

Interface Problems for Dispersive equations

Natalie E Sheils Bernard Deconinck

Department of Applied Mathematics
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195-2420

nsheils@amath.washington.edu bernard@amath.washington.edu

October 22, 2018

Abstract

The interface problem for the linear Schrödinger equation in one-dimensional piecewise homogeneous domains is examined by providing an explicit solution in each domain. The location of the interfaces is known and the continuity of the wave function and a jump in their derivative at the interface are the only conditions imposed. The problem of two semi-infinite domains and that of two finite-sized domains are examined in detail. The problem and the method considered here extend that of an earlier paper by Deconinck, Pelloni and Sheils (2014). The dispersive nature of the problem presents additional difficulties that are addressed here.

1 Introduction

Interface problems for partial differential equations (PDEs) are initial boundary value problems for which the solution of an equation in one domain prescribes boundary conditions for the equations in adjacent domains. In applications, precise interface conditions follow from conservation laws. Few interface problems allow for an explicit closed-form solution using classical solution methods. Using the Fokas method [7, 8, 9] such solutions may be constructed for both dissipative and dispersive linear interface problems.

In two recent papers [2, 6] this was done for the classical problem of the heat equation. In [6] the main application considered is that of heat flow in composite walls or rods while in [2] the heat equation is viewed as a simplified reaction-diffusion equation describing the spreading of tumors in the brain. Problems in both finite and infinite domains were investigated in [6] and the method was compared with classical solution approaches if such exist [4, 10]. The same is done here for the linear Schrödinger (LS) equation with an interface. We restrict to the case of a continuous wave function with a jump in the derivative across the interface. Although the problem and the method considered here are similar to the one presented in [2] and [6], the dispersive nature of the problem makes it more difficult to solve both classically and using the method of Fokas.

The linear Schrödinger equation is arguably the simplest dispersive equation, having the dispersion relation $\omega(k) = k^2$. It arises in its own right in quantum mechanics [14], and as the linearization of various nonlinear equations, most notably the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations $iq_t(x, t) = -q_{xx}(x, t) \pm |q(x, t)|^2 q(x, t)$. As such, it arises in a large variety of application

areas, whenever the modulation of nonlinear wave trains is considered. Indeed, it has been derived in such diverse fields as waves in deep water [18], plasma physics [17], nonlinear fiber optics [11, 12], magneto-static spin waves [19], and many other settings.

The LS equation describes the behavior of solutions of the NLS equation in the small amplitude limit and understanding its dynamics is fundamental in understanding the dynamics of the more complicated nonlinear problem.

Recently Cascaval and Hunter [5] have considered the time-dependent LS on simple networks. Their solution formulas are not explicit, as they contain implicit integral equations for the interface conditions. Their analysis is easily extended to more than two domains and also considers the nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. Some work has been done using the Fokas Method for moving boundary value problems in the case when the movement of the boundary is prescribed [?]. In some cases, the solution of such problems requires the use of the “d-bar method” which reduces the problem to a linear integral equation.

The LS equation in two semi-infinite domains with an interface is considered in Section 2. The method is adapted to the problem of two finite domains in Section 3. The solution formulae given are easily computed numerically using techniques presented in [13, 15]. Throughout, our emphasis is on non-steady state solutions. The solutions presented here using the Fokas Method are explicit and depend only on known quantities. Although we present solution formulas only for the case of two domains (both finite or both infinite) it is straightforward to generalize this method to n domains. This is done explicitly for multiple domains for the heat equation in [6] (three domains, both finite and infinite) and in [2] (n finite domains) and the process here would be similar.

2 Two semi-infinite domains

We wish to find $q^L(x, t)$ and $q^R(x, t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} iq_t^L(x, t) &= \sigma_L q_{xx}^L(x, t), & -\infty < x < 0, & \quad t > 0, \\ iq_t^R(x, t) &= \sigma_R q_{xx}^R(x, t), & 0 < x < \infty, & \quad t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

subject to the asymptotic conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} q^L(x, t) &= \gamma_L, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} q^R(x, t) &= \gamma_R, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} q^L(x, 0) &= q_0^L(x), & -\infty < x < 0, \\ q^R(x, 0) &= q_0^R(x), & 0 < x < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and the interface conditions

$$\begin{aligned} q^L(0, t) &= q^R(0, t), & t > 0, \\ \beta_L q_x^L(0, t) &= \beta_R q_x^R(0, t), & t > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\gamma_L, \gamma_R, \sigma_L, \sigma_R, \beta_L$ and β_R are t -independent nonzero constants. The sub- and super-indices L and R denote the left and right domain, respectively. In what follows we assume that σ_L and σ_R are both positive for convenience.




Figure 1: Domains for the application of Green's Theorem for $v^L(x, t)$ and $v^R(x, t)$.

