

RAMIFICATION ESTIMATE FOR FONTAINE-LAFFAILLE GALOIS MODULES

VICTOR ABRASHKIN

ABSTRACT. Suppose K is unramified over \mathbb{Q}_p and $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Let H be a torsion Γ_K -equivariant subquotient of crystalline $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma_K]$ -module with HT weights from $[0, p-2]$. We give a new proof of Fontaine's conjecture about the triviality of action of some ramification subgroups $\Gamma_K^{(v)}$ on H . The earlier author's proof from [1] contains a gap and proves this conjecture only for some subgroups of index p in $\Gamma_K^{(v)}$.

INTRODUCTION

Let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors with coefficients in a perfect field k of characteristic p . Consider the field $K = W(k)[1/p]$, choose its algebraic closure \bar{K} and set $\Gamma_K = \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Denote by \mathbb{C}_p the completion of \bar{K} and use the notation $O_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ for its valuation ring.

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $\text{MF}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{cr}(a)$ be the category of crystalline $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma_K]$ -modules with Hodge-Tate weights from $[0, a]$. Define the full subcategory $\text{MF}_N^{cr}(a)$ of the category of Γ_K -modules consisting of $H = H_1/H_2$, where H_1, H_2 are Γ_K -invariant lattices in $V \in \text{MF}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{cr}(a)$ and $p^N H_1 \subset H_2 \subset H_1$. J.-M. Fontaine conjectured in [4] that the ramification subgroups $\Gamma_K^{(v)}$ act on $H \in \text{MF}_N^{cr}(a)$ trivially if $v > N - 1 + a/(p-1)$. The author suggested in [1] a proof of this conjecture under the assumption $0 \leq a \leq p-2$.

It was pointed recently by Sh. Hattori to the author that the proof in [1] has a gap. More precisely, let $R = \varprojlim (O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p)$ be Fontaine's ring. For $r = (o_n \bmod p)_{n \geq 0} \in R$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $r^{(m)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} o_n^{p^{n+m}} \in O_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ and consider Fontaine's map $\gamma : W_N(R) \rightarrow O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p^N$, where $(r_0, \dots, r_{N-1}) \mapsto \sum_{0 \leq i < N} p^i r_i^{(i)} \bmod p^N$. Consider the projection $(\bar{o}_0, \dots, \bar{o}_N, \dots) \mapsto \bar{o}_N$ from R to $O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p$ and denote the image of $\text{Ker } \gamma$ in $W_N(O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p)$ by $W_N^1(O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p)$. This is principal ideal and in order to apply Fontaine's criterion about the triviality of the action of ramification subgroups from [4], we needed an element of $W_N(L)$, where L is a finite extension of K with "small" ramification, which generates $W_N^1(O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p)$. Our "truncation" argument in [1] does not actually work: the resulting element does not belong to $W_N^1(O_{\mathbb{C}_p}/p)$. In the moment

Key words and phrases. local field, Galois group, ramification filtration.

the author is inclined to believe that such an element does not exist if $N > 1$. Nevertheless, our proof in [1] gives the Fontaine conjecture up to index p : the groups $\Gamma_K^{(v)}$ just should be replaced by the groups $\Gamma_K^{(v)} \cap \Gamma_{K(\zeta_{N+1})}$, where ζ_{N+1} is a primitive p^{N+1} -th root of unity.

The above difficulty appears in many other situations when we try to escape from “ R -constructions” (e.g. $W(R)$, A_{cr} , etc) to p -adic constructions inside \mathbb{C}_p . In this paper we prove Fontaine’s conjecture by applying methods from [2]. These methods were used earlier to study ramification properties in the characteristic p case. As a matter of fact, this is the first time when we use them in the mixed characteristic situation.

1. CONSTRUCTION OF TORSION CRYSTALLINE REPRESENTATIONS

The ring R is perfect of characteristic p , it is provided with the valuation v_R such that $v_R(r) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^n v_p(o_n)$, where $r = (o_n \bmod p)_{n \geq 0}$. With respect to v_R , R is complete and the field $R_0 := \text{Frac} R$ is algebraically closed. Note that R and R_0 are provided with natural Γ_K -action. Denote by σ the Frobenius endomorphism of R and $W(R)$ and by \mathfrak{m}_R the maximal ideal of R .

