Photoionization of bonding and antibonding-type atom-fullerene hybrid states in $Cd@C_{60}$ vs $Zn@C_{60}$

Mohammad H. Javani,¹ Ruma De,² Mohamed E. Madjet,³ Steven T. Manson,¹ and Himadri S. Chakraborty^{2,*}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, Georgia State University, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, USA

²Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Department of Natural Sciences,

Northwest Missouri State University, Maryville, Missouri 64468, USA

³Qatar Energy and Environment Research Institute (QEERI), P.O Box 5825, Doha, Qatar

(Dated: August 23, 2021)

Powerful hybridization of the Cd 4d state with the d-angular momentum state of $C_{60} \pi$ symmetry is found in the local density approximation (LDA) structure of Cd@C₆₀ ground state. The photoionization of the resulting symmetric and antisymmetric levels are computed using the time dependent LDA method to include electron correlations. Cross sections exhibit effects of the C₆₀ plasmonic motion coherently coupled to the diffraction-type cavity oscillations induced by local emissions from C₆₀. The Cd@C₆₀ results exhibit a substantial difference from our previous results for Zn@C₆₀.

PACS numbers: 61.48.-c, 33.80.Eh, 36.40.Cg

I. INTRODUCTION

Photoionization of atoms caged in fullerenes is an active field of current research [1, 2]. These endofullerene materials have a broad scope of applications from quantum computation [3] to photovoltaics [4] to drug delivery [5]. Also, endofullerenes are excellent natural laboratories to develop fundamental insights into the spectroscopy of atoms in confinement, as well as of doped fullerenes. Some success in the synthesis of these materials has spawned inspiring recent experiments [6–9].

Our jellium-based time-dependent local density functional technique [10] is one of the most complete approach among various theoretical models of photoionization studies [11–18]. Because the scheme incorporates the ground state, excited states and dynamical interactions of all atomic-electrons and active fullerene electrons in the same computational footing, including significant aspects of correlation. Using this method, we have already found (i) a strong enhancement in the atomic photoresponse from the host fullerene's plasmon dynamics [20–22] and (ii) atom-fullerene hybrid levels with novel ionization behavior [10, 23]. The latter feature is quite interesting, since these hybrid levels lead to possibilities of covalent-type bonding of the fullerene with the trapped atom and their influence on the ionization response of the compound to electromagnetic radiation or charged-particles impact. In general, spectroscopic examination of such hybrids pave the route to probe wavefunction mixing in other spherical dimer composites, such as, buckyonions or clusters trapped in fullerene cages.

Only the atomic and fullerene orbitals of the same angular momentum hybridize. This is because the orthogonality property of the spherical harmonics makes the other terms zero. Also, from a perturbation theory view-

point, to have strong hybridization not only good overlap of the unperturbed wave functions is needed but the binding energies of those levels have to be close, since they respectively guarantee a large numerator and and a small denominator of the coupling term. These conditions applied to the known energy levels of the C_{60} π band [19] suggest that the atom's valence and subvalence levels are susceptible to hybridization. For $Xe@C_{60}$ a strong s-s hybridization between Xe 5s and a C_{60} s level of π character was predicted [23]. Also in the case of $Zn@C_{60}$ strong d-d hybridization have been found between Zn 3d and a C₆₀ d level [24]. Here we investigate the same phenomenon in $Cd@C_{60}$ to explore how much the detail shapes of its hybrid wavefunctions alter from the corresponding $Zn@C_{60}$ hybrids given an extra node in Cd 4d. The photoionization cross sections of these hybrid levels exhibiting structures over a broad energy range from effects of plasmons and oscillatory modulations in the emission process are calculated. In addition, the results are compared and contrasted with the earlier $Zn@C_{60}$ results to examine the extent of their differences from differing emission responses of Zn and Cd.