First, we shift the problem so that the asymptotic conditions are identically zero. We define $v^L(x, t) = q^L(x, t) - \gamma_L$ and $v^R(x, t) = q^R(x, t) - \gamma_R$ that satisfy

$$iv_t^L(x, t) = \sigma_L v_{xx}^L(x, t), \quad -\infty < x < 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5a)$$

$$iv_t^R(x, t) = \sigma_R v_{xx}^R(x, t), \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5b)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} v^L(x, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5c)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} v^R(x, t) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5d)$$

$$v^L(x, 0) = v_0^L(x), \quad -\infty < x < 0, \quad (5e)$$

$$v^R(x, 0) = v_0^R(x), \quad 0 < x < \infty, \quad (5f)$$

$$v^L(0, t) + \gamma_L = v^R(0, t) + \gamma_R, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5g)$$

$$\beta_L v_x^L(0, t) = \beta_R v_x^R(0, t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (5h)$$

We follow the standard steps in the application of the Fokas Method [7, 8, 9], beginning with the so-called ‘‘local relations’’ [7]

$$\left(e^{-ikx + \lambda_L t} v^L(x, t) \right)_t = \left(\sigma_L e^{-ikx + \lambda_L t} (k v^L(x, t) - i v_x^L(x, t)) \right)_x, \quad -\infty < x < 0, \quad (6a)$$

$$\left(e^{-ikx + \lambda_R t} v^R(x, t) \right)_t = \left(\sigma_R e^{-ikx + \lambda_R t} (k v^R(x, t) - i v_x^R(x, t)) \right)_x, \quad 0 < x < \infty. \quad (6b)$$

These are parameter relations obtained by rewriting (5a) and (5b). This also tell us $\lambda_L = -i\sigma_L k^2$ and $\lambda_R = -i\sigma_R k^2$. The functions $\lambda_L(k)$ and $\lambda_R(k)$ are related to the dispersion relations of the equations: $\lambda_L = -i\omega_L$ and $\lambda_R = -i\omega_R$. The use of these functions instead of the dispersion relations proper is common when using the Fokas method and we continue this use. Applying Green's Theorem [1] in the strip $(-\infty, 0) \times (0, t)$, see Figure 1, we find the global relations

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ikx} v_0^L(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ikx + \lambda_L t} v^L(x, t) dx + \int_0^t \sigma_L e^{\lambda_L s} (k v^L(0, s) - i v_x^L(0, s)) ds, \quad (7a)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ikx} v_0^R(x) dx - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ikx + \lambda_R t} v^R(x, t) dx - \int_0^t \sigma_R e^{\lambda_R s} (k v^R(0, s) - i v_x^R(0, s)) ds. \quad (7b)$$

Let $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$. Similarly, let $\mathbb{C}^- = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) \leq 0\}$. The Fourier integrals in (7) require $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ in (7a) and $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ in (7b). For $k \in \mathbb{C}$, we define the following transforms:

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} v^L(0, s) ds = \int_0^t e^{\omega s} (v^R(0, s) + \gamma^R - \gamma^L) ds \\ &= \frac{(\gamma^R - \gamma^L)(e^{\omega t} - 1)}{\omega} + \int_0^t e^{\omega s} v^R(0, s) ds, \\ g_1(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} v_x^L(0, s) ds = \frac{\beta_R}{\beta_L} \int_0^t e^{\omega s} v_x^R(0, s) ds, \\ \hat{v}^L(k, t) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ikx} v^L(x, t) dx, & \hat{v}_0^L(k) &= \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-ikx} v_0^L(x) dx, \\ \hat{v}^R(k, t) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ikx} v^R(x, t) dx, & \hat{v}_0^R(k) &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ikx} v_0^R(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using these definitions, the global relations (7) are rewritten as

$$0 = \hat{v}_0^L(k) - e^{\lambda_L t} \hat{v}^L(k, t) + k \sigma_L g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i \sigma_L g_1(\lambda_L, t), \quad (8a)$$

$$0 = \hat{v}_0^R(k) - e^{\lambda_R t} \hat{v}^R(k, t) - k \sigma_R g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i(\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{k} (e^{\lambda_R t} - 1) + \frac{i \sigma_R \beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t), \quad (8b)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ for (8a) and $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ for (8b). Since the functions λ_R and λ_L are invariant under $k \rightarrow -k$ we can supplement (8) with their evaluation at $-k$, namely

$$0 = \hat{v}_0^L(-k) - e^{\lambda_L t} \hat{v}^L(-k, t) - k \sigma_L g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i \sigma_L g_1(\lambda_L, t), \quad (9a)$$

$$0 = \hat{v}_0^R(-k) - e^{\lambda_R t} \hat{v}^R(-k, t) + k \sigma_R g_0(\lambda_R, t) - \frac{i(\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{k} (e^{\lambda_R t} - 1) + \frac{i \sigma_R \beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t), \quad (9b)$$

where $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ for (9a) and $k \in \mathbb{C}^+$ for (9b).

