Modular Representation Theory of Symmetric Groups

Alexander Kleshchev^{*}

Abstract. We review some recent advances in modular representation theory of symmetric groups and related Hecke algebras. We discuss connections with Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras and gradings on the blocks of the group algebras $F\Sigma_n$, which these connections reveal; graded categorification and connections with quantum groups and crystal bases; modular branching rules and the Mullineaux map; graded cellular structure and graded Specht modules; cuspidal systems for affine KLR algebras and imaginary Schur-Weyl duality, which connects representation theory of these algebras to the usual Schur algebras of smaller rank.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). Primary 20C30; Secondary 20C08, 17B37.

Keywords. Symmetric group, modular representation, Hecke algebra, quantum group.

1. Introduction

The classical problem of understanding representation theory of symmetric groups is especially difficult in positive characteristic. For example, there is no effective algorithm for computing the dimensions of irreducible modules in that case. In this expository paper, we review some results on *modular* representation theory of symmetric groups. Let F be a filed of characteristic p > 0 and Σ_n be the symmetric group on n letters.

We discuss connections with Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras and gradings on the blocks of $F\Sigma_n$ which these connections reveal, graded categorification and connections with quantum groups and crystal bases, modular branching rules and the Mullineaux map, graded cellular structure and graded Specht modules, cuspidal systems for affine KLR algebras and imaginary Schur-Weyl duality which connects representation theory of these algebras to the usual Schur algebras of smaller rank.

^{*}Research supported by the NSF grant no. DMS-1161094 and the Humboldt Foundation.

2. Graded isomorphism theorem

2.1. Basic Notation. Let $I := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ be identified with the simple subfield of F. We associate to p the affine Cartan matrix $C = (c_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ of type $A_{p-1}^{(1)}$ so that $c_{ii} = 2, c_{ij} = -1$ if |i-j| = 1 and $p \neq 2, c_{0,1} = c_{1,0} = -2$ if p = 2 and $c_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

The group algebra $F\Sigma_n$ will be denoted by H_n . We have the simple transpositions $s_r := (r, r+1) \in \Sigma_n$ for $r = 1, \ldots n-1$, and the Murphy elements:

$$x_t := (1,t) + (2,t) + \dots + (t-1,t) \in H_n \qquad (1 \le t \le n)$$

The Murphy elements commute.

Let V be a finite dimensional H_n -module. The eigenvalues of the Murphy elements in V belong to I, see for example [27, Lemma 7.1.2]. Given a word $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in I^n$, we define the \mathbf{i} -word space of V as follows:

$$V_{i} = \{ v \in V \mid (x_{r} - i_{r})^{N} v = 0 \text{ for } N \gg 0 \text{ and } r = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

We have a word space decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i \in I^n} V_i$. Using the word space decomposition of the left regular H_n -module, we get a system of orthogonal idempotents $\{1_i \mid i \in I^n\}$ in the group algebra H_n (some of which are zero) such that $\sum_{i \in I^n} 1_i = 1$, and $1_i V = V_i$ for all $i \in I^n$ and all finite dimensional H_n -modules V.

The symmetric group Σ_n acts on I^n by place permutations, and let I^n / Σ_n be the set of orbits. Fix an orbit $\alpha \in I^n / \Sigma_n$. Define $1_\alpha := \sum_{i \in \alpha} 1_i \in H_n$. It is easy to check that 1_α is a central idempotent in H_n . In fact, by [34] or [3, Theorem 1], 1_α is either zero or a primitive central idempotent in H_n . Hence the algebra

$$H_{\alpha} := 1_{\alpha} H_n$$

is either zero or a single *block* of the group algebra H_n . If $x \in H_n$ we denote $1_{\alpha}x \in H_{\alpha}$ again by x.

2.2. Graded presentation. Define special elements of H_{α} as follows:

$$y_t := \sum_{i \in \alpha} (x_t - i_r) \mathbf{1}_i \qquad (1 \le t \le n), \tag{1}$$

$$\psi_r := \sum_{\boldsymbol{i} \in \alpha} (s_r + P_r(\boldsymbol{i})) Q_r(\boldsymbol{i})^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \qquad (1 \le r < n), \qquad (2)$$

where $P_r(i)$ and $Q_r(i)^{-1}$ are certain explicit polynomials in $F[y_r, y_{r+1}]$ defined in [6]. This gives us the following elements of H_{α} :

$$\{1_{i} \mid i \in \alpha\} \cup \{y_{1}, \dots, y_{n}\} \cup \{\psi_{1}, \dots, \psi_{n-1}\}.$$
(3)

Finally, choose signs $\varepsilon_{ij} \in \pm 1$ for all $i, j \in I$ with |i-j| = 1 so that $\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon_{ji} = -1$, and define the polynomials in F[u, v]:

$$Q_{ij}(u,v) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j; \\ 1 & \text{if } c_{ij} = 0; \\ \varepsilon_{ij}(u^{-c_{ij}} - v^{-c_{ji}}) & \text{if } c_{ij} < 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

The following result was first proved in [6, Theorem 1.1], see also [38]:

Theorem 1. The algebra H_{α} is generated by the elements (3) subject only to the following relations (for all admissible $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots), \mathbf{j}, r, t$):

$$1_i 1_j = \delta_{i,j} 1_i, \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{i \in \alpha} 1_i = 1; \tag{6}$$

$$y_r \mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_i y_r; \tag{7}$$

$$y_r y_t = y_t y_r; \tag{8}$$

$$\psi_r \mathbf{1}_i = \mathbf{1}_{s,ri} \psi_r; \tag{9}$$

$$-\psi_r \psi_r (\varphi_r) \mathbf{1}_i = \delta_{rriv} (\delta_{rriv} - \delta_{rriv}) \mathbf{1}_i$$
(10)

$$(y_t\psi_r - \psi_r y_{s_r(t)})\mathbf{1}_i = \delta_{i_r, i_{r+1}}(\delta_{t, r+1} - \delta_{t, r})\mathbf{1}_i;$$
(10)

$$\psi_r^2 \mathbf{1}_i = Q_{i_r, i_{r+1}}(y_r, y_{r+1}) \mathbf{1}_i; \tag{11}$$

$$\psi_t = \psi_t \psi_r \qquad (|r-t| > 1); \tag{12}$$

$$(\psi_{r+1}\psi_r\psi_{r+1} - \psi_r\psi_{r+1}\psi_r)\mathbf{1}_{i} = \delta_{i_r,i_{r+2}}\frac{Q_{i_r,i_{r+1}}(y_{r+2},y_{r+1}) - Q_{i_r,i_{r+1}}(y_r,y_{r+1})}{y_{r+2}-y_r}\mathbf{1}_{i}; \quad (13)$$

 ψ_r

$$y_1^{o_{i_1,0}} \mathbf{1}_i = 0. (14)$$

We note that the ratio in (13) is always a polynomial in y's. Theorem 1 is saying that blocks of groups algebras of symmetric groups are isomorphic to certain cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras [20,21,38,39]. We return to this in Section 7. The presentation of H_{α} given in Theorem 1 allows us to define a grading on H_{α} by setting:

$$\deg(1_i) := 0, \quad \deg(y_r 1_i) := 2, \quad \deg(\psi_r 1_i) := -\mathsf{c}_{i_r, i_{r+1}}.$$

From now on, we will always consider graded H_{α} -modules, unless otherwise stated. The irreducible ungraded H_{α} -modules are gradable in a unique way up to isomorphism and degree shift, so considering graded modules does divert our attention from the main goal of understanding irreducible H_n -modules.

2.3. Basics of graded algebra. For any graded algebra H, we denote by H-mod the abelian category of all finitely generated graded H-modules, with morphisms hom_H(\cdot, \cdot) being *degree-preserving* module homomorphisms. Denote by H-proj the full subcategory of finitely generated projective graded H-modules. Set

$$\mathcal{L} := \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}].$$

The Grothendieck group [H-mod] is a $\mathcal{L}\text{-module}$ via $q^m[M] := [q^m M]$, where $q^m M$ denotes the module obtained by shifting the grading in M up by m, i.e. $(q^m M)_n := M_{n-m}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\operatorname{Hom}_H(M, N)_n := \operatorname{hom}_H(q^n M, N)$, and set

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{H}(M,N) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(M,N)_{n}.$$

If M is finitely generated, forgetting all gradings, $\operatorname{Hom}_H(M, N)$ is the usual Hom.

For graded *H*-modules *M* and *N* we write $M \cong N$ to mean that *M* and *N* are isomorphic as graded modules and $M \simeq N$ to mean that $M \cong q^d N$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a finite dimensional graded vector space $V = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, its graded dimension is

$$\dim_q V := \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim V_d) q^d \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Given $M, L \in H$ -mod with L irreducible, we write $[M : L]_q$ for the corresponding graded composition multiplicity, i.e. $[M : L]_q := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_d q^d$, where a_d is the multiplicity of $q^d L$ in a graded composition series of M.

Define the *formal character* of $M \in H_{\alpha}$ -mod as the formal sum

$$\operatorname{ch}_{q} M := \sum_{i \in \alpha} (\dim_{q} M_{i}) i.$$

Consider the antiautomorphism

$$H_{\alpha} \to H_{\alpha}, \ h \mapsto h',$$
 (15)

which is identity on the generators (3). If $M = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} M_d \in H_{\alpha}$ -mod, then the graded dual M^{\circledast} is the graded H_{α} -module such that $(M^{\circledast})_d := M^*_{-d}$, for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the H_{α} -action is given by (xf)(m) = f(x'm), for all $f \in M^{\circledast}, m \in M, x \in H_{\alpha}$.

For every irreducible H_{α} -module L, there is a unique choice of the grading shift so that $L^{\circledast} \cong L$ [20, Section 3.2]. We always choose the shifts for irreducible H_{α} -modules in this way.

2.4. The KLR algebra A_{α} . We denote by A_{α} the algebra given by the generators (3) and the relations (5)–(13). This is the *KLR algebra* corresponding to C. It is an infinite dimensional graded algebra with the natural surjection

$$A_{\alpha} \twoheadrightarrow H_{\alpha}. \tag{16}$$

All H_{α} -modules will be considered as A_{α} -modules via the functor of inflation infl : H_{α} -mod $\rightarrow A_{\alpha}$ -mod. This functor has a left adjoint pr : A_{α} -mod $\rightarrow H_{\alpha}$ -mod, $M \mapsto H_{\alpha} \otimes_{A_{\alpha}} M$. The definition of the graded duality ' \circledast ' and the formal character ch_q for H_{α} -modules extends to A_{α} -modules.