II. GROUND-STATE HYBRIDIZATION

We employ nonrelativistic density functional theory to describe the electronic structure of the C₆₀ cage; the details of the method can be found in Ref. [19]. Previous works (i) explained the measured oscillations in the valence photoelectron intensities of neutral C₆₀ [25] and (ii) agreed with an experimental study of plasmons by predicting a new high-energy plasmon resonance in the photoionization of C₆₀ cations [6]. In the formulation of the C₆₀ ground state, the four valence electrons $(2s^22p^2)$ of each carbon atom are allowed to delocalize. But the core of C⁴⁺ ions (each consisting of a carbon nucleus plus two tightly-bound 1s electrons) are represented by a spherical jellium shell with a radius R=3.54Å and a thickness

^{*}Electronic address: himadri@nwmissouri.edu



FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) The average radial LDA ground state potential of Cd@C₆₀. C₆₀ single-electron occupied band, 4d Cd and 4d C₆₀ unperturbed levels, and two Cd@C₆₀ hybrid levels are shown. Similar hybrids in Zn@C₆₀ are included for comparison. (b) Four radial wavefunctions for bonding and antibonding hybrid states of both Cd@C₆₀ and Zn@C₆₀.

 Δ , plus an adjustable pseudo-potential V_0 [26]. An earlier Hartree-Fock study using a molecular orbital basis for $Zn@C_{60}$ indicated a weak $Zn-C_{60}$ ionic bond with a central position of Zn in the cage and that the compound remains stable up to the degradation-temperature of the C_{60} frame [27]. Encouraged, we assume similar central position of Cd, another Group IIB metal, in the sphere. Then the Kohn-Sham equations for the system of 288 electrons (48 from Cd and 240 delocalized electrons from the C_{60} cage) are solved to obtain the ground state in the local density approximation (LDA). A widely used parametric formulation is employed [28] to approximately treat the electrons' exchange-correlation interactions. V_0 and Δ are determined by requiring charge neutrality and by producing the experimental value, -7.54 eV, of the first ionization potential. The width Δ is found to be 1.5Å, which agrees well with experimentally derived value of the molecular width [25]. Further, although the jellium ignores the truncated-icosahedral C-structure, similarities of our LDA ground state near the HOMO and HOMO-1 levels with known quantum chemical calculations [29] were noted in Ref. [19].

The asymptotic behavior of the LDA ground-state potential was improved by employing a self-interaction correction scheme as done by Perdew and Zunger [30], along with a similar implementation for the excited states [31]. Consequently, this correction results in orbital-specific single electron potentials. Thus, the *effective* radial potential, averaged over the orbitals and weighted by their occupancies, is presented in Fig. 1(a). A powerful hybridization between the free (unperturbed) Cd 4d state and C₆₀ 4d (π) state occurs. (Standard Coulomb notation is used to label both Zn and C₆₀ orbitals.) States hybridized in any arbitrary proportion of two constituent states $|\phi_{4dCd}\rangle$ and $|\phi_{4dC_{60}}\rangle$ of free systems can be described as symmetric and antisymmetric combinations:

$$4d4d_{+} = |\phi_{+}\rangle = \sqrt{\alpha}|\phi_{4d\text{Cd}}\rangle + \sqrt{1-\alpha}|\phi_{4d\text{C}_{60}}\rangle \qquad (1a)$$

$$4d4d_{-} = |\phi_{-}\rangle = \sqrt{1-\alpha} |\phi_{4d\mathrm{Cd}}\rangle - \sqrt{\alpha} |\phi_{4d\mathrm{C}_{60}}\rangle, \quad (1\mathrm{b})$$

that embody the bonding and antibonding $Cd-C_{60}$ interactions; to preserve normalization α must be between zero and one. Energies of the two reactantlevels of pristine systems and their hybrid products are shown in Fig. 1(a), and the radial hybrid wavefunctions in Fig. 1(b). It is found that multiplying the $4d4d_+$ wavefunction by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ reproduces the Cd 4d and C₆₀ 4d wavefunctions (not shown) in their respective regions, i.e., the hybridized states are roughly a 50-50 admixture of the electron densities so that α in Eqs. (1) is about $\frac{1}{2}$. It is surprising that so strong a hybridization occurs even though the overlap between Cd 4d and C₆₀ 4d wavefunctions (not shown) is found small, as in $Zn@C_{60}$ [24]; thus, it must be due to the near-degeneracy of the participant levels [Fig. 1(a)]. This property puts these hybrid states, along with those predicted earlier [23, 24], in a different league than the known hybrids [32] from physical contacts of a larger atom packed in a smaller fullerene.