Inverting the Fourier transform in (8a) we have

$$v^L(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(k) dk + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \sigma_L (k g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i g_1(\lambda_L, t)) dk,$$

for $-\infty < x < 0$ and $t > 0$. Let $D = \{k \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}(-ik^2) < 0\} = D^+ \cup D^-$. The region D is shown in Figure 2. The integrand of the second integral is entire and decays as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^- \setminus D^-$. Using the analyticity of the integrand and applying Jordan's Lemma [1] we can replace the contour of integration of the second integral by $-\int_{\partial D^-}$:

$$v^L(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(k) dk - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \sigma_L (k g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i g_1(\lambda_L, t)) dk. \quad (10)$$




Figure 2: The Domains D^+ and D^- for the linear Schrödinger equation.

Similarly, inverting the Fourier transform in (8b) we have

$$v^R(x, t) = \frac{(\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{2} \phi(\sigma_R, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(k) dk \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \sigma_R \left(-k g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i\beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t) \right) dk,$$

for $0 < x < \infty$, $t > 0$, and

$$\phi(\sigma, x, t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t = 0, \\ -\text{sgn}(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\sigma t}} e^{i\pi/4} \int_0^x e^{-iy^2/(4\sigma t)} dy, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

The integrand of the third integral is entire and decays as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus D^+$. Using the analyticity of the integrand and applying Jordan's Lemma [1] we can replace the contour of integration of this integral by $\int_{\partial D^+}$:

$$v^R(x, t) = \frac{\gamma_R - \gamma_L}{2} \phi(\sigma_R, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(k) dk \\ + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \sigma_R \left(-k g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i\beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t) \right) dk. \quad (11)$$

The expressions (10) and (11) for $v^L(x, t)$ and $v^R(x, t)$ depend on the unknown functions g_0 and g_1 , evaluated at different arguments. These functions need to be expressed in terms of known quantities. To obtain a system of two equations for the two unknown functions we use the four global relations. We use (8b) and (9a) for $g_0(\lambda_L, t)$, and $g_1(\lambda_L, t)$. This requires use of all the




Figure 3: The contour \mathcal{L}^- is shown in green as a dashed line. An application of Cauchy's Integral Theorem [1] using this contour allows elimination of the contribution of $\hat{v}^L(-k, t)$ from the integral (12). Similarly, the contour \mathcal{L}^+ is shown in red and application of Cauchy's Integral Theorem using this contour allows elimination of the contribution of $\hat{v}^R(-k, t)$ from (14).

symmetries of the set of $\{\lambda_L(k), \lambda_R(k)\}$. Namely, the transformation $k \rightarrow \sqrt{\sigma_L/\sigma_R}k$ in (8b). Substituting these into (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
v^L(x, t) &= \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L (\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}} \phi(\sigma_L, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(k) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(-k) dk \\
&- \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L}{\pi (\sigma_R \beta_L + \beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk \\
&- \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx} \hat{v}^L(-k, t) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L}{\pi (\sigma_R \beta_L + \beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx} \hat{v}^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}, t \right) dk,
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for $-\infty < x < 0$, $t > 0$. The first four terms depend only on known functions. The integrand of the second-to-last term is analytic for all $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$. Further, $\hat{v}^L(-k, t)$ decays for $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$. Thus, by Jordan's Lemma, the integral of $\exp(ikx) \hat{v}^L(-k, t)$ along a closed, bounded curve in \mathbb{C}^- vanishes. In particular we consider the closed curve $\mathcal{L}^- = \mathcal{L}_{\partial D^-} \cup \mathcal{L}_C^-$ where $\mathcal{L}_{\partial D^-} = \partial D^- \cap \{k : |k| < C\}$ and $\mathcal{L}_C^- = \{k \in D^- : |k| = C\}$, see Figure 3.

Since the integral along \mathcal{L}_C^- vanishes as $C \rightarrow \infty$, the fourth integral on the right-hand side of (12) must vanish since the contour $\mathcal{L}_{\partial D^-}$ becomes ∂D^- as $C \rightarrow \infty$. The uniform decay of $\hat{v}^L(-k, t)$

for large k is exactly the condition required for the integral to vanish, using Jordan's Lemma. For the final integral in (12) we use that $\hat{v}^R(k\sqrt{\sigma_L/\sigma_R}, t)$ is analytic and bounded for $k \in \mathbb{C}^-$. Using the same argument as above, the fifth integral in (12) vanishes and we have an explicit representation for $v^L(x, t)$ in terms of initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
v^L(x, t) &= \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L (\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}} \phi(\sigma_L, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(k) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(-k) dk \\
&- \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L}{\pi (\sigma_R \beta_L + \beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk,
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