In the important special case $\alpha = n\alpha_i$, the algebra $A_{n\alpha_i}$ is the usual affine nil-Hecke algebra. It has a representation on the polynomial space $P_n = F[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with each y_t acting as multiplication by x_t and each ψ_r acting as the divided difference operator $f \mapsto ({}^{s_r}f - f)/(y_r - y_{r+1})$. The module P_n is graded so that $\deg(x_r) = 2$. We shift the degrees and define

$$P(i^{(n)}) := q^{-n(n-1)/2} P_n.$$
(17)

3. Branching and categorification

3.1. More notation. Following [19, §1.1], we have a realization of the Cartan matrix C. In particular we have the simple roots $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\}$, the fundamental

dominant weights $\{\Lambda_i \mid i \in I\}$, and the form (\cdot, \cdot) such that

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = c_{i,j}$$
 and $(\Lambda_i, \alpha_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ $(i, j \in I).$

Denote $Q_+ := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ and $P := \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \Lambda_i$. For $\alpha = \sum_{i \in I} n_i \alpha_i \in Q_+$, we write $ht(\alpha) := \sum_{i \in I} n_i$. We have a bijection

$$I^n / \Sigma_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \alpha \in Q_+ \mid \operatorname{ht}(\alpha) = n \}, \ \Sigma_n \cdot (i_1, \dots, i_n) \mapsto \alpha_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{i_n},$$

and from now on we *identify the two sets*. So we have the algebras H_{α} for $\alpha \in Q_+$.

3.2. Induction and restriction functors. We want to study the induction and restriction functors between H_n -modules and H_{n-1} -modules. In particular, we are interested to know as much as possible about restrictions of irreducible H_n -modules to H_{n-1} , since this could help us to understand irreducible H_n -modules by induction.

It makes sense to refine induction and restriction to blocks. For any $\alpha \in Q_+$ of height n and $i \in I$, there is an obvious graded algebra homomorphism $H_{\alpha} \to H_{\alpha+\alpha_i}$. It maps the identity element of H_{α} to the idempotent

$$1_{\alpha,\alpha_i} = \sum_{i \in \alpha + \alpha_i, \ i_{n+1} = i} 1_i \in H_{\alpha + \alpha_i}.$$

Now, define the functors

$$e_{i} := 1_{\alpha,\alpha_{i}} H_{\alpha+\alpha_{i}} \otimes_{H_{\alpha+\alpha_{i}}} - : H_{\alpha+\alpha_{i}} \operatorname{-mod} \to H_{\alpha}\operatorname{-mod},$$
$$f_{i} := H_{\alpha+\alpha_{i}} 1_{\alpha,\alpha_{i}} \otimes_{H_{\alpha}} - : H_{\alpha}\operatorname{-mod} \to H_{\alpha+\alpha_{i}}\operatorname{-mod}.$$

For $M \in H_{\alpha}$ -mod, define

$$\varepsilon_i(M) := \max\{k \mid e_i^k \neq 0\}, \quad \varphi_i(M) := \max\{k \mid f_i^k \neq 0\}.$$

3.3. First branching rules. Let L be an irreducible H_{α} -module. It has been first proved in [23] (in the ungraded setting) that $e_i L$ is either zero or it has a simple socle and head isomorphic to each other, and similarly for $f_i L$, see also [16] for a more conceptual proof and a generalization. In particular, $e_i L$ and $f_i L$ are either zero or *indecomposable*, which is far from obvious. Let

$$\tilde{e}_i L := \operatorname{soc} e_i L, \quad f_i L := \operatorname{soc} f_i L.$$

This defines maps

$$\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i: B \to B \sqcup \{0\},\$$

where B is the set of irreducible H_{α} -modules for all $\alpha \in Q_+$ up to isomorphism and degree shift. Recall that all algebras and modules are graded, moreover the irreducible modules are graded *canonically* so that they are gradedly self-dual. This applies in particular to the irreducible modules $\tilde{e}_i L$, $\tilde{f}_i L$.

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote the corresponding quantum integer

$$[n]_q := (q^n - q^{-n})/(q - q^{-1}).$$

Then one can refine the results on the socle as follows [7, Theorem 4.12]:

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$, $i \in I$ and L be an irreducible H_α -module. Then:

- (i) $(e_i L)^{\circledast} \cong e_i L$ and $(f_i L)^{\circledast} \cong f_i L$.
- (ii) e_iL and f_iL are indecomposable or zero. Moreover:

soc
$$e_i L \cong q^{\varepsilon_i(L)-1} \tilde{e}_i L$$
, head $e_i L \cong q^{1-\varepsilon_i(L)} \tilde{e}_i L$,
soc $f_i L \cong q^{\varphi_i(L)-1} \tilde{f}_i L$, head $f_i L \cong q^{1-\varphi_i(L)} \tilde{f}_i L$.

- (iii) $[e_iL: \tilde{e}_iL]_q = [\varepsilon_i(\lambda)]_q$ and $[f_iL: \tilde{f}_iL]_q = [\varphi_i(\lambda)]_q$.
- (iv) $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{e}_iL) = \varepsilon_i(L) 1$ and $\varepsilon_i(N) < \varepsilon_i(L) 1$ for any other composition factor N of e_iL ; $\varphi_i(\tilde{f}_iL) = \varphi_i(L) 1$ and $\varphi_i(K) < \varphi_i(L) 1$ for any other composition factor K of f_iL .
- (v) $\operatorname{End}_{H_{\alpha-\alpha_i}}(e_iL) \cong F[x]/(x^{\varepsilon_i(L)})$, the truncated polynomial algebra with the variable x of degree 2, and $\operatorname{End}_{H_{\alpha+\alpha_i}}(f_iL) \cong F[x]/(x^{\varphi_i(L)})$.
- (vi) $e_i L$ is irreducible if and only if $\varepsilon_i(L) = 1$.

Ungraded versions of these results were first obtained in [22], [23], [25], [26]. For more branching rules see §5.4.

3.4. Crystal operators. Let us return to the set B and the operations $\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i : B \to B \sqcup \{0\}$. Every elements of $[L] \in B$ is an isomorphism class of an irreducible H_{α} -module L for some $\alpha \in Q_+$. This allows us to define a function

wt :
$$B \to P$$
, $[L] \mapsto \Lambda_0 - \alpha$.

Moreover, for all $i \in I$, we have functions $\varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra corresponding to the Cartan matrix \mathfrak{C} , i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_p(\mathbb{C})$, see [19, Section 7].

Theorem 3. The tuple $(B, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \text{wt})$ is the Kashiwara's crystal associated to the irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module $V(\Lambda_0)$ with highest weight Λ_0 .

This theorem has been first proved by Lascoux, Leclerc and Thibon [32] by comparing the branching rules from [22] and [23] with the explicit combinatorial description of the crystal obtained in [36]. A more conceptual proof was found in [15], see also [27]. The remaining results of this section can be considered as steps towards an 'explanation' of the theorem, the 'real explanation' coming perhaps from [42] and [39].

3.5. Divided powers. We define divided power analogues of the functors e_i, f_i . In order to do this, we exploit the algebras A_{α} . There is an obvious embedding $A_{\alpha,\beta} := A_{\alpha} \otimes A_{\beta} \to A_{\alpha+\beta}$ mapping $1 \otimes 1 \mapsto 1_{\alpha,\beta} := \sum_{i \in \alpha, j \in \beta} 1_{ij}$. Consider the functors

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\alpha+\beta} := A_{\alpha+\beta} \mathbf{1}_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes_{A_{\alpha,\beta}} - : A_{\alpha,\beta}\operatorname{-mod} \to A_{\alpha+\beta}\operatorname{-mod}, \tag{18}$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\alpha+\beta} := 1_{\alpha,\beta} A_{\alpha+\beta} \otimes_{A_{\alpha+\beta}} - : A_{\alpha+\beta}\operatorname{-mod} \to A_{\alpha,\beta}\operatorname{-mod}.$$
(19)

Let $i \in I$ and $n \ge 1$. Recalling the $A_{n\alpha_i}$ -module $P(i^{(n)})$ from (17), set

$$\theta_i^{(n)} := \operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha, n\alpha_i}^{\alpha+n\alpha_i} (-\boxtimes P(i^{(n)})) : A_{\alpha}\operatorname{-mod} \to A_{\alpha+n\alpha_i}\operatorname{-mod} \\ (\theta_i^*)^{(n)} := \operatorname{Hom}_{A'_{n\alpha_i}}(P(i^{(n)}), -) : A_{\alpha+n\alpha_i}\operatorname{-mod} \to A_{\alpha}\operatorname{-mod},$$

where $A'_{n\alpha_i} := 1 \otimes A_{n\alpha_i} \subseteq A_{\alpha,n\alpha_i}$. Define

$$\begin{split} e_i^{(n)} &:= \operatorname{pr} \circ (\theta_i^*)^{(n)} \circ \operatorname{infl} : H_{\alpha + n\alpha_i} \operatorname{-mod} \to H_{\alpha} \operatorname{-mod}, \\ f_i^{(n)} &:= q^{n^2 - n(\Lambda_0 - \alpha, \alpha_i)} \operatorname{pr} \circ \theta_i^{(n)} \circ \operatorname{infl} : H_{\alpha} \operatorname{-mod} \to H_{\alpha + n\alpha_i} \operatorname{-mod}. \end{split}$$

By [7, Lemma 4.8], $e_i^n \cong [n]_q^! e_i^{(n)}$, $f_i^n \cong [n]_q^! f_i^{(n)}$, where $[n]_q^! := [1]_q \dots [n]_q$, the functors $e_i^{(n)}, f_i^{(n)}$ are exact, and send finite dimensional (resp. projective) modules to finite dimensional (resp. projective) modules. Finally, we need the degree shift functors

$$k_i^n: H_{\alpha}\operatorname{-mod} \to H_{\alpha}\operatorname{-mod}, \ M \mapsto q^{n(\Lambda - \alpha, \alpha_i)}M \qquad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Consider the (locally unital) algebra $H := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_+} H_{\alpha}$, the categories

$$H$$
-mod := $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_+} H_{\alpha}$ -mod and H -proj := $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_+} H_{\alpha}$ -proj,

and the Grothendieck groups $[H\text{-mod}] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_+} [H_{\alpha}\text{-mod}]$ with \mathcal{L} -basis B, and $[H\text{-proj}] = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_+} [H_{\alpha}\text{-proj}]$. Let

 $\langle .,. \rangle : H\operatorname{-proj} \times H\operatorname{-mod} \to \mathcal{L}, \quad \langle [P], [M] \rangle := \dim_q \operatorname{Hom}_H(P, M),$ (20)

be the *Cartan pairing*. The pairing is *sesquilinear*, i.e. anti-linear in the first argument and linear in the second. We have a similar form $\langle ., . \rangle : H$ -proj $\times H$ -proj $\rightarrow \mathcal{L}$.