Radial wavefunctions that emerge from Zn 3*d* hybridized with C_{60} 4*d* in the Zn@ C_{60} compound is also displayed in Fig. 1(b). Comparison reveals differences between wavefunctions of the two compounds for each of bonding and antibonding symmetries, which is owing to the node that Cd 4*d* has whereas Zn 3*d* is nodeless. In fact, this distinction is also the reason why $4d4d_{+}$ is less bound than $4d4d_{-}$ in Cd@ C_{60} while the trend is just the opposite in Zn@ C_{60} [Fig. 1(a)]; in each case, the binding energy of the hybridized state with the larger number of nodes is decreased, as they must.

III. PHOTOIONIZATION: BRIEF THEORY

By using the time-dependent LDA (TDLDA) methodology [19], the response of the system to the external field is obtained. The perturbation z, the dipole interaction for linearly polarized light, induces a frequencydependent complex change in the electron density arising from dynamical electron correlations. This can be written, using the LDA susceptibility χ_0 , as

$$\delta\rho(\mathbf{r};\omega) = \int \chi_0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}';\omega)\delta V(\mathbf{r}';\omega)d\mathbf{r}',\qquad(2)$$



FIG. 2: (Color online) Photoionization cross sections by single-electron LDA and many-electron TDLDA methods for $Cd@C_{60}$ hybrid states. Autoionizing resonances for inner shell excitations from C_{60} , appearing at lower photon energies, and from 4p@ and 4s@ states of Cd are identified. C_{60} low (LEP) and high energy plasmon (HEP) regions are indicated.

in which

$$\delta V(\mathbf{r}';\omega) = z + \int \frac{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}';\omega)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d\mathbf{r}' + \left[\frac{\partial V_{\rm XC}}{\partial \rho}\right]_{\rho = \rho_0} \delta \rho(\mathbf{r};\omega), \quad (3)$$

where the second and third term on the right hand side are, respectively, the induced change of the Coulomb and the exchange-correlation potentials. Clearly, besides containing the perturbation z, δV also includes the dynamical field produced by important correlations. The photoionization cross section is then obtained as the sum of independent channel cross sections $\sigma_{n\ell \to k\ell'}$, corresponding to a dipole transition $n\ell \to k\ell'$:

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{PI}}(\omega) = \sum_{n\ell} \sigma_{n\ell \to k\ell'} \sim \sum_{n\ell} 2(2\ell+1) |\langle \phi_{k\ell'} | \delta V | \phi_{n\ell} \rangle|^2.$$
(4)

Note that, replacing δV in Eq. (4) by z yields the LDA cross section that entirely omits the correlation.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The single-electron LDA photoionization cross sections, as a function of the photon energy, for the two hybrid states $4d4d_{\pm}$ are presented in Fig. 2. They are seen to be substantially different, both in magnitudes and structures, from the LDA cross sections of Cd 4d and C₆₀ 4d levels in Fig. 3. This is the effect of the wavefunction mixing merely via hybridization as the correlation is omitted in LDA. The mechanism of the oscillatory structure can be described by the *acceleration* gauge form of the dipole matrix element which will be discussed below.



FIG. 3: (Color online) LDA and TDLDA cross sections for 4d of free Cd and 4d of empty C₆₀. Autoionizing resonances due to Cd 4p excitations are identified. The TDLDA result for 3d level of free Zn is included for comparison.

Cross sections of the hybrid levels, obtained using TDLDA and shown in Fig. 2, should be rather realistic quantitatively, since they include correlation effects. The TDLDA cross sections exhibit C_{60} autoionizing resonances at low energies, along with Cd $4p@ \rightarrow ns@, nd@$, $4s@ \rightarrow np@$ autoionizing resonances at higher energies; the symbol $n\ell$ is used to denote the levels of the confined atom and $@n\ell$ to represent the levels of the doped C₆₀. These TDLDA results in Fig. 2 dramatically modify the LDA cross sections in the fullerene's low energy plasmon (LEP) region centered around 17 eV (Fig. 3), and also over the broader region of the high energy plasmon (HEP), showing substantial differences as high as up to 40 eV. Obviously, in the TDLDA results for hybrid ionization, correlation-driven many-electron plasmonic enhancement from C_{60} couples with the single-electron oscillation effects seen in LDA. The following diagnostics are useful to better interpret the results.

