Q}_t = \widehat{Id}_M + \widehat{W}_2.$$

Then

$$(5.6) \quad [\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] = (\widehat{Id}_M + \widehat{V}_2) \circ \widehat{Q}_t \circ (\widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{V}_1) \circ \widehat{Q}_t^{-1}.$$

Now,  $\widehat{Q}_t \circ (\widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{V}_1) \circ \widehat{Q}_t^{-1} = \widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{Q}_t \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{Q}_t^{-1} = \widehat{Id}_M - (\widehat{Id}_M + \widehat{W}_2) \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ (\widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{W}_1) = \widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{V}_1 - \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1$ .

Substituting this expression into (5.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] &= (\widehat{Id}_M + \widehat{V}_2) \circ (\widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{V}_1 - \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1) \\ &= \widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{V}_1 - \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \\ &\quad - \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 \end{aligned}$$

But

$$-\widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_2 - \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 = \widehat{P}_t^{-1} - \widehat{Id}_M + \widehat{P}_t - \widehat{Id}_M - (\widehat{P}_t - \widehat{Id}_M) \circ (\widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{P}_t^{-1}) = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$[\widehat{P}_t, \widehat{Q}_t] = \widehat{Id}_M - \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{R}$$

where

$$(5.7) \quad \widehat{R} := \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 - \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 + \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 + \widehat{V}_2 \circ \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1$$

By (5.3) and (5.4) we have  $\widehat{V}_1 = t^m \widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t^m)$ ,  $\widehat{V}_2 = t^m \widehat{X} + \widehat{o}(t^m)$ ,  $\widehat{W}_1 = t^n \widehat{Y} + \widehat{o}(t^n)$ ,  $\widehat{W}_2 = t^n \widehat{Y} + \widehat{o}(t^n)$ .

By using Proposition 5.2 we obtain from previous relations and (5.7) that  $\widehat{R} = \widehat{o}(t^{m+n})$  and

$$\widehat{V}_1 \circ \widehat{W}_1 - \widehat{W}_2 \circ \widehat{V}_1 = t^{m+n} [\widehat{X}, \widehat{Y}] + \widehat{o}(t^{m+n}).$$

This proves (5.5).  $\square$

Applying 5.2 inductively, we obtain Theorem 5.1. Note that in the process of proving the theorem, we have obtained an expression for the remainder term.

## 6. CHOW-RASHEVSKII THEOREM FOR INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

Consider an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  with a sub-riemannian *distribution*  $\mathcal{H} \subset TM$ , which, by definition, is a vector sub-bundle of the tangent bundle  $TM$  of the manifold with an inner product on its fiber space [11]. An absolutely continuous curve  $q : [0, T] \rightarrow M$  is called *horizontal* if its derivative belongs to  $\mathcal{H}$  for almost all  $t$ .

The classical Chow-Rashevskii theorem [12, 13] provides conditions in terms of basis vector fields  $\{V_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$  of the distribution  $\mathcal{H}$  and their iterated Lie brackets for connectivity of arbitrary two points of the sub-riemannian manifold by a horizontal curve.

Namely, let us consider the following distribution  $\mathcal{L}$  which is defined point-wise as the linear span of the set generated by iterated Lie brackets of basis vector fields  $\{V_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$  as follows:

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{L}[V_1, \dots, V_m](q) := \text{span} \{B(V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \dots, V_{i_{k-1}}, V_{i_k})(q) : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

The classical Chow-Rashevskii theorem states that the condition

$$(6.2) \quad \mathcal{L}[V_1, \dots, V_m](q) = TM(q) \quad \forall q \in M$$

implies the connectivity of any two points on the manifold  $M$  by a horizontal curve.

Historically this theorem has played a fundamental role in nonlinear control theory [14, 15, 16, 17] by demonstrating that the condition (6.2) is a sufficient for the global controllability of the following affine-control system:

$$(6.3) \quad \dot{q} = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(t) V_i(q).$$

Here we are interested in generalizing these sufficient conditions for global controllability for the case of infinite-dimensional manifold  $M$ . Consider an affine control system

$$(6.4) \quad \dot{q} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(t) V_i(q)$$

where  $V_i$  are smooth vector-fields on  $M$ , and  $u(t) := (u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots)$  is a control.