The exact functors $e_i^{(n)}, f_i^{(n)}$ and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ induce \mathcal{L} -linear endomorphisms $E_i^{(n)}, F_i^{(n)}$ and $K_i^{\pm 1}$, respectively of [*H*-mod] and [*H*-proj].

3.6. LLT categorification. On the other hand, let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the quantized enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ with Chevalley generators $E_i^{(n)}, F_i^{(n)}, K_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$. Let $V(\Lambda_0)$ be the irreducible $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module with highest weight Λ_0 and a fixed highest weight vector v_+ . The module $V(\Lambda_0)$ has a unique compatible bar-involution $-: V(\Lambda_0) \to V(\Lambda_0)$ such that $\overline{v_+} = v_+$.

The Shapovalov form $\langle ., . \rangle$ is the unique sesquilinear $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ -valued form on $V(\Lambda_0)$ such that $\langle v_{\Lambda}, v_{\Lambda} \rangle = 1$ and $\langle uv, w \rangle = \langle v, \tau(u)w \rangle$ for all $u \in U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v, w \in V(\Lambda_0)$, where τ is anti-linear anti-automorphism defined by $\tau(K_i) = K_i^{-1}, \tau(E_i) = qF_iK_i^{-1}, \tau(F_i) = q^{-1}K_iE_i$.

Let $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the Lusztig's \mathcal{L} -form, i.e. the \mathcal{L} -subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the quantum divided powers $E_i^{(n)} := E_i^n / [n]_q^!$ and $F_i^{(n)} := F_i^n / [n]_q^!$ for all $i \in I$ and $n \geq 1$. Let $V(\Lambda_0)_{\mathcal{L}} := U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{L}} \cdot v_+$ be the standard \mathcal{L} -form of $V(\Lambda_0)$, and $V(\Lambda_0)_{\mathcal{L}}^* = \{v \in V(\Lambda_0) \mid \langle v, w \rangle \in \mathcal{L}$ for all $w \in V(\Lambda_0)_{\mathcal{L}}\}$ be the costandard \mathcal{L} -form.

The following is the graded version [7, Theorem 4.18] of the categorification theorems proved by Lascoux-Leclerc-Thibon [32], Ariki [1], and Grojnowsky [15]:

Theorem 4. The linear operators E_i, F_i and K_i on the Grothendieck group

$$[H\operatorname{-proj}]_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} := [H\operatorname{-proj}] \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Q}(q)$$

satisfy the defining relations of the Chevalley generators of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$. So $[H\operatorname{-proj}]_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ is a $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module. Moreover:

- (i) There is a unique isomorphism $\delta : V(\Lambda_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} [H\operatorname{-proj}]_{\mathbb{Q}(q)}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules, such that $\delta(v_+) = [\operatorname{triv}_{H_0}]$, where $\operatorname{triv}_{H_0} \in H_0$ -proj is the one-dimensional vector space F considered as a module over $H_0 \cong F$.
- (ii) The restriction of δ to V(Λ₀)_L is an isomorphism δ : V(Λ₀)_L ~ [H-proj] of U_q(g)_L-modules, which intertwines ⊛ with the bar-involution on V(Λ₀)_L and induces the isomorphisms on weight spaces V(Λ₀)_{Λ₀-α,L} ~ [H_α-proj] for all α ∈ Q₊.
- (iii) The isomorphism δ identifies the Shapovalov form on $V(\Lambda_0)_{\mathcal{L}}$ with the Cartan pairing on [H-proj].
- (iv) Let $\delta^* : [H\text{-mod}] \to V(\Lambda_0)^*_{\mathcal{L}}$ be the dual map:

$$\delta^*([M])(v) := \langle \delta(v), [M] \rangle \qquad (v \in V(\Lambda_0)_{\mathcal{L}}).$$

Then δ^* is an isomorphism of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathcal{L}}$ -modules, which intertwines \circledast with the bar-involution on $V(\Lambda_0)_{\mathcal{L}}^*$, and induces the isomorphisms $[H_{\alpha}\text{-mod}] \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\Lambda_0)_{\Lambda_0-\alpha,\mathcal{L}}^*$ for all $\alpha \in Q_+$.

(v) The following diagram is commutative:

where $a: V(\Lambda)_{\mathcal{L}} \hookrightarrow V(\Lambda)^*_{\mathcal{L}}$ is the canonical inclusion, and $b: [H\text{-proj}] \to [H\text{-mod}]$ is the \mathcal{L} -linear map induced by the natural inclusion of H-proj into H-mod. In particular, b is injective and becomes an isomorphism over $\mathbb{Q}(q)$.

We complete this section with a special case of the Chuang-Rouquier result [10] on derived equivalence of the algebras H_{α} . Recall from [19] that the (affine) Weyl group W of \mathfrak{g} acts on the weights of $V(\Lambda_0)$.

Theorem 5. Let $\alpha, \beta \in Q_+$. Then the derived categories $D^b(H_{\alpha}\text{-mod})$ and $D^b(H_{\beta}\text{-mod})$ are equivalent if and only if the weights $\Lambda_0 - \alpha$ and $\Lambda_0 - \beta$ belong to the same W-orbit.

The equivalence in the theorem is induced by a complex of functors, which is built out of the functors e_i and f_i using adjunctions, see [10, §6].

4. Combinatorics of partitions and homogeneous representations

4.1. Partitions and nodes. Let \mathscr{P}_n be the set of all partitions of n and put $\mathscr{P} := \bigsqcup_{n \ge 0} \mathscr{P}_n$. If $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_n$, we write $n = |\mu|$. A partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ is called *p*-restricted if $\mu_k - \mu_{k+1} < p$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$ Let \mathscr{RP}_n be the set of all *p*-restricted partitions of *n*, and put $\mathscr{RP} := \bigsqcup_{n \ge 0} \mathscr{RP}_n$. The Young diagram of a partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots)$ is $\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid 1 \le b \le \mu_a\}$. The elements of this set are the nodes of μ . More generally, a node is any element of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We identify partitions with their Young diagrams, so that a node (a, b) = box in row a and column b. For example,



To each node A = (a, b) we associate its *residue*:

$$\operatorname{res} A := (b - a) \pmod{p} \in I.$$

An *i*-node is a node of residue *i*. Let $c_i(\mu)$ be the number of *i*-nodes of μ , and define the *content* of μ to be $\operatorname{cont}(\mu) = \sum_{i \in I} c_i(\mu) \alpha_i \in Q_+$. Denote

 $\mathscr{P}_{\alpha} := \{ \mu \in \mathscr{P} \mid \operatorname{cont}(\mu) = \alpha \}, \quad \mathscr{R}\mathscr{P}_{\alpha} := \mathscr{R}\mathscr{P} \cap \mathscr{P}_{\alpha} \qquad (\alpha \in Q_{+}).$

A node $A \in \mu$ is removable (for μ) if $\mu \setminus \{A\}$ is a partition. A node $B \notin \mu$ is an addable node (for μ) if $\mu \cup \{B\}$ is a partition. We denote $\mu_A := \mu \setminus \{A\}, \ \mu^B :=$ $\mu \cup \{B\}.$

Let $i \in I$, and A_1, \ldots, A_n be the addable and removable *i*-nodes of μ ordered so that A_m is to the left of A_{m+1} for each $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Consider the sequence (τ_1,\ldots,τ_n) where $\tau_r = +$ if A_r is addable and - if A_r is removable. If there are $1 \leq r < s \leq n$ with $\tau_r = +, \tau_s = -$ and $\tau_{r+1} = \cdots = \tau_{s-1} = 0$ then replace τ_r and τ_s by 0. Keep doing this until left with a sequence $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ in which no + appears to the left of a -. This is the *reduced i-signature* of μ (it is well-defined).

If $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ is the reduced *i*-signature of μ , we set

$$\varepsilon_i(\mu) := \#\{r = 1, \dots, n \mid \sigma_r = -\}, \quad \varphi_i(\mu) := \#\{r = 1, \dots, n \mid \sigma_r = +\}.$$

Let $\{r_1 > \cdots > r_{\varepsilon_i(\mu)}\} = \{r \mid \sigma_r = -\}$, and $\{a_1 < \cdots < a_{\varphi_i(\mu)}\} = \{a \mid \sigma_a = +\}$. If $\varepsilon_i(\mu) > 0$, set $\tilde{e}_i\mu := \mu_{A_{r_1}}$; otherwise set $\tilde{e}_i\mu := 0$. If $\varphi_i(\mu) > 0$, set $\tilde{f}_i\mu := \mu^{A_{a_1}}$; otherwise set $\tilde{f}_i \mu := 0$. The removable nodes $A_{r_1}, \ldots, A_{r_{\varepsilon_i}(\mu)}$ of μ are called *inormal*, and the addable nodes $A_{a_1}, \ldots, A_{a_{\varphi_i}(\mu)}$ of μ are called *i-conormal*.

4.2. Tableaux. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_n$. A μ -tableau T is an insertion of the integers $1, \ldots, n$ into the nodes of μ , allowing no repeats. The *residue sequence* of T is

$$\boldsymbol{i}^{\mathrm{T}} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in I^n,$$

where i_r is the residue of the node occupied by r in T $(1 \le r \le n)$. A μ -tableau T is *row-strict* (resp. *column-strict*) if its entries increase from left to right (resp. from top to bottom) along the rows (resp. columns) of μ . A μ -tableau T is *standard* if it is row- and column-strict. Let $St(\mu)$ be the set of all standard μ -tableaux.