1.1. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Spf } W(k)[[X]]$ be the Lubin-Tate 1-dimensional formal group over $W(k)$ such that $\text{pid}_{\mathcal{G}}(X) = pX + X^p$. Then $\text{End}_{W(k)} \mathcal{G} = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, $(\text{lid}_{\mathcal{G}})(X) \equiv lX \bmod X^p$.

Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $i \geq 0$, choose $o_i \in O_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ such that $o_0 = 0$, $o_1 \neq 0$ and $\text{pid}_{\mathcal{G}}(o_{i+1}) = o_i$. Set $\tilde{u} = (o_{N+i} \bmod p)_{i \geq 0} \in R$. Then $\mathcal{K} := k((\tilde{u}))$ is a complete discrete valuation closed subfield in R_0 . If \mathcal{K}_{sep} is the separable closure of \mathcal{K} in R_0 then \mathcal{K}_{sep} is separably closed and its completion coincides with R_0 . The theory of the field-of-norms functor [7] identifies $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ with a closed subgroup in Γ_K . The quotient $\Gamma_K/\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ acts strictly on \mathcal{K} . More precisely, there is a group epimorphism $\kappa : \Gamma_K \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{W(k)} \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that if $g \in \Gamma_K$ then $\kappa(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]]$ and $\kappa(g)(X) \equiv \chi(g)X \bmod X^p$ with $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. (Actually, $g \mapsto \chi(g)$ is the cyclotomic character.) With this notation we have $g(\tilde{u}) = \kappa(g)(\tilde{u})$.

Use the p -basis $\{\tilde{u}\}$ for separable extensions \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{K} in \mathcal{K}_{sep} to construct the system of lifts $O_N(\mathcal{E})$ of \mathcal{E} modulo p^N . Recall that $O_N(\mathcal{E}) = W_N(\sigma^{N-1}\mathcal{E})[u_N] \subset W_N(\mathcal{E})$ and $O_N(\mathcal{K}) = W_N(k)((u_N))$, where u_N is the Teichmüller representative of \tilde{u} in $W_N(\mathcal{K})$. This construction essentially depends on a choice of p -basis in \mathcal{K} . If, say, $\{u'\}$ is another p -basis for \mathcal{K} and $O'_N(\mathcal{E})$ are the appropriate lifts then $O_N(\mathcal{E})$ and $O'_N(\mathcal{E})$ are not very much different one from another: they can be related by the natural embeddings $\sigma^{N-1}O_N(\mathcal{E}) \subset W(\sigma^{N-1}\mathcal{E}) \subset O'_N(\mathcal{E})$. The lifts $O_N(\mathcal{E})$ are provided with the endomorphism σ such that $\sigma u_N = u_N^p$, and $O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$ is provided with continuous $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ -action.

If τ is a continuous automorphism of \mathcal{E} then generally τ can't be lifted to an automorphism of $O_N(\mathcal{E})$ (but it can always be lifted to $W_N(\mathcal{E})$). In many cases it is sufficient to use “the lift” $\hat{\tau} : \sigma^{N-1}O_N(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow O_N(\mathcal{E})$ induced by $W_N(\tau) : W_N(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow W_N(\mathcal{E})$. In other words, $\hat{\tau}$ is defined only on a part of $O_N(\mathcal{E})$, but $\hat{\tau} \bmod p = \sigma^{N-1} \circ \tau : \sigma^{N-1}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \sigma^{N-1}\mathcal{E}$ and, therefore, τ can be uniquely recovered from the “lift” $\hat{\tau}$.

On the other hand, any continuous automorphism τ of $\mathcal{K} = k((\tilde{u}))$ can be lifted to an automorphism $\tau^{(N)}$ of $O_N(\mathcal{K}) = W_N(k)((u_N))$ (use that $u_N \bmod p = \tilde{u}$). Taking into account the existence of a lift τ_{sep} of τ to \mathcal{K}_{sep} we obtain a lift $\tau_{sep}^{(N)}$ of τ to $O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) = W_N(\sigma^{N-1}\mathcal{K}_{sep})[u_N]$.