The general correlation-modified (TDLDA) matrix element, in the framework of the first-order perturbation theory based interchannel coupling, of the dipole photoionization of $4d4d_{\pm}$ levels can be written as [22],

$$\mathcal{M}_{\pm}(E) = \mathcal{D}_{\pm}(E) + \sum_{n\ell} \int dE' \frac{\langle \psi_{n\ell}(E') | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{\pm} - \mathbf{r}_{n\ell}|} | \psi_{\pm}(E) \rangle}{E - E'} \mathcal{D}_{n\ell}(E')(5)$$

where the single electron (LDA) bound-continuum matrix element

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm}(E) = \langle kp(f)|z|\phi_{\pm}\rangle \tag{6}$$

and $|\psi\rangle$ is the $n\ell \to k\ell'$ channel wavefunction.

Taking the hybridization into account, the channel wavefunctions in Eq. (5) become

$$|\psi_{+}\rangle = \sqrt{\alpha} |\psi_{4d@Cd}\rangle + \sqrt{1-\alpha} |\psi_{@4dC_{60}}\rangle \qquad (7a)$$

$$|\psi_{-}\rangle = \sqrt{1-\alpha} |\psi_{4d@Cd}\rangle - \sqrt{\alpha} |\psi_{@4dC_{60}}\rangle.$$
 (7b)

In Eqs. (7) the subscripts 4d@ and @4d are used to in-

clude the modifications of the continuum waves of, respectively, the confined Cd and the doped C_{60} .

Substituting Eqs. (1) and (7) in Eq. (5), and noting that the overlap between a pure Cd and a pure C₆₀ bound state is negligible, we can easily separate the atomic and fullerene contributions to the integral to get the TDLDA matrix element for the symmetric hybrid $4d4d_+$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_{+}(E) = \sqrt{\alpha} \left[\mathcal{D}_{4d@Cd}(E) + \sum_{n\ell(Cd)} \int dE' \frac{\langle \psi_{n\ell}(E') | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{+} - \mathbf{r}_{n\ell}|} | \psi_{4d@Cd}(E) \rangle}{E - E'} \mathcal{D}_{n\ell}(E') \right] \\ + \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \left[\mathcal{D}_{@4dC_{60}}(E) + \sum_{n\ell(C_{60})} \int dE' \frac{\langle \psi_{n\ell}(E') | \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_{+} - \mathbf{r}_{n\ell}|} | \psi_{@4dC_{60}}(E) \rangle}{E - E'} \mathcal{D}_{n\ell}(E') \right]$$

$$= \sqrt{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{4d@Cd}(E) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \mathcal{M}_{@4dC_{60}}(E).$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Similarly, the TDLDA matrix element for the asymmetric hybrid level is

$$\mathcal{M}_{-}(E) = \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \mathcal{M}_{4d@Cd}(E) - \sqrt{\alpha} \mathcal{M}_{@4dC_{60}}(E).$$
(10)

A. LDA multi-path interference oscillations

Within the LDA framework, where correlations are omitted, both the integrals on the right-hand-side of Eq. (8) will vanish and simplify Eqs. (9) and (10) to

$$\mathcal{D}_{+}(E) = \sqrt{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{4d@Cd}(E) + \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \mathcal{D}_{@4dC_{60}}(E) \quad (11a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{-}(E) = \sqrt{1 - \alpha} \mathcal{D}_{4d@Cd}(E) - \sqrt{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{@4dC_{60}}(E), \quad (11b)$$

which, of course, can also be obtained directly by substituting Eqs. (1) in Eq. (6).

In LDA the production of the confinement oscillations is easily explained in the *acceleration gauge* frame where the dipole matrix element, Eq. (6), is expressed as,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\pm}(E) \sim \left\langle kp(f) \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial r} \right| \phi_{\pm} \right\rangle,$$
 (12)

which underpins the idea that the electron in the potential V(r) needs a force $\partial V/\partial r$ to escape. This ionizing force produced by the average radial potential [Fig. 1(a)] of the compound peaks at the inner and the outer edges, R_i (= 2.79 Å) and R_o (= 4.29 Å), of the C₆₀ shell, suggesting strong emission from the edge regions where the potential changes rapidly. Furthermore, Fig. 1(a) shows that a strong force also exists in the central atomic region where the potential continuously varies. Thus, since the hybrid wavefunctions ϕ_{\pm} are finite over all these forcesites [Fig. 1(b)], photoemission will occur from all three regions, significantly interfering with each other through the coherence. The effect further enriches, since the part of the amplitude emanated from Cd reflects from the shell as a result of the modified atomic continuum due to the surrounding C_{60} potential.