To find an explicit expression for $v^R(x, t)$ we need to evaluate g_0 and g_1 at different arguments, also ensuring that the expressions are valid for $k \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus D^+$. Substituting these into equation (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
v^R(x, t) &= \frac{\beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R} (\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}} \phi(\sigma_R, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(k) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_L \sigma_R}{\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(-k) dk \\
&- \frac{\beta_L \sigma_R}{\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx} \hat{v}^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}, t \right) dk \\
&- \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx} \hat{v}^R(-k, t) dk
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for $0 < x < \infty$, $t > 0$. As before, the first four integrals are known. To compute the fifth and sixth integrals we proceed as we did for $v^L(x, t)$ and eliminate integrals that decay in the regions over which we are integrating. The final solution is

$$\begin{aligned}
v^R(x, t) &= \frac{\beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R} (\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}} \phi(\sigma_R, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(k) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_L \sigma_R}{\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
&+ \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(-k) dk.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Returning to the original variables we have the following proposition which determines q^R and q^L fully explicitly in terms of the given initial conditions and the prescribed boundary conditions as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 1 *The solution of the linear Schrödinger problem (1)-(4) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
q^L(x, t) = & \gamma^L + \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L (\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}} \phi(\sigma_L, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(k) dk \\
& + \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^L(-k) dk \\
& - \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L}{\pi (\beta_L \sigma_R + \beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R})} \int_{\partial D^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{v}_0^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for $-\infty < x < 0$ and for $0 < x < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
q^R(x, t) = & \gamma^R + \frac{\beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R} (\gamma_R - \gamma_L)}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}} \phi(\sigma_R, x, t) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(k) dk \\
& + \frac{\beta_L \sigma_R}{\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
& + \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{2\pi (\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L})} \int_{\partial D^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{v}_0^R(-k) dk.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

2.1 Remarks

- The use of the discrete symmetries of the functions λ_L and λ_R or of the dispersion relation is an important aspect of the Fokas Method [7, 8, 9]. When solving the LS equation in a single medium, the only discrete symmetry required is $k \rightarrow -k$, which was used here to obtain (9a). Due to the two media, there are two functions in the present problem: $\lambda_L = -i\sigma_L k^2$ and $\lambda_R = -i\sigma_R k^2$. The collection of both functions $\{\lambda_L, \lambda_R\}$ retains the discrete symmetry $k \rightarrow -k$, but admits an additional one, namely: $k \rightarrow k\sqrt{\sigma_R/\sigma_L}$ which transforms the two functions to each other. **All nontrivial discrete symmetries of $\{\lambda_L, \lambda_R\}$ are needed to derive the final solution representation.**
- In equations (16) and (17) it is possible to deform the integration paths back to the real line. This deformation hints that a classical solution in terms of Fourier-like integral transforms should be possible. However, a priori it is not clear how to obtain the appropriate transforms for general initial conditions and boundary conditions. In effect, as in [8], the Fokas method can be seen as a method to construct the appropriate transform to solve the problem.
- It is interesting to note that when $\sigma_L = \sigma_R$, $\beta_L = \beta_R$ and $\gamma^L = \gamma^R = 0$, the solution formulae in their proper x -domain of definition reduce to the solution of the whole line problem. Also, if $\gamma_L = 0 = \gamma_R$, Cascaval and Hunter [5] find a solution to the LS equation with an interface by imposing the solution for the LS problem on the half-line given in [8] and viewing the interface problem as a forced problem on the real line where the forcing is occurring at the interface. This leads to a solution of the interface problem which requires the numerical solution of an integral equation.
- The leading-order behavior in time for (1) with initial conditions which decay sufficiently fast to the boundary values (2) at $\pm\infty$ is easily obtained by using integration by parts and the

method of stationary phase [3]. In the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for x/t constant,

$$q^L(x, t) \sim \frac{\beta_R \gamma_R \sqrt{\sigma_L} + \beta_L \gamma_L \sqrt{\sigma_R}}{\beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L} + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R}} + \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4} - \frac{ix^2}{4\sigma_L t}}}{\sqrt{4\sigma_L t}} \left(\frac{\beta_R \sigma_L (\gamma_R - \gamma_L) x}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}} + \frac{\hat{v}_0^L \left(-\frac{x}{2\sigma_L t} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) - \frac{(\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}) \hat{v}_0^L \left(\frac{x}{2\sigma_L t} \right)}{(\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}) \sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{\beta_R \sigma_L \hat{v}_0^R \left(-\frac{x}{2t \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}} \right)}{(\beta_L \sigma_R + \beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}) \sqrt{\pi}}, \quad (18)$$

for $-\infty < x < 0$ and, for $0 < x < \infty$,

$$q^R(x, t) \sim \frac{\beta_R \gamma_R \sqrt{\sigma_L} + \beta_L \gamma_L \sqrt{\sigma_R}}{\beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L} + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R}} + \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4} - \frac{ix^2}{4\sigma_R t}}}{\sqrt{4\sigma_R t}} \left(\frac{\beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R} (\gamma_R - \gamma_L) x}{\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}} + \frac{\hat{v}_0^R \left(-\frac{x}{2\sigma_R t} \right)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right) + \frac{\beta_L \sigma_R \hat{v}_0^L \left(-\frac{x}{2t \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}} \right)}{(\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}) \sqrt{\pi}} + \frac{(\beta_R \sigma_L - \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}) \hat{v}_0^R \left(\frac{x}{2\sigma_R t} \right)}{(\beta_R \sigma_L + \beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R \sigma_L}) \sqrt{\pi}}. \quad (19)$$

The constant factor in (18) and (19) is the weighted average of the boundary conditions at infinity with weights given by $\beta_R \sqrt{\sigma_L}$ and $\beta_L \sqrt{\sigma_R}$. The oscillations are contained in the terms $\exp(-ix^2/(4\sigma_L t))$ and $\exp(-ix^2/(4\sigma_R t))$. In Figure 4 the envelope of the real (imaginary) part of the solution is plotted in gray (black) as a dot-dashed line. The real part of the solution (plotted as a solid line in blue) is centered around the weighted average (plotted in black as a dotted line) and the imaginary part of the solution (plotted as a dashed line in red) is centered around zero. In using the method of stationary phase one must look in directions of constant x/t . Using integration by parts there is no such restriction. When x is large the term from integration by parts is dominant and so in Figure 4 there is no need to fix x/t .