Set $O_N^0 := O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) \cap W_N(O_{sep})$ and $O_N^+ := O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) \cap W_N(\mathfrak{m}_{sep})$, where \mathfrak{m}_{sep} is the maximal ideal of the valuation ring O_{sep} of \mathcal{K}_{sep} . Then $\sigma(O_N^0) \subset O_N^0$, $\sigma(O_N^+) \subset O_N^+$ and $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \sigma^n(O_N^+) = 0$. Note that $O_N^0(\mathcal{K}) := O_N^0 \cap O_N(\mathcal{K}) = W_N(k)[[u_N]]$, $O_N^+(\mathcal{K}) := O_N^+ \cap O_N(\mathcal{K}) = u_N W_N(k)[[u_N]]$ and $O_N(\mathcal{K}) = O_N^0(\mathcal{K})[u_N^{-1}] = W_N(k)((u_N))$.

For $0 \leq m \leq N$, introduce

$$u_m = (p^{N-m} \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}})(u_N) \in O_N^0(\mathcal{K})$$

Then $u_0 = \sigma u_1 = pu_1 + u_1^p$, $t = u_0/u_1 = p + u_1^{p-1} \in O_N^0(\mathcal{K})$ and $u_0^{p-1} = t^p - pt^{p-1}$. As a matter of fact, u_0, u_1, t depend only on \tilde{u} . Indeed, if $u' \in W_N(R)$ and $u' \bmod pW_N(R) = \tilde{u}$ then in $O_N(\mathcal{K})$ we have $u_1 = (p^{N-1} \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}})(u')$.

Lemma 1.1. *Suppose $g \in \Gamma_K$. Then*

- a) $g(u_0) \equiv \chi(g)u_0 \bmod u_0^p O_N^0(\mathcal{K})$;
- b) $\sigma(g(t)/t) \equiv 1 \bmod u_0^{p-1} O_N^0(\mathcal{K})$.

Proof. $g(u_1) = (p^{N-1} \text{id}_{\mathcal{G}})(g(u_N)) = \kappa(g)(u_1) \equiv \chi(g)u_1 \bmod u_1^p O_N^0(\mathcal{K})$ implies a) because $\sigma(u_1) = u_0$. Then $g(t)/t \equiv 1 \bmod u_1^{p-1} O_N^0(\mathcal{K})$ and applying σ we obtain b). \square

1.2. Let \mathcal{MF} be the category of $W(k)$ -modules M provided with decreasing filtration by $W(k)$ -submodules $M = M^0 \supset \dots \supset M^{p-1} \supset M^p = 0$ and σ -linear morphisms $\varphi_i : M^i \rightarrow M$ such that for all i , $\varphi_i|_{M^{i+1}} = p\varphi_{i+1}$.

For $0 \leq a \leq p-2$, introduce the filtered module \mathcal{S}_a such that

- $\mathcal{S}_a = O_N^0/u_0^a O_N^+$;
- for $0 \leq i \leq a$, $\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a = t^i \mathcal{S}_a$;
- $\varphi_i : \text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_a$ is σ -linear morphism such that $\varphi_i(t^i) = 1$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_a \in \mathcal{MF}$ (use that $\sigma t \equiv p \bmod u_0^{p-1}$). In addition, Lemma 1.1 implies also that the action of Γ_K preserves the structure of an object of the category \mathcal{MF} on \mathcal{S}_a .

For $0 \leq a < p$, define the category of filtered Fontaine-Laffaille modules $\text{MF}_N(a)$ as the full subcategory in \mathcal{MF} consisting of modules

M of finite length over $W_N(k)$ such that $M^{a+1} = 0$ and $\sum \text{Im}\varphi_i = M$. We can assume that M is given together with a functorial splitting of its filtration, i.e. there are submodules N_i in M such that for all i , $M^i = N_i \oplus M^{i+1}$.

Let $M \in \text{MF}_N(a)$ and $\tilde{U}_a(M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M, \mathcal{S}_a)$. Then the correspondence $M \mapsto \tilde{U}_a(M)$ determines the functor \tilde{U}_a from $\text{MF}_N(a)$ to the category of Γ_K -modules.