Let T^{μ} be the *leading* μ -tableau, i.e. the tableau in which the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ appear in order from left to right along the successive rows, working from top row to bottom row. For example, if $\mu = (3, 2, 2, 1)$ then T^{μ} is

1	2	3
4	5	
6	7	
8		

Set $i^{\mu} := i^{T^{\mu}}$. The group Σ_n acts on the set of μ -tableaux by acting on the entries of the tableaux. For each μ -tableau T, define $w^{T} \in \Sigma_n$ from $w^{T}T^{\mu} = T$.

Let \leq be the *Bruhat order* on Σ_d . Define the *Bruhat order* on the set of all μ -tableaux as follows: $\mathbf{S} \leq \mathbf{T}$ if and only if $w^{\mathbf{S}} \leq w^{\mathbf{T}}$. Then the leading μ -tableau \mathbf{T}^{μ} is the unique minimal element of $\mathrm{St}(\mu)$.

Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}$, $i \in I$, and A be a removable *i*-node of μ . We set

$$d_A(\mu) = \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{addable } i\text{-nodes of } \mu \\ \text{strictly to the left of } A \end{array} \right\} - \# \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{removable } i\text{-nodes of } \mu \\ \text{strictly to the left of } A \end{array} \right\}.$$

Given $T \in St(\mu)$, the *degree* of T is defined in [8, §3.5] inductively as follows. If n = 0, we set deg(T) := 0. Otherwise, let A be the node occupied by n in T. Let $T_{\leq n} \in St(\mu_A)$ be the tableau obtained by removing A and set

$$\deg(\mathbf{T}) := d_A(\mu) + \deg(\mathbf{T}_{< n}).$$

5. Branching and graded cellular structure

5.1. Crystal combinatorics and irreducible modules. Using the terminology introduced in Section 4, we can now state the following theorem of Misra and Miwa [36]:

Theorem 6. For any partition μ , define $\operatorname{wt}(\mu) := \Lambda_0 - \operatorname{cont}(\mu)$. Then the tuple $(\mathscr{RP}, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \operatorname{wt})$ is the Kashiwara's crystal associated to $V(\Lambda_0)$.

Comparing this with Theorem 3, we deduce that there is a unique isomorphism of crystals

$$(\mathscr{RP}, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \mathrm{wt}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (B, \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{f}_i, \mathrm{wt}).$$
(21)

Under this isomorphism, to every $\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_{\alpha}$, we associate the irreducible H_{α} -module D^{μ} , and

$$\{D^{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathscr{RP}_{\alpha}\}$$

$$(22)$$

is a complete and irredundant set of irreducible H_{α} -modules up to isomorphism and degree shift.

On the other hand, there is another approach to the classification of irreducible H_{α} -modules, based on the theory of *Specht modules*, and which goes back to James [18]. In modern terms, this is the approach through *cell modules* [13]. The *graded cellular structure* of H_{α} , which we present here, has been worked out by Hu and Mathas [17].

5.2. Graded cellular structure. Fix $\alpha \in Q_+$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$. Recall the leading standard tableau $\mathsf{T}^{\mu} \in \mathsf{St}(\mu)$ and the corresponding residue sequence i^{μ} . For $k = 1, \ldots, n$, let A_k be the box occupied with k in T^{μ} . Observe that A_k is a removable node for the partition μ^k , obtained from μ by removing A_{k+1}, \ldots, A_n . Set $d_k(\mu) := d_{A_k}(\mu^k)$. Note that $\deg(T^{\mu}) = d_1(\mu) + \cdots + d_n(\mu)$. Define

$$y^{\mu} := y_1^{d_1(\mu)} \dots y_n^{d_n(\mu)}.$$

Given $T \in St(\mu)$, recall the element $w^T \in \Sigma_n$. Pick any reduced decomposition $w^T = s_{m_1} \dots s_{m_l}$, and set

$$\psi^{\mathsf{T}} := \psi_{m_1} \dots \psi_{m_l} \in H_\alpha. \tag{23}$$

This element in general depends on the choice of the reduced decomposition. Finally, recalling (15), for any standard tableaux $S, T \in St(\mu)$, we define

$$\psi^{\mathsf{S},\mathsf{T}} := \psi^{\mathsf{S}} y^{\mu} \mathbf{1}_{i^{\mu}} (\psi^{\mathsf{T}})'.$$

It is easy to see that $\deg(\psi^{S,T}) = \deg(S) + \deg(T)$. The following theorem was proved by Hu and Mathas [17]:

Theorem 7. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$. Then $\{\psi^{S,T} \mid \mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}, S, T \in St(\mu)\}$ is a graded cellular basis of H_{α} .

The following immediate corollary was originally proved in [7, Theorem 4.20] by a different method:

Corollary 8. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$ and $i, j \in \alpha$. Then

$$\dim_{q} \mathbf{1}_{i} H_{\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{j} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}, \ \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \in \operatorname{St}(\mu), \ \mathbf{i}^{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{i}, \ \mathbf{j}^{\mathsf{T}} = \mathbf{j}} q^{\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{S}) + \operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{T})},$$

$$r, \dim_{q} H_{\alpha} = \sum_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}, \ \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{T} \in \operatorname{St}(\mu)} q^{\operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{S}) + \operatorname{deg}(\mathbf{T})}.$$

In particular, $\dim_q H_\alpha = \prod_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}_\alpha}$

The graded version of the Graham-Lehrer theory [13] can be found in [17]. In particular, from a graded cellular basis we get graded cell modules $\{S^{\mu} \mid \mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}\}$. It is shown in [17] that these are just the graded Specht modules as constructed originally in [8]. On the other hand, it is noted in [8] that, if we forget the grading, then S^{μ} is the usual dual Specht module of [18].

Recall from (22) the simple H_{α} -modules D^{μ} defined using the crystal isomorphism (21).

Theorem 9. If μ is p-restricted, then S^{μ} has a simple head isomorphic to D^{μ} .

There might be more to this theorem than meets the eye. Firstly, it is the graded aspect: recall that $(D^{\mu})^{\circledast} \cong D^{\mu}$, and the theorem claims that the natural map $S^{\mu} \twoheadrightarrow$ head $S^{\mu} \cong D^{\mu}$ is a homogeneous degree zero map. Secondly, it is known from James [18] that the head of S^{μ} is simple when μ is *p*-restricted, and this is more or less how James classifies the irreducible modules (actually our D^{λ} is isomorphic to James' $D^{\lambda'} \otimes \operatorname{sgn}$). But it is not at all clear that the James classification agrees with the classification (22) coming from the isomorphism of crystals (21). There are several ways of seeing this, none being trivial. One comes from the fact that the original branching rules are proved in [22] for the modules D^{λ} in James' classification, which allows us to identify them with the classification (22).

5.3. Multineux map. Branching rules yield a simple solution to the Mullineux problem [37]. Tensoring with the sign representation yields a bijection

$$\mathscr{RP}(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathscr{RP}(-\alpha), \ \mu \mapsto \mathbf{M}(\mu),$$

where $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ is defined from

$$D^{\mathbf{M}(\mu)} \cong D^{\mu} \otimes \operatorname{sgn} \qquad (\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_{\alpha}).$$

The problem is to describe $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ explicitly in combinatorial terms. The following theorem, proved in [23], gives an answer to this question:

Theorem 10. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_n$, and \emptyset be the empty partition. Pick a sequence $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in I$ such that $\emptyset = \tilde{e}_{i_1} \ldots \tilde{e}_{i_n} \mu$. Then $\mathbf{M}(\mu) = \tilde{f}_{-i_n} \ldots \tilde{f}_{-i_1} \emptyset$.

Thus a computation of $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ is reduced to combinatorics of the crystal graph of Theorem 6 described in §4.1. However, there a faster algorithm originally conjectured by Mullineux [37]. The Mullineux Conjecture has been first proved in [12] and simpler proofs were later found in [2] and [9]. We now describe this algorithm or rather its more elegant version suggested by Xu [43].

The rim of μ is the set of all nodes $(i, j) \in \mu$ such that that $(i + 1, j + 1) \notin \mu$. The *p*-rim of μ is the union of the *p*-segments, which are defined as follows. The first *p*-segment of μ consists of the first *p* nodes of the rim, reading along the rim from bottom-left to top-right. The next *p*-segment is obtained by similar reading off the nearest *p* nodes of the rim, but starting from the column immediately to the right of the rightmost node of the first *p*-segment. And so on. All but the last *p*-segment contain exactly *p* nodes, while the last may contain less. In the following example p = 3, there are two *p*-segments, and the nodes of the *p*-rim are marked with *'s.



Let let $J(\mu)$ be the partition obtained from μ by deleting every node in the *p*-rim that is at the rightmost end of a row of μ but that is not the *p*th node of a *p*-segment. Let $j(\mu) = |\mu| - |J(\mu)|$ be the total number of nodes deleted. Now, in Xu's reformulation [43], the result is as follows:

Theorem 11. $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ is the partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$ with $\lambda_r = j(J^{r-1}(\mu))$.

In the example above, we get $\mathbf{M}((3, 2^2, 1)) = (2, 1^6)$.

5.4. More branching rules. We complete this section with more result on branching.

Theorem 12. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$, $i \in I$, $\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_{\alpha}$, A be a removable node of μ such that μ_A is p-restricted, and B be an addable node for μ such that μ^B is p-restricted. Moreover, let $A_1, \ldots, A_{\varepsilon_i(\mu)}$ be the *i*-normal nodes of μ counted from left to right, and $B_1, \ldots, B_{\varphi_i(\mu)}$ be the *i*-conormal nodes for μ counted from right to left.

- (i) $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{\alpha-\alpha_i}}(S^{\mu_A}, e_i D^{\mu}) \neq 0$ if and only if A is *i*-normal for μ , in which case we have dim_q $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{\alpha-\alpha_i}}(S^{\mu_{A_m}}, e_i D^{\mu}) = q^{m-1}$ for all $m = 1, \ldots, \varepsilon_i(\mu)$.
- (ii) $\operatorname{Hom}_{H_{\alpha+\alpha_i}}(S^{\mu^B}, f_i D^{\mu}) \neq 0$ if and only if B is i-conormal for μ , in which case we have $\dim_q \operatorname{Hom}_{H_{\alpha+\alpha_i}}(S^{\mu^{B_m}}, f_i D^{\mu}) = q^{m-1}$ for all $m = 1, \ldots, \varphi_i(\mu)$.
- (iii) D^{μ_A} appears as a composition factor of $e_i D^{\mu}$ if and only if A is *i*-normal for μ , in which case we have $[e_i D^{\mu} : D^{\mu_{A_m}}]_q = [m]_q$ for all $m = 1, \ldots, \varepsilon_i(\mu)$.
- (iv) D^{μ^B} appears as a composition factor of $f_i D^{\mu}$ if and only if B is i-conormal for μ , in which case we have $[f_i D^{\mu} : D^{\mu^{B_m}}]_q = [m]_q$ for all $m = 1, \ldots, \varphi_i(\mu)$.