The general structure of this LDA matrix element has been discussed previously [33, 34]. Following Ref. [34],

$$\mathcal{D}_{4d@Cd} \sim \mathcal{D}^{\text{atom}}(k) + A^{\text{refl}}(k) \left[e^{-ikD_o} e^{-iV_0 \frac{2\Delta}{k}} - e^{-ikD_i} \right] (13a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{@4dC_{60}} \sim A^{\text{shell}}(k) e^{-i\frac{V_0}{k}} \left[a_i e^{-ikR_i} - a_o e^{-ikR_o} \right], \quad (13b)$$

where the photoelectron momentum $k = \sqrt{2(E - \epsilon_{\pm})}$ in atomic units, V_0 is the average depth of the shell potential, and a_i and a_o are the values of ϕ_{\pm} at R_i and R_o . In Eq. (13a), the $\mathcal{D}^{\text{atom}}$ is the contribution from the atomic region and the second term on the right hand side denotes the reflection induced oscillations in momentum coordinate with frequencies D_i and D_o , the inner and the outer diameter of the shell. Eq. (13b) represents the portion of the overlap integral from the shell region, producing two collateral emissions from the edges, where non-zero ionizing forces exist; as evident, these contributions oscillate in two frequencies, R_i and R_o . The latter effect is similar to the *diffraction in momentum space* where oscillations (fringes) are connected to the fullerene radii.

The LDA cross sections in Fig. 2, obtained by squaring the modulus of Eqs. (11), hence involve interferences among atomic, reflective and shell ionization modes yielding oscillations. As shown earlier [10] by Fourier transforming the cross sections of *s*-*s* hybrid states in Xe@C₆₀, $\sigma_{\pm}^{\text{LDA}}$ contain dominant frequencies: D_i , D_o from the reflective and R_i , R_o from the diffractive shell-emissions.

B. Cd-C₆₀ coherence in TDLDA

The TDLDA cross sections of the hybrid ionization are obtained by squaring the modulus of Eqs. (9) and (10):

$$\sigma_{+} = \alpha \sigma_{4d@Cd} + (1 - \alpha) \sigma_{@4dC_{60}} + \sqrt{\alpha - \alpha^2} \mathcal{M}_{4d@Cd} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{@4dC_{60}}$$
(14a)

$$\sigma_{-} = (1 - \alpha)\sigma_{4d@Cd} + \alpha\sigma_{@4dC_{60}} - \sqrt{\alpha - \alpha^2}\mathcal{M}_{4d@Cd} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{@4dC_{60}}$$
(14b)

where $\mathcal{M}_p \otimes \mathcal{M}_q = \mathcal{M}_p^* \mathcal{M}_q + \mathcal{M}_p \mathcal{M}_q^*$, which represents dynamical interferences between Cd and C₆₀ TDLDA amplitudes from their coherent superpositions.

The many-electron contribution from the Cd region of the compound, the first integral on the right hand side of Eq. (8), is weak. This is evident from the small differences between Cd 4d LDA and TDLDA curves in Fig. 3. However, both these Cd 4d curves are significantly higher than C_{60} 4d curves at higher energies. On the other hand, the differences between LDA and TDLDA predictions for C_{60} 4d (Fig. 3) are huge over the LEP and HEP regions from the giant enhancements via the second integral in Eq. (8). These enhancements can even mask the reflection effect in Eq. (13a), while far stronger diffraction oscillations, Eq. (13b), will remain. Consequently, the large value of $\mathcal{M}_{@4dC_{60}}$ at lower energies and that of $\mathcal{M}_{4d@Cd}$ at higher energies induce very strong Cd-C₆₀ coherent-mixing in $4d4d_+$ TDLDA cross sections over a broad energy range as seen in Fig. 3.