Proposition 1.2. *If $0 \leq a \leq p-2$ and $H \in \text{MF}_N^{cr}(a)$ then there is $M \in \text{MF}_N(a)$ such that $\tilde{U}_a(M) = H$.*

Proof. Recall briefly the main ingredients of the Fontaine-Laffaille theory [5]. The p^N -torsion crystalline ring $A_{cr,N} := A_{cr}/p^N$ appears as the divided power envelope of $W_N(R)$ with respect to $\text{Ker}\gamma$. We need the following construction of a generator of $\text{Ker}\gamma$. (Note that we have a natural inclusion of $W(k)$ -modules $O_N^0 \subset W_N(R)$.)

Lemma 1.3. $\text{Ker}\gamma = tW_N(R)$.

Proof. We have $\gamma(u_N) \equiv o_N \pmod{pO_{\mathbb{C}_p}}$, therefore, $\gamma(u_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^N O_{\mathbb{C}_p}}$ and $t \in \text{Ker}\gamma$. On the other hand, $t \equiv u_1^{p-1} \equiv [r] \pmod{pW(R)}$, where $r \in R$ is such that $r^{(0)} \equiv o_1^{p-1} \equiv -p \pmod{p^{p/(p-1)}O_{\mathbb{C}_p}}$. Therefore, $v_p(r^{(0)}) = 1$ and t generates $\text{Ker}\gamma$, cf. [5]. \square

By above Lemma, $A_{cr,N} = W_N(R)[\{\gamma_i(t) \mid i \geq 1\}]$, where $\gamma_i(t)$ are the i -th divided powers of t . Then the identity $\gamma_p(t) = t^{p-1} + u_0^{p-1}/p$ implies that $A_{cr,N} = W_N(R)[\{\gamma_i(u_0^{p-1}/p) \mid i \geq 1\}]$.

Recall that $A_{cr,N} \in \mathcal{MF}$ with:

— the filtration $\text{Fil}^i A_{cr,N}$, $0 \leq i < p$, generated as ideal by t^i and all $\gamma_j(u_0^{p-1}/p)$, $j \geq 1$;

— the σ -linear morphisms $\varphi_i : \text{Fil}^i A_{cr,N} \rightarrow A_{cr,N}$ (which come from σ/p^i on A_{cr}) such that $\varphi_i(t^i) = (1 + u_0^{p-1}/p)^i$ and $\varphi_i(u_0^{p-1}/p) = p^{p-1-i}(u_0^{p-1}/p)(1 + u_0^{p-1}/p)^{p-1}$.

Then the Fontaine-Laffaille functor U_a attaches to $M \in \text{MF}_N(a)$ the Γ_K -module $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M, A_{cr,N})$. This functor is fully-faithful (we assume that $a \leq p-2$) and, therefore, there is $M \in \text{MF}_N(a)$ such that $U_a(M) = H$.

Consider the $W(k)$ -module $\mathcal{W}_N^a = W_N(R)/u_0^a W_N(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ with the filtration induced by the filtration $W_N^i(R) = t^i W_N(R)$ and σ -linear morphisms φ_i such that $\varphi_i(t^i) = 1$. Prove that we have an identification of Γ_K -modules $H = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M, \mathcal{W}_N^a)$.

Indeed, let T_a be the maximal element in the family of all ideals I of $A_{cr,N}$ such that φ_a induces a nilpotent endomorphism of I . Then for any $M \in \text{MF}_N(a)$, $U_a(M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M, A_{cr,N}/T_a)$. By straightforward calculations we can see that T_a is generated by the elements of

$u_0^a W_N(\mathfrak{m}_R)$ and all $\gamma_j(u_0^{p-1}/p)$, $j \geq 1$. It remains to note that we have a natural identification $A_{cr,N}/T_a = \mathcal{W}_N^a$ in the category \mathcal{MF} .

Consider the natural embedding $O_N^0 \rightarrow W_N(R)$ and the induced natural map $\iota_a : \mathcal{S}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_N^a$ in \mathcal{MF} . Prove that $\iota_{a*} : \tilde{U}_a(M) \rightarrow H$ is isomorphism of Γ_K -modules.