This is a graded version of the results [22], [25], [26], [5]. The graded version is deduced using the graded endomorphism algebra description of Theorem 2(v) and the related filtrations of $e_i D^{\mu}$ and $f_i D^{\mu}$ obtained in [26] and [5]. The following corollary follows immediately from Theorem 12 on tensoring with sign, cf. [25], and often provides us with some new non-trivial branching multiplicities:

Corollary 13. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$, $i \in I$, $\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_{\alpha}$, and let $A_1, \ldots, A_{\varepsilon_i(\mu)}$ be the (-i)-normal nodes of $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ labeled from left to right, and $B_1, \ldots, B_{\varphi_i(\mu)}$ be the (-i)-conormal nodes for $\mathbf{M}(\mu)$ labeled from right to left. Then:

- (i) $[e_i D^{\mu} : D^{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{M}(\mu)_{A_m})}]_q = [m]_q$ for all $m = 1, \dots, \varepsilon_i(\mu)$ such that $\mathbf{M}(\mu)_{A_m}$ is *p*-restricted.
- (ii) $[f_i D^{\mu} : D^{\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{M}(\mu)^{B_m})}]_q = [m]_q$ for all $m = 1, \dots, \varphi_i(\mu)$ such that $\mathbf{M}(\mu)^{B_m}$ is *p*-restricted.

Let us consider the example where p = 3 and $\mu = (3^2, 2, 1^2)$. We draw the

corresponding Young diagram with the residues of the boxes written in them.



Note that both 2-removable boxes are normal, the removable 1-box is not, and there are no removable 0-boxes. So $e_0D^{\mu} = e_1D^{\mu} = 0$. As for the composition factors of e_2D^{μ} , Theorem 12 shows that $[e_2D^{\mu}: D^{(3^2,2,1)}]_q = 1$ and $[e_2D^{\mu}: D^{(3^2,1^3)}]_q = [2]_q = q + q^{-1}$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{M}(\mu) = (3^2, 1^4)$ has the leftmost normal 1-node (6, 1) and $\mathbf{M}(3^2, 1^3) = (3, 2, 1^4)$, Corollary 13 yields another composition factor $D^{(3,2,1^4)}$ of multiplicity 1. It is easy to verify using decomposition matrices in [18] that in this example we have discovered all composition factors, i.e. $[\operatorname{res}_{\Sigma_9}^{\Sigma_{10}}] = [D^{(3^2,2,1)}] + (q + q^{-1})[D^{(3^2,1^3)}] + [D^{(3,2,1^4)}].$

Unfortunately, this technique is not powerful enough to always yield all composition factors, see [25, Section 1]. So it leads only to a lower bound on the dimensions of irreducible H_n -modules. A family of irreducible modules for which this lower bound is equal to the actual dimension is described in the next section.

5.5. Homogeneous representations. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$. An irreducible H_{α} module is called *homogeneous* if it is concentrated in degree zero. To describe the
homogeneous representations, for a partition $\mu = (\mu_1 \ge \cdots \ge \mu_u > 0) \in \mathscr{RP}_n$ consider the hook length $\chi(\mu) := \lambda_1 + u - \max\{t \mid \lambda_t = \lambda_1\}$. Then μ is called *homogeneous* if $\chi(\mu) \le p$, cf. [24], where we worked with transposed partitions.

Let $\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_n$ be a homogeneous partition. A tableaux $T \in St(\mu)$ is called *p*-standard if a < b whenever a occupies a box (r, s) in T and b occupies a box (r', s') with r > r', s < s' and r - r' + s' - s + 1 = p. Let $St^p(\mu)$ be the set of all *p*-standard μ -tableaux. The results of [24, 35] and [31] can be restated as follows:

Theorem 14. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{RP}_{\alpha}$. The irreducible H_{α} -module D^{μ} is homogeneous if and only if μ is a homogeneous partition. In this case, D^{μ} has a basis $\{v_{\mathsf{T}} \mid \mathsf{T} \in \mathsf{St}^{p}(\mu)\}$ with the action of the homogeneous generators of H_{α} given as follows:

$$1_{\boldsymbol{i}}v_{\mathrm{T}} = \delta_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{i}^{\mathrm{T}}}v_{\mathrm{T}}, \ y_{t}v_{\mathrm{T}} = 0, \ \psi_{r}v_{\mathrm{T}} = \begin{cases} v_{s_{r}\mathrm{T}} & \text{if } s_{r}\mathrm{T} \in \mathrm{St}^{p}(\mu); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6. Presentations and bases of cell modules

6.1. Garnir tableaux. Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_n$. We now explain an explicit presentation of the graded Specht module S^{μ} obtained in [29]. First, we need more notation. Let A = (r, s) be a node of μ . It is called a *Garnir node* if $(r+1, s) \in \mu$, i.e. A is not at the bottom of its column. Then the A-Garnir belt \mathbf{B}^A is

$$\mathbf{B}^{A} := \{ (r, t) \in \mu \mid s \le t \le \mu_{r} \} \cup \{ (r+1, u) \in \mu \mid 1 \le u \le s \}.$$

For example, if A = (2,3) then \mathbf{B}^A for $\mu = (7,7,4,1)$ is highlighted below:



The A-Garnir tableau is the μ -tableau \mathbf{G}^A defined as follows. Let $u = \mathbf{T}^{\mu}(r, s)$ be the entry of the leading μ -tableau \mathbf{T}^{μ} which occupies the node A = (r, s), and $v = \mathbf{T}^{\mu}(r+1, s)$. To get \mathbf{G}^A , insert the numbers $u, u+1, \ldots, v$ into the nodes of the Garnir belt going from left bottom to top right, and the other numbers into the same positions as in \mathbf{T}^{μ} . Continuing the previous example, u = 10, v = 17, and:



Fix a Garnir node A = (r, s) of μ . A *brick* is a set of p successive nodes in the same row $\{(t, u), (t, u + 1), \ldots, (t, u + p - 1)\} \subseteq \mathbf{B}^A$ such that $\operatorname{res}(t, u) = \operatorname{res} A$. Note that \mathbf{B}^A is a disjoint union of the bricks that it contains, together with less than p nodes at the end of row r which are not contained in a brick and less than p nodes at the beginning of row r + 1 which are not contained in a brick.

Let k be the number of bricks in \mathbf{B}^A (possibly zero). We label the bricks $B_1^A, B_2^A, \ldots, B_k^A$ going from left to right along row r + 1 and then from left to right along row r of \mathbf{G}^A . For example, the following picture shows the bricks in the (2,3)-Garnir belt of $\mu = (7, 7, 4, 1)$ when p = 2:



We have k = 3, there are two bricks B_2 , B_3 in row 2 and one brick B_1 in row 3. Finally, (3,1) and (2,7) are the nodes in the Garnir belt which are not contained in a brick.

Assume now that k > 0 and let d be the smallest entry in \mathbf{G}^A which is contained in a brick in \mathbf{B}^A . In the example above, n = 11. Define

$$w_t^A = \prod_{a=d+tp-p}^{d+tp-1} (a, a+p) \in \Sigma_n \qquad (1 \le t < k).$$
(24)

Informally, w_t^A is a brick permutation swapping B_t and B_{t+1} . The elements $w_1^A, w_2^A, \ldots, w_{k-1}^A$ are the Coxeter generators of the brick permutation group

$$\Sigma^A := \langle w_1^A, w_2^A, \dots, w_{k-1}^A \rangle \cong \Sigma_k$$

By convention, Σ^A is the trivial group if k = 0.

Let Gar^A be the set of all row-strict μ -tableaux which are obtained from the Garnir tableau \mathbf{G}^A by acting with the brick permutation group Σ^A on \mathbf{G}^A . Note that all of the tableaux in Gar^A , except for G^A , are standard. Moreover, G^A is the maximal element of Gar^A , with respect to the Bruhat order, and there is a unique minimal tableaux T^A in Gar^A .

Let f be the number of bricks in row r of the Garnir belt \mathbf{B}^A , and let \mathscr{D}^A be the set of minimal length left cos t representations of $\Sigma_f \times \Sigma_{k-f}$ in $\Sigma^A \cong \Sigma_k$. By definition Σ^A is a subgroup of Σ_n , so \mathscr{D}^A is a subset of Σ_n , and, in particular, its elements act on μ -tableaux. We have

$$\operatorname{Gar}^{A} = \{ w \mathsf{T}^{A} \mid w \in \mathscr{D}^{A} \}.$$

$$(25)$$

Continuing the example above, T^A is the tableau

and $\operatorname{Gar}^{A} = \{ \mathsf{T}^{A}, w_{2}^{A} \mathsf{T}^{A}, \mathsf{G}^{A} = w_{1}^{A} w_{2}^{A} \mathsf{T}^{A} \}.$

6.2. Presenting Specht modules. Set $\tau_r^A := (\psi_{w_r^A} + 1)$. Any element $u \in \Sigma^A$ can be written as a reduced product $u = w_{r_1}^A \dots w_{r_a}^A$ of simple generators w_1^A, \dots, w_{k-1}^A of Σ^A . Then define $\tau_u^A := \tau_{r_1}^A \dots \tau_{r_a}^A$. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ and $A \in \mu$ is a Garnir node. The *Garnir element* is

$$g^A := \sum_{u \in \mathscr{D}^A} \tau_u^A \psi^{\mathsf{T}^A} \mathbf{1}_{i^{\mu}} \in H_{\alpha}.$$

A special case of the main result of [29] is:

Theorem 15. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$ be of height n and $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$. The graded Specht module S^{μ} has a homogeneous vector z^{μ} of degree deg(T^{μ}) such that S^{μ} is generated as a graded H_{α} -module by z^{μ} subject only to the following relations:

- (i) $1_{\mathbf{j}} z^{\mu} = \delta_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}^{\mu}} z^{\mu}$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \alpha$;
- (ii) $y_r z^{\mu} = 0$ for all r = 1, ..., n;
- (iii) $\psi_r z^{\mu} = 0$ for all $1 \le r < n$ such that r and r + 1 are in the same row of T^{μ} ;
- (iv) (homogeneous Garnir relations) $g^A z^\mu = 0$ for all Garnir nodes A in μ .