Let  $M$  be an infinite-dimensional  $C^\infty$  smooth connected manifold [18] with underlying smooth Banach space  $E$ . The concept of smooth Banach space will be discussed in the next subsection.

A control  $u(t)$  is called *admissible* if it is piecewise constant and at each  $t$  only a finite number of its components  $u_i(t)$  are different from zero and take values  $+1$  or  $-1$ . The set of all admissible controls is denoted  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Note that for any initial point  $q_0$  for any admissible control  $u(t)$  there exists (at least locally) a unique solution  $q(t; q_0, u)$  of the control system (6.4). This solution we call a *trajectory*. A *reachability set* for the initial point  $q_0$

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{R}(q_0) := \{q(t; u, q_0) : \forall t \geq 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

consists of all points of all trajectories of (6.4) corresponding to all admissible controls  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus, the set  $\mathcal{R}(q_0)$  consists of all points to which the control system can be driven from the point  $q_0$  using admissible controls.

Here we provide infinitesimal conditions in terms of vector fields  $\{V_i\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ , their Lie brackets and bracket iterations similar to (6.2) which imply global approximate controllability of the system (6.4).

**Definition 6.1.** Control system (6.4) is called global approximate controllable if for any  $q_0 \in M$

$$(6.6) \quad \overline{\mathcal{R}(q_0)} = M$$

Thus, global approximate controllability of system (6.4) means that for arbitrary points  $q_0, q_1 \in M$  and any open neighbourhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of the point  $q_1$  there exists an admissible control  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  such that at some moment  $T$  the trajectory  $x(T; u, x_0)$  enters the neighbourhood  $\mathcal{O}$ .

For the family of smooth vector fields  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  define the following set similar to (6.1)

$$(6.7) \quad \mathcal{L}[V_1, V_2, \dots](q) := \text{span} \{B(V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \dots, V_{i_{k-1}}, V_{i_k})(q) : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Note that in the definition (6.7) of  $\mathcal{L}$  we consider only brackets  $B$  which are well defined.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $M$  be an infinite-dimensional smooth manifold associated with the smooth Banach space  $E$  and a smooth affine-control system (6.4) satisfies*

$$(6.8) \quad \overline{\mathcal{L}[V_1, V_2, \dots]}(q) = T_q M \quad \forall q \in M$$

*Then system (6.4) is globally approximate controllable.*

The proof of this variant of Chow-Rashevskii theorem for infinite-dimensional manifolds is based on the use of some constructions of nonsmooth analysis [19, 20] and a characterization of the property of strong invariance [19] of sets with respect to solutions of the control system (6.4) and is similar to the proof of the analogous result for the case of Hilbert space  $E$  [21].

**6.1. Nonsmooth analysis on smooth manifolds and strong invariance of sets.** Concepts of *strong* and *weak invariance* play important role in control theory (see [19] for finite-dimensional results and [22] for related results on approximate invariance in Hilbert spaces). A set  $S \subset M$  is called *strongly invariant* with respect to trajectories of a control system (6.4) if for any  $q_0 \in S$  and any admissible control  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  the trajectory  $q(t; q_0, u)$  stays in  $S$  for all  $t > 0$  sufficiently small. Note that the fact that reachability set  $\mathcal{R}(q_0)$  (6.5) is strongly invariant follows immediately from its definition.

Here we provide infinitesimal conditions for strong invariance of a closed set  $S$  in terms of normal vectors to  $S$  and iterated Lie brackets of vector fields  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . In order to define such normal vectors we need to recall some facts from nonsmooth analysis on smooth Banach spaces and on smooth infinite-dimensional manifolds.

A Banach space  $E$  is called *smooth* if there exists a non-trivial Lipschitz  $C^1$ -smooth bump function (that is, a function with a bounded support). For example, Banach spaces with differentiable norm are smooth Banach spaces as, in particular, Hilbert spaces are.