- In quantum mechanics one considers only the finite energy case, that is, $\gamma_L = 0 = \gamma_R$. In this case, asymptotics requires the use of the method of stationary phase only. Thus, in Figure 5 we consider solutions for $x/t = \pm 1$. The real and imaginary parts of the solution are centered around 0. In Figure 5 the real part of the solution is plotted as a solid line in blue and the imaginary part of the solution is plotted as a dashed line in red. The envelope of the real (imaginary) part of the solution is plotted in gray (black) as a dot-dashed line.

3 Two finite domains

We wish to find $q^L(x, t)$ and $q^R(x, t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} i q_t^L(x, t) &= \sigma_L q_{xx}^L(x, t) & -a < x < 0, \quad t > 0, \\ i q_t^R(x, t) &= \sigma_R q_{xx}^R(x, t) & 0 < x < b, \quad t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

subject to the Robin boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 q^L(-a, t) + \alpha_2 q_x^L(-a, t) &= f^L(t), & t > 0, \\ \alpha_3 q^R(b, t) + \alpha_4 q_x^R(b, t) &= f^R(t), & t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$




Figure 4: The leading order behavior of $q(x, t)$ as given in (18) and (19) with $t = 10$, $\gamma_L = -20$, $\gamma_R = -10$, $\beta_L = 2$, $\beta_R = 1$, $\sigma_L = 2$, and $\sigma_R = 1$ and initial conditions $q_0^L(x) = \frac{\beta_L \gamma_L + \beta_R \gamma_R}{\beta_L + \beta_R} + \beta_R \frac{\gamma_R - \gamma_L}{\beta_L + \beta_R} \tanh(x)$ and $q_0^R(x) = \frac{\beta_L \gamma_L + \beta_R \gamma_R}{\beta_L + \beta_R} + \beta_L \frac{\gamma_R - \gamma_L}{\beta_L + \beta_R} \tanh(x)$.




Figure 5: The leading order behavior of $q^L(-t, t)$ and $q^R(t, t)$ as given in (18) and (19) respectively with $\gamma_L = 0$, $\gamma_R = 0$, $\beta_L = 4$, $\beta_R = 1$, $\sigma_L = 3$, and $\sigma_R = 1$ with $q_0^L(x) = (1 + \beta_R x)e^{-x^2}$ and $q_0^R(x) = (1 + \beta_L x)e^{-x^2}$.

the initial conditions

$$\begin{aligned} q^L(x, 0) &= q_0^L(x), & -a < x < 0, \\ q^R(x, 0) &= q_0^R(x), & 0 < x < b, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

and the interface conditions

$$\begin{aligned} q^L(0, t) &= q^R(0, t), & t > 0, \\ \beta_L q_x^L(0, t) &= \beta_R q_x^R(0, t), & t > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where $a > 0$, $b > 0$, and β_L , β_R , and α_i , $1 \leq i \leq 4$ are nonzero, t -independent constants. As before we assume σ_L and σ_R are positive for convenience. If $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = 0$ then Neumann boundary conditions are prescribed, whereas if $\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = 0$ then Dirichlet conditions are given.

As before we begin with the local relations

$$\left(e^{-ikx + \lambda_L t} q^L(x, t) \right)_t = \left(\sigma_L e^{-ikx + \lambda_L t} (kq^L(x, t) - iq_x^L(x, t)) \right)_x, \quad (24a)$$

$$\left(e^{-ikx + \lambda_R t} q^R(x, t) \right)_t = \left(\sigma_R e^{-ikx + \lambda_R t} (kq^R(x, t) - iq_x^R(x, t)) \right)_x. \quad (24b)$$