C. Cd@C₆₀ versus Zn@C₆₀

Photoionization of hybrid levels from coupled dangular momentum states has recently been studied for $Zn@C_{60}$ [24]. Here we compare our current results for $Cd@C_{60}$ with $Zn@C_{60}$ in Figs. 4. Comparison reveals a number of significant differences. For each of symmetric and antisymmetric combinations, the C_{60} *d*-orbital mixes with 4d Cd and 3d Zn by roughly the same amount [Fig. 1(b)]. This allows approximately similar shapes and magnitudes of plasmon-induced enhancements in the cross sections below 20 eV in Fig. 4. At energies above 20 eV up to 100 eV, the region of energy-dependent oscillations, strong disagreements between the cross sections of the bonding pair are noted. The difference is somewhat weaker for the antibonding pair but is still significant (note the log scale of the cross sections in Fig. 4). But the differences in the ground state hybrid wavefunction structure around 2 Å between $Cd@C_{60}$ and $Zn@C_{60}$ [Fig. 1(b)] will be largely insensitive to their ionization behavior, since the radial range of this difference coincides with the plateau of the potential |Fig. 1(a)| where the potential's derivative (ionizing force) is small. Further, Eq. (13b) suggests that strengths and relative phases of oscillations



FIG. 4: (Color online) TDLDA photoionization cross sections of the bonding (upper panel) and antibonding (lower panel) hybridized d states for Cd@C₆₀ and Zn@C₆₀.

depend respectively on the magnitude and sign of hybrid wavefunctions at the shell boundaries. However, for a given hybrid level these quantities are practically equal for the two compounds, see Fig. 1(b). The mismatch between the cross sections in Figs. 4 then must be due to the differences between 4d and 3d emissions of free Cd and Zn that can alter the atomic contributions in Eqs. (8) and (10). Indeed, as shown in Fig. 3, significant mismatch between TDLDA Cd 4d and Zn 3d curves, including a more defined shape resonance followed by a Cooper minimum in Cd at 135 eV, corroborates this assumption. Above 100 eV then, the remarkable differences between Cd@C₆₀ and Zn@C₆₀ predictions in Fig. 4 results from the coherent mixing with 4d Cooper minimum in Cd.

V. CONCLUSION

As for the summarizing remarks, a pair of bonding and antibonding orbitals in $Cd@C_{60}$, originating from the hybridization of outer *d*-angular momentum states of the free Cd atom and the empty C_{60} molecule was found. The mixing arises from the near degeneracy of the coupling levels. These hybrid states can be seen as atom-shell spherical analogues of two-center dimer states known among the molecules. Photoionization from these levels shows radically different magnitudes and structures from those of the individual free-system states. Analysis reveals that the coherent superposition between the atomic and C_{60} ionization engenders sizable effects in the hybrid emission behavior: while the lower photonenergy part of the hybrid cross sections are enhanced by the interaction with the shell's plasmon response, the higher energy ranges are empowered by the strength of atomic ionization, rendering the effect amenable to measurements over a broad window of photon energy.

The Cd@C₆₀ results are compared to our previous results for Zn@C₆₀. They have roughly the same shape below 20 eV, since the cross section in this region is dominated by interchannel coupling with the C₆₀ plasmon. But between 20 to 200 eV and beyond significant disagreement is seen due to differences in their atomic emissions. Although shape differences in the hybrid wavefunctions are found in the radial zone between the atom

- [1] L. Dunsch and S. Yang, Small **3**,1298 (2007).
- [2] A.A. Popov, S. Yang, and L. Dunsch, Chem. Rev. 113, 5989 (2013).
- [3] W. Harneit, C. Boehme, S. Schaefer, K. Huebner, K. Fortiropoulos, and K. Lips, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 216601 (2007).
- [4] R.B. Ross, C.M. Cardona, D.M. Guldi, S.G. Sankaranarayanan, M.O. Reese, N. Kopidakis, J. Peet, B. Walker, G.C. Bazan, E.V. Keuren, B.C. Holloway, and M. Drees, Nature Materials 8, 208 (2009).
- [5] J.B. Melanko, M.E. Pearce, and A.K. Salem, Nanotechnology in Drug Delivery, edited by M.M. de Villiers, P. Aramwit, and G.S. Kwon (Springer, New York, 2009) 105.
- [6] S.W.J. Scully, E.D. Emmons, M.F. Gharaibeh, R.A. Phaneuf, A.L.D. Kilcoyne, A.S. Schlachter, S. Schippers, A. Müller, H.S. Chakraborty, M.E. Madjet, and J.M. Rost, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 065503 (2005).
- [7] A. Müller, S. Schippers, M. Habibi, D. Esteves, J.C. Wang, R.A. Phaneuf, A.L.D. Kilcoyne, A. Aguilar, and L. Dunsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 133001 (2008).
- [8] A.L.D. Kilcoyne, A. Aguilar, A. Müller, S. Cchippers, C. Cisneros, G. Alna'Washi, N.B. Aryal, K.K. Baral, D.A. Esteves, C.M. Thomas, and R.A. Phaneuf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 213001 (2010).
- [9] R.A. Phaneuf, A.L.D. Kilcoyne, N.B. Aryal, K.K. Baral, D.A. Esteves-Macaluso, C.M. Thomas, J. Hellhund, R. Lomsadze, T.W. Gorczyca, C.P. Balance, S.T. Manson, M.F. Hasoglu, S. Schippers, and A. Mueller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 053402 (2013).
- [10] M.E. Madjet, T. Renger, D.E. Hopper, M.A. McCune, H.S. Chakraborty, Jan-M Rost, and S.T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 81, 013202 (2010).
- [11] S. Lo, A.V. Korol and A.V. Solov'yov, Phys. Rev. A 79, 063201 (2009).
- [12] M. Ya. Amusia, A. S Baltenkov, and L. V. Chernycheva, J. Phys. B 41, 165201 (2008) and references therein.
- [13] V. K. Dolmatov and S. T. Manson, J. Phys. B 41, 165001 (2008) and references therein.
- [14] K. Govil, A. J. Siji, and P. C. Deshmukh, J. Phys. B 42, 065004 (2009) and references therein.
- [15] M. Stener, G. Fronzoni, D. Toffoli, P. Colavita, S. Furlan,