Choose $W(k)$ -submodules N_i in M^i such that $M^i = N_i \oplus M^{i+1}$ and choose vectors \bar{n}_i whose coordinates give a minimal system of generators of N_i . Then the structure of M can be given by the matrix relation $(\varphi_a(\bar{n}_a), \dots, \varphi_0(\bar{n}_0)) = (\bar{n}_a, \dots, \bar{n}_0)C$, where C is an invertible matrix with coefficients in $W(k)$. The elements of H are identified with the residues $(\bar{u}_a, \dots, \bar{u}_0) \bmod u_0^a W_N(\mathfrak{m}_{sep})$ where the vectors $(\bar{u}_a, \dots, \bar{u}_0)$ have coefficients in $W_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$ and satisfy the following system of equations (use that φ_a is topologically nilpotent on $u_N^a W_N(\mathfrak{m}_{sep})$)

$$\left(\frac{\sigma \bar{u}_a}{\sigma t^a}, \dots, \frac{\sigma \bar{u}_i}{\sigma t^i}, \dots, \sigma(\bar{u}_0) \right) = (\bar{u}_a, \dots, \bar{u}_0)C$$

In particular, if $\bar{u} = (\bar{u}_a, \dots, \bar{u}_0)$ then there is an invertible matrix D with coefficients in $O_N(\mathcal{K})$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma(\bar{u})D = \bar{u}.$$

We know that all coordinates of $\sigma^{N-1}\bar{u}$ belong to $\sigma^{N-1}W_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) \subset O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. Then (1.1) implies step-by-step that the vectors $\sigma^{N-2}\bar{u}, \dots, \bar{u}$ have coordinates in $O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. It remains to note that $O_N^0 = O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) \cap W_N(O_{sep})$ and $O_N^+ = O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) \cap W_N(\mathfrak{m}_{sep})$. The proposition is proved. \square

2. REFORMULATION OF THE FONTAINE CONJECTURE

2.1. Review of ramification theory. Let $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the group of all continuous automorphisms of \mathcal{K}_{sep} which keep invariant the residue field of \mathcal{K}_{sep} and preserve the extension of the normalised valuation $v_{\mathcal{K}}$ of \mathcal{K} to \mathcal{K}_{sep} . This group has a decreasing filtration by its ramification subgroups $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ in upper numbering $v \geq 0$. Recall basic ingredients of the definition of this filtration following the papers [3, 7, 8].

For any field extension \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{K} in \mathcal{K}_{sep} , set $\mathcal{E}_{sep} = \mathcal{K}_{sep}$, in particular, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$. All elements of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$ preserve the extension $v_{\mathcal{E}}$ of the normalised valuation on \mathcal{E} to \mathcal{K}_{sep} .

For $x \geq 0$, set $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E},x} = \{\iota \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}} \mid v_{\mathcal{E}}(\iota(a) - a) \geq 1+x \ \forall a \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}\}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the maximal ideal in $O_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}$ the set of all continuous embeddings of \mathcal{E} into \mathcal{K}_{sep} which induce the identity map on \mathcal{K} and the residue field $k_{\mathcal{E}}$ of \mathcal{E} . For $x \geq 0$, set $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K},x} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E},x} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}$.

If $\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}$ and $x \geq 0$ then ι_1 and ι_2 are x -equivalent iff for any $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{E}}$, $v_{\mathcal{E}}(\iota_1(a) - \iota_2(a)) \geq 1+x$. Denote by $(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K},x})$ the number of x -equivalent classes in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}$. Then the Herbrand function $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}$ can

be defined for all $x \geq 0$, as

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}(x) = \int_0^x (\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K},x})^{-1} dx.$$

This function has the following properties:

- $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}$ is a piece-wise linear function with finitely many edges;
- if $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a tower of finite field extensions in \mathcal{K}_{sep} then for any $x \geq 0$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{K}}(x) = \varphi_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}(\varphi_{\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{E}}(x))$.