In other words, the theorem says that $S^{\mu} = q^{\deg(\mathbf{T}^{\mu})}H_{\alpha}/J_{\alpha}^{\mu}$, where J_{α}^{μ} is the homogeneous left ideal of H_{α} generated by the elements (i) $\mathbf{1}_{j} - \delta_{j,i^{\mu}}$ for all $j \in I^{\alpha}$; (ii) y_{r} for all $r = 1, \ldots, n$; (iii) ψ_{r} for all $1 \leq r < n$ such that r and r + 1 are in the same row of \mathbf{T}^{μ} ; (iv) g^{A} for all Garnir nodes $A \in \mu$.

We refer the reader to [11] for further developments on this presentation. A homogeneous basis of S^{μ} can now be given as follows [8]:

Theorem 16. Let $\alpha \in Q_+$, $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_{\alpha}$ and $z^{\mu} \in S^{\mu}$ be the element from Theorem 15. For $T \in St(\mu)$, define $v^T := \psi^T z^{\mu}$. Then v^T is a homogeneous vector of degree $deg(v^T) = deg(T)$, and $\{v^T \mid T \in St(\mu)\}$ is a basis of S^{μ} . In particular,

$$\dim_q S^{\mu} = \sum_{\mathsf{T} \in \mathsf{St}(\mu)} q^{\operatorname{deg}(\mathsf{T})}.$$

7. Representation theory of KLR algebras

We now return to the KLR algebra A_{α} , defined in §2.4. Graded H_{α} -modules inflate to graded A_{α} -modules via the natural surjection (16). In particular, the irreducible modules D^{μ} inflate to irreducible A_{α} -modules. However, representation theory of A_{α} is more rich and perhaps more natural than that of H_{α} . For example, A_{α} has some important infinite dimensional modules, which H_{α} 'cannot see'.

So it is possible that understanding irreducible A_{α} -modules is a 'more manageable' and more natural task than understanding irreducible H_{α} -modules. By the way, irreducible H_{α} -modules D^{μ} can be distinguished among all irreducible A_{α} modules by the simple condition that all words $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_n)$ appearing in the formal character of D^{μ} have the property that $i_1 = 0 \neq i_2$, see [33, Proposition 2.4].

One of the interesting ideas, due to Turner [41] and others, is 'incorporating' representation theory of smaller symmetric groups or Schur algebras into representation theory of Σ_n . Curiously, this phenomenon is appearing very naturally in representation theory of A_{α} in the form of the so-called imaginary Schur-Weyl duality described below. The results of this section are mainly from [28] and [30]; a different approach is suggested in [40].

7.1. Convex preorders. Recall the Cartan matrix C and the simple roots α_i labeled by $i \in I$. Let $I' = I \setminus \{0\}$. As in [19], we have the affine *root system* Φ and the corresponding finite root subsystem $\Phi' = \Phi \cap \mathbb{Z}$ -span $(\alpha_i \mid i \in I')$. Denote by Φ'_+ and Φ_+ the sets of *positive* roots in Φ' and Φ , respectively. Then $\Phi_+ = \Phi_+^{\text{im}} \sqcup \Phi_+^{\text{e}}$, where $\Phi_+^{\text{im}} = \{n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\}$ for the null-root $\delta := \sum_{i \in I} \alpha_i$.

A convex preorder on Φ_+ is a preorder \leq such that the following three conditions hold for all $\beta, \gamma \in \Phi_+$:

- (1) $\beta \preceq \gamma \text{ or } \gamma \preceq \beta;$
- (2) if $\beta \leq \gamma$ and $\beta + \gamma \in \Phi_+$, then $\beta \leq \beta + \gamma \leq \gamma$;
- (3) $\beta \leq \gamma$ and $\gamma \leq \beta$ if and only if β and γ are proportional.

We fix a convex preorder \leq on Φ_+ such that $\alpha_i \succ n\delta \succ \alpha_0$ for all $i \in I'$; this is always possible. (This additional assumption is for convenient only.) Then

$$\{\beta \in \Phi^{\mathrm{re}}_+ \mid \beta \succ \delta\} = \{\beta + n\delta \mid \beta \in \Phi'_+, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\},\\ \{\beta \in \Phi^{\mathrm{re}}_+ \mid \beta \prec \delta\} = \{-\beta + n\delta \mid \beta \in \Phi'_+, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\}.$$

We have that $\beta \leq \gamma$ and $\gamma \leq \beta$ happens for $\beta \neq \gamma$ if and only if both β and γ are imaginary. We write $\beta \prec \gamma$ if $\beta \leq \gamma$ but $\gamma \not\leq \beta$. The following set is *totally ordered* with respect to \leq :

$$\Psi := \Phi^{\mathrm{re}}_+ \cup \{\delta\}.$$

Let l := p-1. An *l*-multipartition of *n* is a tuple $\underline{\mu} = (\mu^{(1)}, \ldots, \mu^{(l)})$ of partitions such that $|\mu^{(1)}| + \cdots + |\mu^{(l)}| = n$. The set of all *l*-multipartitions of *n* is denoted by \mathscr{P}_n^l , and $\mathscr{P}^l := \bigsqcup_{n \ge 0} \mathscr{P}_n^l$. A root partition of $\alpha \in Q_+$ is a pair $(M, \underline{\mu})$, where *M* is a tuple $(m_\rho)_{\rho \in \Psi}$ of non-negative integers such that $\sum_{\rho \in \Psi} m_\rho \rho = \alpha$, and $\underline{\mu}$ is an *l*-multipartition of m_δ . Clearly all but finitely many integers m_ρ are zero, so we can always choose a finite subset

$$\rho_1 \succ \cdots \succ \rho_s \succ \delta \succ \rho_{-t} \succ \cdots \succ \rho_{-1}$$

of Ψ such that $m_{\rho} = 0$ for ρ outside of this subset. Then, denoting $m_u := m_{\rho_u}$, we can write any root partition of α in the form

$$(M,\underline{\mu}) = (\rho_1^{m_1}, \dots, \rho_s^{m_s}, \underline{\mu}, \rho_{-t}^{m_{-t}}, \dots, \rho_{-1}^{m_{-1}}),$$
(26)

where all $m_u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\underline{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}^l$, and $\sum_{u=1}^s m_u \rho_u + |\underline{\mu}| \delta + \sum_{u=1}^t m_{-u} \rho_{-u} = \alpha$. We write $\Pi(\alpha)$ for the set of all root partitions of α .

Denote by Se the set of all finitary tuples $M = (m_{\rho})_{\rho \in \Psi} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\Psi}$ of non-negative integers, so that a root partition is a pair $(M, \underline{\mu})$ with $M \in$ Se and $\underline{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_{m_{\delta}}^{l}$. The left lexicographic order on Se is denoted \leq_{l} and the right lexicographic order on Se is denoted \leq_{r} . We will use the following *bilexicographic* partial order on Se:

 $M \leq N$ if and only if $M \leq_l N$ and $M \geq_r N$.

We will use the following partial order on the set $\Pi(\alpha)$ of root partitions of α :

 $(M, \mu) \leq (N, \underline{\nu})$ if and only if M < N or M = N and $\mu = \underline{\nu}$.

7.2. Cuspidal systems. Recall from (18) and (19) the functors $\operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{\alpha,\beta}$. For $M \in A_{\alpha}$ -mod and $N \in A_{\beta}$ -mod, denote

$$M \circ N := \operatorname{Ind}_{\alpha,\beta} M \boxtimes N.$$

We also write $M^{\circ n}$ for $M \circ \cdots \circ M$ (*n* times).

A *cuspidal system* (for a fixed convex preorder) is the following data:

• A cuspidal irreducible A_{ρ} -module L_{ρ} assigned to every $\rho \in \Phi_{+}^{\text{re}}$, i.e. an irreducible A_{ρ} -module with the following property: if $\beta, \gamma \in Q_{+}$ are non-zero elements such that $\rho = \beta + \gamma$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{\beta,\gamma}L_{\rho} \neq 0$, then β is a sum of roots less than ρ and γ is a sum of roots greater than ρ .

• An irreducible imaginary $A_{n\delta}$ -module $L(\underline{\mu})$ assigned to every $\underline{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_n^l$, i.e. an irreducible $A_{n\delta}$ -module with the following property: if $\beta, \gamma \in Q_+ \setminus \Phi_+^{\text{im}}$ are non-zero elements such that $n\delta = \beta + \gamma$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{\beta,\gamma}L(\underline{\mu}) \neq 0$, then β is a sum of real roots less than δ and γ is a sum of real roots greater than δ . In addition, it is required that $L(\underline{\lambda}) \not\simeq L(\mu)$ unless $\underline{\lambda} = \mu$.

Given a root partition π as in (26), set $\mathfrak{sh}(\pi) := \sum_{\rho \in \Phi^{\mathrm{re}}_+} m_{\rho}(m_{\rho}-1)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, and define the corresponding *(proper) standard module*:

$$\Delta(\pi) := q^{\mathfrak{sh}(\pi)} L^{\circ m_1}_{\rho_1} \circ \cdots \circ L^{\circ m_s}_{\rho_s} \circ L(\underline{\mu}) \circ L^{\circ m_{-t}}_{\rho_{-t}} \circ \cdots \circ L^{m_{-1}}_{\rho_{-1}}.$$
 (27)

Theorem 17. For any convex preorder there exists a cuspidal system, unique up to permutation of irreducible imaginary modules. Moreover:

- (i) For every root partition π, the standard module Δ(π) has irreducible head; denote this irreducible module L(π).
- (ii) {L(π) | π ∈ Π(α)} is a complete and irredundant system of irreducible A_α-modules up to isomorphism and degree shift.
- (iii) For every root partition π , we have $L(\pi)^{\circledast} \cong L(\pi)$.
- (iv) For all root partitions $\pi, \sigma \in \Pi(\alpha)$, we have that $[\Delta(\pi) : L(\pi)]_q = 1$, and $[\Delta(\pi) : L(\sigma)]_q \neq 0$ implies $\sigma \leq \pi$.
- (v) The induced module $L_{\rho}^{\circ n}$ is irreducible for all $\rho \in \Phi_{+}^{\mathrm{re}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

7.3. Minuscule representations and imaginary tensor spaces. Theorem 17 gives a 'rough classification' of irreducible A_{α} -modules. The main problem is that we did not give a canonical definition of individual irreducible imaginary modules $L(\underline{\mu})$. So far, we just know that the amount of such modules for $A_{n\delta}$ is equal to the number of *l*-multipartitions of *n*, and we have labeled them by such multipartitions in an arbitrary way.