For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ we define the following transforms:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_L(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} f_L(s) ds, & \hat{f}_R(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} f_R(s) ds, \\ h_1^L(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q_x^L(-a, s) ds, & h_0^L(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q^L(-a, s) ds, \\ h_1^R(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q_x^R(b, s) ds, & h_0^R(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q^R(b, s) ds, \\ \hat{q}^L(k, t) &= \int_{-a}^0 e^{-ikx} q^L(x, t) dx, & \hat{q}_0^L(k) &= \int_{-a}^0 e^{-ikx} q_0^L(x) dx, \\ \hat{q}^R(k, t) &= \int_0^b e^{-ikx} q^R(x, t) dx, & \hat{q}_0^R(k) &= \int_0^b e^{-ikx} q_0^R(x) dx, \\ g_0(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q^L(0, s) ds = \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q^R(0, s) ds, \\ g_1(\omega, t) &= \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q_x^L(0, s) ds = \frac{\beta_R}{\beta_L} \int_0^t e^{\omega s} q_x^R(0, s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Green's Theorem [1] in the domains $[-a, 0] \times [0, t]$ and $[0, b] \times [0, t]$ respectively, we find the global relations

$$e^{\lambda_L t} \hat{q}^L(k, t) = \hat{q}_0^L(k) + k\sigma_L g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i\sigma_L g_1(\lambda_L, t) - \sigma_L e^{ika} (kh_0^L(\lambda_L, t) - ih_1^L(\lambda_L, t)), \quad (25a)$$

$$e^{\lambda_R t} \hat{q}^R(k, t) = \hat{q}_0^R(k) - k\sigma_R g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i\sigma_R \beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t) + \sigma_R e^{-ikb} (kh_0^R(\lambda_R, t) - ih_1^R(\lambda_R, t)), \quad (25b)$$

which are valid for all $k \in \mathbb{C}$ in contrast to (7). Using the invariance of $\lambda_L(k)$ and $\lambda_R(k)$ under $k \rightarrow -k$ we supplement (25) with their evaluation at $-k$, namely

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda_L t} \hat{q}^L(-k, t) &= \hat{q}_0^L(-k) - k \sigma_L g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i \sigma_L g_1(\lambda_L, t) - \sigma_L e^{-ika} (-k h_0^L(\lambda_L, t) - i h_1^L(\lambda_L, t)), \quad (26a) \\ e^{\lambda_R t} \hat{q}^R(-k, t) &= \hat{q}_0^R(-k) + k \sigma_R g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i \sigma_R \beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t) + \sigma_R e^{ikb} (-k h_0^R(\lambda_R, t) - i h_1^R(\lambda_R, t)), \end{aligned} \quad (26b)$$

Inverting the Fourier transform in (25a) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^L(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{q}_0^L(k) dk + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \sigma_L (k g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i g_1(\lambda_L, t)) dk \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_L e^{ik(x+a) - \lambda_L t} (k h_0^L(\lambda_L, t) - i h_1^L(\lambda_L, t)) dk, \end{aligned}$$

for $-a < x < 0$ and $t > 0$. The integrand of the second integral is entire and decays as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^- \setminus D^-$. The last integral is entire and decays as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus D^+$. It is convenient to deform both contours away from the real axis to avoid singularities in the integrands that become apparent in what follows. Initially these singularities are removable since the integrands are entire. Writing integrals of sums as sums of integrals, these singularities may cease to be removable. With the deformation away from the real axis the singularities are no cause for concern. In other words, we deform D^+ to D_0^+ and D^- to D_0^- as show in Figure 6 where the deformed contours approach the real axis asymptotically. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} q^L(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \hat{q}_0^L(k) dk - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_0^-} e^{ikx - \lambda_L t} \sigma_L (k g_0(\lambda_L, t) - i g_1(\lambda_L, t)) dk \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_0^+} \sigma_L e^{ik(x+a) - \lambda_L t} (k h_0^L(\lambda_L, t) - i h_1^L(\lambda_L, t)) dk. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Similarly, inverting the Fourier transform in (25b) we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^R(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{q}_0^R(k) dk + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \sigma_R \left(-k g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i \beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t) \right) dk \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x-b) - \lambda_R t} \sigma_R (k h_0^R(\lambda_R, t) - i h_1^R(\lambda_R, t)) dk, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < x < b$ and $t > 0$. The integrand of the second integral is entire and decays as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^+ \setminus D^+$. The integrand of the third integral is entire and decays as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \in \mathbb{C}^- \setminus D^-$. We deform as above to find

$$\begin{aligned} q^R(x, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \hat{q}_0^R(k) dk + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_0^+} e^{ikx - \lambda_R t} \sigma_R \left(-k g_0(\lambda_R, t) + \frac{i \beta_L}{\beta_R} g_1(\lambda_R, t) \right) dk \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D_0^-} e^{ik(x-b) - \lambda_R t} \sigma_R (k h_0^R(\lambda_R, t) - i h_1^R(\lambda_R, t)) dk. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Taking the time transform of the boundary conditions results in

$$\alpha_1 h_0^L(\omega, t) + \alpha_2 h_1^L(\omega, t) = \hat{f}^L(\omega, t), \quad (29)$$




Figure 6: Deformation of the contours in Figure 2 away from the real axis.

and

$$\alpha_3 h_0^R(\omega, t) + \alpha_4 h_1^R(\omega, t) = \hat{f}^R(\omega, t). \quad (30)$$

To obtain a system of six equations for the six unknown functions $g_0(\omega, t)$, $g_1(\omega, t)$, $h_0^L(\omega, t)$, $h_1^L(\omega, t)$, $h_0^R(\omega, t)$, and $h_1^R(\omega, t)$ we use the global relations evaluated at k and $-k$ (25) and (26) and the time transform of the boundary conditions (29) and (30).