and C_{60} shell, there is almost no contribution to the dipole matrix element from this region, so the differences do not appreciably affect the photoionization. The study suggests that there are substantial differences in the photoionization of outer subshells of chemically similar endohedral atoms, depending upon variations in their free atomic response. We hypothesize that f-f hybrids may exist in some lanthanide and actinide metallofullerenes, the confirmation of which is a subject of future studies.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by NSF and DOE, Basic Energy Sciences.

and P. Decleva, J. Phys. B 35, (2002) 1421.

- [16] Z. Chen and A.Z. Msezane, Phys. Rev. A 86, 063405 (2012).
- [17] T.W. Gorczyca, M.F. Hasoglu, and S.T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 86, 023403 (2012).
- [18] J. Jose and R.R. Lucchese, J. Phys. B 46, 215103 (2013).
- [19] M.E. Madjet, H.S. Chakraborty, J.M. Rost, and S.T. Manson, J. Phys. B 41, 105101 (2008).
- [20] M.E. Madjet, H.S. Chakraborty and S.T. Manson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 243003 (2007).
- [21] H.S. Chakraborty, M.E. Madjet, J.M. Rost, and S.T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 78, 013201 (2008).
- [22] M.H. Javani, M.R. McCreary, A.B. Patel, M.E. Madjet, H.S. Chakraborty, and S.T. Manson, Eur. Phys. J. 66, 189 (2012).
- [23] H.S. Chakraborty, M.E. Madjet, T. Renger, Jan-M. Rost, and S.T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 79, 061201(R) (2009).
- [24] J.N. Maser, M.H. Javani, R. De, M.E. Madjet, H.S. Chakraborty, and S.T. Manson, Phys. Rev. A 86, 053201 (2012).
- [25] A. Rüdel, R. Hentges, H.S. Chakraborty, M.E. Madjet, and J.M. Rost, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 125503 (2002).
- [26] M.J. Puska and R.M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. A 47, 1181 (1993);
 M.J. Puska and R.M. Nieminen, Phys. Rev. A 49, 629 (1994).
- [27] S.A. Varganov, P.V. Avramov, and S.G. Ovchinnikov, J. Struct. Chem. 41, 687 (2000).
- [28] O. Gunnerson and B. Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. B 13, 4274 (1976).
- [29] N. Troullier and J.L. Martins, Phys. Rev. B 46, 1754 (1992).
- [30] J.P. Perdew and A. Zunger Phys. Rev. B 237, 5048 (1981).
- [31] S. Saito, G.F. Bertsch, and D. Tománek, Phys. Rev. B 43, 6804 (1991).
- [32] K. Jackson, E. Kaxiras, and M.R. Pederson, J. Phys. Chem. 98, 7805 (1994).
- [33] A. Potter, M.A. McCune, R. De, M.E. Madjet, and H.S. Chakraborty, Phys. Rev. A 82, 033201 (2010).
- [34] M.A. McCune, M.E. Madjet, H.S. Chakraborty, Phys. Rev. A 80, 011201(R) (2009).