The ramification filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}\}_{v \geq 0}$ appears now as a decreasing sequence of the subgroups $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ consists of $\iota \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$ such that for any finite extension \mathcal{E} of \mathcal{K} , $\iota \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E},v_{\mathcal{E}}}$ with $\varphi_{\mathcal{E}/\mathcal{K}}(v_{\mathcal{E}}) = v$.

If we replace the lower indices \mathcal{K} to \mathcal{E} , the ramification filtration $\{\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}\}_{v \geq 0}$ is not changed as a whole, just only individual subgroups change their upper indices, that is $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(v_{\mathcal{E}})}$.

Note that the inertia subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^0$ of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}} = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}_{sep}/\mathcal{E})$ is a subgroup in $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and for any $v \geq 0$, the appropriate subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^{(v)} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{E}} \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{E}}^{(v)}$ is just the ramification subgroup of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{E}}$ with the upper number v from [6].

2.2. Statement of the main theorem. The main idea of our approach to the Γ_K -modules $\tilde{U}_a(M)$ is related to the following fact. The filtered module \mathcal{S}_a depends only on the field \mathcal{K} and its uniformizer \tilde{u} . Therefore, \mathcal{S}_a can be identified with its analogue \mathcal{S}'_a constructed for any ramified extension \mathcal{K}' of \mathcal{K} together with its uniformizer \tilde{u}' . The whole group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$ does not preserve the structure of \mathcal{S}_a but the ramification subgroups $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$, where $a > a_N^* := (a+1)p^{N-1} - 1$ do preserve this structure because of the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *If $v > a_N^*$ and $M \in \text{MF}_N(a)$ then a natural action of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$ on $W_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$ induces the $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ -module structure on $\tilde{U}_a(M)$.*

Proof. All we need is just the following lemma. □

Lemma 2.2. *If $\tau \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ with $v > a_N^*$ then*

- a) $\tau(u_0)/u_0 \in O_N^*(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$;
- b) for $0 \leq i \leq a$, $\varphi_i(\tau t^i) = 1$.

Proof of Lemma. For $\tau \in \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$, we have $\tau(u_N) = u_N + \eta_N + pw$, where $\eta_N \in u_1^{a+1}O_N^+$ and $w \in W_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. For $1 \leq i \leq N$, this implies

$$\tau(u_i) = u_i + \eta_i + p^{N-i+1}w_i,$$

where $\eta_i \in u_1^{a+1}O_N^+$ and $w_i \in W_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. Therefore,

$$\tau(u_1) \equiv u_1 \pmod{u_1^{a+1}O_N^+}.$$

This implies part a) because $\tau(u_0) \equiv u_0 \pmod{u_0^{a+1}O_N^+}$ and part b) because $\sigma(\tau t)/\sigma(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{u_0^a O_N^+}$. □

With the relation to the original problem of estimating the upper ramification numbers of the Γ_K -module H notice now that $\mathcal{K} = k((\tilde{u}))$ coincides with $\sigma^{-N}\mathcal{K}_0$, where \mathcal{K}_0 is the field-of-norms of the p -cyclotomic extension \tilde{K} of K . Then for any $v \geq 0$, $\Gamma_K^{(v)} = \Gamma_K \cap \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v^*)}$, where $\varphi_{\tilde{K}/K}(v^*) = v$. In particular, $v > N - 1 + a/(p - 1)$ if and only if $v^* > a_N^*$.

So, the proof of Fontaine's conjecture is reduced to the proof of the following theorem stated exclusively in terms of the field \mathcal{K} of characteristic p .

Theorem 2.3. *For any $v > a_N^*$, the group $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ acts trivially on $\tilde{U}_a(M)$.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3

3.1. Auxiliary field \mathcal{K}' . Let $N^* \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r^* \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that for $q := p^{N^*}$, $r^*(q - 1) := b^* \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_p(b^*) = 0$.

Consider the field $\mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{K}(N^*, r^*)$ from [2]. Remind that

- $[\mathcal{K}' : \mathcal{K}] = q$;
- $\mathcal{K}' = k((\tilde{u}'))$, where $\tilde{u} = \tilde{u}'^q E(\tilde{u}'^{b^*})^{-1}$ (here E is the Artin-Hasse exponential);
- the Herbrand function $\varphi_{\mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{K}}$ has only one edge point (r^*, r^*) .