A subgradient  $\zeta \in E^*$  of function  $f : E \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  at the point  $x$  is defined as follows: let there exist a  $C^1$ -smooth function  $g : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the function  $f - g$

attains a local minimum at  $x$  then the subgradient of  $f$  at  $x$  is the vector  $\zeta = g'(x)$ . The set of all subgradients at  $x$  is called a *subdifferential*  $\partial_F f(x)$ . It can be shown that for lower semicontinuous functions  $f$  subdifferentials are nonempty on a set which is dense in the domain of  $f$ . The detailed calculus of such subdifferentials can be found in the monographs [23, 19, 20]. The monograph [19] is dedicated to the calculus of proximal subgradients in Hilbert spaces.

We also need the following mean-value inequality for lower semicontinuous function  $f$ : for any  $r, x, y$  such that  $r < f(y) - f(x)$  and for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a point  $z \in [x, y] + \delta B$  and  $\zeta \in \partial_F(z)$  such that

$$(6.9) \quad r < \langle \zeta, y - x \rangle$$

(see [19] for the original Hilbert space case and [23] for general smooth Banach space case).

The nonsmooth analysis for nonsmooth semicontinuous functions on smooth finite-dimensional manifolds was suggested in [24]. But the concept of subgradient of lower semicontinuous function from [24] is easily adapted for infinite-dimensional manifolds:  $\zeta \in T_q^*M$  is a subgradient of  $f : M \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  if there exists a locally  $C^1$ -smooth function  $g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f - g$  attains its local minimum at  $q$  and  $\zeta = dg(q)$ .

Let  $S \subset M$  be a closed subset on  $M$  then the characteristic function

$$\chi_S(q) = 0, \quad q \in S, \quad \chi_S(q) = +\infty, \quad q \notin S,$$

is lower semicontinuous function on  $M$ .

**Definition 6.3.** Vector  $\zeta \in T_q^*M$  is called a normal vector to a set  $S$  at  $q$  if  $\zeta \in \partial_F \chi_S(q)$ .

The set of all normal vectors is a cone and it is called a normal cone  $N_q S$  to the  $S$  at  $q$ .



**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $q' \in S$  be a boundary point of the closed set  $S$  then any neighbourhood  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $q'$  contains a point  $q \in S$  such that there exists a normal vector  $\zeta \neq 0$ ,  $\zeta \in N_q S$ .*

The proof of this proposition follows immediately from the mean-value inequality (6.9) and is left to a reader.

**Theorem 6.4.** *The closed set  $S \subset M$  is strongly invariant with respect to solutions of the control system (6.4) if and only if*

$$(6.10) \quad \langle \zeta, B(V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \dots, V_{i_{k-1}}, V_{i_k})(q) \rangle = 0$$

for any iterated Lie bracket of vector fields  $V_i$ , any normal vector  $\zeta \in N_q S$  and any  $q \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that the set  $S$  is strongly invariant,  $q \in S$  and  $\zeta = dg(q) \in N_q S$ . This implies that

$$(6.11) \quad \chi_S(q') - \chi_S(q) \geq g(q') - g(q)$$

for some smooth function  $g$  and all  $q'$  near  $q$ .

Let us fix some iterated Lie bracket  $B(V_{i_1}, V_{i_2}, \dots, V_{i_{k-1}}, V_{i_k})(q)$  as in (6.10), denote it  $v$  and relate to it an appropriate iterated flow bracket as in Section 5. For arbitrarily small  $t > 0$  we can find an admissible control  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  associated with this iterated flow bracket such that we have in accordance with Theorem (5.1)

$$g(q(t; q, u)) = g(q) + t^k \langle dg(q), v \rangle + o(t^k)$$

Then we obtain from (6.11) that

$$t^k \langle dg(q), v \rangle + o(t^k) \leq 0$$

Of course, we can easily derive (6.10) from this inequality.