Although we could solve this problem in its full generality, we restrict to the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions ($\alpha_2 = \alpha_4 = 0$), to simplify the already cumbersome formulae below. The system is not solvable for $h_1^L(\omega, t)$ and $h_1^R(\omega, t)$ if $\Delta_L(k) = 0$, where

$$\Delta_L(k) = 4i\pi\alpha_1\alpha_3\sigma_R e^{ik\left(a+b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}\right)} \left(\beta_L \cos(ak) \sin\left(bk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}\right) + \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \sin(ak) \cos\left(bk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}\right) \right). \quad (31)$$

It is easily seen that all values of k satisfying this relation (including $k = 0$) are on the real line. Thus on the contours, the equations are solved without problem, resulting in the expressions below. As in the previous section, the right-hand sides of these expressions involve $\hat{q}^L(k, t)$ and $\hat{q}^R(k, t)$, evaluated at a variety of arguments. All terms with such dependence are written out explicitly below. Terms that depend on known quantities only are contained in $K^L(x, t)$ and $K^R(x, t)$, the

expressions for which are given in Proposition 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
q^L(x,t) &= K^L(x,t) - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ikx} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^L(k,t) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^L(-k,t) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+2b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}+x)} \hat{q}^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}, t \right) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)} \hat{q}^R \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}, t \right) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \beta_R \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^L(k,t) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \beta_R \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^L(-k,t) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+2b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}+x)} \hat{q}^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}, t \right) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)} \hat{q}^R \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}, t \right) dk,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

for $-a < x < 0$, $t > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
q^R(x,t) &= K^R(x,t) + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx} \hat{q}^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}, t \right) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(2a\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}+x)} \hat{q}^L \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}, t \right) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(2b+x)} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^R(k,t) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^R(-k,t) dk \\
&- \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx} \hat{q}^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}, t \right) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(2a\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}+x)} \hat{q}^L \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}, t \right) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^R(k,t) dk \\
&+ \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}^R(-k,t) dk,
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for $0 < x < b$, $t > 0$, where

$$\Delta_R(k) = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \Delta_L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right).$$

The integrands written explicitly in (32) and (33) decay in the regions around whose boundaries they are integrated. Thus, using Jordan's Lemma and Cauchy's Theorem these integrals are shown to vanish. Thus the final solution is given by $K^L(x, t)$ and $K^R(x, t)$.

Proposition 2 *The solution of the linear Schrödinger interface problem (20)-(23) is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
q^L(x, t) = K^L(x, t) = & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{q}_0^L(k) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_L t} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^L(k) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^L(-k) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+2b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{q}_0^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{q}_0^L \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{k\alpha_3 \sigma_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{f}_L(\lambda_L, t) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{2k\alpha_1 \beta_R \sigma_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{f}_R(\lambda_R, t) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^L(k) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_R}{2\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^L(-k) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+2b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{q}_0^R \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_R \sigma_L}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{q}_0^L \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \right) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{k\alpha_3 \sigma_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(a+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \left(\beta_L \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_R \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}} \left(e^{2ibk\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{f}_L(\lambda_L, t) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{2k\alpha_1 \beta_R \sigma_L \sqrt{\sigma_L \sigma_R}}{\Delta_L(k)} e^{ik(2a+b\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_R}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_L t} \hat{f}_R(\lambda_R, t) dk,
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

for $-a < x < 0$, and, for $0 < x < b$

$$\begin{aligned}
q^R(x, t) = & K^R = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{q}_0^R(k) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{q}_0^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(2a\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{q}_0^L \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(2b+x)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^R(k) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_R t} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^R(-k) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{2k\alpha_3\beta_L\sigma_R\sqrt{\sigma_L\sigma_R}}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(a\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{f}_L(\lambda_R, t) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^+} \frac{k\alpha_1\sigma_L\sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(b+x)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{f}_R(\lambda_R, t) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{q}_0^L \left(k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \beta_L \sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(2a\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{q}_0^L \left(-k \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \right) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_R t} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) - \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^R(k) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_3 \sigma_L}{2\Delta_R(k)} e^{ikx+ik^2\sigma_R t} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{q}_0^R(-k) dk \\
& - \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{2k\alpha_3\beta_L\sigma_R\sqrt{\sigma_L\sigma_R}}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(a\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}+x)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \hat{f}_L(\lambda_R, t) dk \\
& + \int_{\partial D_0^-} \frac{k\alpha_1\sigma_L\sigma_R}{\Delta_R(k)} e^{ik(x+b)+ik^2\sigma_R t} \left(\beta_R \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} - 1 \right) + \beta_L \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}} \left(e^{2iak\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_R}{\sigma_L}}} + 1 \right) \right) \hat{f}_R(\lambda_R, t) dk.
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