To address this problem, we begin with an explicit description of the *minuscule* representations—the irreducible imaginary A_{δ} -modules. These correspond to *l*-multipartitions of 1. There are of course exactly *l* such multipartitions, namely $\underline{\mu}(1), \ldots, \underline{\mu}(l)$, where

$$\underline{\mu}(i) := (\emptyset, \dots, \emptyset, (1), \emptyset, \dots, \emptyset)$$

with the partition (1) in the *i*th position.

Let $i \in I' = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ (we identify the set I of residues modulo p with integers $0, 1, \dots, p-1$). Consider the hook partition $\chi^i = (i, 1^{p-i})$ for all $i \in I'$. For example, if p = 5, here are the corresponding Young diagram with the residues of the boxes written in them.

$$\chi^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \chi^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \chi^{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \chi^{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the partitions χ^i for $i \in I'$ are homogenous in the sense of §5.5. In particular, we have the corresponding homogeneous irreducible H_{δ} -modules D^{χ^i} defined explicitly in Theorem 14. Define the A_{δ} -modules

$$L(\mu(i)) := L_{\delta,i} := \inf D^{\chi^i} \qquad (i \in I').$$

For example, $L_{\delta,1}$ and $L_{\delta,p-1}$ are 1-dimensional with characters

$$\operatorname{ch}_{q} L_{\delta,1} = (0, p-1, p-2, \dots, 1), \quad \operatorname{ch}_{q} L_{\delta,p-1} = (0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1),$$

while for p > 3, the module $L_{\delta, p-2}$ is (p-2)-dimensional with character

$$\operatorname{ch}_{q} L_{\delta, p-2} = \sum_{r=0}^{p-3} (0, 1, \dots, r, p-1, r+1, \dots, p-2).$$

Define the *imaginary tensor space of color* $i \in I'$ to be the $A_{n\delta}$ -module

$$M_{n,i} := L_{\delta,i}^{\circ n}$$

Fix for now $i \in I'$ and suppress *i* from the indices, so that we have the imaginary tensor space $M_n = M_{n,i}$. The $A_{n\delta}$ -module structure on M_n yields an algebra homomorphism $A_{n\delta} \to \operatorname{End}_F(M_n)$. Define the *imaginary Schur algebra* \mathscr{S}_n as the image of $A_{n\delta}$ under this homomorphism, i.e. $\mathscr{S}_n = A_{n\delta}/\operatorname{Ann}_{A_n\delta}(M_n)$. Modules over $A_{n\delta}$ which factor through to \mathscr{S}_n are called *imaginary modules* (of color *i*). It turns out that this notion agrees with the notion of an irreducible imaginary module in the sense of cuspidal systems.

Theorem 18. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then:

- (i) M_n is a projective \mathscr{S}_n -module.
- (ii) The endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{A_{n\delta}}(M_n)^{\operatorname{op}} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{S}_n}(M_n)^{\operatorname{op}}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $F\Sigma_n$ of the symmetric group Σ_n (concentrated in degree zero). Thus M_n can be considered as a right $F\Sigma_n$ -module.
- (iii) $\operatorname{End}_{F\Sigma_n}(M_n) = \mathscr{S}_n.$

In view of the theorem, we have an exact functor

$$\gamma_n : \mathscr{S}_n \operatorname{-mod} \to F\Sigma_n \operatorname{-mod}, \quad V \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{S}_n}(M_n, V).$$

Unless p > n or p = 0, the \mathscr{S}_n -module M_n is not a projective generator, and γ_n is not an equivalence of categories. To fix this problem, we need to upgrade from the *imaginary Schur-Weyl duality* of Theorem 18 to an *imaginary Howe duality*.

7.4. Imaginary How and Ringel dualities. Let $\mathbf{x}_n := \sum_{g \in \Sigma_n} g$. Define the *imaginary exterior* and *imaginary divided* powers respectively as follows:

$$\Lambda_n := M_n \mathbf{x}_n, \quad Z_n := \{ m \in M_n \mid mg - \mathbf{sgn}(g)m = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \Sigma_n \}$$

For $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, denote by X(h, n) the set of all compositions of n with h parts:

$$X(h,n) := \{ (n_1, \dots, n_h) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^h \mid n_1 + \dots + n_h = n \}.$$

The corresponding set of partitions is

$$X_{+}(h,n) := \{ (n_1, \dots, n_h) \in X(h,n) \mid n_1 \ge \dots \ge n_h \}$$

For a composition $\nu = (n_1, \ldots, n_h) \in X(h, n)$, we define the functor of *imaginary induction*:

$$I_{\nu}^{n} := \operatorname{Ind}_{n_{1}\delta, \dots, n_{h}\delta} : A_{n_{1}\delta, \dots, n_{h}\delta} \operatorname{-mod} \to A_{n\delta} \operatorname{-mod}$$
.

Given imaginary $A_{n_b\delta}$ -modules V_b for b = 1, ..., h, the module $I^n_{\nu}(V_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes V_h)$ is also imaginary. Define

$$Z^{\nu} := I^n_{\nu}(Z_{n_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes Z_{n_h}), \quad \Lambda^{\nu} := I^n_{\nu}(\Lambda_{n_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \Lambda_{n_h}).$$

Now, let $S_{h,n}$ be the classical Schur algebra, whose representations are the same as the degree *n* polynomial representations of the general linear group $GL_h(F)$ [14]. It is a finite dimensional quasi-hereditary algebra with irreducible, standard, costandard, and indecomposable tilting modules

$$L_h(\lambda), \ \Delta_h(\lambda), \ \nabla_h(\lambda), \ T_h(\lambda) \qquad (\lambda \in X_+(h,n)).$$

Theorem 19. We have:

- (i) For each $\nu \in X(h,n)$ the \mathscr{S}_n -module Z^{ν} is projective. Moreover, for any $h \ge n$, the module $Z := \bigoplus_{\nu \in X(h,n)} Z^{\nu}$ is a projective generator for \mathscr{S}_n .
- (ii) The endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{S}_n}(Z)^{\operatorname{op}}$ is isomorphic to the classical Schur algebra $S_{h,n}$ concentrated in degree zero. Thus Z can be considered as a right $S_{h,n}$ -module.
- (iii) $\operatorname{End}_{S_{h,n}}(Z) = \mathscr{S}_n.$

This theorem allows us to use Morita theory and define quasi-inverse equivalences of categories:

$$\alpha_{h,n} : \mathscr{S}_n \operatorname{-mod} \to S_{h,n} \operatorname{-mod}, \quad V \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{S}_n}(Z, V)$$

$$(28)$$

$$\beta_{h,n}: S_{h,n}\operatorname{-mod} \to \mathscr{S}_n\operatorname{-mod}, \quad W \mapsto Z \otimes_{S_{h,n}} W.$$
 (29)

Let $\mu \in \mathscr{P}_n$ and $h \ge n$. We can also consider μ as an element of $X_+(h, n)$. Define the \mathscr{S}_n -modules:

$$L(\mu) := \beta_{h,n}(L_h(\mu)), \ \Delta(\mu) := \beta_{h,n}(\Delta_h(\mu)),$$

$$\nabla(\mu) := \beta_{h,n}(\nabla_h(\mu)), \ T(\mu) := \beta_{h,n}(T_h(\mu)).$$

These definitions turn out to be independent of the choice of $h \ge n$. An easy consequence of the theorem above is that the imaginary Schur algebra \mathscr{S}_n is a finite dimensional quasi-hereditary algebra with irreducible, standard, costandard, and indecomposable tilting modules $L(\mu)$, $\Delta(\mu)$, $\nabla(\mu)$, $T(\mu)$ labeled by $\mu \in X_+(h, n)$. In particular, inflating the irreducible modules $L(\mu)$ from \mathscr{S}_n to $A_{n\delta}$, we get:

Theorem 20. The irreducible imaginary $A_{n\delta}$ -modules of color *i* are exactly the modules $\{L(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n\}$ (up to isomorphism).

Moreover:

Theorem 21. We have:

- (i) Let $h \ge n$. The \mathscr{S}_n -module $\bigoplus_{\nu \in X(h,n)} \Lambda^{\nu}$ is a full tilting module.
- (ii) We have isomorphisms of endomorphism algebras

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{S}_n}\left(\bigoplus_{\nu\in X(h,n)}\Lambda^{\nu}\right)^{\operatorname{op}}\cong S_{h,n} \quad and \quad \operatorname{End}_{S_{h,n}}\left(\bigoplus_{\nu\in X(h,n)}\Lambda^{\nu}\right)\cong\mathscr{S}_n.$$

The additional nice property of the constructed Morita equivalence is that imaginary induction commutes with tensor products:

Theorem 22. Let $h \ge n$ and $\nu = (n_1, \ldots, n_a) \in X(a, n)$. The following functors are isomorphic:

$$I_{\nu}^{n}(\beta_{h,n_{1}} - \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \beta_{h,n_{a}} -) : S_{h,n_{1}} \operatorname{-mod} \times \cdots \times S_{h,n_{a}} \operatorname{-mod} \to \mathscr{S}_{n} \operatorname{-mod},$$
$$\beta_{h,n}(-\otimes \cdots \otimes -) : S_{h,n_{1}} \operatorname{-mod} \times \cdots \times S_{h,n_{a}} \operatorname{-mod} \to \mathscr{S}_{n} \operatorname{-mod}.$$

7.5. Gelfand-Graev character fragment and imaginary Jacobi-Trudi formula. We can say quite a bit about the characters of irreducible imaginary modules. An important role in the paper is played by an analogue of the *Gelfand-Graev representation*, cf. e.g. [4]. Let

$$\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$$

be any word appearing in the (explicitly known) formal character of L_{δ} . Define the corresponding *Gelfand-Graev words* $\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{(t)} := i_1^t i_2^t \dots i_p^t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For any composition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in X(n, n)$ define

$$\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mu} := \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{(\mu_1)} \dots \boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{(\mu_n)}$$

and $c_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mu) := ([\mu_1]_q^! \dots [\mu_n]_q^!)^p \in \mathcal{L}$. If $V \in A_{n\delta}$ -mod, it is known that

 $\dim_q V_{\boldsymbol{q}_i^{\mu}} = c_i(\mu) m_{\boldsymbol{i},\mu}(V)$

for some $m_{i,\mu}(V) \in \mathcal{L}$. We are going to describe $m_{i,\mu}(V)$ for many important imaginary modules.