For \mathcal{K}' and its above uniformiser \tilde{u}' proceed as earlier to construct the lifts $O'_N(\mathcal{K}')$ and $O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$ obtained with respect to the p -basis \tilde{u}' . Introduce similarly the modules $O'_N{}^0, O'_N{}^+$, the elements $u'_0, t' \in O'_N(\mathcal{K}')$ and the filtered module \mathcal{S}'_a .

3.2. Compare the old and the new lifts using their canonical embeddings into $W_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. Note that u_N is not generally an element of $O'_N(\mathcal{K}')$ because the Teichmüller representative $u_N = [\tilde{u}]$ can't be written as a power series in $u'_N = [\tilde{u}']$ if $N > 1$. However, we can easily see that for $1 \leq i < N$, $u_{N-i} \in O'_N(\mathcal{K}') \bmod p^{i+1}W_N(\mathcal{K}')$. In particular, $u_1, u_0, t \in O'_N(\mathcal{K}')$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\xi \in \tilde{U}_a(M)$ then for any $m \in M$, $\xi(m) \in O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$.*

Proof. Proceed as we proceeded at the end of Section 1. Then the vectors $(\xi(\bar{n}_a), \dots, \xi(\bar{n}_0))$ appear in the form $\bar{\xi} \bmod u_0^a O_N^+$, where $\bar{\xi}$ is a vector with coefficients in $O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma(\bar{\xi})D = \bar{\xi},$$

and the matrix D has coefficients in $O'_N(\mathcal{K}')$ (use that $t \in O'_N(\mathcal{K}')$). We know that all coordinates of $\sigma^{N-1}\bar{\xi}$ belong to $\sigma^{N-1}O_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep}) \subset O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. Then (3.1) implies step-by-step that the vectors $\sigma^{N-2}\bar{\xi}, \dots, \bar{\xi}$ have coordinates in $O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$. \square

3.3. Now suppose $v^* \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ acts trivially on $\tilde{U}_a(M)$ for all $v > v^*$ and v^* is the minimal with this property. The existence of v^* follows from the left-continuity of the ramification filtration with respect to the upper numbering.

If $v^* \leq a_N^*$ then our theorem is proved.

Suppose that $v^* > a_N^*$. Choose the parameters r^* and N^* from Subsection 3.1 such that $a_N^* q / (q - 1) < r^* < v^*$.

For any $\alpha \in O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$, set $\alpha^{(q)} = \sigma^{N^*} \alpha$.

Lemma 3.2. $u_1/u_1^{(q)} \equiv 1 \pmod{u_1^{(q)a} O_N'^+(K')}$.

Proof. Consider $b^* = r^*(q - 1) \in \mathbb{N}$ from Subsection 3.1. Then $b^* + q > q(a_N^* + 1) = q(a + 1)p^{N-1}$ and

$$u_N \equiv u_N^{(q)} \pmod{\left(u_1^{(q)a+1} O_N^+(K') + pO_N(K')\right)}$$

This implies $u_1 \equiv u_1^{(q)} \pmod{u_1^{(q)a+1} O_N'^+(K')}$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 3.3. a) $u_0/u_0^{(q)}$ is invertible in $O_N'^0(K')$;

b) $\sigma(t/t^{(q)}) \equiv 1 \pmod{u_0^{(q)a} O_N'^+(K')}$.

3.4. $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}^{(v^*)}$ -**action.** Introduce the filtered module $\mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)}$ as follows.

— $\mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)} = O_N'^0/u_0^{(q)a} O_N'^+$;

— for $0 \leq i \leq a$, $\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)} = t'^{(q)i} \mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)}$;

— $\varphi_i'^{(q)} : \text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)}$ is σ -linear such that $\varphi_i'^{(q)}(t'^{(q)i}) = 1$.

Suppose $M' \in \text{MF}_N(a)$ is given similarly to M by the relation

$$(\varphi_a(\bar{n}_a), \dots, \varphi_0(\bar{n}_0)) = (\bar{n}_a, \dots, \bar{n}_0) \sigma^{-N^*} C$$

Then we can use σ^{N^*} to identify the modules $\tilde{U}'_a(M') := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M', \mathcal{S}_a')$ and $\tilde{U}_a^{(q)}(M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M, \mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)})$. This identification is compatible with the action of the subgroups $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}^{(v)}$, where $v > a_N^*$.