To prove that conditions (6.10) imply strong invariance of the set  $S$  with respect to solutions of the affine control system (6.4), we can use methods of [22] for the

characterization of strong and weak approximate invariance of sets with respect to solutions of differential inclusions.  $\square$

### 6.2. Proof of an infinite-dimensional variant of Chow-Rashevskii theorem.

Consider the reachability set  $\mathcal{R}(q_0)$  (6.5) and recall that this set is strongly invariant. Note that for a fixed admissible control  $u$ , the function  $q \rightarrow q(t; q, u)$  is continuous. This implies the important fact that the closure of the reachability set  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(q_0)}$  is also strongly invariant.

Now let us assume that Theorem 6.2 is not true and  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(q_0)} \neq M$  for some  $q_0 \in M$ . This implies the existence of some border point  $q'$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(q_0)}$ . Due to Proposition 6.1 there exists a point  $q \in \overline{\mathcal{R}(q_0)}$  and a nonzero normal vector  $\zeta$  at  $q$  to it. But due to strong invariance of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}(q_0)}$  we have for the normal vector  $\zeta$  that (6.10) holds for any iterated Lie bracket. In view of condition (6.8) it implies that  $\zeta = 0$  and this contradiction proves Theorem 6.2.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agrachev, R. Gamkrelidze, Exponential representation of flows and a chronological calculus, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 149 (1978).
- [2] A. Agrachev, R. Gamkrelidze, Chronological algebras and nonstationary vector fields, *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 17 (1981).
- [3] A. Agrachev, Y. Sachkov, *Control theory from the geometric viewpoint*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [4] S. Monaco, D. Normand-Cyrot, C. Califano, From chronological calculus to exponential representations of continuous and discrete-time dynamics: a Lie-algebraic approach, *IEEE Transactions in Automatic Control* 52 (2007) 2227–2241.
- [5] R. V. Gamkrelidze, A. A. Agrachëv, S. A. Vakhrameev, Ordinary differential equations on vector bundles, and chronological calculus, in: *Current problems in mathematics. Newest results*, Vol. 35 (Russian), Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989, pp. 3–107. Translated in *J. Soviet Math.* 55 (1991), no. 4, 1777–1848.
- [6] J. Diestel, J. Uhl, *Vector Measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977.
- [7] K. Deimling, *Ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [8] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1999.

- [9] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I. Distributions Theory and Fourier Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [10] M. Mauhart, P. Michor, Commutators of flows and fields, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 28 (1992) 229–236.
- [11] R. Montgomery, *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, The American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [12] W.-L. Chow, Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung, *Math. Ann.* 117 (1939) 98–105.
- [13] P. Rashevskii, About connecting two points of complete nonholonomic space by admissible curve, *Uchen. Zapiski Pedag. Inst. K.Libnecht* 2 (1938) 83–94.
- [14] A. Isidori, *Nonlinear Control Systems V*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1989.
- [15] V. Jurdjevic, *Geometric control theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997.
- [16] A. Bloch, *Nonholonomic mechanics and control*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [17] J.-M. Coron, *Control and nonlinearity*, volume 136 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [18] A. Kriegl, P. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, The American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [19] F. Clarke, Y. S. Ledyev, R. Stern, P. Wolenski, *Nonsmooth Analysis and Control Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 1998.
- [20] W. Schirotzek, *Nonsmooth analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [21] Y. S. Ledyev, On an infinite-dimensional variant of the rashevskii-chow theorem, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* 398 (2004) 735 – 737.
- [22] F. Clarke, Y. S. Ledyev, M. Radulescu, Approximate invariance and differential inclusions in hilbert spaces, *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems* 3 (1997) 493 – 518.
- [23] J. Borwein, Q. J. Zhu, *Techniques of variational analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, 2005.
- [24] Y. S. Ledyev, Q. J. Zhu, Nonsmooth analysis on smooth manifolds, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 359 (2007) 3687–3732.

(Kipka) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO, MI,  
49008-5248

*E-mail address:* `robert.j.kipka@wmich.edu`

(LedyaeV) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, KALAMAZOO,  
MI, 49008-5248

*E-mail address:* `iouri.ledyaev@wmich.edu`