3.1 Remarks

- The solution of the problem posed in (20)-(23) may be obtained using the classical method of separation of variables and superposition as was done for the heat equation in [10]. The solutions $q^L(x, t)$ and $q^R(x, t)$ are given by a series of eigenfunctions with eigenvalues that satisfy a transcendental equation. The classical series solution may be obtained from the solution in Proposition 2 by deforming the contours along ∂D_0^- and ∂D_0^+ to the real line, including small semi-circles around each root of either $\Delta_L(k)$ or $\Delta_R(k)$, depending on whether $q^L(x, t)$ or $q^R(x, t)$ is being calculated. Indeed, careful calculation of all different contributions, following the examples in [7, 8, 16], is allowed since all integrands decay in the wedges between these contours and the real line, and the zeros of $\Delta_L(k)$ and $\Delta_R(k)$ occur only on the real line, as stated above. It is not necessarily beneficial to leave the form of the solution in Proposition 2

for the series representation, as the latter depends on the roots of $\Delta_L(k)$ and $\Delta_R(k)$, which are not known explicitly. In contrast, the representation of Proposition 2 depends on known quantities only and may be readily computed, using one's favorite parameterization of the contours ∂D_0^- and ∂D_0^+ .

- In the case of the heat equation on the finite interval with an interface there are also an infinite number of poles on the real- k axis. The major difference here is that the boundary of D coincides with the real- k axis whereas in the heat equation the only intersection between the real axis and D is at $k = 0$.
- As stated earlier, this method applies to general boundary conditions although we chose to present the details only for the Dirichlet case. When genuine Robin boundary conditions are used (the case in which all of the coefficients α_1 , α_2 , α_3 , and α_4 are nonzero) the analogue denominator to (31) may have zeros on the interior of D_0^+ and D_0^- depending on the relative signs of the coefficients. Thus, special care is needed to eliminate unknown boundary values in these cases. This can be worked out in a straightforward way, as is done for problems without interfaces [7].
- Similar to Section 2, long time asymptotics are easily computed using the method of stationary phase [3]. The asymptotic behavior is centered around zero for x/t constant and the shape of the envelope is determined by the integrands of the solution given in Proposition 2 as in (18) and (19).

Acknowledgements

This work was generously supported by the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-DMS-1008001 (B.D.). N.E.S. also acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation under grant number NSF-DGE-0718124. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the funding sources.

References

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz and A. S. Fokas. *Complex variables: Introduction and Applications*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2003.
- [2] M. Asvestas, A.G. Sifalakis, E.P. Papadopoulou, and Y.G. Saridakis. Fokas method for a multi-domain linear reaction-diffusion equation with discontinuous diffusivity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 490(1):012143, 2014.
- [3] C. M. Bender and S. A. Orszag. *Advanced mathematical methods for scientists and engineers*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1978.
- [4] H. S. Carslaw and J. C. Jaeger. *Conduction of Heat in Solids*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2nd edition, 1959.
- [5] R. C. Cascaval and C. T. Hunter. Linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations on simple networks. *Libertas Math.*, 30:85–98, 2010.

- [6] B. Deconinck, B. Pelloni, and N.E. Sheils. Non-steady state heat conduction in composite walls. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 470(2165):1471–2946, March 2014.
- [7] B. Deconinck, T. Trogdon, and V. Vasan. The method of Fokas for solving linear partial differential equations. *SIAM Rev.*, 56(1):159–186, 2014.
- [8] A. S. Fokas. *A unified approach to boundary value problems*, volume 78 of *CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2008.
- [9] A. S. Fokas and B. Pelloni. A transform method for linear evolution PDEs on a finite interval. *IMA J. Appl. Math.*, 70(4):564–587, 2005.
- [10] D. Hahn and M. Özisik. *Heat Conduction*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey, 3rd edition, 2012.
- [11] A. Hasegawa and F. Tappert. Transmission of stationary nonlinear optical pulses in dispersive dielectric fibers. I. anomalous dispersion. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 23(3):142–144, 1973.
- [12] A. Hasegawa and F. Tappert. Transmission of stationary nonlinear optical pulses in dispersive dielectric fibers. II. normal dispersion. *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 23(4):171–172, 1973.
- [13] D. Levin. Fast integration of rapidly oscillatory functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 67(1):95–101, 1996.
- [14] E. Schrödinger. An undulatory theory of the mechanics of atoms and molecules. *The Physical Review*, 28(6):1049–1070, December 1926.
- [15] T. Trogdon. *Riemann–Hilbert Problems, Their Numerical Solution and the Computation of Nonlinear Special Functions*. PhD thesis, University of Washington, 2012.
- [16] T. Trogdon and B. Deconinck. The solution of linear constant-coefficient evolution PDEs with periodic boundary conditions. *Appl. Anal.*, 91(3):529–544, 2012.
- [17] V. E. Zakharov. Collapse of langmuir waves. *Sov. Phys. JETP.*, 35:908–914, 1972.
- [18] V.E. Zakharov. Stability of periodic waves of finite amplitude on the surface of a deep fluid. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics*, 9(2):190–194, 1968.
- [19] A.K. Zvezdin and A.F. Popkov. Contribution to the nonlinear theory of magnetostatic spin waves. *Sov. Phys. JETP.*, 57(2):350–355, February 1983.