Note that the fields \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' are isomorphic (as any two fields of formal power series with the same residue field). Choose an isomorphism $\kappa : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}'$ such that $\kappa(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u}'$ and $\kappa|_k = \sigma^{-N^*}$. We can extend κ to an isomorphism of separable closures of \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}' . This allows us to identify the groups $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}$ and this identification is compatible with the appropriate ramification filtrations. Even more, we obtain an identification of $\tilde{U}_a(M)$ with $\tilde{U}'_a(M')$ and this identification respects the action of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ on $\tilde{U}_a(M)$ and the action of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}^{(v)}$ on $\tilde{U}'_a(M')$ for any $v > a_N^*$. Therefore, v^* is the maximal number such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}^{(v^*)}$ acts non-trivially on $\tilde{U}'_a(M')$ and

• v^* is the maximal such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}^{(v^*)}$ acts non-trivially on $\tilde{U}_a^{(q)}(M)$.

3.5. $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v^*)}$ -**action.** Introduce the filtered module \mathcal{S}_a^* as follows:

- $\mathcal{S}_a^* = O_N^0 \cap O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})/u_0^a O_N^+ \cap O'_N(\mathcal{K}_{sep})$;
- $\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a^* = t^i \mathcal{S}_a \cap \mathcal{S}_a^*$;
- $\varphi_i^* = \varphi_i|_{\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a^*} : \text{Fil}^i \mathcal{S}_a^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_a^*$.

The results from Subsection 3.2 allow us to identify $\tilde{U}_a(M)$ with $U_a^*(M) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{MF}}(M, \mathcal{S}_a^*)$. By the results from Subsection 3.3, there is a natural embedding of filtered modules $\mathcal{S}_a^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_a'^{(q)}$ and, therefore, we can identify $\tilde{U}_a(M)$ with $\tilde{U}_a'^{(q)}(M')$. This identification is compatible with the action of ramification subgroups $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v)}$ for all $v > a_N^*$. So,

- v^* is the maximal such that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v^*)}$ acts non-trivially on $\tilde{U}_a'^{(q)}(M)$.

3.6. **The end of proof of Theorem.** It remains to notice that $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}'}^{(v^*)} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{K}}^{(v_0^*)}$, where $v_0^* = \varphi_{\mathcal{K}'/\mathcal{K}}(v^*) < v^*$.

The contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. ABRASHKIN, *Ramification in etale cohomology*, Invent. math. (1990) **101**, 631–640
- [2] V. ABRASHKIN, *Ramification filtration of the Galois group of a local field. III*, Izvestiya RAN: Ser. Mat., **62**, no.5 (1998), 3-48; English transl. Izvestiya: Mathematics **62**, no.5, 857–900
- [3] P. DELIGNE *Les corps locaux de caractéristique p , limites de corps locaux de caractéristique 0*, Representations of reductive groups over a local field, Travaux en cours, Hermann, Paris, 1973, 119-157
- [4] J.-M. FONTAINE, *Il n’y a pas de variété abélienne sur \mathbb{Z}* , Invent. math. (1990) **101**, 631–640
- [5] J.-M. FONTAINE, G. LAFFAILLE, *Construction de représentations p -adiques*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 4 Ser. **15** (1982), 547–608
- [6] J.-P. SERRE, *Local Fields* Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1980
- [7] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, *Le corps des normes de certaines extensions infinies des corps locaux; application* Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super., IV. Ser, **16** (1983), 59–89
- [8] J.-P. WINTENBERGER, *Extensions de Lie et groupes d’automorphismes des corps locaux de caractéristique p* . (French) C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. **A-B** **288** (1979), no. 9, A477-A479

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, SCIENCE LABORATORIES, SOUTH RD, DURHAM DH1 3LE, UNITED KINGDOM & STEKLOV INSTITUTE, GUBKINA STR. 8, 119991, MOSCOW, RUSSIA

E-mail address: victor.abrashkin@durham.ac.uk