There are explicitly defined *Gelfand-Graev idempotents* $\gamma_{n,i} \in A_{n\delta}$. The *Gelfand-Graev module* is the projective module $\Gamma_{n,i} := q^{-pn(n-1)/2} A_{n\delta} \gamma_{n,i}$.

Theorem 23. For any $V \in A_{n\delta}$ -mod and $\mu \in X(n, n)$, we have

 $m_{\boldsymbol{i},\mu}(V) = \dim_q \operatorname{Hom}_{A_{n\delta}}(\Gamma_{\mu_1,\boldsymbol{i}} \circ \cdots \circ \Gamma_{\mu_n,\boldsymbol{i}}, V).$

Since we have equivalences of categories (28) and (29), every finite dimensional graded \mathscr{S}_n -module V can be written as $V = \beta_{n,n}(W)$ (up to degree shift). Then we can describe the *Gelfand-Graev fragment* of ch_q V as follows:

Theorem 24. Let *i* be any word appearing in the formal character of L_{δ} , $\mu \in X(n,n)$, $W \in S_{h,n}$ -mod, and $V = \beta_{n,n}(W) \in \mathscr{S}_n$ -mod. Denote by W_{μ} the usual weight space of W. Then

$$\dim_q V_{\boldsymbol{g}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\mu}} = c_{\boldsymbol{i}}(\mu) \dim W_{\mu}.$$

Note that the Gelfand-Graev fragment is described in terms of the formal characters of a 'smaller rank' Schur algebra.

The formal characters of the modules $\Delta(\mu)$ are important; for example in the case p > n we have $\Delta(\mu) = L(\mu)$. An *imaginary Jacobi-Trudi formula* allows us to compute the formal characters of the modules $\Delta(\lambda)$ explicitly.

First of all, the characters of the modules $\Delta(1^n) = \Lambda_n = L(1^n)$ are wellunderstood: let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \ldots, i_p)$ be a word appearing in L_{δ} . Then \mathbf{i}^n is a word of $\Delta(1^n)$, and $\Delta(1^n)$ is the homogeneous irreducible module associated to the connected component of \mathbf{i}^n in the word graph, see [31].

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_a) \in \mathscr{P}_n$. Denote by \circ the quantum shuffle product, see e.g. [20, §2]. Then $\operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^k) \circ \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^l) = \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^l) \circ \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^k)$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. So we can use the quantum shuffle product to make sense of the following determinant as an element of $\mathscr{A}\langle I \rangle_{n\delta}$:

$$\mathbf{D}(\mu) := \det \left(\operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^{\mu_r - r + s}) \right)_{1 < r, s < a}.$$

where $ch_q \Delta(1^0)$ is interpreted as (multiplicative) identity, and $ch_q \Delta(1^m)$ is interpreted as (multiplicative) zero if m < 0. For example, for $\mu = (3, 1, 1)$:

$$\mathbf{D}((3,1,1)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^3) & \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^4) & \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^5) \\ 1 & \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1) & \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^2) \\ 0 & 1 & \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^3) \circ \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1) \circ \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1) + \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^5) \\ - \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^4) \circ \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1) - \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^3) \circ \operatorname{ch}_q \Delta(1^2).$$

Theorem 25. Let μ^{tr} be the partition transpose to μ . Then $ch_q \Delta(\mu) = \mathbf{D}(\mu^{tr})$.

For example, let p = 2. Then $I' = \{1\}$. The i = 1. In this case the character of L_{δ} is (0, 1), and the character of $\Delta(1^n)$ is $(0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 1)$. So

$$D((1,1)) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{i}_{\delta} & \boldsymbol{i}_{2\delta} \\ 1 & \boldsymbol{i}_{\delta} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{i}_{\delta} \circ \boldsymbol{i}_{\delta} - \boldsymbol{i}_{2\delta} = (0101) + (q+q^{-1})^2(0011).$$

7.6. Classification of imaginary irreducible modules. In Theorem 20, we have classified the irreducible imaginary representations of $A_{n\delta}$ of color *i*. Since we now want to distinguish between the imaginary representations of different colors, we will use the notation $L_i(\mu)$ for these irreducible imaginary representations of color *i* corresponding to a partition μ .

Theorem 26. For an *l*-multipartition $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda^{(l)})$ of *n*, define

$$L(\underline{\lambda}) := L_1(\lambda^{(1)}) \circ \cdots \circ L_l(\lambda^{(l)}).$$

Then $\{L(\underline{\lambda}) \mid \underline{\lambda} \in \mathscr{P}_n^l\}$ is a complete and irredundant system of imaginary irreducible $A_{n\delta}$ -modules.

References

- [1] S. Ariki, On the decomposition numbers of the Hecke algebra of G(m, 1, n), J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **36** (1996), 789–808.
- [2] C. Bessenrodt and J. B. Olsson, On residue symbols and the Mullineux conjecture, J. Algebraic Combin. 7 (1998), 227–251.
- [3] J. Brundan, Centers of degenerate cyclotomic Hecke algebras and parabolic category *O*, Represent. Theory 12 (2008), 236-259.
- [4] J. Brundan, R. Dipper and A. Kleshchev, Quantum linear groups and representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (2001), No 706, viii+112 pp.
- [5] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, On translation functors for general linear and symmetric groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 80 (2000), 75–106.
- [6] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Khovanov-Lauda algebras, *Invent. Math.* 178 (2009), 451–484.
- [7] J. Brundan and A. Kleshchev, Graded decomposition numbers for cyclotomic Hecke algebras, Adv. Math. 222 (2009), 1883–1942
- [8] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev and W. Wang, Graded Specht modules, J. reine angew. Math., 655 (2011), 61–87.
- [9] J. Brundan and J. Kujawa, A new proof of the Mullineux conjecture, J. Algebraic Combin. 18 (2003), 13–39.
- [10] J. Chuang and R. Rouquier, Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and sl₂categorification, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), 245–298.
- [11] M. Fayers, Dyck tilings and the homogeneous Garnir relations for graded Specht modules, arXiv:1309.6467.
- [12] B. Ford and A. Kleshchev, A proof of the Mullineux conjecture, Math. Z. 226 (1997), 267–308.
- [13] J. J. Graham and G. I. Lehrer, Cellular algebras, Invent. Math. 123 (1996), 1–34.
- [14] J.A. Green, Polynomial representations of GL_n , Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.
- [15] I. Grojnowski, Affine \mathfrak{sl}_p controls the representation theory of the symmetric group and related Hecke algebras, arXiv:math.RT/9907129.
- [16] I. Grojnowski and M. Vazirani, Strong multiplicity one theorems for affine Hecke algebras of type A, Transform. Groups 6 (2001), 143–155.
- [17] J. Hu and A. Mathas, Graded cellular bases for the cyclotomic Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras of type A, Adv. Math., 225 (2010), 598–642.
- [18] G.D. James, The representation theory of the symmetric groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 682, Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [19] V. G. Kac, Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [20] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I, *Represent. Theory* 13 (2009), 309–347.
- [21] M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), 2685–2700.

- [22] A. Kleshchev, Branching rules for modular representations of symmetric groups II, J. reine angew. Math. 459 (1995), 163–212.
- [23] A. Kleshchev, Branching rules for modular representations of symmetric groups III: some corollaries and a problem of Mullineux, J. London Math. Soc. 54 (1996), 25–38.
- [24] A. Kleshchev, Completely splittable representations of symmetric groups, J. Algebra 181(1996), 584–592.
- [25] A. Kleshchev, On the decomposition numbers and branching coefficients for symmetric and special linear groups, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 75 (1997), 497–558.
- [26] A. Kleshchev, Branching rules for modular representations of symmetric groups, IV, J. Algebra 201 (1998), 547–572.
- [27] A. Kleshchev, Linear and Projective Representations of Symmetric Groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [28] A. Kleshchev, Cuspidal systems for affine Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras, Math. Z., 276 (2014), 691–726.
- [29] A. Kleshchev, A. Mathas, and A. Ram, Universal graded Specht modules for cyclotomic Hecke algebras, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 105 (2012), 1245–1289.
- [30] A. Kleshchev and R. Muth, Imaginary Schur-Weyl duality, arXiv:1312.6104.
- [31] A. Kleshchev and A. Ram, Homogeneous representations of Khovanov-Lauda algebras, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12 (2010), 1293–1306.
- [32] A. Lascoux, B. Leclerc, and J.-Y. Thibon, Hecke algebras at roots of unity and crystal bases of quantum affine algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 181 (1996), 205-263.
- [33] A. Lauda and M. Vazirani, Crystals from categorified quantum groups, Adv. Math. 228 (2011), 803–861.
- [34] S. Lyle and A. Mathas, Blocks of cyclotomic Hecke algebras, Adv. Math. 216 (2007), 854–878.
- [35] O. Mathieu, On the dimension of some modular irreducible representations of the symmetric group, Lett. Math. Phys. 38 (1996), 23–32.
- [36] K. C. Misra and T. Miwa, Crystal base of the basic representation of U_q(sl_n), Comm. Math. Phys. 134 (1990), 79–88.
- [37] G. Mullineux, Bijections of p-regular partitions and p-modular irreducibles of the symmetric groups, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 20 (1979), 60–66.
- [38] R. Rouquier, 2-Kac-Moody algebras; arXiv:0812.5023.
- [39] R. Rouquier, Quiver Hecke algebras and 2-Lie algebras, Algebra Colloq. 19 (2012), 359–410.
- [40] P. Tingley and B. Webster, Mirkovic-Vilonen polytopes and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras, arXiv:1210.6921.
- [41] W. Turner, Rock blocks, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 202 (2009), no. 947, viii+102 pp.
- [42] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, Canonical bases and KLR-algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. 659 (2011), 67–100.
- [43] M. Xu, On Mullineux conjecture in the representation theory of symmetric groups, Comm. Algebra 25 (1997), 1797–1803.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA E-mail: klesh@uoregon.edu